

1 *Brief Report*

2 **Piloting a Developmental Screening Tool Adapted for** 3 **East African Children**

4 **Mollika A. Sajady**¹, **Christopher J. Mehus**², **Emily C. Moody**³, **Ericka G. Jaramillo**⁴, **Ezekiel**
5 **Mupere**⁵, **Andrew J. Barnes**⁶, and **Sarah E. Cusick**⁷

6 ¹ Developmental-Behavioral Pediatrics, Division of General Pediatrics and Adolescent Health, Department of
7 Pediatrics, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA; saja0007@umn.edu

8 ² Division of General Pediatrics and Adolescent Health, Department of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota
9 Medical School, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA; cjmehus@umn.edu

10 ³ Environmental Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA;
11 emily.moody@mssm.edu

12 ⁴ Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA; ericka.jaramillo@icahn.mssm.edu

13 ⁵ Paediatrics and Child Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; mupez@yahoo.com

14 ⁶ Developmental-Behavioral Pediatrics, Division of General Pediatrics and Adolescent Health, Department of
15 Pediatrics, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA; drbarnes@umn.edu

16 ⁷ Department of Pediatrics, Division of Global Pediatrics, University of Minnesota Medical School,
17 Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA; scusick@umn.edu

18 * Correspondence: saja0007@umn.edu; Tel.: +1-612-624-1167

19
20

21 **Abstract:** There is a need for developmental screening that is easily administered in resource-poor
22 settings. 1) We hypothesized that known risk factors would predict failed developmental screening
23 on an adapted screening tool in East African children living in poverty. 2) The sample included 100
24 healthy Ugandan children aged 6-59 months. We adapted a parent-reported developmental
25 screener based on the Child Development Review chart. The primary outcome was failure to meet
26 age-appropriate milestones for any developmental domain. Venous blood was analyzed for lead,
27 and caregivers completed a demographics questionnaire. We used multivariate logistic regression
28 models to determine if elevated blood lead and stunting predicted failure on the screener,
29 controlling for maternal education level, age in months past the lower bound of the child's
30 developmental age group, and absence of home electricity. 3) In the sample, 14% ($n=14$) of children
31 failed one or more milestones on the screener. Lead levels or stunting did not predict failing the
32 screener after controlling for covariates. 4) Though this tool was feasibly administered, it did not
33 demonstrate preliminary construct validity and is not yet recommended for screening in high-risk
34 populations. Future research should include a larger sample size and cognitive interviews to
35 ensure it is contextually relevant.

36 **Keywords:** development, milestones, screening; poverty; stunting; lead exposure; developmental
37 risk; child health; global health; pediatrics.

38

39 **1. Introduction**

40 Worldwide it is estimated that 200 million children under 5 years of age do not reach their
41 developmental potential annually[1]. Nearly 80% of children with disabilities live in low- and
42 middle-income countries[2, 3]. Known risk factors that are associated with poor developmental
43 outcomes include nutritional stunting, inadequate cognitive stimulation, and lead exposure[4].
44 Furthermore, previous studies in Africa reveal that delayed achievement of developmental
45 milestones can be predicted by identifying stunting in high risk populations[5-7].

46 Children who experience poor nutrition early in life are more likely to have growth
47 stunting[8-9]. In turn, stunting in children is also known to cause persistent cognitive deficits[10].
48 The burden of nutritional deficiencies also falls heavily on low and middle-income regions; as
49 approximately 90% of individuals with undernutrition live in developing countries[11]. In Uganda,
50 it is estimated that undernutrition contributes to 40% of the child mortality rate for those 5 years of
51 age and younger[12].

52 The association of environmental lead exposure and poor neurocognitive outcomes is also well
53 established[13]. Even chronic, low-level lead exposure can lead to lower IQ scores, deficits in
54 attention, and slowed growth in children[14]. The highest risks of lead exposure in Africa include
55 deteriorated house paint, leaded gasoline, mining operations, polluted waters, contaminated foods,
56 and cosmetics[15]. Young children are particularly vulnerable to the neurotoxic effects of lead due to
57 the higher frequency of hand-to-mouth behaviors and rapid changes in brain development[16]. One
58 study in Kampala, Uganda found that approximately 20 percent of 4- to 8-year-old school children
59 have blood lead levels above 7.15 ug/dL[17].

60 Child development screening is limited or non-existent in low- and middle-income
61 countries[18]. In these countries, there has been a marked decline in child mortality in recent years,
62 but the prevalence of children living with neurodevelopmental disabilities continues to rise[18].
63 Children in East Africa do not routinely receive pediatric well care visits and most children with
64 developmental disabilities are not identified until school age[19,20]. Some comprehensive
65 developmental measures, such as the Mullen or the Bayley Scales of Development, have previously
66 been utilized in high-risk global populations, but these can be time-intensive and require additional
67 training to administer[21, 22].

68 It is uncertain whether developmental screening tools standardized in Western industrialized
69 nations are valid across cultures. Western developmental milestone tools adapted for use in African
70 settings tend to be more reliable for gross motor items, compared to social and language
71 development[23]. To date, no studies have been conducted on adapted developmental screening
72 instruments that are easily administered in clinical or community settings and are appropriate for
73 high-risk populations in East Africa. To begin to answer these questions, we implemented an
74 adapted developmental screening tool to determine if known neurodevelopmental risk factors,
75 specifically lead exposure and undernutrition as assessed by nutritional stunting, are associated with
76 delayed developmental milestones. We piloted the screening tool as part of a larger survey on
77 environmental heavy metal exposure among children living in the Katanga urban settlement[24].
78 We hypothesized that elevated blood lead levels and growth stunting would be positively
79 associated with delayed developmental outcomes for chronological age.

80 **2. Materials and Methods**

81 *2.1. Study Design and Participants*

82 As previously described[24], we recently conducted a cross-sectional study of blood levels of
83 heavy metals in 100 children 6-59 months living in the Katanga urban settlement of Kampala.
84 Briefly, we mapped the Katanga area and enrolled 100 children 6 – 59 months old. Inclusion criteria
85 were: age 6 to 59 months, permanent resident of the Katanga settlement, and child and caretaker
86 willing to come to Mulago Hospital on the same day for a physical exam, blood draw, and
87 environmental questionnaire. Exclusion criteria included any child found to need urgent medical
88 attention and the caretaker not being able to complete questionnaires in English or the local
89 language, Luganda. Any child needing urgent medical attention was excluded from the study and
90 immediately transported to Mulago Hospital for further care.

91 With the assistance of the study coordinators, each child and their caregiver were guided to
92 Mulago Hospital where informed consent was obtained, a venous blood sample was collected, and a
93 medical history form was completed that included the adapted developmental screening tool.

94 Blood lead was measured in whole blood samples at the Senator Frank R. Lautenberg
95 Environmental Health Sciences Trace Metal Laboratory at the Mount Sinai School of Medicine by
96 LC-tandem mass spectrometry.

97 2.2. Developmental Screening Tool

98 To assess the developmental status of the children in our sample, we created a brief chart for
99 age-related developmental milestones. The chart was adapted from the Child Development Review
100 chart by Harry Ireton, the Center for Disease Control milestones, and the Handicap International
101 Developmental Chart[25-27]. The screening tool was created by selecting one main skill to assess for
102 each developmental domain per age group that was most common across all of the resources. These
103 milestone questions were modeled in the format of the Child Development Review Chart. To ensure
104 that the language on the screener was culturally appropriate for the population, two Ugandan study
105 coordinators reviewed the tool. The adapted developmental screening tool included eight age
106 groups (6 months, 9 months, 12 months, 18 months, 24 months, 36 months, 48 months, and 60
107 months). The final tool comprised six different developmental domains: gross motor, fine motor,
108 language, social skills, vision and hearing, and self-care. For each age group and developmental
109 milestone, the chart listed yes or no options for the parents to answer regarding their child's current
110 developmental capabilities (**Figure 1**). The study coordinators read each column of developmental
111 milestones out loud to the caregivers until the caregiver reported that the child was not able to
112 complete the milestone for a particular age. The child's developmental age for each column was
113 recorded by using the highest age for which the parent reported, "yes", in each category. The
114 chronological age of the child was then compared to the developmental age attained for each
115 domain to determine the presence or absence of delay. This measure was dichotomized [pass = 0, fail
116 = 1).

117 2.3. Ethical Considerations

118 Caregivers of all participants provided written informed consent. The study protocol was approved
119 by the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Minnesota, the Research Ethics Committee of
120 the Makerere University School of Biomedical Sciences, and the Uganda National Council of Science
121 and Technology.

122 2.4. Statistical Analysis

123 We first conducted descriptive and bivariate analyses. We then constructed multivariate logistic
124 regression models to determine if known factors associated with child development—specifically,
125 blood lead levels and growth stunting—predicted failure on the developmental screening tool.
126 Venous blood lead was measured as a continuous variable. For reference, elevated blood lead was
127 defined as $> 5 \mu\text{g/dL}$. Growth stunting was defined as height-for-age Z score of less than 2 standard
128 deviations of the reference mean (Epi Info version 3.5.3; Centers for Disease Control and
129 Prevention)[28]. Failure on the developmental screening tool was defined as obtaining a
130 developmental milestone for any domain at a younger age group compared to chronological age.
131 Separate models were constructed for blood lead and growth stunting. In each, we controlled for
132 maternal education level, age in months past the lower bound of the child's chronological age group
133 on the screener, and absence of home electricity as a proxy for economic status. Three children with
134 missing data on predictor variables were excluded from the logistic regression analysis. Each of
135 these children passed the developmental screening tool.

136 3. Results

137 In this sample, 53 out of 100 children were male and the average age was slightly more than two
138 years (**Table 1**). A majority of the mothers completed primary school or lower, and slightly less than
139 half of children had no electricity in the home. A majority of the children had elevated blood lead
140 levels ($n = 63$) and slightly less than a quarter had growth stunting. Fourteen out of the 100 children
141 failed one or more age-equivalent developmental milestones on the screening tool. Complete
142
143

144 descriptive results are shown in **Table 1** and bivariate correlations are shown in **Table 2**. Notably,
 145 none of the correlations reached significance in this sample, including lead levels and stunting.
 146 Neither elevated lead levels nor stunting predicted failing the screener after controlling for
 147 covariates, as shown in **Table 3**.

148 *3.1. Figures and Tables*

<u>Age</u>	<u>Gross Motor</u>	<u>Fine Motor</u>	<u>Language</u>	<u>Social</u>	<u>Vision/Hearin g</u>	<u>Self Care</u>
6 month s	Begin to sit w/o support and roll? Y N	Brings objects to mouth? Y N	Repeats simple sounds (ah, ga)? Y N	Responds to sounds/gestu res? Y N	Enjoys bright or moving objects? Y N	Beginning to eat semi-solid foods? Y N
9 month s	Crawling? Y N	Start to pick things up w/thumb & index fingers? Y N	Understands no? Y N	Afraid of strangers? Y N	Looks for hidden or fallen objects? Y N	Transfers objects from one hand to the other? Y N
1 year	Stand and walk with some assistance? Y N	Can take things out of a container? Y N	Repeat single words (mama, dada)? Y N	Copies simple actions (waves bye)? Y N	Enjoys music? Y N	Drinks on own (cup)? Y N
18 month s	Can walk alone? Y N	Feeds self with spoon? Y N	Says several single words & can say no? Y N	Points to show others something interesting? Y N	Understands 1 step commands (sit down)? Y N	Can undress with help? Y N
2 years	Climbs on objects and starts to run? Y N	Stack objects? Y N	Uses 2-3 word sentences? Y N	Starts to play beside other children? Y N	Copies actions of adults and other children? Y N	Uses stairs holding on to the wall? Y N
3	Runs and Y N	Draws circle? Y N	Asks/answers Y N	Separates Y N	Sort objects Y N	Says first Y N

years	climbs easily? Y N	Y N	simple questions? Y N	from parents easily? Y N	and names most familiar things? Y N	name, age, and sex? Y N
4 years	Stands on one foot for up to 2 seconds? Y N	Can pour liquid and mash food? Y N	Sing songs and/or can state first and last name? Y N	Would rather play w/others than alone? Y N	Follows 2-3 directions at a time? Y N	Names some colors and numbers? Y N
5 years	Stands on one foot for 10 seconds or hops? Y N	Copies a triangle and other shapes? Y N	Can tell stories and describe things? Y N	Plays group games & wants to be like friends? Y N	Listens to explanations? Y N	Can use the toilet alone? Y N

149 **Figure 1. Developmental Screening Tool**150 *Sources: Ireton Child Development Chart, CDC Milestones, Handicap International Developmental Chart*151 *Y= Yes, N= No*

152

153 **Table 1. Sample Characteristics**

	Total
n	100
Male, n (%)	53 (53.0)
Failed one or more domain on screener, n (%)	14 (14.0)
Without electricity, n¹ (%)	42 (42.4)
Mean age, mos²	28.51 (15.1)
Mother's education level, n³ (%)	
None	9 (9.2)
Lower primary school	14 (14.3)
Upper primary school	42 (42.9)
Lower secondary school	26 (26.5)

Upper secondary school	
Tertiary school (college and above)	3 (3.1)
	4 (4.1)
WHO height-for-age Z-score < -2, n⁴ (%)	23 (23.5)
Blood lead > 5 µg/dL, n (%)	63 (63.0)
Blood lead, µg/dL²	6.1 (2.6)

154 ¹Without electricity n = 99, ²Mean (sd), ³Mother's education level n = 98, ⁴WHO height-for-age Z-score n = 98
155

Table 2. Correlations

		Age	Without electricity	Mother's education level	Months past lower bound of age category	Blood lead	WHO height-for-age Z-score < -2	Failed one or more developmental domain
Age	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed)	1						
Without electricity	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed)	-0.191	1					
		0.059						
Mother's education level	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed)	-0.155	0.151	1				
		0.127	0.141					
Months past lower bound of age	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed)	0.582	-0.123	-0.056	1			
		0.000**	0.225	0.582				

category

Blood lead	Pearson	0.308	-0.025	0.088	0.086	1	
	Correlation Sig. (2-tailed)	0.002**	0.807	0.386	0.396		
WHO height-for-age Z-score < -2	Pearson	-0.004	-0.040	-0.101	-0.045	-0.099	1
	Correlation Sig. (2-tailed)	0.966	0.696	0.327	0.663	0.331	
Failed one or more developmental domain	Pearson	-0.099	-0.106	0.071	0.137	-0.176	0.156
	Correlation Sig. (2-tailed)	0.328	0.295	0.489	0.175	0.80	0.124

156 ** $p < 0.05$

157

158 **Table 3. Logistic Regression Analyses to Predict Failing Developmental Milestones**

	Model 1			Model 2		
	B	SE B	OR (95% CI)	B	SE B	OR (95% CI)
Months past lower bound of age category	-0.16	0.12	0.86 (0.67-1.09)	-0.17	0.12	0.85 (0.67-1.07)
Mother's education level Without electricity	-0.35	0.29	0.70 (0.40-1.23)	-0.31	0.29	0.73 (0.42-1.29)
WHO height-for-age Z-score < -2	-0.86	0.66	0.42 (0.12-1.55)	-0.78	0.65	0.46 (0.13-1.65)
Blood lead				0.20	0.11	1.23 (0.99-1.53)

159

160 **4. Discussion**

161 We applied an adapted and easily administered developmental screening tool designed to
 162 identify developmental milestones of children living in the Katanga urban settlement in Kampala,
 163 Uganda. This screening tool identified 14% of children in the study as having potential
 164 developmental delays. Comparatively, in the United States, approximately 15% of children between
 165 the ages of 3 years and 17 years have a developmental disability [29]. Contrary to our hypotheses,
 166 children's developmental outcomes were not predicted by their blood lead levels or by
 167 height-for-age Z-score less than 2 standard deviations below the reference mean, which are two

168 known correlates of developmental delays. However, there may be other correlates associated with
169 delayed developmental milestones on this screening tool that have yet to be analyzed and the results
170 may vary with a larger sample size. Another implication of these findings is that this screening tool
171 may not have sufficient sensitivity and specificity to accurately detect true developmental delays in
172 this population. Possible explanations for this include cultural and linguistic discrepancies between
173 the screener and its target population.

174 We adapted the tool from widely used developmental screeners in the United States, including
175 the Child Development Inventory (CDI). Similar tools have previously been adapted for use in East
176 Africa as a low cost option for developmental screening, however these are typically
177 time-consuming and require significant cultural modifications related to wording[30]. Our results
178 also show that there are potential limitations of using developmental tools from wealthy
179 Westernized nations in low- and middle-income countries. The populations of low- and
180 middle-income countries may have lower parental education and health literacy, as well as
181 differences in family structure. For instance, in East Africa, where extended families often live in
182 shared homes with other families, there tends to be more emphasis on social and emotional security
183 than structured cognitive activities, and young children often spend more time interacting with
184 older children than with adults[30]. Given these unique social contexts, developmental screening
185 tools created for Western cultures, may not capture normative development patterns specific to East
186 Africa.

187 Limitations of this study included the small sample size, with possible limited generalizability
188 to those living in other urban slums in East Africa; reliance on caregiver-reported milestones and no
189 requirement for primary caregiver participation in the study; the fact that we did not collect a
190 concurrent gold-standard measure of child development with which to determine criterion validity
191 of the screener; the lack of cognitive interviews with study participants to ensure the screeners'
192 contextual and cultural relevance; and the lack of inter-rater reliability for the study coordinators
193 who administered the screener. Future studies of developing screening in this population should
194 also correlate findings of the developmental screening tool with additional measures of
195 neurodevelopmental risk factors.

196 To meet the need for accurate, brief, culturally appropriate methods to universally screen
197 children's development in high-risk global populations, more culturally flexible, validated screening
198 tools are needed. These efforts should be paired with a focus on promoting therapeutic interventions
199 for East African children. Addressing undernutrition, maximizing cognitive stimulation, and
200 establishing community-based therapy efforts are effective, low cost strategies that are likely to
201 enhance child development[31]. These efforts could be initiated by maximizing existing resources
202 and networks, such as educating parents and community health workers on how to promote
203 improved developmental outcomes for all children.

204 **Acknowledgments:** This study was funded by a University of Minnesota School of Medicine Innovation
205 Award (to SEC) and by the Doris Duke International Clinical Research fellowship (to EGJ). A grant
206 (#2-T73MC12835-03-00) from the Maternal & Child Health Bureau (MCHB) of the US Department of Health
207 and Human Services awarded to the University of Minnesota also supported the research contributions of MAS
208 and AJB.

209

210 **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, MAS, AJB, SEC; Methodology, MAS, AJB., SEC, CJM; Formal
211 Analysis, CJM, SEC. Investigation, SEC. ECM, EM, EGJ; Resources, SEC.; Data Curation, SEC. EM, EGJ;
212 Writing – Original Draft Preparation, MAS. Writing – Review & Editing, All authors. Supervision, AJB, SEC;
213 Project Administration, SEC; Funding Acquisition, SEC, ECM, EGJ, EM.

214

215 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

216 **References**

- 217 1. Grantham-Mcgregor S., Cheung Y.B., Cueto S., Glewwe, P., Richter, L., Strupp, B. International Child
218 Developmental Steering Group. Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing
219 countries. *Lancet* **2007**, 369, 60–70. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60032-4.
- 220 2. UNICEF. The state of the world's children 2013: children with disabilities. Available online:
221 https://www.unicef.org/publications/index_69379.html (accessed on 25 May 2018).
- 222 3. World Health Organization. The world report on disability. *Disabil Soc.* **2011**, 26(5), 655–8,
223 DOI:10.1080/09687599.2011.589198.
- 224 4. Engle P.L., Black, M.M., Behrman, J.R., Cabral de Mello, M., Gertler, P.J., Kapiriri, L., Martorell, R.,
225 Eming-Young, M., International Child Development Steering Group. Strategies to avoid the loss of
226 developmental potential in more than 200 million children in the developing world. *Lancet* **2007**, 369(3),
227 229–42, DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60112-3.
- 228 5. Koura, K.G., Boivin, M.J., Davidson, L.L., Ouédraogo, S., Zoumenou, R., Alao, M.J., Garcia, A.,
229 Massougbojji, A., Cot, M., Bodeau-Livinec, F. Usefulness of child development assessments for
230 low-resource settings in francophone Africa. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.* **2013**, 34(7), 486–93,
231 DOI:10.1097/DBP.0b013e31829d211c.
- 232 6. Abubakar, A., Holding, P., Van de Vijver, F.J.R., Newton, C., Van Baar A. J. Children at risk for
233 developmental delay can be recognized by stunting, being underweight, ill health, little maternal
234 schooling or high gravidty. *Child Psychol Psychiatry* **2010**, 51(6), 652–9, DOI:
235 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009.02193.x.
- 236 7. Hanlon, C., Medhin, G., Worku, B., Tomlinson, M., Alem, A., Dewey, M., Prince, M. Adapting the Bayley
237 Scales of infant and toddler development in Ethiopia: evaluation of reliability and validity. *Child Care*
238 *Health Dev.* **2016**, 42(5), 699–708. DOI:10.1111/cch.12371.
- 239 8. World Health Organization, The United Nations International Children's Fund, The World Bank. Level
240 and trends in child malnutrition. Available online:
241 http://www.who.int/nutgrowthdb/jme_brochure2017.pdf?ua=1 (accessed on 29 May 2018).
- 242 9. Akombi, B.J., Agho, K.E., Hall, J.J., Wali, N., Renzaho, A.M.N., Merom, D. Stunting, wasting and
243 underweight in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* **2017**, 14(8), 1–18,
244 DOI:10.3390/ijerph14080863.
- 245 10. Casale, D., Desmond, C. Recovery from stunting and cognitive outcomes in young children: evidence
246 from the South African Birth to Twenty Cohort Study. *J Dev Orig Health Dis.* **2015**, 7(2), 163–71,
247 DOI:10.1017/S2040174415007175.
- 248 11. Liu, J., Hanlon, A., Ma, C., Zhao, S.R., Cao, S., Compher, C. Low blood zinc, iron, and other
249 sociodemographic factors associated with behavior problems in preschoolers. *Nutrients* **2014**, 6(2), 530–45,
250 DOI:10.3390/nu6020530.
- 251 12. Tidemann-Andersen, I., Acham, H., Maage, A., Malde, M.K. Iron and zinc content of selected foods in the
252 diet of schoolchildren in Kumi district, east of Uganda: a cross-sectional study. *Nutr J.* **2011**, 10(1), 81,
253 DOI:10.1093/tropej/43.4.192-a.
- 254 13. Ruiz, J.D.C., Quackenboss, J.J., Tulve, N.S. Contributions of a child's built, natural, and social
255 environments to their general cognitive ability: a systematic scoping review. *PLoS One*, **2016**, 11(2), 1–44,
256 DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0147741.
- 257 14. Needleman, H.L., Landrigan, P.J.. What level of lead in blood is toxic for a child? *Am J Public Health* **2004**,
258 94(1), 8, DOI: 10.2105/AJPH.94.1.8.
- 259 15. Nriagu, J.O., Blankson, M.L., Ocran, K. Childhood lead poisoning in Africa: a growing public health
260 problem. *Sci Total Environ.* **1996**, 181(2), 93–100, DOI:10.1016/0048-9697(95)04954-1.
- 261 16. Landrigan, P.J., Kimmel, C.A., Correa, A., Eskenazi, B. Children's health and the environment: public
262 health issues and challenges for risk assessment. *Environ Health Perspect.* **2004**, 112(2), 257–65,
263 DOI:10.1289/ehp.6115.
- 264 17. Graber, L.K., Asher, D., Anandaraja, N., Bopp, R.F., Merrill, K., Cullen, M.R., Luboga, S., Trasande, L.
265 Childhood lead exposure after the phaseout of leaded gasoline: An ecological study of school-age children
266 in Kampala, Uganda. *Environ Health Perspect.* **2010**, 110(6), 884–89, DOI:10.1289/ehp.0901768.
- 267 18. Scherzer, A.L. Experience in Cambodia with the use of a culturally relevant developmental milestone
268 chart for children in low- and middle-income countries. *J Policy Pract Intellect Disabil.* **2009**, 6(4), 287–92,
269 DOI:10.1111/j.1741-1130.2009.00234.x.

- 270 19. Bilal, S.M., Moser, A., Blanco, R., Spigt, M., Dinant, G.J. Practices and challenges of growth monitoring and
271 promotion in Ethiopia: a qualitative study. *J Heal Popul Nutr.* **2014**, 32(3), 441–51.
- 272 20. World Health Organization. Early Childhood Development and Disability. 2012. Available online:
273 <http://content.apa.org/reviews/025945> (accessed 23 April 2018).
- 274 21. Mullen, E.M. Mullen Scales of Early Learning. AGS ed.; American Guidance Service: Circle Pines,
275 Minnesota, USA. 1995.
- 276 22. Bayley, N. Bayley Scales of Infant Development Manual, 3rd ed.; Psychcorp: San Antonio, Texas, USA.
277 2006.
- 278 23. Gladstone, M.J., Lancaster, G.A., Jones, A.P., Maleta, K., Mtitimila, E., Ashorn, P., Smyth, R.L. Can Western
279 developmental screening tools be modified for use in a rural Malawian setting? *Arch Dis Child* **2008**, 93(1),
280 23–9, DOI:10.1136/adc.2006.095471
- 281 24. Cusick, S., Jaramillo, E.G., Moody, E.C., Ssemata, A.S., Bitwayi, D., Lund, T.C., Mupere, E. Assessment of
282 blood levels of heavy metals including lead and manganese in healthy children living in the Katanga
283 settlement of Kampala, Uganda. *BMC Public Health*. In press.
- 284 25. Ireton, Harry. Child Development Chart-First Five Years. Behavior Science Systems: Minneapolis,
285 Minnesota, USA. 1994.
- 286 26. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC Milestones. Available online:
287 <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/actearly/milestones/index.html>. Accessed 3 April 2015.
- 288 27. Handicap International. Child Development Milestones. Available online:
289 http://www.hi-us.org/disability_rights (accessed on 3 April 2015).
- 290 28. Kuczmarks, R.J., Ogden, C.L., Guo, S.S., Grummer-Strawn, L.M., Felgal, K.M., Mei, Z., Wei, R., Curtin,
291 L.R., Roche, A.F., Johnson, C.L. 2000 CDC Growth Charts for the United States: methods and
292 development. *Vital Health Stat* **11**, **2002**, 1-190.
- 293 29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Facts about developmental disabilities. Available online:
294 <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/developmentaldisabilities/facts.html> (accessed on 15 May 2018).
- 295 30. Ireton H. Child Development Inventory Manual. 1992, pg 1-50. Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA.
- 296 31. Ertem, I.O., Dogan, D.G., Gok, C.G., Kizilates, S.U., Caliskan, A., Atay, G., Vatandas, N., Karaasian, T.,
297 Baskan, S.G., Cicchetti, D.V. A Guide for monitoring child development in low- and middle-income
298 countries. *Pediatrics* **2008**, 121(3), 581–9, DOI:10.1542/peds.2007-1771.
- 299