

1 Article

2 What constitutes emergent quantum reality? 3 A complex system exploration from entropic gravity 4 and the universal constants

5 Arno Keppens ^{1,*}

6 ¹ Space Pole, Circular Avenue, 1180 Brussels, Belgium; arnok@oma.be

7 * Correspondence: arnok@oma.be; Tel.: +32-2373-0412

8

9 **Abstract:** In this work it is acknowledged that important attempts to devise an emergent quantum
10 (gravity) theory require space-time to be discretized at the Planck scale. It is therefore conjectured
11 that reality is identical to a sub-quantum dynamics of ontological micro-constituents that are
12 connected by a single interaction law. To arrive at a complex system-based toy-model identification
13 of these micro-constituents, two strategies are combined. First, by seeing gravity as an entropic
14 phenomenon and generalizing the dimensional reduction of the associated holographic principle,
15 the universal constants of free space are related to assumed attributes of the micro-constituents.
16 Second, as the effective field dynamics of the micro-constituents must eventually obey Einstein's
17 field equations, a sub-quantum interaction law is derived from a solution of these equations. A
18 Planck-scale origin for thermodynamic black hole characteristics and novel views on entropic
19 gravity theory result from this approach, which eventually provides a different view on quantum
20 gravity and its unification with the fundamental forces.

21 **Keywords:** quantum ontology; sub-quantum dynamics; micro-constituents; emergent space-time;
22 emergent quantum gravity; entropic gravity; black hole thermodynamics
23

24 1. Introduction

25 Important attempts to devise an emergent quantum (gravity) theory require space-time to be
26 discretized at the Planck scale [1]. The identification of the discrete micro-constituents of space-time
27 is therefore one of the biggest research questions in present-day physics. Yet if space-time is indeed
28 an effective field, emerging from the interaction of its micro-constituents only, then quantizing some
29 aspect of general relativity will not help us identify its fundamental degrees of freedom—by
30 analogy, we would arrive at a theory of phonons rather than a description of the underlying atoms
31 of the condensate [2-4]. For that reason, in correspondence with Oriti [5], in this work “we consider
32 the emergence of continuum space and time from the collective behavior of discrete, pre-geometric
33 atoms of quantum space, and [analogously consider] space-time as a kind of condensate.”

34 Yet by viewing the conjectured pre-geometric atoms of quantum space as the ontological
35 micro-constituents of our emergent reality, its effective macro-dynamics, including space and time,
36 is expected to benefit from a complex (nonlinear) sub-quantum dynamical systems approach for its
37 appropriate understanding in terms of the fundamental degrees of freedom. According to Ladyman
38 et al. [6] “a complex system is an ensemble of many elements which are interacting in a disordered
39 way, resulting in robust organization and memory.” The necessary qualitative conditions, although
40 being not necessarily jointly sufficient, for the emergence of a complex dynamics that shows
41 spontaneous yet persistent ordering can be correspondingly defined as “numerosity” (an ensemble
42 of many fungible elements) and “interaction” (through direct nonlinear causality) [6].

43 This work hence attempts to provide a parsimonious complex systems approach, as a kind of
44 toy model, for identifying space-time's ontological micro-constituents and their interaction, i.e. their
45 sub-quantum dynamics. Motivated by Occam's razor, it is here assumed that only one type of such
46 micro-constituents exists, and that a single background-independent interaction law connects them
47 relationally [7]. This assumption entails that effective space-time, matter, gravity, and the other
48 fundamental forces should emerge from the interaction, through their fundamental degrees of
49 freedom as dynamical attributes, of the single-type micro-constituents. A number of analogue
50 gravity models or condensed matter approaches to quantum gravity already adopt this strategy, but
51 typically lack background-independence in their interactions [4,8].

52 In order to arrive at a background-independent micro-constituent interaction (law) that
53 reproduces general relativity's dynamical space-time (including gravity) in its effective field
54 behavior, we adopt and combine two strategies. First, motivated by the works of Jacobson [2],
55 Padmanabhan [9], and Verlinde [10] (or see Padmanabhan [11] for more recent progress), we will
56 conceive of gravity as a thermodynamic phenomenon or an emergent entropic force. These authors
57 have demonstrated how Einstein's field equations can be considered to originate from space-time's
58 thermodynamic degrees of freedom at a causal (black hole or holographic) horizon. In this work
59 however, in order to identify the micro-constituents of space-time and their relation with common
60 physical quantities, the dimensional reduction of the holographic principle as presented by 't Hooft
61 [12] is generalized to non-holographic reference surfaces. It is shown that the universal constants of
62 free space can then be related to attributes of the atoms of quantum space.

63 Second, a reverse-engineering argument, somewhat characteristic for complex dynamical
64 systems approaches and encouraged by Hu [13] for emergent quantum gravity research, is used to
65 put forward an approximation of the background-independent interaction law that connects the
66 conjectured single-type micro-constituents of space-time: As the emergent effective field dynamics
67 of the micro-constituents must eventually obey Einstein's relativistic field equations [14], a
68 micro-constituent interaction law that yields the required diffeomorphism invariant field behavior
69 can be obtained from a solution of these equations. The resulting interaction law is however
70 formulated within the emergent relativistic space-time framework itself, and not in a fundamental
71 pre-space-time framework. The latter option is very much complicated by the involvement of some
72 sort of "external time" that is tied to the pre-space-time dynamics of the micro-theory [4]. This flaw
73 seems familiar—and acceptable—when looking at the analogous issue in perturbative string theory,
74 see for instance Huggett and Vistarini [15].

75 Together, these two strategies thus allow identifying—in a first rudimentary way—the
76 micro-constituents of space-time and their basic interaction. The explicit constituent-based complex
77 systems approach presented in this work additionally allows deriving black hole thermodynamics
78 in a way that is believed to be more direct and intuitive than previous accounts [16-18] and related
79 aspects of entropic gravity, the latter even for non-holographic reference surfaces. Both phenomena
80 are reproduced in terms of space-time's micro-constituents and the number of fundamental
81 (thermodynamic) degrees of freedom at their availability on the surface of reference. This complex
82 toy model of quantum reality is therefore anticipated to point the way towards a more mature
83 emergent theory of quantum gravity, while a generalization of the constituent-based origin of the
84 gravitational field finally hints at a unification of the fundamental forces.

85 2. Constituent identification

86 We initiate our complex systems-based toy model of emergent reality with a rudimentary
87 attempt to identify space-time's ontological micro-constituents. It is thereby assumed that only one
88 type of such micro-constituents exists, which entails that effective space-time, matter, gravity, and
89 the other fundamental forces should emerge from the interaction, through their attributes, of these
90 single-type micro-constituents only. This also entails that the universal constants of free space, like
91 the speed of light in vacuum c , the gravitational constant G , the (reduced) Planck constant \hbar , and
92 the Boltzmann constant k_B , are expected to be in some way all related to the attributes of the

93 micro-constituents. A direct connection between the universal constants of free space and associated
 94 space-time constituent properties is therefore derived in the following.

95 As space-time (curvature) and gravitational effects are unified by Einstein's relativistic field
 96 equations, it seems evident to first establish a relationship between the mass m or energy E
 97 enclosed within a certain space-time volume V on the one hand and an invariable property (say G_0)
 98 of each of the n_V individual space-time constituents within that volume on the other hand:

$$m \propto n_V G_0 \quad (1)$$

99 Let us denote this mass and energy defining attribute G_0 , which should obviously be related to the
 100 gravitational constant, as a micro-constituent's "gravitational presence" (this choice is elucidated
 101 later on). Yet masses also experience their mutual full extent from a distance, i.e. without shared
 102 knowledge of their respective n_V . We must therefore relate the "information" about the amount of
 103 micro-constituents within the volume V to some "information" on its surface $A = \partial V$, which is the
 104 kind of dimensional reduction that was proposed by 't Hooft [12] in his holographic principle. This
 105 principle is generalized to non-holographic surfaces here with the following premise: *The amount of*
 106 *micro-constituents n_V contained within an enclosed space-time volume V is proportional to the amount of*
 107 *micro-constituents n_A that is exchanged through the surface $A = \partial V$ of that volume: $n_V \propto n_A$.* As a result,
 108 one can rewrite Eq. (1) as:

$$m \propto n_A G_0 \quad (2)$$

109 Relating the above to common physical quantities can be achieved by use of straightforward
 110 dimensional analysis. By simply rearranging the unit dimensions of G one has:

$$m \propto \frac{c^3}{G} \Delta t \quad (3)$$

111 By combination of Eqs. (2) and (3), and thereby taking $\Delta t = t_P$ to explicitly connect with the Planck
 112 unit system, one can identify each mass as follows:

$$m \equiv n_A G_0 t_P \quad (4)$$

113 with $G_0 \propto c^3/G$ from Eq. (3). Eq. (4) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= G_0 l_P / c \\ E_0 &= G_0 l_P c \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

114 so that we can write $m = n_A m_0$ and $E = n_A E_0$ with m_0 and E_0 the rather abstract unit mass and
 115 unit energy that are associated with the exchange of a single space-time micro-constituent through
 116 the surface A , respectively. In the following n_A is replaced by n , as always the micro-constituents
 117 on the reference surface are intended.

118 Up to this point our analysis has been limited to linear relationships in terms of the numbers of
 119 micro-constituents. This changes when considering temperature T and entropy S that both depend
 120 on a system's thermodynamic degrees of freedom. Motivated by the entropic gravity argumentation
 121 from Padmanabhan [9] and Verlinde [10] for holographic surfaces, yet keeping our non-holographic
 122 premise and Eq. (2) in mind, we here apply the equipartition theorem to the generalized reference
 123 surface A . The equipartition theorem then states that the energy nE_0 of V , because of its
 124 representation by the n micro-constituents at the surface A of V , is equally distributed over all
 125 degrees of freedom N on A , or $E = nE_0 = Nk_B T/2$, which immediately results in:

$$T = \frac{2nE_0}{Nk_B} \quad (6)$$

126 The connection between temperature and entropy as conjugate thermodynamic variables
 127 through $T = \Delta E / \Delta S$, which is discretized because of the finite-sized micro-constituents, moreover
 128 yields:

$$\Delta S = \frac{k_B N \Delta n}{2 n} \quad (7)$$

129 By direct integration for constant N , i.e. over the reference surface A , Eq. (7) becomes:

$$S = \frac{k_B N}{2} \ln(n) \quad (8)$$

130 so that, on the Planck unit scale, $S_p = k_B \ln(2)$ bit or $S_p = k_B$ nit (as required by definition) only
 131 when $n = N = 2$. This entails that a surface enclosing a single Planck mass exchanges two
 132 space-time micro-constituents with the outer environment during a single Planck time interval or
 133 $\sim 10^{43}$ constituents over a second. The entropy associated with a single constituent occupying one
 134 fundamental degree of freedom $S(n = 1, N = 1)$ obviously equals zero, yet one can define $S_0 =$
 135 $S(n = 2, N = 1) = k_B/2$ nit as a unit simplification, wherefrom, upon insertion into Eqs. (6) and (8)
 136 respectively:

$$T = \frac{n E_0}{N S_0} = \frac{n}{N} T_0 \quad (9)$$

137 and

$$S = S_0 N \ln(n) \quad (10)$$

138 Comparison with the Boltzmann formula $S = k_B \ln(\Omega)$ shows that the number of microstates Ω
 139 that corresponds with a given macrostate encompassing N surface degrees of freedom for n
 140 micro-constituents is given by $\Omega = n^N$ as one would expect.

141 By combining $m_p = 2G_0 l_p / c$ with the Planck definitions of mass $m_p = \sqrt{\hbar c / G}$ and length
 142 $l_p = \sqrt{\hbar G / c^3}$ [19], one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} G &= c^3 / 2G_0 \\ \hbar &= 2G_0 l_p^2 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

143 As summarized in Table 1, the above allows translating the universal constants of free space into
 144 four attributes of space-time's micro-constituents and corresponding constituent units. Note that
 145 products of constituent units of complementary variables, like time and energy or position and
 146 momentum, immediately yield $G_0 l_p^2 = \hbar / 2$. This result suggests a direct connection between the
 147 discreteness of the micro-constituents, forcing measurement outcomes to refer to an integer amount
 148 of constituents, and the Heisenberg uncertainty relations [20].

149 **Table 1.** Translation (first column) of universal constants of free space into space-time constituent
 150 attributes (second column) and its effect on the definition of basic units (third column).

Constants translation	Constituent attributes	Constituent units
$\hbar = 2G_0 l_p^2 \rightarrow l_p$	Size	$l_0 = l_p$
$c \rightarrow c$	Velocity	$t_0 = t_p = l_p / c$
$G = c^3 / 2G_0 \rightarrow G_0$	Gravitational presence	$m_0 = G_0 l_p / c = m_p / 2$
$k_B = 2S_0 \rightarrow S_0$	Unit entropy	$S_0 = S_p / 2$ ($T_0 = T_p$)

151

152 3. Constituent interaction

153 Inventing a valid constant translation and unit redefinition can be done in numerous ways and
 154 is therefore not highly remarkable. The translation developed above however aims at getting as close
 155 as possible to the very nature of reality by considering the attributes that are allocated to individual
 156 micro-constituents of space-time as its basis. The next step in our search for a complex theory of
 157 quantum gravity would then be to connect the constituent properties defined in Table 1 by an
 158 interaction law that yields an effective dynamics in agreement with present-day physics theories.
 159 From a gravitational perspective, the emergent effective field dynamics must obey Einstein's field
 160 equations of general relativity [14]. Motivated by Hu [13], a relational micro-constituent interaction
 161 law that yields diffeomorphism invariant fielding behavior, yet formulated within the emergent
 162 relativistic space-time framework, can therefore be derived from a solution of these equations.

163 In the weak field approximation (neglecting the exact Schwarzschild solution to simplify the
 164 discussion), where the metric tensor is defined as a small perturbation ($\ll 1$) on the Minkowski
 165 metric due to a mass M , the line element ds at a distance R from M is given by [14]:

$$ds^2 \approx \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 R}\right) c^2 dt^2 - \left(1 + \frac{2GM}{c^2 R}\right) dl^2 \quad (12)$$

166 with $dl^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$. The effective space-time constituent speed, denoted as c' , is then given
167 by $ds = 0$ or

$$c' \equiv \frac{dl}{dt} \approx c \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{c^2 R}\right). \quad (13)$$

168 In constituent units, this becomes:

$$c' \approx c \left(1 - \frac{l_p}{R} n_M\right) \equiv c(1 - \rho_r) \quad (14)$$

169 whereby $\rho_r \equiv n_M l_p / R = n_M / R_p$ is defined as the “radial constituent density” i.e. the amount of
170 micro-constituents exchanged by M through the surface $4\pi R^2$ relative to the distance R from M
171 in units l_p , which reflects gravity’s spherical isotropy.

172 Eq. (14) shows that the constituent speed as measured in a non-inertial coordinate system at
173 distance R from M indeed decreases with declining R [21,22]. Stated differently, there exists an
174 effective index of refraction $\eta \approx (1 - \rho_r)^{-1}$ with ρ_r representing an effective local constituent
175 density (field). According to the same non-inertial coordinate system, the space-time constituents
176 must therefore undergo an acceleration a_0 given by $dc'/dt \approx 2GM/R^2$ or

$$a_0 \approx \frac{4\pi c^2 n_M}{l_p N} \quad (15)$$

177 in constituent units, provided that $N = A/l_p^2 = 4\pi R^2/l_p^2 = 4\pi R_p^2$ here. This identity however has
178 been derived by Padmanabhan for any diffeomorphism invariant theory [23,24]. By the very
179 conception of mass in Eq. (4), n_M refers to the number of space-time constituents intersecting a
180 spherical surface with radius R , entailing that N must indeed equal the number of fundamental
181 degrees of freedom on this same surface in constituent units. Most importantly, Eq. (15) translates
182 the presence of a remote massive object M into a local experience (and interaction) of gravitational
183 presences at distance R from M , i.e. into a function of the amount of micro-constituents n_M relative
184 to the number of degrees of freedom N at their availability (also see next section). There is no
185 reference to any prior geometry, or in other words Eq. (15) is a background-independent constituent
186 interaction law.

187 Black hole thermodynamics follows straightforwardly [25]: A spherical surface with radius R_S
188 enclosing a compound massive object M will have $c' \rightarrow 0$ when its radial constituent density $\rho_r =$
189 $n_M l_p / R_S \rightarrow 1$ according to Eq. (14). This means that the escape velocity from M equals c at $R_S =$
190 $n_M l_p$, which exactly matches the Schwarzschild radius $R_S = 2GM/c^2$ in constituent units. The
191 corresponding number of degrees of freedom of the spherical reference surface at R_S is hence given
192 by $N_S = 4\pi R_S^2/l_p^2 = 4\pi n_M^2$, entailing that $\Delta S_{BH} = 2\pi k_B n_M \Delta n_M$ from Eq. (7). Integration yields

$$S_{BH} = \pi k_B n_M^2 = \frac{k_B N_S}{4} \quad (16)$$

193 in agreement with Hawking’s black hole entropy expression [26]. The Bekenstein-Hawking black
194 hole radiation temperature T_{BH} can be determined most easily from Eq. (9):

$$T_{BH} = \frac{n_M}{N_S} T_0 = \frac{T_0}{4\pi n_M} \quad (17)$$

195 which is identical to the result obtained by inserting the constant translations proposed in the
196 previous section into the regular Bekenstein-Hawking expression [27,28]. This constituent-based
197 origin for thermodynamic black hole characteristics is however considered to be more direct and
198 intuitive than earlier accounts [16-18].
199

200 4. Entropic gravity

201 Based predominantly on the works by Padmanabhan [9] and Verlinde [10], we attempt to relate
202 the previous outcomes back to the interpretation of gravity as an entropic force, yet generalized to

203 non-holographic reference surfaces. Adopting Verlinde's classical approach first, consider the force
 204 F induced by a mass $M = n_M m_0$ onto a mass $m = n_m m_0$ (and vice-versa) at distance R , which is
 205 according to Newton's law and in constituent units given by

$$F = \frac{G_0 l_p^2 c}{2R^2} n_m n_M. \quad (18)$$

206 This force induces an acceleration a_m on m of the size F/m or

$$a_m = \frac{2\pi c^2 n_M}{l_p N} \quad (19)$$

207 which differs from Eq. (15) only by a factor of two, as one would expect for a calculation that omits
 208 relativity's temporal perturbation of the space-time metric [22]. Eq. (19) however immediately
 209 reproduces the Unruh temperature expression upon insertion of Eq. (6) [29]. This straightforward
 210 connection in constituent units again supports the idea to regard gravity as a thermodynamic
 211 phenomenon or an emergent entropic force, as suggested before.

212 According to Verlinde, one can write the gravitational pull induced by M on m also as [10]:

$$F = \left(\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta R}\right)_m = \left(\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta S}\right)_m \left(\frac{\Delta S}{\Delta R}\right)_m \quad (20)$$

213 with immediately from Eq. (6) for the reference surface temperature induced by m :

$$\left(\frac{\Delta E}{\Delta S}\right)_m = \frac{2G_0 l_p c n_m}{k_B N} \quad (21)$$

214 Also according to Verlinde, the last factor in Eq. (20), being the entropy variation ΔS at the location
 215 of m that corresponds to a variation in the distance ΔR between the two masses, can be considered
 216 from the Bekenstein conjecture [27]: The effective distance shift that is needed to add one unit of
 217 entropy $\Delta S = k_B$ to the holographic reference surface at m equals the Compton wavelength
 218 $\hbar/mc = 2l_p/n_m$ wherefrom (with subscript B to denote the Bekenstein-based approach):

$$\left(\frac{\Delta S}{\Delta R}\right)_B = \frac{k_B n_m}{2l_p} \quad (22)$$

219 However, inserting Eqs. (21) and (22) into Eq. (20) only yields Eqs. (18) and (19) apart from an
 220 unexplained factor $2\pi n_M/n_m$ or $4\pi n_M/n_m$ with respect to the general relativistic Eq. (15). Such
 221 dissimilarity, which must be due to the Bekenstein conjecture (see below), has also been observed by
 222 Verlinde in regular units [10]. Verlinde nevertheless uses his version of Eq. (22) to relate the classical
 223 gravitational acceleration with a mass-induced entropy gradient. The same result (still by a factor
 224 $2\pi n_M/n_m$) is immediately obtained here by inserting the latter identity into Eq. (19):

$$a_{m,B} = \frac{4\pi c^2 n_M}{k_B N n_m} \left(\frac{\Delta S}{\Delta R}\right)_B \quad (23)$$

225 For a general description that is not bound to a holographic scenario, Eq. (8) instead of the
 226 Bekenstein conjecture should be used as a starting point for determining the distance-dependent
 227 entropy gradient that is induced by the mass M . In that case, with n_M being independent of R :

$$\left(\frac{\Delta S}{\Delta R}\right)_C = \frac{k_B 8\pi R}{2 l_p^2} \ln(n_M) = \frac{2S}{R} \quad (24)$$

228 whereby the subscript C stresses the constituent-based approach, so that

$$a_{m,C} = \pi c^2 \frac{n_M R_P}{N S} \left(\frac{\Delta S}{\Delta R}\right)_C \quad (25)$$

229 One can immediately reproduce the results by Padmanabhan [9] and Verlinde [10] by insertion of
 230 the Schwarzschild solutions $R_S = n_M l_p$ and $S_{BH} = \pi k_B n_M^2$ into Eqs. (24) and (25) respectively,
 231 yielding (with subscript S for Schwarzschild):

$$\left(\frac{\Delta S}{\Delta R}\right)_S = \frac{2S_{BH}}{R_S} = \frac{2\pi k_B n_M}{l_p} \quad (26)$$

232 which indeed differs from Eq. (22) by a factor $4\pi n_M/n_m$ as anticipated, and consequently for the
 233 entropy-induced acceleration

$$a_{m,s} = \frac{c^2}{k_B N} \left(\frac{\Delta S}{\Delta R} \right)_s \quad (27)$$

234 The entropic interpretation of gravitational pull can however be simplified by definition of an
 235 “informational constituent density” $\rho_i = n_M/N$, which is like a temperature according to Eq. (9), as
 236 the amount of micro-constituents n_M that is exchanged by M relative to the number of degrees of
 237 freedom N at their availability on a spherical reference surface at distance R . Taking into account
 238 again that $N = 4\pi R_p^2$, the gradient of ρ_i as experienced by m is given by:

$$\frac{\Delta \rho_i}{\Delta R} = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta R} \left(\frac{n_M l_p^2}{4\pi R^2} \right) = -\frac{2\rho_i}{R} \quad (28)$$

239 Note the similarity with the entropic gradient in Eq. (24). As a result, the gravitational acceleration is
 240 very straightforwardly considered as being induced by an informational constituent density
 241 gradient also in Eq. (19):

$$a_m = -\pi c^2 R_p \frac{\Delta \rho_i}{\Delta R} \quad (29)$$

242 For the relativistic space-time constituents interacting through Eq. (15), this means that

$$a_0 \approx -2\pi c^2 R_p \frac{\Delta \rho_i}{\Delta R} = -c^2 \frac{\Delta \rho_r}{\Delta R} \quad (30)$$

243 corresponding elegantly with a gravitational potential $\varphi = c^2 n_M / R_p$.

244 The interpretation of entropic gravity by Padmanabhan [9] and Verlinde [10] in terms of a
 245 temperature-induced entropy change on a holographic screen due to a mass m (the Bekenstein
 246 conjecture), which causes an entropy gradient, which causes acceleration, is thus replaced here by an
 247 interpretation of gravitational pull in terms of micro-constituent density gradients: Each mass can be
 248 experienced by a remote mass, due to the experience of an effective (informational) constituent
 249 density gradient, which can be expressed as a temperature or entropy gradient, and which causes an
 250 acceleration. Although technical differences are small, the latter interpretation is believed to provide
 251 an improved conceptual understanding of emergent quantum gravity in terms of space-time’s
 252 micro-constituents and the fundamental degrees of freedom at their availability. Further entropic
 253 gravity generalizations by Padmanabhan [9] and Verlinde [10] still hold true, while a covariant
 254 Lagrangian version has been provided by Hossenfelder [30].

255 5. Discussion

256 From the necessary conditions for the emergence of a complex dynamical system, it has been
 257 conjectured that reality is identical to a sub-quantum dynamics of indistinguishable yet ontological
 258 micro-constituents that are connected by a single interaction law. In order to arrive at a first
 259 toy-model identification of these micro-constituents, two strategies have been combined. First, it is
 260 obvious that masses, which can only consist of constituent collections, require a means to fully
 261 experience each other from a distance, i.e. some kind of information about the presence and extent of
 262 each mass must be remotely available. This kind of dimensional reduction of information has been
 263 achieved from a micro-constituent-based generalization of the holographic principle within a
 264 thermodynamic interpretation of gravity. The generalization allowed identifying Planck-scale
 265 constituent attributes from the universal constants of free space, like G and \hbar , that can be seen as
 266 unit conversion constants as a result. Second, as the effective field dynamics of the constituents must
 267 eventually obey Einstein’s field equations, a sub-quantum interaction law, although formulated
 268 within the emergent relativistic space-time framework, has been derived from an approximate
 269 solution of these equations.

270 Generalizing the workings of the holographic principle to all reference surfaces however also
 271 called for a corresponding generalization of the Bekenstein conjecture, which assesses the entropy
 272 change at a black hole’s surface upon mass aggregation. This conjecture has been used to connect the
 273 gravitational acceleration near a holographic surface to an entropy gradient by Padmanabhan [9]
 274 and Verlinde [10]. In this work however, relating the experience of a distant mass to the entropy

275 (gradient) has been achieved for non-holographic surfaces from the number of micro-constituents
276 that are distributed over the surfaces' fundamental degrees of freedom. Taking a Schwarzschild
277 surface as reference immediately reproduced the holographic entropic gravity results and provided
278 a constituent-based origin for thermodynamic black hole characteristics. The interpretation of
279 gravity in terms of an effective constituent density gradient is believed to provide a more
280 straightforward understanding towards an emergent quantum gravity theory.

281 The general conclusion "that acceleration is related to an entropy gradient" [10] or a constituent
282 density gradient also calls for a more general interpretation of the fundamental forces. If reality is
283 indeed identical to a single type of space-time micro-constituents interacting through the proposed
284 law (or similar), than this assumption entails that not only effective space-time and gravity, but also
285 the other fundamental forces should emerge from the interaction of the micro-constituents. Unruh's
286 argument that every acceleration induces a temperature was inverted by Padmanabhan [9] and
287 Verlinde [10] to state that gravitational acceleration or inertia is induced by a temperature-induced
288 entropy gradient, but can hence also be understood to be generally reversible, indicating that *every*
289 *fundamental acceleration (or force) is induced by an effective constituent density gradient.*

290 In line with the common interpretation of Einstein's field equations one could indeed imagine
291 that a composite body (i.e. a space-time constituent collection) experiencing no net force whatsoever
292 must be located within an isotropic space-time constituent density distribution, while every 'force'
293 that disturbs the isotropy, as a 'space-time curvature' effect on the surrounding micro-constituent
294 density distribution, is compensated for by a macroscopic acceleration, as effectively induced by a
295 sub-quantum micro-constituent dynamics according to Eq. (30), to a geodesic trajectory. This view
296 corresponds with the idea that according to general relativity gravity is not a force in the classical
297 sense as objects do not couple to the gravitational field; objects just exist and, if not differently
298 constrained, follow geodesic trajectories [31].

299 Differences between the Standard Model matter and force particles must in this view emerge
300 from different types of 'clustering' of the space-time micro-constituents, while no specific clustering
301 configuration seems to be required for the emergence of space-time and gravity. Note that
302 correspondingly every part of the universe can be attributed mass and energy, but not any other
303 Standard Model attribute that requires a specific constituent configuration. The strength gap
304 between the gravitational pull and the other fundamental forces that involve clustered space-time
305 anisotropies is therefore anticipated. In agreement with experiment, this gap however should
306 narrow when the number of background constituents increases up to a high-energy level where the
307 constituent density discrepancy becomes vague or disappears.

308 The biggest open question within this line of research is then whether the interaction according
309 to the law proposed in Eq. (30) also allows for different types of micro-constituent clustering
310 behavior that yield Standard Model physics, or whether other constituent attributes and interaction
311 laws are required. Yet for the accustomed probability wave dynamics within quantum mechanics,
312 one could expect that each constituent cluster shows an internal micro-constituent dynamics that can
313 be assessed by the use of wave characteristics, which are merely descriptive choices in function of an
314 observer's eigen-time. These descriptive choices could be quantized in terms of a wavelike Gibbs
315 ensemble probability density function for the cluster's micro-constituents. Thereby taking into
316 account the finite extent l_p of the constituents, one arrives at a canonical quantization that relates to
317 quantum mechanics' probability density function. This function is denoted "densité de présence" in
318 French, wherefrom the (gravitational) "presence" attribute specification in this work.

319
320

321 **Acknowledgments:** The Fetzer Franklin Fund is acknowledged for awarding a travel grant to present this work
322 at the Emergent Quantum Mechanics 2017 conference (Oct. 26-28, University of London) and for covering the
323 costs to publish this work in open access. The John Templeton Foundation is acknowledged for awarding a
324 visiting scholarship to the Space and Time After Quantum Gravity research group at the University of Geneva,
325 allowing for extensive discussion of this work with Niels Linnemann, Karen Crowther, Baptiste Le Bihan, and
326 Christian Wüthrich. Thanks for helpful thoughts also go to Antoine Acke and Erik Verlinde.

327 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the
328 design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in
329 the decision to publish the results.
330

331 **References**

- 332 1. Oriti, D. *Approaches to quantum gravity: Toward a new understanding of space, time and matter*, 1st ed.;
333 Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2009; ISBN: 978-0-521-86045-1.
- 334 2. Jacobson, T.A. Thermodynamics of space-time: The Einstein equation of state. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1995**, *75*(7),
335 1260-1263, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1260.
- 336 3. Barceló, C.; Visser, M.; Liberati, S. Einstein gravity as an emergent phenomenon? *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2001**,
337 *10*(6), 799-806, DOI: 10.1142/S0218271801001591.
- 338 4. Crowther, K. *Effective Spacetime: Understanding Emergence in Effective Field Theory and Quantum Gravity*, 1st
339 ed.; Springer International Publishing: Basel, Switzerland, 2016; ISBN: 978-3-319-39506-7.
- 340 5. Oriti, D. Disappearance and emergence of space and time in quantum gravity. *Stud. Hist. Phil. Mod. Phys.*
341 **2014**, *46*, 186-199, DOI: 10.1016/j.shpsb.2013.10.006.
- 342 6. Ladyman, J.; Lambert, J.; Wiesner, K. What is a Complex System? *Eur. J. Phil. Sci.* **2012**, *3*(1), 33-67, DOI:
343 10.1007/s13194-012-0056-8.
- 344 7. Berghofer, P. Ontic structural realism and quantum field theory: Are there intrinsic properties at the most
345 fundamental level of reality? *Stud. Hist. Phil. Mod. Phys.* **2017**, *9*(3), 1-13, DOI: 10.1016/j.shpsb.2017.09.003.
- 346 8. Barceló, C.; Liberati, S.; Visser, M. Analogue gravity. *Living Rev. Relativity* **2011**, *14*(3), 1-159, DOI:
347 10.12942/lrr-2011-3.
- 348 9. Padmanabhan, T. A new perspective on gravity and dynamics of space-time. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **2005**,
349 *14*(12), 2263-2269, DOI: 10.1142/S0218271805007863.
- 350 10. Verlinde, E. On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton. *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2010**, *29*, 1-27, DOI:
351 10.1007/JHEP04(2011)029.
- 352 11. Padmanabhan, T. Emergent Gravity Paradigm: Recent Progress. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **2015**, *30*(3), 1-21, DOI:
353 10.1142/S0217732315400076.
- 354 12. 't Hooft, G. Dimensional Reduction in Quantum Gravity. *GR-QC* **1993**, 1-13, URI: arXiv:9310.0026v1.
- 355 13. Hu, B. L. Emergent/Quantum Gravity: Macro/Micro Structures of Spacetime. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **2009**,
356 *174*(1), 1-16, DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/174/1/012015.
- 357 14. Einstein, A. Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation. *Sitzungsber. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin* **1915**,
358 844-847.
- 359 15. Huggett, N.; Vistarini, T. Deriving General Relativity From String Theory. *Proc. Phil. Sci. Assoc.* **2014**, *14*,
360 1-12, URI: philsci-archive.pitt.edu/id/eprint/11116.
- 361 16. Strominger, A.; Vafa, C. Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. *Phys. Lett. B* **1996**, *379*(1),
362 99-104, DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(96)00345-0.
- 363 17. Rovelli, C. Black hole entropy from loop quantum gravity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*(16), 3288-3291, DOI:
364 10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3288.
- 365 18. Ashtekar, A.; Baez, J.; Corichi, A.; Krasnov, K. Quantum Geometry and Black Hole Entropy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
366 **1998**, *80*(5), 904-907, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.904 .
- 367 19. Planck, M. Über irreversible Strahlungsvorgänge. *Sitzungsber. Königl. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin* **1899**,
368 440-480.
- 369 20. Heisenberg, W. Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik. *Z.*
370 *Phys.* **1927**, *43*(3), 172-198, DOI: 10.1007/BF01397280.
- 371 21. Einstein, A. Einfluss der Schwerkraft auf die Ausbreitung des Lichtes. *Annal. Phys.* **1911**, *35*, 898-908, DOI:
372 10.1002/andp.19113401005.
- 373 22. Einstein, A. *Relativity: The special and general theory*, 1st ed.; Henry Holt & Co.: New York, USA, 1920; ISBN:
374 1-4362-9508-4.
- 375 23. Padmanabhan, T. Equipartition of energy in the horizon degrees of freedom and the emergence of gravity.
376 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **2010**, *25*(14), 1129-1136, DOI: 10.1142/S021773231003313X.
- 377 24. Padmanabhan, T. Surface Density of Spacetime Degrees of Freedom from Equipartition Law in theories of
378 Gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **2010**, *81*(12), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.81.124040.
- 379 25. Bardeen, J. M.; Carter, B.; Hawking, S. W. The four laws of black hole mechanics. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **1973**,
380 *31*(2), 161-170, DOI: 10.1007/BF01645742.
- 381 26. Hawking, S. W. Particle creation by black holes. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **1975**, *43*(3), 199-220, DOI:
382 10.1007/BF02345020.
- 383 27. Bekenstein, J. D. Black holes and entropy. *Phys. Rev. D* **1973**, *7*(8), 2333-2346, DOI:
384 10.1103/PhysRevD.7.2333.

- 385 28. Hawking, S. W. Black hole explosions? *Nature* **1974**, *248*(5443), 30-31, DOI: 10.1038/248030a0.
- 386 29. Unruh, W. G. Notes on black-hole evaporation. *Phys. Rev. D* **1976**, *14*(4), 870-892, DOI:
387 10.1103/PhysRevD.14.870.
- 388 30. Hossenfelder, S. A Covariant Version of Verlinde's Emergent Gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* **2017**, *95*(12), DOI:
389 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.124018.
- 390 31. Maudlin, T. On the unification of physics. *J. Phil.* **1996**, *93*(3), 129-144, DOI: 10.2307/2940873.