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Abstract: Precipitation and human activities are two essential forcing dynamics that influence hydrological processes. To investigate those impacts, the Zuli River Basin (ZRB, a typical tributary basin of the Yellow River in China) was chosen to identify the impact of precipitation and human activities on runoff and sediment discharge. A double mass curve (DMC) analysis and the test methods, including accumulated variance analysis, sequential cluster, Lee-Heghnian, and moving t-test methods was utilized to determine the abrupt change point based on data from 1956 to 2015. Correlation formulas and multiple regression methods were used to calculate the runoff and sediment discharge reduction effects of soil conservation measures and to estimate the contribution rate of precipitation and soil conservation measures to runoff and sediment discharge. Our results show that the runoff reduction effect of soil conservation measures (45%) is greater than the sediment discharge reduction effect (32%). Soil conservation measures were the main factor controlling the 74.5% and 75.0% decrease in runoff and sediment discharge, respectively. Additionally, the contribution rate of vegetation measures was higher than that of engineering measures. This study provides scientific strategies for water resource management and soil conservation planning at catchment scale to face future hydrological variability.
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1. Introduction

Climate variability and human activity have been recognized in the changes of river hydrological processes [1], and sediment load is an important issue in water resource management [2, 3], particularly in the arid and semi-arid regions of western China. For example, Li, Zhang [4] chose the Wuding River Basin as a typical catchment for assessing the impact of climate variability and human activities on streamflow. They found that the reduction of streamflow due to changes in soil conservation measures was much larger than those due to precipitation variations. Huang and Zhang [5] calculated the hydrological responses to conservation practices in the Jialulhe River catchment without considering the impact of change in precipitation. He reported that the annual surface runoff decreased by 32% due to tree plantations and that the runoff decreased rapidly in summer and decreased slightly in winter. In general, runoff and sediment loads have been influenced by global climate change and human activities and have changed dramatically within large river basins [6]. In the context of climate shift and human intervention, assessing the impacts of
The combination of precipitation and human activities leads to variations of runoff and sediment load in the Yellow River Basin [7, 8]. For example, on the Loess Plateau, where water resources are scarce, precipitation is the main climatic factor that directly controls the yield of runoff and sediment load [9, 10]. The amount of incoming water and sediment in the basin mainly depends on the amount of previous rainfall, precipitation intensity and total precipitation [11]. As another important factor, human activities, especially soil conservation measures (i.e., terracing, afforestation, and construction of sediment trapping dams), are the main factors affecting the variations of runoff and sediment load [12]. Therefore, controlling the soil erosion [13, 14] and soil and water loss in the basin plays an important role in reducing runoff and sediment [5]. On the Loess Plateau, large-scale development of farmland had been conducted in most areas since the 1950s, resulting in a substantial increase in the area occupied by level terraces [15]. After the 1980s, small watershed management projects and measures such as the establishment of tree plantations were vigorously implemented. These measures have changed the underlying surface conditions and have had a profound impact on the erosion and sediment load [16]. Since 2000, the vegetation condition has been improved considerably. During the same period, the runoff and sediment in the Yellow River Basin had also decreased substantially [17, 18]. Additionally, streamflow, which provides data that serve as an important source for hydrological analysis and the evaluation of water resources, has also exhibited tremendous variations as a result of the construction of reservoirs and the implementation of irrigation project [19].

Extensive research has been conducted on how to quantitatively analyze the impacts of climate change and human activities on the runoff and sediment load of a river. The study by Gao, Mu [20] indicates that human activities have been the main factor that led to the decrease of runoff and sediment load in the Wuding River Basin in the past 60 years. Wang, Yan [21] proposed a method (the Slope Changing Ratio of Cumulative Quantity) suitable for arid and semi-arid regions and found that the relative contribution rate of human activities to the reduction of runoff in the Huangfuchuan drainage basin is much larger than the contribution rate associated with precipitation. Zhang, Liu [22] found that implementation of soil conservation measures is the main reason for the decrease of runoff and sediment yield through his analysis of the runoff and sediment reduction effect in the Wuding River Basin. Among those measures, the impact of vegetation on runoff and engineering on sediment yield are important. However, most of the previous studies focused on the average annual precipitation but seldom considered the effect of flood season precipitation. In addition, during his research on the effect of water and sediment reduction in the Zuli River Basin (ZRB), Zhao [23] used the measured data from hydrologic and soil conservation methods to evaluate the effect of precipitation and soil conservation measures on runoff and sediment load, but the measured data do not directly reflect the natural conditions of runoff derived from returning irrigation water in the ZRB, which hindered further studies of soil conservation planning and future water management policies.

A catchment generally has a defined amount of runoff and sediment load under unchanged underlying surface conditions. Runoff and sediment load have a functional relationship and they are both influenced by precipitation and the underlying surface. A wide range of methods have been developed to quantitatively study the contribution of climatic and human activities in river hydrological processes (i.e., water) [24]. Balance equations, hydrological models and double mass curve (DMC) analyses are widely used to evaluate the hydrological trends and change points. Water balance equations and hydrological models require extensive observational data and hydrological parameters (i.e., precipitation, temperature, geology, soil, vegetation, and digital elevation models (DEM)) [25]. Hydrological models can effectively quantify precipitation-runoff-sediment relationships through the establishment of multiple regression equations [26]. Additionally, a double mass curve (DMC) analysis can be used to analyze the hydro-meteorological trend [27, 28] and detect the abrupt change point [29, 30]. DMC analyses have relative merits of small data requirements and high transferability and are thus more reliable than water balance equations and hydrological models.
in hydrological evaluations [31]. However, DMC analyses produce change points with large subjectivity [32]. A variety of hydrological factor diagnosis methods, such as the accumulated variance analysis method [33], sequential cluster method, Lee-Heghinian method [34], and moving t-test technique [35, 36] were used to test the abrupt change points in this study to overcome the disadvantage of DMC analyses.

The objectives of this study are as follows: (1) Analyze the change trend of the precipitation, runoff, sediment discharge and soil conservation measures during 1956-2015 in the ZRB; (2) Estimate abrupt change points using DMC analyses and diagnosed hydrologic methods; (3) Illustrate the runoff and sediment discharge reduction effects of the soil conservation measures using various methods, including correlation formulas and multiple regression methods; (4) Estimate the contribution rates of precipitation and soil conservation measures to runoff and sediment discharge. (5) Characterize the influence of climate change and human activities on runoff and sediment discharge during 1956-2015 in the ZRB.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area description

The Zuli River, a tributary of the Yellow River, is located in the northwestern part of the Loess Plateau (104°13'~105°35' E, 35°16'~36°34' N), China (Figure 1). It flows through the six counties (Tongwei, Longxi, Huining, Anding, Yuzhong and Jingyuan) of Gansu Province and a portion of the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region and finally flows into the Yellow River at Hongjuzi in Jingyuan County. The Zuli River Basin (ZRB) covers an area of 10,647 km². The climate is arid and semi-arid, with warm summers and cold and dry winters [19]. The vegetation coverage is low and mainly consists of natural grass and irrigated vegetation, with few naturally distributed forest resources. The soil types are mainly black mound soil, calcareous soil and loess soil, and salinization is high in the basin.

The intensive rainfall and the hilly terrain cause high soil erosion during flooding seasons on the Loess Plateau [37]. The average annual precipitation in the ZRB is 370.0 mm and is mostly concentrated from June to September. The average annual runoff and runoff depth are 1.129×10⁸ m³ and 10.6 mm, respectively, and the runoff coefficient is 0.029. The ZRB once had the highest annual erosion yield among the adjacent rivers in the upper reaches of the Yellow River [38]. The annual sediment yield in the basin is up to 5.0×10⁶ t, the annual erosion modulus is 4710 t·km⁻² and the maximum sediment content can reach 1120 kg·m⁻³.

Figure 1. Location of the study area and distribution of stations in the Zuli River Basin
2.2 Data sources

This study mainly used the long-term hydro-meteorological and statistical data for soil conservation (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Data description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>1956-2015</td>
<td>Monthly and yearly precipitation data from 22 rainfall stations provided by the Hydrology Department of Gansu Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Runoff</td>
<td>1956-2015</td>
<td>Monthly and yearly runoff data from Jingyuan station provided by the Hydrology Department of Gansu Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>1973-2015</td>
<td>Monthly and yearly sediment load data from Jingyuan station provided by the Hydrology Department of Gansu Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Water conservancy project</td>
<td>1973-2015</td>
<td>Water pumping volume of Jinghui inter-basin water diversion project provided by Jinghui Electric Irrigation Engineering Authority of Baiyin, Gansu Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Soil conservation measures</td>
<td>1973-2015</td>
<td>Area statistics for soil conservation measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Soil conservation measures census results from Water Resources Department of Gansu Province</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The precipitation, runoff, and sediment discharge data are controlled by national standards. Missing data for a few rainfall stations were interpolated using neighboring stations. After 1973, the returning water from irrigation led to an increase in runoff because the inter-basin water transfer project diverted water from the Yellow River to the ZRB. Therefore, this study used the natural runoff data calculated by an empirical coefficient of irrigation return water and the farmland water consumption coefficient of irrigation reported by the Gansu Water Resources Bulletin [39].

2.3 Abrupt change point analysis

Double mass curve (DMC) analyses have been used to test the consistency of the relationship between two variables, analyze the trend of change for variables and determine the abrupt change point in the same period [3]. In the study of runoff and sediment discharge in the Yellow River, the abrupt change point is often detected by the turning points of curves or comparisons to watershed management [40]. Moreover, the hydrological variation diagnosis system used to test the abrupt change point based the basic principles of methods (i.e., accumulated variance analysis, order cluster analysis, sliding T-test and Lee-Heghinian method) supported by the SharpDevelop platform developed by VB.Net.

2.4 Correlation Formula

The correlation formula method is one of the useful statistical analysis methods and it can be used to construct the comprehensive relationships between runoff and precipitation and sediment discharge and precipitation in the baseline period, and it can also be used to study the reduction effect of soil conservation measures on runoff and sediment discharge. Annual precipitation, flood season precipitation and maximum precipitation in 24 h are all collecting factors when choosing precipitation as an independent variable. The comparative analysis shows that the correlation coefficient of precipitation and runoff (sediment discharge) in flood season is the highest among the other factors. Therefore, this study chooses the flood season precipitation as the independent variable to construct the relationship during the baseline period as follows:
where $W$ is the annual runoff; $Ws$ is the annual sediment discharge; $P_f$ is the flood season precipitation. The components $\alpha_1$, $\beta_1$, $\alpha_2$ and $\beta_2$ are undetermined coefficients.

2.5 Multiple regression

This study divided the soil conservation measures into two categories: engineering measures and vegetation measures. Between them, the engineering measures mainly include terraces and sediment trapping dams, and the vegetation measures include tree plantations, grassland and closing management. Regarding annual runoff ($W$) and annual sediment discharge ($Ws$) as dependent variables, the average annual precipitation ($P$), the area of engineering measures ($A_{pro}$) and the area of vegetation measures ($A_{veg}$) are used as independent variables in constructing the nonlinear multiple regression model as follows:

\[
W = k_1 \times P^{m_1} \times A_{pro}^{n_1} \times A_{veg}^{l_1} \\
Ws = k_2 \times P^{m_2} \times A_{pro}^{n_2} \times A_{veg}^{l_2}
\]

where $k_1$, $m_1$, $n_1$, $l_1$, $k_2$, $m_2$, $n_2$ and $l_2$ are undetermined coefficients.

The mean values of $P$, $A_{pro}$ and $A_{veg}$ in the baseline period are then respectively substituted into the established multiple regression equations to obtain the runoff ($W_n$) and sediment discharge ($Ws_n$) under the impact of the average annual precipitation ($P$) and the soil conservation measures for the baseline period. The mean value of $P$ in the measured period and the mean values of $A_{pro}$ and $A_{veg}$ in the baseline period are substituted into the equation to calculate the runoff ($W_p$) and sediment load ($Ws_p$) generated after the precipitation change. The decreased amounts of runoff and sediment discharge due to the change of precipitation are:

\[
\Delta W_p = W_p - W_n \\
\Delta Ws_p = Ws_p - Ws_n
\]

Similarly, the change of runoff and sediment discharge caused by the change of engineering measures and vegetation measures can be calculated separately, and the results are respectively indicated as $\Delta W_{pro}$, $\Delta Ws_{pro}$, $\Delta W_{veg}$ and $\Delta Ws_{veg}$.

The relative contribution rates of precipitation for the changes in runoff and sediment discharge are then calculated using the following formulas:

\[
\eta_p = \frac{\Delta W_p}{(\Delta W_p + \Delta W_{pro} + \Delta W_{veg})} \times 100\% \\
\eta_{s_p} = \frac{\Delta W_{s_p}}{(\Delta W_{s_p} + \Delta W_{s_{pro}} + \Delta W_{s_{veg}})} \times 100\%
\]

Using the same pattern, the relative contribution rates of engineering measures and vegetation measures for changes in runoff and sediment discharge can be divided. The results are respectively designated as $\eta_{pro}$, $\eta_{pro_{veg}}$, $\eta_{veg}$ and $\eta_{veg_{veg}}$.

3. Results

3.1. Variations of hydrological features

Table 2 illustrates the characteristic of precipitation, runoff and sediment discharge during 1956-2015 in the ZRB. The annual average precipitation was 370.0 mm, and the annual runoff and annual sediment discharge were $0.8909 \times 10^8$ m$^3$ and $0.4232 \times 10^8$ t, respectively. As shown in Figure 2, the
annual average surface precipitation showed an increasing trend during 1956-1960. After this period, it showed a decreasing trend. The maximum annual runoff and annual sediment discharge occurred in the 1950s.

Table 2. Characteristic values of precipitation, runoff, and sediment discharge in different periods in the Zuli River Basin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Average surface precipitation</th>
<th>Runoff</th>
<th>Sediment discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual (mm)</td>
<td>Coefficient of Variation (Cv)</td>
<td>Annual (10^8 m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>370.0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.8909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956-1959</td>
<td>376.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.8783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960-1969</td>
<td>422.6</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.3660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-1979</td>
<td>381.2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.0905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980-1989</td>
<td>353.2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.7801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-1999</td>
<td>364.8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.7860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2009</td>
<td>332.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.3484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2015</td>
<td>350.6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.3717</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2. Evolution of precipitation (a), runoff (b) and sediment discharge (c) in the Zuli River Basin over the years 1956 to 2015

3.2 Changes in soil conservation measures

The change in the area occupied by soil conservation measures is shown in Figure 3. The area in which soil conservation measures were implemented in the 1950s and 1960s was very small. After the 1970s, basic farmlands were vigorously constructed and the terrain increased substantially from 153 hm² to 276.56×10³ hm² from 1956 to 2015. The area occupied by sediment trapping dams increased after the 1980s, followed by a slow decreasing trend in 1990s. Vegetation measures, including tree
plantations, grassland, and closing management, increased continuously, beginning in the 1980s. Closing management indicated a notable increasing trend from 160 hm$^2$ to 23.31×10$^3$ hm$^2$ during 1978-2015. The areas occupied by tree plantations and grassland increased from 8.743×10$^3$ hm$^2$ and 3.426×10$^3$ hm$^2$ to 195.31×10$^3$ hm$^2$ and 131.46×10$^3$ hm$^2$, respectively, during 1978-2015.

![Temporal variations in the areas of soil and water conservation practices in the Zuli River basin over the years 1956 to 2015.](a) Description of Terrain, Trees, Grassland; (b) Description of Closing management and Sediment trapping dams.

### 3.3 Abrupt change point analysis

The double mass curves for annual runoff-precipitation and annual sediment discharge-precipitation during 1956-2015 are shown in Figure 4. The results demonstrate how the soil conservation measures impact runoff and sediment discharge. The abrupt change point appeared in 1973.

![Double mass curves for annual runoff-precipitation (a) and annual sediment discharge-precipitation (b) during 1956-2015.](a) and (b)

The abrupt change points determined for annual runoff using four different hydrological variation diagnosis methods are the years 1973, 1973, 1970 and 1973 (Figure 5 and Figure 6), and the change points for annual sediment discharge are the years 1973, 1964, 1964 and 1973. In 1964, the ZRB catchment was mainly affected by heavy rainfall, and one heavy rainstorm occurred in the middle and lower reaches in June. The maximum precipitation in 24 hours reached 131 mm, and annual precipitation for 1964 was 62.7% more than the annual average. The annual runoff and sediment discharge increased 189% and 296%, respectively, compared with their annual average values. Consequently, we can preclude the year of 1964 and choose the year 1973 as the abrupt change point and divide the entire period into the baseline period (1956-1973) and the measured period (1974-2015).
Figure 5a. Analysis curves for the change point tested by hydrological variation diagnosis methods for annual runoff in the Zuli River Basin. (a) Description of the accumulated variance analysis curve for annual runoff in the first panel; (b) Description of sequential cluster curve for annual runoff in the second panel; (c) Description of Lee-Heghinan curve for annual runoff in the third panel; (d) Description of Moving t-test curve for annual runoff in the fourth panel;

Figure 6. Analysis curves for the change point tested by hydrological variation diagnosis methods for annual sediment discharge in the Zuli River Basin. (a) Description of the accumulated variance analysis curve for annual sediment discharge in the first panel; (b) Description of sequential cluster curve for annual sediment discharge in the second panel; (c) Description of Lee-Heghinan curve for annual sediment discharge in the third panel; (d) Description of Moving t-test curve for annual sediment discharge in the fourth panel;
3.4 The effect of runoff and sediment reduction caused by soil conservation measures

The relationships between annual runoff and flood season precipitation and between annual sediment discharge and flood season precipitation in the ZRB are shown in Figure 7. The runoff and sediment discharge reduction effects from soil conservation measures are presented in Table 3. From 1974 to 2015, the reduction effects for runoff and sediment discharge were 45.5% and 32.5%, respectively, and the runoff reduction effect was greater than that of sediment discharge. As shown in Table 3, the changing trends of runoff reduction are in good agreement with those of sediment discharge, with an initial decreasing trend that changed to an increasing trend. The minimum values for the reduction of runoff and sediment discharge were 34.2% and 8.0%, respectively, in the 1990s, which were associated with the high amounts of precipitation and the apparent decrease in soil conservation measures in the 1990s.

![Figure 7](image)

Table 3. Runoff and sediment reduction effects caused by soil conservation measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Runoff (10⁸ m³)</th>
<th>Sediment discharge (10⁸ t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>Simulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-1979</td>
<td>0.9520</td>
<td>1.4783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980-1989</td>
<td>0.7801</td>
<td>1.2266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-1999</td>
<td>0.7860</td>
<td>1.1947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2009</td>
<td>0.3484</td>
<td>1.0079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2015</td>
<td>0.3717</td>
<td>1.0943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-2015</td>
<td>0.6449</td>
<td>1.1840</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Contribution rate

The multiple regression methods are used to evaluate the relationships between natural runoff, sediment discharge, average annual precipitation and the areas of engineering measures and vegetation measures during 1956—2015 in the ZRB as follows:

\[
W = 0.000010161 \cdot P^{1.8879} \cdot A_{pro}^{-0.0823} \cdot A_{veg}^{-0.1106} \quad (9)
\]

\[
W_s = 0.0000009067 \cdot P^{0.0575} \cdot A_{pro}^{-0.1402} \cdot A_{veg}^{-0.1106} \quad (10)
\]

It was found that the variation of runoff and sediment discharge was caused by precipitation changes, engineering measures and vegetation measures with large variabilities (Table 4 and Table 5). During 1974-1979, the volume of runoff and sediment discharge caused by precipitation change...
was increased because the annual average precipitation was 400.5 mm, which was higher than that
in other periods. However, the precipitation in other periods was less than that in 1974-1979, which
results in a decrease of runoff and sediment discharge. The runoff reduction for the entire period
caused by the decrease in precipitation and the implementation of soil conservation measures was
0.232×10^8 m^3 and 0.207×10^8 m^3, respectively. The vegetation measures had a considerable impact,
resulting in a decrease of 0.470×10^8 m^3 in runoff. The engineering measures reduced the runoff by
0.207×10^8 m^3. The sediment discharge decreased by 0.094×10^8 t, which was caused by changes in
precipitation. Soil conservation measures reduced the sediment discharge by 0.281×10^8 t, of which
0.158×10^8 t was caused by vegetation measures and 0.123×10^8 t was caused by engineering measures.

Table 5 shows the relative contribution rates of factors influencing runoff and sediment
discharge reduction. The contribution rates of precipitation in the reduction of runoff and sediment
discharge are approximately 25.5% and 25.0%, respectively. The contribution rates of vegetation
measures are 51.6% and 42.2%, respectively, and are 22.8% and 32.8%, respectively, for engineering
measures. As shown in Table 4, the precipitation in 1974-1979 increased compared with the baseline
period, and the contribution rate of precipitation increased by 11.5% and 9.4%, as shown in Table 5.
The primary soil conservation measures in the 1970s were the construction of terraces, with less
development of areas of trees and grassland. Therefore, the contribution rate for engineering
measures in the reduction of runoff and sediment discharge was greater than that for vegetation
measures. Vegetation measures since the 1980s have included the rapid establishment of tree
plantations and grassland; therefore, the contribution rate of vegetation measures since the 1980s has
been greater than that of engineering measures.

Table 4. Reduction in runoff and sediment discharge due to variations in precipitation and soil
conservation measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Annual precipitation (mm)</th>
<th>Annual runoff (10^8 m^3)</th>
<th>Runoff reduction (10^8 m^3)</th>
<th>Annual sediment discharge (10^8 t)</th>
<th>Sediment reduction (10^8 t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>Engineering measures</td>
<td>Vegetation measures</td>
<td>Precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956-1973</td>
<td>396.8</td>
<td>1.465</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>400.5</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.002^*</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-1980</td>
<td>353.2</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989-1990</td>
<td>364.8</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-2000</td>
<td>332.1</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2015</td>
<td>350.6</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.535</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5. The contribution rates for precipitation changes and soil conservation measures in the reduction of runoff and sediment discharge (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Relative contribution of runoff reduction</th>
<th>Relative contribution of sediment reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>Engineering measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-1979</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980-1989</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-1999</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2009</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2015</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-2015</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Represents an increasing amount; the other amounts are all decreasing amounts.

### 4. Discussion

There is a direct influence of global climate change on water resource management and ecological environments [41]. The ZRB lies in the transitional zone between the semi-arid, sub-humid and arid climate zones and is one of the most sensitive, intense and complex areas of climate change in the complicated region of China. The precipitation in the ZRB is currently still in a low period and it has been substantially reduced in the past 50 years. The regional average precipitation has decreased an average of 106.4 mm since the late 1960s [42]. Precipitation changes are closely related to human activities in the ZRB. The areas with the greatest reduction in precipitation are often the areas in which there have been the greatest changes in surface conditions caused by human activities. The water transfer project outside the basin has a considerable effect on the surface water rather than natural precipitation in the receiving area. The attenuation of precipitation is relatively slow in areas with high surface vegetation coverage and there is less impact of human activities on the surface.

The hydrological behavior of the ZRB, especially annual runoff, changed dramatically after the Yellow River irrigation project was constructed. The project was constructed in 1971 and began operation in 1973 between Jingyuan County and Huining County. The pumping capacity increased from $9.70 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ in 1973 to $0.78 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ in 2015 and there has been a cumulative pumping water volume of up to $0.30 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3$ since the project has begun operation. The irrigation area is mainly distributed in the middle and lower reaches of the ZRB. The water pumping and returning water volumes of the Yellow River irrigation project are shown in Figure 8 and a graph comparing measures runoff and natural runoff is presented in Figure 9. It is clear that the annual runoff data directly from the hydrologic gauges is not representative of natural runoff conditions after the construction of the irrigation projection in ZRB. The natural runoff data calculated with an empirical coefficient for irrigation return water was used herein to calculate the runoff reduction effect caused by soil conservation, and the results indicate that the runoff reduction effect is 45.5%, which is greater than the sediment reduction effect. Zhao [23] calculated the runoff reduction effect by using statistical methods and without considering the impact of the irrigation project on runoff reduction. He found...
that the runoff was reduced by $7.89 \times 10^8$ m$^3$, which accounted for 26.4% of the total runoff reduction. The difference in the runoff reduction effect results calculated by Zhao and those of our study is approximately 19.1%. This difference is approximately the same as the current calculated volume of 0.22 m$^3$ for irrigation return water, which accounted for 25.0% of the natural runoff.

Figure 8. Water volume of the Yellow River irrigation project in the Zuli River Basin

Our results demonstrate that the runoff reduction effect is greater than the sediment discharge reduction effect through analyzing the influence of soil conservation measures on runoff and sediment discharge and is primarily due to two reasons: (1) The different allocation proportion of the soil conservation measures system has an important impact on reducing erosion and sediment discharge in the basin [43]. The main flow-producing region of the ZRB is located upstream. For example, the runoff depths in the area above the Huining and Chankou stations are 12.9 mm and 12.2 mm, respectively, and the runoff depth in the middle and lower reaches of the ZRB is only 7.0 mm. The sediment discharge in the watershed occurs mainly in the middle and lower reaches, where the erosion modulus approaches 4205 t·km$^{-2}$. The erosion modulus in each of the areas above the Huining and Chankou stations is only 3862 t·km$^{-2}$ and 2913 t·km$^{-2}$, respectively. The effect of soil conservation measures on runoff and sediment discharge began in the 1970s because of the vigorous construction of terraces in the 1970s and the implementation of vegetation measures in the 1980s, but the soil conservation measures are mainly concentrated in the upper reaches of the ZRB and there are relatively few measures that have been implemented in the middle and lower reaches of the river. Therefore, the runoff reduction effect of soil conservation measures analyzed in this study is greater than the sediment discharge reduction effect of soil conservation measures. (2) The conservation measures included vegetation measures (e.g., trees and grassland) and engineering measures (e.g., terraces and dams). The vegetation measures reduced the volume of precipitation during the flood period and can change streamflow through retention, penetration, absorption and transpiration of precipitation (Liu et al., 2014) and have a continuous soil conservation function. The engineering measures can capture and control surface runoff. However, the engineering measures are usually influenced by the quality of the terraces quality and warping of dams during their service lives. In this paper, the effects of vegetation measures on runoff and sediment discharge reduction are more notable than those of engineering measures. The use of vegetation measures resulted in a decrease of...
0.470×10^8 m^3 for runoff and a decrease of 0.158×10^8 t for sediment discharge from 1974 to 2015. However, the engineering measures reduced the runoff and sediment discharge by 0.207×10^8 m^3 and 0.123×10^8 t, respectively, which are similar to the reduction of runoff and sediment discharge caused by precipitation.

Therefore, from the standpoint of long-term management of soil conservation, vegetation measures are more effective than engineering measures and we should increase the construction of vegetation measures. Engineering measures are more effective if we want to control the sediment load of the Yellow River in a short period.

5. Conclusions

The main objective of this study was to analyze the reduction effect of soil conservation measures on runoff and sediment discharge and to calculate the contribution rates of precipitation and soil conservation measures to runoff and sediment discharge during 1956-2015 in the Zuli River Basin. Flood season precipitation, natural runoff, and sediment discharge data from three main hydrologic stations were analyzed using the double mass curve and hydrological variation diagnosis methods. The results indicate that the abrupt change point for runoff and sediment discharge occurred in 1973. The runoff and sediment discharge reduction effect from soil conservation measures in the flood season was 45.5% and 32.5%, respectively, during the measuring period. In 1974-1979, the increase in precipitation led to contribution rates of 11.5% and 9.4% for runoff and sediment discharge, and the contribution rate of engineering measures to runoff and sediment discharge was greater than that of vegetation measures. After the 1980s, the contribution rate of vegetation measures was greater than the contribution rate of engineering measures. The contribution rate of vegetation measures to runoff and sediment discharge reached 50% and 40%, respectively. Therefore, this study recommends using the double mass curve and the hydrological variation diagnosis system to separate the entire study period into a baseline period and a measures period. Estimation of abrupt change point is a critical step for the analysis of the impact of precipitation and human activities on runoff and sediment discharge. More comprehensive studies are encouraged in the other watersheds of the Yellow River Basin.
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