Can periodical examinations of employees be useful in detection of glycaemia impairment and improving patients’ adherence to medical recommendations?
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Abstract: Worldwide epidemiological data indicates insufficient diagnosis of diabetes mellitus (DM) as an increasing problem of public health. Searching for solutions in this disadvantageous situation, occupational medicine health services seem to open up a unique opportunity to recognize some abnormalities in early steps, especially among the asymptomatic working-age population. 316 workers underwent obligatory prophylactic examinations. In patients with twice assayed FGL ≥ 126 mg/dl (7.0 mmol/l) the additional intervention was implemented, including further diagnostic process and therapy in General Practice (GP), followed by examination by an occupational health specialist within 3 months. The diagnosis of previously unknown diabetes was established among 2.5% of examined workers. All patients referred to the GP due to detected glycaemia impairment visited their doctor and finished the diagnostic process, took up therapy constrained by the occupational health physician to show the effects of intervention within 3 months. Prophylactic medical check-ups allow improved compliance and medical surveillance over glycaemia impairment in patients with prediabetes states, unknown diabetes or uncontrolled clinical course of diabetes. Considering fasting glucose level during mandatory prophylactic examination helps effective prevention of diabetes and its complications and thus give public health system benefits.
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Introduction

Worldwide epidemiological data indicates insufficient diagnosis of diabetes mellitus (DM) as an increasing problem, as even in developed countries the diagnostic rate of DM stands at about 64.2% [1]. Due to the availability of a low-cost screening tool like the measurement of fasting glucose blood level, under-recognizing DM may be interlinked with inconsistencies of the healthcare system, which should provide effective and comprehensive resolutions in this issue (in legal, institutional, organizational, financial and educational aspects). Searching for solutions in this disadvantageous situation, occupational medicine health services seem to open up a unique opportunity to recognize some abnormalities in early steps, especially among the asymptomatic working-age population. About 4 million mandatory employees examinations are carried out yearly in Poland among a population of over 12.5 million in an economically productive age [2]. However, the level of glycaemia in the blood is routinely estimated only in a determined group of workers whose jobs are related to public safety (e.g. drivers) or among workers voluntarily participating in supplementary health
preventive programs financed by employers. Mandatory periodical prophylactic examinations may give a chance for effective prevention of diabetes in the scope of the development of this disease and its complications. Workers’ health checkups are reasonable due to possible detection of prediabetes states (impaired fasting glucose or impaired glucose tolerance), which may be reversible (in contrary to diabetes) if effective preventive methods are implemented. Certainly, it is a matter not only of performing a laboratory test, but also of educating a patient in different aspects of a healthy lifestyle and of his adherence to these precautions. Nowadays, a number of terms, e.g. ‘compliance’, ‘adherence’, ‘persistence’, and ‘concordance’, are used to define different aspects of the act of seeking medical attention, acquiring prescriptions and taking medicines appropriately [3]. However, in this aspect adherence, which describes the extent to which a person’s behaviour – taking medication, following a diet, and/or executing lifestyle changes, corresponds with agreed recommendations from a health care provider [3] and seems the most appropriate.

The aim of this study was to evaluate if mandatory periodical prophylactic examinations carried out in Poland may be used to improve the detection of glycaemia impairment among asymptomatic and unaware workers and of their adherence to medical recommendations.

Materials and Methods

The study group comprised 316 workers referred by employers to mandatory medical examinations (preliminary, periodic or control) in the period of February-July 2015. Medical check-ups were carried out in the occupational medicine outpatient clinic located in a city in central Poland with a population of 60,000.

Among 305 patients without a previous diagnosis of diabetes, the fasting glucose level (FGL) in venous blood was assayed in a laboratory method. All of the patients with FGL 100–125 mg/dl (5.6–6.9 mmol/l) were educated about the necessity of reducing the modifiable risk factors for DM and further glycaemia control in General Practice (GP). Among patients with FGL > 125 mg/dl (> 6.9 mmol/l), another one assay was carried out. In case of FGL ≥ 126 mg/dl (7.0 mmol/l) measured in both the 1st and the 2nd assay, that is a criterion qualifying the recognition of diabetes [4], the study intervention procedure was implemented, including referral to a GP for confirmation of the diagnosis and taking up therapy, and the following term of re-examination by an occupational health specialist was established within 3 months. In this period of time, workers with suspected DM had to visit their GPs for further diagnostics. They were then obligated to bring medical information from their GP (about actions taken and their effects) during the next mandatory prophylactic examination carried out in a reduced term-3 months (Fig.1).
Figure 1. Chart of the study design and intervention implemented among workers with a recognized glycaemia impairment.
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Moreover, all of the workers completed a questionnaire of the Findrisc form [5] and were interviewed about previous glycaemia measurements and further steps in case of detected glycaemia impairment in the past.

As for the characteristics of the study participants the following potential binary factors for glycaemia impairment were determined: gender, age > 45 years, body mass index (BMI) \( \geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2 \), abdominal obesity: waist circumference in men > 94 cm and in women > 80 cm, low-intensity of usual physical activity (below at least 30 minutes a day), inappropriate nutrition (unbalanced and poor in vegetables and fruit), family history of DM, detection of glycaemia impairment in the past or lack of prior glycaemia assays.

Statistical analysis applied a crude risk index represented by an odds ratio (OR) of DM incidence in the group with present risk factor of diabetes in relation to the group without this risk factor, together with 95% confidence interval (95% CI).

The study protocol was approved by the local Bioethical Committee at the Nofer Institute of Occupational Medicine in Lodz (decision number 04/2015, 18th Feb 2015). Participation in the study required an informed written consent.

Results

The study group comprised 316 workers aged 19-68 - 198 men and 118 women. The mean age averaged 41.9 and was higher among male employees (42.6) than female (40.9). Six men (3%) and 5 women (4.2%) reported suffering from diabetes. In 305 workers without a previous diagnosis of DM, FGL in blood was assayed. In 74 men (37.4%) and 14 women (11.9%) FGL was elevated, among them in 67 males and 12 females FGL ranged 100–125 mg/dl (5.6–6.9 mmol/l) (Fig.2).

In 2.5% of workers who underwent prophylactic examinations previously unknown diabetes was detected (Fig.2): in 8 workers (7 men and 1 woman) in the 1st assay, and then in the 2nd assay as well, FGL exceeded 126 mg/dl (7.0 mmol/l). Due to the established criterion of study intervention, those patients were referred to a GP where finally diabetes was diagnosed in all 8 people and treatment was implemented.

Figure 2. Glycaemia impairment in the study group of workers (n=316).
The most important risk factors of DM in male workers were: overweight, abdominal obesity, low daily vegetable and fruit intake and low usual physical activity. The major pitfalls in female workers were: abdominal obesity, insufficient physical activity and family history of DM (Table 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants without previous recognition of diabetes (n=305).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males n=192 (%)</th>
<th>Females n=113 (%)</th>
<th>Both n=305 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 45 years</td>
<td>68 (35)</td>
<td>33 (29)</td>
<td>101 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &gt; 25kg/m²</td>
<td>137 (71)</td>
<td>38 (34)</td>
<td>175 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal obesity: M &gt; 94 cm of WC, F &gt; 80 cm of WC</td>
<td>116 (60)</td>
<td>58 (51)</td>
<td>174 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low daily physical activity*</td>
<td>81 (42)</td>
<td>57 (50)</td>
<td>138 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper diet**</td>
<td>82 (43)</td>
<td>30 (26)</td>
<td>112 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of arterial hypertension</td>
<td>46 (24)</td>
<td>14 (12)</td>
<td>60 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of diabetes</td>
<td>65 (34)</td>
<td>51 (45)</td>
<td>116 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive history of glycaemia impairment</td>
<td>31 (16)</td>
<td>10 (9)</td>
<td>41 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of glycaemia impairment control ***</td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>22 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of previous glycaemia testing</td>
<td>64 (33)</td>
<td>33 (29)</td>
<td>97 (32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BMI - Body Mass Index;  F - Females;  M – Males;  n – number of;  WC - waist circumference;  *
Low daily physical activity – according to Findrisc form [5] lack of at least 30 minutes of daily physical activity;  ** Improper diet – according to Findrisc form [5] diet poor in vegetables and fruit;  *** Lack of following control tests despite detection of glycaemia impairment

The risk of glycaemia impairment development, regardless of gender, was higher among workers with overweight, abdominal obesity, low daily physical activity and previous elevated FGL without any further diagnostic or therapeutic interventions. On the other hand, any association between glycaemia impairment and lack of FGL assay in the past, was not revealed. Among men glycaemia impairment was positively associated with an age of over 45 years and with co-existing arterial hypertension (Table 2).

Table 2. Risk factors for development of the impaired carbohydrate metabolism evaluated in the study group without the prior diagnosis of diabetes (n=305).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males (n=192)</th>
<th>Females (n=113)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FGL at reference range</td>
<td>FGL ≥ 100 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 45 years</td>
<td>24 (20.3)</td>
<td>44 (59.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²</td>
<td>74 (62.7)</td>
<td>63 (85.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal obesity*</td>
<td>55 (46.6)</td>
<td>61 (82.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low daily physical activity**</td>
<td>41 (34.47)</td>
<td>40 (54.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper diet***</td>
<td>52 (44.1)</td>
<td>30 (40.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of AH</td>
<td>16 (13.6)</td>
<td>30 (40.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of DM</td>
<td>40 (33.9)</td>
<td>25 (33.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive history of glycaemia impairment</td>
<td>8 (7)</td>
<td>23 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of glycaemia impairment control ***</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>14 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of previous glycaemia testing</td>
<td>45 (38)</td>
<td>19 (26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI - Body Mass Index  FGL - fasting glucose level  n – number of
* Abdominal obesity - Male > 94 cm waist circumference, Female > 80 cm waist circumference
** Low daily physical activity – according to Findrisc form [5] lack of at least 30 minutes of daily physical activity
*** Improper diet – according to Findrisc form [5] diet poor in vegetables and fruit
*** Lack of following control tests despite detection of glycaemia impairment
On the basis of the Findrisc form, 72.5% of the study participants had a low or slightly elevated risk of diabetes type 2 occurrence in the following 10 years. In this group 37 workers (17%) had IFG and one case of FGL $\geq 126$ mg/dl (7.0 mmol/l) was detected. Among other employees with at least a moderate risk of diabetes type 2 occurrence in the following 10 years, 7 cases of FGL $\geq 126$ mg/dl (7.0 mmol/l) and as a consequence DM were observed, and 43 subjects had IFG (Table 3).

Table 3. The risk of developing diabetes type 2 within the next 10 years in patients with detected glycaemia impairment and without a prior diagnosis of diabetes (n=305). According to Findrisc form [3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10 years risk of DM type 2 development</th>
<th>All n=305 (%)</th>
<th>Fasting glucose level in venous blood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70-99 mg/dl (3.9-5.5 mmol/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt;1%)</td>
<td>141 (46.2)</td>
<td>123 (87.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly elevated (4%)</td>
<td>80 (26.2)</td>
<td>60 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (16.6%)</td>
<td>34 (11.1)</td>
<td>16 (47.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (33%)</td>
<td>44 (14.4)</td>
<td>16 (36.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very high (50%)</td>
<td>6 (2.0)</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM - diabetes mellitus;
Total risk score for developing type 2 diabetes within next 10 years according to Findrisc form [5]:
- < 7 pc – low: estimated 1 in 100 persons will develop DM type 2
- 7-11 pc – slightly elevated: estimated 1 in 25 persons will develop DM type 2
- 12-14 pc – moderate: estimated 1 in 6 persons will develop DM type 2
- 15-20 pc – high: estimated 1 in 3 persons will develop DM type 2
- >20 pc – very high: estimated 1 in 2 persons will develop DM type 2

Discussion

In this study, during mandatory prophylactic examinations, 3.5% of workers declared previous recognition of diabetes, that is less than the estimated prevalence for the Polish population aged 20-79 which is about 7.9% [1]. It is possible that a few patients intentionally dissimulated the disease due to fear of losing the ability to continue their earning job.

Glycaemia impairment was detected in 33.8% of examined men, that is more than the estimated prevalence for prediabetes states, including impaired fasting glucose (IFG) or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) among Polish males in general (5.8%± 1.02). An elevated level of fasting glucose was observed in 11% of women, that is similar to the estimated prevalence of prediabetes states among Polish females as a whole (7.25%±1.05) [6].

The cause of high prevalence of glycaemia impairment among men may be found in the co-existing typical risk factors for diabetes development, especially more frequent, in comparison with the Polish general population, occurrence of overweight (BMI $>$25 kg/m²: 71% vs 61.6%) [7]. In the study group of females, the prevalence of overweight was lower in comparison with Polish general population (approximately 34% vs 50.3%) [7].

Fasting glucose level in venous blood assay carried out in all workers examined during mandatory prophylactic examinations resulted in a diagnosis of 8 (2.5%) previously unknown cases of DM, which corresponds with the prevalence of unknown diabetes estimated for the Polish general population [1].

It is worth emphasizing that the fasting glucose blood level was measured in venous blood in all of the study participants, and only a positive history of DM constituted an excluding criterion of implementing the intervention. Obligatory glycaemia testing in all employees referred to occupational
health physician examinations gave the opportunity to reveal an undiagnosed diabetes with an equal prevalence estimated for the general population. Similarly, Krogsbøll et al. indicated a positive correlation between common health control (not directed on specific abnormalities) and de novo established recognition of health disturbances [8]. However, these researchers also paid attention to a previous lack of data about any impact of these control medical check-ups a decrease in morbidity and mortality. Furthermore, a lot of studies have been published, critically referring to the purpose and effectiveness of general preventive action as part of mandatory examinations of employees [9,10]. On the contrary, the results of our study confirmed the real opportunity for detection of undiagnosed diabetes during prophylactic mandatory periodical examinations, as well as to improve adherence that may reduce both morbidity and mortality. The most important role seems to be played by an appointment of a day of the following mandatory medical check-up in short period of time just after the detection of glycaemia impairment. The pressure put on workers caused by establishing the following examination by the occupational health physician within 3 months resulted in a higher adherence to medical advice (100% of employees took up diagnostics/therapy of DM in GP). Previous literature has suggested that only 36–93% of patients comply with medical advices related to therapy and 10–80% comply with medical advices related to unhealthy lifestyle modification [11].

Among workers, who denied DM recognition in the past, 33% of men and 29% of women admitted to having never had glycaemia testing (comparing with the study carried out by Kobuszeewska et al.: 37.7% of males and 17.9% females in the age below 65 years old [12]). The majority of persons with elevated FGL in the past, consulted further diagnostic steps with their GP, however 7% disregard that result.

Fasting glucose level testing seems to be the most justified in employees subjected to prophylactic examinations who have an increased risk of metabolic disorders. The Findrisc form is one commonly available screening tool for the prediction of DM type 2 development in the near future within 10 years [5,13,14,15]. The results of our study, performed by using the Findrisc form, revealed the distribution of the risk of diabetes in examined workers to be similar to the research conducted by Vandermissen et al. among 275 workers with the average age of 45 years (in our study more patients with a higher risk of DM participated: approximately 14.4 % vs 4.4 %) [16]. What is more, contrary to this research [16], not all cases of hyperglycaemia ≥ 126 mg/dl (7.0 mmol/l) were associated with a moderate or higher risk of DM occurrence (> 12 pc in Findrisc scale). Also, Bergmann et al. indicated towards a lower effectiveness for this tool in the detection of asymptomatic diabetes type 2 [17]. Witte et al. suggested that non-invasive methods of risk assessment requiring further improvement, testing and validation before their implementation as a 1st step in screening diagnostic procedures for diabetes [18].

Conclusions

Fasting glucose blood testing may be worth including in the scope of prophylactic mandatory examinations of workers due to further health benefits and effective prevention of diabetes that may be also cost-effective for general health preventive services [19]. The requisiteness of these medical check-ups gives an opportunity for early recognition of undiagnosed diabetes and to improve the patient’s adherence to medical recommendations. A diagnosis of prediabetes states should result in further diagnostic steps, health education and the implementation of preventive activities in the workplace, which are known to be effective [20,21]. Periodical prophylactic examinations of workers, therefore, can successfully fit in the modern model of active diagnosis of asymptomatic population diseases and thus give public health system benefits.
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