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ABSTRACT

Morphogenesis remains a riddle, wrapped in a mystery, inside an enigma. It remains a formidable problem viewed from many different perspectives of morphology, genetics, and computational modelling. We propose a biochemical reductionist approach that shows how both internal and external physical forces contribute to plant morphogenesis via mechanical stress-strain transduction from the primary cell wall tethered to the plasma membrane by a specific arabinogalactan protein (AGP). The resulting stress vector with direction defined by Hechtian adhesion sites, has a magnitude of a few picoNewtons amplified by a hypothetical Hechtian growth oscillator. This paradigm shift involves stress activated plasma membrane Ca\(^{2+}\)-channels and auxin-activated H\(^+\)-ATPase. The proton pump dissociates periplasmic AGP-glycomodules that bind Ca\(^{2+}\). Thus, as the immediate source of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) an AGP-Ca\(^{2+}\) capacitor directs vectorial exocytosis of cell wall precursors and auxin efflux (PIN) proteins. In toto these components comprise the Hechtian Oscillator and also the gravisensor. Thus interdependent auxin and Ca\(^{2+}\) morphogen gradients account for the predominance of AGPs. The size and location of a cell surface AGP-Ca\(^{2+}\) capacitor is essential to differentiation and explains AGP correlation with all stages of morphogenetic patterning from embryogenesis to root and shoot. Finally, evolutionary origins of the Hechtian Oscillator in the unicellular Chlorophycean algae reflect the ubiquitous role of chemiosmotic proton pumps that preceded DNA at the dawn of life.
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Introduction

“If questions are to be asked about life processes, how can one fail to enquire into what is perhaps the most striking feature of life, morphogenesis? Morphogenesis is the end product of cell differentiation. Cell shape is one aspect of the more general problem of differentiation. Consider the primary cell wall, how it grows, and there is evidence enough for the primary wall playing a decisive role in influencing the shape of a cell. Therefore the primary cell wall must be studied, but how?” (Lamport 1963). [1]

In 1952 Alan Turing proposed that “…morphogens acting together and diffusing through a tissue is adequate to account for morphogenesis” His classic paper [2] described simple reaction-diffusion systems in mathematical detail, no doubt inspired by classic texts D’Arcy Thompson’s [3] “On Growth and Form” (1917), Wardlaw’s Phylogeny and Morphogenesis [4] and probably Joseph Needham [5]. However, despite the appealing simplicity of the theologian William of Occam (1287-1347) and
his razor “entia non sunt multiplicanda praeter necessitatem” the devil is in the biochemical details where “angels fear to tread”. Turing invoked Graham’s law of diffusion to infer the existence of morphogen gradients (that includes hormones) and the drift to equilibria analogous to an electronic oscillator, an idea that we recently developed as the Hechtian growth oscillator [6]. Despite Turing’s profound insight and subsequent progress, the problem of plant morphogenesis remains a huge challenge far exceeding the original formulation of simple diffusion. Here we describe the origin of auxin, H+, and Ca2+ gradients and how their interaction creates morphogenetic patterns. We therefore approach the formidable problem of plant morphogenesis from an empirical biochemical perspective based on the dynamic role of AGPs (arabinogalactan glycoproteins) in a novel Hechtian Growth Oscillator. A cell surface AGP-Ca2+ capacitor is a crucial component that depends on the complex carbohydrate chemistry of classical AGPs [7;8] that chelate Ca2+ by paired glucuronic carboxyl groups of numerous small glycomodules. Not surprisingly after their initial discovery as an arabinogalactan polysaccharide [9] and as a hydroxyproline-rich arabinogalactan polysaccharide protein complex [10] it has taken sixty years since the founder event [11], to unravel the structural basis for the proposed central role of AGPs in plant biology as a cell surface Ca2+ capacitor [12]. The role of AGPs emerged as an essential component of the Hechtian Oscillator when we correlated tip-focused cytosolic Ca2+ and tip localised AGPs with Hechtian adhesion and rapid tip growth of pollen tubes [6].

Here we extrapolate these recent results to morphogenesis; we propose two new avenues:

**Firstly**, cell walls and mechanoperception involving Hechtian transduction as described in detail here but not considered by Turing, **secondly**, postulated chemical morphogen gradients [2] identified here as auxin and Ca2+. We propose mechanotransduction generates both gradients although each has a different biochemical origin: Thus auxin gradients originate from biosynthesis and transport by tissue-specific auxin efflux PIN proteins. On the other hand, cytosolic Ca2+ gradients originate from Ca2+ stored in a cell surface AGP-Ca2+ capacitor [12]. AGPs are essential components of the Hechtian Growth Oscillator that amplifies the magnitude and direction of stress vectors regulating plant growth [6]; that explains why plants have heavily invested in AGPs [13] and why this investment is so highly diversified [14]; many AGPs are developmentally regulated and tissue specific [15-18]. While the significance of a large pool of dynamic Ca2+ at the periplasmic cell surface seems inescapable to us, the role of AGPs in Ca2+ gradient formation in tissues is less obvious because it depends on a membrane-bound H+- ATPase that dissociates AGP-Ca2+. That shows the close link between auxin and Ca2+ signalling with the primal proton pump. Paired glucuronic carboxyls of AGP glycomodules bind Ca2+ tightly [12] (analogous to Ca2+ chelation by dicarboxylic acids). This effectively scavenges less tightly bound Ca2+ of pectin and also free Ca2+ in the transpiration stream. Thus abundant cell surface AGP-Ca2+ acting as a Ca2+ sink enables both cells and tissues to compete for Ca2+, so that Ca2+ supply meets demand where it is greatest such as meristem primordia. The “choice” of Ca2+ as a universal signalling ion can be ascribed to the size (1.8Å) of its atomic radius; it thus Ca2+ sheds water more rapidly and is therefore more reactive than other divalent ions notably Mg2+ [19].

The following five sections of this essay show how morphogenetic patterns originate, involving: 1. Cell wall mechanotransduction, and the developmental sequence: 2.

Most models of morphogenesis particularly computer-generated, generally favour a genetic perspective with emphasis on signalling cascades. However, they ignore two “unknown unknown” missing pieces of the morphogenetic puzzle identified here as Hechtian mechanotransduction and the AGP-Ca^{2+} capacitor.

1. Cell wall Mechanotransduction

Hecht’s classical observations of adhesion between wall and plasma membrane in plasmolysed cells [20] and its significance to cell signalling have been overlooked for more than a century with few exceptions [21]. This seems inexplicable, most likely because the emphasis has been exclusively on signalling molecules rather than a physical connection. Widespread Hechtian adhesion prominent particularly during rapid tip growth, after plasmolysis (Figure 1.) suggested the presence of specific tethers that transduce the stress-strain status of the wall to plasma membrane receptors that can respond to picoNewton (10^{-12}N) forces (Table 1.).
**Figure 1.** Hechtian strand occurrence: from algae to chloroplast guard cells

A. Closterium desmid in 12% sucrose: reprinted from [22]
B. *Penium margaritaceum*: reprinted from [23]
C. Ginkgo cells plasmolysed in 0.3M NaCl reprinted from [24]
D. Guard cell H\(^+\)-ATPase and its translocator PATROL in Arabidopsis.

The plasma membrane ATPase AHA1 and its translocator PATROL1 co-localise at the tips of Hechtian strands in plasmolyzed guard cells transformed with GFP–PATROL1 (D1) and RFP–AHA1 (D2) merged in (D3). Arrows show residual attachment to the plasma membrane Reprinted from [25].

******************************************************

However, the Hechtian transduction hypothesis creates three dilemmas:
1.) How does it distinguish between the huge forces (mega Pascal range) exerted on the membrane by osmotic pressure and the minute picoNewton forces that activate Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and ATPases? 2.) How does it provide a directional signal in response to anisotropic stress? [26]. 3.) Why does the wall need strong adhesion to the plasma membrane although turgor pressure ensures it? Is the elusive mechanosensor a Boojum or a Snark?

Hechtian adhesion must satisfy several criteria; minimally it must involve strong, stable and specific interactions with both cell wall and plasma membrane. Several candidates frequently suggested such as wall associated kinases and integrins lack hard data and can be eliminated. For example, integrins recognise the RGD motif of the mammalian extracellular matrix but are absent from plants. Other possible candidates include formins and many AGPs anchored securely to the plasma membrane [27-30] by a C-terminal GPI-lipid double tail of long-chain fatty acids that requires a force of ~350 picoNewtons to detach from the membrane [31] compared with changes in molecular conformation that are sensitive to far fewer picoNewtons [32;33].

At-AGP57C (At3g45230) is covalently linked to pectic RG-I homogalacturonan [34] and also firmly anchored to the plasma membrane. This identifies a specific Hechtian adhesion site of crucial significance that enables instant transmission of the wall stress/strain status and its rate-determining pectin rheology that enables rapid tip growth of root hairs and pollen tubes.

Wall stress and strain is thus focussed at the molecular level on Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and H\(^+\)-ATPase, both membrane components are stretch-activated by just a few picoNewtons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Adhesion strength pN</th>
<th>references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integrin-RGD binding</td>
<td>binding</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI-proteins</td>
<td>Adhesion</td>
<td>103-350 pN</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein e.g. talin</td>
<td>Unfolding</td>
<td>5 pN</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cation channels</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>4 pN (to open)</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Piezo proteins responsive to mechanical force are well-documented in animal systems [33]. Finally, Hechtian stress-strain transduction and exocytosis comprise the novel **Hechtian Oscillator** [6] an exquisitely designed high gain biological oscillator.
amplifier (Figure 2) that translates picoNewton forces [37] into tropisms, with wide ramifications in plant biology.

Figure 2. The Hechtian Growth Oscillator – Regulators.
Regulators of the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase reflect its two major roles:
1. Maintains negative inner membrane potential: Enhances anion exit and cation entry.
2. Dissociates AGP-Ca²⁺ as source of cytosolic Ca²⁺.
   Green arrows indicate upregulation or red downregulation, with exceptions where mechanism remains to be elucidated.

AUXIN activates H⁺-ATPase. cf. Figure 3.
Plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase regulation is central to plant biology [38]
Effects of high steady state auxin levels on root cell elongation in Brachypodium [39].

Auxin-driven morphogenetic patterns depend on unidirectional fluxes. [40].

The evolution of auxin signaling and PIN proteins [94].

What initiates auxin biosynthesis remains unknown. [41].

Auxin activates the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase via phosphorylation [40].
**ABSCISIC ACID negative regulation**
Decreases steady-state levels of phosphorylated H⁺-ATPase \[38\]

Abscisic acid dephosphorylates plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase and suppresses hypocotyl elongation in Arabidopsis \[42\].

Abscisic acid stress signalling evolved in algal progenitors \[43\].

**BLUE LIGHT**
The blue light photoreceptor pigment phototropin increases cytosolic Ca²⁺ \[44\].

**BRASSINOSTEROID**
Increases cytosolic Ca²⁺ via increased auxin levels. \[45\]

**CYTOKININS**
Enhance cell division by unknown mechanisms.

**ETHYLENE**

*Ethylene upregulates auxin biosynthesis* in the Arabidopsis root apex and inhibits root cell expansion \[46\], thus *anthranilate synthase* mutants yield ethylene-insensitive root growth phenotypes. Ethylene specifically inhibits the most rapid growth phase of expanding cells, normally the root hair initiation zone but ethylene moves it much closer to the tip. Auxin and ethylene act synergistically to control root elongation, root hair formation, lateral root formation and hypocotyl elongation. \[47\]

Ethylene modulates root elongation through altering auxin transport: Ethylene binds to receptor proteins like ETR1 and EIN2 controlling transcription factor EIN3 that targets ERF1: the ethylene response factor that regulates diverse genes, most likely tissue specific. In shoots, auxin, moves from the apex to the base \[28\]. PIN1 mutants decrease auxin transport in inflorescences \[29\] while pin2, pin3, and pin7 mutants decrease polar auxin transport in roots. In roots auxin moves rootward via PIN1, PIN3, and PIN7 in the central cylinder and shootward in the outer cell layers via **PIN2 that mediates gravitropism** \[31\]. (cf. Figure 6). Ethylene-induced auxin synthesis involves the alpha and beta subunits of anthranilate synthase \[69,70\].

**FUSICOCCIN**
The fungal toxin fusicoccin fills a cavity in the interaction surface between PM H⁺-ATPase and 14-3-3 proteins to form a tight bridge that activates the ATPase irreversibly \[38\].

**GIBBERELLIN**
Gibberellin increases cytosolic Ca²⁺ via a DELLA-Independent Signalling Pathway \[48\].

**GRAVITY**
In root gravitropism, auxin regulates root curvature via apoplastic pH and a Ca²⁺-dependent signalling pathway \[49\].
PIN proteins responsible for polar auxin transport and gravitropism reviewed in [41].

In microgravity cucumber seedling PIN protein distribution is parallel to the minor root axis. However, a 1g force re-aligns PIN1 to the lower side of the endodermis thus facilitating auxin transport from the upper side of the root to the lower side. Similarly PIN3 and PIN7 of the gravity sensing columella also re-align to the lower side [50].

NITRIC OXIDE
High apical levels of ROS in Arabidopsis root hairs suggest possible activation of a Ca$^{2+}$ channel that modulates root hair-tip growth [51].

RED LIGHT
Elevation of auxin levels is well established as an early event, in response to prolonged shade. During an initial triggering phase, phytochrome interacting factors (PIFs) bind to the promoters of auxin synthesis genes and generate a burst of auxin that promotes growth. [52]

ROS [REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES]
NADPH oxidase generates active oxygen species that activate Ca$^{2+}$ channels and regulate cell expansion and root morphogenesis. [53]

Although osmotic pressure is equally distributed at the plasma membrane, wall pressure exerts an equal and opposite force. However, a direct covalent connection between pectin and the plasma membrane enables instant transmission of the wall stress-strain status by fibrous macromolecules.

Two extreme examples, stomata and pollen tubes, demonstrate the efficacy of Hechtian adhesion: Stomatal guard cell wall elasticity transmits stress while the rapid tip growth of a pollen tube involves wall plasticity; when stretched it transmits strain arguably via AGP57C [34] or its homologues supporting a vital role for the Hechtian Growth Oscillator in morphogenesis as discussed in subsequent sections.

The pollen tube tip has the simplest primary cell wall, almost exclusively pectin and with fast tip growth so is an ideal system to test hypotheses involving Hechtian transduction of wall stress-strain. Pectin rheology is deceptively simple and depends largely on the degree of methyl esterification of pectic polygalacturonic acid residues, in contrast to AGP glucuronic acid that is never esterified. Highly methyl-esterified pectins form gels while deesterification followed by Ca$^{2+}$crosslinking form much stronger gels. A frequently cited pectic paradigm [54] assumes sufficient available free Ca$^{2+}$ for crosslinking but ignores the regulation of Ca$^{2+}$ in muro by AGPs which, with their demonstrated higher affinity for Ca$^{2+}$, compete for pectic Ca$^{2+}$. Such competition effectively strips Ca$^{2+}$ from pectin. The concomitant electrostatic repulsion of negatively charged pectic carboxylates explains the increase in wall plasticity by pectin methylesterase [55]. This effect not previously considered, may also explain the role of the small diffusible “AGP peptides” [56] that possess two arabinogalactan glycomodules presumably with paired glucuronic acid residues that bind Ca$^{2+}$. Significantly, small AGP peptides are up-regulated by auxin [39].
suggesting another subtle level of control over plasticity of the pectin-rich primary cell walls. Driven by turgor pressure, cells expand in a direction orthogonal (i.e. at right angles) to the direction of stress that strains the pectic matrix; concomitant Hechtian transduction of pectic strain initiates Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations and exocytosis (Figure 2.). The stress vector also causes orthogonal orientation of microtubules and cellulose deposition [26] that reinforce the pectic matrix. As noted above, pectin rheology depends on its methyl esterification status regulated by pectin methyl esterase [57] availability of free Ca\(^{2+}\) and borate crosslinking of the RG-II pectic component. Crosslinking of the cellulosic component (notably absent from pollen tube tips) may also be regulated by expansin [58;59].

 Such complexity explains why the molecular basis of cell wall plasticity remains recalcitrant since first described [60]. “The difficulty lies not in the new ideas, but in escaping from the old ones.” (John Maynard Keynes). Many are Boojums – hypotheses that postulate non-existent entities are not falsifiable and should disappear. The widely accepted “acid growth hypothesis” proposes that low pH has a direct effect on wall loosening by activating enzymic cleavage of load-bearing covalent crosslinks. However, both crosslinks and enzymes remain unidentified. In the absence of an alternative viable unifying hypothesis the Hechtian oscillator emphasises the role of Ca\(^{2+}\) in the exocytosis of wall plasticisers rather than covalent bond cleavage. Plant cells share a wall with neighbours and can therefore use Hechtian adhesion to sense which wall is being highly stressed by a rapidly expanding neighbour cell. Such stressed cells respond by rapid reorientation of auxin efflux PIN proteins to direct auxin efflux towards their rapidly expanding neighbour [26]. Cells also compete for available Ca\(^{2+}\) by increasing the size of their cell surface AGP-Ca\(^{2+}\) capacitor; this can increase the amplitude of Ca\(^{2+}\)oscillations thereby enhancing exocytosis.

 Consider the primary receptors of force transduction! What are they and how do they propagate tension to the cell interior? Stretch activated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels [61] and auxin-activated H\(^{+}\)-ATPase [62] control Ca\(^{2+}\) influx as follows: The plasma membrane ATPase [38;63] is similar to the mitochondrial ATP synthase a rotary nanomachine fuelled by the proton motive force that generates ATP. However, in reverse. fuelled by cytosolic ATP, it becomes a molecular turbine rotating at up to ~8000 rpm ejecting proton jets that pump about three protons into the periplasm for each ATP molecule hydrolysed [64].
**Figure 3.** Posttranslational regulation of the PM H⁺-ATPase alternates between two states. Reprinted from [38]

RED = downregulated pumps hydrolyze ATP with low efficiency hence low transport of H⁺.
GREEN = upregulated pumps with high ATPase efficiency, and high H⁺ transport rates.

C-terminal regulatory domains control transition between the two states.

Phosphorylation (P) of the C-terminal penultimate threonine residue (Thr-947 in pump AHA2) creates a binding site for a 14-3-3 protein that stabilises the pump.

Binding of lysophosphatidylcholine (Lyso-PC) and phosphorylation at Thr-881 also activate the PM H⁺-ATPase independently of phosphorylation and 14-3-3 protein binding. It is not known whether phosphorylation at Thr-881 in the C-terminal domain interferes with or depends on 14-3-3 binding (as indicated by the question mark).

Phosphorylation at Ser-899 or Ser-931 inactivate the pump. Phosphorylation at Ser-931 blocks the binding of 14-3-3 protein, but is not known for Ser-899. Only well-characterized regulatory events are shown.

************************************************************

These powerful proton jets dissociate AGP-Ca²⁺ of the cell surface capacitor that supplies the Ca²⁺ channels. The evidence [6] is a 100% correlation between tip-localised AGPs and “tip-focussed” cytosolic Ca²⁺ during rapid tip growth of pollen tubes.

How Ca²⁺ fluxes translate into morphogenesis depends on local wall plasticity that determines the direction and magnitude of the wall stress vector; its amplification depends on the size of the AGP-Ca²⁺ capacitor (Figure 2) and its obvious abundance at metabolically active sites [65]. Arguably the stress vector also determines the anisotropic location of Hechtian adhesion sites that activate local vectorial exocytosis of wall precursors and dynamic reorientation of auxin efflux (PIN) proteins.

Mechanical stress causes auxin efflux carrier localisation at the plasma membrane adjacent to the most stressed or strained walls cells and thus directs auxin toward neighbours that are rapidly expanding…[66]. Counterintuitively “the net flow of auxin in shoot tips is up the auxin gradient such that any cell directs its auxin toward neighbouring cells that have a higher auxin concentration” [26] Auxin flux against the concentration gradient towards regions of active expansion growth although an apparent contravention of the Second Law of Thermodynamics, is pitifully summarised by Mathew’s Law: “To him that hath shall be given. And to him that hath not shall be taken away.”

Plant cells exploit a thermodynamic loophole based on their ability to trap ionised auxin in the cytosol (pH 7.4) where it cannot freely permeate the plasma membrane. However in the wall at ~pH 5, auxin is protonated and thus freely permeates the plasma membrane of adjacent cells. So auxin wins the Sysiphean uphill struggle!

Regulation of the oscillator at several biochemical levels involves Ca²⁺ channel activity and the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase. (Figure 2.) The proximity of a large or small AGP-Ca²⁺ capacitor and its dissociation by ATPase proton pump thus creates dual gradients of auxin and Ca²⁺, coincidentally, two interacting morphogens required for the creation of “Turing patterns” [2]. By connecting these gradients, both dependent on the proton motive force, the Hechtian Oscillator emerges as a Master...
Regulator of plant growth; this paradigm shift demands reappraisal of the Acid Growth Hypothesis [67] that depends on the widely accepted activation of wall-loosening enzymes. However, alternative explanations for a low wall pH include PIN-directed auxin transport of protonated auxin and increased plasticity of deprotonated pectin. Finally, the release of Ca$^{2+}$ from AGP-Ca$^{2+}$ by a proton pump [12] is an extrapolation of Mitchell’s original chemiosmotic hypothesis! [68]

2. Embryogenesis

The above description of stress-dependent auxin and Ca$^{2+}$ gradients shows how polarity can arise at the earliest stages of embryogenesis, particularly with an AGP-Ca$^{2+}$ sink as a source of dynamic cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$, while tissue tensions determine the orientation of auxin efflux proteins and cellulose deposition. Organogenesis then follows similar biochemical and biomechanical rules. Every budding botanist sees sliced celery stalks curl because internal tissues are under compression, outer tissues under tension. This simple principle applies during embryogenesis as the stress vector changes from the internal meristem (compression) to the outer protoderm (tension). The concomitant rearrangement of auxin efflux proteins in the protoderm under tension results in lateral auxin export from its apex outwards with consequential slow growth and anisotropy at the torpedo stage that splits the tissue into the two cotyledons [69]. (Figure 4.).

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**Figure 4** Embryogenesis in Arabidopsis: Cell lineages, PIN protein localization, and auxin response maxima (reprinted from [69])

Auxin response maxima and PIN protein localization follow a regular cell division pattern. Thin lines show lineages between stages. PIN protein localization: red (PIN1), blue (PIN4), and green (PIN7) lines and DR5 reporter pink.

(A) After division of the zygote, one- and two cell embryos expresses PIN7 in the basal daughter cell (bc) and the apical cell (ac), expresses the DR5 reporter. After two more cell-divisions all proembryo (pe) cells express PIN1 and DR5 reporter. Basal suspensor (sus) cells express PIN7 localized on the proembryo side.

At the globular stage, central lower cells of the proembryo establish basal PIN1 polarity while PIN1 localizes apically in outer protoderm (pd) cells. At the same time,
PIN7 polarity reverses in suspensor cells and PIN4 is activated in the uppermost suspensor cell that now expresses the DR5 reporter and is specified as hypophysis (hyp). During the transition stage, PIN1 polarity at the flanks of apical embryo half converges in adjacent cells accompanied by the appearance of new DR5 maxima. These sites mark the initiation of the cotyledons.

(B) The torpedo stage shows discrete regions of the embryo: RAM, green, root apical meristem (white, future quiescent centre); Hypo, blue, hypocotyl; Cot, yellow, cotyledons; and SAM, red, the shoot apical meristem.

Angiosperm double fertilisation results in a triploid endosperm and a diploid zygote that elongates and establishes the shoot-root hierarchy with an initial asymmetric division into a small apical cell that becomes the proembryo; the large vacuolated basal cell divides by transverse divisions to produce the suspensor, a short file of cells that act as an “umbilicus” connecting the embryo with maternal tissues. The hypophysis is a remarkable pivotal apical cell of the suspensor, and as precursor to the embryonic root, it demarcates the crucial zone between shoot and root. Thus many auxin mutants result in a defective hypophysis and rootless seedlings. Presumably, a simplified morphogenetic programme of the hypophysis silences most major shoot programmes and defines the fate of future cells in the root as a “partial shoot” [70] characterised by the root tip meristem, xylem, phloem, pericycle and lateral roots.

Plant cells are generally totipotent and single cultured cells can regenerate an entire plant [71;72] While cell lineage does not necessarily determine cell fate, the aphorism of Hans Driesch that “the fate of a cell is a function of its position” implies that both lineage [73] and position [74] are pertinent. Indeed, “morphogen” gradients evident as auxin and AGP-Ca^{2+} are highly correlated with the earliest stages of embryogenesis including the polarised haploid egg cell that yields a zygote with polarised AGP distribution increasing at the later two-cell stage, and onwards [75]. Auxin biosynthesis evidenced by the DR5 reporter is similarly localised particularly at the 2-cell, 8-cell and globular stages in Arabidopsis [69]. Auxin distribution depends on auxin efflux carriers initially inferred [76] and subsequently identified at the genomic level by “PIN” mutants that phenocopied inhibitors of auxin transport [77]. Unlike the anisotropic protoderm, early growth of meristematic tissue is isotropic. Variations in local growth of the meristem arise possibly because cell surface AGPs compete for limited Ca^{2+}; combined with tissue tensions this leads to boundary regions low in Ca^{2+}. These peripheral anisotropic regions, particularly in the ectoderm, result in rearrangement of auxin efflux proteins with consequent auxin depletion in boundary zones between the meristem hence the origin of new primordia and phyllotaxis.

Much work over the more than 25 years (Table 2.) reveals a remarkable family of tissue specific auxin efflux (PIN) proteins that ensure a regulated supply of auxin during embryogenesis and ensuing stages of morphogenesis. However, until now, the signals that initiate PIN protein localisation remain unknown; Hechtian transduction proposed here is the best candidate based on considerable supporting evidence:
TABLE 2. The PIN family of auxin efflux proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auxin efflux protein</th>
<th>Major location</th>
<th>Efflux orientation</th>
<th>references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PIN 1</td>
<td>Outer protoderm and lower cells of proembryo</td>
<td>rootward</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIN 2</td>
<td>root epidermis and lateral root cap; regulates gravitropism</td>
<td>shootward</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIN 3</td>
<td>Gravitropism</td>
<td>rootward</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIN 4</td>
<td>Hypophysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIN 5</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>cytosol</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIN 6</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>cytosol</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIN 7</td>
<td>Suspensor</td>
<td>Apical towards embryo rootward</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIN 8</td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>cytosol</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Hechtian Growth Oscillator generates morphogenetic patterns; arguably these depend on localised adhesion sites that result in vectorial exocytosis of wall precursors; this includes auxin efflux PIN proteins directed to the sites of highest strain in expanding cells, [26] thus ensuring a supply of auxin for their continued growth. AGPs specifically localised in the suspensor [79] (Figure 4 in reference [79]) (6 to 9 cells in Arabidopsis) with apically polarised PIN4 and PIN7 auxin efflux proteins [78] highlight its active role as an “umbilicus supplying auxin and nutrients from maternal tissues to the embryo.

Stress also predicts the plane of cell division marked by the preprophase band of microtubules [80] as putative mitosis stabilisers [81] and the appearance of the cell plate templated by extensin self-assembling amphiphiles; [82] hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins central to plant growth, once again cooperate with biomechanical forces. Elegant laser ablation experiments alter the orientation of PIN proteins in the apical meristem [26]. These classic experiments [26] [79][83] show that tissue biomechanics create stress vectors that reorientate tissue-specific auxin efflux PIN proteins. We infer specific Hechtian adhesion is the “missing link” that connects biomechanical stress vectors with auxin action and PIN reorientation: A chemically defined covalent connection between cell wall pectin and a known plasma membrane AGP transduces stress that dissociates AGP-Ca\(^{2+}\) thus increasing cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) that triggers vectored exocytosis. We infer that Hechtian adhesion and AGPs amplify both the direction and magnitude of stress vectors resulting in growth orientation. Not surprisingly mutants of Gal31 are lethal [84] presumably by disrupting the 1-6-linked galactose sidechain of the AGP-Ca\(^{2+}\) glycomodule [85].
3. Roots

Morphogenesis of root xylem tissues begins with specific expression of the JIM13 AGP epitope in a single metaxylem initial just above four central cells of the quiescent centre [86]. The how and why have been dominated by the speculative idea of AGPs as signalling molecules per se. Thus a role for AGPs in Ca\(^{2+}\)-signalling was unexpected yet surprisingly close to reality with AGP-Ca\(^{2+}\) belatedly seen here as the major dynamic source of Ca\(^{2+}\) regulated by auxin. Significantly, transformed plants that overproduce auxin show excessive xylem and phloem development [87] and bushy phenotypes [88].

Mechanical stress patterns involved in root morphogenesis have yet to be modelled. Nevertheless, a recent comprehensive experimental approach [89] correlated gravitropism with the *epidermal location of auxin efflux protein PIN2 dramatically co-localised with Hechtian adhesion sites* between the plasma membrane and the cell wall:

Figure 5. PIN proteins maintain their polarity at the cell wall of plasmolysed cells.

Plasmolysis shows apical (PIN2-GFP and PIN1-GFP-3) and basal (PIN1-GFP-2) remain attached to the cell wall by their polar domains. (B–E)

Note - yellow arrowheads = nonpolar PIN-GFP signal at the plasma membrane. HS = Hechtian strands with PIN-GFP-connections to the wall. White arrowheads = strong persistent PIN-GFP signal at the cell wall-plasma membrane interface. Scale bars = 10 \(\mu\)m. Reprinted from figure 4 of [89].

*The presence of the cell wall is essential for gravity perception* [89]. These observations connect gravitropism with a rapid increase of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) [49] and the following conclusion [89]: “Thus, the identified tight link between the cell wall and cell polarity provides the conceptual possibility for regulation of signal fluxes and, ultimately, plant development via signaling from the extracellular matrix.” Such evidence suggests that auxin-based mechanoperception and subsequent root morphogenesis involve the Hechtian Growth Oscillator: This fundamental phenomenon supersedes textbook dogma; “acid growth” and the statolith hypothesis [90-92] [93]; they remain highly contentious and confusing fields that struggle to disentangle cause from effect. As a prime example consider the challenge to identify
the gravity sensor. Although quite unknown it may involve critical components of the Hechtian Oscillator as “The very earliest stages of gravitropic bending depend on auxin-stimulated Ca²⁺ influx” [49;94]. Nevertheless, the Cholodny-Went explanation of auxin redistribution remains unquestioned dogma [95]: “The ability of roots to reorient their growth in response to changes in the gravity vector is dependent on the asymmetric redistribution of auxin at the root tip,” [94]. It demands a biochemical explanation:

**The root-shoot paradox:** Root tip gravitropism increases auxin at the lower side that decreases growth while less auxin at the upper side increases growth; however in shoots a similar auxin distribution in response to gravity reverses the direction of growth. We resolve this paradox by asking: *How does auxin inhibit growth at the lower side of the root?* Extensin peroxidase [96] provides the answer; crosslinked extensin decreases the rate of cell extension [97] via di-isodityrosine formation [98;99]. Presumably programmed cells of the root tip respond to high levels of auxin simply by enhancing enzymic crosslinking of wall proteins. Thus, extensins and AGPs as the Yin and Yang of cell extension, may illustrate yet again the ingenious parsimony of Nature that involves these hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins as both negative and positive regulators of cell extension.

While the above approach to a complex problem is consistent with Occam’s Razor, it contrasts with the complexity of recent papers that deal with the dynamic rearrangement of PIN proteins transporting auxin from both root tip and the shoot via xylem and root epidermis (*Figure 6*).
A. Gravity perception in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. At time point 0, roots grow vertically. After a 90 ° turn, the following events occur:

(1) At 10 s, statoliths are still at the old bottom of the cell. After 3 min, statoliths move towards the new bottom of the cell to be uniformly distributed at 5 min [90].

(2) PIN3 and PIN7 relocalization is achieved 2 min after the gravity stimulus and, in consequence, a lateral auxin gradient is generated between the upper and lower side of the root (thin and thick orange arrows respectively) [100].

(3) Development of differential extracellular pH levels between the upper (acidic) and lower (alkaline) side of the gravistimulated root [49].

B. Gravity signal transduction and transmission via auxin transport and redistribution. Black arrows show AUX1 and PIN2 channel auxin from the shoot to the root tip. Blue arrows show how PIN4 is distributes auxin efflux through the vascular tissue to the columella cells. PIN3 and PIN7 set up the auxin flow (green arrows), with an accumulation on the lower side of the root. PIN2 and AUX1 transport auxin through the lateral root cap to the epidermal cells in the elongation zone (orange arrows) where the actual growth response will occur.

However, none offer a convincing molecular mechanism for auxin-increased cell extension. They also fail to connect auxin with Ca$^{2+}$ signalling although [91] “auxin somehow activates cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$waves,” the major source being the Hechtian Oscillator via auxin-activated H$^+$-ATPase dissociation of AGP-Ca$^{2+}$ that releases Ca$^{2+}$ to the cytosol via Ca$^{2+}$ channels. How that translates into increased cell extension in the root tip elongation zone remains a conundrum discussed above. Although the prevalent statolith hypothesis is now textbook dogma the precise molecular details of statolith mechanotransduction remain quite unknown. Mutants that lack amyloplast statoliths do not resolve the problem: While they decrease the gravitational response they do not eliminate it [92]. Finally, both plasma membrane H$^+$-ATPases [25] (~11 in Arabidopsis) and PIN proteins recycle in response to mechanotransduction, thus an additional level of complexity.

This raises the pertinent question: What is the biochemical source of signalling Ca$^{2+}$ and its connection to auxin? Regions of high auxin biosynthesis, e.g. meristems, young primordia and reproductive organs, express YUCCA a flavin monooxygenase that decarboxylates indole pyruvate to yield auxin [40]. Arguably, Hechtian adhesion and auxin-activated plasma membrane ATPase dissociate AGP-Ca$^{2+}$ to provide Ca$^{2+}$ that activates exocytosis enabling the gravity vector (9.8 N kg$^{-1}$) to redistribute auxin by recycling the auxin efflux PIN proteins. As the root tip derives its auxin from transport through central root tissues and also local biosynthesis (Figure 6.), the columella is quite possibly the gravity sensor as it also contains the statoliths. However, that view is not consistent with the Hechtian oscillator and *Hechtian transduction of the gravity vector* leading to auxin transport and its asymmetric redistribution by PIN1 and PIN3 auxin efflux proteins [26] cf. [94].

The Hechtian oscillator is also evident in mature root tissues such as the pericycle which expresses specific AGPs [101] and generates adventitious lateral roots and a specific extensin [102]. Cf. [73]. Presumably pericycle progenitor cell AGPs scavenge...
local $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ that enhances exocytosis when stimulated by auxin supplied from root tip biosynthesis [103]. Similarly, root hair positioning is also correlated with AGP-reactive epidermal cells figure 4A in [101] while defects in root tip auxin biosynthesis result in a shift of root hair emergence towards the shoot.

4. Shoots
Morphogenesis of the shoot involves phyllotaxis of leaves and flowers, a problem of formidable complexity recognised by Egyptian, Greek and Roman scholars since antiquity [104] up to the present. Numerous competing hypotheses largely based on mathematical formulations connect physical forces to growth but with many biochemical unknowns. Recent significant experimental advances [26;66;83] closely connect the stress vectors of growing tissues with the reorientation of auxin efflux PIN proteins (Figure 7): Exocytosis and endocytosis (transcytosis), rapidly redeploy PIN proteins to expanding walls that show the greatest stress or strain; this implies that stress-induced signals from the cell wall promote accumulation of PIN1 (and thus export of auxin) at the nearest membrane of cells stressed by their rapid expansion as follows.

**Figure 7.** Stress vectors in the shoot apical meristem
Suggested changing meristem stress patterns cause auxin depletion in the boundary region as primordia form [26].
Note that magnitude and direction of the stress vector is strongly anisotropic (red arrows) in boundary region between primordium and shoot apical meristem apex. Reprinted from [66] [79] with permission from AAAS).

Rapid PIN1 redeployment [105] raises the question of how cells perceive the mechanical signals that largely determine PIN1 polarity. In plants the gap between biophysical stress and biochemical response is generally ignored. However, PIN protein recycling depends on $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-directed vectorial exocytosis, and therefore shares essential components of the Hechtian growth oscillator that translate biophysical force into biochemistry. This connects the oscillator with the generation of new primordia; hypothetically their regular spatial separation or phyllotaxis results from competing demands for auxin and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ morphogens, channelled to the most rapidly growing cells by PIN proteins and cell surface AGPs that scavenge $\text{Ca}^{2+}$. This results in auxin depletion and slower growth in the boundary or “saddle region” between the apical meristem and primordia. Indeed, competition for auxin and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ may determine the angle of divergence between successive primordia and thus creation of whorls [106],
although the morphogenesis of flowering involving, for example, a protein florigen [107] and development of seeds is beyond the scope of this brief essay.

Auxin initiates phyllotaxis by transport against a concentration gradient to sites of primordia formation; these depend on the polarity of auxin efflux (PIN) proteins maintained by the cell wall [89,108]. However, apical dominance of the shoot, although generally ascribed to auxin inhibition of lateral bud growth may actually depend on the absence of PIN polarity from dormant tissues. Arguably removal of the shoot apex restores PIN1 polarisation in the bud, [109] via renewed auxillary auxin transport that breaks dormancy. A role for the Hechtian Growth Oscillator in apical dominance seems likely and is consistent with the bushy phenotype of tomato plants over-expressing AGP LeAGP1 [110]. The size of the AGP-Ca\textsuperscript{2+} capacitor could determine the balance between dormancy and active growth of axillary buds.

5. The evolution of morphogenesis
Phylogeny and morphogenesis are inextricably linked [111] so our brief description can only attempt a broad-brush summary that reconciles old observations with new discoveries. Specifically these relate wall stress-strain and Hechtian adhesion to the control of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} by auxin. Thus auxin efflux PIN proteins transport auxin to target sites where activation of H\textsuperscript+-ATPase releases Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from AGP-Ca\textsuperscript{2+} triggering exocytosis. This paradigm shift can be traced back to the primordial soup and unifies the chemiosmotic proton motive force with auxin and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signalling. By analogy with inscriptions on the Rosetta Stone, once decoded these three fundamental transport phenomena translate the same growth message into morphogenesis.

Morphogenesis begins with prebiotic synthesis from CH\textsubscript{4}, CO\textsubscript{2}, and water to yield HCHO [68] precursor to simple sugars via the Butlerov (formose) reaction. Subsequent prebiotic generation of self-replicating molecules and self-assembling amphiphiles formed lipid membranes and nanobubble aerosols. Such semipermeable membrane-bounded protocells sequestered and concentrated reactants by chemiosmosis most likely in Darwin’s “warm little pond” (In a letter to J.D. Hooker 1871). Hence, the origin of Peter Mitchell’s proton motive force [112], a universal phenomenon that precedes RNA-DNA replication, and drives reactions that exploit the chemistry in a watery environment of planets in the “Goldilocks zone”. The first simple prokaryotes were chemical machines; their further specialisation and morphological adaption led to unicellular eukaryotes, morphogenetic machines characterised by increasing structural adaptations and complexity, exemplified by the invention of chromosomes as C.D.Darlington’s “little packets of predestination”, internal membrane systems such as ER, Golgi, and cell walls to protect the plasma membrane. Indeed, most major evolutionary advances from chemiosmotic energy transduction to cellular organelles appeared very early and remain as essential features of modern eukaryotic cells whose precise origins by endosymbiont capture [113] remain to be elucidated.

From the cell wall viewpoint the unicellular flagellate Chlamydomonas provides the most striking example of evolutionary conservation and diversification with a large complement of 182 different proteins related to hydroxyproline-rich extensins [114]. The Chlamydomonas wall is devoid of cellulose and consists almost entirely of glycoproteins that self-assemble as a crystal lattice [115], a remarkable precursor to their conserved self-assembly role in higher plants [82]. The leap to multicellular cooperation involved two distinct evolutionary lines: One line embedded cells in a
hydroxproline-rich glycoprotein protective matrix typified by volvocine algae. The other Chlorophycean line evolved silicified or calcified protective cell walls (Figure 8) typified by the calcareous plates of Coccolithophores, such as *Emiliana huxleyi* of the cretaceous that built the chalk hills and cliffs of today:

**Figure 8.** Chilly Brook chalk cliffs with *E. huxleyi*
Coccolithophores such as *E. huxleyi* [inset] constructed massive soft chalk deposits of calcium carbonate during the cretaceous period (145 - 65 MYA), now seen here from across Chilly-Brook water meadows dominated by *Ranunculus acris*, adjacent to the River Ouse in the South Downs National Park at Lewes, UK. This view encapsulates the entire evolutionary progression from simplest unicellular protists like *E. huxleyi* to advanced dicots like *R. acris* at the pinnacle of alternation of generations, all dependent on calcium. [Photo: DTAL]

**Static** CaCO₃ of Coccolithophores represented by *E. huxleyi* is the probable evolutionary precursor to **dynamic** cell surface AGP-Ca²⁺, hence Ca²⁺ signalling. Algae increased the tensile strength of a primary cell wall prototype by co-opting cellulose thus enabling turgor pressure and the major evolutionary pathway to metaphytes. Indeed, the composition of algal pectin-rich cellulosic walls is remarkably similar to those defined as primary walls in cambial tissues of higher plants [116]. That raises the question: When did the Hechtian Oscillator emerge?
Based on the presence of Hechtian strands associated with rapid tip growth [6] we extrapolated Mitchell’s original chemiosmotic hypothesis to include Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling. This implies incredible evolutionary conservation and an early origin of the Hechtian Oscillator in unicellular Chloropycean algae such as Closterium [22] and Penium [23] as both exhibit tip growth with prominent Hechtian strands (Figure 1). Single cells remaining attached after cytokinesis evolved into simple linear filamentous algae as the first step towards multicellularity and its regulation. Although auxin is a key growth regulator in higher plants it is evident as a biosynthetic pathway in the simplest unicellular chlorophytes [94][117]. However, auxin transport also involves efflux PIN proteins and these first appear in filamentous algae such as “living fossils” like Spirogyra [117] with anchoring rhizoids that differentiate only from terminal cells [118]. Thus PIN proteins and polarity of Spirogyra rhizoids, as well as stretch-activated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, nicely represent the earliest evolutionary progression from marine via freshwater to land plants (Figure 9.).

**Figure 9.** Metaphyte origins
Progression from single cells to filaments that align forming a flat thallus. The examples here are the biflagellate Chlamydomonas, Spirogyra and Coleochaete.

Further lateral cohesion between adjacent filaments form a prototype thallus. Other “living fossils” include Coleochaete a prototype leafy liverwort (Hepaticae) that retains the fertilised diploid egg cell until “germination”; Coleochaete cell walls are also significantly enriched in hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins. Alkaline hydrolysis yields a hydroxyproline glycoside profile (Figure 10.) dominated by small hydroxyproline heterooligosaccharides similar to the profile of Chlamydomonas, but surprisingly different from the simple Hyp-arabinoside profile of higher plants.
Figure 10. Coleochaete Hydroxyproline Glycosides

Alkaline hydrolysates of *Coleochaete scutata* cell walls fractionated on a cation exchange column gave a Hyp-glycoside profile that appeared similar to the profile of cell walls isolated from higher plants. However, closer inspection and quantitative sugar analyses showed a striking difference; in higher plants the Hyp glycosides are simple arabinosides, whereas Coleochaete shows heterooligosaccharides of up to seven sugar residues that include galactose, glucose and arabinose, compositions remarkably similar to the profile of cell walls isolated from Chlamydomonas [119] but different from other members of the plant kingdom [120]. DTAL unpublished data with thanks to Dr. Jian Xu for the sugar analyses.

Although more popular notions suggest Chara or Nitella as a land plant precursor, that seems unlikely for several reasons; firstly despite being invoked as a model system, their cell walls lack hydroxyproline-rich cell wall proteins; secondly, the relatively thick walls of Chara are rich in non-methyl esterified pectin and finally the large cells are coenocytes but remain locked in an evolutionary cul-de-sac. Bryophytes initiate the gametophyte-sporophyte “alternation of generations” brilliantly elucidated by Hofmeister [121] (**Figure 11.**
**Figure 11.** The moss *Bryum capillare* exemplifies alternation of generations.

*Bryum capillare* with attached diploid sporophytes dependent on the haploid gametophyte generation. [Photo: DTAL]

Further evolutionary development of the attached sporophyte (e.g. with stomata) culminated in its complete dominance in higher plants with their increasingly complex division into root, shoots and leaves. Root-like structures appeared as rhizoids in the Bryophytes but true roots defined by their gravitropic response, endogenous branching, root hairs, and a protective root cap originate from the suspensor hypophysis during angiosperm embryogenesis. While sharing much in common with true shoots, roots clearly lack many shoot characteristics and have therefore been described as “partial shoots” [70] that evolved as an adaptation to a subterranean life [122].

Ultimate reduction of the gametophyte generation to just a few cells, namely pollen and the ovule as an integumented megasporangium completes this brief summary based on a novel growth oscillator unifying other growth regulators that control Ca²⁺ release and exocytosis.

6. **Postscript.**

Ion transport dominates this paper greatly influenced (1955-1961) by personal acquaintance [dtal] with the Cambridge pioneers who included Peter Mitchell (proton pump), David Keilin (cytochrome discovery) and Robert “Robin” Hill Reaction (photolysis of water). Cell wall protein was undoubtedly inspired by Fred Sanger in the adjacent lab (protein structure 1958 Nobel Prize) and Joseph E. Varner on his sabbatical leave acted as a “midwife to wall protein.” H.A. Krebs was one of dtal’s BA examiners. Two years National Service as a corporal radio instructor at Royal Air Force Radio School Yatesbury (1953-55) inspired thoughts about biological oscillators. Finally, the poet Alexander Pope (1688-1744) summarises the effect of the intellectual environment exemplified by Joseph Needham (morphogenesis) and Don Northcote (cell walls) in the Cambridge Department of Biochemistry: “As the twig is bent so is the tree inclined.”
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