

Communication

Comparison of the properties of activated carbons produced in a one-stage and a two-stage process

Davide Bergna^{1,2}, Toni Varila ^{1,2}, Henrik Romar ¹ and Ulla Lassi ^{1,2}

¹ University of Oulu, Research Unit of Sustainable Chemistry, P.O. Box 3000, FI-90014 University of Oulu, Finland

² Kokkola University Consortium Chydenius, University of Jyväskylä, Unit of Applied Chemistry, Talonpojankatu 2B, FI-67100 Kokkola, Finland

Email: davide.bergna@chydenius.fi, toni.varila@chydenius.fi, henrik.romar@chydenius.fi, ulla.lassi@oulu.fi

Correspondence: henrik.romar@chydenius.fi; Tel.: +358-50-358-2939

Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

Abstract: Activated carbons (ACs) can be produced from biomass in a thermal process either in a direct carbonization-activation process or first by carbonizing the biomass and later on activating the biochars into activated carbons. The properties of the ACs are dependent on the type of process used for production. In this study, the properties of activated carbons produced in a one-stage and a two-stage process are considered. Activated carbons were produced by physical activation of two types of starting materials, biochars produced from spruce and birch chips in a commercial carbonization plant and from the corresponding raw chips. The activated carbons produced were characterized regarding specific surfaces, pore volumes and pore size distributions. The unactivated biochars had some degree of surface area and some porosity. According to the results obtained, two slightly different types of activated carbons are produced depending if a one-stage or a two-stage carbonization and activation process is used. The ACs produced in the one-stage process had higher specific surface areas compared to the ones produced in a two-stage process. In addition, total pore volumes were higher in one-stage process but development of micropores is greater compared to two-stage process. There was no significant difference in total carbon content between one-stage and two-stage process.

Keywords: biomass; biochar; activation; activated carbon; thermal treatment, physical activation; porosity, specific surface areas

1. Introduction

Activated carbons are produced by thermal treatment or a combination of chemical and thermal treatment of carbon-rich sources like lignite or in the case of bio-mass based carbon from lignocellulosic materials. Typical bio-based raw materials used in the production are coconut shells, peat and wood chips or saw dust [1]. Most of the raw materials used in the production can be considered as waste fractions from industrial or agricultural production.

In the production process, the organic material is first carbonized at temperatures of 673 - 973 K, in this process most of the volatile components are released as pyrolysis

gases in combination with the breakdown products from cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin, as pyrolytic oil [2]. The product from the carbonization stage is a solid with high carbon content, typically in the range of 25-50% calculated on a mass basis, depending on the starting material and the process parameters used. The production and activation processes used for the production of biochars are described in the review paper of Thompson et al. and in the paper by Wang et al. [3,4].

In the second stage with physical activation, the carbons are activated to activated carbons using temperatures in the range of 973 - 1173 K in combination with activating agents, in the physical activation gases like water (steam) or carbon dioxide (CO₂) are used as activators. Steam activation has an effect on the specific surface areas, pore volumes and pore size distributions and might increase the O-content [4]. An alternative activating method it is to impregnate the starting materials with activating agents like phosphoric acid, sodium or potassium hydroxide and zinc chloride. In the case of chemical activation temperatures around 773 - 873 K are used [5].

The carbonization and the activation stages can be performed in two different ways, in a two-stage process where the carbonization and activation stages are separated in time or in a one-stage process where carbonization and activation is performed sequentially in the same reactor. In the two-stage process the starting material is carbonized and the carbon formed is later on activated into activated carbons while the one-stage-process produces activated carbons as the only solid [6].

Characteristic properties for the activated carbons are high carbon content, high specific surface areas and a high level of porosity. The porous structures is divided according to the diameters of the pores into micro pores with a diameter < 2 nm, meso pores with diameters in the size of 2-50 nm and macro pores with diameters > 50 nm. The distribution of these pores, in combination with the specific surface area is dependent on the starting materials and the process parameters used [6-8]. According to our (so far unpublished) results the specific surface areas and the pore size distribution is highly dependent on the process used for the production.

The present study was performed in order to investigate the effects of different processes on the properties of the carbons. Two types of processes are used in the production of activated carbons. As starting materials in both cases are wooden chips from spruce and birch. In one process the chips are carbonized and carbons formed are later on activated by steam activation, in the other process the same chips are carbonized and activated in a one-stage sequence. The activated carbons prepared are characterized with respect to total yields, carbon contents, specific surface areas and pore size distributions.

2. Materials and Methods

Biomass used

In the research two types of biomass were used, chips from spruce and birch were carbonized at Carbofex carbonization plant in Tampere, Finland. The carbons were dried in an oven, milled in a ceramic ball mill and sieved to particle sizes less than 2

mm and finally steam activated for 2 and 4 hours. Wooden chips of spruce and birch were dried, milled and sieved to particle sizes less than 2 mm. The sieved woods were carbonized and steam activated in a one-stage process using activation times of 2 and 4 hours.

About 10 grams of each sample were placed into a fixed-bed steel reactor inserted into a tubular oven. The samples inside the reactor were supported on both sides by quartz wool. For the carbon samples the temperature of the oven was raised from room-temperature to 1023 K in a 30 minute period followed by a slow ramp of 6 K/min to 1073 K. For the wood samples a 120 minutes ramp from room temperature to 1073 K was applied. At 1073 K the samples were steam activated by feeding water into the reactor at a speed of 30 cm³/hour for 2 or 4 hours. The reactor was flushed with an inert gas, nitrogen, during the whole process in order to avoid oxidation of the samples. The carbons produced were characterized by a number of methods including yield, total carbon content (TC), specific surface areas and pore size distributions. The samples were named according to Table 1.

Table 1 Naming of the samples used (2/4 indicates activation times used, 2 or 4 hours)

Sample	Name
Raw spruce chips	Spruce untreated
Raw birch chips	Birch untreated
Carbonized spruce	C spruce
Carbonized birch	C birch
Carbonized and activated birch (1 stage)	C Birch act 2/4 hours
Carbonized and activated spruce (1 stage)	C Spruce act 2/4 hours
Activated carbonized spruce	Spruce 2/4 hours
Activated carbonized birch	Birch 2/4 hours

Calculation of yields

The yield for each sample was calculated as:

$$\text{Yield} = \text{mass of carbon/mass of feed} * 100$$

For the pre-carbonized samples the total yield was calculated

$$\text{Total yield} = \text{yield from activation} * \text{yield from carbonization process.}$$

According to the producer of the carbons the yield from carbonization is 30% calculated on a dry mass basis.

Total carbon

The percentage of total carbon present in each sample, was measured using Skalar Primacs MCS instrument. Dried samples were weighted in quartz crucibles, combusted at 1373 K in a pure oxygen atmosphere and the formed CO₂ was analyzed by an IR analyzer. Carbon content values were obtained by reading the signal of IR analyzer from a calibration curve derived from known masses of a standard substance, citric acid. The total mass of carbon in each sample was calculated as a percent of the mass initially weighted.

Surface areas and pore size distributions

Specific surface area and pore distributions were determined from the adsorption-desorption isotherms using nitrogen as adsorbate. Determinations were performed with Micromeritics ASAP 2020 instrument. Portions of each sample (100-200 mg) were degassed at low pressure (2 μ m Hg) and at temperature of 413 K for two hours in order to clean the surfaces and remove any gas adsorbed. Adsorption isotherms were obtained by immersing sample tubes in liquid nitrogen (77.15 K) to achieve constant temperature conditions. Gaseous nitrogen was added to the samples in small doses and the resulting isotherms were obtained. Specific surface areas and total pore volumes were calculated from adsorption isotherms according to the BET method [9] while the pore size distribution was calculated using the DFT (Density Functional Theory) [10] algorithm assuming slit-formed pores [11]. Total pore volumes were in the BET calculated at a p_0/p ratio of 0.985 and in the case of the DTF calculation as the total volumes of pores. The % distribution of pore volumes was calculated from the individual volumes of μ pores, mesopores and macropores. With the instrumental setup used, micropores down to 1.5 nm in diameter could be measured even if there might be some contribution from smaller pores. μ pore areas and volumes were calculated by the t-plot algorithm and the surface areas at low p_0/p ratios were calculated as Langmuir surface areas.

3. Results and discussion

Yields from the activation and carbonization-activation processes

According to the results presented in Table 2 it appears that the total yields are higher for the two-stage process compared to the one-stage process. The pre-carbonization leads to rather high yields for the activation step because most of the volatile fractions have been removed in the carbonization but the total yields are at the same level for both procedures. An exact value for the yield in an industrial production of biochar is hard to get but 30% was used as a mean value declared by the carbon supplier. As expected longer steam activations lead to lower yields, this is more obvious for the one-stage process than the two-stage process. As expected, the total carbon content, in both raw materials, raised from initial 47 % to 95 % during the carbonization process. Despite the one-stage or two-stage process, physical activation

with steam reduces the total carbon content in both cases. Approximately 5-10% lower values were obtained for the spruce based sample depending on the length of the activation time. In the case of birch based samples, only 1-2 % lower carbon contents were obtained. Lower carbon values can be explained with the use of steam in the activation process. Steam partially oxidizes the carbon, creating the porous structure during the activation resulting in a higher ash content but a lower carbon content.

Table 2 Yields for the activation process. Total yield includes pre-carbonization and activation and are calculated using a 30 % yield in the industrial carbonization stage. Total carbon content for raw materials, carbonized and activated spruce and birch samples.

Sample	Total carbon content (%)	Yield (%)	Total yield (%)
Birch untreated	47.2	-	-
Birch carbonized	94.8	-	-
C birch 2h act	97.1	62.6	18.8
C birch 4h act	87.3	43.9	13.2
Birch 2 h act	89.7	-	10.4
Birch 4 h act	87.4	-	5.5
Spruce untreated	47.0	-	-
Spruce carbonized	96.8	-	-
C spruce 2 h act	96.0	56.0	16.8
C spruce 4 h act	94.6	38.7	11.6
Spruce 2 h act	99.8	-	15.2
Spruce 4 h act	95.0	-	11.5

Specific surface areas pore volumes and pore size distributions

The two first columns in Table 3 represent the dried, milled and sieved chips of spruce and birch. Results show a very low specific surface areas and the corresponding pore volumes are close to or lower than measuring limit of the method. For the raw materials no porosity could be observed. The un-activated biochars proved to have some porosity and a specific surface area of 140 (spruce) and 180 m²/g (birch). The morphology of the pores indicated mainly μ pores.

According to the results presented in Table 3 there are differences regarding the specific surface areas for the ACs produced in a one-stage process and the ones produced in a two-stage process. All ACs produced in the two-stage process are lower in specific surface areas compared to the ones produced in a one-stage process, the difference is independent on the activation times used even if longer activation times in general produces higher specific surface-areas.

The difference in surface areas is even more pronounced using the Langmuir surfaces where surface areas are in the range of 600-950 m²/g for the ACs produced in

a two stage process compared to surface areas of 900-1200 m²/g for the ACs produced in the one stage process.

Apparently there is no significant difference in the pore volumes of the ACs calculated from BET while the pore volumes calculated according to DFT are slightly higher for the ACs from the one-stage process. Pore volumes of micropores calculated using the t-plot algorithm are lower for the ACs produced in a one-stage process compared to the ones prepared in a two-stage process.

The external surface areas (t-plot calculations) are higher for the ACs produced in the one stage process. For samples, made in two-stage process, the external surface areas are in the range of 200-370 m²/g and for samples, made in one-stage process, the external surface areas are in the range of 380-500 m²/g. This can indicate a higher occurrence of mesopores for the ACs produced in the two-stage process while the ACs from the one-stage has a pore structure with more micropores. This observation is confirmed with the pore size distributions calculated with the DFT function. Pore volumes in the carbonized samples were low and most of them are in the micro-pore region.

Table 3 Specific surface areas and pore-size distributions for the starting materials, carbonized biochars and the activated carbons.

Calculation method	Unit	Birch untreated	Spruce untreated	C birch	C spruce	C birch 2h act	C birch 4h act	C spruce 2 h act	C spruce 4 h act	Birch 2 h act	Birch 4 h act	Spruce 2 h act	Spruce 4 h act
BET													
SSA	m ² /g	0,6	0,4	190	140	530	617	647	679	761	814	910	943
Pore volume	cm ³ /g	0.002	*	0.091	0.067	0.366	0.509	0.545	0.555	0.513	0.484	0.528	0.628
Langmuir surface area													
	cm ² /g	1,0	0,3	240	173	653	732	766	812	910	985	1140	1180
t-plot													
micropore volume	cm ³ /g	*	*	0.074	0.053	0.132	0.136	0.128	0.136	0.151	0.18	0.204	0.195
micropore area	m ² /g	*	*	167	123	322	318	303	317	344	425	495	447
External surface area	m ² /g	*	*	23	18	208	300	348	369	417	388	416	496
DFT													
pore volume	cm ³ /g	*	*	0.075	0.054	0.29	0.38	0.433	0.446	0.427	0.401	0.446	0.527
μpores	cm ³ /g	*	*	0.071	0.051	0.15	0.167	0.168	0.183	0.216	0.242	0.268	0.274
mesopores	cm ³ /g	*	*	0.004	0.003	0.14	0.213	0.265	0.263	0.211	0.159	0.178	0.253
macropores	cm ³ /g	*	*	0.001	0.001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
μpores	%	*	*	94%	94%	52%	44%	39%	41%	51%	60%	60%	52%
mesopores	%	*	*	5,3%	5.0%	48%	56%	61%	59%	49%	40%	40%	48%
macropores	%	*	*	1,3%	1,2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

* = indicates that values were too low to be measured

4. Discussion

The results from the present study confirms our, so far unpublished, observations regarding activation of biochars and wood chips (saw dust) into ACs. According to the results, activated carbons produced in a one-stage and a two-stage process have different properties regarding specific surface areas, pore volumes and pore size distributions. The reasons for the differences is so far speculations only but can possibly be found in the carbonization reactions and the breakdown of the structures building up the wood.

Additionally, contact with air might change the properties of the surface of the biochars making them less sensitive to the activating agent. In the industrial production of the biochars a continuous process is used while the laboratory tests were performed in a fixed bed reactor in batch mode; the process parameters used might affect the properties of the ACs produced. Similar, so far unpublished, results have previously been obtained using biochars produced in batch mode indicating that there are structural effects in the biochars rather than the production process itself that makes the differences.

Further tests using chemical activation with different activating agents could be performed to find out if the differences are related to the properties of the precursors used, to the activating process itself or to the activating agent.

5. Conclusions

In this study a comparison between one-stage and two-stage process for activating carbon has been performed. According to the results there are some differences in the ACs produced in respective process. Regarding the total carbon content in the ACs produced there is no significant difference between the processes. The yield seems to be higher in the two-stage process. ACs with higher surface areas are produced in the one-stage carbon activation process. The total pore volume of one-stage process results to be in general slightly higher while the pore distribution does not present any particular difference.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported of and performed within the projects RENEPRO (H.R.) and Bioraff Botnia (T.V.). Financial support from the Central Ostrobothnian Culture Foundation (D.B.) is also acknowledged.

Author Contributions

Davide Bergna performed some of the carbonization and activation tests, analyzed the results from the BET tests, performed and analyzed the TC tests and wrote part of the manuscript. Toni Varila performed sample pre-treatments, some carbonizations and activations, performed BET measurements, analyzed TC results and wrote parts of the manuscript. Henrik Romar planned the design of the experiments, performed most of the BET measurements, analyzed results and wrote part of the manuscript. Ulla Lassi planned the research. She is the responsible

leader of this research project (and research group), supervisor of PhD theses of Bergna and Varila and one contributor to the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] M. Ahmedna, W.E. Marshall, R.M. Rao, *Bioresour. Technol.* 71 (2000) 113-123.
- [2] M.J. Antal, M. Gronli, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 42 (2003) 1619-1640.
- [3] K.T. Thompson, K.K. Shimabuku, J.P. Kearns, D.R.U. Knappe, R.S. Summers, S.M. Cook, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 50 (2016) 11253-11262.
- [4] B. Wang, B. Gaob, J. Fang, *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology.* (2018) 1-50.
- [5] A. Mohammad-Khah, R. Ansari, *International Journal of ChemTech Research.* 1 (2009) 859-864.
- [6] Ö Şahin, C. Saka, *Bioresour. Technol.* 136 (2013) 163-168.
- [7] K. Yang, J. Peng, H. Xia, L. Zhang, C. Srinivasakannan, S. Guo, *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers.* 41 (2010) 367-372.
- [8] A.A. Ceyhan, Ö. Şahin, O. Baytar, C. Saka, *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis.* 104 (2013) 378-383.
- [9] S. Brunauer, P.H. Emmett, E. Teller, *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* Volume 60 (1938) 309.
- [10] W. Seaton, A. Quirke, *Carbon.* 27(6) (1989) 876-861.
- [11] C. Lastoskie, K.E. Gubbins, N. Quirke, *Langmuire.* 9 (1993) 2693-2702.