The Effect of a Curved Non-Motorized treadmill on Running Gait Length, Imbalance and Step Angle
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ABSTRACT

Running on a non-motorized, curved-deck treadmill is thought to improve gait mechanics. It is not known, though, if the change in gait carries over to running on a motorized treadmill or level ground. To determine the effect of running on a curved non-motorized treadmill (CNT) on gait characteristics measured during a subsequent bout of running on a traditional motorized treadmill (TMT). Sixteen healthy college-aged participants, age (mean±SD) 20.4±1.6 years volunteered to have their gait analyzed while running on a TMT and CNT. After familiarization and warm-up on both treadmills, each subject completed five, 4-minute bouts of running alternating between traditional motorized and curved non-motorized treadmills: TMT-1, CNT-1, TMT-2, CNT-2, and TMT-3. Variables of interest included step length (m), stride length (m), imbalance score (%), and step angle (°) and were measured using Optogait gait analysis equipment. Differences in gait characteristics among TMT-1, TMT-2, and TMT-3 can be attributed to running on the CNT. The results show that running on a CNT resulted in significant changes in gait characteristics. These findings suggest that running on a CNT can significantly alter gait characteristics may result in improvements in running gait that persist to subsequent running on a TMT.
Introduction

Running has remained a popular exercise for decades, if not longer. In the United States of America alone, it is estimated that over 16 million people finished running races [1]. According to some experts, long-distance running was crucial in creating our current upright body form [2]. Humans are one of the few species who have mastered bipedal locomotion and their foot has evolved to be the basis for such a specialized gait [3]. The human foot alone comprises 26 bones, 33 joints and 19 muscles [3,4]. The bones are arranged to form a medial longitudinal arch which makes it ideal for its function of supporting the weight of the body and spreading the forces experienced during gait [3,5]. As mentioned by Altman and Davis [6] analysis of rear foot striking in a barefoot condition results in very high vertical ground reaction load rates. It has been suggested that the anatomy and small surface area of the heel is suited for the loads of walking, but not for attenuating the repeated impacts associated with running [6,7]. Even with running shoe evolution, approximately 75% of shod runner’s heel strike [6]. Interestingly, the percentage of runners reporting injury associated to running is at a similar value - up to 79% [7]. Forefoot striking while running takes greater advantage of the energy-storing capacity of the arches, which is observed by the increased vertical arch motion during load acceptance [6,8].

Humans began using treadmills as a mode of aerobic exercise in the 1960s. Since that time, treadmills have grown in popularity and sophistication. Treadmills allow users to walk, jog, and
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even run at a variety of speeds they choose. As technology improved, designers began creating treadmills able to simulate walking or running up or down hill by manipulating incline. These improvements in technology have led to the modern treadmill where users can now pick a predesigned workout programmed into the machine. These designed programs increase/decrease speed and incline at specified times throughout the exercise routine. Due to treadmill versatility, they have become one of the most widely used pieces of aerobic exercise equipment [9]. Motorized and non-motorized treadmills allow participants the convenience of training aerobically on a machine while staying in one place. Non-motorized treadmills have no motor and rely on the user’s energy to move the belt [9, 10]. Due to total manual operation, participants can instantly adjust their pace with a few explosive steps. One evolution of the non-motorized treadmill has been an arced platform. Manufactures believe that the arced design inspires the user to run with a more mechanically efficient gait. If this is an accurate belief, certain aspects of a runner’s gait such as foot strike pattern, stride length, step angle and imbalance may be retrained with the absence of advanced and costly laboratory equipment.

There has been much preoccupation with foot strike pattern and associated biomechanical variables on running economy [11-13]. Mechanical factors can be divided into forces (kinetics) and movement patterns (kinematics). A runner exhibiting abnormalities in either of these areas can experience excessive loading in their musculoskeletal system. Runners experiencing both excessive forces and abnormal movement patterns (gait) are likely to have an even greater risk for injury [14]. The idea of altering gait patterns using feedback is not novel. The earliest forms of feedback were limb load monitors placed within the shoe of a patient [15-18]. The aim of this type of feedback was to produce an equal load distribution between lower extremities during
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gait. Traditional gait retraining efforts occur on a motorized treadmill. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine if running on an arced non-motorized treadmill influences running gait.

Methods

Based upon an a priori power analysis with $\alpha=0.05$ and $\beta=0.20$, 16 volunteer participants were used in this research. Male and female recreationally trained athletes, between the ages of 18 and 60 years old, were solicited through advertisements. The participants had to be free of injury and capable of running for the required time-period designated in the study guidelines (20 minutes). Prior to inclusion, all subjects provided inform consent according to the institution review board of the University of South Carolina.

Participants had anthropomorphic measures (height, weight, age) recorded before engaging in any running effort. Once this preliminary data was collected, participants were given time to familiarize themselves with the two different types of treadmills. All participants had experience running on a traditional motorized treadmill. None of the participants had experience running on an arced non-motorized treadmill. Once the participants felt familiar enough with both treadmills a series of 4-minute running bouts were completed. The first bout was on a traditional treadmill, followed by the participant dismounting the traditional treadmill and immediately beginning a 4-minute bought on the arced non-motorized treadmill. Following this bout of running the participant moved to the non-motorized treadmill then back to the arced treadmill, ending with a final 4-minute bout on the traditional motorized treadmill. The
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speed in which the participants ran during each bout was self-selected based upon the speed in which they believed they could maintain on the arced non-motorized treadmill.

The variables of interest for this research included step length, stride length, imbalance and stride angle.

- Stride length is defined as the distance between the tip of two subsequent footprints of the same foot or the distance between the heel of two subsequent footprints of the same foot.
- Stride angle is defined as the angle of the parable tangent deriving from the movement of a stride (L=stride length, h=height to which the foot is risen).
- Step length is the distance between the tip (toe) of two subsequent feet or the distance between the heel of two subsequent feet.
- Imbalance is an indicator of running 'asymmetry' between the right and the left legs.

The difference between ideal and real time, the relation between the difference and the ideal time (expressed in %) can be defined as imbalance.

The Optogait photoelectric cell system (Microgate, Bolzano, Italy) was used to measure gait variables (step length, stride length and step angle). The Optogait system consists of two parallel bars (a transmitter unit and a receiver). The bars were placed on either side of the traditional motorized treadmill, approximately 70 centimeters apart and parallel to each other. The Optogait system was connected (via USB) to a personal computer (Lenovo, model T 530).
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Optogait software (software version V1.10.7.0) was used for quantification of all gait measurements.

Imbalance was measured using a Gyko inertial measurement tool. The Gyko inertial sensor system (dimensions: 50 × 70 × 20 mm, mass: 35 g; Microgate, Bolzano, Italy) contains three-dimensional accelerometer, gyroscope, and magnetometer, which allows recordings (full scale range: 8 g) at a sampling frequency of 500 Hz. The Gyko system was perpendicularly attached to an elastic belt provided with the system. The Gyko system was fixed on the mid-scapular level on the back of the body (between the shoulder blades), as indicated by the manufacturer (http://www.gyko.it/en). During assessment, accelerometer and gyroscope signals are transferred via blue tooth to a personal computer and stored using the proprietary software (GykoRePower Software).

Treadmills used in this research effort included a traditional motorized treadmill and an arced non-motorized treadmill. The traditional motorized treadmill used in this collect was a GE 2000 Series clinical grade treadmill set at a grade of 0 degrees. The arced non-motorized treadmill used in this research was a TrueForm Runner Enduro model (True Form runner, Chester, CT USA).

Analysis of variance were conducted to determine if a statistically significant difference existed between the variables of interest and the respective bouts of running on the traditional...
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motorized treadmill. All calculations were performed using SPSS (Version 24) with an a priori level of significance set at $p \leq 0.05$.

Results

Table 1 Physical characteristics of female and male participants (Mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Pooled (N=16)</th>
<th>Female (n=10)</th>
<th>Male (n=6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>20.46±1.69</td>
<td>20.06±1.78</td>
<td>21.01±1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>172.33±7.17</td>
<td>167.28±5.17</td>
<td>178.22±3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass (kg)</td>
<td>69.08±11.14</td>
<td>64.93±9.38</td>
<td>75.99±11.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 indicates the mean and standard deviation of the variables of interest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TMT-1</th>
<th>TMT-2</th>
<th>TMT-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step length (m)</td>
<td>0.76±0.08</td>
<td>0.75±0.11</td>
<td>0.68±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stride length (m)</td>
<td>1.89±0.55</td>
<td>1.65±0.19</td>
<td>1.52±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imbalance (°)</td>
<td>-1.37±2.65</td>
<td>-0.36±2.13</td>
<td>-1.13±1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stride angle (°)</td>
<td>2.55±4.39</td>
<td>3.23±0.83</td>
<td>3.87±0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values expressed as mean±SD

Table 3 indicates the statistical difference between bouts.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TMT-1 vs TMT-2</th>
<th>TMT-1 vs TMT-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step length (m)</td>
<td>( p = 0.000^* )</td>
<td>( p = 0.000^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stride length (m)</td>
<td>( p = 0.000^* )</td>
<td>( p = 0.000^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imbalance (°)</td>
<td>( p = 0.000^* )</td>
<td>( p = 0.000^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stride angle (°)</td>
<td>( p = 0.009 )</td>
<td>( p = 0.000^* )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

The aim of this study was to determine if running on an arced non-motorized treadmill significantly influenced running gait. Results revealed that short (4-minute) bouts of running on an arced non-motorized treadmill can significantly influence stride length, step length, imbalance and stride angle when compared to running on a flat traditional motorized treadmill. There were statistically significant differences in three of the four and all four of the variables of interest when comparing the results of trial 1 to trial 2 and trial 1 to trial 3, respectively.

Research has indicated that a mid/forefoot strike pattern with a stride angle of less than 4 degrees correlates to a better running economy\(^{19}\). Results from this research show that 4-minute bouts of running on an arced non-motorized treadmill influence stride angle in a statistically significant manner. The mean trend for stride angle as a result of running on an arced non-motorized treadmill is indicative of better running economy.
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A reduction in stride length, although it would appear smaller in trained runners, may be advantageous as it has been shown to reduce impact peaks \([21-23]\) and loading rates \([20,23]\) experienced by runners. A shorter stride length means the heel is located more underneath the center of mass (COM) which reduces the amount of hip and knee flexion required \([25]\). A more efficient running gait pattern leads to a reduction in stride length by 6 – 8% in inexperienced runners and those with a long history of running \([20-24]\). Schubert \([25]\) indicated that increased stride rate (decreased stride length) affects impact peak, kinematics, and kinetics and therefore may be considered as a mechanism with which to influence injury risk and recovery of a runner. Specifically, similarities are seen across all studies, with decreased center of mass vertical excursion, ground reaction force, impact shock and attenuation, and energy absorbed at the hip, knee, and ankle as step rate is increased or step length is decreased at a constant speed \([25]\).

**Conclusion**

Analysis of imbalance resulting from running on an arced non-motorized treadmill yielded statistical significance as well. A lack of symmetry, that is relative differences in muscle strength, motion, flexibility, balance, and mechanics between sides of the body, is one element often highlighted as a risk factor for injury. The Imbalance measure used in this research is an indicator of running 'asymmetry' between the right and the left foot. A more symmetric running gait would indicate a more balanced athlete, thus a more efficient athlete \([26]\).
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Future research may consider examining the translation of arced non-motorized gait retraining to real-world gait patterns. Additional research may include the length of effect gait retraining from the use of arced non-motorized treadmills may have on running gait pattern.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the study participants and TrueFormRunner for their generosity of time and knowledge.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

   http://www.runningusa.org/statistics


Curved treadmill and Gait


Curved treadmill and Gait


