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1. Introduction

Oral administration is the most popular and preferred by patients and physicians [1]. It is painless, safer and enables self-administration. However, it also presents a number of drawbacks that lead to a significant decrease of the oral bioavailability. The most relevant are low physicochemical stability in the gastrointestinal tract and the presence of a mucus layer that reduces the absorption rate and extent of the drug into the systemic circulation [2].

Mucus is a viscoelastic gel mainly formed by water and the glycoprotein mucin that covers all the exposed epithelial surfaces in the body that are not covered by skin such as the respiratory system, the gastrointestinal tract, the vagina and the eye. The mucus is a porous and semipermeable barrier that enables exchange of nutrients, water and gases, while being almost impenetrable to most pathogens and protecting the epithelium from chemical, physical and mechanical insults [3].

The composition, properties (e.g., thickness and pH) and function of the mucus change according to the organ and even its portion. In the specific case of the gastrointestinal tract, the mucus is classified into two families: the inner cell-associated (“firmly adherent”) mucus that contains a transmembrane domain and the outer secreted layer, which is continuously digested and washed out. This double-layer structure is well defined in the stomach and the colon, whereas in the small intestines...
intestine the mucus layer is discontinuous, reflecting distinct physiological functions [4]. The lifetime of the secreted layer is short, often measured in minutes to hours, depending on the anatomical site [5,6].

To exert its pharmacological activity, orally administered drugs must be absorbed through the intestinal barrier formed by the intestinal mucus and the epithelium [7]. Prediction of the drug absorption plays a major role in the selection of the pharmacotherapy. Numerous in vitro, ex vivo, in vivo and in silico models were established to evaluate the extent of drug absorption. Each method has pros and cons in terms of cost, reproducibility and reliability [8]. In the past two decades, cell-based models of different biological barriers (e.g., intestine, skin) have been developed to better predict drug absorption and, at the same time, to reduce the use of experimental animals which is ethically questioned [9]. In this context, the Caco-2 cell line monolayer became extremely popular as an in vitro model of the intestinal epithelium due to its ability to express most morphological and functional characteristics of absorptive small intestine cells, including tight junctions (TJs) and efflux pumps of the ATP-binding cassette superfamily [8,10]. Caco-2 cells are isolated from a human colorectal carcinoma and spontaneously undergoes typical enterocytic differentiation and forms polarized monolayers joined by TJs, presenting good correlation for studies of oral drug absorption in humans [11]. However, the Caco2 cell line also displays some shortcomings. First, the cells do not express all the relevant intestinal drug-metabolizing enzymes and they overexpress TJs. Furthermore, this cell line does not account for a mucus layer. In other words, one of the main barriers opposing drug absorption in the intestinal epithelium is not completely represented by this model [7]. This motivated the development of a co-culture model composed of Caco-2 and the mucin-producing HT29-MTX cell line [12]. HT29 cells are originated from human colorectal adenocarcinoma. As opposed to Caco2 cell line, these cells do not differentiate with standard culture medium, but in medium containing 10^(-6) M methotrexate (MTX), resulting in the HT29-MTX cell line that grows in a monolayer of polarized mucus-producing goblet cells. Since HT29-MTX cells produce mucin and do not form TJs at the same level of Caco-2 cells, the Caco2/HT29-MTX co-culture model resembles more closely the small intestine [13,14].

The use of mucoadhesive nano-drug delivery systems emerged as a very appealing approach to prolong the residence of the formulation at the delivery site (e.g., small intestine), by which the bioavailability is usually increased [15–19]. Thiolated polymers, coined as Thiomers® by Bernkop-Schnürch and coworkers, were introduced as a new and promising family of biomaterials for mucoadhesive and mucopenetration drug delivery. The key property of Thiomers® is that the macromolecule bears free thiol groups that can bind cysteine domains in mucin [20]. Thiolated polymers display significantly stronger mucoadhesive/mucopenetrating properties due to the formation of inter- and intramolecular disulfide bonds, leading to relatively improved stability, prolonged residence and disintegration time in the mucus and more sustained drug release [21]. At the same, the molecular mechanisms that lead to mucoadhesion or mucopenetration for different thiolation agents are not fully clear.

Amphiphilic nanocarriers (e.g., polymeric micelles) are formed through the self-assembly of amphiphilic block or graft copolymers in a solvent that selectively solubilizes the hydrophilic blocks of the copolymer [22] and they have shown great potential for oral drug delivery [23–25]. However, they tend to disassemble upon extreme dilution. Aiming to physically stabilize amphiphilic nanocarriers by means of drug-compatible chemical pathways, we recently introduced a novel mucoadhesive nanogel produced by the self-assembly of amphiphilic chitosan (CS) graft copolymers synthesized by the hydrophobization of the side-chain with oligo(N-isopropylacrylamide) (oligo(NiPAAm)) blocks and non-covalent crosslinking with sodium tripolyphosphate (TPP) [26]. CS-g-oligo(NiPAAm) nanogels were engineered to preserve the intrinsic mucoadhesiveness of CS and its ability to transiently open TJs in the intestinal epithelium [27,28]. Preliminary permeability studies in vitro showed that the non-crosslinked counterparts cross a Caco2 cell monolayer [29]. However, oligo(NiPAAm) is thermo-responsive and thus, self-assembly is achieved only above its lower critical solution temperature (30-32°C) [26].
Aiming to increase the aggregation tendency of CS-based amphiphiles and to gain insight into oral permeability pathways, in this work, we synthesized for the first time CS-g-poly(methyl methacrylate) (CS-g-PMMA) nanoparticles thiolated by the conjugation of N-acetyl cysteine (NAC). PMMA is a biocompatible and Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved polymer widely used in different biomedical applications [30], including oral drug delivery systems [31–33]. After confirmation that both non-crosslinked and crosslinked nanoparticles display very good cell compatibility in Caco2 and HT29-MTX cell lines in the 0.05-0.1% w/v concentration range, we compared the effect of crosslinking, nanoparticle concentration and thiolization on the permeability in vitro.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Materials

Low molecular weight CS (degree of deacetylation of 94%; viscosity ≤ 100 mPa.s, Glentham Life Sciences, Corsham, UK), cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate (CAN, Strem Chemicals, Inc., Newburyport, MA, USA), nitric acid 70% (Bio-Lab, Jerusalem, Israel), hydroquinone (HQ, Merck, Hohenbrunn, Germany), tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED, Alfa Aesar, Heysham, UK) and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC) hydrochloride (Glentham Life Sciences) were used as received. MMA (99% purity, Alfa Aesar) was distilled under vacuum to remove inhibitors before use. NAC (≥ 99%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO) and was used as received. Trifluoroacetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) and was used as received.

2.2 Synthetic methods

2.2.1 Synthesis of CS-g-PMMA copolymer

CS-g-PMMA was synthesized by the graft free radical polymerization of MMA in water. In brief, CS (0.4 g) was dissolved in nitric acid aqueous solution (0.05 M, 100 mL) previously degassed by sonication (30 min). TEMED solution (0.18 mL in 50 mL of degassed water) was added to the CS solution and purged with N2 (30 min) at RT. The CS solution was heated to 35°C and 142 μL of MMA dispersed in degassed water (48 mL) was added. Finally, a CAN solution (0.66 g in 2 mL of degassed water) was added and the reaction was allowed to proceed under N2 atmosphere (3 h, 35°C). The polymerization was terminated by the addition of HQ (0.13 g). Reaction crudes were dialyzed against distilled water (regenerated cellulose dialysis membranes; MWCO of 12–14 kDa, Spectra/Por® 4 nominal flat width of 75 mm, diameter of 48 mm and volume/length ratio of 18 mL/cm; Spectrum Laboratories, Inc., Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA) for at least 48 h with frequent water exchange, frozen and freeze-dried for 72–96 h (Labconco Free Zone 4.5 plus L Benchtop Freeze Dry System, Kansas City, MO). The product was stored at 4°C until use. The copolymer is named CS-g-PMMA.

2.2.2 Synthesis of thiolated CS-g-PMMA copolymer

CS-g-PMMA (200 mg) and NAC (600 mg) were dissolved separately in 10 mL of degassed water. The carboxylic acid moieties of NAC were activated for 20 min by the addition of EDC solution (150 mg in 10 mL degassed water). Then, the pH of the three solutions was adjusted to 4–5, mixed and the reaction mixture was incubated for 6 h under magnetic stirring at room temperature under N2 atmosphere. Thiolated CS-g-PMMA was isolated by dialyzing (regenerated cellulose dialysis membranes, nominal MWCO of 3500 g/mol, Cellu·Sup® Membrane filtration products) against 1 mM HCl containing 2 μM EDTA, two-times against the same medium containing 1% NaCl in water and finally exhaustively against 0.5 mM HCl. Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and freeze-dried for 72–96 h. The product was stored at 4°C until use.

2.3 Characterization methods
2.3.1 Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy

The different products were qualitatively analyzed by Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (1H-NMR, 400-MHz Bruker® Avance III High Resolution spectrometer, Bruker BioSpin GmbH, Rheinstetten, Germany) in 5% w/v DMSO-d6 solutions (Sigma-Aldrich) at 25°C, using the peak of DMSO at 2.50 ppm as internal standard. The amount of PMMA in the CS-g-PMMA copolymer was determined by the integration of characteristic signals of each component in physical mixtures of CS:PMMA of different weight ratios (0.05-10) and calculating the ratio between the integration of the characteristic signals of CS and PMMA and 2.8 and 0.8-1.0 ppm, respectively (R² = 0.979). Then, the ratio between the relevant peaks in the copolymer was calculated and interpolated in the calibration curve to determine the weight percentage (% w/w) of the hydrophobic component in the copolymer. For the physical mixture, pure CS and MMA were analyzed in D2O with the addition of 25 µL of trifluoroacetic acid.

2.3.2 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) samples were prepared in KBr (Merck Chemicals GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) disks and pressed to transparency. FTIR spectra were recorded in an Equinox 55 spectrometer (Bruker Optics Inc., Ettlingen, Germany) from 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ (32-64 scans with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹) at room temperature.

2.3.3 Time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS)

Pristine and modified copolymers were analyzed by time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS, ToF-SIMS5, ION-TOF GmbH, Münster, Germany). The measurements were carried out under vacuum of 3 × 10⁻⁸ mBar. Secondary ions from the samples were generated using Bi⁺ beam with 15 keV and current of 1 pA. Spectra were measured in negative mode for an area of 100 µm X 100 µm.

2.3.4 Determination of NAC content

The degree of thiolation was determined spectrophotometrically using the Ellman's colorimetric assay that measures the concentration of thiol groups [34]. First, 0.5-1.5 mg of unmodified or thiolated copolymer was hydrated in 500 µL of 0.5M phosphate buffer pH 8.0 and then 500 µL of Ellman's reagent was added; this reagent is composed of 3 mg of 5,5-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB, Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in 10 mL of 0.5M phosphate buffer of pH 8.0. Samples were incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Thereafter, 200 µL of each sample was transferred to a 96-well plate and the absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 450 nm in a microplate reader (Multiskan GO Microplate Spectrophotometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific Oy, Vantaa, Finland). Pure NAC was used to build calibration curves in the 10-1000 µM range (R² = 0.993) and then the conjugation extent was calculated by interpolating the absorbance of the corresponding thiolated copolymer in the curve. The unmodified copolymer was used as blank.

2.3.5 Self-assembly

The critical micellar concentration (CMC) of unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA in water was determined at 25 and 37°C using dynamic light scattering (DLS, Zetasizer Nano-ZS, Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) at scattering angle of 173°. Data was analyzed using CONTIN algorithms (Malvern Instruments). For this, a stock aqueous solution (0.1% w/v in water) of each copolymer was prepared by direct dissolution, diluted in the same medium (0.01-0.1% w/v) and stabilized at 25 and 37°C (overnight). Then, the intensity of the scattered light (DCR) expressed in kilo counts per second (kcps) was measured and plotted as a function of the copolymer concentration (% w/v). CMC was established from the intersection of the two scattering straight lines before and after the micellization occurs. Each single specimen was the result of at least six runs and presented as mean ± S.D.

2.3.6 Preparation of crosslinked CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles
Unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles were prepared by the direct dissolution of the copolymer in water (0.1% w/v) at RT and incubated overnight (room temperature or 37°C) to enable the self-assembly. For physical stabilization, nanoparticles were non-covalently crosslinked with TPP (Sigma-Aldrich) solution (1% w/v, 10 μL volume per mL of 0.1% w/v nanoparticle dispersion).

2.3.7 Size, size distribution and zeta-potential

The size of the nanoparticles (expressed as hydrodynamic diameter, D_h) and their size distribution (polydispersity index, PDI) was measured by DLS (see above) using 0.1% w/v dispersions, both at 25 and 37°C. Zeta-potential (Z-potential) measurements required the use of laser Doppler micro-electrophoresis in the Zetasizer Nano-ZS. Each value obtained is expressed as mean ± S.D. of at least three independent samples, while each DLS or Z-potential measurement is an average of at least seven runs.

2.3.8 Cell compatibility of the copolymers in vitro

Caco-2 cell line

The cell compatibility of unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles, before and after non-covalent crosslinking, was evaluated in the Caco2 cell line (ATCC® HTB-37TM, ATCC®, Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Life Technologies Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with L-glutamine, 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich) and penicillin/streptomycin (5 mL of a commercial mixture of 100 U per mL penicillin + 100 μg per mL streptomycin per 500 mL medium, Sigma-Aldrich) and maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO_2 atmosphere and split every 4-5 days. Cells were harvested by trypsinization (trypsin-EDTA 0.25%, Sigma-Aldrich) and the number of live cells quantified by the trypan blue (0.4%, Alfa Aesa, Heysham, UK) exclusion assay. To determine the compatibility of the different copolymer derivatives, cells were cultured in 96-well plates (7.5 x 10^4 cells/well) and allowed to attach for 96 h. Then, the culture medium was replaced by 180 μL of fresh medium, 20 μL of sample of concentration 0.5% or 1% w/v in PBS (pH 7.4) to result in final copolymer concentration of 0.05% and 0.1% w/v, respectively. At different time points, the medium was removed and fresh medium (100 μL) and 25 μL of sterile 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide solution (MTT, 5 mg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich) was added. Samples were incubated for 3 h (37°C, 5% CO_2), the supernatant was removed, the formazan crystals dissolved with DMSO (100 μL), and the absorbance of the solutions measured at 530 nm (with reference of 670 nm) in a Multiskan GO Microplate Spectrophotometer with SkanltTM software. The percentage of live cells was calculated with respect to a control treated only with culture medium that was considered 100% viability. A similar assay was conducted for crosslinked unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles. For this, cells were cultured in 96-well plates (7.5 x 10^4 cells/well) and allowed to attach for 96 h. Then, the culture medium was replaced by 200 μL of the copolymer sample. In this case, samples with a concentration of 0.5% and 1% w/v were prepared in PBS (pH 7.4) and incubated overnight in 37°C. Then, they were diluted 10 times with cell culture medium (as described above) and the required amount of TPP for the crosslinking was added (see above). Results are expressed as mean ± S.D.

HT29-MTX cell line

The cell compatibility of unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles before and after TPP crosslinking was also evaluated in the mucin-secreting HT29-MTX cell line (kindly donated by Prof. Bruno Sarmento from the Instituto de Engenharia Biomédica, Porto, Portugal). Cells were cultured in 96-well plates (3.5 x 10^3 cells/well) and allowed to attach for 96 h. The MTT assay was performed in a similar was as the one described for Caco2 cells (see above).

Cell compatibility in a co-culture of Caco2 and HT29-MTX cell lines
The cell compatibility of unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles before and after crosslinking was also evaluated in a co-culture of Caco2 and HT29-MTX cells. For this, cells were cultured in 96-well plates (7.5 x 10^5 cells/well) in a Caco2:HT29-MTX cell number ratio of 9:1 and allowed to attach for 96 h. The MTT assay was performed in a similar was as the one described for Caco2 cells (see above).

2.3.9 Mucin staining

For mucin staining, HT29-MTX cells in monoculture and co-culture were handled in the same manner as for the cell compatibility assays, until the stage of MTT addition when the culture medium was removed and cells were rinsed once with PBS (pH = 7.4). Afterwards, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 30 min (37°C, 5% CO2), PFA was removed and cells were rinsed with PBS (pH = 7.4). Cells were stained with Alcian Blue (200 μL, 1% w/v in 3% v/v acetic acid, adjusted to pH 2.5, Fluka, Deisenhofen, Germany) for 20 min at RT. Finally, the Alcian Blue solution was removed, and the cells rinsed twice with PBS (pH = 7.4) and visualized under the optical microscope (Eclipse TS100 inverted fluorescent microscope, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

2.3.10 Permeability studies

The apparent permeability of unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles before and after TPP-crosslinking was evaluated in Caco2 cell monolayers and Caco2/HT29-MTX co-culture systems. For this, unmodified CS-g-PMMA copolymer was initially fluorescently labeled (red fluorescence) with rhodamine B isothiocyanate (RITC, Sigma-Aldrich). Briefly, CS-g-PMMA (100 mg) was dissolved in acidic distilled water (10 mL, pH of the water was adjusted to 5.5 using acetic acid) under magnetic stirring. After complete dissolution, 10 mL of methanol was added. Then, RITC was dissolved in methanol (2.0 mg/mL, 3.5 mL), added to the copolymer solution and the mixture stirred for 3 h protected from light, at RT. Finally, the product was dialyzed (48 h, regenerated cellulose dialysis membranes, nominal MWCO of 3500 g/mol) to remove unconjugated RITC, frozen and freeze-dried (see above).

Transport experiments were performed 10–25 days post-seeding of Caco2 cells monoculture or Caco2:HT29-MTX co-culture (9:1 cell number ratio) in cell culture inserts (ThinCert™, culture surface of 113.1 mm2, 3.0 μm pore size, Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Frickenhausen, Germany). Cells were maintained in 12-well plates (15.85 mm diameter, 16.25 mm height, Greiner CELLSTAR, Monroe, NC) with 0.5 and 1.5 mL of DMEM medium (see above), in the apical and basolateral compartment, respectively. The total amount of cells was always 3 x 10^5 cells per well. The culture medium was replaced every 2–3 days and the integrity of the cell monolayer was characterized by transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) measurements performed with an epithelial volt-ohmmeter (“EVOM2”, WPI, Sarasota, FL). For these experiments, only inserts where the resistance was >200 Ω cm-2 were used.

Samples for the transport experiment were prepared as follows: RITC-labeled CS-g-PMMA was dissolved in acidic distilled water (see above) in concentration of 0.1% w/v. In addition, unmodified or thiolated CS-g-PMMA was dissolved separately in acidic distilled water in concentration of 0.9%. To reach a final copolymer concentration of 0.1% w/v (and a ratio of unlabeled:labeled copolymer of 9:1), 0.5 mL of the unlabeled and labeled CS-g-PMMA were mixed and the final volume was adjusted to 5 mL using Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, Sigma-Aldrich) buffered to pH 7.2 with 25 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinethanesulfonic acid (HEPES, Sigma-Aldrich) that was used as transport medium. Then, samples were incubated in 37°C for at least 6 h to allow the formation of the nanoparticles. For crosslinked nanoparticles, 1% w/v TPP (dissolved in HBSS) was added (see above) at least 6 h after sample preparation, and then incubated in 37°C overnight. Samples were diluted to the relevant concentration before the experiment.

At the beginning of the experiment, the medium in the apical and basolateral was replaced with transport medium (HBSS) and incubated for 15 min at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere. Then, transport medium in the donor (apical) compartment was replaced by the corresponding samples (0.4 mL) and in the acceptor compartment (basolateral) by fresh transport medium (1.2 mL).
After 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180 and 240 min, 600 μL was extracted from the basolateral compartment for quantification of the transported copolymers by fluorescence spectrophotometry (Fluoroskan Ascent Plate Reader) using black 96-well flat bottom plates (Greiner Bio-one, Kremsmünster, Austria) at wavelengths of 485 nm for excitation and 635 nm for emission. At the end of the experiment (240 min), 50 μL was also removed from the apical side of each sample in order to calculate the mass balance. The $P_{\text{app}}$ was calculated according to Equation 1

$$P_{\text{app}} = \frac{dc}{dt} \cdot \frac{1}{A \cdot C_0} \text{[cm} \cdot s^{-1}]$$

Where $dc/dt$ is the transport rate ($\mu g/s$) across the monolayer, $C_0$ is the initial concentration in the donor compartment ($\mu g/cm^3$) and $A$ is the surface area of the membrane ($cm^2$).

2.3.1.1 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of permeability experiments was performed by t-test on raw data (Excel, Microsoft Office 2013, Microsoft Corporation). First, the analysis was performed for $P_{\text{app}}$ obtained from crosslinked systems. P-values were calculated between different concentration (0.05% and 0.01% w/v) at the same monolayer and between the same concentration in different monolayer (Caco2 and co-culture). In addition, a further analysis was performed, and p-value was calculated between non-crosslinked and crosslinked systems (0.05% w/v).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis of CS-g-PMMA copolymer

The synthesis of the CS-g-PMMA copolymer was carried out by the thermal free radical polymerization of MMA in the presence of CAN in nitric acid [26,35]. Both reactive -OH and -NH$_2$ groups may form a complex with Ce(IV) cation, which will dissociate and create free radical sites on the CS backbone for future attack of the double bond of MMA and polymerization to render the amphiphilic derivative (Figure 1).

$^1$H-NMR analysis of pure CS showed a characteristic pattern with signals at 2.8 ppm (HC-NH$_2$) and 3.0-4.0 ppm (methylene on the backbone), while pure PMMA presented peaks at 1.5-2.0 ppm (C-CH$_2$), 3.7 ppm (COO-CH$_3$) and 0.8-1.0 ppm (C-CH$_3$) (Figure 2a). As expected, CS-g-PMMA showed a combination of both spectra. It is important to mention that PMMA and the different copolymers were dissolved in DMSO-d$_6$, while pure CS was dissolved in D$_2$O with a small volume of trifluoroacetic acid because it is insoluble in DMSO. $^1$H-NMR was also used to determine that the weight percentage of PMMA (% w/w) in the copolymer was 30% w/w (see section 2.3.1).
Figure 1. Synthetic pathway of CS-g-PMMA by free radical polymerization of MMA in the presence of CAN.

The graft copolymer was also characterized by FTIR (Figure 2b). Pure CS displayed typical absorption bands of O-H and N-H stretching at 3456 cm\(^{-1}\), and C-H stretching, N-H bending and C-O-C stretching in the glycosidic bonds at 2919, 1591 and 1157 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. A band at 1641 cm\(^{-1}\) of N-acetyl moiety confirmed that the CS was partly deacetylated. The graft copolymer exhibited a strong characteristic band of PMMA at 1729 cm\(^{-1}\) (carboxyl group) and several bands of CS as detailed before, including a strong characteristic CS band at 1379 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H-NMR and FTIR results confirmed that the successful synthesis of the CS-g-PMMA copolymer.

Figure 2. Chemical characterization of the CS-g-PMMA copolymer. (a) \(^1\)H-NMR spectra of pure CS, pristine PMMA and CS-g-PMMA copolymer and (b) FTIR spectra of pure CS, pristine PMMA and CS-g-PMMA copolymer.
3.2. Synthesis and chemical characterization of thiolated CS-g-PMMA copolymer

NAC is a mucolytic drug that reduces the viscosity of mucus. This can be explained by the ability of NAC to break the disulfide bonds of mucin in the mucus layer [36]. For example, Suk et al. showed the transport of muco-inert nanoparticles in cystic fibrosis sputum pretreated with free NAC [37]. Considering that, thiolated polymers have been reported to bind cysteine domains in mucin by the formation of S-S bonds and thus to be mucoadhesive, it is unclear whether thiolation of our amphiphilic nanoparticles with NAC will be mucoadhesive or mucopenetrating.

The thiolated CS-g-PMMA copolymer was synthesized by a condensation reaction between the amine groups of the CS backbone and the carboxylic acid group of NAC utilizing EDC as coupling agent [38] (Figure 3).

![Synthetic pathway of thiolated CS-g-PMMA copolymer by a condensation reaction.](image)

The pure NAC and the unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA copolymers were analyzed by ToF-SIMS to determine the qualitative presence of thiol (SH) due to NAC modification. Peak in 32 and 33 m/z represent the S atom and the SH group, respectively. NAC showed very strong peaks of S and SH. Unmodified CS-g-PMMA does not contain S in its structure. However, small S and SH contents were observed due to environmental contamination [39]. When thiolated CS-g-PMMA was analyzed, stronger S and SH peaks indicated the successful conjugation of NAC. To confirm that these signals in the copolymer stemmed from NAC conjugation and not from air pollution, we calculated the area ratio of these two peaks (S/SH) in the three spectra (Table 1). The area ratio of pure NAC and thiolated CS-g-PMMA was very similar (3.5 and 3.9, respectively) and substantially lower than that of unmodified CS-g-PMMA (S/SH ratio of 10.8), indicating that the presence of S and SH in thiolated CS-g-PMMA was due to the successful conjugation of NAC, as opposed to the unmodified one.
Figure 4. ToF-SIMS spectra of pure NAC and unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA. (a) Full spectra and (b) Magnification of the spectra between 31.5 and 33.5 m/z.

Table 1. Ratio the peak area of S and SH, as determined in ToF-SIMS spectra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>S/SH area ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAC</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmodified CS-g-PMMA</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiolated CS-g-PMMA</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since ToF-SIMs was not quantitative, the concentration of thiol residues in thiolated CS-g-PMMA was determined by the Ellman’s colorimetric assay. According to this assay, the measured amount of thiol was 212 ± 41 µmol/g polymer (3 ± 0.7 % w/w). It is important to stress that SH is very sensitive to oxidation with atmospheric oxygen and formation of S-S. To reduce the oxidation extent, the reaction and the dialysis were conducted under low pH conditions [40].

3.3 Self-aggregation behavior

The characterization of the self-assembly of unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA was a fundamental aspect of the work because we envision the use of the amphiphilic nanoparticles as hydrophobic drug nanocarriers. The CMC of the pristine and thiol modified copolymers was measured in water at 25 and 37°C. The former temperature is relevant for drug encapsulation under room temperature conditions, while the latter for the behavior in the biological milieu. The mechanism behind the self-aggregation of most amphiphilic copolymers is entropy-driven and mainly related to the release of water hydration molecules from the hydrophobic block, in this case PMMA [41]. The CMC of unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA at 25°C was 0.05% w/v (Table 2). At 37°C, the NAC-modified copolymer showed a slight decrease of the CMC to 0.04% w/v.
Table 2. CMC values of unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA copolymers in water at 25 and 37°C, as determined by DLS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Copolymer</th>
<th>Concentration [% w/v]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmodified CS-g-PMMA</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiolated CS-g-PMMA</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using 0.1% w/v suspensions, the size, size distribution and Z-potential of the unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles were characterized by DLS, before and after non-covalent crosslinking using 1% TPP. Generally, the size range for all the nanoparticles was 100-330 nm (Table 3). This size range would fit the mesh size of the porous mucus layer. Regardless of the temperature, TPP crosslinking resulted in a size growth due to the formation of intra-micellar bonds [26]. It is worth stressing that unmodified CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles showed a monomodal size distribution before and after the crosslinking. Conversely, the thiolated derivative showed a bimodal aggregation pattern with a major size population of 155-156 nm and a minor size population of 25-28 nm. These results suggested that regardless of the similar aggregation trend (as expressed by the unchanged CMC), thiolation slightly modified the aggregation pattern. Upon crosslinking, the size of thiolated nanoparticles became monomodal. The surface-charge of the nanoparticles was estimated by means of Z-potential. As expected, all the nanoparticles showed a positively-charged surface consistent with the presence of free amine groups of CS and the polycationic nature of the hydrophilic domain (Table 3). Thiolation and crosslinking did not affect this property in a very substantial manner. This is a very relevant feature towards the study of cell compatibility and permeability using in vitro cell models.
**Table 3.** Size ($D_h$), size distribution (PDI) and Z-potential of 0.1% w/v pristine and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles in water at 25 and 37°C, as measured by DLS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Copolymer</th>
<th>T [°C]</th>
<th>Non-crosslinked nanoparticles</th>
<th>Crosslinked nanoparticles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$D_h$ [nm] ± S.D. (Relative intensity %)</td>
<td>PDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmodified CS-g-PMMA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>127 ± 9 (100)</td>
<td>0.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiolated CS-g-PMMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>156 ± 6 (94) 25 ± 3 (6)</td>
<td>0.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmodified CS-g-PMMA</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>184 ± 4 (100)</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiolated CS-g-PMMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>155 ± 5 (94) 28 ± 1 (6)</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Cell compatibility of the copolymers in vitro

*In vitro* cell compatibility is required to optimize the conditions for the permeability studies where the nanoparticle concentration has to ensure high compatibility. Otherwise, the permeability of the nanoparticles could stem from the generation of empty spaces in the cell monolayer and their direct interaction with the semipermeable membrane. In this context, the cell compatibility of pristine and thiolated CS-g-PMMA copolymers using two cell types separately, Caco2 and HT29-MTX, and their co-culture in a 9:1 Caco2:HT29-MTX ratio was characterized. Initially, cells (Caco2 and HT29-MTX) were exposed to two concentrations of pristine CS-g-PMMA (0.05 and 0.1% w/v) and the number of cells after 4 and 24 h quantified by the MTT assay. Untreated cells were considered 100% viability and used as control. It is important to stress that permeability studies are conducted over 4 h. Both cell monolayers showed very high viability (>80%) (Figure 5), that is consistent with very good cell compatibility *in vitro* [42].
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Next, Caco2 and HT29-MTX cells were exposed to different concentrations of non-crosslinked thiolated CS-g-PMMA in the 0.05-0.1% w/v range and the number of live cells at the same time points was quantified by the MTT assay. After 4 h, nanoparticles showed viability loss that was very light for 0.05% w/v samples and more pronounced for a higher concentration (Figure 6a). Results were very similar with both cell lines. As expected, after 24 h, a further decrease in the cell viability was observed. The gradual viability loss for more concentrated samples most probably stemmed from the cytotoxic effect of positively-charged surface of amine groups of CS [43]. Protonated amine groups can bind to the negatively charged cell membrane in a non-specific manner and cause cell toxicity both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [44,45]. For non-crosslinked thiol modified nanoparticles, the positive charge was higher (Table 3), resulting in a greater viability loss (compared to unmodified nanoparticles) [46].

The cell compatibility was also measured for non-covalently crosslinked thiolated modified CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles. Remarkably, crosslinking had a very beneficial effect on the cell compatibility leading to a remarkable increase for all the nanoparticles, regardless of the concentration and the exposure time (Figure 6b). After 4 h, all the nanoparticles showed viability >90%, and the values remained always >70% which is acceptable according to the ISO 10993-5 (Tests for *in vitro* cytotoxicity) [42]. The explanation for this improvement in cell compatibility is the partial
neutralization of cytotoxic amine group after the crosslinking with TPP, as previously shown by Menaker Raskin et al. [26].

Figure 6. Cell viability of Caco2 and HT29-MTX cells upon exposure to different concentrations of (a) non-crosslinked thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles and (b) crosslinked thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles, as estimated by MTT.

Caco2 monolayer in standard monoculture was extensively used to mimic the human intestinal barrier. However, it exhibits number of limitations such as lack of mucus layer and overexpression of TJs. In this work, we used an in vitro model of intestinal barrier based on co-culture of Caco2:HT29-MTX cell line in 9:1 ratio. The viability of unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles was similar to the one obtained in both monocultures (Figure 7).

Figure 7. Cell viability of a Caco2:HT29-MTX (9:1) co-culture model upon exposure to 0.05% and 0.1% w/v (a) non-crosslinked unmodified CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles and (b) crosslinked thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles, as estimated by MTT.
Based on cell compatibility results, we decided to primarily conduct permeability studies employing nanoparticle concentrations of up to 0.05% w/v. At the same time, the CMC of the copolymers had to be considered, especially for non-crosslinked counterparts.

3.5 Mucin staining

One of the most significant advantages of using co-culture of Caco2 and HT29-MTX as a model of the intestinal barrier is the presence of the mucus layer that allows a more reliable evaluation of the permeability features of the human intestinal barrier predictio. To qualitatively determine that the HT29-MTX cell line is producing mucin in both monoculture and co-culture with Caco2, the Alcian Blue staining method was used. This dye is widely used to visualize mucopolysaccharides and acidic mucins [47]. HT29-MTX cell line showed production of acidic mucin in both cases (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Mucin staining with Alcian Blue in (a) HT29-MTX monoculture and (b) Caco2:HT29-MTX (9:1) co-culture.

3.6 Permeability studies

The permeability of unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles before and after crosslinking was evaluated in two in vitro models of the intestinal barrier: a monolayer of Caco2 cells and a co-culture monolayer of Caco2 and HT29-MTX cells. A comparison of the results between both models allowed us to elucidate the influence of mucin on the in vitro permeability of the nanoparticles. In addition, aiming to study the effect of nanoparticle concentration on the permeability in vitro, we conducted the same assay using crosslinked nanoparticles in two concentrations, namely 0.05% and 0.01% w/v. It is worth stressing that usually, this parameter is usually neglected in the scientific literature [48,49]. The use of non-crosslinked 0.01% w/v systems was precluded because this concentration is below the CMC and thus, the nanoparticles disassembled. In the case of crosslinked samples, nanoparticles were crosslinked at a higher concentration (0.1% w/v) and only then diluted to the final 0.01% w/v concentration.

To understand the influence of the mucin produced by HT29-MTX cells on the permeability of the nanoparticles, we compared the permeability of each one of the nanoparticles in both models. When more concentrated dispersions (0.05% w/v) were used, $P_{\text{app}}$ values did not change (Table 4), regardless of the nanoparticle and the model (Caco2 monoculture or Caco2/HT29-MTX co-culture), suggesting the saturation of transport mechanisms. When a more diluted 0.01% w/v dispersion was used, we observed a decrease in the $P_{\text{app}}$ of thiolated CS-g-PMMA-NAC from approximately $3.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/s}$ in Caco2 monolayer to approximately $2.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm/s}$ in a co-culture system. This change was
statistically significant \( (p < 0.05) \) and likely stemmed from the covalent binding of the nanoparticles to the cysteine domains in mucin. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that these nanoparticles showed almost identical permeability to the unmodified counterpart when they were assessed in the Caco2 cell monolayer model that did not present mucin.

\( P_{app} \) differences between the thiolated and unmodified 0.01% w/v nanoparticles in Caco2 cell monolayers were not statistically significant \( (p < 0.05) \). In contrast, 0.01% w/v crosslinked unmodified nanoparticles showed an increase of the \( P_{app} \) in the co-culture system with respect to the Caco2 monolayer, though differences were not statistically different. On one hand, these results were unexpected because mucin was anticipated to hinder the permeability of nanoparticles. On the other hand, the interaction of CS domains in the nanoparticle with mucin is of electrostatic nature and weaker than that of NAC that is covalent. Thus, it is likely that this interaction favored the entrapment of the nanoparticles in the mucin-containing monolayer, increasing their effective concentration on the epithelial surface and their availability to cross the monolayer. Remarkably, our findings indicated that CS-based nanoparticles could permeate a mucin-containing cell monolayer \textit{in vitro} and highlight their potential for transmucosal oral delivery.

NAC is a mucolytic agent that is capable of breaking the disulfide bond of the mucin glycoproteins, creating a local cleavage in the mucus layer that allows enhanced permeability. However, at the same time, NAC could form covalent binds with S-S groups in mucus [50]. In this work, we demonstrated that conjugated NAC increased the covalent interaction of the nanoparticles with mucin, at least in the investigated concentration, resulting in a decrease of the permeability \textit{in vitro}. In addition, \( P_{app} \) values were in good agreement with previous studies conducted with non-crosslinked protoporphyrin-modified CS-g-poly(NiPAAm) nanoparticles [29] and were consistent with moderate and low permeability for 0.01% and 0.05% w/v systems, respectively [51]. Similar results were recently reported by Liu \textit{et al.} utilizing lipid nanocarriers surface-modified with CS-NAC [52]. At the same time, it is noteworthy that based on our results, the effect of higher NAC modification extent on permeability could not be anticipated and the presence of paradoxical effects such as increased mucopenetration due to disruption of S-S domains in mucin at lower or higher NAC contents could not be ruled out.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Apparent permeability coefficient \( (P_{app}) \) of unmodified and thiolated nanoparticles before and after crosslinking in Caco2 and co-culture models.}  
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline
Sample & Crosslinking & Concentration [% w/v] & \( P_{app} \pm \text{S.D.} \ [10^{-6} \text{ cm/s}] \) \\
\hline
\multicolumn{4}{c}{Caco2 monolayer} \\
Unmodified CS-g-PMMA & Yes & 0.01 & 2.997 ± 0.455 \\
& No & 0.05 & 2.087 ± 0.226 \\
& Yes & 0.05 & 1.215 ± 0.245 \\
Thiolated CS-g-PMMA & Yes & 0.01 & 3.498 ± 0.682 \\
& No & 0.05 & 2.591 ± 0.160 \\
& Yes & 0.05 & 0.921 ± 0.399 \\
\hline
\multicolumn{4}{c}{Co-culture monolayer} \\
Unmodified CS-g-PMMA & Yes & 0.01 & 3.660 ± 0.915 \\
& No & 0.05 & 2.060 ± 0.147 \\
& Yes & 0.05 & 1.462 ± 0.243 \\
Thiolated CS-g-PMMA & Yes & 0.01 & 2.125 ± 0.460 \\
& No & 0.05 & 0.713 ± 0.251 \\
& Yes & 0.05 & 0.912 ± 0.150 \\
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
Next, we assessed the effect of nanoparticle concentration on the $P_{app}$. Regardless of the model (monoculture or co-culture), the higher the nanoparticle concentration, the lower the $P_{app}$ for all the nanoparticles (Table 4). This could be explained, as mention above, by the saturation of the transport mechanisms in the monolayer surface and the decrease of the $P_{app}$.

Non-covalent crosslinking of these novel self-assembly nanoparticles ensures physical stability under extreme dilution, improves their cell compatibility and will eventually enable a more controlled release of the encapsulated cargo [26]. In this work, the permeability of these non-covalently crosslinked nanoparticles was characterized for the first time. Since the CMC of these copolymers is approximately 0.05% w/v, to assess the permeability of non-crosslinked nanoparticles we only used 0.05% w/v systems; non-crosslinked 0.01% w/v nanoparticles would undergo disassembly. For Caco2 monolayer, non-crosslinked unmodified and thiolated CS-g-PMMA nanoparticles showed significantly higher $P_{app}$ values than the crosslinked counterparts (Table 4). This was observed for all the nanoparticles. The difference between the Caco2 and co-culture monolayers could be explained by further analyzing the configuration of the permeability phenomenon, which can be divided into two stages. The first stage is the permeability of the nanoparticle across the mucin layer, while the second is the crossing of the epithelial barrier by paracellular (by opening of the TJs between the cells) or transcellular (by active endocytosis in the apical side and exocytosis in the basolateral one) (Figure 9).

In previous studies, we demonstrated that Caco2 cells do not internalize these CS-based amphiphilic nanoparticles [26]. In addition, we confirmed that they mainly cross the Caco2 cell monolayer by the transient opening of the TJs [29]. In the case of the Caco2 cell monolayer model, the first stage is absent due to the lack of mucin. Thus, the permeability is governed by the ability of the nanoparticles to disrupt the TJs, a phenomenon that depends on the availability of free amine groups. Since the TPP-crosslinking employs free amine groups in the CS-g-PMMA nanoparticle, their concentration decreases and the ability of crosslinked unmodified and thiolated nanoparticles to cross the Caco2 cell monolayer was affected, resulting in lower $P_{app}$ values than the non-crosslinked counterparts (Table 4); e.g., $P_{app}$ of unmodified and thiolated nanoparticles decreased from 2.087 and 2.591 $10^{-6}$ cm/s before the crosslinking to 1.215 and 0.921 $10^{-6}$ cm/s, respectively, after it. In the co-culture model, crosslinking of unmodified nanoparticles also led to a significant ($P < 0.05$) decrease of $P_{app}$ from 2.060 to 1.462 $10^{-6}$ cm/s. This result indicated that for these nanoparticles, the overall permeability process is mainly governed by the opening of the TJs and that the contribution of the interaction with mucin plays a less critical role. Conversely, in the case of thiolated nanoparticles, crosslinking did not significantly altered the $P_{app}$. These findings supported that thiol groups in non-crosslinked and crosslinked nanoparticles bind to mucin in a similar manner and increase the effective availability of the nanoparticles that once in the proximity of the epithelial monolayer cross it, most likely, by the paracellular route.

![Figure 9](https://example.com/figure9.png)  
**Figure 9.** Stages of the permeability phenomenon in the *in vitro* model. (1) Mucin layer penetration and (2) paracellular transport across TJs. The mucin layer was only available in the co-culture system.
4. Conclusions

This work investigated the development of a novel type of amphiphilic nanoparticle produced by the self-assembly of a CS-g-PMMA copolymer before and after thiolation with NAC and its later stabilization by ionotropic crosslinking of the CS domains. The sizes of the formed pristine and thiolated nanoparticles were in the range of 100-300 nm. After crosslinking, both copolymers showed a monodisperse size distribution. Both the unmodified and thiolated copolymers displayed good cytocompatibility in Caco2 and HT29-MTX cell lines separately and in co-culture. Crosslinking improved the cell compatibility owing to the reduction of available free primary amine groups usually associated with cell toxicity. Finally, the permeability across two in vitro models of the epithelium barrier was evaluated in Caco2 cell line monoculture and co-culture of Caco2:HT29-MTX cell line that produced acidic mucin. Results indicated that the nanoparticle concentration is a crucial parameter that determines the ability of the nanoparticles to penetrate the monolayer (regardless of the monolayer type). In addition, we observed that conjugated NAC in the investigated concentrations increases the interaction of the nanoparticles with mucin and decreases the permeability in vitro in the co-culture model. Moreover, crosslinking of the nanoparticles decreased the permeability due to the reduce concentration of amine groups involved in the transient opening of TJs. Overall, our results confirm the great potential of these nanoparticles for transmucosal drug delivery. Future studies will assess their performance in vivo.
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