Article

Transcriptome analysis of *C. elegans* reveals novel targets for DON

cytotoxicity

Abstract: Deoxynivalenol (DON) is a mycotoxin produced by Fusarium spp. that causes Fusarium head blight (FHB) disease in cereal crops. Ingestion of food contaminated with DON poses serious human health complications. However, the DON cytotoxicity has been mostly deduced from animal studies. In this study, we used the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) as a tractable animal model to dissect the toxic effect of DON. Our results indicate that DON reduces the fecundity and lifespan of C. elegans. The real-time RT-PCR analysis showed that DON upregulates innate immunity-related genes including C17H12.8 and K08D8.5 encoding PMK-1 (mitogen activated protein kinase-1)-regulated immune effectors, and F35E12.5 encoding a CUB-like domain-containing protein. Furthermore, our RNAseq data demonstrate that out of ~ 17,000 C. elegans genes, 313 are upregulated and 166 were downregulated by DON treatment. Among the DON-upregulated genes, several are ugt genes encoding UDP-glucuronosyl transferase (UGTs) which are known to be involved in chemical detoxification. The three upregulated genes, F52F10.4 (oac-32), C10H11.6 (ugt-26) and C10H11.4 (ugt-28) encoding the O-acyltransferase homolog, UGT26 and UGT 28, respectively, are shown to contribute to DON tolerance by RNAi bacterial feeding experiment. The results of this study provide insights to the targets of DON cytotoxicity and potential mitigation measures.

Keywords: Fusarium head blight; deoxynivalenol; cytotoxicity; Caenorhabditis elegans; RNAseq

1. Introduction

Deoxynivalenol (DON), a trichothecene mycotoxin, is produced by species of the fungus Fusarium which is responsible for causing Fusarium head blight (FHB) disease in wheat, barley, maize, oats, rye and rice worldwide [1,2]. Fusarium spp. infection of plants results in both yield loss and the contamination of grain with mycotoxins. It has been shown that acute exposure of experimental animals to extremely high doses (\geq 27 mg/kg body weight) of DON in diets causes mortality or marked tissue injury, whereas acute exposure to relatively low doses (\geq 50 g/kg body weight) induces vomiting in the most sensitive pigs [3]. Chronic exposure of pigs to 2 ppm of DON impairs weight gain and disrupts immune functions [3].

As reviewed by Pestka [4], the mechanisms of DON cytotoxicity mainly involve the modulation of the innate immune systems by interacting with ribosomes, activating double-stranded RNA-associated protein kinase (PKR), inhibiting translation, evoking endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress responses and elevating the expression of proinflammatory genes. Most of the known toxic effects of DON and its cytotoxic mechanisms have been studied in experimental animals such as pigs [3] and mice [5]. Besides a limited number of epidemiological studies, DON cytotoxicity in humans has been deduced mainly from studies performed in animals [2]. Since pigs have been used as a model to study human intestines [6] and drug-induced emesis [7], it has been suggested that people may be as sensitive to DON as are pigs, with a vomiting threshold of 50-100 g/kg body weight [2]. Nonetheless, significant questions remain regarding the cytotoxic mechanisms of DON in humans and the mitigation strategies that might counter its effects.

The nematode Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) is a popular model organism for genetic and developmental studies owing to its sequenced genome, well-defined cell lineage, the availability of an extensive knockout mutant library, and the high degree conservation of gene functions and signal transduction pathways with humans [8]. C. elegans has also been used as an invertebrate model for toxicological studies for heavy metals [9], new chemicals [10] and known toxicants such as paraquat and parathion [11]. C. elegans was first used in 2011 to show that the brood size and developmental

rate of C. elegans were significantly affected when exposed to 500 and 1000 g/ml of DON [12]. The toxic effects of DON on the growth, reproduction and lifespan of C. elegans were further studied recently [13]. However, the precise mechanisms of DON cytotoxicity in C.elegans remain unclear.

In order to understand the overall toxic effects of DON in C. elegans, we employed RNAseq to analyze the comprehensive modulation of gene expression in C. elegans upon DON intoxication. RNAseq analysis has been used to elucidate transcriptional changes following manganese exposure in C. elegans [14]. Whole genome microarray analysis has similarly been used to profile the host response in mouse brain following exposure to the plant toxin abrin [15]. Our results indicate that exposure of C. elegans to DON led to the upregulation of genes involved in innate immunity and the downregulation of structural and housekeeping genes. These data provide the molecular foundation for developing a better understanding of the mechanisms of DON toxicity in the C. elegans model and for identifying possible targets for the intervention and amelioration of DON toxicity in animals and humans.

2. Results

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

2.1. DON reduces fecundity and lifespan of C. elegans

Synchronized wild type N2 worms at the L2 stage were exposed to 125, 250 and 500 for 24 hr. Exposure to 250 and 500 g/ml DON reduced viability rate of N2 worms from 100% to 95-98%, while exposure to 125 g/ml DON had no effect. Although there was only a minor effect on L2 stage worm viability, exposure to DON resulted in a marked reduction in fecundity. Transfer of L4 stage worms to NGM medium showed that DON exposure significantly (p < 0.001) reduced the number of eggs produced 24 hr later by 36.7%, 72.0% and 92.7%, respectively (Figure 1). Worms exposed to DON in 0.6% DNSO or DMSO only were placed onto NGM medium containing 0.1 mg/ml FUDR to prevent production of additional progeny, allowing the effect of DON toxicity on the worm's lifespan to be observed (Figure 2). Treatment of worms with 500 g/ml DON resulted in 100% mortality at 11 days post treatment (dpt), whereas worms treated with only 0.6% DMSO (control sample) took until 13 dpt to reach 100% mortality. At 11 dpt, the mortality rate for worms treated with 250 and 125 g/ml DON were 98% and 90%, respectively. It took 11.5 days to result in 50% mortality for the 0.6% DMSO-fed worms, however, only 8.5, 9.0 and 9.5 days were needed, respectively, for the 500, 250 and 125 g/ml DON-treated worms to reach 50% mortality (Figure 2).




Figure 1. Effect of DON toxicity on C. elegans egg-laying. N2 worms at the L2 stage were treated with the indicated concentrations of DON for 24 hr. After transfer onto NGM medium without DON, they were allowed to lay eggs for 24 hr. Egg number was counted from at least 30 individual worms in each treatment and averaged from three independent experiments. Student t-test was used to analyze the significant difference (***, p < 0.001) among treatments.

79

80 81

82

83

84




Figure 2. Effect of DON toxicity on *C. elegans* lifespan. N2 worms at L2 stage were treated with 500, 250 and 125 g/ml DON for 24 hr. After placing L4 worms on NGM medium containing 0.1 mg/ml FUDR, the worms' viability was recorded every two days.

2.2. DON upregulates genes involved in innate immunity in C. elegans

We examined DON-induced changes in mRNA levels of specific transcripts that were previously shown to be induced following exposure to bacterial pathogens [16]. Expression of the genes C17H12.8 and K08D8.5, encoding PMK-1 (mitogen activated protein kinase-1)-regulated immune effectors, are upregulated after C. elegans is exposed to Pseudomonas aerugunosa strain PA14 [16] and to Shiga toxin-producing enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli (E. coli) strain EDL933 [17]. We initially studied whether DON also induces expression of these two genes, as well two other genes involved in innate immunity (R03G5.2, which encodes sek-1 and functions in activation of MAPK activity [17]; and F35E12.5, which encodes a CUB-like domain-containing protein) [18]. Treatment with the alkaloid compound harmane has been shown to upregulate F35E12 expression by approximately 8-fold during E. coli EDL933 infection and extend lifespan of C. elegans [18].

C. elegans worms at L2 stage were treated with 125, 250 and 500 g/ml DON for 24 hr. Real-time RT-qPCR analysis using total worm RNA as template and gene-specific primers (Table 1) showed that R03G5.2 gene expression was not affected by DON treatment (data not shown). However, the mRNA levels of C17H12.8, K08D8.5 and F35E12.5 were substantially upregulated by DON. Figure 3 shows that expression of the C17H12.8 gene increased in a dose-dependent manner by 16.9-, 30.3- and 35.3-fold when C. elegans was exposed to 125, 250 and 500 g/ml DON, respectively, compared to comparable treatment with 0.6% DMSO. F35E12.5 gene expression increased by 12.2-, 17.4- and 16.7-fold when worms were respectively exposed to 125, 250 and 500 g/ml DON. Gene expression of K08D8.5 was also induced but less substantially, compared to C17H12.8 and F35E12.5, with 5.2-, 8.2- and 11.1-fold increases following the exposure of worms to 125, 250 and 500 g/ml DON, respectively

Table 1. Gene-specific primers used in real-time RT-qPCR analysis (5'-to-3').

Gene	Forward primer	Reverse primer
C17H12.8	GGCTCCTTGCACTTGTCACA	ATGATTGGCTCAGGGATCTGA
K08D8.5	CCGGGAAGTCGAATGAACAT	GATGCAACACCTGCCAAAGA
F35E12.5	CGCAGTTCAGTTGCCCATT	GGGATTGATCCAGACATTCCA
T04C12.6.2	CTCCACGCGCCGTGTT	CATACCGACCATGACTCCTTGA




Figure 3. Upregulation of innate immunity-related genes in *C. elegans* by DON. Real-time RT-qPCR analysis was conducted after worms were treated for 24 h with different levels of DON. Gene expression levels were compared to worms treated with 0.6 g/ml DMSO by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ relative quantification method. Actin 1 (T04C12.6.2) was used as the endogenous control gene.

2.3. C. elegans gene global expression is modulated by DON in RNAseq analysis

To investigate whether DON affects the expression of additional $C.\ elegans$ genes involved in innate immunity as well as genes associated with other cellular functions, we treated the L2 worms with either 250 g/ml DON or 0.6% DMSO (as control) for 24 hr, extracted total RNA isolated from duplicate samples for each treatment was characterized by RNAseq (performed by BGI). Following RNAseq analysis and gene annotation, gene expression levels from technical replicate samples were analyzed and averaged. As shown by the scatter plot of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (Figure 4), out of $\sim 17,000\ C.\ elegans$ genes, 313 genes were upregulated and 166 genes were downregulated by DON treatment. Table 2 lists 43 genes upregulated by more than 10-fold and the ten genes downregulated by more than 10-fold.

131

132

133

5 of 13




Figure 4. Scatter plot of differentially expressed genes in *C. elegans* after treatment with 250 g/ml DON for 24 hr. Gene expression was analyzed by RNAseq.

Table 2. *C. elegans* genes that were up- (+) or down- (-) regulated by more than 10-fold in response to treatment with 250 g/ml DON for 24 hr.

Gene	Gene name by WormBase	Gene function by WormBase	Fold change of
symbol			gene expression
F11D11.3	F11D11.3 gene	unknown	612.6 +
F52F10.4	oac-32 (o-acyltransferase homolog)	transferase activity, transferring acyl	513.4 +
H23L24.5	<pre>pme-4 [poly(ADP-ribose) metabolism enzyme]</pre>	poly(ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase activity	501.6 +
C07G3.2	irg-1 (infection response gene)	defense response to Gram- negative bacterium, innate immune response	94.5 +
F25A2.1	F25A2.1 gene	triglyceride lipase activity	52.0 +
Y39G10AR.6	ugt-31 (UDP- glucuronosyl transferase)	transferase activity, transferring hexosyl groups	39.5 +
T24A6.7	<i>T24A6.7</i> gene	unknown	37.2 +
C10H11.6	ugt-26 (UDP- glucuronosyl transferase)	transferase activity, transferring hexosyl groups	37.1 +
Y49C4A.8	ugt-29 (UDP- glucuronosyl transferase)	transferase activity, transferring hexosyl groups	33.1 +
C17H12.8	C17H12.8 gene	PMK-1-regulated gene in innate immunity	32.4 +
T16G1.5	<i>T16G1.5</i> gene	transferase activity, transferring phosphorus-containing groups	28.2 +

F08F3.7 Cyp-14A5 (cytochrome P450 family)	C10H11.4	ugt-28 (UDP- glucuronosyl transferase)	transferase activity, transferring hexosyl groups	27.8 +
Y26D4A.21 Y26D4A.21 gene unknown 25.5 + C49G7.7 C49G7.7 gene unknown 23.7 + Y39A3B.7 Y39A3B.7 gene unknown 23.5 + T05F1.9 T05F1.9 gene unknown 23.3 + F45E4.1 arf-1.1 (ADP-ribosylation factor related) GTP binding 22.4 + F14F9.3 F14F9.3 gene zinc ion binding 21.8 + C06B3.7 C06B3.7 gene unknown 21.5 + F14F9.4 F14F9.4 gene zinc ion binding 20.1 + F22E10.1 pgp-12 (P-glycoprotein related) ATP binding, ATPase activity 18.9 + ZC239.12 sdz-35 (SKN-1 dependent zygotic transcript) protein binding 17.3 + ZC39.11.1 gene (encoding a containing protine) pathogens 17.3 + F14F9.2 F14F9.2 gene unknown 16.7 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 16.3 + ZK228.4 ZK228.4 gene unknown 15.5 + F56D6.2 clec-67 (C-type lectin) carbohydrate binding 14.7 +	F08F3.7			27.7 +
C49G7.7 C49G7.7 gene unknown 23.7 + Y39A3B.7 Y39A3B.7 gene unknown 23.5 + T05F1.9 T05F1.9 gene unknown 23.3 + F45E4.1 arf-1.1 (ADP-ribosylation factor related) GTP binding 22.4 + F14F9.3 F14F9.3 gene zinc ion binding 21.8 + C06B3.7 C06B3.7 gene unknown 21.5 + F14F9.4 F14F9.4 gene zinc ion binding 20.1 + F22E10.1 pgp-12 (P-glycoprotein related) ATP binding, ATPase activity 18.9 + ZC239.12 sdz-35 (SKN-1 dependent zygotic transcript) protein binding 17.3 + C32H11.1 Gene (encoding a containing protine) pathogens 17.3 + C32H11.1 CUB-like domain containing protine) pathogens 16.7 + F14F9.2 F14F9.2 gene unknown 16.7 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 16.3 + ZK228.4 ZK228.4 gene unknown 15.5 + F56D6.2 clec-67 (C-type lectin) carbohydrate binding	Y58A7A.5	<i>Y58A7A.5</i> gene	unknown	26.7 +
Y39A3B.7 Y39A3B.7 gene unknown 23.5 + T05F1.9 T05F1.9 gene unknown 23.3 + F45E4.1 arf-1.1 (ADP-ribosylation factor related) GTP binding 22.4 + F14F9.3 F14F9.3 gene zinc ion binding 21.8 + C06B3.7 C06B3.7 gene unknown 21.5 + F14F9.4 F14F9.4 gene zinc ion binding 20.1 + F22E10.1 pgp-12 (P-glycoprotein related) ATP binding, ATPase activity 18.9 + ZC239.12 sdz-35 (SKN-1 dependent zygotic transcript) protein binding 17.3 + C32H11.1 gene (encoding a containing protine) protein binding 17.3 + C32H11.1 gene (encoding a containing protine) pathogens 16.7 + F14F9.2 F14F9.2 gene unknown 16.7 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 16.3 + ZK228.4 ZK228.4 gene unknown 15.5 + F56D6.2 clec-67 (C-type lectin) carbohydrate binding 14.7 + F55G11.5 dod-22 (nownstream of DAF-16) inmune response to Gr	Y26D4A.21	Y26D4A.21 gene	unknown	25.5 +
T05F1.9 T05F1.9 gene unknown 23.3 + F45E4.1 arf-1.1 (ADP-ribosylation factor related) GTP binding 22.4 + F14F9.3 F14F9.3 gene zinc ion binding 21.8 + C06B3.7 C06B3.7 gene unknown 21.5 + F14F9.4 F14F9.4 gene zinc ion binding 20.1 + F22E10.1 pgp-12 (P-glycoprotein related) ATP binding, ATPase activity 18.9 + ZC239.12 sdz-35 (SKN-1 dependent zygotic transcript) protein binding 17.3 + C32H11.1 CUB-like domain containing protine) pathogens 17.3 + F14F9.2 gene unknown 16.7 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 16.3 + ZK228.4 ZK228.4 gene unknown 15.5 + F33H12.7 F33H12.7 gene unknown 14.9 + F55G11.5 dod-22 (nownstream of DAF-16, regulated by DAF-16) defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response 13.7 + C49G7.5 irg-2 (infection response gene) ion transmembrane transporter immune response 13.3 +	C49G7.7	C49G7.7 gene	unknown	23.7 +
F45E4.1 arf-1.1 (ADP-ribosylation factor related) GTP binding 22.4 + F14F9.3 F14F9.3 gene zinc ion binding 21.8 + C06B3.7 C06B3.7 gene unknown 21.5 + F14F9.4 F14F9.4 gene zinc ion binding 20.1 + F22E10.1 pgp-12 (P-glycoprotein related) ATP binding, ATPase activity 18.9 + ZC239.12 sdz-35 (SKN-1 dependent zygotic transcript) protein binding 17.3 + C32H11.1 CUB-like domain containing protine) pathogens 17.3 + F14F9.2 F14F9.2 gene unknown 16.7 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 16.3 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 16.7 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 14.9 + F33H12.7 gene unknown 15.5 + F33H12.7 gene unknown 14.7 + F55G11.5 defo-22 (nownstream of DAF-16, regulated by DAF-16) defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response 13.7 + C49G7.	Y39A3B.7	<i>Y39A3B.7</i> gene	unknown	23.5 +
F45E4.1 arf-1.1 (ADP-ribosylation factor related) GTP binding 22.4 + F14F9.3 F14F9.3 gene zinc ion binding 21.8 + C06B3.7 C06B3.7 gene unknown 21.5 + F14F9.4 F14F9.4 gene zinc ion binding 20.1 + F22E10.1 pgp-12 (P-glycoprotein related) ATP binding, ATPase activity 18.9 + ZC239.12 sdz-35 (SKN-1 dependent zygotic transcript) protein binding 17.3 + C32H11.1 CUB-like domain containing protine) pathogens 17.3 + F14F9.2 F14F9.2 gene unknown 16.7 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 16.3 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 16.7 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 14.9 + F33H12.7 gene unknown 15.5 + F33H12.7 gene unknown 14.7 + F55G11.5 defo-22 (nownstream of DAF-16, regulated by DAF-16) defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response 13.7 + C49G7.	T05F1.9	<i>T05F1.9</i> gene	unknown	23.3 +
C06B3.7 C06B3.7 gene unknown 21.5 + F14F9.4 F14F9.4 gene zinc ion binding 20.1 + F14F9.4 F14F9.4 gene zinc ion binding 20.1 + F22E10.1 Pgp-12 (P-glycoprotein related) ZC239.12 Sdz-35 (SKN-1 dependent zygotic transcript) C32H11.1 gene (encoding a innate immune response to several different bacterial containing protine) pathogens F14F9.2 F14F9.2 gene unknown 16.7 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 16.3 + ZK228.4 ZK228.4 gene unknown 15.5 + F56D6.2 clec-67 (C-type lectin) carbohydrate binding 14.9 + F33H12.7 gene unknown 14.7 + defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response ion transmembrane transporter channel) activity defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response ion transmembrane transporter channel) activity defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response ion transmembrane transporter channel) activity defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response ion transmembrane transporter channel activity defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response ion transmembrane transporter channel activity defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response ion transmembrane transporter channel activity defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response ion transmembrane transporter channel activity defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response ion transmembrane transporter channel immune response ion transmembrane transporter c	F45E4.1		GTP binding	22.4 +
C06B3.7 C06B3.7 gene unknown 21.5 + F14F9.4 F14F9.4 gene zinc ion binding 20.1 + F14F9.4 F14F9.4 gene zinc ion binding 20.1 + F22E10.1 pgp-12 (P-glycoprotein related)	F14F9.3	F14F9.3 gene	zinc ion binding	21.8 +
F22E10.1pgp-12 (P-glycoprotein related)ATP binding, ATPase activity18.9 +ZC239.12sdz-35 (SKN-1 dependent zygotic transcript)protein binding17.3 +C32H11.1 gene (encoding a containing protine)imnate immune response toC32H11.1CUB-like domain several different bacterial pathogens17.3 +F14F9.2F14F9.2 gene unknown16.7 +Y119D3A.3fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding pathogens16.7 +ZK228.4ZK228.4 gene protein protein binding protein b	C06B3.7	· ·	_	21.5 +
related) Trelated) T	F14F9.4	F14F9.4 gene	zinc ion binding	20.1 +
Zygotic transcript y zygotic t	F22E10.1		ATP binding, ATPase activity	18.9 +
C32H11.1 CUB-like domain several different bacterial 17.3 + containing protine) pathogens F14F9.2 F14F9.2 gene unknown 16.7 + Y119D3A.3 fbxa-35 (F-box A protein) protein binding 16.3 + ZK228.4 ZK228.4 gene unknown 15.5 + F56D6.2 clec-67 (C-type lectin) carbohydrate binding 14.9 + F33H12.7 gene unknown 14.7 + defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response F14H8.6 cng-1 (cyclic nucleotide gated channel) activity defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response K11D12.5 swt-7 (SWEET sugar transporter family) Y58A7A.3 Y58A7A.3 gene zinc ion binding 12.8 +	ZC239.12		protein binding	17.3 +
containing protine)pathogensF14F9.2F14F9.2 geneunknown $16.7 +$ Y119D3A.3fbxa-35 (F-box A protein)protein binding $16.3 +$ ZK228.4ZK228.4 geneunknown $15.5 +$ F56D6.2clec-67 (C-type lectin)carbohydrate binding $14.9 +$ F33H12.7F33H12.7 geneunknown $14.7 +$ F55G11.5dod-22 (nownstream of DAF-16)defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response $13.9 +$ F14H8.6cng-1 (cyclic nucleotide gated channel)ion transmembrane transporter activity $13.7 +$ C49G7.5irg-2 (infection response gene)negative bacterium, innate immune response $13.3 +$ K11D12.5swt-7 (SWEET sugar transporter family)defense response $13.2 +$ Y58A7A.3Y58A7A.3 genezinc ion binding $12.8 +$		C32H11.1 gene (encoding a	innate immune response to	
F14F9.2F14F9.2 geneunknown16.7 +Y119D3A.3fbxa-35 (F-box A protein)protein binding16.3 +ZK228.4ZK228.4 geneunknown15.5 +F56D6.2clec-67 (C-type lectin)carbohydrate binding14.9 +F33H12.7F33H12.7 geneunknown14.7 +F55G11.5dod-22 (nownstream of DAF-16)defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response13.9 +F14H8.6cng-1 (cyclic nucleotide gated channel)ion transmembrane transporter13.7 +C49G7.5irg-2 (infection response gene)negative bacterium, innate immune response13.3 +K11D12.5swt-7 (SWEET sugar transporter family)defense response13.2 +Y58A7A.3Y58A7A.3 genezinc ion binding12.8 +	C32H11.1	CUB-like domain	several different bacterial	17.3 +
Y119D3A.3 $fbxa-35$ (F-box A protein)protein binding $16.3 +$ $ZK228.4$ $ZK228.4$ geneunknown $15.5 +$ $F56D6.2$ $clec-67$ (C-type lectin)carbohydrate binding $14.9 +$ $F33H12.7$ $F33H12.7$ geneunknown $14.7 +$ $F55G11.5$ $dod-22$ (nownstream of DAF-16)defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response $13.9 +$ $F14H8.6$ $cng-1$ (cyclic nucleotide gated channel)ion transmembrane transporter activity $13.7 +$ $C49G7.5$ $irg-2$ (infection response gene)negative bacterium, innate immune response $13.3 +$ $K11D12.5$ $swt-7$ (SWEET sugar transporter family)defense response $13.2 +$ $Y58A7A.3$ $Y58A7A.3$ genezinc ion binding $12.8 +$		containing protine)	pathogens	
ZK228.4 $ZK228.4$ geneunknown $15.5 +$ $F56D6.2$ $clec-67$ (C-type lectin)carbohydrate binding $14.9 +$ $F33H12.7$ $F33H12.7$ geneunknown $14.7 +$ $Adod-22$ (nownstream of DAF-16)defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response $13.9 +$ $Adod-22$ (nownstream of DAF-16)innumune response $13.9 +$ $Adod-22$ (nownstream of DAF-16)innumune response $13.9 +$ $Adod-22$ (nownstream of DAF-16)ion transmembrane transporter defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response $13.7 +$ $Adod-22$ (infection response gene)negative bacterium, innate immune response $13.3 +$ $Adod-22$ (infection response gene)negative bacterium, innate immune response $13.3 +$ $Adod-22$ (infection response family)defense response $13.2 +$ $Adod-22$ (infection response gene)	F14F9.2	F14F9.2 gene	unknown	16.7 +
F56D6.2 $clec-67$ (C-type lectin)carbohydrate binding $14.9 +$ F33H12.7 $F33H12.7$ geneunknown $14.7 +$ $F55G11.5$ $dod-22$ (nownstream of DAF-16)defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response $13.9 +$ F14H8.6 $cng-1$ (cyclic nucleotide gated channel)ion transmembrane transporter activity $13.7 +$ C49G7.5 $irg-2$ (infection response gene)negative bacterium, innate immune response $13.3 +$ K11D12.5 $swt-7$ (SWEET sugar transporter family)defense response $13.2 +$ Y58A7A.3Y58A7A.3 genezinc ion binding $12.8 +$	Y119D3A.3	fbxa-35 (F-box A protein)	protein binding	16.3 +
F33H12.7F33H12.7 geneunknown $14.7 +$ F55G11.5 $\frac{dod-22}{16}$ (nownstream of DAF-16)defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response $13.9 +$ F14H8.6 $\frac{cng-1}{16}$ (cyclic nucleotide gated channel)ion transmembrane transporter activity $13.7 +$ C49G7.5 $\frac{irg-2}{16}$ (infection response gene)negative bacterium, innate immune response $13.3 +$ K11D12.5 $\frac{swt-7}{16}$ (SWEET sugar transporter family)defense response $13.2 +$ Y58A7A.3Y58A7A.3 genezinc ion binding $12.8 +$	ZK228.4	<i>ZK228.4</i> gene	unknown	15.5 +
F55G11.5 dod-22 (nownstream of DAF- 16, regulated by DAF-16) F14H8.6 Cang-1 (cyclic nucleotide gated channel) Capgro (infection response gene) Capgro (infection response gene) Capgro (infection response gene) Swt-7 (SWEET sugar transporter family) Y58A7A.3 Y58A7A.3 gene defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response defense response to Gramnegative bacterium, innate immune response 23.7 +	F56D6.2	clec-67 (C-type lectin)	carbohydrate binding	14.9 +
F55G11.5 16, regulated by DAF-16) 16, regulated by DAF-16) 16, regulated by DAF-16) 17.7 + immune response 18.7 + channel) 18.7 + defense response to Gram-negative bacterium, innate 18.9 + immune response 18.7 + defense response to Gram-negative bacterium, innate 18.9 + immune response 18.7 + defense response to Gram-negative bacterium, innate 18.9 + immune response 18.7 + defense response 18.2 + transporter family) 18.4 + defense response 18.5 + defense response 18.6 + defense response 18.7 + defense response 18.8 + defense response 18.9 + defense response 18.9 + defense response 18.9 + defense response 18.9 + defense response 18.7 + defense response 18.8 + defense response 18.9 + defe	F33H12.7	F33H12.7 gene	unknown	14.7 +
F55G11.5 16, regulated by DAF-16) 16, regulated by DAF-16) 16, regulated by DAF-16) 16, regulated by DAF-16) 17.9 + 18.9		dad 22 (navymatriaans of DAE	defense response to Gram-	
F14H8.6 cng-1 (cyclic nucleotide gated channel) ion transmembrane transporter activity defense response to Gram-negative bacterium, innate immune response K11D12.5 swt-7 (SWEET sugar transporter family) Y58A7A.3 Y58A7A.3 gene zinc ion binding ion transmembrane transporter activity defense response to Gram-negative bacterium, innate immune response 23.7 + 23.7	F55G11.5	•	negative bacterium, innate	13.9 +
C49G7.5 irg-2 (infection response gene) negative bacterium, innate immune response K11D12.5 swt-7 (SWEET sugar transporter family) Y58A7A.3 Y58A7A.3 gene zinc ion binding 12.8 +		16, regulated by DAF-16)	immune response	
channel) activity defense response to Gram- response gene) negative bacterium, innate immune response K11D12.5 swt-7 (SWEET sugar transporter family) Y58A7A.3 Y58A7A.3 gene zinc ion binding 12.8 +	F14H8.6	cng-1 (cyclic nucleotide gated	ion transmembrane transporter	12 7 ±
C49G7.5 irg -2 (infection response gene)negative bacterium, innate immune response $13.3 + $		channel)	activity	15.7 +
$K11D12.5 \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{immune response} \\ \text{swt-7 (SWEET sugar} \\ \text{transporter family}) \\ \text{$Y58A7A.3} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Y58A7A.3 gene} \\ \text{zinc ion binding} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 13.2 + \\ 12.8 + \\ \end{array}$			defense response to Gram-	
$K11D12.5$ $\begin{array}{c} swt-7 \text{ (SWEET sugar} \\ \text{transporter family)} \end{array}$ defense response $13.2 + Y58A7A.3$ $Y58A7A.3$ gene zinc ion binding $12.8 + Y58A7A.3$	C49G7.5	<i>irg-</i> 2 (infection response gene)	negative bacterium, innate	13.3 +
transporter family) Y58A7A.3 Y58A7A.3 gene defense response 13.2 + zinc ion binding 12.8 +			immune response	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	K11D12.5	,	defense response	13.2 +
F43C1.7 F43C1.7 gene unknown 12.7 +	Y58A7A.3	<i>Y58A7A.</i> 3 gene	zinc ion binding	12.8 +
	F43C1.7	F43C1.7 gene	unknown	12.7 +
C17H12.6 gene unknown 12.2 +	C17H12.6	C17H12.6 gene	unknown	12.2 +
F08E10.7 scl-24 (SCP-like extracellular unknown 12.2 +	F08E10.7	·	unknown	12.2 +
transferase activity, transferring	F36G9.12	oac-20 (o-acyltransferase homolog)	transferase activity, transferring	
F36G9.12 oac-20 (o-acyltransferase acyl groups other than amino-			· ·	12.2 +
homolog) acyl groups				
<i>Y37H2A.14 Y37H2A.14</i> gene unknown 12.1 +	Y37H2A.14	Y37H2A.14 gene	unknown	12.1 +

col-140 (collagen)

F26F12.1

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

12.1 -

7 of 13 K08D8.5 gene (encoding a innate immune response to K08D8.5 CUB-like domain containing several different bacterial 12.0 +protine) pathogens F35E12.5 F35E12.5 gene unknown 11.8 +F54D5.4 gene 10.9 +F54D5.4 unknown T05B4.8 T05B4.8 gene unknown 10.2 +W05G11.3 col-88 (collagen) structural constituent of cuticle 415.8 sqst-3 (SeQueSTosome 250.4 -T26H2.5 zinc ion binding related) vit-2 (vitellogenin structural C42D8.2 genes) lipid transporter activity 44.7 -(yolk protein genes) C45G7.3 ilys-3 (invertebrate lysozyme) lysozyme activity 21.3 -F41F3.4 col-139 (collagen) structural constituent of cuticle 17.7 -M18.1 col-129 (collagen) structural constituent of cuticle 16.4 -B0218.8 clec-52 (C-type lectin) 15.4 carbohydrate binding ZK1193.1 col-19 (collagen) structural constituent of cuticle 12.9 cyp-25A1 (cytochrome P450 heme-binding, iron ion binding, C36A4.1 12.8 family) oxidoreductase activity

Table 2 shows that the expression of *C17H12.8, K08D8.5* and *F35E12.8*, three genes involved in innate immunity, increased, respectively, 32.4-, 12.0- and 11.8-fold (as shown by RNAseq analysis) and 30.3-, 8.2- and 17.4-fold (as shown by RT-qPCR assay performed on a separate batch of treated worms (Figure 3). Additionally, both RNAseq and RT-qPCR analysis showed that the *R03G5.2* (*sek-1*) gene expression was not affected by 250 g/ml DON treatment. These comparative data provide support for the validity of the RNAseq results for genes whose expression has not been previously validated by RT-qPCR.

structural constituent of cuticle

The *C. elegans* database WormBase (WormBase.org) shows that the *C. elegans* genome contains 66 *ugt* genes encoding UDP-glucuronosyl transferase (UGT). UGTs are involved in chemical detoxification and are present in organisms of all the major kingdoms [19]. The RNAseq results indicate that the *C. elegans ugt-31, ugt-26, ugt-29* and *ugt-28* gene expression was upregulated by DON by 39.5-, 37.1-, 33.1- and 27.8-fold respectively (Table 2). Additionally, the RNAseq results showed that the expression of *ugt-16, ugt-24, ugt-48, ugt-19, ugt-62* and *ugt-1* genes were increased by 6.2-, 5.5-, 4.8-, 4.4-, 4.1- and 2.6-fold, respectively, upon DON treatment.

Our RNAseq data also indicate that ten *C. elegans* genes were downregulated by at least 10-fold upon DON treatment, including four genes encoding collagen (Table 2).

2.4. Functional analysis of DON-induced C. elegans genes in conferring DON tolerance

We used an RNAi suppression assay to assess the functional contributions of DON-induced genes to the ability of worms to cope with exposure to DON. We chose three genes belonging to the group whose expression was most highly upregulated by DON intoxication (Table 2). RNAi-expressing bacteria, obtained from Open Biosystems, were fed to worms and used to suppress the expression of: F52F10.4 (oac-32) encoding the O-acyltransferase homolog, C10H11.6 (ugt-26) encoding UDP-glucuronosyl transferase 28. Synchronized eggs were placed on NGM plates seeded with either OP50 bacteria or oac-32, ugt-26 and ugt-28 RNAi bacteria, and the worms were grown for 24 hr. The L2 worms (around 50 for each treatment) were then treated with 250 g/ml DON in S-medium supplemented with OP50 or oac-32, ugt-26 or ugt-28 RNAi cells for 24 hr. Counting the number of live worms (at L4 stage now) at the end of DON treatment showed that worm viability was reduced to 89.3%±8.1, 84.3%±4.0 and 91.7%±2.9,

8 of 13

respectively, when fed with *oac-32*, *ugt-26* or *ugt-28* RNAi cells, compared to a viability of 97.7%±2.5 when fed with OP50. These data demonstrate that the suppression of *oac-32*, *ugt-26* and *ugt-28* genes reduced *C. elegans'* capability to resist DON toxicity, indicating the possible contribution of these genes to the detoxification mechanisms of *C. elegans*.

We then monitored the lifespan of the L4 worms that survived the DON treatment on NGM containing FUDR and seeded with OP50 bacteria. Worms fed with OP50 reached 50% mortality 9.3 days after initial exposure to DON, compared to 10.5 days in the absence of DON (X-axis, Figure 4). Worms fed with *oac-32*, *ugt-26* and *ugt-28* RNAi bacteria reached 50% mortality rates at 7.4, 7.2 and 7.5 days, respectively. These data indicate that suppression of the *oac-32*, *ugt-26* and *ugt-28* genes (potentially involved in innate immunity and detoxicification) slightly decreases the lifespan of *C. elegans* and that these genes may contribute to the ability of *C. elegans* to respond to and cope with the effects of DON toxicity. However, the small effects observed through the suppression of these genes indicates that there may be other genes whose contribution to the response to DON is more significant, or that there is redundancy in the transcriptional response to DON.




Figure 4. Lifespan analysis of *C. elegans* initially fed with OP50 or *oac-32*, *ugt-26* and *ugt-28* RNAi bacteria and then treated with 250 g/ml DON. After placing L4 worms on NGM medium containing 0.1 mg/ml FUDR and OP50, the worm's viability was recorded every two days. 1, OP50; 2, DON+OP50; 3, DON+*oac-32* RNAi; 4, DON+*ugt-26* RNAi; 5, DON+*ugt-28* RNAi. The results were averaged from three independent biological experiments.

3. Discussion

In order to better understand the molecular mechanisms of DON cytotoxicity, a trichothecene toxin present on grain crops infected with *Fusarium* spp., we examine global changes in DON-induced transcription in the model organism *C. elegans*. It has been shown by Gowrinathan *et al.* [12] that N2 *C. elegans* display lower rates of development and smaller brood sizes when exposed to 500 and 100 g/ml DON. In this study, we systematically showed that the N2 worms' egg-laying capability was significantly reduced by 125, 250 and 500 g/ml DON in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1). We also showed that worm lifespan was reduced following exposure to 250 g/ml DON, with worms requiring only 8.51 days to reach 50% mortality, compared to 11.45 days for control worms (Figure 2). Yang *et al.* [13] recently showed that 4 days were needed to approach 50%

9 of 13

mortality of N2 worms exposed to 65.67 g/ml DON, compared to the 16 days needed for control worms. However, because the developmental stage and the culture conditions used were not indicated in that report, it is not possible to directly compare our findings with this previous study.

It has been suggested that innate immunity may play a role in the molecular response of animals and humans to DON cytotoxicity [4]. It has been shown, previously, that the expression of immune effector genes *C17H12.8*, *K08D8.5* [17] and *F35E12.5* are upregulated following exposure to infection by the Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* O157:H7 strain EDL933 [18]. We investigated changes in the expression of these three genes in *C. elegans* exposed to DON to see if they are similarly affected by DON. Our data show that all three genes are highly upregulated in a DON-dosage dependent manner (Figure 3), indicating that components of the worm's innate immunity are modulated by DON. We used RNAseq to investigate changes in the pattern of global gene expression in *C. elegans* exposed to 250 g/ml DON.

To our knowledge, this is the first time the toxic effects of DON on C. elegans gene expression have been comprehensively investigated. Our data showed that out of ~ 17,000 C. elegans genes, 313 genes were upregulated and 166 genes were downregulated by DON treatment (Figure 4). The F11D11.3 gene was upregulated by 612.6-fold; interestingly, this gene is listed with no known molecular function in the WormBase (WormBase.org), suggesting that the approach adopted here of global transcriptome analysis may help identify novel components of the response to DON toxicity.

Another interesting result from our RNAseq analysis is that out of 66 ugt genes found in the WormBase, 10 ugt genes were upregulated at least 2-fold. Of these, expression of ugt-31, ugt-26, ugt-29 and ugt-28 increased by more than 20-fold (Table 2). The ugt genes encode uridine diphosphate (UDP)-glycosyltransferases and the encoded enzymes carry out hexosyl group transfer, a common mechanism for detoxification of xenobiotics. A superfamily of more than 100 ugt genes has been identified in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana[20]. However, very little is known about the regulation and localization of these plant UGTs [20]. Poppenberger et al. have shown that the enzymatic reaction of Arabidopsis UGT73C5 (locus At2g36800) could inactivate DON in yeast and wheat germ, and that constitutive overexpression of this gene in Arabidopsis led to enhanced resistance against DON [21]. A later report showed that transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing a barley ugt gene (HvUGT13248) were more resistant to DON in a seed germination assay and converted DON to DON-3-O-glucoside (D3G) to a greater extent, compared to the wild type plants [22]. Additionally, transgenic wheat plants expressing HvUGT13248 have been shown to detoxify DON to D3G, better tolerate DON in roots and exhibit less severe disease symptoms in the field, compared to non-transformed wheat plants [23]. Together, these reports suggest that the upregulation of ugt genes may be similarly important in DON detoxification in C. elegans as they are in plants. However, the size of the ugt gene family in C. elegans and the fact that 10 members were induced by DON suggests that there may be functional redundancy.

This study has provided a comprehensive picture of the *C. elegans* gene perturbation by DON and shed light on potential targets in plants for FHB disease resistance and in animals for developing therapeutic measures. The specificity of the response (comprising both induced and suppressed genes) indicates that it may be possible to ameliorate DON toxicity through manipulation of relatively few molecular targets. Further functional analysis of these targets, through RNAi suppression and through gene disruption experiments may prove informative, in this regard.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials and C. elegans maintenance

DON was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and diluted in final concentration of 0.6% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for all samples tested. DMSO below 1% is generally used and has been regarded as not affecting nematode growth and development [13]. Wild type N2 *C. elegans* worms were obtained from the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center (CGC, St. Paul, MN). Worms were maintained on NGM (Nematode Growth Medium) with OP50 strain of bacteria and cultured

following the general protocols on the WormBook (www.wormbook.org). The *C. elegans* RNAi Feeding Library was purchased from Open Biosystems Inc. (Huntsville, AL).

4.2. DON treatment and C. elegans lifespan studies

Synchronized eggs were obtained from gravid C. elegans worms by the bleaching method (The WormBook). L2 worms were harvested by washing them off the plate with sterile water and centrifugation at 300 g for 2 min.

L2 worms were treated with DON at different concentrations in 0.6% DMSO in 1 ml S-medium (The WormBook) supplemented with OP50 bacterial cells in 24-well plate at room temperature for 24 hr. After DON treatment, worms developed up to the L4 stage and were harvested by centrifugation at 300 g for 2 min and washed with water. For each treatment, 30 worms were placed into each well of a 24-well NGM plate supplemented with OP50 bacteria. The number of eggs laid was counted after 24 hr. Individual worms were also placed into 24-well plates supplemented with OP50 bacteria and 0.1 mg/mL 5-fluorodeoxyuridine (FUDR) (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 3 worms per well, totally 30 worms per treatment. The viability of worms was recorded by observation under a Nikon SMZ645 stereomicroscope and by assessing the response to mechanical stimulation. All experiments were repeated three times. The student t-test was used to assay the significant difference among treatments.

4.3. Real-time RT- qPCR analysis of gene expression

C. elegans worms at the L2 stage were treated with 125, 250 and 500 g/ml DON for 24 hr and were harvested by centrifugation and washed with water. Total RNA was isolated by the freeze/thaw method (The WormBook) using Trizol (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA), and then precipitated with ethanol and resuspended in DEPC (diethyl pyrocarbonate)-treated water. The RNA concentration and quality were measured by a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA). Reverse transcription (RT) reaction was carried out with the High Capacity cDNA Synthesis Kit (Applied Biosystems/Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA) using random primers. Real-time quantitative qPCR was conducted with gene-specific primers (Table 1), SYBR Green master mix and the StepOnePlus Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems/Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA). The *C. elegans* actin 1 gene (*T04C12.6.2*) was used as the endogenous control gene. Gene expression levels, expressed as fold-changes, were assessed by the 2-ΔΔCt relative quantification method [24].

4.4. RNAseq analysis

For RNAseq analysis, two batches of synchronized L1 worms were treated with either 250 g/ml DON in 0.6% DMSO or 0.6% DMSO alone for 24 hr. Total RNA was isolated by the freeze-thaw method as above. Totally, 200 ng of each RNA sample was provided to BGI (Beijing Genomics Institute) for RNAseq analysis. mRNA was enriched on oligo d(T) magnetic beads, and the resulting cDNA library was sequenced via Illumina HiSeqTM 2000. Sequence reads were aligned to the *C. elegans* reference genome by Soap 2.21 software. Gene function annotation was performed using BLAST (NCBI) and KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes), NR (Non-Redundant) and GO (Gene Ontology) databases. Quantification of gene expression was conducted by RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase Per Million) algorithm. Pairwise comparison of gene expression levels between DON-treated and DMSO-treated samples was used to identify any differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Relative differences in gene expression difference were expressed as a log2 ratio (representing the fold-change between DON-treated and DMSO-treated samples).

4.5. Feeding C. elegans with RNAi bacteria

To validate the involvement of genes in the response of *C. elegans* to DON cytotoxicity, the HT115(DE3) *E. coli* cells harboring the RNAi (RNA interference) gene knock-down constructs (Open Biosystems, Huntsville, AL) were used to feed *C. elegans*. Synchronized eggs were placed on NGM

- plates with bacterial lawns of OP50, and oac-32, ugt-26 and ugt-RNAi cells for 24 hr. L2 worms were
- washed off the plates with water and then washed with S-medium. Worms were treated with 250
- 290 g/ml DON in 1 ml of S-medium supplemented with OP50, and oac-32, ugt-26 or ugt-28 RNAi cells
- for 24 hr. Worms were collected and the number of dead worms was counted in three groups of 50
- worms each for each treatment. The experiment was repeated three times. DON-treated and worms
- 293 fed with different bacteria were then cultured on NGM plate seeded with OP50 lawn to record the
- 294 lifespan of worms.
- 295 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

296 References

- 297 1. Di, R.; Blechl, A.; Dill-Macky, R.; Tortora, A.; Tumer, N.E. Expression of a 298 truncated form of yeast ribosomal protein l3 in transgenic wheat improves 299 resistance to *fusarium* head blight. *Plant Science* **2010**, *178*, 374-380.
- Wu, F.; Groopman, J.D.; Pestka, J.J. Public health impacts of foodborne mycotoxins. *Annual review of food science and technology* **2014**, *5*, 351-372.
- 302 3. Pestka, J.J. Deoxynivalenol: Toxicity, mechanisms and animal health risks. 303 *Ani Feed Sci Tech* **2007**, *137*, 283-298.
- 4. Pestka, J.J. Deoxynivalenol: Mechanisms of action, human exposure, and toxicological relevance. *Archives of toxicology* **2010**, *84*, 663-679.
- 306 5. Pestka, J.J.; Amuzie, C.J. Tissue distribution and proinflammatory cytokine 307 gene expression following acute oral exposure to deoxynivalenol: 308 Comparison of weanling and adult mice. Food and chemical toxicology: an 309 international journal published for the British Industrial Biological Research 310 Association 2008, 46, 2826-2831.
- Nejdfors, P.; Ekelund, M.; Jeppsson, B.; Westrom, B.R. Mucosal in vitro permeability in the intestinal tract of the pig, the rat, and man: Species- and region-related differences. *Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology* **2000**, *35*, 501-507.
- Szelenyi, I.; Herold, H.; Gothert, M. Emesis induced in domestic pigs: A new experimental tool for detection of antiemetic drugs and for evaluation of emetogenic potential of new anticancer agents. *Journal of pharmacological and toxicological methods* 1994, 32, 109-116.
- 319 8. Brenner, S. The genetics of *caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **1974**, 77, 71-94.
- 320 9. Anderson, G.L.; Boyd, W.A.; Williams, P.L. Assessment of sublethal endpoints for toxicity testing with the nematode *caenorhabditis elegans*. *Environmental toxicology and chemistry* **2001**, 20, 833-838.
- 10. Leung, M.C.; Williams, P.L.; Benedetto, A.; Au, C.; Helmcke, K.J.; Aschner, M.; Meyer, J.N. *Caenorhabditis elegans*: An emerging model in biomedical and environmental toxicology. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology* **2008**, 106, 5-28.
- 327 11. Boyd, W.A.; McBride, S.J.; Rice, J.R.; Snyder, D.W.; Freedman, J.H. A high-328 throughput method for assessing chemical toxicity using a *caenorhabditis* 329 *elegans* reproduction assay. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* **2010**, 245, 153-

330 159.

- 331 12. Gowrinathan, Y.; Pacan, J.C.; Hawke, A.; Zhou, T.; Sabour, P.M. Toxicity 332 assay for deoxynivalenol using caenorhabditis elegans. *Food additives & contaminants. Part A, Chemistry, analysis, control, exposure & risk assessment*
- **2011**, *28*, 1235-1241.
- 335 13. Yang, Z.; Xue, K.S.; Sun, X.; Tang, L.; Wang, J.S. Multi-toxic endpoints of the foodborne mycotoxins in nematode caenorhabditis elegans. *Toxins* **2015**, *7*, 337 5224-5235.
- 338 14. Parmalee, N.L.; Maqbool, S.B.; Ye, B.; Calder, B.; Bowman, A.B.; Aschner, M. Rnaseq in c. Elegans following manganese exposure. *Current protocols in toxicology* **2015**, *65*, 11 20 11-17.
- 341 15. Bhaskar, A.S.; Gupta, N.; Rao, P.V. Transcriptomic profile of host response in mouse brain after exposure to plant toxin abrin. *Toxicology* **2012**, *299*, 33-43.
- Troemel, E.R.; Chu, S.W.; Reinke, V.; Lee, S.S.; Ausubel, F.M.; Kim, D.H. P38 mapk regulates expression of immune response genes and contributes to longevity in *C. elegans. PLoS genetics* **2006**, 2, e183.
- 17. Chou, T.C.; Chiu, H.C.; Kuo, C.J.; Wu, C.M.; Syu, W.J.; Chiu, W.T.; Chen, C.S. Enterohaemorrhagic *escherichia coli* o157:H7 shiga-like toxin 1 is required for full pathogenicity and activation of the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway in *caenorhabditis elegans*. *Cellular microbiology* **2013**, *15*, 82-97.
- Jakobsen, H.; Bojer, M.S.; Marinus, M.G.; Xu, T.; Struve, C.; Krogfelt, K.A.; Lobner-Olesen, A. The alkaloid compound harmane increases the lifespan of caenorhabditis elegans during bacterial infection, by modulating the nematode's innate immune response. *PloS one* **2013**, *8*, e60519.
- 354 19. Bock, K.W. The udp-glycosyltransferase (ugt) superfamily expressed in humans, insects and plants: Animal-plant arms-race and co-evolution.

 356 Biochemical pharmacology **2016**, 99, 11-17.
- Ross, J.; Li, Y.; Lim, E.; Bowles, D.J. Higher plant glycosyltransferases. *Genome biology* 2001, 2, REVIEWS3004.
- Poppenberger, B.; Berthiller, F.; Lucyshyn, D.; Sieberer, T.; Schuhmacher, R.; Krska, R.; Kuchler, K.; Glossl, J.; Luschnig, C.; Adam, G. Detoxification of the fusarium mycotoxin deoxynivalenol by a udp-glucosyltransferase from arabidopsis thaliana. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **2003**, 278, 47905-47914.
- Shin, S.; Torres-Acosta, J.A.; Heinen, S.J.; McCormick, S.; Lemmens, M.; Paris, M.P.; Berthiller, F.; Adam, G.; Muehlbauer, G.J. Transgenic *arabidopsis thaliana* expressing a barley udp-glucosyltransferase exhibit resistance to the mycotoxin deoxynivalenol. *J Exp Bot* **2012**, *63*, 4731-4740.
- 23. Li, X.; Shin, S.; Heinen, S.; Dill-Macky, R.; Berthiller, F.; Nersesian, N.; Clemente, T.; McCormick, S.; Muehlbauer, G.J. Transgenic wheat expressing a barley udp-glucosyltransferase detoxifies deoxynivalenol and provides high levels of resistance to fusarium graminearum. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 2015, 28, 1237-1246.

Peer-reviewed version available at Toxins 2018, 10, 262; doi:10.3390/toxins10070262

13 of 13

24. Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative pcr and the 2(-delta delta c(t)) method. *Methods* **2001**, 25, 402-408.