1 Review

Advances and Perspectives in Chemical Imaging in Cellular Environments Using Electrochemical

4 Methods

5 Robert A. Lazenby ¹ and Ryan J. White ^{1,*}

6 ¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA; robert.lazenby@uc.edu

7 * Correspondence: ryan.white@uc.edu; Tel.: +1-513-556-4837

8 Abstract: This review discusses a broad range of recent advances (2013-2017) of chemical imaging 9 using electrochemical methods, with a particular focus on techniques that have been applied to 10 study cellular processes, or techniques that show promise for use in this field in the future. Non-11 scanning techniques such as microelectrode arrays (MEAs) offer high time-resolution (< 10 ms) 12 imaging, however at reduced spatial resolution. In contrast, scanning electrochemical probe 13 microscopies (SEPMs) offer higher spatial resolution (as low as a few nm per pixel) imaging, with 14 images collected typically over many minutes. Recent significant research efforts to improve the 15 spatial resolution of SEPMs using nanoscale probes, and to improve the temporal resolution using 16 fast scanning have resulted in movie (multiple frame) imaging with frame rates as low as a few 17 seconds per image. Many SEPM techniques lack chemical specificity or have poor selectivity 18 (defined by the choice of applied potential for redox-active species). This can be improved using 19 multifunctional probes, ion-selective electrodes and tip-integrated biosensors, although additional 20 effort may be required to preserve sensor performance after miniaturization of these probes. We 21 discuss advances to the field of electrochemical imaging, and technological developments which are 22 anticipated to extend the range of processes that can be studied. This includes imaging cellular 23 processes with increased sensor selectivity and at much improved spatiotemporal resolution than 24 has been previously customary.

Keywords: SEPM; SECM; SICM; biosensors; high-resolution imaging; ion channels; microelectrode
 arrays

27

28 1. Introduction

29 Chemical imaging using electrochemical techniques chiefly comprises scanning electrochemical 30 probe microscopies (SEPMs). SEPM is made up of a selection of techniques that broadly fall into the 31 two categories of scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) and scanning ion conductance 32 microscopy (SICM). Numerous modes and sub-techniques, bringing a wealth of accompanying 33 acronyms, have evolved as a means to add capability to these principle imaging techniques. This 34 review article addresses the means to bring improved chemical selectivity to electrochemical 35 imaging, with an emphasis on advances in the field within the last five years (2013-2017). Citations 36 of earlier significant works are included where relevant.

37 Electrochemical imaging using SEPM employs a scanned probe, with a critical dimension for 38 imaging on the micro- or nanoscale. This size scale allows the measurement of activity heterogeneity 39 across a surface, gaining additional insights over bulk electrochemical methods, for which a response 40 arises from average current over the whole surface. In addition, other surface properties can be 41 mapped using SEPM and complementary techniques, such as surface morphology, sample 42 conductivity and atomic force between probe and sample. SECM has been extensively and recently 43 reviewed [1][2][3], notably for living cells [4] and in neuroscience [5]. Other SEPM reviews include 44 multifunctional probes for SICM [6], nanoscale electrochemical imaging [7][8][9] and the use of tip 45 integrated biosensors [10].

2 of 31

46 Noteworthy additions to the SEPM literature in the last five years include the application of 47 novel and multifunctional electrochemical imaging probes, pushing the limits of spatial resolution 48 with nanoscale electrochemical imaging, and producing activity movies, where the means of 49 acquiring an image does not necessarily require the use of a constant applied potential. The last five 50 years has seen the introduction of several techniques that add chemical selectivity to electrochemical 51 imaging, particularly in the growing field of biosensors. This review elaborates on the possibility of 52 combining miniaturized biosensor platforms with high-resolution imaging techniques and methods 53 of using nanoscale and microscale biosensors amenable to SEPM, even if their full potential is yet to 54 be realized. This includes signal transduction by ion nanogating sensors, ion channel probes, and 55 electrochemical aptamer-based sensors.

56 Finally, this review discusses non-scanning techniques employing microelectrode arrays 57 (MEAs) that typically allow for much higher temporal resolution than SEPM, where whole frames of 58 an image can be mapped in a few milliseconds (ms). Moreover, each pixel (electrode in an array 59 device) is measuring simultaneously, as opposed to a mobile scanned probe which can only make 60 measurements at one position at once. However, due to device fabrication restrictions and the 61 potential for electrode cross-talk for electrodes with small separation distances, MEAs have much 62 lower spatial resolution for imaging. This review will cover chemical imaging using electrochemical 63 imaging methods, offer future perspectives that could be realized with the implementation of 64 recently developed biosensor probes in SEPM, and discuss imaging using electrode array-type 65 platforms for spatially resolved chemical measurements in real time.

66 2. Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy

57 SECM, pioneered by Bard et al. in 1989 [11], employs a scanned probe with an active electrode 58 radius of micro- to nanometer dimensions, referred to as an ultramicroelectrode (UME) [12]. The 59 probe electrode is scanned or positioned over a substrate of interest to build an image of 50 electroactivity and/or topography. The smaller the active electrode radius, and the closer it is to the 51 substrate, the higher the attainable spatial resolution for imaging.

72 SECM is a powerful electroanalytical tool to quantitatively study the local electroactivity of a 73 surface [13], with applications in areas including corrosion science [14], crystal dissolution [15], 74 biological permeability [16], enzyme activity [17][18], and kinetic rate studies. Redox-active 75 molecules may be directly detected using an applied potential to oxidize or reduce the molecule at 76 the probe electrode. Chemical specificity is achieved by the proper selection of a potential to oxidize 77 or reduce a molecule of interest, which is convenient if few redox species are present. In the 78 amperometric feedback mode of SECM, a redox-active species is artificially added to the solution, 79 and the current at the tip electrode provides information on the conductivity and topography of the 80 underlying substrate. These may be deconvoluted using a tip-substrate distance feedback mechanism 81 (vide infra). There are many modes of SECM, such as the feedback mode [19], generation collection 82 mode [20], redox competition mode [21] and surface interrogation mode [22]. The advantages and 83 applications of each method are described elsewhere, and not the focus of the present manuscript.

84 2.1. Constant-Distance Imaging Modes

85 Scanning the probe at a fixed height, not accounting for changes in topography of the substrate, 86 is termed constant-height mode. A significant challenge of SECM is that the measured amperometric 87 tip current is a convolution of electrochemical activity and tip-substrate separation distance, which 88 changes due to surface morphology. To overcome this, there has been much effort to introduce 89 feedback mechanisms that take into account surface morphology and sample tilt to enable constant-90 distance imaging, i.e. where the tip-substrate separation distance is kept constant through the scan 91 by continuous readjustment of the height (z-position). Furthermore, a feedback mechanism that 92 allows constant-distance imaging also enables the tip to be placed closer to the sample surface 93 without the possibility of tip-crash.

94 Methods of tip-substrate distance regulation for SECM [23] include simply using the faradaic 95 current, the use of impedance in alternating current (AC)-SECM [24], the use of oscillating probes in

3 of 31

96 tip-position modulation (TPM)-SECM [25], shear-force SECM [26] and intermittent contact (IC)-97 SECM [27] and 3-dimensional super-resolution optical imaging [28]. There are limitations of each of 98 these techniques, for example the faradaic current is somewhat limited by the fact that the current 99 response at an electrode is affected by both the tip-substrate separation distance and the 100 electroactivity of the underlying substrate. AC-SECM uses impedance, thus relies on an 101 electrochemical signal for feedback. TPM may require additional models that take into account the 102 nature (i.e. permeability and conductivity) of the underlying substrate [29]. Shear-force SECM 103 requires specialized probes (flexible glass-coated microelectrodes). IC provides a non-electrochemical 104 feedback, but relies on physical contact between the tip and substrate, thus occluding soft samples. 105 There are also combined techniques such as SECM-SICM [30][31] and SECM-atomic force microscopy 106 (AFM) [32]. However, ion conductance (vide infra) and AFM, when coupled to SECM, require 107 specialized probes that allow for the deconvolution of topography and electroactivity [33]. SECM-108 AFM probes are commercially available, although due to the nature of feedback of AFM, imaging 109 soft cellular samples can be problematic [34].

110 As novel sensor and biosensor platforms become integrated with SECM, which may not use an 111 amperometric current, a means of sensor positioning becomes more challenging [10]. Shear-force has 112 been widely adopted as a non-electrochemical and non-contact method to assign tip-substrate 113 separation [35][36]. In this method of non-contact distance regulation, the tip is oscillated in resonance 114 laterally using a small amplitude (from below 1 nm up to 5 µm), and distance-dependent shear-forces 115 are used to maintain a constant tip-substrate separation. Close to the surface, up to maximum 116 distances of a few hundred nanometers, hydrodynamic shear-forces impede the free lateral 117 movement of the tip, and the amplitude of the vibrating tip is used for distance feedback. SECM-118 SICM has also gained momentum as a means of achieving distance control for topography (using the 119 SICM component of the probe) with simultaneous measurement of an electrochemical signal (using 120 the SECM component of the probe) (vide infra).

121 2.2. Nanoscale Imaging using SECM

A long-term trend in SECM technologies has been the production and implementation of smaller probes, leading to nanoimaging for high resolution (HR)-SECM [37]. The benefits of using nanoelectrodes are to increase the mass transport to the electrode, due to increased diffusion that follows a hemispherical field around the electrode, smaller resistance-capacitor (RC) time constants and low ohmic drops, and to obtain higher spatial resolution images. The radius of a disk electrode will determine the resolution achievable as well as the distance it is from the substrate, meaning distance feedback is required for super-high-resolution imaging with nanoelectrodes.

129 When working with probes that have critical electrode dimensions on the nanoscale, special care 130 should be taken to avoid probe damage caused by electrostatic discharge (ESD) [38]. This has also 131 been reported for nanopipette-supported pyrolytic carbon tips [39]. Local relative humidity may 132 explain why such effects are not always reported, since increased humidity will help to reduce ESD 133 events, which may result in unintended ESD protection. Another consideration for nanoscale 134 imaging is the effect of temperature changes on the piezoelectric positioners that control tip 135 movement. An isothermal chamber can be used to suppress the thermal drift of positioners that may 136 occur over long periods of image acquisition [40]. When such appropriate measures are taken to 137 ensure a stable tip-substrate nanogap, nanometer scale SECM imaging is feasible [41]. A nanometer-138 sized tip, for example, allowed imaging of single 10 or 20 nm gold particles [42]. This size tip also 139 allows studies of the electrocatalytic activity of individual Pt nanoparticles [43].

An interesting development in nanoscale SECM is the use of a nanopipette-supported interface between two immiscible electrolyte solutions (ITIES). Shigeru and coworkers used a 30 nm diameter probe (silanized quartz nanopipette) filled with DCE to produce a nanoscale ITIES, and used this to image a nanoporous Si₃N₄ membrane [44]. The ITIES protruded from the tip of a nanopipette, in a sphere-cap geometry [16], which did not significantly compromise spatial resolution, in part due to the fact that the tip could be scanned closer to the substrate. Mirkin and coworkers recently introduced the electron transfer/ion transfer (ET/IT) mode of SECM (Figure 1), which also utilizes

147 ITIES [45]. In their approach, a nanopipette is filled with an organic liquid phase (e.g. 1,2-148 dichloroethane (DCE)) to form an ITIES at the tip opening. A neutral redox species that is sufficiently 149 soluble in both the aqueous and organic phases (e.g. ferrocenedimethanol (FDM)) is placed initially 150 inside the pipette. Over the course of the experiment, the redox species partitions from the organic 151 phase to the aqueous phase, thus can be delivered to the surface during the experiment in close 152 proximity to a conducting substrate (within a few tip radii), the redox species FDM can diffuse to and 153 oxidize at the surface. The oxidation current measured represents the local ET rate beneath the tip. 154 The product of this reaction at the surface, FDM⁺, can diffuse into the pipette by application of an 155 applied (negative) potential in the electrode within the pipette, which results in an IT tip current. As 156 described, this is referred to as positive IT feedback, since negative IT feedback would refer to a 157 reduction reaction at the substrate surface (Figure 1a). The initial absence of (potentially toxic) redox 158 mediator in bulk solution, as well as the high spatial resolution make this a suitable mode to study 159 biological cells. The ET/IT was shown with proof-of-concept images, including substrate reactivity 160 mapping arising from the oxidation of FDM at a Pt substrate (Figure 1).



161

Figure 1. ET/IT mode of SECM for imaging a 12.5 μm radius Pt disk substrate. External (aqueous)
solution contains 1 mM LiPF₆, and the pipette is filled with 26 mM FDM in DCM (organic). (a)
Schematics of (i) the feedback mode of SECM (ii) ET/IT mode with positive IT feedback and (iii) ET/IT
mode with negative IT feedback. (b) Topography image of the substrate, produced by the negative
IT current of PF₆ IT, shows no features. (c) Substrate reactivity map arising from oxidation current of
FDM partitioning from the filling solution. (Adapted from Ref [45] with permission of The Royal
Society of Chemistry).

169 3. Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy

170 Scanning ion conductance microscopy (SICM) was first introduced by Hansma et al. in 1989 [46]. 171 SICM uses the ion current between two quasi-reference counter electrodes (QRCEs) as a feedback 172 mechanism for high resolution topographical imaging, where one electrode is placed inside a small 173 (10s – 100s nm) pipet and the other is placed in the external bathing electrolyte solution. The method 174 is predicated on measuring probe-substrate separation distance dependent changes in ionic current 175 to map topographical features of the surface. The lateral resolution of SICM depends on the pipet 176 inner opening radius, r_i , where the fundamental limit of resolution can be approximated to $3r_i$, as a 177 useful rule-of-thumb for the minimum resolvable object distance [47]. Even so, features smaller than 178 the fundamental limit and smaller than r_i , can be detected, and so values smaller than this limit can 179 be found in the literature. The 3r limit was obtained using the full width at half maximum (fwhm) of 180 the special point spread function (sPSF) of the SICM, which gives a more meaningful value than using 181 the separation between the closest edges to two resolved objects [47].

5 of 31

182 SICM, as a contact-free SPM, is particularly attractive for its use in imaging living cells by 183 avoiding cell deformation, which could occur using atomic force microscopy (AFM) where tip-184 sample contact is unavoidable (in standard imaging modes) [34]. Live cells have been imaged by 185 SICM, and exceptionally high resolution imaging (comparable to scanning electron microscopy) of 186 the 3D surfaces of tissues have been imaged using hopping mode scans (vide infra) [48], although 187 obtaining chemical information is not trivial. SICM, in the traditional sense, does not provide any 188 chemical information. More recently, SICM as a standalone technique has been used to image ion 189 flux that arise from (electro)chemical reactions at an interface [49]. This is a recent advance, that is 190 not directly chemical imaging, but allows for probing of a reaction of interest at an interface by 191 monitoring changes in local conductivity at a surface. Information can be inferred about chemical 192 reactions at a surface, since chemical transformations result in ion fluxes that will influence the ionic 193 current flowing through the nanopipette imaging probe. In the overwhelming majority of 194 applications, however, SICM is used purely as a measure of local topography.

Generally, in SICM studies, the probe is distance-modulated, so that an alternating component of the ion current (AC) is induced at small tip-substrate separations. Another approach is to modulate the bias between QRCEs, which eliminates the need to physically perturb the probe position, termed bias modulation (BM)-SICM [50]. This reduces convection [51], and electro-osmosis and detrimental effects from extensive polarization of the QRCEs that could occur in distance-modulation SICM.

200 Differential-concentration (Δ C)-SICM, in which the electrolyte composition and concentration 201 inside and outside the nanopipette is not identical, is particularly beneficial for live cell imaging since 202 the electric field strength can be greatly diminished [52]. This technique also highlights the additional 203 capability of an SICM probe for the delivery of molecules of interest to a surface (*vide infra*). This 204 recent expansion of SICM into novel fields beyond topographical measurements has yet to be fully 205 exploited, although it is a particularly well-suited technique for imaging living systems and single 206 cells [6].

207 3.1. Combined SECM-SICM

208 The challenge to image chemical flux using SICM is addressed in different ways. One powerful 209 way is to bring together the complementary techniques SICM and SECM, by making a probe with 210 two-components, in combined SECM-SICM. SICM has traditionally been used to image topography, 211 which also led to its use in combined techniques such as SECM-SICM, whereby chemical information 212 is gathered using the SECM component of the probe, while the SICM component acts solely to 213 measure topography. Originally, this was achieved using atomic layer deposition (ALD) of 214 aluminum oxide to insulate a nanopipette coated with gold (on one side) [30], or similarly with a gold 215 or Pt nanoring [31]. Focused ion beam (FIB) milling is a robust and reliable method to cut these 216 nanotips, to give a planar electrode geometry [31][30]. A carbon ring/platinum disk electrode or 217 carbon ring/nanopore electrode can be fabricated in which the electrode surfaces are also exposed 218 using FIB milling [53]. FIB milling of carbon nanoelectrodes, prepared by chemical vapor deposition 219 (CVD), has enabled high-resolution SECM imaging [39]. Pt nanotips may also be shaped using FIB, 220 to achieve a lower insulating sheath radius for use with SECM [54].

More recently, the dual-barrel pipet with pyrolyzed carbon in one of the two barrels (for the SECM component) has garnered interest due to the ease and speed of probe fabrication [55]. These double barrel carbon nanoprobes (DBCNPs), made using a quartz theta-pipette, can be used for localized chemical delivery, by filling the barrel used for SICM feedback with a molecule of interest. Nanopipette delivery in this form is affected by surface charge [56].

When SICM is coupled to SECM for investigation of cellular uptake, SICM can also be used to deliver species, loaded in the pipet barrel [57]. For example, Unwin and coworkers used an SECM-SICM probe to deliver hexaammineruthenium(III) ($[Ru(NH_3)_6]^{3+}$) to a *Zea mays* root hair cell (Figure 2). The $[Ru(NH_3)_6]^{3+}$ migrates out of the SICM barrel of the pipet, controlled by the applied potential to the electrode inside this barrel, and can be reduced at the SECM carbon electrode. Over an inert surface, the reduction current is higher than in bulk solution, due to the reduced diffusion field,

6 of 31

whereas over a cell, there is a loss of $([Ru(NH_3)_6]^{3+}$ into the cell via membrane transport (e.g. through ion channels), which results in an SECM current that is lower than in bulk solution.



234

235 Figure 2. A dual-barrel SECM-SICM probe is used to visualize molecular ([Ru(NH3)6]3+) delivery and 236 uptake at two regions of a single Zea mays root hair cell. (a) Schematic of the SECM-SICM setup, 237 showing the probe positioned over a cell, in which [Ru(NH3)6]3+) can diffuse/migrate from the 238 delivering SICM barrel to the cell wall. Simultaneous measurement of ([Ru(NH₃)₆]³⁺) reduction at the 239 SECM electrode surface is made during the approach to the surface. This is compared to bulk (steady-240 state) current measurement for quantification. (b) Optical microscope image of the root hair cell. The 241 dashed line marks the scanned area. (c) Topography image using the z-position at the end of each 242 normal approach curve. (d) SECM current image over the sample, normalized to a bulk measurement 243 at each pixel (current at the start of each normal approach curve). (e) Histogram plots of the 244 normalized SECM current at each of the two regions of the root hair cell, labelled as "tip" and "body" 245 in part (c). (Adapted with permission from Ref [57]. Copyright (2017) American Chemical Society).

246 3.2. High-resolution SECM-SICM

The success of high-resolution electrochemical imaging using SEPM, with quantitative current measurements, depends on the design and geometry of the probe used. As the variety of probes used in SEPM platforms has increased, understanding the exact geometry has become increasingly important for quantitation of the current response [58]. Recently, comprehensive tip characterization of SICM probes has been explored using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and ion conductance measurements, taking into account the effects of surface chemistry on the tip current response [44][58][59].

Another approach to improving tip geometry is the deposition of Pt on single barrel carbon probes, which can be useful for the analytical detection of hydrogen peroxide [60]. Platinized carbon nanoelectrode probes possess very thin insulating sheaths, which are required for high-resolution SECM imaging [61], and deposition on recessed carbon electrodes offers additional control on the final tip geometry (recession depth) [9]. Pt-deposited carbon nanoelectrode SECM-SICM probes have been used to image hydrogen peroxide, exploiting the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) on Pt [62].

260 Matsue and coworkers fabricated sphere-shaped Pt electrodes of different sizes, using highly 261 controllable electrochemical deposition of Pt on the carbon-filled barrel of dual barrel SECM-SICM 262 probes. Probes of increased sphere diameter are produced at increased current. This sphere-capped 263 probe geometry led to electrodes with much improved sensitivity as compared to the bare carbon 264 nanoelectrodes, due to enhanced faradaic current (Figure 3) [63]. It is also worth mentioning that 265 these probes with protuding geometries maintain high resolution for imaging, yet have much 266 improved sensitivity than a planar disk geometry, which should prove invaluable for using 267 miniaturized biosensors for chemical imaging.

268

7 of 31



269 270

Figure 3. Dual barrel SECM-SICM probes are used to image immunocytochemically-stained 271 EGFR proteins on A431 cells. (a) SECM-SICM probes with Pt sphere electrodeposited on the carbon 272 SECM nanoelectrode, with increasing (left-right) amount of Pt deposition. (b) Cyclic voltammogram 273 of a bare carbon nanoelectrode (red) and a Pt-deposited probe (blue) at -10 nA final deposition. (c) 274 Topographic (left) and electrochemical (right) image of A431 cells acquired using a bare carbon 275 electrode over an $80 \times 80 \,\mu\text{m}$ scan area. (d) Topography and (e) electrochemical images of a A431 cells 276 acquired using a Pt-deposited electrode, where the scan area is 75 \times 75 μ m on the left and 50 \times 50 μ m 277 on the zoom-in (right). (Adapted with permission from Ref [63]. Copyright (2015) American Chemical 278 Society).

279 4. Functional and Chemical Specific Probes for SECM

280 The development of electrochemical biosensors to quantitatively detect new targets has been a 281 growing field that seeks to attain improvements in the fundamental analytical figures of merit, which 282 include sensitivity, selectivity, limit of detection (LOD) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). As sensors 283 become smaller, they may be implemented into an SEPM for chemical imaging, provided that the 284 figures of merit are sufficient for an observable and meaningful measurement [64]. Pushing the 285 spatial resolution of an imaging sensor can have a detrimental effect on other figures of analytical 286 merit; in particular for the sensitivity of sensors predicated on surface-modified electrodes without 287 the use of signal amplification.

288 Electrochemistry is a powerful tool in understanding neurotransmission, due to the spatial and 289 temporal superiority over other techniques [65]. Carbon fiber electrodes are widely used to monitor 290 neurotransmitters, catecholamines and their metabolites, with a huge body of work focused on 291 dopamine. Selectivity in the measurement arises from the unique redox potentials of the redox-active 292 molecules of interest. This amperometric measurement may lack the selectivity to discriminate 293 specific molecules with closely separated redox potentials, although fast scan cyclic voltammetry 294 (FSCV) does allow better selectivity within a measurement. Interference from certain species can be 295 minimized using chemical additives to the media, such as ascorbate oxidase to avoid the interference 296 of ascorbic acid (present in extracellular media) [66]. However, methods of achieving better selectivity 297 as well as addressing the need to detect non-electroactive species requires modified probes such as 298 electrochemical biosensors.

8 of 31

299 An example of using a functional probe for imaging is the use of a dual electrochemical 300 microsensor to simultaneously image oxygen and pH over the surface of a rat kidney [67]. The probe 301 consisted of two recessed 10 μ m diameter Pt electrodes, separated by 50 – 70 μ m. One was modified 302 with electrodeposited Pt followed by a coating of hydrophobic photocured polymer. This portion of 303 the probe was used for amperometric detection of O₂, while the second was modified with an 304 electrodeposited layer of iridium oxide (IrO₂) for pH mapping. The highly porous surface 305 modification (Pt or IrO2 layers) improved sensitivity and provided almost immediate response times 306 of $t_{90\%} = 0.17 \pm 0.005$ s for the O₂ sensor and $t_{90\%} = 0.43 \pm 0.09$ s for the pH sensor. A similar approach 307 has been used for dual barrel SECM-SICM probes that can function as a pH sensor, exploiting the pH 308 sensitivity of IrO₂ [68].

309 4.1. Enzyme Modified Probes for Chemical Imaging in Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy

310 The enzyme activity of an enzyme-modified surface can be mapped using SECM [69], but 311 electrode scanned probes modified with enzymes can themselves provide a means of achieving 312 specific analyte recognition. Enzymes can be attached to the electrode surface directly, by covalent 313 bonding, or by entrapment of the enzyme in a polymer film over the electrode surface. Immobilized 314 enzyme sensors for species-selective have been implemented in the SECM [70], for example an 315 enzymatic amperometric biosensor was used to measure the release of endogenous D-serine in the 316 brain of stage 48 albino Xenopus laevis tadpoles [71]. This electrode had an appropriate dimension (25 317 µm diameter), and gave good temporal resolution, to be used as a probe in SECM [72]. The probe 318 itself consisted of a 25 µm diameter Pt disk electrode with an electrodeposited layer of ploy-m-319 phenylenediamine (PPD) and an adsorbed enzyme layer of D-amino acid oxidase from R. gracilis 320 (RgDAAO). Enzyme immobilization onto Pt UMEs by electropolymerization or casting was also 321 performed to image single live cells using SECM [73].

The incorporation of biosensors on the micro- and nanoscales will find more use in SECM techniques, provided that achieving reproducible sensors with reasonable response times at the sizescale required for imaging is feasible. There are some examples of types of biosensors that could be used for chemical imaging that work using enzyme-modification [74]. An enzyme coating was deposited onto a 10 μ m Pt UME, either by cross-linking, electropolymerization or adsorption, and was used for imaging glucose and lactate (Figure 4) [75]. However, there remain challenges and opportunities in the miniaturization of enzyme-based sensors [76].

- 329 330
- 331
- 332



9 of 31

Figure 4. Imaging of glucose uptake by live cells using an enzyme-modified probe in SECM. (a)
Optical microscope image of the scanned area covering several MCF10A cells. Scale bar is 30 µm; (b)
Constant height SECM image of glucose uptake of the MCF10A cells, using electropolymerized 10
µm Pt GOx-UME biosensor; (c) Single line scans of the normalized (to steady-state bulk) current of
the biosensor probe over a single cell. Black lines represent the convoluted activity and the
topography contributions of the current and red lines represent only the topographical contribution.
(Adapted with permission from Ref [75]. Copyright (2017) American Chemical Society).

341 4.2. Potential for Biosensor Probes in Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy

342 There are currently very few examples of scanning micro- or nanoscale biosensors for imaging 343 applications. The key challenges are the miniaturization of the sensor, and implementing tip-344 positioning feedback. Noteworthy examples include carbon microelectrodes that have been modified 345 with [NiFe]-hydrogenase embedded in a viologen-modified redox polymer hydrogel to produce a 346 microbiosensor for hydrogen detection with high sensitivity (30 times higher current associated with 347 hydrogen generation as compared with a bare Pt microelectrode) in scanning photoelectrochemical 348 microscopy (SPECM) [77]. Also, a UME functioned as an insulin sensor, made by incorporating a 349 multiwalled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) and dihydropyran film. This sensor achieved real-time 350 direct electrochemical detection of insulin concentration within extracellular media [78].

351 For the development of novel sensors with high specificity to a target molecule, aptamers are a 352 promising candidate for incorporation into electrochemical imaging probes. Aptamers are short 353 single-stranded DNA or RNA oligonucleotides or peptides that are able to bind to specific molecules. 354 They can be engineered to undergo reversible conformational changes when binding to a specific 355 target molecule, which makes them a promising class of biosensor for highly selective chemical 356 imaging. Electrode surfaces modified with nucleic acids, at a sizescale that would allow for operation 357 with SECM, have been produced. For example, Kelley and coworkers produced nanostructured 358 microelectrodes with diameters between 10 and 100 µm, which could be made into specific sensors 359 for different bacterial targets by immobilization of a particular peptide nucleic acid (PNA) on the 360 surface [79]. Electrochemical aptamer-based (E-AB) sensors, which typically operate on the 361 macroscale, can also be achieved on micron sized electrodes [80]. Electrochemical DNA (E-DNA) 362 sensors have been produced on recessed Pt substrates as low as 10 nm, but with gold 363 electrodeposition used to greatly increase the surface area (to 1000 µm² as measured by gold oxide 364 reduction in CV) [81]. As smaller biosensors become more widely used, applications in chemical 365 imaging are expected to increase.

E-AB nanosensors have been fabricated on single Au nanowire electrodes; a small sized footprint electrode that takes advantage of the protruding geometry for improved sensor sensitivity [82]. In this example however, while the sizescale of the E-AB nanosensor is amenable to high resolution imaging, the signaling mechanism for the ATP-selective E-AB sensor would not be appropriate for imaging. The E-AB nanosensor was made using a duplex DNA, which could dissociate in the presence of ATP, meaning methylene blue (MB)-labeled aptamer would need to be re-added (in the absence of ATP) for regeneration of the signal.

373 4.3. Scanning Ion-Selective Electrode Technique (SIET)

374 Small-scale ion-selective electrodes (ISEs) provide another means of achieving specific detection 375 and imaging when coupled with SEPM. The ISEs may be glass membrane, solid state, liquid-based 376 or compound electrodes. Ion-selective microelectrodes (ISMEs) operate on the sizescale that makes 377 them useful for SECM and imaging. Bard and coworkers introduced scanning ISMEs (1 µm tip 378 diameter) in 1995, selective for ions such as NH4+, K+ and Zn2+, and coupled with SICM for feedback 379 [83]. Mg²⁺ ISMEs have been demonstrated for potentiometric SECM monitoring of Galvanic corrosion 380 processes [84]. Carbon-based solid-state Ca²⁺ ISEs [85], and dual-electrode pH sensors with fast 381 response times were used to quantitatively map the chemical environment at a model substrate 382 bioactive glass (BAG) [85].

10 of 31

383 ISMEs are potentiometric probes with high impedance, which, in addition to the capacitance of 384 the measuring system, will result in long response times (several seconds). As a result, the imaging 385 speeds attainable without image distortion will be limited [86]. Long scanning times are often a 386 requirement for imaging using potentiometric SECM, which often means dynamically changing 387 systems cannot be studied. Thus, there is great effort to reduce the response time of the ISME probe, 388 as well as signal processing methods to deconvolute a raw distorted image obtained at high scan rate 389 [87]. This type of correction, in which images can be obtained using systems that have not reached 390 equilibrium at each pixel, can be obtained, at an order of magnitude faster than without the 391 deconvolution. Temporal resolution is an important consideration for imaging, and especially so with 392 potentiometric probes such as ISEs. Ions and anions must be measured slowly (0.5 to 1 seconds per 393 pixel) due mainly to mechanical disturbance of the ion concentration gradient when the probe is 394 moved but also to the time constant of the electrode, which is tenths of seconds for liquid ion 395 exchanger (LIX) electrodes.

For improved spatial resolution, nano-ISEs have been prepared for imaging K⁺ flux in living human embryonic kidney 293 cells (HEK293) (Figure 5) [88]. In this study, a 200-300 nm inner radius capillary was used, and each pixel in the image was the average of a 0.4 s interval measurement.



400 401

402

403

404

405

Figure 5. K⁺-selective nanoelectrodes were used to simultaneously image topography and K⁺ flux using SECM. (a) Optical microscopy image of a glass capillary used as an ion-selective nanoelectrode for SECM. (b) SEM micrograph of the same tip. (c) Topography image. (d) Maximum gradient of the sample surface image. (e) SECM current image of HEK293 cells. (Adapted with permission from Ref [88]. Copyright (2014) American Chemical Society).

406

407 5. Biosensor Probes in Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy

408 5.1. Functionalized Glass Nanopiettes for Ion Gating Based Sensors

409 Nanopipettes can be functionalized, typically with a protein that binds to a specific target and 410 undergo a signal change to function as a sensor, which has been termed signal transduction by ion 411 nanogating (STING). There are a few examples of nanopipettes modified with proteins to produce 412 reversible sensors that respond to a specific target molecule. For example, glucose oxidase has been 413 surface immobilized on the inner walls of a glass nanopipette to function as a glucose sensor, used 414 for intracellular detection of elevated glucose levels in single cancer cells [89]. Also, a glass 415 nanopipette was functionalized with calmodulin protein which reversibly binds to cations such as 416 Ca^{2+} , resulting in a decrease in current at a negatively biased pore [90]. While a large area of the glass 417 nanopipette is functionalized with an antibody, DNA, peptide or aptamer, due to a high impedance 418 of nanopipettes, the sensitivity of the device is confined to within a micron of the 50 nm tip orifice. 419 These probes offer the sizescale and fast response times required for SEPM imaging, but functional 420 mapping at the nanoscale has yet to be realized in this emerging field. Nanopipettes functionalized

11 of 31

421 with specific recognition elements are a promising developing area of research that could yield 422 biosensors capable of imaging at the single cell level [91]. In particular, aptamer-functionalized 423 nanopipettes demonstrate reversible response to a target, not readily observed with antibody-424 modified nanopipettes [92]. Pourmand and coworkers used the ion current through an aptamer 425 functionalized STING sensor nanopipette to demonstrate reversible and quantitative detection of 426 thrombin [93]. This technology has been limited to bulk solution measurements thus far, but imaging 427 using the principles of STING should be practically achievable, since these sensors can be readily and 428 cheaply made at nanometer dimensions (typically 100 nm diameter at the tip orifice) and they 429 demonstrate reversibility to changing target concentration, with a response time of a few seconds 430 (faster response times may be expected with smaller target molecules.

431 5.2. Ion Channel Probe-Based Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy

A particularly exciting and emerging recent development in the field of SICM is the use of a probe that supports a lipid bilayer at the tip, into which ion channels are embedded, thus providing specificity and very high signal to noise ratios. Ion channels are nature's nanopores that can be exploited as nanoscale biosensors by monitoring changes in an ion current that flows through the channel(s) [94]. Different membrane proteins that bind to specific targets, including previously unattainable molecules, can be incorporated into a lipid bilayer to enable molecule-specific nanoscale biosensors with single molecule sensitivity.

439 A lipid bilayer can be formed at the end of a glass micropipette [95,96], which can then be 440 employed in a scanning probe microscope, such as a scanning ion conductance microscope (SICM) 441 [46]. Combining an ion channel probe with SICM allows for localized quantitative concentration 442 mapping of a target analyte. Recently, ion channel-based probes (ICPs) for SICM have been 443 introduced, which can operate either using a single-barrel [97,98] or a dual-barrel pipette [99]. The 444 dual-barrel approach offers the potential advantages of decoupling the SICM feedback current and 445 ICP current measurements, and allowing operation with fewer ion channels which may sometimes 446 be beneficial. These approaches allow topography imaging [97], as well as simultaneous topography 447 and selective molecular flux mapping [98,99]. These ICPs for SICM provide a means to quantitatively 448 elucidate mechanistic and spatial information on important biological transport processes.

449 An alpha-hemolysin (α HL) ion channel was incorporated into a lipid bilayer at the opening of a 450 glass micropore pipette, and was used to image β -cyclodextrin (β CD) and heptakis(6-O-sulfo)- β -451 cyclodextrin (S₇βCD) diffusing out of a glass micropore substrate (Figure 6) [98]. These cyclodextrin 452 molecules can enter and exit the β -barrel region of the α HL protein, causing a transient blocking of 453 ion current through the pore. As a proof-of-concept, the imaging spatial resolution was fairly poor, 454 although this was partially due to the requirement to spend a sufficient amount of time at each pixel 455 (30 seconds) in order to collect enough events for some qualitative (if not quantitative [100]) analysis 456 of ligand concentration by capturing channel-blocking events in the current-time signal. The α HL ion 457 channel is very widely studied [101], but does not have the ability to bind to specific molecules of 458 interest, thus lacks practical application as a biosensor.

459 Baker and coworkers used human embryonic kidney293 cells transfected with BK channels 460 (large-conductance, voltage, and calcium-activated potassium channels) onto which patch clamp 461 measurements could be made. Using suction, membrane patches could be extracted from single cells, 462 and probes were made in both the outside-out and inside-out configurations [99]. Using a double-463 barrel probe for membrane-patching required the pipettes to be fire-polished to minimize 464 capacitative artifacts and facilitate a gigaseal membrane across the opening [99]. This method of 465 membrane patching opens up the range of ion channels that can be incorporated into a ICP [99][102]. 466 The library of proteins that has been exploited for use in nanopore-based biosensors is still small. 467 More challenging proteins, such as heat shock cognate 70 (Hsc70) which forms a multi-conductance 468 state pore in the presence of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), can also be incorporated into a lipid 469 bilayer. For this non-well behaved channel, the charge flux has been monitored as a means to quantify 470 ATP concentration from the current-time response [103].

471

12 of 31



473

474Figure 6. Single barrel ion channel probes can be used to image a substrate. (a) Schematic of475the single-barrel ICP SICM set up, showing a probe above a glass micropore containing 100 mM of476 β CD. (b) (i) Average current image shows the effect of changing topography, with highest current477directly over the pore. (ii) Current-time traces of the ICP barrel obtained at (i) pixel A, over the glass478substrate and (ii) pixel B, directly over the micropore where the observed binding event frequency is479highest. (c) As for (b), except S₇βCD was used in the pore instead. (Adapted with permission from480Ref [98]. Copyright (2016) American Chemical Society).

481

482 There are significant challenges that make chemical imaging using ion channel nanopores 483 difficult to implement. It is highly conceivable that the use of specific ligand-gated ion channels will 484 find further use with complementary techniques such as SICM for imaging, where the SICM 485 component of the probe functions for topography mapping of a substrate, and the ion channel 486 component of the probe functions to give quantitative chemical information at particular locations of 487 a sample. Various attempts at quantifying ion channel activity to specific species concentration, 488 including for multiple channels [100], have been demonstrated [102][103]. Dual-barrel ICPs are thus 489 required to decouple the distance feedback from the ion channel activation so that quantitative 490 chemical imaging can be realized.

- 491
- 492
- 493

494 6. Advanced Scanning Modes of SEPM Including Fast Scanning and Imaging Movies

495 6.1. Hopping Imaging Modes of SICM and SECM

496 A hopping mode of scanning was conceived as a means to probe topographically challenging 497 substrates [104], since the probe performs a short approach curve at every pixel in the image. This 498 minimizes the time the probe spends close to the substrate, but is also advantageous in that current 499 information can be collected during the approach and plotted to give chemical concentration 500 information away from as well as at the surface, as in hopping intermittent contact (HIC)-SECM [105]. 501 This was first demonstrated using 4-dimensional shear-force-based constant-distance (4D SF/CD)-502 SECM, in which shear-forces were used to obtain sample topography and images were collected at a 503 series of (constant) distances from the surface [106]. The same approach has been termed depth scan 504 mode for imaging topography of cells [107] and tracking live cell response to Cd^{2+} concentrations 505 [108][109].

13 of 31

506 Collecting a measurement at the surface, and a second measurement in bulk solution (i.e. far 507 enough away from the surface that any surface feedback effects have little to no effect on the 508 measurement), allows self-referencing of the probe. At each pixel in the image, the probe is calibrated, 509 so that changes in probe response over time can be accounted for, which is especially important for 510 lengthy experiments or measurements performed in living systems that may be more dynamic and 511 change over time [57].

512 6.2. Fast Scanning and Imaging Movies Obtained with SEPM

513 Mauzeroll and coworkers, used probe speeds in SECM of 50 µm s⁻¹ to scan linescans of a single 514 HeLa cell [110]. For SECM, the challenge of high-speed imaging remains the availability of models 515 that take into account the effects of increased and forced convection, fluid flow and changes to 516 diffusion, caused by the probe moving at increased velocities [111][110][112].

517 Typical hopping scans withdraw the tip after every approach to the surface by a constant height, 518 which negatively affects temporal resolution. Matsue and coworkers used a new scanning algorithm 519 to perform hopping SICM at high speeds, through which the amplitude of the tip withdrawal was 520 controlled [113]. Briefly, very short approaches were used, which could result in a contact between 521 tip and sample. If this happens, the tip could be withdrawn a few steps (in the x-direction) and 522 approaches with a greater withdrawal amplitude can be used for that region of more challenging 523 topography on the surface. Since smoother regions can be scanned faster, a topography image was 524 collected every 18 s (64 × 64 pixels at 10 × 10 µm for an image of microvilli on an A431 cell). There are 525 other examples of creative scanning modes such as using algorithms to correct for image skew that 526 could be a problem with potentiometric SEPM at fast scan rates, provided the object being imaged is 527 symmetrical [114], and using the predicted movement of a pipette during imaging over parts of a 528 sample that the topography does not change much [115].

529 Another recent theme in electrochemical imaging has been producing quantitative movies of 530 activity of a sample. Each frame in a movie can correspond to a potential in a voltammogram, 531 obtained by performing linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) or cyclic voltammetry (CV) at every pixel 532 in the scan (position on the substrate). The frames can be made from repetitive scans over an area of 533 interest, where each frame of the movie is a new scan of the surface, which may change over time. 534 Alternatively, the frames can be made by performing dynamic voltammetric measurements at each 535 pixel in a single scan, such as linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) or cyclic voltammetry (CV), to 536 construct a movie in which each frame corresponds to a different potential.

537 Originally conceived as a dual-barrel pipette based technique [116], and most recently in a single 538 barrel format [117], scanning electrochemical cell microscopy (SECCM) is a droplet cell-based 539 imaging technique. The advantages of a droplet electrochemical cell are that the contact area of the 540 meniscus defines the area of the substrate that is probed at each pixel. This is in contrast to techniques 541 like SECM and SICM, in which the entire surface needs bathing in solution. This does limit the 542 technique to non-biological samples, since cells require a stable solution-based environment for 543 healthy and proper function. Movies of electrochemical activity have been performed using LSV-544 SECCM for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) on MoS₂, to study the intrinsic activity of the edge 545 and basal plane sites [117][118]. Unwin and coworkers have implemented a non-raster-scan pattern 546 following a spiral trajectory for faster imaging with SECCM [119]. Image sequences were collected 547 with a frame rate of 0.24 fps, meaning an image was recorded every 4 s. This is orders of magnitude 548 higher than has been achieved before. The droplet probe had a radius of 200 nm, giving high spatial 549 resolution too, with about 1000 pixels um⁻². Piezo stages that have low capacity and high resonance 550 frequency are required for imaging at these high speeds [119][113].

Faster imaging can result in new insights on dynamic processes, such as nanoparticle nucleation and growth. In the last five years, advances in the scanning pattern and fast response of tippositioning has facilitated imaging with frame rates less up to 0.24 fps. In these examples, both high spatial and high temporal resolution are achieved. There are alternative strategies to increase the temporal resolution of electrochemical imaging, such as by using microelectrode arrays.

14 of 31

556 7. Microelectrode Arrays and Large-Scale Integration Chips

557 Electrochemical imaging can also be achieved without the use of a scanned probe, through the 558 use of an individually addressable microelectrode array (MEA) or similar devices. MEAs have been 559 presented as a means to "image" single cells, rapidly and quantitatively [120][121]. Ewing and 560 coworkers used well-based MEAs, with $16 \times 4 \,\mu\text{m}$, $25 \times 3 \,\mu\text{m}$ or $36 \times 2 \,\mu\text{m}$ square ultramicroelectrodes 561 at a few microns separation, each in a 40 \times 40 μ m area dimensions that allowed for examining 562 exocytosis events from a single pheochromocytoma PC12 cell [121]. Video imaging data were 563 presented at 8-electrodes that the cell covered, showing subcellular spatial heterogeneity of exocytotic 564 release. The devices were coated in a mouse collagen IV solution that promoted adhesion of the PC12 565 cells, so they could grow directly over the electrode wells of the MEA. Subsequent work was carried 566 out that deployed a movable lithographically fabricated thin film MEA, to perform 2-dimensional 567 imaging of single vesicle release events [122], obtaining a balance between spatial resolution (1.2 μ m 568 closely packed electrodes) and very good temporal resolution. This method relied on there being 569 enough molecules in a single exocytosis event that they could be detected by three or more opposing 570 electrodes, by modelling the response at these electrodes to locate the origin of exocytotic release. 571 This was employed to distinguish heterogeneity within a single chromaffin cell surface for the release 572 of catecholamine, stimulated by BaCl2 and MgCl2.

573 Large-scale integration (LSI) chips, which pair a charge-coupled device (CCD) and a 574 complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS) sensor, have been used to image biomolecule 575 concentrations typically on a millimeter scale with pixels (sensors) 10s of µm across. This is a much 576 larger scale than is generally used for SEPM imaging, bar a few exceptions [123]. This type of sensor 577 is useful for high-throughput analysis, largely because it offers the ability to probe many samples at 578 once, under well-defined conditions. As an imaging tool, the size of the device, and inherently needed 579 electrode separation distance, will limit its use. Due to the limitations of device size and structure, 580 this type of imaging will typically have lower resolution [124]. Matsue and coworkers used an 581 amperometric sensor array device at a size-scale suited to cell clusters.

582 Matsue and coworkers have been instrumental in developing this type of imaging platform, with 583 the most widely used application being interrogation of 3D cultured cells using electrochemical chip 584 devices [125]. MEAs require time-consuming and sophisticated fabrication methods. Also, the 585 interelectrode spacing is an important factor that governs spatial resolution, since electrodes need to 586 be sufficiently separated to avoid chemical cross-talk between adjacent electrodes. To enhance the 587 ability of the sensor array device, the approach has been extended to offer simultaneous multi-588 reaction imaging. In electrochemicolor imaging, two (or more) different potentials are applied at 589 alternate electrodes within the array (Figure 7) [126]. Alternate potentials can be applied at alternate 590 electrodes on the device (V1 and V2 modes in Figure 7), with only moderate loss of imaging spatial 591 resolution, using a mathematical approach to fill in the now "missing" pixels. Importantly, current is 592 measured simultaneously at all pixels, so temporal resolution is not affected. This is demonstrated 593 for the simultaneous imaging of activities of glucose oxidase (GOx) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) 594 at enzyme membranes (Figure 7) as a proof of principle, and for mouse ES cell cultures (embryoid 595 bodies). The enzymatic reaction of glucose oxidase (GOx) with glucose consumes O₂, leading to a 596 lower measured current associated with O₂ reduction at the electrode surface, which was held at a 597 potential of -0.5 V. Similarly, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) reacts with *p*-aminophenol phosphate 598 (PAPP) to form *p*-aminophenol (PAP), which was measured electrochemically at the electrode surface 599 by oxidation to p-quinone imine (QI), when the potential was held at +0.4 V. These indirect 600 measurements of non-electrochemically active species are common for biosensor platforms, and the 601 ability to hold different potentials for different electrodes within an array partly overcomes the 602 problem of selectivity, since it is possible to monitor multiple biomolecules simultaneously. 603 Furthermore, images acquired using this platform show the activities associated with two molecules, 604 using two color scales to differentiate between the electrode potential at which the current was 605 collected.

606

15 of 31



607

608 Figure 7. Principles and example images using electrochemicolor imaging. (a) Schematic of a 609 sample over a microelectrode array that has individual alternate electrodes set at a potential to 610 oxidize redox species A (red) and reduce redox species B (green). (b) Schematics for the detection 611 mechanism of GOx activity (left) and ALP activity (right). (c) Optical microscope image (left) and 612 electrochemical current images (right three panels) of four membranes on the MEA device with 613 glucose PAPP. Ref and (Adapted with permission from [126] 614 (http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b03042). Further permissions related to the material 615 excerpted should be directed to the ACS).

616

617 Another novel approach is integrating a MEA and microfluidic device for chemical imaging 618 using electrochemistry. SECM is incompatible with closed microchannels, due to the requirement of 619 a scanned probe positioned directly above the substrate. The first imaging using *in situ* voltammetry 620 for microfluidics used a 20×10 electrode array (Figure 8) [127]. This technique has inherently very 621 low spatial resolution, which could be as low as 25 μ m for 340 \times 340 μ m electrodes, limited by the 622 device fabrication. While this technique suffers poor spatial resolution as compared with SEPM, very 623 fast temporal resolution is achieved. Moreover, this type of device further illustrates the possibility 624 of chemical imaging in environments that SEPM is not feasible.

625

16 of 31





628 Electrochemical imaging for microfluidics. (a) Schematic of microfluidic device Figure 8. 629 housing a MEA. (b) (i) Electrochemical image of a co-flow of $Ru(NH_3)_{6^{3+}}$ (red) and $Fe(CN)_{6^{3-}}$ (blue) at 630 inlet 1 and 3, respectively. (ii) Representative CVs for $Ru(NH_3)_{6^{3+}}$ (red), $Fe(CN)_{6^{3-}}$ (blue) and the 631 overlapping region (black dashed), without baseline correction. (c) Electrochemical image of 10 mM 632 Fe(CN)_{6³⁻} stream from inlet 2, with confinement streams from inlets 1 and 3. (i) raw data, (ii) after 633 smoothing algorithm applied (iii) optical image with dye added to the analyte and (iv) computer 634 simulation. Scale bar is 1 mm. (Adapted from Ref [127] with permission of The Royal Society of 635 Chemistry).

636

637 Using 64 subarrays of 128 individual Pt working electrodes, high-density MEAs of 8192 638 individually addressable electrodes were created and used to map norepinephrine across a 2 × 2 mm 639 area [128]. Temporal resolution was limited to 10 ms per subarray, or 64 seconds at a rate of 1 Hz per 640 subarray. Spatial resolution was limited to 30 µm. As pointed out in their work, this system should 641 be best suited to augment traditional microscopy methods and as a tool to image chemical 642 distributions in biological systems. In a proof-of-concept design proposed by Henry and coworkers, 643 using only four devices (each with a 130 µm sampling port leading to carbon paste electrodes (CPEs)), 644 the chemical gradient of dopamine was probed across a 3 mm length scale (sampling ports positioned 645 1 mm apart)) over hundreds of seconds (with continuous measurement of current) [129]. While this 646 may not strictly be considered imaging (currents were only spatially resolved in one-dimension), the 647 results serve well as an introduction to the benefits of using multiple electrode platforms for the 648 spatiotemporal resolution of targets that do not require the use of fluorescent or chemiluminescent 649 active targets as used in other microscopy platforms.

650 A promising method in the application of biosensor probes to realize a real-time spatially 651 resolved imaging strategy is to use devices with a low-number of (multiple) electrodes over a large 652 area [130]. As a particularly useful method for spatially resolved measurements in challenging 653 biological systems, such as intracortical recordings, this technology is still developing [131]. It has 654 been shown that flexible neural probes can be inserted into living tissue, with the assistance of a 655 stiffener assembly, in a further example of an application of electrochemical imaging in a location 656 inaccessible to standard SEPM methods operated with a mobile scanning probe [132]. Although this 657 concept has yet to be applied to producing images of chemical concentration, different sensors for

17 of 31

multi-analyte detection (e.g. glutamate and dopamine) or for spatially resolved measurements hasbeen achieved [133].

660 The first report of an application of a LSI-based device for real-time electrochemical-based long 661 time (over 3 hours) monitoring of cells, was demonstrated for measuring alkaline phosphatase (ALP) 662 from embryoid bodies [134]. Other devices have been made that are suitable for large substrate 663 surfaces that require rapid electrochemical imaging. Topography and conductivity were measured 664 using a LSI-based device with 400-electrode sensors to produce images of a series of large substrate 665 surfaces [135]. Potentiometric bioimaging using the same LSI-based device was achieved by 666 modifying the electrodes for enzyme activity measurements of glucose oxidase and ALP, at 667 embryonic stem (ES) cells [136]. MEAs are amenable to biosensor modifications, and these devices 668 represent a promising new tool for bioimaging of enzyme activity and chemical concentrations over 669 large areas (mm scale). An important consideration for achieving higher resolution imaging when 670 using MEAs is the possibility of cross-talk between adjacent electrodes, although this can be avoided 671 if the thickness of the diffusion layer of each microelectrode is less than half the separation distance 672 between the electrodes.

Lindau and coworkers showed that single vesicle release events from chromaffin cells were resolved from spikes in the current-time traces (which constituted the pixels of the electrochemical image) owing to the low pA current resolution and effective temporal resolution of 0.5 ms (giving a sensitivity of ~6000 molecules) [137]. The device comprised a 100-electrode array (10 × 10 low noise complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (CMOS) potentiostat array) that was used to detect dopamine release.

679 7.1. Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy using Microelectrode Array Probes

680 There are examples of combining SECM and MEAs, that involve using a linear array as a 681 scanned probe [138][139]. Single soft probes were developed by Girault and coworkers (for 682 antioxidant mapping) that were capable of tracing the contours of a soft surface [140]. These probes 683 were developed into soft linear array probes, in an approach that allows scanning over a large area 684 in a shorter time than for a single electrode probe to achieve high-throughput imaging [141]. A 685 disadvantage of using a linear array as a scanned probe is that given a topographically varied site, 686 each electrode will be at a slightly different tip-substrate separation distance during the scan. A 687 means to overcome this is to use a fingerprobe (FP) MEA, in which each electrode in the array traces 688 the topography independently of its neighboring electrodes [142], or spider-probes, which operate 689 on the same principle [143].

690 8. Conclusions and Perspectives

691 In this review, the recent advances in chemical imaging using electrochemical methods have 692 been summarized, with a particular focus on scanning methods that use principles of SECM and 693 SICM for cell imaging. There has been much attention on the improvements in both spatial and 694 temporal resolution in electrochemical imaging. With spatial resolution, smaller probes used in SEPM 695 offer improved resolution, provided that the chemical sensing ability of electrodes at low micro- and 696 nanoscale sizes matches those of their macroscale equivalents. With temporal resolution, 697 improvements in SEPM have required piezoelectric positioners with a high-resonance frequency. The 698 alternative to SEPM is to use MEA devices, although there are technical challenges that limit the 699 spatial resolution of these platforms.

A future direction of electrochemical imaging will be the further integration of complementary techniques, as has been successfully achieved for SECM-AFM, SECM-SICM and most recently ICP-SICM. The integration of biosensors that offer specificity for a target of interest to SEPM is particularly exciting, since non-electrochemically active molecules may be detected using sensors with high specificity. There are further opportunities to couple electrochemical imaging platforms with other techniques, such as SECM-ATR and SECM-Raman.

SICM in the traditional sense does not provide chemical information, but it is a powerful tool in
 SEPM as a means of topography determination, and has proven useful for local delivery of molecules

18 of 31

708 to a substrate. SECM offers chemical imaging, especially in solutions of few interfering species, 709 although selectivity is usually limited to redox-active molecules that can be distinguished by their 710 sufficiently unique redox potentials. Electrochemical biosensors, ion-selective electrodes and ion 711 channel probes offer superior selectivity, though these types of probes require additional time and 712 care in fabrication. The field of electrochemical biosensors has thus far largely presented 713 opportunities for point-of-care applications that employ macroscale sensors. Further applications of 714 miniaturized sensors used in SEPM can be expected within the emerging fields of quantitative 715 measurement of specific targets using ICPs and STING sensors for SICM and E-AB sensors for SECM. 716

- Acknowledgments: This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation underCHE 1608679.
- 719 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 720

19 of 31

721 References

- Li, Y.; Ning, X.; Ma, Q.; Qin, D.; Lu, X. Recent advances in electrochemistry by scanning
 electrochemical microscopy. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 2016, *80*, 242–254,
 doi:10.1016/j.trac.2016.02.002.
- Zoski, C. G. Review—Advances in Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy (SECM). J. *Electrochem. Soc.* 2016, 163, H3088–H3100, doi:10.1149/2.0141604jes.
- 3. Izquierdo, J.; Knittel, P.; Kranz, C. Scanning electrochemical microscopy: an analytical perspective. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2018, 410, 307–324, doi:10.1007/s00216-017-0742-7.
- Bergner, S.; Vatsyayan, P.; Matysik, F.-M. Recent advances in high resolution scanning
 electrochemical microscopy of living cells A review. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2013, 775, 1–13,
 doi:10.1016/j.aca.2012.12.042.
- 5. Schulte, A.; Nebel, M.; Schuhmann, W. Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy in
 Neuroscience. *Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem.* 2010, 3, 299–318,
 doi:10.1146/annurev.anchem.111808.073651.
- Page, A.; Perry, D.; Unwin, P. R. Multifunctional scanning ion conductance microscopy. *Proc. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 2017, 473, doi:10.1098/rspa.2016.0889.
- 737 7. Takahashi, Y.; Kumatani, A.; Shiku, H.; Matsue, T. Scanning Probe Microscopy for Nanoscale
 738 Electrochemical Imaging. *Anal. Chem.* 2017, *89*, 342–357, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04355.
- Kranz, C. Recent advancements in nanoelectrodes and nanopipettes used in combined
 scanning electrochemical microscopy techniques. *Analyst* 2014, 139, 336–352,
 doi:10.1039/C3AN01651J.
- 742 9. Kang, M.; Momotenko, D.; Page, A.; Perry, D.; Unwin, P. R. Frontiers in Nanoscale
 743 Electrochemical Imaging: Faster, Multifunctional, and Ultrasensitive. *Langmuir* 2016, 32, 7993–
 744 8008, doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b01932.
- 745 10. Kueng, A.; Kranz, C.; Mizaikoff, B. Scanning Probe Microscopy with Integrated Biosensors.
 746 Sens. Lett. 2003, 1, 2–15, doi:10.1166/sl.2003.001.
- Bard, A. J.; Fan, F. R. F.; Kwak, J.; Lev, O. Scanning electrochemical microscopy. Introduction
 and principles. *Anal. Chem.* 1989, *61*, 132–138, doi:10.1021/ac00177a011.
- 749 12. Heinze, J. Ultramicroelectrodes in Electrochemistry. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. English* 1993, 32,
 750 1268–1288, doi:10.1002/anie.199312681.
- Bard, A. J.; Fan, F.-R. F.; Pierce, D. T.; Unwin, P. R.; Wipf, D. O.; Zhou, F. Chemical Imaging of
 Surfaces with the Scanning Electrochemical Microscope. *Science (80-.).* 1991, 254, 68 LP-74,
 doi:10.1126/science.254.5028.68.

- Payne, N. A.; Stephens, L. I.; Mauzeroll, J. The Application of Scanning Electrochemical
 Microscopy to Corrosion Research. *CORROSION* 2017, *73*, 759–780, doi:10.5006/2354.
- Perry, A. R.; Lazenby, R. A.; Adobes-Vidal, M.; Peruffo, M.; McKelvey, K.; Snowden, M. E.;
 Unwin, P. R. Hopping intermittent contact-scanning electrochemical microscopy (HIC-SECM)
 as a new local dissolution kinetic probe: application to salicylic acid dissolution in aqueous
 solution. *CrystEngComm* 2015, *17*, 7835–7843, doi:10.1039/C5CE00138B.
- Ishimatsu, R.; Kim, J.; Jing, P.; Striemer, C. C.; Fang, D. Z.; Fauchet, P. M.; McGrath, J. L.;
 Amemiya, S. Ion-Selective Permeability of an Ultrathin Nanoporous Silicon Membrane as
 Probed by Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy Using Micropipet-Supported ITIES Tips. *Anal. Chem.* 2010, *82*, 7127–7134, doi:10.1021/ac1005052.
- 764 17. Oyamatsu, D.; Hirano, Y.; Kanaya, N.; Mase, Y.; Nishizawa, M.; Matsue, T. Imaging of enzyme
 765 activity by scanning electrochemical microscope equipped with a feedback control for
 766 substrate-probe distance. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2003, 60, 115–121, doi:10.1016/S1567767 5394(03)00055-0.
- 18. Gyurcsányi, R. E.; Jágerszki, G.; Kiss, G.; Tóth, K. Chemical imaging of biological systems with
 the scanning electrochemical microscope. *Bioelectrochemistry* 2004, 63, 207–215,
 doi:10.1016/j.bioelechem.2003.12.011.
- 19. Kwak, J.; Bard, A. J. Scanning electrochemical microscopy. Theory of the feedback mode.
 772 *Anal. Chem.* 1989, *61*, 1221–1227, doi:10.1021/ac00186a009.
- D. Martin, R.; R. Unwin, P. Scanning electrochemical microscopy Kinetics of chemical reactions following electron-transfer measured with the substrate-generation-tip-collection mode. *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* **1998**, *94*, 753–759, doi:10.1039/A707984B.
- Eckhard, K.; Chen, X.; Turcu, F.; Schuhmann, W. Redox competition mode of scanning
 electrochemical microscopy (RC-SECM) for visualisation of local catalytic activity. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2006, *8*, 5359–5365, doi:10.1039/B609511A.
- Rodríguez-López, J.; Alpuche-Avilés, M. A.; Bard, A. J. Interrogation of Surfaces for the
 Quantification of Adsorbed Species on Electrodes: Oxygen on Gold and Platinum in Neutral
 Media. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16985–16995, doi:10.1021/ja8050553.
- 782 23. O'Connell, M. A.; Wain, A. J. Combined electrochemical-topographical imaging: a critical
 783 review. *Anal. Methods* 2015, *7*, 6983–6999, doi:10.1039/C5AY00557D.
- 24. Eckhard, K.; Schuhmann, W. Alternating current techniques in scanning electrochemical
 microscopy (AC-SECM). *Analyst* 2008, *133*, 1486–1497, doi:10.1039/B806721J.
- Wipf, D. O.; Bard, A. J. Scanning electrochemical microscopy. 15. Improvements in imaging
 via tip-position modulation and lock-in detection. *Anal. Chem.* 1992, 64, 1362–1367,
 doi:10.1021/ac00037a011.

- Hengstenberg, A.; Kranz, C.; Schuhmann, W. Facilitated Tip-Positioning and Applications of
 Non-Electrode Tips in Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy Using a Shear Force Based
 Constant-Distance Mode. *Chem. A Eur. J.* 2000, *6*, 1547–1554, doi:10.1002/(SICI)15213765(20000502)6:9<1547::AID-CHEM1547>3.0.CO;2-C.
- McKelvey, K.; Edwards, M. A.; Unwin, P. R. Intermittent Contact–Scanning Electrochemical
 Microscopy (IC–SECM): A New Approach for Tip Positioning and Simultaneous Imaging of
 Interfacial Topography and Activity. *Anal. Chem.* 2010, *82*, 6334–6337, doi:10.1021/ac101099e.
- Sundaresan, V.; Marchuk, K.; Yu, Y.; Titus, E. J.; Wilson, A. J.; Armstrong, C. M.; Zhang, B.;
 Willets, K. A. Visualizing and Calculating Tip–Substrate Distance in Nanoscale Scanning
 Electrochemical Microscopy Using 3-Dimensional Super-Resolution Optical Imaging. *Anal. Chem.* 2017, *89*, 922–928, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04073.
- 800 29. Edwards, M. A.; Whitworth, A. L.; Unwin, P. R. Quantitative Analysis and Application of Tip
 801 Position Modulation-Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 2011, *83*, 1977–1984,
 802 doi:10.1021/ac102680v.
- 803 30. Comstock, D. J.; Elam, J. W.; Pellin, M. J.; Hersam, M. C. Integrated
 804 Ultramicroelectrode–Nanopipet Probe for Concurrent Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy
 805 and Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 2010, *82*, 1270–1276,
 806 doi:10.1021/ac902224q.
- Takahashi, Y.; Shevchuk, A. I.; Novak, P.; Murakami, Y.; Shiku, H.; Korchev, Y. E.; Matsue, T.
 Simultaneous Noncontact Topography and Electrochemical Imaging by SECM/SICM
 Featuring Ion Current Feedback Regulation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 10118–10126,
 doi:10.1021/ja1029478.
- 811 32. Gardner, C. E.; Macpherson, J. V Peer Reviewed: Atomic Force Microscopy Probes Go
 812 Electrochemical. *Anal. Chem.* 2002, 74, 576 A-584 A, doi:10.1021/ac0221482.
- 813 33. Velmurugan, J.; Agrawal, A.; An, S.; Choudhary, E.; Szalai, V. A. Fabrication of Scanning 814 Electrochemical Microscopy-Atomic Force Microscopy Probes to Image Surface Topography 815 and the Nanoscale. Chem. 2017. 89. 2687-2691, Reactivity at Anal. 816 doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00210.
- 817 34. Seifert, J.; Rheinlaender, J.; Novak, P.; Korchev, Y. E.; Schäffer, T. E. Comparison of Atomic
 818 Force Microscopy and Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy for Live Cell Imaging. *Langmuir*819 2015, *31*, 6807–6813, doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b01124.
- 820 35. Ludwig, M.; Kranz, C.; Schuhmann, W.; Gaub, H. E. Topography feedback mechanism for the
 821 scanning electrochemical microscope based on hydrodynamic forces between tip and sample.
 822 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 1995, 66, 2857–2860, doi:10.1063/1.1145568.
- 82336.Ballesteros Katemann, B.; Schulte, A.; Schuhmann, W. Constant-Distance Mode Scanning824Electrochemical Microscopy (SECM) Part I: Adaptation of a Non-Optical Shear-Force-Based

- 825
 Positioning Mode for SECM Tips. Chem. A Eur. J. 2003, 9, 2025–2033,

 826
 doi:10.1002/chem.200204267.
- 827 37. Clausmeyer, J.; Schuhmann, W. Nanoelectrodes: Applications in electrocatalysis, single-cell
 828 analysis and high-resolution electrochemical imaging. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 2016, 79, 46–
 829 59, doi:10.1016/j.trac.2016.01.018.
- 830 38. Nioradze, N.; Chen, R.; Kim, J.; Shen, M.; Santhosh, P.; Amemiya, S. Origins of Nanoscale
 831 Damage to Glass-Sealed Platinum Electrodes with Submicrometer and Nanometer Size. *Anal.*832 *Chem.* 2013, *85*, 6198–6202, doi:10.1021/ac401316n.
- 833 39. Chen, R.; Hu, K.; Yu, Y.; Mirkin, M. V; Amemiya, S. Focused-Ion-Beam-Milled Carbon
 834 Nanoelectrodes for Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2016, 163,
 835 H3032–H3037, doi:10.1149/2.0071604jes.
- Kim, J.; Shen, M.; Nioradze, N.; Amemiya, S. Stabilizing Nanometer Scale Tip-to-Substrate
 Gaps in Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy Using an Isothermal Chamber for Thermal
 Drift Suppression. *Anal. Chem.* 2012, *84*, 3489–3492, doi:10.1021/ac300564g.
- Kim, J.; Renault, C.; Nioradze, N.; Arroyo-Currás, N.; Leonard, K. C.; Bard, A. J. Nanometer
 Scale Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy Instrumentation. *Anal. Chem.* 2016, *88*, 10284–
 10289, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b03024.
- 842 42. Sun, T.; Yu, Y.; Zacher, B. J.; Mirkin, M. V Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy of Individual
 843 Catalytic Nanoparticles. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2014, 53, 14120–14123,
 844 doi:10.1002/anie.201408408.
- Kim, J.; Renault, C.; Nioradze, N.; Arroyo-Currás, N.; Leonard, K. C.; Bard, A. J.
 Electrocatalytic Activity of Individual Pt Nanoparticles Studied by Nanoscale Scanning
 Electrochemical Microscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 8560–8568, doi:10.1021/jacs.6b03980.
- Kenner, R.; Balla, R. J.; Lima, A.; Amemiya, S. Characterization of Nanopipet-Supported ITIES
 Tips for Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy of Single Solid-State Nanopores. *Anal. Chem.* **2017**, *89*, 9946–9952, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02269.
- 45. Wang, Y.; Kececi, K.; Velmurugan, J.; Mirkin, M. V Electron transfer/ion transfer mode of
 scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM): a new tool for imaging and kinetic studies. *Chem. Sci.* 2013, 4, 3606–3616, doi:10.1039/C3SC50825K.
- 46. Hansma, P. K.; Drake, B.; Marti, O.; Gould, S. A.; Prater, C. B. The scanning ion-conductance
 microscope. *Science* (80-.). **1989**, 243, 641 LP-643, doi:10.1126/science.2464851.
- 856 47. Rheinlaender, J.; Schäffer, T. E. Lateral Resolution and Image Formation in Scanning Ion
 857 Conductance Microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 2015, *87*, 7117–7124, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00900.
- 858 48. Nakajima, M.; Mizutani, Y.; Iwata, F.; Ushiki, T. Scanning ion conductance microscopy for
 859 visualizing the three-dimensional surface topography of cells and tissues. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.*

23 of 31

860	2018 , 73, 125–131, doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.09.024.
-----	--

- 49. Momotenko, D.; McKelvey, K.; Kang, M.; Meloni, G. N.; Unwin, P. R. Simultaneous Interfacial
 Reactivity and Topography Mapping with Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 2016, *88*, 2838–2846, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04566.
- McKelvey, K.; Perry, D.; Byers, J. C.; Colburn, A. W.; Unwin, P. R. Bias Modulated Scanning
 Ion Conductance Microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 2014, *86*, 3639–3646, doi:10.1021/ac5003118.
- S1. Chen, C.-C.; Baker, L. A. Effects of pipette modulation and imaging distances on ion currents
 measured with Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy (SICM). *Analyst* 2011, *136*, 90–97,
 doi:10.1039/C0AN00604A.
- 869 52. Perry, D.; Page, A.; Chen, B.; Frenguelli, B. G.; Unwin, P. R. Differential-Concentration
 870 Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 2017, *89*, 12458–12465,
 871 doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b03543.
- 872 53. Thakar, R.; Weber, A. E.; Morris, C. A.; Baker, L. A. Multifunctional carbon nanoelectrodes 873 fabricated by focused ion beam milling. Analyst 2013, 138, 5973-5982, 874 doi:10.1039/C3AN01216F.
- Lazenby, R. A.; McKelvey, K.; Peruffo, M.; Baghdadi, M.; Unwin, P. R. Nanoscale intermittent
 contact-scanning electrochemical microscopy. *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 2013, 17, 2979–2987.

Takahashi, Y.; Shevchuk, A. I.; Novak, P.; Zhang, Y.; Ebejer, N.; Macpherson, J. V; Unwin, P.
R.; Pollard, A. J.; Roy, D.; Clifford, C. A.; Shiku, H.; Matsue, T.; Klenerman, D.; Korchev, Y. E.
Multifunctional Nanoprobes for Nanoscale Chemical Imaging and Localized Chemical
Delivery at Surfaces and Interfaces. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2011, *50*, 9638–9642,
doi:10.1002/anie.201102796.

Shi, W.; Sa, N.; Thakar, R.; Baker, L. A. Nanopipette delivery: influence of surface charge.
 Analyst 2015, 140, 4835–4842, doi:10.1039/C4AN01073F.

Page, A.; Kang, M.; Armitstead, A.; Perry, D.; Unwin, P. R. Quantitative Visualization of
Molecular Delivery and Uptake at Living Cells with Self-Referencing Scanning Ion
Conductance Microscopy-Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 2017, *89*, 3021–
3028, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04629.

888 58. Perry, D.; Momotenko, D.; Lazenby, R. A.; Kang, M.; Unwin, P. R. Characterization of
889 Nanopipettes. *Anal. Chem.* 2016, acs.analchem.6b01095.

Tognoni, E.; Baschieri, P.; Ascoli, C.; Pellegrini, M.; Pellegrino, M. Characterization of tip size
and geometry of the pipettes used in scanning ion conductance microscopy. *Micron* 2016, *83*,
11–18, doi:10.1016/j.micron.2016.01.002.

Actis, P.; Tokar, S.; Clausmeyer, J.; Babakinejad, B.; Mikhaleva, S.; Cornut, R.; Takahashi, Y.;
López Córdoba, A.; Novak, P.; Shevchuck, A. I.; Dougan, J. A.; Kazarian, S. G.; Gorelkin, P. V;

24 of 31

895 Erofeev, A. S.; Yaminsky, I. V; Unwin, P. R.; Schuhmann, W.; Klenerman, D.; Rusakov, D. A.;
896 Sviderskaya, E. V; Korchev, Y. E. Electrochemical Nanoprobes for Single-Cell Analysis. *ACS*897 *Nano* 2014, *8*, 875–884, doi:10.1021/nn405612q.

- Hu, K.; Gao, Y.; Wang, Y.; Yu, Y.; Zhao, X.; Rotenberg, S. A.; Gökmeşe, E.; Mirkin, M. V;
 Friedman, G.; Gogotsi, Y. Platinized carbon nanoelectrodes as potentiometric and
 amperometric SECM probes. *J. Solid State Electrochem.* 2013, *17*, 2971–2977, doi:10.1007/s10008013-2173-5.
- 902 62. O'Connell, M. A.; Lewis, J. R.; Wain, A. J. Electrochemical imaging of hydrogen peroxide
 903 generation at individual gold nanoparticles. *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 10314–10317,
 904 doi:10.1039/C5CC01640A.
- 905 63. Şen, M.; Takahashi, Y.; Matsumae, Y.; Horiguchi, Y.; Kumatani, A.; Ino, K.; Shiku, H.; Matsue,
 906 T. Improving the Electrochemical Imaging Sensitivity of Scanning Electrochemical
 907 Microscopy-Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy by Using Electrochemical Pt Deposition.
 908 Anal. Chem. 2015, 87, 3484–3489, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00027.
- 909 64. Justino, C. I. L.; Rocha-Santos, T. A.; Duarte, A. C.; Rocha-Santos, T. A. Review of analytical
 910 figures of merit of sensors and biosensors in clinical applications. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.*911 2010, 29, 1172–1183, doi:10.1016/j.trac.2010.07.008.
- 65. Ganesana, M.; Lee, S. T.; Wang, Y.; Venton, B. J. Analytical Techniques in Neuroscience:
 Recent Advances in Imaging, Separation, and Electrochemical Methods. *Anal. Chem.* 2017, *89*,
 914 314–341, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04278.
- 91566.Huffman, M. L.; Venton, B. J. Carbon-Fiber Microelectrodes for In Vivo Applications. Analyst916**2009**, 134, 18–24, doi:10.1039/b807563h.
- 917 67. Ha, Y.; Myung, D.; Shim, J. H.; Kim, M. H.; Lee, Y. A dual electrochemical microsensor for
 918 simultaneous imaging of oxygen and pH over the rat kidney surface. *Analyst* 2013, *138*, 5258–
 919 5264, doi:10.1039/C3AN00878A.
- 88. Nadappuram, B. P.; McKelvey, K.; Al Botros, R.; Colburn, A. W.; Unwin, P. R. Fabrication and
 Characterization of Dual Function Nanoscale pH-Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy
 (SICM) Probes for High Resolution pH Mapping. *Anal. Chem.* 2013, *85*, 8070–8074,
 doi:10.1021/ac401883n.
- 69. Lin, T.-E.; Cortés-Salazar, F.; Lesch, A.; Qiao, L.; Bondarenko, A.; Girault, H. H. Multiple
 925 scanning electrochemical microscopy mapping of tyrosinase in micro-contact printed fruit
 926 samples on polyvinylidene fluoride membrane. *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, 179, 57–64,
 927 doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2015.03.224.
- 928 70. Horrocks, B. R.; Schmidtke, D.; Heller, A.; Bard, A. J. Scanning electrochemical microscopy.
 929 24. Enzyme ultramicroelectrodes for the measurement of hydrogen peroxide at surfaces. *Anal.*930 *Chem.* 1993, 65, 3605–3614, doi:10.1021/ac00072a013.

- 931 71. Polcari, D.; Kwan, A.; Van Horn, M. R.; Danis, L.; Pollegioni, L.; Ruthazer, E. S.; Mauzeroll, J.
 932 Disk-Shaped Amperometric Enzymatic Biosensor for in Vivo Detection of d-serine. *Anal.*933 *Chem.* 2014, *86*, 3501–3507, doi:10.1021/ac404111u.
- 934 72. Polcari, D.; Perry, S. C.; Pollegioni, L.; Geissler, M.; Mauzeroll, J. Localized Detection of d935 Serine by using an Enzymatic Amperometric Biosensor and Scanning Electrochemical
 936 Microscopy. *ChemElectroChem* 2017, *4*, 920–926, doi:10.1002/celc.201600766.
- 937 73. Ciobanu, M.; Taylor, D. E.; Wilburn, J. P.; Cliffel, D. E. Glucose and Lactate Biosensors for
 938 Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy Imaging of Single Live Cells. *Anal. Chem.* 2008, *80*,
 939 2717–2727, doi:10.1021/ac7021184.
- P40 74. Lugo-Morales, L. Z.; Loziuk, P. L.; Corder, A. K.; Toups, J. V.; Roberts, J. G.; McCaffrey, K. A.;
 P41 Sombers, L. A. Enzyme-Modified Carbon-Fiber Microelectrode for the Quantification of
 P42 Dynamic Fluctuations of Nonelectroactive Analytes Using Fast-Scan Cyclic Voltammetry.
 P43 Anal. Chem. 2013, 85, 8780–8786, doi:10.1021/ac4017852.
- Soldà, A.; Valenti, G.; Marcaccio, M.; Giorgio, M.; Pelicci, P. G.; Paolucci, F.; Rapino, S. Glucose
 and Lactate Miniaturized Biosensors for SECM-Based High-Spatial Resolution Analysis: A
 Comparative Study. ACS Sensors 2017, 2, 1310–1318, doi:10.1021/acssensors.7b00324.
- 947 76. Creager, S. E.; Olsen, K. G. Self-assembled monolayers and enzyme electrodes: Progress,
 948 problems and prospects. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 1995, 307, 277–289, doi:10.1016/0003-2670(94)00506949 H.
- 77. Zhao, F.; Conzuelo, F.; Hartmann, V.; Li, H.; Stapf, S.; Nowaczyk, M. M.; Rögner, M.; Plumeré,
 N.; Lubitz, W.; Schuhmann, W. A novel versatile microbiosensor for local hydrogen detection
 by means of scanning photoelectrochemical microscopy. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2017, 94, 433–437,
 doi:10.1016/j.bios.2017.03.037.
- Wilburn, J. P.; Ciobanu, M.; Cliffel, D. E. Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy of Individual
 Pancreatic Islets. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2016, 163, H3077–H3082, doi:10.1149/2.0111604jes.
- 956 79. Das, J.; Kelley, S. O. Tuning the Bacterial Detection Sensitivity of Nanostructured
 957 Microelectrodes. *Anal. Chem.* 2013, *85*, 7333–7338, doi:10.1021/ac401221f.
- 80. Liu, J.; Wagan, S.; Dávila Morris, M.; Taylor, J.; White, R. J. Achieving Reproducible
 Performance of Electrochemical, Folding Aptamer-Based Sensors on Microelectrodes:
 Challenges and Prospects. *Anal. Chem.* 2014, *86*, 11417–11424, doi:10.1021/ac503407e.
- 81. Salamifar, S. E.; Lai, R. Y. Fabrication of Electrochemical DNA Sensors on Gold-Modified
 82. Recessed Platinum Nanoelectrodes. *Anal. Chem.* 2014, *86*, 2849–2852, doi:10.1021/ac403816h.
- Wang, D.; Xiao, X.; Xu, S.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y. Electrochemical aptamer-based nanosensor fabricated
 on single Au nanowire electrodes for adenosine triphosphate assay. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2018,
 99, 431–437, doi:10.1016/j.bios.2017.08.020.

- Wei, C.; Bard, A. J.; Nagy, G.; Toth, K. Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. 28. Ion-Selective
 Neutral Carrier-Based Microelectrode Potentiometry. *Anal. Chem.* 1995, 67, 1346–1356,
 doi:10.1021/ac00104a008.
- 84. Izquierdo, J.; Kiss, A.; Santana, J. J.; Nagy, L.; Bitter, I.; Isaacs, H. S.; Nagy, G.; Souto, R. M.
 970 Development of Mg2+ Ion-Selective Microelectrodes for Potentiometric Scanning
 971 Electrochemical Microscopy Monitoring of Galvanic Corrosion Processes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.*972 2013, 160, C451–C459, doi:10.1149/2.001310jes.
- 85. Ummadi, J. G.; Downs, C. J.; Joshi, V. S.; Ferracane, J. L.; Koley, D. Carbon-Based Solid-State
 974 Calcium Ion-Selective Microelectrode and Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy: A
 975 Quantitative Study of pH-Dependent Release of Calcium Ions from Bioactive Glass. *Anal.*976 *Chem.* 2016, *88*, 3218–3226, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04614.
- 86. Filotás, D.; Asserghine, A.; Nagy, L.; Nagy, G. Short-term influence of interfering ion activity
 change on ion-selective micropipette electrode potential; another factor that can affect the time
 needed for imaging in potentiometric SECM. *Electrochem. commun.* 2017, 77, 62–64,
 doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2017.02.010.
- 98187.Kiss, A.; Nagy, G. Deconvolution of potentiometric SECM images recorded with high scan982rate. *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, 163, 303–309, doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2015.02.096.
- 983 88. Yamada, H.; Haraguchi, D.; Yasunaga, K. Fabrication and Characterization of a K+-Selective
 984 Nanoelectrode and Simultaneous Imaging of Topography and Local K+ Flux Using Scanning
 985 Electrochemical Microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 2014, *86*, 8547–8552, doi:10.1021/ac502444y.
- 89. Nascimento, R. A. S.; Özel, R. E.; Mak, W. H.; Mulato, M.; Singaram, B.; Pourmand, N. Single
 987 Cell "Glucose Nanosensor" Verifies Elevated Glucose Levels in Individual Cancer Cells. *Nano*988 *Lett.* 2016, 16, 1194–1200, doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.5b04495.
- 989 90. Vilozny, B.; Actis, P.; Seger, R. A.; Vallmajo-Martin, Q.; Pourmand, N. Reversible Cation
 990 Response with a Protein-Modified Nanopipette. *Anal. Chem.* 2011, *83*, 6121–6126,
 991 doi:10.1021/ac201322v.
- 99291.Actis, P.; Mak, A. C.; Pourmand, N. Functionalized nanopipettes: toward label-free, single cell993biosensors. *Bioanal. Rev.* 2010, 1, 177–185, doi:10.1007/s12566-010-0013-y.
- 994 92. Umehara, S.; Karhanek, M.; Davis, R. W.; Pourmand, N. Label-free biosensing with
 995 functionalized nanopipette probes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2009, *106*, 4611 LP-4616.
- 996 93. Actis, P.; Rogers, A.; Nivala, J.; Vilozny, B.; Seger, R. A.; Jejelowo, O.; Pourmand, N. Reversible
 997 thrombin detection by aptamer functionalized STING sensors. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2011, 26,
 998 4503–4507, doi:10.1016/j.bios.2011.05.010.
- 999
 94.
 Shi, W.; Friedman, A. K.; Baker, L. A. Nanopore Sensing. Anal. Chem. 2017, 89, 157–188,

 1000
 doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04260.

1001 1002	95.	Coronado, R.; Latorre, R. Phospholipid bilayers made from monolayers on patch-clamp pipettes. <i>Biophys. J.</i> 1983 , <i>43</i> , 231–236, doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(83)84343-4.							
1003 1004	96.	Alvarez, O. Ion Channel Reconstitution. In <i>Ion Channel Reconstitution;</i> Miller, C., Ed.; Springer US: New York, 1986; pp. 115–130 ISBN 978-1-4757-1363-3.							
1005 1006	97.	Zhou, Y.; Bright, L. K.; Shi, W.; Aspinwall, C. A.; Baker, L. A. Ion Channel Probes for Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy. <i>Langmuir</i> 2014 , <i>30</i> , 15351–15355, doi:10.1021/la504097f.							
1007 1008 1009	98.	Macazo, F. C.; White, R. J. Bioinspired Protein Channel-Based Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy (Bio-SICM) for Simultaneous Conductance and Specific Molecular Imaging. <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> 2016 , <i>138</i> , 2793–2801, doi:10.1021/jacs.5b13252.							
1010 1011 1012	99.	Shi, W.; Zeng, Y.; Zhou, L.; Xiao, Y.; Cummins, T. R.; Baker, L. A. Membrane patches as ion channel probes for scanning ion conductance microscopy. <i>Faraday Discuss.</i> 2016 , <i>193</i> , 81–97, doi:10.1039/C6FD00133E.							
1013 1014 1015	100.	Lazenby, R. A.; Macazo, F. C.; Wormsbecher, R. F.; White, R. J. Quantitative Framework for Stochastic Nanopore Sensors Using Multiple Channels. <i>Anal. Chem.</i> 2018 , <i>90</i> , 903–911, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b03845.							
1016 1017	101.	Bayley, H.; Braha, O.; Gu, L. Q. Stochastic sensing with protein pores. <i>Adv. Mater.</i> 2000 , <i>12</i> , 139–142, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1521-4095(200001)12:2<139::AID-ADMA139>3.0.CO;2-Q.							
1018 1019 1020	102.	Shi, W.; Zeng, Y.; Zhu, C.; Xiao, Y.; Cummins, T. R.; Hou, J.; Baker, L. A. Characterization of Membrane Patch-Ion Channel Probes for Scanning Ion Conductance Microscopy. <i>Small</i> 2017 , n/a-n/a, doi:10.1002/smll.201702945.							
1021 1022 1023	103.	Macazo, F. C.; White, R. J. Monitoring Charge Flux to Quantify Unusual Ligand-Induced Ion Channel Activity for Use in Biological Nanopore-Based Sensors. <i>Anal. Chem.</i> 2014 , <i>86</i> , 5519– 5525, doi:10.1021/ac500832a.							
1024 1025 1026 1027	104.	Novak, P.; Li, C.; Shevchuk, A. I.; Stepanyan, R.; Caldwell, M.; Hughes, S.; Smart, T. G.; Gorelik, J.; Ostanin, V. P.; Lab, M. J.; Moss, G. W. J.; Frolenkov, G. I.; Klenerman, D.; Korchev, Y. E. Nanoscale live-cell imaging using hopping probe ion conductance microscopy. <i>Nat. Methods</i> 2009 , <i>6</i> , 279.							
1028 1029 1030	105.	Lazenby, R. A.; McKelvey, K.; Unwin, P. R. Hopping intermittent contact-scanning electrochemical microscopy (HIC-SECM): Visualizing interfacial reactions and fluxes from surfaces to bulk solution. <i>Anal. Chem.</i> 2013 , <i>85</i> , 2937–2944.							
1031 1032 1033	106.	Nebel, M.; Eckhard, K.; Erichsen, T.; Schulte, A.; Schuhmann, W. 4D Shearforce-Based Constant-Distance Mode Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. <i>Anal. Chem.</i> 2010 , <i>82</i> , 7842–7848, doi:10.1021/ac1008805.							
1034 1035	107.	Li, M. S. M.; Filice, F. P.; Ding, Z. Determining live cell topography by scanning electrochemical microscopy. <i>J. Electroanal. Chem.</i> 2016 , 779, 176–186,							

1036	doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2016.02.042.
1000	

- 1037 108. Filice, F. P.; Li, M. S. M.; Henderson, J. D.; Ding, Z. Mapping Cd2+-induced membrane
 1038 permeability changes of single live cells by means of scanning electrochemical microscopy.
 1039 *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2016, 908, 85–94, doi:10.1016/j.aca.2015.12.027.
- 1040 109. Henderson, J. D.; Filice, F. P.; Li, M. S. M.; Ding, Z. Tracking live cell response to cadmium (II)
 1041 concentrations by scanning electrochemical microscopy. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2016, 158, 92–98,
 1042 doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2015.11.016.
- 1043 110. Kuss, S.; Trinh, D.; Mauzeroll, J. High-Speed Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy Method
 1044 for Substrate Kinetic Determination: Application to Live Cell Imaging in Human Cancer. *Anal.*1045 *Chem.* 2015, *87*, 8102–8106, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01269.
- 1046 111. Kuss, S.; Trinh, D.; Danis, L.; Mauzeroll, J. High-Speed Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy
 1047 Method for Substrate Kinetic Determination: Method and Theory. *Anal. Chem.* 2015, *87*, 8096–
 1048 8101, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01268.
- 1049 112. Kuss, S.; Kuss, C.; Trinh, D.; Schougaard, S. B.; Mauzeroll, J. Forced convection during 1050 scanning electrochemical microscopy imaging over living cells: Effect of topographies and 1051 kinetics on the microelectrode current. Electrochim. Acta **2013**, 110, 42-48, 1052 doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2013.03.149.
- 1053 113. Ida, H.; Takahashi, Y.; Kumatani, A.; Shiku, H.; Matsue, T. High Speed Scanning Ion
 1054 Conductance Microscopy for Quantitative Analysis of Nanoscale Dynamics of Microvilli.
 1055 Anal. Chem. 2017, 89, 6015–6020, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00584.
- 1056114.Kiss, A.; Nagy, G. New SECM scanning algorithms for improved potentiometric imaging of1057circularly symmetric targets.*Electrochim.Acta***2014**,119,169–174,1058doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2013.12.041.
- 1059 115. Zhuang, J.; Jiao, Y.; Mugabo, V. A new scanning mode to improve scanning ion conductance
 1060 microscopy imaging rate with pipette predicted movement. *Micron* 2017, 101, 177–185,
 1061 doi:10.1016/j.micron.2017.07.007.
- 1062 116. Ebejer, N.; Schnippering, M.; Colburn, A. W.; Edwards, M. A.; Unwin, P. R. Localized High
 1063 Resolution Electrochemistry and Multifunctional Imaging: Scanning Electrochemical Cell
 1064 Microscopy. *Anal. Chem.* 2010, *82*, 9141–9145, doi:10.1021/ac102191u.
- 1065 117. Bentley, C. L.; Kang, M.; Unwin, P. R. Nanoscale Structure Dynamics within Electrocatalytic
 1066 Materials. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 16813–16821, doi:10.1021/jacs.7b09355.
- 1067 118. Bentley, C. L.; Kang, M.; Maddar, F. M.; Li, F.; Walker, M.; Zhang, J.; Unwin, P. R.
 1068 Electrochemical maps and movies of the hydrogen evolution reaction on natural crystals of 1069 molybdenite (MoS2): basal vs. edge plane activity. *Chem. Sci.* 2017, *8*, 6583–6593, 1070 doi:10.1039/C7SC02545A.

1071	119.	Momotenko, 1	D.; F	Byers,	J.	C.;	McKelvey,	K.;	Kang,	М.;	Unwin,	Р.	R.	High-Speed
1072		Electrochemica	al Ima	aging	AC	S Na	no 2015 , 9, 89	942–8	8952, do	i:10.1	021/acsna	ano.	.5b02	2792.

- 1073 120. Wang, J.; Trouillon, R.; Lin, Y.; Svensson, M. I.; Ewing, A. G. Individually Addressable Thin1074 Film Ultramicroelectrode Array for Spatial Measurements of Single Vesicle Release. *Anal.*1075 *Chem.* 2013, *85*, 5600–5608, doi:10.1021/ac4009385.
- 1076 121. Wang, J.; Trouillon, R.; Dunevall, J.; Ewing, A. G. Spatial Resolution of Single-Cell Exocytosis
 1077 by Microwell-Based Individually Addressable Thin Film Ultramicroelectrode Arrays. *Anal.*1078 *Chem.* 2014, *86*, 4515–4520, doi:10.1021/ac500443q.
- 1079 122. Wigström, J.; Dunevall, J.; Najafinobar, N.; Lovrić, J.; Wang, J.; Ewing, A. G.; Cans, A.-S.
 1080 Lithographic Microfabrication of a 16-Electrode Array on a Probe Tip for High Spatial
 1081 Resolution Electrochemical Localization of Exocytosis. *Anal. Chem.* 2016, *88*, 2080–2087,
 1082 doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b03316.
- 1083 123. Schierbaum, N.; Hack, M.; Betz, O.; Schäffer, T. E. Macro-SICM: A Scanning Ion Conductance
 1084 Microscope for Large-Range Imaging. *Anal. Chem.* 2018, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04764.
- 1085 124. Abe, H.; Ino, K.; Li, C.-Z.; Kanno, Y.; Inoue, K. Y.; Suda, A.; Kunikata, R.; Matsudaira, M.;
 1086 Takahashi, Y.; Shiku, H.; Matsue, T. Electrochemical Imaging of Dopamine Release from
 1087 Three-Dimensional-Cultured PC12 Cells Using Large-Scale Integration-Based Amperometric
 1088 Sensors. *Anal. Chem.* 2015, *87*, 6364–6370, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01307.
- 1089 125. Ino, K.; Sen, M.; Shiku, H.; Matsue, T. Micro/nanoelectrochemical probe and chip devices for
 1090 evaluation of three-dimensional cultured cells. *Analyst* 2017, 142, 4343–4354,
 1091 doi:10.1039/C7AN01442B.
- 1092 Kanno, Y.; Ino, K.; Abe, H.; Sakamoto, C.; Onodera, T.; Inoue, K. Y.; Suda, A.; Kunikata, R.; 126. 1093 Matsudaira, M.; Shiku, H.; Matsue, T. Electrochemicolor Imaging Using an LSI-Based Device 1094 Multiplexed Cell Chem. 2017, for Assays. Anal. 89, 12778-12786, 1095 doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b03042.
- 1096 127. Kara, A.; Reitz, A.; Mathault, J.; Mehou-Loko, S.; Amirdehi, M. A.; Miled, A.; Greener, J.
 1097 Electrochemical imaging for microfluidics: a full-system approach. *Lab Chip* 2016, *16*, 1081–
 1087, doi:10.1039/C6LC00077K.
- 1099 128. Wydallis, J. B.; Feeny, R. M.; Wilson, W.; Kern, T.; Chen, T.; Tobet, S.; Reynolds, M. M.; Henry,
 1100 C. S. Spatiotemporal norepinephrine mapping using a high-density CMOS microelectrode
 1101 array. *Lab Chip* 2015, *15*, 4075–4082, doi:10.1039/C5LC00778J.
- 1102 129. Mensack, M. M.; Wydallis, J. B.; Lynn, N. S.; Dandy, D. S.; Henry, C. S. Spatially resolved
 1103 electrochemical sensing of chemical gradients. *Lab Chip* 2013, 13, 208–211,
 1104 doi:10.1039/C2LC41054K.
- 1105 130. Chung, J. E.; Joo, H. R.; Fan, J. L.; Liu, D. F.; Barnett, A. H.; Chen, S.; Geaghan-Breiner, C.;

1106 1107 1108 1109		Karlsson, M. P.; Karlsson, M.; Lee, K. Y.; Liang, H.; Magland, J. F.; Mehaffey, W. H.; Tooker, A. C.; Brainard, M. S.; Greengard, L. F.; Tolosa, V. M.; Frank, L. M. A polymer probe-based system for high density, long-lasting electrophysiological recordings across distributed neuronal circuits. <i>bioRxiv</i> 2018 , doi:10.1101/242693.
1110 1111	131.	Szostak, K. M.; Grand, L.; Constandinou, T. G. Neural Interfaces for Intracortical Recording: Requirements, Fabrication Methods, and Characteristics. <i>Front. Neurosci.</i> 2017, <i>11</i> , 665.
1112 1113 1114	132.	Felix, S. H.; Shah, K. G.; Tolosa, V. M.; Sheth, H. J.; Tooker, A. C.; Delima, T. L.; Jadhav, S. P.; Frank, L. M.; Pannu, S. S. Insertion of Flexible Neural Probes Using Rigid Stiffeners Attached with Biodissolvable Adhesive. <i>J. Vis. Exp.</i> 2013 , 50609, doi:10.3791/50609.
1115 1116 1117	133.	Tseng, T. TC.; Monbouquette, H. G. Implantable microprobe with arrayed microsensors for combined amperometric monitoring of the neurotransmitters, glutamate and dopamine. <i>J. Electroanal. Chem.</i> 2012 , <i>682</i> , 141–146, doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2012.07.014.
1118 1119 1120	134.	Şen, M.; Ino, K.; Inoue, K. Y.; Arai, T.; Nishijo, T.; Suda, A.; Kunikata, R.; Shiku, H.; Matsue, T. LSI-based amperometric sensor for real-time monitoring of embryoid bodies. <i>Biosens. Bioelectron.</i> 2013 , <i>48</i> , 12–18, doi:10.1016/j.bios.2013.03.069.
1121 1122 1123 1124	135.	Kanno, Y.; Ino, K.; Inoue, K. Y.; Şen, M.; Suda, A.; Kunikata, R.; Matsudaira, M.; Abe, H.; Li, CZ.; Shiku, H.; Matsue, T. Feedback mode-based electrochemical imaging of conductivity and topography for large substrate surfaces using an LSI-based amperometric chip device with 400 sensors. <i>J. Electroanal. Chem.</i> 2015 , <i>741</i> , 109–113, doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2015.01.020.
1125 1126 1127 1128	136.	Kanno, Y.; Ino, K.; Sakamoto, C.; Inoue, K. Y.; Matsudaira, M.; Suda, A.; Kunikata, R.; Ishikawa, T.; Abe, H.; Shiku, H.; Matsue, T. Potentiometric bioimaging with a large-scale integration (LSI)-based electrochemical device for detection of enzyme activity. <i>Biosens.</i> <i>Bioelectron.</i> 2016 , <i>77</i> , 709–714, doi:10.1016/j.bios.2015.10.021.
1129 1130 1131 1132	137.	Kim, B. N.; Herbst, A. D.; Kim, S. J.; Minch, B. A.; Lindau, M. Parallel recording of neurotransmitters release from chromaffin cells using a 10×10 CMOS IC potentiostat array with on-chip working electrodes. <i>Biosens. Bioelectron.</i> 2013 , <i>41</i> , 736–744, doi:10.1016/j.bios.2012.09.058.
1133 1134 1135	138.	Barker, A. L.; Unwin, P. R.; Gardner, J. W.; Rieley, H. A multi-electrode probe for parallel imaging in scanning electrochemical microscopy. <i>Electrochem. commun.</i> 2004 , <i>6</i> , 91–97, doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2003.10.019.
1136 1137 1138	139.	Cortés-Salazar, F.; Momotenko, D.; Girault, H. H.; Lesch, A.; Wittstock, G. Seeing Big with Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy. <i>Anal. Chem.</i> 2011 , <i>83</i> , 1493–1499, doi:10.1021/ac101931d.
1139 1140 1141	140.	Lin, TE.; Lesch, A.; Li, CL.; Girault, H. H. Mapping the antioxidant activity of apple peels with soft probe scanning electrochemical microscopy. <i>J. Electroanal. Chem.</i> 2017 , <i>786</i> , 120–128, doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2017.01.015.

31 of 31

1142	141.	Lesch, A.; Momotenko, D.; Cortés-Salazar, F.; Roelfs, F.; Girault, H. H.; Wittstock, G. High-
1143		throughput scanning electrochemical microscopy brushing of strongly tilted and curved
1144		surfaces. Electrochim. Acta 2013, 110, 30–41, doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2013.03.101.

- 1145 142. Lesch, A.; Chen, P.-C.; Roelfs, F.; Dosche, C.; Momotenko, D.; Cortés-Salazar, F.; Girault, H.
 1146 H.; Wittstock, G. Finger Probe Array for Topography-Tolerant Scanning Electrochemical
 1147 Microscopy of Extended Samples. *Anal. Chem.* 2014, *86*, 713–720, doi:10.1021/ac403168p.
- 1148 143. Tzu-En, L.; Yu-Jen, L.; Chia-Liang, S.; Horst, P.; Jyh-Ping, C.; Andreas, L.; H., G. H. Soft
 1149 Electrochemical Probes for Mapping the Distribution of Biomarkers and Injected
 1150 Nanomaterials in Animal and Human Tissues. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 16498–16502,
 1151 doi:10.1002/anie.201709271.

1152