

# **Stability of Amorphous Solid Dispersions – The Relative Impact of Solubility and Mobility from Molecular Dynamics Simulations — Supporting Information**

Michael Brunsteiner,<sup>†</sup> Johannes Khinast,<sup>†,‡</sup> and Amrit Paudel<sup>\*,†,‡</sup>

*Research Center Pharmaceutical Engineering, Graz, Austria, and University of Technology,  
Graz, Austria*

E-mail: amrit.paudel@rcpe.at

---

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed

<sup>†</sup>Research Center Pharmaceutical Engineering, Graz, Austria

<sup>‡</sup>University of Technology, Graz, Austria

# 1 Choice of Model Systems




Figure S1: Literature data<sup>1</sup> for eight different API molecules, each formulated with four different polymer types. ASD stabilities are given as amorphicity index (AI) values that are each an average from measurements at several different API loadings.

## 2 Convergence




Figure S2: Convergence of simulations of pure APIs. Non-bonded energies (top) and volume per molecule (bottom).




Figure S3: Convergence of simulations of pure APIs. Direct comparison of data for the two APIs. Non-bonded energies (left) and volume per molecule (right).




Figure S4: Convergence of simulations of pure polymers. Non-bonded energies (top) and volume per molecule (bottom).




Figure S5: Convergence of simulations of pure polymers. Direct comparison of data for the four polymer types. Non-bonded energies (left) and volume per molecule (right).




Figure S6: Convergence of simulations of blends of four polymer types with 25 w% FLA. Non-bonded energies (top) and volume per molecule (bottom).




Figure S7: Convergence of simulations of blends of four polymer types with 25 w% FLA. Direct comparison of data for the four polymer types. Non-bonded energies (left) and volume per molecule (right).




Figure S8: Convergence of simulations of blends of four polymer types with 25 w% PAC. Non-bonded energies (top) and volume per molecule (bottom).




Figure S9: Convergence of simulations of blends of four polymer types with 25 w% PAC. Direct comparison of data for the four polymer types. Non-bonded energies (left) and volume per molecule (right).




Figure S10: Convergence of simulations of blends of four polymer types with 40 w% FLA. Non-bonded energies (top) and volume per molecule (bottom).




Figure S11: Convergence of simulations of blends of four polymer types with 40 w% FLA. Direct comparison of data for the four polymer types. Non-bonded energies (left) and volume per molecule (right).




Figure S12: Convergence of simulations of blends of four polymer types with 40 w% PAC. Non-bonded energies (top) and volume per molecule (bottom).




Figure S13: Convergence of simulations of blends of four polymer types with 40 w% PAC. Direct comparison of data for the four polymer types. Non-bonded energies (left) and volume per molecule (right).






Figure S14: Convergence of API mobility calculations in blends of four polymer types with 40 w% PAC.




Figure S15: Convergence of API mobility calculations in blends of four polymer types with 40 w% PAC.

## References

- (1) Eerdenbrugh, B. V.; Taylor, L. Small Scale Screening to Determine the Ability of Different Polymers to Inhibit Drug Crystallization upon Rapid Solvent Evaporation. *Molecular Pharmaceutics* **2010**, *7*, 1328–1337.