

Article

# Rough $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Lacunary Statistical Convergence of Double Sequences

Ömer KIŞI<sup>1\*</sup> , Erdinç Dündar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Bartın University, Bartın, Turkey; okisi@bartin.edu.tr

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Afyon Kocatepe University, AfyonKarahisar, Turkey; edundar@aku.edu.tr

\* Correspondence: okisi@bartin.edu.tr

**Abstract:** In this paper, we introduce and study the notion of rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences in normed linear spaces. We also introduce the notion of rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical limit set of a double sequence and discuss about some properties of this set.

**Keywords:** Statistical convergence,  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence, rough convergence, lacunary sequences, double sequences.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the set of all positive integers and the set of all real numbers, respectively. The concept of convergence of a sequence of real numbers has been extended to statistical convergence independently by Fast [13] and Schoenberg [34]. This concept was extended to the double sequences by Mursaleen and Edely [22]. Lacunary statistical convergence was defined by Fridy and Orhan [15]. Çakan and Altay [5] presented multidimensional analogues of the results presented by Fridy and Orhan [15].

The idea of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [17] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subset of the set of natural numbers. Kostyrko et al. [18] studied the idea of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and extremal  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points. Das et al. [6] introduced the concept of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of double sequences in a metric space and studied some properties of this convergence. A lot of development have been made in area about statistical convergence,  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and double sequences after the works of [1,11,13,14,21,28–30,34].

The notion of lacunary ideal convergence of real sequences was introduced in [35]. Das et al. [8] introduced new notions, namely  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical convergence and  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary statistical convergence by using ideal. Belen et al. [4] introduced the notion of ideal statistical convergence of double sequences, which is a new generalization of the notions of statistical convergence and usual convergence. Kumar et al. [36] introduced  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences. More investigation and applications on this notion can be found in [16].

The idea of rough convergence was first introduced by Phu [25] in finite-dimensional normed spaces. In another paper [26] related to this subject, Phu defined the rough continuity of linear operators and showed that every linear operator  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $r$ -continuous at every point  $x \in X$  under the assumption  $\dim Y < \infty$  and  $r > 0$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are normed spaces. In [27], Phu extended the results given in [25] to infinite-dimensional normed spaces. Aytar [2] studied the rough statistical convergence. Also, Aytar [3] studied that the rough limit set and the core of a real sequence. Recently, Dündar and Çakan [10,11] introduced the notion of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and the set of rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points of a sequence and studied the notion of rough convergence and the set of rough limit points of a

33 double sequence. Further this notion of rough convergence of double sequence has been extended to  
 34 rough statistical convergence of double sequence by Malik et. al. [19] using double natural density  
 35 of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  in the similar way as the notion of convergence of double sequence in Pringsheim sense  
 36 was generalized to statistical convergence of double sequence. Also, Dündar [12] investigated rough  
 37  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence of double sequences. The notion of  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical convergence of double sequences was  
 38 introduced by Malik and Ghosh [20] in the theory of rough convergence.

39 In view of the recent applications of ideals in the theory of convergence of sequences, it seems  
 40 very natural to extend the interesting concept of rough lacunary statistical convergence further by  
 41 using ideals which we mainly do here.

42 So it is quite natural to think, if the new notion of  $\mathcal{I}$ -lacunary statistical convergence of double  
 43 sequences can be introduced in the theory of rough convergence.

## 44 2. Definitions and notations

45 In this section, we recall some definitions and notations, which form the base for the present study  
 46 ([1,2,4,10–12,17,19,20,25,28,36]).

47 During the paper, let  $r$  be a nonnegative real number and  $\mathbb{R}^n$  denotes the real  $n$ -dimensional space  
 48 with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Consider a sequence  $x = (x_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be  $r$ -convergent to  $x_*$ , denoted by  $x_i \xrightarrow{r} x_*$  provided that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists i_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N} : i \geq i_\varepsilon \Rightarrow \|x_i - x_*\| < r + \varepsilon.$$

The set

$$\text{LIM}^r x := \{x_* \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \xrightarrow{r} x_*\}$$

49 is called the  $r$ -limit set of the sequence  $x = (x_i)$ . A sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be  $r$ -convergent if  
 50  $\text{LIM}^r x \neq \emptyset$ . In this case,  $r$  is called the convergence degree of the sequence  $x = (x_i)$ . For  $r = 0$ , we get  
 51 the ordinary convergence. There are several reasons for this interest (see [25]).

52 A family of sets  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is called an ideal if and only if

- 54 (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- 55 (ii) for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- 56 (iii) for each  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  and each  $B \subseteq A$  we have  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ .

57 An ideal is called non-trivial if  $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$  and non-trivial ideal is called admissible if  $\{n\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  
 58  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

59 A family of sets  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a filter in  $\mathbb{N}$  if and only if

- 61 (i)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ ,
- 62 (ii) for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- 63 (iii) for each  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and each  $B \supseteq A$  we have  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

64 If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a nontrivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  (i.e.,  $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$ ), then the family of sets

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : \exists A \in \mathcal{I} : M = \mathbb{N} \setminus A\}$$

65 is a filter of  $\mathbb{N}$  and it is called the filter associated with the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ .

66 A sequence  $x = (x_i)$  is said to be rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent ( $r$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent) to  $x_*$  with the roughness  
 67 degree  $r$ , denoted by  $x_i \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}} x_*$  provided that  $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_i - x_*\| \geq r + \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; or  
 68 equivalently, if the condition  
 69

$$\mathcal{I} - \limsup \|x_i - x_*\| \leq r \tag{1}$$

70 is satisfied. In addition, we can write  $x_i \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}} x_*$  iff the inequality  $\|x_i - x_*\| < r + \varepsilon$  holds for every  
71  $\varepsilon > 0$  and almost all  $i$ .

A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers is said to be bounded if there exists a positive real number  $M$  such that  $|x_{mn}| < M$ , for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{m,n} |x_{mn}| < \infty.$$

72 A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  of real numbers is said to be convergent to  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  in Pringsheim's  
73 sense (shortly,  $p$ -convergent to  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ ), if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{mn} - L| < \varepsilon$ ,  
74 whenever  $m, n > N_\varepsilon$ . In this case, we write

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} x_{mn} = L.$$

We recall that a subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is said to have natural density  $d(K)$  if

$$d(K) = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(m,n)}{m \cdot n},$$

75 where  $K(m,n) = |\{(j,k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : j \leq m, k \leq n\}|$ .

76 Throughout the paper we consider a sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  such that  $(x_{mn}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

77 Let  $x = (x_{mn})$  be a double sequence in a normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $r$  be a non negative real  
78 number.  $x$  is said to be  $r$ -statistically convergent to  $\zeta$ , denoted by  $x \xrightarrow{r-st_2} \zeta$ , if for  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  
79  $d(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$ , where  $A(\varepsilon) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \|x_{mn} - \zeta\| \geq r + \varepsilon\}$ . In this case,  $\zeta$  is called the  
80  $r$ -statistical limit of  $x$ .

81  
82 A nontrivial ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2$  of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is called strongly admissible if  $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{I}_2$   
83 for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

84 It is evident that a strongly admissible ideal is admissible also.

85 Throughout the paper we take  $\mathcal{I}_2$  as a strongly admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

86  
87 Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  in  $X$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent to  
88  $L \in X$ , if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $A(\varepsilon) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_{mn}, L) \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . In this case, we say  
89 that  $x$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent and we write

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} x_{mn} = L.$$

90  
91 A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  is said to be rough convergent ( $r$ -convergent) to  $x_*$  with the  
92 roughness degree  $r$ , denoted by  $x_{mn} \xrightarrow{r} x_*$  provided that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N} : m, n \geq k_\varepsilon \Rightarrow \|x_{mn} - x_*\| < r + \varepsilon, \quad (2)$$

93 or equivalently, if

$$\limsup \|x_{mn} - x_*\| \leq r. \quad (3)$$

94 A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  is said to be  $r$ - $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent to  $x_*$  with the roughness degree  $r$ ,  
95 denoted by  $x_{mn} \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}_2} x_*$  provided that

$$\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \|x_{mn} - x_*\| \geq r + \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2, \quad (4)$$

96 for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; or equivalently, if the condition

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - \limsup \|x_{mn} - x_*\| \leq r \quad (5)$$

97 is satisfied. In addition, we can write  $x_{mn} \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}_2} x_*$  iff the inequality  $\|x_{mn} - x_*\| < r + \varepsilon$  holds for every  
98  $\varepsilon > 0$  and almost all  $(m, n)$ .

99 Now, we give the definition of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -asymptotic density of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

A subset  $K \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is said to have  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -asymptotic density  $d_{\mathcal{I}_2}(K)$  if

$$d_{\mathcal{I}_2}(K) = \mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K(m, n)|}{m \cdot n},$$

100 where  $K(m, n) = \{(j, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : j \leq m, k \leq n; (j, k) \in K\}$  and  $|K(m, n)|$  denotes number of elements  
101 of the set  $K(m, n)$ .

A double sequence  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  of real numbers is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -statistically convergent to  $\varepsilon$ , and we write  
 $x \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}_2-st} \xi$ , provided that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$

$$\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ (j, k) : \|x_{jk} - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon, j \leq m, k \leq n \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Let  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  be a double sequence in a normed linear space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $r$  be a non negative real  
number. Then  $x$  is said to be rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -statistical convergent to  $\xi$  or  $r$ - $\mathcal{I}_2$ -statistical convergent to  $\xi$  if  
for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$

$$\left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{mn} \left| \left\{ (j, k), j \leq m, k \leq n : \|x_{jk} - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

102 In this case,  $\xi$  is called the rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -statistical limit of  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  and we denote it by  $x \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}_2-st} \xi$ .

103

A double sequence  $\bar{\theta} = \theta_{us} = \{(k_u, l_s)\}$  is called double lacunary sequence if there exist two  
increasing sequences of integers  $(k_u)$  and  $(l_s)$  such that

$$k_0 = 0, h_u = k_u - k_{u-1} \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } l_0 = 0, \bar{h}_s = l_s - l_{s-1} \rightarrow \infty, \quad u, s \rightarrow \infty.$$

We will use the following notation  $k_{us} := k_u l_s$ ,  $h_{us} := h_u \bar{h}_s$  and  $\theta_{us}$  is determined by

$$J_{us} := \{(k, l) : k_{u-1} < k \leq k_u \text{ and } l_{s-1} < l \leq l_s\},$$

$$q_u := \frac{k_u}{k_{u-1}}, \bar{q}_s := \frac{l_s}{l_{s-1}} \text{ and } q_{us} := q_u \bar{q}_s.$$

104 Throughout the paper, by  $\theta_2 = \theta_{us} = \{(k_u, l_s)\}$  we will denote a double lacunary sequence of positive  
105 real numbers, respectively, unless otherwise stated.

106

A double sequence  $x = \{x_{mn}\}$  of numbers is said to be  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical convergent or  
 $S_{\theta_2}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ -convergent to  $L$ , if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (m, n) \in J_{us} : |x_{mn} - L| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

107 In this case, we write  $x_{mn} \rightarrow L (S_{\theta_2}(\mathcal{I}_2))$  or  $S_{\theta_2}(\mathcal{I}_2) - \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} x_{mn} = L$ .

108

### 109 3. Main results

110 **Definition 1.** Let  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  be a double sequence in a normed linear space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $r$  be a non negative  
 111 real number. Then  $x$  is said to be rough lacunary statistical convergent to  $\xi$  or  $r$ -lacunary statistical convergent  
 112 to  $\xi$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{u,s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j,k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| = 0.$$

113 In this case  $\xi$  is called the rough lacunary statistical limit of  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  and we denote it by  $x \xrightarrow{r-S_{\theta_2}} \xi$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  be a double sequence in a normed linear space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $r$  be a non negative  
 real number. Then,  $x$  is said to be rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical convergent to  $\xi$  or  $r$ - $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical  
 convergent to  $\xi$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$

$$\left\{ (u,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j,k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

114 In this case,  $\xi$  is called the rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical limit of  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  and we denote it by  $x \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}-st} \xi$ .

**Remark 1.** Note that if  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is the ideal

$$\mathcal{I}_2^0 = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \exists m(A) \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } i, j \geq m(A) \Rightarrow (i,j) \notin A\},$$

115 then rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical convergence coincide with rough lacunary statistical convergence.

116 Here  $r$  in the above definition is called the roughness degree of the rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical  
 117 convergence. If  $r = 0$ , we obtain the notion of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary convergence. But our main interest is when  
 118  $r > 0$ . It may happen that a double sequence  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  is not  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical convergent in the  
 119 usual sense, but there exists a double sequence  $y = \{y_{jk}\}$ , which is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistically convergent  
 120 and satisfying the condition  $\|x_{jk} - y_{jk}\| \leq r$  for all  $(j,k)$ . Then,  $x$  is rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistically  
 121 convergent to the same limit.

122 From the above definition it is clear that the rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical limit of a double  
 123 sequence is not unique. So we consider the set of rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical limits of a double  
 124 sequence  $x$  and we use the notation  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$  to denote the set of all rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical  
 125 limits of a double sequence  $x$ . We say that a double sequence  $x$  is rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistically  
 126 convergent if  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \neq \emptyset$ .

127 Throughout the paper  $X$  denotes a normed linear space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $x$  denotes the double  
 128 sequence  $\{x_{jk}\}$  in  $X$ .

129 Now, we discuss some basic properties of rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistically convergence of double  
 130 sequences.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  be a double sequence and  $r \geq 0$ . Then,  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \leq 2r$ . In particular if  $x$  is  
 rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistically convergent to  $\xi$ , then

$$\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r = \overline{B_r(\xi)},$$

where  $\overline{B_r(\xi)} = \{y \in X : \|y - \xi\| \leq r\}$  and so

$$\text{diam}(\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r) = 2r.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\text{diam}(\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r) > 2r$ . Then, there exist  $y, z \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r$  such that  $\|y - z\| > 2r$ . Now, we select  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $\varepsilon < \frac{\|y-z\|}{2} - r$ . Let

$$A = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - z\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A \cup B\}| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A\}| + \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in B\}|, \end{aligned}$$

and so by the property of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_2\text{-}\lim_{u,s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A \cup B\}| \\ & \leq \mathcal{I}_2\text{-}\lim_{u,s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A\}| + \mathcal{I}_2\text{-}\lim_{u,s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in B\}| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A \cup B\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$$

for all  $\delta > 0$ . Let

$$H = \left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A \cup B\}| \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Clearly  $H \in \mathcal{I}_2$ , so choose  $(u_0, s_0) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus H$ . Then,

$$\frac{1}{h_{u_0 s_0}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A \cup B\}| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

So, we have

$$\frac{1}{h_{u_0 s_0}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \notin A \cup B\}| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2},$$

131 i.e.,  $\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \notin A \cup B\}$  is a nonempty set.

Take  $(j_0, k_0) \in J_{us}$  such that  $(j_0, k_0) \notin A \cup B$ . Then,  $(j_0, k_0) \in A^c \cap B^c$  and so  $\|x_{j_0 k_0} - y\| < r + \varepsilon$  and  $\|x_{j_0 k_0} - z\| < r + \varepsilon$ . Hence, we have

$$\|y - z\| \leq \|x_{j_0 k_0} - y\| + \|x_{j_0 k_0} - z\| \leq 2(r + \varepsilon) \leq \|y - z\|,$$

132 which is absurd. Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r \leq 2r$ .

If  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r = \xi$ , then we proceed as follows. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  be given. Then,

$$A = \left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Then, for  $(u, s) \notin A$  we have

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| < \delta,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \xi\| < \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq 1 - \delta. \quad (1)$$

Now, for each  $y \in \overline{B_r(\zeta)}$  we have

$$\|x_{jk} - y\| \leq \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| + \|\zeta - y\| \leq \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| + r. \quad (2)$$

Let

$$B_{us} = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then, for  $(j, k) \in B_{us}$  we have  $\|x_{jk} - y\| < r + \varepsilon$ . Hence, we have

$$B_{us} = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| < r + \varepsilon \right\}.$$

This implies

$$\frac{|B_{us}|}{h_{us}} \leq \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| < r + \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| < r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Thus, for all  $(j, k) \notin A$ ,

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| < 1 - (1 - \delta)$$

and so we have

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \subset A.$$

Since  $A \in \mathcal{I}_2$  then,

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

133 This shows that  $y \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}'_x$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}'_x \supset \overline{B_r(\zeta)}$ .

Conversely, let  $y \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}'_x$ ,  $\|y - \zeta\| > r$  and  $\varepsilon = \frac{\|y - \zeta\| - r}{2}$ . Now, we take

$$M_1 = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \text{ and } M_2 = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in M_1 \cup M_2\}| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in M_1\}| + \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in M_2\}|, \end{aligned}$$

134 and by the property of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2\text{-}\lim_{u, s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in M_1 \cup M_2\}| &= \mathcal{I}_2\text{-}\lim_{u, s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in M_1\}| \\ &+ \mathcal{I}_2\text{-}\lim_{u, s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in M_2\}| \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we let

$$M = \left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) : (j, k) \in M_1 \cup M_2\}| \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Clearly  $M \in \mathcal{I}_2$  and we choose  $(u_0, s_0) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M$ . Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{h_{u_0 s_0}} |\{(j, k) : (j, k) \in M_1 \cup M_2\}| < \frac{1}{2},$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{h_{u_0 s_0}} |\{(j, k) : (j, k) \notin M_1 \cup M_2\}| \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2},$$

i.e.,  $\{(j, k) : (j, k) \notin M_1 \cup M_2\}$  is a nonempty set. Let  $(j_0, k_0) \in J_{us}$  such that  $(j_0, k_0) \notin M_1 \cup M_2$ . Then,  $(j_0, k_0) \in M_1^c \cap M_2^c$  and hence  $\|x_{j_0 k_0} - y\| < r + \varepsilon$  and  $\|x_{j_0 k_0} - \zeta\| < \varepsilon$ . So

$$\|y - \zeta\| \leq \|x_{j_0 k_0} - y\| + \|x_{j_0 k_0} - \zeta\| \leq r + 2\varepsilon \leq \|y - \zeta\|$$

which is absurd. Therefore,  $\|y - \zeta\| \leq r$  and so  $y \in \overline{B_r(\zeta)}$ . Consequently, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r = \overline{B_r(\zeta)}.$$

135  $\square$

136 **Theorem 2.** Let  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  be a double sequence and  $r \geq 0$  be a real number. Then, rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary  
137 statistical limit set of the double sequence  $x$ , i.e., the set  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r$  is closed.

138 **Proof.** If  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r = \emptyset$ , then nothing to prove.

Let us assume that  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r \neq \emptyset$ . Now, consider a double sequence  $\{y_{jk}\}$  in  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r$  with  $\lim_{j, k \rightarrow \infty} y_{jk} = y$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Then, there exists  $i_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $j, k \geq i_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$

$$\|y_{jk} - y\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let  $j_0, k_0 > i_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$ . Then,  $y_{j_0 k_0} \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta_2} - st - LIM_x^r$ . Consequently, we have

$$A = \left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y_{j_0 k_0}\| \geq r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Clearly  $M = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus A$  is nonempty, choose  $(u, s) \in M$ . We have

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y_{j_0 k_0}\| \geq r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| < \delta$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y_{j_0 k_0}\| < r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \right| \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Put

$$B_{us} = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y_{j_0 k_0}\| < r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}$$

and select  $(j, k) \in B_{us}$ . Then, we have

$$\|x_{jk} - y\| \leq \|x_{jk} - y_{j_0 k_0}\| + \|y_{j_0 k_0} - y\| < r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = r + \varepsilon.$$

and so

$$B_{us} \subset \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| < r + \varepsilon \right\},$$



which implies

$$1 - \delta \leq \frac{|B_{us}|}{h_{us}} \leq \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| < r + \varepsilon \right\} \right|.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| < 1 - (1 - \delta) = \delta$$

and so we have

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \subset A \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

139 This shows that  $y \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$  is a closed set.  $\square$

140 **Theorem 3.** Let  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  be a double sequence and  $r \geq 0$  be a real number. Then, the rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary  
141 statistical limit set  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$  of the double sequence  $x$  is a convex set.

**Proof.** Let  $y_0, y_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Let

$$A_0 = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y_0\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \text{ and } A_1 = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - y_1\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then by Theorem 1, for  $\delta > 0$  we have

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A_0 \cup A_1\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Now, we choose  $0 < \delta_1 < 1$  such that  $0 < 1 - \delta_1 < \delta$  and let

$$A = \left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A_0 \cup A_1\}| \geq 1 - \delta_1 \right\}.$$

Then,  $A \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . For all  $(u, s) \notin A$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A_0 \cup A_1\}| < 1 - \delta_1$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \notin A_0 \cup A_1\}| \geq \{1 - (1 - \delta_1)\} = \delta_1.$$

Therefore,  $\{(j, k) : (j, k) \notin A_0 \cup A_1\}$  is a nonempty set. Let us take  $(j_0, k_0) \in A_0^c \cap A_1^c$  and  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x_{j_0 k_0} - [(1 - \mu)y_0 + \mu y_1] \right\| &= \left\| (1 - \mu)x_{j_0 k_0} + \mu x_{j_0 k_0} - [(1 - \mu)y_0 + \mu y_1] \right\| \\ &\leq (1 - \mu) \left\| x_{j_0 k_0} - y_0 \right\| + \mu \left\| x_{j_0 k_0} - y_1 \right\| \\ &< (1 - \mu)(r + \varepsilon) + \mu(r + \varepsilon) = r + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$M = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \left\| x_{jk} - [(1 - \mu)y_0 + \mu y_1] \right\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then clearly,  $A_0^c \cap A_1^c \subset M^c$ . So for  $(u, s) \notin A$ , we have

$$\delta_1 \leq \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \notin A_0 \cup A_1\}| \leq \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \notin M\}|$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in M\}| < 1 - \delta_1 < \delta.$$

Therefore,  $A^c \subset \{(u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in M\}| < \delta\}$ . Since  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ , then we have

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in M\}| < \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$$

and so

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) : (j, k) \in M\}| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

142 This completes the proof.  $\square$

143 **Theorem 4.** A double sequence  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  is rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical convergent to  $\zeta$  if and only if there  
144 exists a double sequence  $y = \{y_{jk}\}$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}$ -st- $y = \zeta$  and  $\|x_{jk} - y_{jk}\| \leq r$ , for all  $(j, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $y = \{y_{jk}\}$  be a double sequence in  $X$ , which is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistically convergent to  $\zeta$  and  $\|x_{jk} - y_{jk}\| \leq r$ , for all  $(j, k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$

$$A = \left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Let  $(u, s) \notin A$ . Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| < \delta \Rightarrow \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| < \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Now, we let

$$B_{us} = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then, for  $(j, k) \in B_{us}$ , we have

$$\|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \leq \|x_{jk} - y_{jk}\| + \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| < r + \varepsilon.$$

and so

$$B_{us} \subset \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| < r + \varepsilon \right\}$$

145

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|B_{us}|}{h_{us}} \leq \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| < r + \varepsilon \right\} \right|.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| < r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq 1 - \delta.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| < 1 - (1 - \delta) = \delta.$$

Thus, we have

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \subset A$$

and since  $A \in \mathcal{I}_2$ , so

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

146 Hence,  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-}y = \zeta$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-}y = \zeta$ . Then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$A = \left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Let  $(u, s) \notin A$ . Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| < \delta$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| < r + \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Let

$$B_{us} = \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| < r + \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Now, we define a double sequence  $y = \{y_{jk}\}$  as follows,

$$y_{jk} = \begin{cases} \zeta, & \text{if } \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \leq r, \\ x_{jk} + r \frac{\zeta - x_{jk}}{\|x_{jk} - \zeta\|}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \leq r, \\ \left\| x_{jk} - \zeta + r \frac{\zeta - x_{jk}}{\|x_{jk} - \zeta\|} \right\|, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \leq r, \\ \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| - r, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(j, k) \in B_{us}$ . Then, we have

$$\|y_{jk} - \zeta\| = 0, \text{ if } \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \leq r \text{ and } \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| < \varepsilon, \text{ if } r < \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| < r + \varepsilon$$

and so

$$B_{us} \subset \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

This implies

$$\frac{|B_{us}|}{h_{us}} \leq \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| < \varepsilon \right\} \right|.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| < \varepsilon \right\} \right| &\geq 1 - \delta \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|y_{jk} - \zeta\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| &< 1 - (1 - \delta) = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \zeta\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \subset A.$$

Since  $A \in \mathcal{I}_2$  then, we have

$$\left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \xi\| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

147 So,  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-}y = \xi$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.** A double sequence  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}$ -statistically bounded if there exists a positive number  $K$  such that for any  $\delta > 0$  the set

$$A = \left\{ (u, s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{us}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk}\| \geq K \right\} \right| \geq \delta \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

148 The next result provides a relationship between boundedness and rough  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}$ -statistical  
149 convergence of double sequences.

150 **Theorem 5.** If a double sequence  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  is bounded then there exists  $r \geq 0$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^r \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  be bounded double sequence. There exists a positive real number  $K$  such that  $\|x_{jk}\| < K$ , for all  $(j, k) \in J_{us}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then,

$$\left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - 0\| \geq K + \varepsilon \right\} = \emptyset.$$

151 Therefore,  $0 \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^K$  and so  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^K \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.** The converse of the above theorem is not true. For example, let us consider the double sequence  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$x_{jk} = \begin{cases} jk, & \text{if } j \text{ and } k \text{ are squares} \\ 5, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

152 Then,  $\mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^0 = \{5\} \neq \emptyset$  but the double sequence  $x$  is unbounded.

**Definition 4.** A point  $\lambda \in X$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical cluster point of a double sequence  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  in  $X$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$d_{\mathcal{I}_2} \left( \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \lambda\| < \varepsilon \right\} \right) \neq 0,$$

where

$$d_{\mathcal{I}_2}(A) = \mathcal{I}_2\text{-}\lim_{u,s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_{us}} |\{(j, k) \in J_{us} : (j, k) \in A\}|,$$

153 if exists. The set of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -lacunary statistical cluster point of  $x$  is denoted by  $\Lambda_x^{S_{\theta_2}}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ .

154 **Theorem 6.** For any arbitrary  $\alpha \in \Lambda_x^{S_{\theta_2}}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  of a double sequence  $x = \{x_{jk}\}$  we have  $\|\xi - \alpha\| \leq r$ , for all  
155  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$ .

156 **Proof.** Assume that there exists a point  $\alpha \in \Lambda_x^{S_{\theta_2}}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}\text{-st-LIM}_x^r$  such that  $\|\xi - \alpha\| > r$ . Let  
157  $\varepsilon = \frac{\|\xi - \alpha\| - r}{3}$ . Then,

$$\left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \xi\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \supset \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \alpha\| < \varepsilon \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Since  $\alpha \in \Lambda_x^{S_{\theta_2}}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  we have  $d_{\mathcal{I}_2} \left( \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \alpha\| < \varepsilon \right\} \right) \neq 0$ . Hence by (6) we have

$$d_{\mathcal{I}_2} \left( \left\{ (j, k) \in J_{us} : \|x_{jk} - \alpha\| \geq r + \varepsilon \right\} \right) \neq 0,$$

158 which contradicts that  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}_{\theta_2}$ -st-LIM $_x^r$ . Hence,  $\|\xi - \alpha\| \leq r$ .  $\square$

159

- 160 1. B. Altay, F. Başar, *Some new spaces of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **309** (1) (2005), 70–90.
- 161 2. S. Aytar, *Rough statistical convergence*, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz, **29**(3-4): (2008), 291–303.
- 162 3. S. Aytar, *The rough limit set and the core of a real sequence*, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz, **29**(3-4): (2008),  
163 283–290.
- 164 4. C. Belen, M. Yıldırım, *On generalized statistical convergence of double sequences via ideals*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara,  
165 **58**(1) (2012), 11–20.
- 166 5. C. Çakan, B. Altay, *Statistically boundedness and statistical core of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **317**  
167 (2006) 690–697.
- 168 6. P. Das, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczyński, P. Malik,  *$\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -convergence of double sequences*, Math. Slovaca, **58** (5)  
169 (2008), 605–620.
- 170 7. P. Das, P. Malik, *On extremal  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points of double sequences*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **40** (2008), 91–102.
- 171 8. P. Das, E. Savaş, S.K. Ghosal, *On generalizations of certain summability methods using ideals*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24**  
172 (2011), 1509–1514.
- 173 9. K. Demirci,  *$\mathcal{I}$ -limit superior and limit inferior*, Math. Commun. **6** (2001), 165–172.
- 174 10. E. Dündar, C. Çakan, *Rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence*, Gulf Journal of Mathematics, **2**(1) (2014), 45–51.
- 175 11. E. Dündar, C. Çakan, *Rough convergence of double sequences*, Demonstratio Mathematica **47**(3) (2014), 638–651.
- 176 12. E. Dündar, *On rough  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence of double sequences*, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz. **37**(4) (2016),  
177 480–491.
- 178 13. H. Fast, *Sur la convergenc statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241–244.
- 179 14. J. A. Fridy, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis, **5** (1985), 301–313.
- 180 15. J. A. Fridy, C. Orhan, *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pacific J. Math. **160**(1) (1993), 43–51.
- 181 16. B. Hazarika, *Lacunary ideal convergence of multiple sequences*, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society,  
182 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joems.2014.07.002>.
- 183 17. P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát and W. Wilczyński,  *$\mathcal{I}$ -convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, **26**(2) (2000), 669–686.
- 184 18. P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Šalát and M. Slezia,  *$\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and extremal  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points*, Math. Slovaca,  
185 **55**(2005), 443–464.
- 186 19. P. Malik, M. Maity, *On rough statistical convergence of double sequences in normed linear spaces*, Afrika Matematika,  
187 **27** (2015), 141–148.
- 188 20. P. Malik and A. Ghosh, *Rough  $\mathcal{I}$ -statistical convergence of double sequences*, arXiv preprint (2017) 1703.03173v2.
- 189 21. H. I. Miller, *A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.  
190 **347** (1995), 1811–1819.
- 191 22. Mursaleen, O. H. H. Edely, *Statistical convergence of double sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **288** (2003) 223–231.
- 192 23. F. Nuray, W. H. Ruckle, *Generalized statistical convergence and convergence free spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **245**  
193 (2000), 513–527.
- 194 24. S.K. Pal, D. Chandra, S. Dutta, *Rough ideal convergence*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, **42**(6)  
195 (2013), 633–640.
- 196 25. H. X. Phu, *Rough convergence in normed linear spaces*, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz, **22**: (2001), 199–222.
- 197 26. H. X. Phu, *Rough continuity of linear operators*, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz, **23**: (2002), 139–146.
- 198 27. H. X. Phu, *Rough convergence in infinite dimensional normed spaces*, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz, **24**: (2003),  
199 285–301.
- 200 28. A. Pringsheim, *Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen*, Math. Ann. **53** (1900), 289–321.
- 201 29. T. Šalát, B. C. Tripathy and M. Ziman, *On  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence field*, Italian J. Pure & Appl. Math. **17** (2005), 45–54.
- 202 30. T. Šalát, *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca, **30** (1980), 139–150.
- 203 31. E. Savaş and P. A. Das generalized statistical convergence via ideals, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 826–830.

- 204 32. E. Savaş, R.F. Patterson, *Lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences*, Math. Commun. **10** (2005), 55–61.
- 205 33. E. Savaş, *On generalized double statistical convergence via ideals*, The Fifth Saudi Science Conference, 16-18 April,
- 206 2012.
- 207 34. I. J. Schoenberg, *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **66**
- 208 (1959), 361-375.
- 209 35. B.C. Tripathy, B. Hazarika and B. Choudhary, *Lacunary  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergent sequences*, Kyungpook Math. J., **52**(4)
- 210 (2012), 473–482.
- 211 36. S. Kumar, V. Kumar and S.S. Bhatia, *On ideal version of lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences*, Gen.
- 212 Math. Notes, **17**(1) (2013), 32-44.