# In vitro seedlings of Eustoma grandiflorum in response to LED light in an acclimation environment

# 4 Md Zohurul Kadir Roni<sup>1\*</sup>, Md Saiful Islam<sup>1</sup>, Kazuhiko Shimasaki<sup>2</sup>

5 1\* The United Graduate School of Agricultural Sciences, Ehime University, 3-5-7 Tarumi, Matsuyama,

6 Ehime, 790-8566, Japan; saiful1236@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> The Faculty of Agriculture and Marine Science, Kochi University, Monobe Otsu 200, Nankoku-shi, Kochi,
 783-8502, Japan; shim@kochi-u.ac.jp

9 \* Correspondence: ronisau@gmail.com; Tel.: +81-08-2987-0784

#### 10

11 **Abstract:** Transferring *in vitro*-cultured *Eustoma* seedlings to an *ex vitro* condition (acclimation) 12is a big challenge that may expose the seedlings to biotic and abiotic stresses, and affect the 13internal and external structure of the plants. In addition, in vitro-cultured seedlings of Eustoma 14are difficult to handle and phenotype and physiological traits such as survival and rosette rate 15may have altered in the acclimation stage. Therefore, the present study aims to examine the 16effects of blue, red, and white LED light on the growth and development ex vitro of in vitro-17cultured seedlings of Eustoma. The results showed that blue LEDs resulted in greater plant 18height, internode length, and leaf number, increased upper and lower fresh biomass, and higher 19chlorophyll content compared with treatment by the other LED lights. Higher stomatal density 20on the abaxial leaf surface was also observed in the blue LED-treated plants, which also showed 21a higher survival rate and lower rosette rate. In contrast, the white LED-treated plants had the 22highest leaf width and internode diameter. Acclimation of the Eustoma plants ex vitro suggests 23that a combination of blue and white LEDs may be advantageous for better growth and 24development for large-scale production in a controlled environment.

- 25
- 26

Key words: ex vitro; internode; light-emitting diodes; stomata; rosette

# 27

#### 28 1. Introduction

29Acclimation of *in vitro* seedlings is a critical stage for the success of a tissue culture 30 method. Although an *in vitro*-cultured technique is suitable for rapid production of high quality, 31disease-free uniform seedlings, regardless of weather and season, the transplantation stage to 32an acclimation environment continues to be a major constraint for the successful establishment 33 and survival of in vitro-cultured seedlings. To increase growth and reduce mortality in seedlings 34in an acclimation environment, research has focused on a light-emitting diode (LED) system in 35an enclosed environment. Acclimation of plants to the LED light conditions could improve 36 growth and reduce the energy needed for assimilation lighting through photosynthesis<sup>1</sup>. 37Acclimation under LED light may affect various aspects of plant growth, for example, plant 38 height<sup>2</sup>, changes in leaf size<sup>3,4</sup>, photosynthesis<sup>4,5</sup>, and stomatal characters<sup>4,6</sup>, but there have been 39 no studies on how LED light influences in vitro-cultured Eustoma seedlings in an acclimation 40environment in terms of growth and development, survivability, and rosette. However, light 41from light-emitting diodes (LEDs) has been associated with affecting the morpho-physiological 42characteristics of Eustoma4.

43

(c) (i)

LED light is an important environmental factor affecting plant development and

44growth by regulating morphological changes<sup>7,8</sup>. In photobiological studies, light-emitting diodes 45(LEDs) are now a promising narrow-band light source for space-based plant growth chambers 46 and enclosed environments because of their small mass and size, solid-state construction, 47superior safety, and longevity<sup>9,10</sup>. Plant development is strongly influenced by light quality, 48which refers to the color or wavelength reaching a plant's surface<sup>11</sup>, and a number of studies 49using LED lights have been performed on the effect of light spectral quality on plant growth 50and morphogenesis<sup>4,12</sup>. Blue and red LEDs have the greatest effect on plant growth because they 51are the major energy sources for photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in plants<sup>12</sup>. Despite the 52increasing popularity of color LEDs as a radiation source for growing plants, information is 53available for only a few plant species, which directly compares growth and development in an 54acclimation environment. For example, blue LED light is related to physiological responses such 55as plant photo-morphogenesis, phototropism, vegetative growth, stomatal opening, leaf expansion, anatomy and photosynthetic functioning, enzyme synthesis, chloroplast movement, 5657and gene expression<sup>3,4,5</sup>. In contrast, red LED light produces a narrow-spectrum light that 58regulates the root-to-shoot ratio, chlorophyll content, and photosynthetic apparatus<sup>13,14</sup>. In 59addition, plants grown under white LED light alone have regular leaf morphology and a higher 60 photosynthetic rate compared with plants grown under red or blue light<sup>5</sup>.

61*Eustoma (Eustoma grandiflorum)* is a flowering plant originating from North America and 62is found in a wide range of environments. Considerable efforts have been made to optimize the 63 conditions for the *in vitro* stages of *Eustoma* micropropagation<sup>15,16,17</sup>, but the process of 64 acclimation of micropropagated Eustoma plants to an LED light environment has not yet been 65fully studied. Further, the acclimation environment needs to be considered for reliable seedling 66 growth of *Eustoma* under large-scale production using LED light to control critical parameters 67 such as plant height, internode growth, survival percentage, and rosette rate. Plants with 68 shortened internodes and leaf clusters, called rosettes, exhibit delayed or no flowering<sup>18</sup>. In 69 addition, the concentration of sucrose in the media for in vitro culturing influences ex vitro 70 rooting and establishment in LED light in a controlled environment<sup>19</sup>. In particular, LED light 71contributes to higher growth morphology and physiology at the acclimation stage ex vitro<sup>20</sup>. To 72determine the acclimation performance due to LED light, in this study we examined plant 73growth in a walk-in-type growth chamber with differing LEDs light quality. Therefore, the 74objectives of this study were to evaluate the effects of LED light on the growth, survival, and 75rosette rate of in vitro-cultured Eustoma seedlings in an acclimation environment ex vitro.

76

#### 77 2. Materials and Methods

#### 78 2.1. Plant materials and growth conditions

79The experiment was conducted in the Laboratory of Floriculture and Vegetables, Kochi 80 University, Japan, to evaluate in vitro-grown Eustoma seedlings in the acclimatization stage 81 under different LED lights ex vitro. Eustoma (Voyage type-2 pink) seeds (Eustoma grandiflorum) 82 were used in this experiment; well-matured and dry seeds were collected from Sakata Seed 83 Cooperation, Japan. The surface-sterilized seeds were sown on Murashige and Skoog (MS) 84 medium<sup>21</sup> with half-strength media of macro and micro salts and 30.0 g/L (3%) sucrose 85 concentration<sup>17</sup>. After 8 weeks culturing, 30 seedlings with four pairs of true leaves were 86 removed from the UM culture bottles (As One, Japan) and washed carefully in running water. 87 The *in vitro*-cultured seedlings were quickly transferred to a phytotron for healing of seedlings 88 in the hardening stage. Before transplanting the *in vitro* seedlings, the temperature was kept at 89 23/18°C (day/night) to maintain the growth conditions in the phytotron. Consequently, the 90 phytotron was kept under 60–70% relative humidity and a photoperiod of 16/8h (light/dark) 91was maintained by using artificial fluorescent light<sup>22</sup>. Cultured seedlings were transferred to 92plastic pots (6 × 7 cm) with soil medium (Tanekura No. 42; Sumirin Agricultural Industry Co.

Ltd., Japan). After 2 days, the 30 seedlings were transferred to a walk-in-type environmentcontrolled growth chamber with LED light (fabricated environment-controlled growth
chamber; Nikkan Co. Ltd., Japan).

96

# 97 2.2 LED light in an acclimation environment

98The effects of the LEDs in the acclimation stage were determined by treating seedlings 99 cultured in vitro in half-strength medium in a walk-in type environment-controlled growth 100chamber with LED light. Seedlings were watered daily. The LED lights were positioned 25 cm 101 above the seedlings in each LED-light growth chamber to ensure maximum irradiance from the 102LEDs. The seedlings were subjected to blue, red, and white LED tube lights (Tubular LED light; 103Beam Tech Co. Ltd., Japan). The LEDs provided blue, red, and white light with wavelengths of 104420-550, 580-670, and 420-750 nm, respectively (Figure 1; Light Analyzer, LA-105; NK-System, 105Japan). Air temperature was 22/18°C during the photo and dark period. Photoperiod, relative 106 humidity, and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration were 16/8h (day/night), 65%, and 400 µmol/mol, respectively<sup>4</sup>. 107 After 45 days' ex vitro growth, data on plant height, fresh shoot and root weight, and 108survivability rate were collected from the seedlings grown under the different LED light 109 treatments. Chlorophyll content was estimated using a chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502; Minolta, 110 Osaka, Japan). Plants with shortened internodes and leaf clusters, called rosettes, exhibit 111 delayed or no flowering. The rosette rate of *Eustoma* plants<sup>18</sup> were observed under different LED 112lights in an acclimation environment.

113

114



# Figure 1. Distributions of relative spectrum intensity of LED light: (A) blue; (B) red; and (C)white.

#### 117 2.3. Stomata observation

118Mature leaf samples were collected from the 45-day-old plants grown under the blue, 119red, and white LEDs and immediately kept in autoclaved water. Leaves were manually cut into 120thin transverse sections using a double-edged disposable razor blade on a rubber-cutting mat<sup>4,23</sup>. 121Leaf of independent LEDs was fixed in Toluidin Blue (Sigma Aldrich, USA) for 30 s. To observe 122the stomata, transparent fingernail polish was smeared on the lower epidermis of the fully 123expanded leaves and allowed to dry for 5-10 min. The slides were made using the leaf 124epidermal fingerprint with transparent nail polish method<sup>24</sup>. Clear cellophane tape was fixed 125over the section of nail polish and carefully peeled from the leaf, and the 'leaf impression' was 126transferred to a microscope slide. Imprints were observed under a light microscope (Olympus 127DX-50; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a digital microscope camera (Olympus DP-12; 128Tokyo, Japan) at a magnification of 200×.

129

131For each LED treatment, there were five replications and the results were expressed as132mean  $\pm$  standard error (SE). For all comparisons, statistical analysis was performed using one-133way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The134graphs were prepared using KaleidaGraph-4.5.0 (Synergy Software, USA).135

# 136 3. Results and Discussion

# 137 3.1. Effect of LEDs on seedling growth ex vitro

138The different LED light qualities influenced the growth traits in the acclimation 139environment of Eustoma ex vitro. Plant height, leaf number, leaf length, and leaf width differed 140significantly according to the LED light treatments ex vitro (Figure 2). The tallest plant (11.3  $\pm$ 1410.34 cm) resulted from blue LED treatment, and the mean height of the plants differed 142significantly among the blue, red, and white LED lights (Figure 2A and Figure 3). The highest 143number of leaves (15.2  $\pm$  0.37) was found in the plants grown under the blue LEDs, and the 144lowest number of leaves resulted from white LED treatment (Figure 2B). Leaf length  $(4.3 \pm 0.17)$ 145cm) and width ( $2.0 \pm 0.08$  cm) were greater in the plants grown under the white LED light 146compared with the other treatments, but there was no significant difference in leaf length 147between the blue and white LED treatments (Figure 2C–D).

148Blue LED light may function to activate the cryptochromes and phytotropin that 149etiolated the stem length of Eustoma ex vitro. Shimazaki et al.<sup>25</sup> and Wang et al.<sup>26</sup> found that this 150wavelength activates the action of cryptochromes, so stem growth is maintained. It has also 151been found that exclusively using blue light induces increased stem elongation in petunia<sup>27</sup> and 152sunflower<sup>28</sup> compared with other narrow-band wavelengths. In contrast, the effect of red light 153on stem elongation depends on the presence of phytochrome<sup>29</sup>. As a consequence, phytochrome, 154red light receptor, is responsible for photomorphogenesis or plant movement, which regulates 155the elongation of stems in plants grown under red LED light<sup>30</sup>. Figure 2A shows that the 156seedlings treated with blue light were the tallest. Furthermore, blue and white LED light subject 157to develop leaf size of *Eustoma* plants in the early growth stage<sup>4</sup>, which may be a response to 158normal photosynthetic function in leaves<sup>31</sup>. In particular, Eustoma leaves grow faster under 159white LED compared to blue and red LEDs light because photosynthetic performance under 160white LED light leads to vigorous growth<sup>32</sup>. In the blue LED light-treated leaves, suppression of 161 gibberellin (GA) biosynthetic-related genes and induction of the GA inactivation-related genes 162has been reported, which constrains the elongation of rice leaves<sup>33</sup>. These results indicate that 163blue LEDs increase leaf number and leaf width of Eustoma under ex vitro acclimation; however, 164there was no significant difference in leaf length between the blue and white LEDs (Figure 2A-165D).



168

169

167 Figure 2. Effect of blue, red, and white LED lights on the growth and morphology of

*Eustoma ex vitro* for acclimation. Data are mean values (n = 5) and the vertical bars represent ± SE (Tukey's HSD at p < 0.05).



170

**Figure 3.** Effect of LED light on the growth and morphology of *Eustoma ex vitro* for acclimation: (A) blue; (B) red; and (C) white.

# 173 3.2. Effect of LEDs on seedling growth and physiological traits ex vitro

174At 45 days ex vitro under LED light, the influence of blue, red, and white LED light 175resulted in significant variation in seedling growth and physiological traits (Figure 4). Internode 176length (2.2  $\pm$  0.09 cm) was higher in the plants treated with blue LEDs compared with the other 177treatments (Figure 4A). In contrast, the plants grown under the white LEDs showed greater 178internode width ( $2.0 \pm 0.07$  mm) than the plants grown under the blue and red LEDs ex vitro 179(Figure 4B). The plants grown under the blue LEDs had a higher chlorophyll content (42.2 ± 180 0.78) than the plants grown under the other treatments (Figure 4C). Overall, stomatal density 181  $(58.4 \pm 1.32 \text{ mm}^2)$  was higher in the blue LED-treated *Eustoma* leaves than in the plants grown 182under the other LED treatments (Figure 4D and 5).

183 Generally, plants grown in a blue light-rich environment have increased photosynthesis
 184 in response to stomatal character compared with plants grown under other conditions<sup>4,34,35</sup>. An
 185 elongated or shorter internode is a response to cryptochrome-mediated blue light effects<sup>36</sup>.

186 Several studies have already reported that blue light leads to elongated internodes<sup>25,26</sup>. However, 187 blue light increases internode elongation in the presence of far-red light, as studied by Gautam 188et al.<sup>28</sup>. In addition, cell enlargement of *in vitro*-grown potato results in increased internode size 189 under blue LED light compared with plants grown under red LED light<sup>37</sup>. Generally, 190supplemental blue light increases chlorophyll content in leaves more than other LED lights 191(Figure 4C) and shows the relationship between blue light and leaf chlorophyll content $t_{4.38}$ 192because chlorophyll absorbs light from blue LEDs at 440 to 470 nm<sup>39</sup>. Consequently, chlorophyll 193 a and b molecules in blue LED-treated leaves may absorb light in a different ratio than under 194other LED treatments<sup>40</sup>.

195Stomatal development is influenced by light quality, which in turn influences stomatal 196conductance  $(g_s)$  of air through the leaf mesophyll and stomata. The higher light intensity with 197 the blue LEDs increases stomatal density<sup>41</sup> and incrementally increases the photosynthetic rate 198and stomatal conductance in the early growth stage of Eustoma leaves<sup>4</sup>. Increased stomatal 199 density of chrysanthemum leaves under blue light was also observed by Kim et al.<sup>42</sup>. Further, 200we observed that stomatal density was higher in the blue-LED treated Eustoma seedlings at 45 201days ex vitro (Figure 4D), which could provide better photosynthetic performance in an 202acclimation environment. The results show that the seedlings grown under blue LED light had 203enhanced internode length, chlorophyll content, and stomatal density during ex vitro 204 establishment.



205

Figure 4. Effect of blue, red, and white LED lights on the growth and physiology traits of *Eustoma ex vitro* for acclimation. Data are mean values (n = 5) and the vertical bars represent ± SE (Tukey's HSD at p < 0.05).



Figure 5. Differences in anatomical parameters of the abaxial layer of stomata in *Eustoma*leaves grown under different LEDs from representative cross-sections: (A) blue; (B) red; and
(C) white.

### 213 3.3. Effect of LEDs on fresh biomass, survival rate, and rosette rate ex vitro

214The establishment of in vitro seedlings ex vitro is related to biomass production for 215acclimation. The blue, red, and white LED lights significantly affected the biomass production, 216and rosette rate of Eustoma under ex vitro establishment; however, no significant results found in 217survival rate (Figure 6). The higher amount of upper (236.8  $\pm$  3.63 mg) and lower (165.4  $\pm$  4.38 218mg) fresh biomass was found in the seedlings treated with blue LED light compared with the 219other LED treatments (Figure 6A–B). In other words, the root:shoot ratio ( $1.6 \pm 0.06$ ) was also 220higher in the blue LED-treated plants in the acclimation environment, but the red and white 221LEDs did not significantly affect the root:shoot ratio (Figure 6C). The survival rate was highest 222 $(91.8 \pm 0.78\%)$  and the rosette rate was lowest  $(22.7 \pm 1.12\%)$  in the plants grown under the blue 223LED light at 45 days after establishment ex vitro compared with the other treatments (Figure 2246D-E). However, there was no significant variation in survival rate among the plants grown 225under the blue, red, and white LED lights.

226The blue light determines to perceive the cryptochrome that increases the upper and 227lower fresh biomass and root:shoot ratio compared with the red and white LED light<sup>11,37</sup>. 228Additionally, exposure to the red LED light decreased the fresh biomass compared with the 229other LEDs, and there was a significant difference in fresh biomass among the LED light 230treatments (Figure 6A–B). However, partitioning of blue light increases the upper fresh biomass 231for other processes, possibly leaf size or the production of carbohydrates<sup>43</sup>. Therefore, our 232results indicate that the blue light-treated seedlings ex vitro showed more chlorophyll content 233(Figure 4C). Chlorophyll content receives much attention because it is involved in light 234absorption and Eustoma leaf photosynthesis<sup>4</sup>, which is used for better plant stature 235establishment such as biomass production, and results in a higher survival rate and lower 236rosette rate in an acclimation environment.



Figure 6. Effect of blue, red, and white LED lights on the growth biomass, survival, and rosette rate traits of Eustoma *ex vitro* for acclimation. Data are mean values (n = 5) and the vertical bars represent ± SE (Tukey's HSD at p < 0.05).

#### **4. Conclusions**

242In conclusion, the results indicate that in vitro-developed Eustoma seedlings may be 243beneficially affected after transplanting to an acclimation environment under blue LED light ex 244vitro. Eustoma growth characters including improved plant stature, internode growth, fresh 245biomass, and lower rosette rate were found to be optimal in response to higher stomatal 246character and chlorophyll content under blue LED light ex vitro. In addition, white LED light 247showed better effects on leaf width and internode diameter. Therefore, our results also suggest 248that a combination of blue and white LED lights may positively effect on morpho-physiological 249performance in an acclimation environment. Moreover, these measurable features may still be 250amenable for detecting more subtle light source differences that will support a more direct testing of *in vitro* seedlings difference effects detected by LED light on plant growth. 251

Acknowledgements: We thank the Laboratory of Floriculture and Vegetable Science, Kochi University,
 Japan for research and also the Japanese Government for providing a scholarship (Monbukagakusho:

#### 254 MEXT) to M.Z.K. Roni.

Author Contributions: I state on behalf of all the co-authors that all authors contributed equally to this work. Md Zohurul Kadir Roni conducted and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript; Md Saiful Islam observed the experiments and revised the manuscript, and Kazuhiko Shimasaki supervised the analysis and edited the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and implications and commented on the manuscript at all stages.

260 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

## 261 Abbreviations

262 LED = Light-emitting diode, GA = Gibberellin acid,  $g_s$  = Stomatal conductance,

## 263 References

- Deram, P.; Lefsrud, M.G.; Orsat, V. Supplemental lighting orientation and red-to-blue ratio of lightemitting diodes for greenhouse tomato production. HortSci. 2014, 49, 448–452.
- 266 2. Heo, J.W.; Kang, D.H.; Bang, H.S.; Hong, S.G.; Chun, C.; Kang. K.K. Early growth, pigmentation, protein content, and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity of red curled lettuces grown under different lighting conditions. Kor. J. Hort. Sci. Technol. 2012, 30, 6–12.
- Islam, M.A.; Kuwar, G.; Clarke, J.L.; Blystad, D.R.; Gislerød, H.R.; Olsen, J.E.; Torre, S. Artificial light
  from light emitting diodes (LEDs) with a high portion of blue light results in shorter poinsettias
  compared to high pressure sodium (HPS) lamps. Sci. Hortic. 2012, 147, 136–143.
- 4. Roni, M.Z.K.; Islam, M.S.; Shimasaki, K.. Response of Eustoma leaf phenotype and photosynthetic
  performance to LED light quality. Horticulturae, 2017a, 3, 50.
- Wang, H.; Gu, M.; Cui, J.; Shi, K.; Zhou, T.; Yu, J. Effects of light quality on CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation,
  chlorophyll-fluorescence quenching, expression of Calvin cycle genes and carbohydrate accumulation
  in *Cucumis sativus*. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B. 2009, *96*, 30–37.
- Erfa, M.T.; Solhaug, K.A.; Gislerød, H.R.; Olsen, J.E.; Torre, S. A high proportion of blue light increases photosynthesis capacity and leaf formation rate of *Rosa × hybrida* but does not affect time to flower opening. Physiol. Plant. 2013, *148*, 146–159.
- Drozdova, I.S.; Bondar, V.V.; Bukhov, N.G.; Kotov, A.A.; Kotova, L.M.; Maevskaya, S.N.; Mokronosov,
   A.T. Effects of light spectral quality on morphogenesis and source–sink relations in radish plants.
   Russian J. Plant Physiol. 2001, 48, 415–420.
- 283
  8. Li, Q.; Kubota, C. Effects of supplemental light quality on growth and phytochemicals of baby leaf
  284
  284
  284
  284
  285
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  286
  287
  287
  287
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  288
  28
- Bula, R.J.; Morrow, R.C.; Tibbitts, T.W.; Barta, D.J.; Ignatius, R.W.; Martin, T.S. Light-emitting diodes as a radiation source for plants. HortSci. 1991, 26, 203–205.
- Barta, D.J.; Tibbitts, T.W.; Bula, R.J.; Morrow, R.C. Evaluation of light emitting diode characteristics for
   a space-based plant irradiation source. Adv. Space Res. 1992, 12, 141–149.
- I. Johkan, M.; Shoji, K.; Goto, F.; Hashida, S.; Yoshihara, T. Blue light-emitting diode light irradiation of seedlings improves seedling quality and growth after transplanting in red leaf lettuce. HortSci. 2010, 45, 1809–1814.
- 292 12. Yorio, N. C.; Goins, G. D.; Kagie, H. R.; Wheeler, R. M.; Sager, J. C. Improving spinach, radish, and
  293 lettuce growth under red light-emitting diodes (LEDs) with blue light supplementation. Hort. Sci.
  294 2001, 36, 380–383.
- 295 13. Appelgren, M. Effects of light quality on stem elongation of Pelargonium *in vitro*. Sci Hortic. 1991, 45, 345–351.
- 297 14. Sæbø, A.; Krekling, T.; Appelgren, M. Light quality affects photosynthesis and leaf anatomy of birch
  298 plantlets *in vitro*. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. **1995**, *41*, 177–185.
- 299 15. Damiano, C.; Curir, P.; Esposito, P.; Ruffoni, B. *In vitro* propagation of *Lisianthus russelianus* Hook. Ann.
  300 Ist. Sper. Flor. Sanremo. **1986**, *17*, 105–113.
- 301 16. Semeniuk, P.; Griesbach, R.J. *In vitro* propagation of prairie gentian. Plant Cell Tiss. Org. Cult. **1987**, *8*,
  302 249–253.
- 303 17. Roni, M.Z.K.; Islam, M.S.; Shimasaki, K. *In vitro* seed germination and tracking the seedling growth of

3 3	05 : 06	18.	Li, J.; Notsu, Y.; Ogawa, M.; Ohno, H.; Ohkawa, K. Rosetting characteristics-based classification of <i>Eustoma grandiflorum</i> (Raf.) Shinn. cultivars sown on different dates. Environment Control Biol. <b>2002</b> ,
3	07		40, 229–237.
3	08	19.	Wainwright, H.; Scrace, J. Influence of <i>in vitro</i> preconditioning with carbohydrates during the rooting
3	09		of microcuttings on <i>in vivo</i> establishment. Sci. Hort. <b>1989</b> , <i>38</i> , 261–267.
3	10 2	20.	Bergstrand, K.J.; Mortensen, L.M.; Suthaparan, A.; Gislerød, H.R. Acclimatisation of greenhouse crops
3	11		to differing light quality. Sci. Hortic. <b>2016</b> , 204, 1–7.
3	12 2	21.	Murashige, T.; Skoog, F. A revised medium for a rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissues
3	13		cultures. Physiol Plantarum. 1962, 15, 473–479.
3	14 2	22.	Harbaugh, B.K. Flowering of Eustoma grandiflorum (Raf.) Shinn. cultivars influenced by photoperiod
3	15		and temperature. HortSci. 1995, 30, 1375–1377.
3	16 2	23.	Ruzin, S.E. 1999. Plant microtechnique and microscopy. Oxford University Press. 198 Madison Avenue,
3	17		New York, New York, pp. 1–125.
3	18 2	24.	Zeng, B.; Wang, Q.Y.; Tang, C.M. Anatomic analysis on heterosis in three transgenic Bt pest-resistant
3	19		hybrid cotton (G. hirsutum L.). Acta Agron. Sin. 2008, 34, 496–505.
3	20 2	25.	Shimazaki, K.I.; Doi, M.; Assmann, S.M.; Kinoshita, T. Light regulation of stomatal movement. Annu.
32	21		Rev. Plant Biol. 2007, 58, 219–247.
3	22 2	26.	Wang, Y. H.; Maruhnich, S. A.; Mageroy, M. H.; Justice, J. R.; Folta, K. M. Phototropin 1 and
3	23		cryptochrome action in response to green light in combination with other wavelengths. Planta. 2013,
3	24		237, 225–237.
3	25	27.	Fukuda, N.; Ishii, Y.; Ezura, H.; Olsen, J.E. Effects of light quality under red and blue light emitting
3	26		diodes on growth and expression of FBP 28 in petunia. Acta Hortic. 2011, 907, 361-366.
3	27 2	28.	Bergstrand, K.J.; Asp, H.; Schüssler, H.K. Development and acclimatisation of horticultural plants
32	28		subjected to narrow-band lighting. Europ. J. Hort. Sci. 2014, 72, 45–51.
32	29 2	29.	Gautam, P.; Terfa, M.T.; Olsen, J.E.; Torre, S. Red and blue light effects on morphology and flowering
3	30		of <i>Petunia × hybrida</i> . Sci. Hort. <b>2015</b> , 184, 171–178.
3	31 3	30.	Kasperbauer, M.J.; Karlen, D.L. Plant spacing and reflected far-red light effects on phytochrome-
3	32		regulated photosynthate allocation in corn seedlings. Crop Sci. 1994, 34, 1564–1569.
3	33 (	31.	Hogewoning, S.W.; Trouwborst, G.; Maljaars, H.; Poorter, H.; Van-Ieperen, W.; Harbinson, J. Blue light
3	34		dose-responses of leaf photosynthesis, morphology, and chemical composition of Cucumis sativus
3	35		grown under different combinations of red and blue light. J. Expt. Bot. 2010, 6, 3107–3117.
3	36 3	32.	Tran, L.H.; Jung, S. Effects of light-emitting diode irradiation on growth characteristics and regulation
3	37		of porphyrin biosynthesis in rice seedlings. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2017, 18, 641–652.
3	38 3	33.	Hirose, F.; Inagaki, N.; Hanada, A.; Yamaguchi, S.; Kamiya, Y.; Miyao, A.; Hirochika, H.; Takano, M.
3	39		Cryptochrome and phytochrome cooperatively but independently reduce active gibberellin content in
3	40		rice seedlings under light irradiation. Plant Cell Physiol. 2012, 53, 1570–1582.
3	41 3	34.	Shengxin, C.; Chunxia, L.; Xuyang, Y.; Song, C.; Xuelei, J.; Xiaoying, L.; Zhigang, X.; Rongzhan, G.
3	42		Morphological, photosynthetic, and physiological responses of rapeseed leaf to different combinations
3	43		of red and blue lights at the rosette stage. Front. Plant Sci. 2016, 7, 1144.
3	44 3	35.	Wang, J.; Lu, W.; Tong, Y.; Yang, Q. Leaf morphology, photosynthetic performance, chlorophyll
3	45		fluorescence, stomatal development of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) exposed to different ratios of red light
3	46		to blue light. Front. Plant Sci. 2016, 7, 1–10.
3	47 3	36.	Jenkins, G.I.; Christie, J.M.; Fuglevand, G.; Long, J.C.; Jackson, J.A. Plant response to UV and blue
3	48		light: biochemical and genetic approaches. Plant Sci. 1995, 112, 117–138.
9	10	27	I S I C A K'S VII V C I Charles and have have a second sec

eustoma. New Zealand J Crop Hort. Sci. 2017b, DOI: 10.1080/01140671.2017.1391300

- 349 37. Lee, J.S.; Lee, C.A.; Kim, Y.H.; Yun, S.J. Shorter wavelength blue light promotes growth of green perilla
   350 (*Perilla frutescens*). Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2014, *16*, 1177–1182.
- 38. Hao, X.; Zheng, J.M.; Little, C.; Khosla, S. LED inter-lighting in year-round greenhouse mini-cucumber
   production. Acta Hortic. 2012, 956, 335–340.
- 35. 39. Davies, K. (Ed.). Plant pigments and their manipulation. Annu. Plant Rev. 2004, 14, 1–342.
- 40. Lamb, J.J.; Eaton-Rye, J.J.; Hohmann-Marriott, M.F. An LED-based fluorometer for chlorophyll
   quantification in the laboratory and in the field. Photosynth. Res. 2012, *114*, 59–68.
- 356 41. Sakhonwasee, S.; Tummachai, K.; Nimnoy, N. Influences of LED light quality and intensity on stomatal behavior of three petunia cultivars grown in a semi-closed system. Environ. Control Biol.
  358 2017, 55, 93–103.
- 42. Kim, H.H.; Goins, G.D.; Wheeler, R.M.; Sager, J.C. Stomatal conductance of lettuce grown under or exposed to different light qualities. Ann. Bot. 2004, *94*, 691–697.

361 43. Ouzounis, T.; Parjikolaei, B.R.; Fretté, X.; Rosenqvist, E.; Ottosen, C.O. Predawn and high intensity
362 application of supplemental blue light decreases the quantum yield of PSII and enhances the amount

363 of phenolic acids, flavonoids, and pigments in *Lactuca sativa*. Front. Plant Sci. **2015**, *6*, 1–14.