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Abstract
In contrast to Th1 immune responses against pathogenic viruses and bacteria, the incipient events that generate Th2 responses remain less understood. Part of the difficulty in identifying universal operating principles stems from the diversity of entities against which cellular and molecular Th2 responses are produced. Indeed, such responses are launched towards harmful macroscopic parasites and noxious substances such as venoms but also against largely innocuous allergens. This suggests that the canonical understanding about sensing and recognition applied to Th1 responses may not be translatable to Th2 responses. This review will discuss processes and signals known to occur in Th2 responses, particularly in the context of food allergy. We propose that perturbations of homeostasis at barrier sites induced by external or internal subverters that either activate the immune system or lower its threshold activation are the major requirement for allergic sensitization. Innate signals produced in the tissue under these conditions equip dendritic cells with a program that shapes an adaptive Th2 response.
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Introduction

Th2 responses are generated towards structurally diverse entities including macroscopic parasites, noxious substances (e.g. poisons and venoms) and largely innocuous antigens like food allergens\(^1,2\). Therefore, it is difficult to delineate universal operating principles governing their development. The diversity of entities against which Th2 responses are generated also intimates that the canonical understanding about sensing and recognition applied to Th1 responses to viruses and bacteria is likely not translatable to Th2 responses. Furthermore, as noted by Netea et al., the initiation of Th2 immunity requires the engagement of the entire tissue - not only immune cells, but also tissue structural cells such as epithelial cells\(^3\). A corollary of this proposition would be that deciphering the signals that emerge from the tissue microenvironment may provide insights about the initiation of Th2 responses. This review will discuss processes and signals that initiate Th2 immunity in the context of food allergy. First, we will introduce what is known about the acquisition of a Th2 identity. Second, we discuss whether food allergens are truly innocuous to conclude that their inherent immunogenicity likely plays only a minor role. Thus, we propose that concomitant events that subvert the steady state of the microenvironment produce signals that prime the immune surveillance system to react to allergens present at the site. Third, we consider signals known to be produced at barrier sites (skin and mucosa) that equip dendritic cells (DCs) with the ability to facilitate the generation of Th2 immunity. Fourth, we introduce the concept that elicitation of Th2 responses to largely harmless allergens may also occur as a result of specific internal, i.e. genetic, alterations that decrease the threshold of activation of the immune system. Ultimately, we argue that allergen-independent, concomitant perturbations that are either internal and/or externally driven, subvert tissue homeostasis and play the major role in facilitating Th2 responses to food allergens.

On the acquisition of a Th2 identity

In the late 1980’s Mosmann et al. transformed the understanding of CD4 T cells by classifying them based on their cytokine profile upon stimulation, and demonstrating unique functionalities\(^4\). Essentially, Th1 cells generated IFN-\(\gamma\), while Th2 cells produced IL-4 (at the time known as B-cell stimulating factor-1, BSF-1) and IL-5. Later, it became clear that Th1 cells predominated in responses against viruses and intracellular bacteria, while Th2 cells were required for those against extracellular pathogens. It was also proposed that a Th1/Th2 imbalance towards Th2 could be the cause of allergic diseases\(^5,6\). These findings prompted studies to elucidate mechanisms through which naïve CD4 T cells acquire a Th2 phenotype.

\textit{In vitro} experiments with naïve CD4 T cells subjected to polyclonal activation showed that IL-2 and IL-4 were critical for Th2 polarization\(^7,8\). However, the role of IL-2 in facilitating T helper differentiation of various subsets (e.g. Th1, Th2, inducible Tregs.)\(^9\), along with the fact that IL-4 was the major product of, and specific for Th2 cells, raised the notion that Th2 differentiation might involve an IL-4 positive feedback loop\(^10\). William E. Paul’s group investigated this concept in a co-culture system of CD4 T cells with a transgenic TCR specific for pigeon cytochrome C or chicken egg-white ovalbumin (OVA) and myeloid cells. They found that low concentrations of cognate peptide induced an “early” IL-4 production that was IL-4-independent and required IL-2 mediated STAT5 activation and GATA3 induction. IL-4 signalling further up-regulated GATA3 expression via STAT6 phosphorylation and completed the Th2 differentiation process\(^11\).
Therefore, the incipient events of Th2 polarization of a naïve CD4 T cell do not require IL-4 signalling, and instead depend on GATA3 expression.

**In vivo** studies demonstrated that robust Th2 polarization could occur in an IL-4 independent manner under some circumstances\(^\text{12}\) but still required GATA3\(^\text{13,14}\), which became the master regulatory transcription factor for Th2 differentiation. However, the role of IL-4 is absolutely crucial for the entire manifestation of Th2 responses, including the generation of humoral immunity. As such, mice deficient in IL-4\(^\text{15}\), IL-4R\(\alpha\)\(^\text{16}\) or STAT6\(^\text{17-19}\) do not produce significant IgE and exhibit a dramatic reduction in serum levels of IgG1 following nematode infection or anti-IgD immunization compared to their wild type counterparts. These findings are of particular interest for IgE-mediated diseases like food allergy\(^\text{20}\). In this regard, we reported in a model of peanut allergy that B-cell- or CD40L-deficient mice could be sensitized, as indicated by production of Th2-associated cytokines and late-phase inflammation, but did not produce IgE and IgG1 and did not undergo anaphylaxis\(^\text{21}\). We also recently showed that IL-4 KO mice were deficient in IgE and IgG1 production. The lack of IgE and IgG1 led to the IL-4 deficient mice being protected from food-induced anaphylaxis. We demonstrated that the critical source of IL-4 in this peanut allergy model was the naïve CD4 T cell, which effected autocrine/paracrine IL-4 signalling to amplify and stabilize the Th2 state\(^\text{22}\).

While the molecular mechanisms that mediate Th2 polarization have been extensively studied\(^\text{10,23}\), the incipient events that predispose the immune system to launch a Th2 immunity program are less understood, particularly as it refers to innocuous proteins (*i.e.* food allergens). In the recent past we have learnt that CD4 T cells carry multiple potentials, and that the acquisition of a Th2 identity largely depends on the environmental cues sensed by the DC and the subsequent interactions that take place during allergen presentation to the impressible naïve T cell\(^\text{20}\).

**On the innocuousness of food allergens**

The term antigen refers to the ability of certain molecules to induce antibody generation. When an IgE response is generated towards an antigen it then qualifies as an *allergen*. Allergens that induce IgE production and IgE-mediated allergic reactions are defined as *complete* (*e.g.* Der p 1, Ara h 2)\(^\text{24,25}\) — in contrast to those not implicated in the sensitization process but still recognized by IgE (*e.g.* cross-reactive allergens)\(^\text{26}\). The description of the hallmark features that constitute an allergen has been a recurrent area of research since the 1970’s\(^\text{27}\). Some researchers support the notion that, given the right conditions, any antigen can become an allergen\(^\text{28}\). However, allergen sequence analysis has demonstrated that a limited amount of protein families (<2%) contains most of the known allergens (>700)\(^\text{29}\), and similar findings were also reported for food allergens of plant\(^\text{30}\) and animal origin\(^\text{31}\). These data insinuated the existence of common structural, biochemical and functional characteristics of food allergens in Th2 responses.

A considerable effort has been dedicated to understand the biochemical alterations of allergens brought about by food processing (*e.g.* heat treatment, Maillard reaction, food matrix effects, etc.) as well as their digestibility\(^\text{32-36}\) — under the logical premise that food allergens meet the immune system first in the gastrointestinal tract. This effort ultimately concluded that the allergenicity of a given protein could not be predicted just based on its stability and/or digestibility. These factors may contribute to the overall allergenicity and can be useful in the design of superior allergen preparations for immunotherapy\(^\text{37}\) (*e.g.* heated and ovomucoid-depleted egg white\(^\text{38,39}\)). However,
the fact that food allergens have been detected in the bloodstream following oral ingestion\(^{40-43}\) – likely via absorption through the oral mucosa\(^ {45}\) – and, more importantly, the discovery of the skin as a site for allergic sensitization in humans\(^ {44-47}\), imply that intact food allergens reach the immune surveillance system. Therefore, the tissue microenvironment where the allergen and the immune system meet, may dictate whether a Th2 response is elicited.

The immunosurveillance system largely relies on receptors that recognize pathogen or damage associated molecular patterns (PAMPs or DAMPs/alarmins, respectively)\(^ {48}\). The innate immunostimulatory properties associated with certain food allergens may involve signalling via PAMP or DAMP receptors\(^ {49-51}\). For example, TLR4, a PAMP receptor that recognizes LPS, has been linked to some allergic diseases\(^ {52}\). Food allergens with lipid-binding properties (e.g. 2S albumins, non-specific lipid binding proteins, prolamin storage proteins, etc.) may engage TLR4 signalling by binding to LPS\(^ {53}\). In other cases, allergens can directly bind to the TLR4/MD-2 complex, as it has been reported for \(\alpha\)-amylase/trypsin inhibitors\(^ {54}\), which are allergenic proteins of the prolamin family\(^ {55}\). By activating pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), allergens might create an inflammatory environment that facilitates sensitization. As a case in point, invariant natural killer T cells recognize cow’s milk sphingolipids presented via CD1d on antigen presenting cells (APCs) and promote an environment prone for Th2 responses\(^ {56}\). Interestingly, data generated with models of intragastric sensitization to \(\beta\)-lactoglobulin and peanut demonstrated that TLR4 was not required for IgE-responses\(^ {57-59}\), although these studies used the adjuvant cholera toxin (CT), which may have compensated for the lack of TLR4\(^ {60,61}\).

C-type lectin receptors are a family of PAMP receptors that bind carbohydrate ligands, which are a common constituent of food allergens. Within this family, the mannose receptor was shown \textit{in vitro} to mediate Ara h 1 (a major peanut allergen) internalization by human DCs\(^ {62}\), and DC-SIGN was critical for Ara h 1-mediated Th2-polarization, which was lost upon Ara h 1 deglycosylation\(^ {63}\). Notably, culturing DCs with antigen-coupled Lewis-x trisaccharides suppressed IL-12 production (a pro-Th1 cytokine), which is likely a relevant mechanism of Th2-polarization induced by glycans\(^ {64}\). In addition, the scavenger receptor A (SR-A) family specific to modified low density lipoproteins\(^ {65}\), has been shown to drive uptake and MHC II presentation of OVA to OT-II CD4 T cells\(^ {66}\). SR-A also mediated DC uptake of glycated OVA, which induced higher CD4 T cell responses\(^ {67}\) and IgE production than native OVA\(^ {68}\). There is evidence that inherent and/or induced glycosylation of food allergens potentiates their allergenicity\(^ {62-68}\). For example, advanced glycation end products, that are frequently produced during food processing and cooking, share their receptors with high-mobility group box protein 1, an alarmin that promotes Th2 immunity\(^ {69,70}\). This knowledge would support the hypothesis that food allergy might be associated with high dietary advanced glycation end-products and pro-glycating dietary sugars that mimic alarmins\(^ {69,70}\). However, there is no direct evidence demonstrating that advanced glycation end products initiate food allergy, so their biological relevance on the immunostimulatory properties of food allergens remains to be elucidated.

The activation of Th2 responses by allergens via DAMP receptors can involve enzymatic activity. For example, papain (papaya proteinase I) is a cysteine protease with similar enzymatic activity to that of Act d 1 from kiwi, or Ana c 2 (bromelain) from pineapple. Papain-like proteases can disturb the epithelial barrier, cause cellular damage and the release of alarmins\(^ {52}\). For example, mouse airway epithelial cell exposure to papain and bromelain induced the alarmins uric acid (UA),
thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) and IL-33 that facilitated the generation of Th2 immunity against OVA\(^7\). The protease activity of papain might drive the sensitization process as suggested by a recent study that reported reduced IgE production after subcutaneous protease inhibitor-treated papain\(^7\). However, another study showed that mice epicutaneously sensitized to protease inhibitor-treated papain had IgE responses comparable to those induced with the active form\(^7\). Thus, the role of protease activity in sensitization to allergens is not yet clear. Further, there is no evidence that major food allergens, such as peanut, tree-nuts, fish, and shellfish, contain enzymatic activity.

Are food proteins, then, innocuous? On the one hand, some foods can be sensed and internalized by innate immune cells and some, especially those with protease activity can cause damage\(^73,74\). On the other hand, there is extensive evidence that exposure to foods in the vast majority of individuals induces tolerance. The effectiveness of this process is attested by the fact that the prevalence of self-reported food allergy is 7\%, and the actual prevalence, as demonstrated by gold standard diagnostic tests such as a controlled food allergen challenge, is lower\(^75–79\). Experimental models substantiate the idea that ingestion, inhalation, or topical exposure to food antigens under homeostatic conditions is either ignored by the immune system, or defaults to the induction of immunological tolerance\(^80–86\). Moreover, the high prevalence of multiple food allergies within food-allergic patients, and the evidence that over 170 foods can trigger allergic reactions in humans, further point towards an allergen-independent mechanism driving allergic sensitization\(^74,87\). In summary, inherent allergenicity of foods likely represents a minor contribution to the development of food allergy. Under this proposition, the ability of a given food to become an allergen may largely depend on concomitant events that subvert tissue homeostasis and produce signals that facilitate an immune response against a bystander food antigen.

**External subverters of the steady state**

Allergic sensitization is a clinically silent process and, therefore, exceedingly difficult to study in humans\(^88\). From this perspective, murine models have become powerful tools to identify the immunological mechanisms underlying allergic sensitization. The overarching lesson from these experimental studies is that it is possible to generate an immune response to any food when that food is administered along with an “adjuvant”, which is a term derived from the Latin “adjuvare”, meaning ‘to aid’\(^89\). Certain adjuvants alter tissue homeostasis to establish conditions that result in non-specific, innate Th2 priming to bystander allergens.

The feeding or intragastric gavage of a food alone results predominantly in immune tolerance in mice\(^90\). This homeostatic response can be subverted when such food is administered alongside adjuvants such as CT\(^21,91\), which induces a strong immune response towards itself. It is in the context of the CT-induced response that food allergens are taken up and processed by immunosurveillance cells. Accordingly, CT has been extensively employed in models of oral sensitization to food allergens including peanut\(^21,92\), egg\(^93–95\) or milk\(^58,96\) among others\(^97\). Some of the immune-stimulating effects of CT have been characterized. For example, CT has been shown to induce maturation and activation of DCs and promote their subsequent migration to the draining lymph nodes\(^98\). In a similar fashion, Shreedhar *et al.* reported that CT induces migration of the DCs from the subepithelial dome region of the intestine to the T- and B- cell zones of the Peyers’ patches\(^99\). Specifically, Gustafsson *et al.* demonstrated that the ability of CT to activate DCs lies
on its interaction via GM-1 ganglioside and is independent of direct activation of intestinal epithelial cells. In addition, we recently demonstrated that CT induces intestinal eosinophil degranulation and release of the alarmin eosinophil peroxidase (EPO), which is critical for DC priming and allergic Th2 sensitization in the gut. We have also shown that mice depleted of UA, or deficient in IL-33 were protected from anaphylaxis using an intragastric sensitization protocol with CT. Staphylococcus aureus, a major food contaminant which produces enterotoxin B (SEB) is another adjuvant used in models of oral sensitization. SEB has been shown to cause Th2 polarization by upregulating the co-stimulatory molecule TIM-4 on intestinal DCs. In addition, SEB upregulates IL-33. Additionally, MyD88−/− mice, which cannot signal through the IL-33 pathway (among others), were resistant to the effects of SEB.

While the intragastric route has been frequently used to induce food allergy in mice, as aforementioned, there is increasing evidence that the skin may be a relevant route of sensitization to food allergens in humans. This has prompted the use of experimental models of epicutaneous sensitization to foods. Allergic sensitization is usually achieved by causing a barrier disruption, typically through tape stripping (TS), prior to placement of the allergen on to the skin (sometimes through a patch). TS, which could be considered an external subverter, induces mechanical injury, damage, and local release of IL-33, UA and TSLP, etc. These alarmins are required for allergic sensitization through the priming of DCs to elicit a Th2 polarized immune response. Indeed, mice deficient in UA were protected from allergic sensitization and thus, clinical reactivity. The concept that alarmins are produced downstream to the external subverters (e.g. TS) and are sufficient to induce sensitization has been explored. For example, we induced allergic sensitization in mice epicutaneously exposed to peanut (no TS) along with subcutaneous administration of monosodium urate (i.e. UA) crystals. Similarly, persistent induction of TSLP (by transgenic overexpression, injection of recombinant protein, or repeatedly stimulated epithelium) at a barrier site causes inflammation and promotes sensitization to bystander antigens.

The term ‘adjuvant-free’ has been used in reference to certain models of sensitization in which the immune response is claimed to be exclusively triggered by the food allergen. While these models appear to be ‘adjuvant-free’ the molecular signature of the sensitization phase is characterized by the presence of damage and/or DAMPs. Dolence et al. demonstrated that airway exposure to peanut flour in the absence of any adjuvant induced peanut-aspecific IgE and anaphylaxis upon challenge. However, this exposure induced the alarmins IL-1α and IL-1β, indicating that the ectopic exposure of peanut protein resulted in airway damage. Likewise, Tordesillas et al. reported an ‘adjuvant-free’ epicutaneous model which required the use of hair-removing depilatory cream. However, this procedure was also associated with the release of alarmins and was reliant on the IL-33-ST2 interaction in the skin-draining lymph nodes, thus intimating that the depilatory cream has inherent adjuvant effects. Interestingly, the same model was used for epicutaneous immunotherapy, suggesting that this exposure can be both sensitizing and tolerizing in different contexts.
Converging pathways leading to Th2 sensitization

A diverse array of external subverters can specifically prime the innate immune system such that concomitant exposure to a food allergen results in a common outcome: an allergen-specific Th2 immune response. The path from diversity to commonality is illustrated in Figure 1. It proposes that certain subverters (e.g. CT, SEB, TS, etc.) establish a first degree of convergence characterized by tissue damage and the production of an alarmin signature that may include IL-33, TSLP, IL-25, UA crystals, and EPO. While these alarmins are each able to activate DCs, the question remains of why the ultimate outcome would be a Th2 response. Here is where a second degree of convergence applies. There is evidence that many of these tissue-derived alarmins are able to inhibit IL-12 production. It is thus the attendant inhibition of IL-12 that facilitates the adaptive immune response to become Th2-polarized. In this regard, TSLP has been shown to promote Th2 immunity by conditioning DCs to downregulate IL-12 production and upregulate the costimulatory molecule OX40L. IL-25 and IL-33 have been shown to induce DC OX40L. Importantly IL-33 has been reported critical for Th2 polarization, the induction of allergen-specific IgE and anaphylaxis in models of both gut and skin allergic sensitization. In addition to OX40L, the costimulatory molecules CD40 and CD80/86 on the DC are required for Th2 differentiation. As previously mentioned, UA and EPO have been reported critical in the allergic sensitization since the removal prevented it, even in the presence of an adjuvant like CT. While experimental models of Th2 sensitization use adjuvants to cause a cascade of signalling that results in IL-12 inhibition, it seems that this mirrors the natural Th2 immune response to parasites. Indeed, Leishmania major has been shown to block IL-12 production in macrophages and DCs. The requirement of alarmins in addition to IL-12 inhibition and co-stimulation enhances the unified model of Th2 sensitization proposed by Liu et al.

The modulation of the innate, and eventually adaptive, immune response through external Th2 subverters, like adjuvants or parasite-derived products, explains fundamental principles of Th2 priming. However, which subverters (adjuvants) might facilitate the development of food allergy in humans remains obscure, largely due to the silent nature of sensitization. An eagle’s view of the process might be enlightening. While it is highly unlikely that CT, a product of Vibrio cholera, plays any significant role on the development of human food allergy, CT is a member of the AB5 family of bacterial toxins. Toxins belonging to this family are produced by other bacteria including Escherichia coli, Campylobacter jejuni, Bordetella pertussis and Shigella dysenteriae among others. In addition, SEB is a product of Staphylococcus aureus, and mycotoxins produced by Fusarium species, the most frequent contaminants of crops, have been shown to promote sensitization to food allergens. Therefore, nature affords sufficient opportunities for the exposure to toxins with the capacities documented for CT. Further, Th2 cytokines, such as IL-4, and allergens, like pollen, also have the capacity to inhibit IL-12, as well as directly stimulate Th2 polarization in DCs. Lastly, a number of external subverters capable of indirectly perturbing the internal milieu may establish conditions conducive to allergic sensitization. For example, antibiotic and antacid abuse during infancy or birth through caesarean section have been associated with increased prevalence of food allergy, presumably due to alterations of the homeostatic microbiota.
**Figure 1: Converging pathways leading to Th2 sensitization**

**A.** A variety of triggers (external; *e.g.* CT, SEB, TS, or internal; *e.g.* skin barrier dysfunction) share the ability to subvert the microenvironment and produce an alarmin signature which converges on IL-12 inhibition on DCs and upregulation of Th2 polarizing co-stimulatory molecules. These activated DCs sample bystander allergens and migrate to secondary lymphoid tissue. **B.** Activated DCs present allergen to cognate naïve CD4 T cells and provide co-stimulation resulting in Th2 differentiation. **C.** Th2 cells activate allergen-specific B cells, leading to IgE class switching, plasma cell differentiation, and production of allergen-specific IgE.

**Internal subverters of the steady state**

The genetics of allergic diseases have been long studied. This is due, in part, to the hereditability of many allergic diseases (*i.e.* allergic rhinitis, asthma, atopic dermatitis (AD))\textsuperscript{141}. The development of AD in childhood is known to be a major risk factor for later developing other allergic diseases such as asthma and, notably, food allergy (known as the atopic march)\textsuperscript{142–144}. For example, a recent systematic review determined an odds ratio of 6.2 for self-reported food sensitization in AD *versus* non-AD children\textsuperscript{142}. One gene associated with AD and food allergy is filaggrin, which maintains skin barrier integrity\textsuperscript{145,146}. A meta-analysis by van den Oord *et al.* found that filaggrin gene defects were highly associated with AD development and allergic sensitization\textsuperscript{147}. In addition, neonatal mice with filaggrin mutations (Ft\textsuperscript{+/-}, Flg\textsuperscript{ft}, Tmem79\textsuperscript{ma}) developed allergic sensitization to a low dose of house dust mite plus peanut while wild type animals did not\textsuperscript{148}. Furthermore, a SPINK5 gene variant affecting epidermal integrity has also been associated with allergic predisposition in humans\textsuperscript{45}.

There are other mechanisms that can lower the immune activation threshold and increase the risk of food allergy as it has been shown for polymorphisms in IL-10\textsuperscript{149}, IL-13\textsuperscript{150,151}, IL-4\textsuperscript{151}, IL-4R\textsuperscript{α}\textsuperscript{151}, as well as STAT6\textsuperscript{152}. Likewise, polymorphisms in CD14 as well as a variant of IPEX caused by deletions in the non-coding region of FOXP3 (the master transcription factor of Treg cells)\textsuperscript{153} are
both associated with food allergy. Some of these polymorphisms have been investigated in experimental models. For example, IL-4Rα transgenic mice\textsuperscript{154,155} exhibited a lower threshold of activation for a Th2 immune response, compared to wild type animals, thus enabling IgE responses without external subversion. Lastly, primary immune deficiencies such as selective IgA deficiency, as well as hypogammaglobulinemia have been implicated in the development of allergic diseases, which may be due to a compromised adaptive immune system\textsuperscript{156,157}. While a comprehensive analysis of the genetics of food allergy has been reviewed elsewhere\textsuperscript{158}, these examples provide insight into the contribution of some genetic components for the development of Th2 immunity against foods. It is manifest that genetics play a role in the development of food allergy through alterations in homeostasis, which increase the risk of mounting IgE responses against food allergens. However, only a small fraction of patients with these mutations develop allergic diseases, indicating that their role in initiating food allergy is limited.

\textbf{Figure 2: External and internal subversion of the steady state can lead to food allergic sensitization}

A. Allergen exposure alone results in damage that is ‘not likely’ sufficient to cause food allergy. 
B. Exposure to allergen in combination with an Th2-inducing external subverter results in damage that is ‘most likely’ sufficient to cause allergy. 
C & D. Exposure to allergen in combination with an internal subverter that either 1 - increases basal level of damage or 2 - decreases the threshold of Th2 activation is ‘somewhat likely’ sufficient to cause allergy.
Concluding Remarks

We have proposed that neither inherent food allergenicity nor individual genetics play major roles in the induction of allergic sensitization. It thus stands to reason that the conceptual understanding for the initiation Th2 immunity towards food allergens merits an alternative paradigm.

Claude Bernard first described the concept of homeostasis in 1865, although the term was coined by Walter B. Cannon in 1962. It refers to the ability of an entity, be a cell, an organ or an organism to maintain an internal equilibrium despite outside changing conditions. This equilibrium, achieved by a system of feedback controls, is essential for the proper functioning of the entity. From this perspective, a disease is a loss of such equilibrium. In the context of Th2 immune responses against food allergens, disequilibrium can be achieved by external or internal perturbations that either activate or lower the threshold of activation of the system. While in immunology the term adjuvant is typically referred to as any substance that activates the immune system, we suggest that any condition or intervention which tempers with the steady state could be considered an adjuvant. This phenomenon is illustrated in Figure 2.

Regardless of priming conditions and the nature of the food allergen, a convergence toward key checkpoints delineates a pathway leading to Th2 sensitization. A caveat to this paradigm is that the trajectory of food allergic disease is heterogeneous in terms of persistence of the disease, clinical reactivity, and response to treatment. At this point, whether the initial sensitizing conditions influence the trajectory of food allergic disease remains enigmatic.

While food allergy has increasingly become a major health and economic concern, it remains a relatively infrequent outcome given our frequent exposure to foods. We advance that food allergy arises as a result of the coincidence in time and space of a number of seemingly unconnected incidents that destroy the state of equilibrium. Exposure to a certain food in the context of a concomitant event that induces tissue damage and the subsequent production of an alarmin signature capable of inhibiting IL-12 production not only overcomes the steady state but also directs the immune system towards a Th2 pathway. The likelihood of this outcome is presumably enhanced by other contributing incidents such as the enzymatic activity of some allergens and the lowering of internal thresholds due to genetic mutations. Beyond biology, social practices such as the abuse of antibiotics in infancy, the misguided recommendations regarding the delayed introduction of foods (notably peanut) into the diet of infants, manufacturing processes that enhance food allergenicity or the consumption of foods from contaminated crops likely play a contributory impetus. Arguably, the development of food allergies is the result of an infrequent “perfect storm”.
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