

1 Article

## 2 **Effects of Processing Treatments on the Chemical Composition of Tiger** 3 **Nut (*Cyperus esculentus*) Milk Products**

4 **Hafiz Abubakar, Muhammad Atiku, Adamu Alhassan and Amina Sa'id\***

5 Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences Bayero University Kano, PMB 3011 Kano State,  
6 Nigeria

7 \* Correspondence: aminasaid02@yahoo.com; Tel.: +2348065270866

8

9 **Abstract:** Tiger nut (*Cyperus esculentus*) is an edible perennial grass-like plant that has long been  
10 recognized for its health benefits as it is rich in fiber, protein, vitamins, minerals and  
11 natural sugars. It can be eaten raw, roasted or made into a refreshing milk which is very nutritive  
12 and healthy for consumption. There were several efforts to mass-produce the locally prepared tiger  
13 nut milk in our locality, but the fact that it has a shorter shelf-life, brings about a hindrance. The main  
14 objective of the present study is to unveil the cause for the easily spoilage of tiger nut milk and  
15 device ways to promote its production using different treatments. Tiger nut milk products were  
16 prepared using different methods; water soaked tiger nut milk (WSTM), toasted tiger nut milk  
17 (TTM), methanol soaked tiger nut milk (MSTM), and the pasteurised tiger nut milk (PTM). Each of  
18 these milk products prepared was divided into two portions; the first portion is treated with an  
19 antibiotic preservative, Nisin and the second portion was left untreated. The two portions were  
20 further divided into two; one stored at refrigerating temperature and the other at room temperature,  
21 making four different treatments per mixture and a total of 16 samples. These samples were  
22 subjected to proximate analysis; protein, fat, moisture, ash and carbohydrate. The chemical  
23 composition of the samples was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) affected by processing treatment. All the  
24 samples had high moisture content, and a considerable amount of fat. The preservative treated  
25 samples that received pasteurized treatment were found to stay more than a week with fair quality.  
26 Findings from the study shows that the chemical characteristics of the various milk products were  
27 significantly affected by the different processing treatments.

28 **Keywords:** Nisin, water soaked tiger nut milk (WSTM), toasted tiger nut milk (TTM), methanol  
29 soaked tiger nut milk (MSTM), and the pasteurised tiger nut milk (PTM)

30

---

### 31 1. Introduction

32 Milk is an important source of nutrients and serve as a source of food for infants, growing  
33 children and adults [1]. It is the primary source of nutrients for infant mammals before they are able  
34 to digest other types of food. The high cost of milk in developing countries has led to the  
35 development of alternative source of milk from plant materials [2]. A protein-rich drink can be  
36 produced from locally available plant foods at an affordable price in place of animal protein which is  
37 scarce and expensive, and could play an important role to reduce protein malnutrition.

38 Plant milk has been consumed for centuries in various cultures, both as a regular drink (such as  
39 the Spanish horchata) and as a substitute for dairy milk. The most popular varieties are soy milk,  
40 almond milk, rice milk and coconut milk. Their protein content varies. It contains no lactose or  
41 cholesterol, and is usually sold with added calcium and vitamins, especially B<sub>12</sub>. Only soybean has  
42 been extensively investigated while other oil seeds and tubers such as tigernut, have not been  
43 studied comprehensively. Little research attention has been given to bambaranut [3], baobab [1],  
44 peanut [4], melon seed [5] and tiger nut milk [6] as sources of vegetable milk.

45 Tiger nut, an under-utilized crop, was reported to be high in dietary fibre content, which could  
46 be effective in the treatment and prevention of many diseases including colon cancer, coronary heart  
47 diseases, obesity, diabetes and gastrointestinal diseases [7]. It has 5.8% moisture, rich in protein (7%)  
48 [8] and carbohydrates such as reducing sugar (7.4%), soluble polysaccharide (7.4%) and starch  
49 (86.4%) [9]. Tiger nut is also rich in mineral elements such as sodium, calcium, potassium,  
50 magnesium, zinc and traces of copper [10]. According to Ojobe and Tempo [11], the protein in tiger  
51 nut is of high biological value considering the many essential amino acids it contains. These amino  
52 acids are higher than those proposed in the standard by the FAO/WHO [12, 13] and satisfy amino  
53 acid need of adults [14].

54 Tiger nuts are a rich source of nourishment, and remain a significant source of food for both the  
55 poor and the wealthy throughout Northwest Africa. They are a good sources of oleic acid (a  
56 monounsaturated fat also found in olive oil and avocado), and which is associated with increased  
57 HDL (good) cholesterol levels.

58 Tiger nuts can be processed into varieties of milk products like water soaked tiger nut milk,  
59 toasted tiger nut milk, methanol soaked tiger nut milk and the pasteurised tiger nut milk. Tiger nut  
60 milk can be used by special people having milk allergies such as galactosemia and lactose  
61 intolerance.

62 The tiger nut milk is a stimulating vegetable drink prepared mainly with tiger nut, water and  
63 sugar. It's milk can serve as a good alternative to cow milk with a natural sweetened taste [15]. The  
64 milk is said to be rich in minerals, like phosphorus, calcium and magnesium, iron and in vitamin C  
65 and E which are vital for body growth and development. It does not contain lactose or gluten; this  
66 makes it a suitable choice for people who are not able to tolerate gluten (celiac patients) and also for  
67 the lactose-intolerant who stay away from cow milk and other dairy products [16].

68 Due to its content of some digestive enzymes like catalase, lipase, and amylase, tiger nut milk  
69 could be recommended for people with problems of digestion, flatulence and diarrhea [17].

70 The ability to keep the milk for a long period of time has been a major drawback as it easily got  
71 spoiled. The aim of the research is therefore, to determine the effect of various processing  
72 treatments on the chemical composition of the tigernut milk.

## 73 2. Materials and Methods

74 Fresh tigernuts (*Cyperus esculentus*) and spices were obtained from Rimi market in Kano State,  
75 Nigeria. The tigernut was authenticated in the Department of Plant Biology, Bayero University  
76 Kano with the accession number BUKHAN 0367 by Baha'uddeen Sa'id Adam. The spices used

77 include ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and cloves (*Eugenia coryphée*). The chemical preservative used was  
78 Nisin, then vanilla flavor and sugar.

### 79 **Preparation of Tigernut Milk**

80 Fresh tubers of tigernut were sorted, washed and rinsed with distilled water then used to  
81 produce different milk products as follows:

#### 82 **Water Soaked Tigernut Milk (WSTM):**

83 In this portion, the fresh tigernut was directly soaked in water for 2hours, blended, filtered,  
84 sugar and flavor were added. The filtrate was then divided into two portions; one treated with  
85 Nisin preservative (2g to 2L of the filtrate) and the other portion was not treated with any  
86 preservative. Each of these two portions was again divided into two; one stored at refrigerating  
87 temperature and the other one at room temperature, making four different treatments:

- 88• Water soaked tigernut milk + Preservative (2g to 2L of the filtrate) at refrigeration temperature  
89 (WSTM+P<sub>Ref.T</sub>).
- 90• Water soaked tigernut milk + Preservative (2g to 2L of the filtrate) at room temperature (WSTM +  
91 P<sub>RT</sub>).
- 92• Water soaked tigernut milk stored at refrigeration temperature (WSTM<sub>Ref.T</sub>).
- 93• Water soaked tigernut milk stored at room temperature (WSTM<sub>RT</sub>).

94

#### 95 **Toasted Tigernut Milk (TTM):**

96 The fresh tigernut was toasted at 110±5°C for 30minutes in an open pan. It was then blended  
97 and mixed; and divided into four portions as done for the first portion.

- 98• Toasted tigernut milk + Preservative (2g to 2L of the filtrate) at refrigeration temperature (TTM+  
99 P<sub>Ref.T</sub>).
- 100• Toasted tigernut milk + Preservative (2g to 2L of the filtrate) at room temperature (TTM + P<sub>RT</sub>).
- 101• Toasted tigernut milk stored at refrigeration temperature (TTM<sub>Ref.T</sub>).
- 102• Toasted tigernut milk stored at room temperature (TTM<sub>RT</sub>).

103

#### 104 **Methanol Soaked Tigernut Milk (MSTM)**

105 In this portion, the tigernut was partly ground and soaked in methanol solution for an hour. It  
106 was then removed, dried (so as to allow the methanol to evaporate) and soaked in water (water was  
107 changed three times). It was also divided into four portions as above:

- 108 • Methanol soaked tigernut milk + Preservative (2g to 2L of the filtrate) at refrigeration tempe  
109 rature (MSTM + P<sub>Ref.T</sub>).
- 110 • Methanol soaked tigernut milk + Preservative (2g to 2L of the filtrate) at room temperature  
111 (MSTM + P<sub>RT</sub>).
- 112 • Methanol soaked tigernut milk stored at refrigeration temperature (MSTM<sub>Ref.T</sub>).
- 113 • Methanol soaked tigernut milk stored at room temperature (MSTM<sub>RT</sub>).

114

115 **Pasteurized Tigernut Milk (PTM):**

116 Tigernut (2kg) was blended into slurry with water (400 ml). The slurry was pressed using  
117 cheese cloth to extract the milk. The extract was pasteurized at 75°C for 15 min. It was  
118 homogenized, bottled when hot and rapidly cooled.

119• Pasteurized tigernut milk + Preservative (2g to 2L of the filtrate) at refrigeration temperature (PTM  
120 + P<sub>Ref.T</sub>).

121• Pasteurized tigernut milk + Preservative (2g to 2L of the filtrate) at room temperature (PTM + P<sub>RT</sub>).

122• Pasteurized tigernut milk stored at refrigeration temperature (PTM<sub>Ref.T</sub>).

123• Pasteurized tigernut milk stored at room temperature (PTM<sub>RT</sub>).

124 The proximate composition and pH of each sample were analysed at an interval of 4 days.

125 **Chemical Analysis:**

126 Moisture, ash, fat, protein, carbohydrate by difference and pH were determined according to  
127 standard methods [18].

128 **Statistical Analysis:**

129 The analyses were performed in triplicates. The mean values and standard deviation were  
130 calculated (mean ± SD) using SPSS package16.

131 **3. Results**

132 **3.1. Effect of Processing on the Chemical Characteristics of Tigernut Milk Products:**

133 The effects of processing on the chemical characteristics of tigernut milk products are presented  
134 on Tables 1-5.

135 All the samples in table 1 had high moisture content, with TTM+P<sub>RT</sub> having the highest  
136 percentage. Also, TTM+P<sub>Ref.T</sub> and PTM+P<sub>Ref.T</sub> were significantly different from other samples in terms  
137 of their carbohydrate contents. While WSTM<sub>Ref.T</sub> and MSTM<sub>RT</sub> had the highest percentage of protein.

138 No significant difference existed in almost all the samples in the various parameters after eight  
139 (8) days of production. Only PTM+P<sub>RT</sub> had high percentage of protein (10.7%).

140 Twelve (12) days after production, all samples had high percentages for moisture content  
141 ranging from 71-82%. WSTM<sub>Ref.T</sub> and PTM+P<sub>RT</sub> showed significant difference in their protein  
142 contents (10.9%).

143 In table 4, the percentage fat content of PTM<sub>Ref.T</sub> was statistically significant; and WSTM<sub>RT</sub> and  
144 MSTM<sub>RT</sub> showed significant difference in their percentage moisture content.

145 Significant difference existed between WSTM+P<sub>RT</sub> and PTM+P<sub>RT</sub> and other samples in terms of  
146 percentage fat content. While WSTM+P<sub>RT</sub> and WSTM<sub>RT</sub> samples had highest moisture content after  
147 twenty (20) days of production.

148 **Table 1. Proximate composition of tigernut milk products obtained from various processing**  
 149 **treatments after four (4) days of production.**

Treatment Sample	Moisture (%)	Carbohydrate (%)	Ash (%)	Fat (%)	Protein (%)
WSTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	78.7 ± 0.2	10.2 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.02
WSTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	76.5 ± 0.2	9.7 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.06	3.2 ± 0.1	9.8 ± 0.01
WSTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	74.4 ± 0.2	10.2 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.1	4.0 ± 0.06	10.9 ± 0.03*
WSTM <sub>RT</sub>	75.0 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	6.5 ± 0.2	8.7 ± 0.02
TTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	73.5 ± 0.3	14.7 ± 0.1*	0.5 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.01
TTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	80.0 ± 0.3	6.3 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	5.7 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.02
TTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	74.4 ± 0.3	11.4 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.2	9.8 ± 0.02
TTM <sub>RT</sub>	78.6 ± 0.3	12.2 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.06	3.7 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 0.02
MSTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	76.4 ± 0.3	14.4 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.01
MSTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	78.3 ± 0.2	11.8 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.02
MSTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	77.1 ± 0.2	10.2 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.06	3.7 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 0.02
MSTM <sub>RT</sub>	79.0 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.06	10.9 ± 0.03*
PTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	76.1 ± 0.1	11.1 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.05	3.6 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 0.01
PTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	73.5 ± 0.2	12.8 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.1	4.5 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 0.01
PTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	77.1 ± 0.2	14.6 ± 0.2*	0.5 ± 0.06	3.2 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 0.02
PTM <sub>RT</sub>	77.0 ± 0.2	13.2 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.06	2.7 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.02

150 Values are means ± SD of triplicate determinations

151 Values in same columns bearing the superscript (\*) are significant at P<0.05

152 Key for tables 1-6:

153 WSTM+ P<sub>Ref.T</sub> = Water soaked tigernut milk + preservative (at refrigerating temperature).

154 WSTM+P<sub>RT</sub> = Water soaked tigernut milk + preservative (at room temperature),

155 WSTM<sub>Ref.T</sub> = Water soaked tigernut milk (at refrigerating temperature),

156 WSTM<sub>RT</sub> = Water soaked tigernut milk (at room temperature);

157 TTM = Toasted tigernut milk,

158 MSTM = Methanol soaked tigernut milk and

159 PTM= Pasteurised tigernut milk.

160 **Table 2. Proximate composition of tigernut milk products obtained from various processing**  
 161 **treatments after eight (8) days of production.**

Treatment Sample	Moisture (%)	Carbohydrate (%)	Ash (%)	Fat (%)	Protein (%)
WSTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	80.7 ± 0.2	7.6 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 0.02
WSTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	81.7 ± 0.1	9.0 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.05	3.2 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.02
WSTB <sub>Ref.T</sub>	84.1 ± 0.4	7.3 ± 0.01	0.5 ± 0.05	2.7 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.01
WSTM <sub>RT</sub>	83.0 ± 0.2	3.7 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.05	2.7 ± 0.1	9.8 ± 0.02
TTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	80.0 ± 0.3	8.2 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 0.02
TTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	79.2 ± 0.2	13.4 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 0.02
TTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	78.2 ± 0.2	14.0 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.05	2.6 ± 0.1	4.4 ± 0.02
TTM <sub>RT</sub>	83.4 ± 0.1	4.8 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.05	2.5 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 0.02
MSTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	79.0 ± 0.2	11.5 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.05	3.4 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.01
MSTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	82.2 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 0.02	0.6 ± 0.05	3.5 ± 0.2	9.8 ± 0.02
MSTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	79.3 ± 0.1	7.3 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.05	4.2 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 0.02
MSTM <sub>RT</sub>	85.3 ± 0.2	5.0 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.05	2.5 ± 0.1	6.5 ± 0.02
PTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	79.0 ± 0.2	10.9 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.02
PTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	80.3 ± 0.1	4.9 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.05	3.4 ± 0.2	10.7 ± 0.02*
PTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	78.0 ± 0.2	9.9 ± 0.02	0.6 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.1	8.7 ± 0.02
PTM <sub>RT</sub>	79.4 ± 0.2	9.7 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.02

162 Values are means ± SD of triplicate determination. Values in same columns bearing the superscript (\*) are  
 163 significant at P<0.05

164

165

166 **Table 3. Proximate composition of tigernut milk products obtained from various processing**  
 167 **treatments after twelve (12) days of production.**

Treatment Sample	Moisture (%)	Carbohydrate (%)	Ash (%)	Fat (%)	Protein (%)
WSTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	74.0 ± 0.2	14.0 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.2	7.7±0.02
WSTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	78.2 ± 0.2	7.2 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.05	4.2 ± 0.3	9.8 ± 0.02
WSTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	71.0 ± 0.3	13.5 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.05	4.0 ± 0.2	10.9±0.02*
WSTM <sub>RT</sub>	82.0 ± 0.2	5.4 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.1	4.4 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.02
TTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	73.0 ± 0.2	14.9 ± 0.02	0.4 ± 0.05	2.8 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.02
TTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	80.0 ± 0.1	6.4 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.05	3.2 ± 0.2	9.8 ± 0.03
TTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	78.0 ± 0.2	12.5 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.3	5.5 ± 0.01
TTM <sub>RT</sub>	81.0 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.02	0.4 ± 0.05	3.2 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.02
MSTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	82.0 ± 0.2	5.0 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	3.6 ± 0.3	8.8 ± 0.03
MSTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	80.4 ± 0.3	7.0 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.05	3.2 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.03
MSTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	76.0 ± 0.2	14.1 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.05	2.8 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.02
MSTM <sub>RT</sub>	82.0 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.01
PTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	73.0 ± 0.1	11.3 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	4.2 ± 0.2	10.9±0.02*
PTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	72.3 ± 0.2	13.5 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.05	4.8±0.02*	8.8 ± 0.02
PTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	72.0 ± 0.2	13.5 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	4.0 ± 0.3	9.8 ± 0.02
PTM <sub>RT</sub>	72.0 ± 0.3	13.0 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.05	4.0 ± 0.2	9.8 ± 0.02

168 Values are means ± SD of triplicate determinations. Values in same columns bearing the superscript (\*) are  
 169 significant at P<0.05

170

171

172

173

174

175 **Table 4. Proximate composition of tigernut milk products obtained from various processing**  
 176 **treatments after sixteen (16) days of production.**

Treatment Sample	Moisture (%)	Carbohydrate (%)	Ash (%)	Fat (%)	Protein (%)
WSTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	78.0 ± 0.2	7.3 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	3.2 ± 0.2	10.9 ± 0.02
WSTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	81.0 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.05	4.0 ± 0.2	10.9 ± 0.02
WSTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	80.0 ± 0.2	8.3 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.05	3.5 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.02
WSTM <sub>RT</sub>	85.0 ± 0.3 <sup>*</sup>	4.4 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	3.6 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.02
TTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	79.0 ± 0.2	10.0 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.01
TTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	79.0 ± 0.1	8.5 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.05	3.2 ± 0.1	8.8 ± 0.02
TTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	77.0 ± 0.2	10.7 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 0.02
TTM <sub>RT</sub>	81.0 ± 0.3	9.5 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.01
MSTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	81.0 ± 0.2	11.5 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 0.02
MSTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	80.0 ± 0.2	12.2 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.05	3.0 ± 0.3	4.4 ± 0.02
MSTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	82.0 ± 0.2	10.3 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.05	2.8 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.01
MSTM <sub>RT</sub>	86.3 ± 0.3 <sup>*</sup>	3.3 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	3.4 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.02
PTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	79.0 ± 0.2	5.3 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.06	4.2 ± 0.1	10.9 ± 0.03
PTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	80.0 ± 0.1	6.8 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.05	3.8 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 0.03
PTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	79.0 ± 0.2	9.4 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.1	4.5 ± 0.2 <sup>*</sup>	6.5 ± 0.01
PTM <sub>RT</sub>	77.0 ± 0.3	10.8 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.06	4.0 ± 0.3	7.6 ± 0.02

177 Values are means ± SD of triplicate determinations.

178 Values in same columns bearing the superscript (\*) are significant at P<0.05

179

180

181

182



183 **Table 5. Proximate composition of tigernut milk products obtained from various processing**  
 184 **treatments after twenty (20) days of production.**

Treatment Sample	Moisture (%)	Carbohydrate (%)	Ash (%)	Fat (%)	Protein (%)
WSTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	75.0 ± 0.2	14.2 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	3.7 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.02
WSTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	83.0 ± 0.3*	3.2 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.1	4.5 ± 0.3*	8.8 ± 0.02
WSTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	80.0 ± 0.3	8.9 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.05	4.0 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.03
WSTM <sub>RT</sub>	83.0 ± 0.2*	7.5 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.1	5.5 ± 0.03
TTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	78.0 ± 0.2	13.0 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.06	3.0 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.02
TTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	83.0 ± 0.2*	6.4 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.01
TTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	76.0 ± 0.2	14.6 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.06	3.5 ± 0.02	4.4 ± 0.03
TTM <sub>RT</sub>	83.0 ± 0.2*	9.1 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.05	4.0 ± 0.3	3.3 ± 0.02
MSTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	79.0 ± 0.3	12.2 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.02
MSTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	78.0 ± 0.2	13.3 ± 0.4	0.4 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.01
MSTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	78.0 ± 0.3	12.0 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.05	3.0 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.02
MSTM <sub>RT</sub>	80.0 ± 0.3	7.0 ± 0.02	0.5 ± 0.06	3.4 ± 0.1	8.7 ± 0.03
PTM + P <sub>Ref.T</sub>	80.0 ± 0.3	8.9 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.02
PTM + P <sub>RT</sub>	79.0 ± 0.2	8.3 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.2	4.5 ± 0.2*	7.6 ± 0.02
PTM <sub>Ref.T</sub>	77.0 ± 0.1	12.7 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	4.2 ± 0.3	5.5 ± 0.01
PTM <sub>RT</sub>	81.0 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.05	3.8 ± 0.06	8.8 ± 0.02

185 Values are means ± SD of triplicate determinations.

186 Values in same columns bearing the superscript (\*) are significant at P<0.05

187

#### 188 4. Discussion

189 It was observed that all samples had high moisture content, with the samples stored at room  
 190 temperature having the highest percentage of moisture. This could affect the stability and safety of  
 191 the various beverage samples with respect to microbial growth and proliferation; as high moisture  
 192 content allows microbial growth [19]. Total ash content in the various treatments was lower than  
 193 the ash content of 1.5% as reported by Ukwuru *et al.* [6]. The crude fat content of the various milk

194 samples were within the range of 2.3 – 6.5% and were below the minimum of 8% standard for dairy  
195 milk [12,13]. According to Belewu and Abodurin [16], tigernut itself is rich in fat (25.5%). This  
196 shows that there is a wide difference between fat in tigernut tubers and its milk.

197 The current study is in accordance with the result of a previous study by Adgidzi in 2010 [20] ,  
198 where production of acceptable beverages were made from tiger nuts. The beverage products were  
199 found to contain a proximate composition of 1.89% protein, 0.92% fat, 0.16% ash, 0.24% crude fiber,  
200 76.86% moisture and 15.96% carbohydrates.

201 In general, it was established that processing treatment of the various tigernut milk samples  
202 has effect on the chemical characteristics of the milk products. Processing treatments affected the  
203 characteristics of the various samples in different ways; soaking increased the protein and fat, but  
204 sometimes reduced the moisture and carbohydrate content. It also reduced soluble antinutrients (eg  
205 tannins and polyphenols) which can be eliminated with the discarded soaking water. Toasting on  
206 the other hand, aids flavour development, removes heat labile antinutrients and increased the  
207 protein and fat contents. The increase in protein and fat could be attributed to the concentration of  
208 the constituents during toasting brought about by loss of moisture and reduction/destruction of  
209 certain protease inhibitors and other anti-nutrients like phytic acid and tannins which form  
210 complexes with protein and make protein unavailable during hydrolysis. A similar increase in  
211 protein content has been reported for *Terminalia catappa* seeds toasted at a high temperature [21].  
212 Soaking in methanol reduced the fat content in the tigernut milk samples, as the raw tigernut was  
213 defatted with methanol. Pasteurization of the tigernut milk mixture also aided in destroying  
214 pathogens likely to be present as well as most spoilage organisms in the mixture as it normally  
215 controls both health and spoilage hazards.

216 Addition of preservative during processing had a significant effect on the chemical  
217 characteristics of the tigernut milk samples. During storage, the samples which had no preservative  
218 and were stored at room temperature dropped significantly in quality after 2 days, whereas the  
219 preserved samples without pasteurization deteriorated significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) in quality on the first  
220 week, while the preserved samples that received pasteurization were found to stay more than a  
221 week with fair quality. All samples fell below acceptable range at third week.

222 There is increased utilization of tiger nut (*Cyperus esculentus*) nowadays, which might be as a  
223 result of awareness on the composition of tiger nut especially the protein content which helps in  
224 body development and tissue repair [15].

## 225 5. Conclusions

226 Tigernut tubers can be processed into various tigernut milk products using different  
227 treatments. The chemical characteristics of the various beverage products were significantly  
228 affected by the different processing treatments. The preservative treated samples that received  
229 pasteurized treatment were found to stay more than a week with fair quality.

230 **Author Contributions:** H.A and A.S. conceived and designed the experiments; A.S. performed the  
231 experiments; A.S., M.A. and H.A. analyzed the data; M.A. contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools; A.S.  
232 wrote the paper."

233 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

234 **References**

- 235 1. Obizoba, I.C.; Anyika, J.U. Nutritive value of baobab milk (*Adansoniadigitata* L), Hungary rice, Acha  
236 (*Digitanaexillis*) flours. *Plant Foods for Hum. Nutr.* 1995, 46: 156-165.
- 237 2. Singh, T.; Bains, G.S. Grain extract-milk beverage, processing and physico- chemical characteristics. *J.*  
238 *Food Sci.* 1988, 53: 1387-1390.
- 239 3. Obizoba, I.C.; Egbunna, H.I. Effect of germination on fermentation on the nutritional quality of  
240 bambara nut (*Vagndzei* subterranean Thourars) and its products (milk). *Plant Foods for Hum.*  
241 *Nutr.* 1992, 42: 13-23.
- 242 4. Odo, F.O. Consumer acceptance of groundnut milk. Proceedings of the 25 Annual Conference of  
243 the Nigerian Institute of Food Sci. and Tech. (NIFST). 2009, November 5-9, Lagos, Nigeria, pp:  
244 135137.
- 245 5. Akubor, P.I. Physico-chemical and sensory characteristics of melon seed milk. *J.*  
246 *Food Sci. Tech.* 1998, 35: 93-95.
- 247 6. Ukwuru, M.U.; Omachona, L.J.; Onokah, N. Production and quality assessment of tigernut  
248 (*Cyperus esculentus*) imitation milk during storage. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2008, 45: 180-182.
- 249 7. Anderson, J.W.; Smith, B.M.; Gustatson, N.J. Health benefits and practical aspects of high fibre diets.  
250 *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 1994, 59: 12425-12475.
- 251 8. Temple, V.T.; Ojobe, T.O.; Kapu, M.M. Chemical analysis of Tigernut (*Cyperus esculentus*). *Journal*  
252 *Science Food Agriculture.* 1990, 50: 261- 263.
- 253 9. Temple, V.J. Lesser known plant foods. In: Nutritional quality of plant foods. Osagie AU and Eka OU  
254 (Eds). Post-harvest Research Unit, University of Benin, Nigeria. 1989 pp: 245-274.
- 255 10. Omode, A.; Fatoki, O.; Olaogun, K.A. Physico-chemical properties of some under- exploited and  
256 non-conventional oil seed. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 1995, 11: 50-53.
- 257 11. Ojobe, T.O.; Tempo, V.J. Amino acid composition of tigernut tubers (*Cyperus esculentus*). *Nig. J.*  
258 *Sci. Biotechnol.* 1983, 2: 35-38.
- 259 12. FAO/WHO. Milk and milk products. Joint FAO/WHO Food standards programme. Codex  
260 Alimentarius Commission. 2002a, Pp: 42.
- 261 13. FAO/WHO. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Report on diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic  
262 diseases, cited in Food and Nutrition Bulletin. 2002b, 24: 255-256.
- 263 14. Bosch, L.; Alegria, A.; Farri, R. RP-HPLC determination of tigernut and its amino acid contents.  
264 *Food Sci. Technol. Inter.* 2005, 11: 33-40.
- 265 15. Gambo, A.; Da'u, A. Tiger nut (*cyperus esculentus*): Composition, Products, Uses and health benefits –  
266 A review. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences.* 2014, 7(1): 56 – 61

- 267 16. Belewu, M.A.; Abodunrin, O.A. Preparation of Kunnu from unexploited rich food source: Tiger nut  
268 (*Cyperus esculentus*). *World Journal of Dairy and Food Sciences*. 2006, 1: 19-21.
- 269 17. Adejuyitan, J.A. Tigernut processing: its food uses and health benefits. *Am J Food Technol*. 2011,  
270 6(3):197–201.
- 271 18. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official methods of analysis. 17th Edn., Association of  
272 Official Analytical Chemists. 1995, Washington DC.
- 273 19. Sa'id, A.M.; Abubakar, H.; Bello, B. Sensory and microbiological analysis of Tigernut (*Cyperus*  
274 *esculentus*) beverage. *Pak. J. Nutr.* 2017, 16: 731-737.
- 275 20. Adgidzi, E.A. Effect of processing methods on the yield and quality of aqueous extracts and yoghurt-  
276 like products from Tigernuts (*Cyperus esculentus*). MSc Thesis submitted to the Department of Food  
277 Science and Technology. University of Agriculture, Makurdi. Benue State. 2010, p. 73.
- 278 21. Ezeokonkwo, C.A. Effect of wasting nutrient composition of Terminalia Catappa L. seed. *Nig. J. Nutr.*  
279 *Sci.* 2005, 26 (1) : 19-24.