Ten Prominent Host Proteases in Plant – Pathogen Interactions
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Abstract:
Proteases are integral enzymes of the plant immune system. Multiple aspects of defence are regulated by proteases, including the hypersensitive response, pathogen recognition, priming and peptide hormone release. These processes are regulated by unrelated proteases residing at different subcellular locations. In this review we discuss ten prominent plant proteases contributing to the plant immune system, highlighting the diversity of roles they perform in plant defence.
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1. Introduction
Proteases are ubiquitous and essential enzymes for life. Peptide bonds in proteins are hydrolysed by proteases, releasing peptides or amino acids. Proteolytic cleavage is thus an irreversible post-translational modification that has potent effects on protein behaviour. Proteases can degrade proteins, thereby terminating its function and removing it from the cell. Degradation also serves to recycle amino acids and enables reallocation of nitrogen resources [1]. Alternatively, protein cleavage can have a positive regulatory effect on proteins [2]. Proteases can mature substrate proteins through the removal of regulatory or inhibitory domains and consequently activate the catalytic or signalling activity.

Protease classification is dependent on the catalytic mechanism and is described in the MEROPS database (Rawlings et al. 2016). The most prominent plant protease classes are cysteine, serine, threonine and aspartic proteases (named after their respective key catalytic residues) and metalloproteases (Van der Hoorn, 2008). Specificity of these proteases is dictated by the substrate amino acid sequence and 3D structure of the substrate.

Numerous biological processes in plants require proteases, including the plant defence response (Van der Hoorn 2008; Salvesen et al. 2016; Figueiredo et al. 2017; Jashni et al. 2015). Following pathogen recognition, signalling events transduce crucial information on pathogen attack and coordinate intracellular and tissue wide responses [8,9]. The cell undergoes large scale transcriptional reprogramming and induces salicylic acid signalling for local and systemic defence responses [10–14]. A common feature of defence is the hypersensitive response (HR), a form of programmed cell death occurring locally at the primary infection site. HR and local immune responses limit the spread of the pathogen and restrict their access to nutrients [15].

Increasing numbers of proteases have been implicated in different aspects of plant immunity. This review focuses on the roles of host proteases in plant-pathogen interactions discussed by their subcellular localisation (Figure 1). We highlight ten examples of proteases with diverse roles in defence (Table 1) to illustrate both the importance and the diversity of proteases in the plant immune system.
**Figure 1. Ten host proteases contributing to the plant defence response.** AtMC1, *Arabidopsis thaliana* Metacaspase-1; C14, Cysteine protease clone 14; CathB, Cathepsin B; CDR1, Constitutive Disease Resistance-1; CEP1, Cysteine EndoPeptidase-1; HR, Hypersensitive Response; PBA1, proteasome beta subunit-1; PCD, Programmed Cell Death; Rcr3, Required for Cladosporium Resistance-3; RD21, Responsive to Dessication-21; S1P, Site-1-Protease; SBT3.3, subtilase 3.3; VPE, Vacuolar Processing Enzyme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Function in defence</strong></th>
<th><strong>Subcellular localisation</strong></th>
<th><strong>MEROPS family</strong></th>
<th><strong>Organism</strong></th>
<th><strong>Known substrate in defence?</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SBT3.3</td>
<td>Priming</td>
<td>Apoplast</td>
<td>S08, subtilisin-like</td>
<td><em>A. thaliana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CathB</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Apoplast (+ Vacuole)</td>
<td>C01, papain-like</td>
<td><em>N. benthamiana</em>, <em>A. thaliana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CDR1</td>
<td>Signalling</td>
<td>Apoplast</td>
<td>A01, pepsin-like</td>
<td><em>A. thaliana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rcr3</td>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>Apoplast</td>
<td>C01, papain-like</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. AtMC1</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Cytoplasm (+ Nucleus)</td>
<td>C14, metacaspase</td>
<td><em>A. thaliana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. PBA1</td>
<td>HR, membrane fusion</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>T01, proteasome</td>
<td><em>A. thaliana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. VPE</td>
<td>HR, membrane fusion</td>
<td>Vacuole</td>
<td>C13, legumain-like</td>
<td><em>N. benthamiana</em>, <em>A. thaliana</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. C14/RD21</td>
<td>HR, resistance</td>
<td>Vacuole</td>
<td>C01, papain-like</td>
<td>Tomato, <em>A. thaliana</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Ten prominent host proteases in plant-pathogen interactions. MEROPS database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Pathogen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. CEP1</td>
<td>Basal resistance ER-derived compartments</td>
<td>C01, papain-like</td>
<td>A. thaliana</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. SIP</td>
<td>Signalling, hormone release</td>
<td>Golgi S08, subtilisin-like</td>
<td>A. thaliana RALF23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Main

Apoplastic Proteases - Early interactions between plant and pathogen occur in the apoplast. Many bacterial, fungal and oomycete pathogens colonise the apoplastic environment, never entering a host plant cell. Extracellular proteins can directly interact with the pathogen and any associated molecules. Proteins in the apoplast or plasma membrane play a role in the perception of pathogens and in extracellular defence signalling.

1. SBT3.3 regulates the priming of the plant immune response

Priming is the memory of a stress that enables the plant to launch an amplified and more rapid defence response upon future challenge. The Arabidopsis serine protease SBT3.3 (a subtilase member of the S8 family) regulates defence priming. Arabidopsis sbt3.3 mutants are hypersusceptible to both the model bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 and the oomycete Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis [16]. Mutant sbt3.3 Arabidopsis plants are impaired in priming of both gene expression and signalling activity. Induction of Mitogen-associated Protein Kinase (MPK) activity is enhanced upon SBT3.3 overexpression. Furthermore, SBT3.3 overexpression increases the abundance of transcriptional activating epigenetic marks at SA regulated genes, including the promoters of WRKY transcription factors and SBT3.3, creating a positive feedback loop. Consequently these genes are induced more rapidly upon pathogen challenge [16]. Interestingly, in addition to defence priming, SBT3.3 is required for SA regulated gene activation. The mechanism of SBT3.3 controlling SA regulated gene expression and priming the immune response remains enigmatic, as do the substrates of SBT3.3.

2. CathB is a positive regulator of HR

The papain-like cysteine protease Cathepsin B (CathB, a C1 family member) is a positive regulator of HR. Multiple CathB genes contribute redundantly to basal resistance in Arabidopsis (McLellan et al. 2009). In Nicotiana benthamiana, CathB is secreted into the plant apoplast and activated [18]. Deficiency of CathB in N. benthamiana restricts PCD triggered by the bacterial pathogens Erwinia amylovora and avirulent Pst DC3000. Furthermore, PCD triggered by hydrogen peroxide, a prominent plant defence signal, and ER stress induced by tunicamycin requires CathB activity [19,20].

Whilst the involvement of CathB in HR is well established, the extent of its involvement depends on the HR inducer. CathB is required for HR triggered upon coexpression of P. infestans avirulence gene AvrR3a and potato resistance gene R3a, and upon Ps pv. glycinea AvrB expression (Gilroy et al. 2007; McLellan et al. 2009). However, CathB deficiency does not perturb HR upon co-expression of the Cladosporium fulvum avirulence gene Avr4 and the tomato resistance gene Cf-4 in N benthamiana (Gilroy et al. 2007; McLellan et al. 2009). Arabidopsis CathB is also not necessary for resistance to P. syringae harbouring avirulence genes AvrB or AvrRps4 (McLellan et al. 2009). The conflicting roles indicate that CathB is important in multiple forms of HR, but is not necessarily a universal HR regulator.
3. **CDR1 promotes the release of systemic defence signals**

CDR1 is an apoplastic aspartic protease of the A1 family that contributes to local and systemic defence signalling in Arabidopsis. Activation tagging of CDR1 results in enhanced resistance to multiple *P. syringae* strains, alongside constitutive *Pathogenesis Related* (PR) gene expression in a SA-dependent manner. PR gene expression is abolished in CDR1 active site mutants and upon application of the aspartic protease inhibitor pepstatin A, demonstrating that protease activity is required for the role in defence [22]. CDR1 generates an extracellular mobile signal capable of inducing defence responses both locally and systemically. Low molecular weight fractions of apoplastic fluids from CDR1 overexpressing plants induce defence responses in unchallenged plants, in both the infiltrated and distant leaves [22]. The activity of CDR1 appears to be conserved between species. Rice OsCDR1 expressed in Arabidopsis similarly generates apoplastic fluids that induce systemic defence [23]. OsCDR1 overexpression in Arabidopsis also mimics the enhanced resistance to *Pst* observed on AtCDR1 overexpression [23]. The nature of the signal generated by CDR1 is currently unknown. Identification of the substrates of CDR1 will lead to insights into the systemic induction of SA dependent defence responses.

4. **Rcr3 is a coreceptor for perception of unrelated pathogens**

Recognition of a pathogen is the first step in mounting an immune response. The extracellular cysteine protease Rcr3 (family C1A) is crucial for the recognition of unrelated pathogens, including the fungus *C. fulvum* and nematode *Globodera rostochiensis*. Both the fungus and nematode secrete unrelated protease inhibitors (Avr2 and GrVAP1, respectively) that inhibit Rcr3 [24,25]. The inhibitor-Rcr3 complex is perceived by the tomato leucine-rich repeat receptor like protein, Cf-2. Recognition triggers an oxidative burst, followed by transcriptional reprogramming and HR, culminating in disease resistance [25]. This is dependent on the presence of both Cf-2 and Rcr3. Rcr3 is proposed to act as a decoy with the operative effector target, Pip1, a paralogous and more abundant immune protease [26,27]. Deficiency of Pip1 renders the plant hyper-susceptible to *P. infestans*, *C. fulvum* and *P. syringae* [27]. In addition to the role in pathogen recognition, Rcr3 contributes to resistance via alternative pathways independent of *Cf-2*. *P. infestans* produces inhibitors of Rcr3 (EPICs), but unlike *C. fulvum* and *G. rostochiensis* infection, these do not trigger HR. In the absence of Cf-2, *rcr3* mutants are hypersusceptible to *P. infestans*, but not to *C. fulvum* [27], indicating a Rcr3 role separate from Cf-2-dependent pathogen recognition.

**Cytonuclear Proteases** – The cytoplasm is an important signalling location that bridges the extracellular perception of pathogens and the intracellular responses, including changes in gene regulation, metabolite biosynthesis and induction of PCD. Cytoplasmic proteases have been implicated in HR regulation.

5. **AtMC1 is a positive regulator of HR**

Two cytosolic metacaspases, AtMC1 and AtMC2 (family C14), act antagonistically in the regulation of HR in Arabidopsis. AtMC1 positively regulates HR cell death induced by *Pst* DC3000 carrying avrRPM1, although this HR does not affect pathogen growth [28]. Furthermore, AtMC1 is essential for the runaway cell death phenotype of defective immune components, including autoactive NLRs (key *R* genes) and *lsd1* [28,29]. Consistent with its pro-cell death function, AtMC1 activity is tightly controlled by two negative regulators; LSD1 and AtSERPIN1 [28,30]. LSD1 directly interacts with AtMC1 through the N-terminal Zinc finger domain [31], whereas suicide protease inhibitor AtSERPIN1 covalently and irreversibly inhibits AtMC1 [30]. Pro-death activity of AtMC1 is also suppressed by AtMC2. Overexpression of AtMC2 phenocopies the suppressed HR phenotype of *atmc1* mutants. Interestingly, whilst the role of AtMC1 in immunity requires its catalytic residues, the role of AtMC2 does not [28]. It is unknown how AtMC2 exerts the negative regulation of death independent of its protease activity, nor whether its catalytic activity contributes to alternative pathways in defence.
6. The proteasome is a positive regulator of HR

The host plant proteasome is essential for protein homeostasis and is heavily implicated in plant defence [32]. A notable example is that of NPR1, a transcriptional coactivator essential for SA-regulated gene expression. In non-induced cells, inappropriate transcription is restricted through degradation of NPR1 by the proteasome, whereas on SA induction degradation is required for full transcriptional activation [33,34]. Degradation is proposed to increase NPR1 recycling rate thereby enabling greater gene expression.

The core particle of the proteasome is comprised of multiple subunits forming heptameric rings and include three catalytic \( \beta \) subunits with distinct proteolytic activities [35–37]. One catalytic subunit in particular, the threonine protease PBA1/\( \beta 1 \) (of the T1 family), has been further investigated in the context of HR, due to its caspase-3-like activity. The presence of caspase-3 like activity is an established requirement for plant PCD and in certain forms of PCD 60% of the caspase-3 activity can be attributed to PBA1 [20,38–40]. Tobacco PBA1 expression is induced following treatment with the fungal elicitor, cryptogein [41,42]. Deficiency of the PBA1 subunit compromises HR triggered by avirulent Pst DC3000 carrying AcrRpm1, but does not affect cell death induced by virulent Pst DC3000, suggesting PBA1 is involved only in effector-induced HR. PBA1-dependent HR is distinct from the regulation of gene expression, as induction of NADPH oxidases and PR genes is not suppressed in PBA1 deficient plants [38]. This HR was observed to proceed through the fusion of tonoplast and plasma membranes [38]. The PBA1 subunit seems therefore crucial to the role of the proteasome in membrane-fusion HR, but it is likely that PBA1 depletion affects the rest of the proteasome.

Vacuolar Proteases - The vacuole is an acidic hydrolytic storage compartment occupying the largest volume of a leaf cell. Rupture of the vacuole during HR dramatically alters the cytoplasm by acidification and the release of lytic enzymes and potential cell death mediators [43–45]. Two vacuolar proteases have been identified that contribute to HR.

7. VPEs regulate vacuolar rupture during virus-induced HR

Vacuolar Processing Enzymes (VPEs/Asparaginyl endopeptidases/Legumains, family C13) are key regulators of tonoplast integrity in PCD. VPEs cleave after asparagine (N) but can also cleave after aspartic acid (D) and therefore have caspase-1 like activity. VPEs are essential for vacuolar rupture and HR upon infection by Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV) on N. benthamiana carrying the N resistance gene (Hatsugai et al 2004). Similarly, upon ER stress induced PCD, absence of VPEs prevents vacuolar rupture. VPEs mature autocatalytically and is known to activate another protease, AtCPY, in the vacuole [43,46]. VPEs may therefore be key regulators of the PCD induction pathway.

Despite the lack of known substrates of VPEs during PCD, the requirement of VPEs for HR is well described. VPEs are also required for HR triggered by mycotoxin FB1, bacterial elicitor harpin and the co-expression of calcium channels CNGC11 and CNGC12 [47–50]. Nonetheless, the role of VPEs is not universal. HR induced by boehmerin and Nep1 is not perturbed upon VPE silencing [48]. VPE mediated tonoplast rupture is thought to be effective against cytoplasmic pathogens like viruses that become exposed to vacuolar hydrolases and low pH [51]. In contrast, fusion of the tonoplast and plasma membranes is dependent on PBA1 and delivers vacuolar contents to the apoplast where bacteria reside [38]. Crucially however, these morphologies were observed in different plant species, N. benthamiana and Arabidopsis, respectively. To date it is unclear how widespread the different forms of HR PCD are.

8. C14/RD21 have a complex regulation

C14 and RD21 are orthologous papain-like proteases from tomato and Arabidopsis, respectively [52], carrying a C-terminal granulin domain [53]. Tomato C14 has been detected in the vacuole [54] and extracellularly [26] C14 probably plays an important role in immunity because its activity and
localisation are manipulated by multiple effectors. The extracellular C14 is targeted by cystatin-like EpiC inhibitors of the oomycete pathogen P. infestans [55], and the chagasin-like Cip1 inhibitor of the Pst DC3000 [56]. In addition, RxLR effector AvrBlb2 of P. infestans associates with C14 and prevents its secretion into the apoplast [54].

Importantly, silencing or overexpression of a C14 homolog in N. benthamiana enhances or decreases susceptibility to P. infestans, respectively [54,55]. However, Arabidopsis rd21 knock-out lines are not more susceptible to the oomycete H. arabidopsidis, even though they express genes encoding EpiC-like inhibitors [52]. Nevertheless, these rd21 lines are more susceptible to Botrytis cinerea when whole plants are infected [52]. Remarkably, the opposite phenotype with B. cinerea (increased resistance) was found for the same rd21 mutants in detached leaf assays [57]. These data indicate that the role of C14/RD21 proteases depends on the pathosystem, the assay itself and on the different ways pathogens manipulate their host.

Control over RD21 activity upon release of the vacuolar content into the cytoplasm during PCD is thought to come from AtSERPIN1, a cytoplasmic serpin-like suicide inhibitor that forms a covalent complex with RD21 [57]. Indeed, AtSERPIN1 overexpression causes susceptibility to B. cinerea [57]. However, atserpin1 mutants do not show a phenotype and AtSERPIN1 also regulates PCD via AtMC1 [30]. RD21 is regulated by AtSERPIN1, kunitz inhibitor WSCP [58], protein di-isomerase PDI5 [59], and other mechanisms [60]. This makes RD21 regulation a challenging and intriguing question to resolve.

Endomembrane Proteases - The endomembrane system includes the endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi network which are important for protein synthesis and maturation. Stress responses rely heavily on protein production to enable the cell to adapt [61]. Endomembrane compartments are also involved on many viral, fungal and oomycete infections, for instance by flanking pathogen haustoria and have been implicated in PCD initiation [62].

9. ER resident AtCEP1 facilitates fungal immunity

AtCEP1 is a plant-specific, papain-like cysteine endopeptidase (family C1A) that harbours a C-terminal ‘KDEL’ sequence that sequesters the protease within ER-derived compartments. The expression of AtCEP1 is induced upon infection with the fungal obligate biotroph Erysiphe cruciferarum where it contributes to basal resistance [63,64]. Expression of GFP fusion constructs revealed AtCEP1 is enriched in endomembranes surrounding the haustorium interface during HR induction [64]. However, AtCEP1 contains a putative cleavage site that would result in the loss of the KDEL sequence, and therefore AtCEP1 activity may also be present elsewhere [63].

Arabidopsis atcep1 mutants are hypersusceptible to E. cruciferarum [63,64]. Cells penetrated by fungal haustoria characteristically undergo PCD and this is reduced in atcep1 mutants [63,64]. AtCEP1 is also implicated in developmental forms of PCD, specifically tapetal PCD [65]. Expression of AtCEP1 is under regulation by CPR5, a major regulator of pathogenesis related (PR) gene expression [64,66]. PCD on E. cruciferarum infection is also controlled by CPR5 [64]. Conversely, however, cpr5 mutants are resistant to E. cruciferarum and exhibit spontaneous cell death, in a manner epistatic to AtCEP1 [64]. The deregulation of AtCEP1 in cpr5 mutants is thought to contribute to the excessive cell death phenotype.

10. Golgi localised S1P controls RALF23 peptide signalling

The Golgi-localised subtilase Site-1-Protease (SIP/SBT6.1, family S8), presents a rare example of a protease in immunity not only a verified substrate, but also a known role of its identified substrate. SIP processes RAPID ALKALINISATION FACTOR 23 (RALF23) into a mature signalling peptide [67,68]. RALF23 is perceived extracellularly by the transmembrane malectin-like receptor kinase FERONIA [69]. Perception of RALF23 dampens immune signalling through inhibition of PRR complex formation, thus restricting excessive defence responses that may prove costly to the plant.
S1P is therefore an important intracellular subtilase that negatively regulates the immune response. Regulation of S1P could be a mechanism to rapidly control the abundance of mature RALF23 and thereby fine tune the immune response. Indeed, both S1P activity and RALF23 abundance rapidly increase upon challenge with *Pst* DC3000. RALF23 is an important substrate of S1P, as both *s1p* and *ralf23* plants exhibit comparable enhanced ROS bursts and resistance to *Pst* DC3000 [69]. Remarkably, RALF peptide mimics have also been identified in pathogenic fungi and are contributors to virulence [70], indicating that this signalling pathway may be a core component of immunity in plants.

3. Discussion

Proteases have diverse roles in the plant immune system, ranging from pathogen perception (Rcr3), defence priming (SBT3.3), signalling (CDR1 and S1P) and regulation of HR (CathB, AtMC1, PBA1, VPEs, RD21 and AtCEP1). Whilst we have highlighted just ten prominent examples here, this review is not comprehensive and many more host proteases are involved in plant-pathogen interactions.

The large number of proteases involved in HR is to be expected considering the importance of proteases in animal PCD. Cysteine proteases known as caspases are essential for animal PCD in disease, acting as both regulators and executioners of cell death [71–73]. The absolute requirement for caspases lead to a longstanding bias in plant research that proteases with caspase-like activities are important in plant PCD. While this is true for VPEs, CathB and PBA1/proteasome, proteases without caspase-like activity are also important in HR. Furthermore, unlike caspases, plant proteases involved in HR are of unrelated families. CathB (C1A), AtMC1 (C14), RD21 (C1A), PBA1 (T1) and VPEs (C13) are all implicated in HR regulation, and represent diverse protease classes [18,38,57,74–76].

Interestingly, evidence from studies on proteases involved in HR demonstrate that HR can be genetically uncoupled from restriction of pathogen growth. Of the proteases discussed, CathB and AtMC1 both contribute to HR, independent of restricting pathogen growth [18,28]. Furthermore, the inconsistent requirements for the CathB, VPEs, PBA1 and RD21 proteases in HR indicate that multiple pathways to HR are present. Parallel pathways to HR would be advantageous to avoid essential nodes in immune defence networks that may be targeted by effectors and increase susceptibility to a broad range of pathogens.

Strikingly there are no examples yet of proteases directly degrading pathogen proteins. There are a number of proteases linked to defence whose role in immunity is completely unknown. For example, P69B is frequently implicated in pathogen defence, but precisely what role it plays is not clear [24,27,77–80]. Moreover, other proteases that already have an identified role may possess additional functions in defence.

The requirement for host proteases in plant-pathogen interactions is clear, but the mechanism in which they act is frequently not. The major factor limiting our understanding of protease roles is the general lack of known, biologically relevant, substrates. Without this knowledge it is impossible to fully understand the mechanism of a protease in immunity. Of the proteases discussed in this review, a biologically relevant substrate has only been identified for S1P [67]. Although the evidence is compelling for RALF23 being the major substrate of S1P in the context of defence, due to RALF23 depletion and overexpression phenocopying that of S1P [69], it is highly unlikely that proteases have exclusively one substrate. Furthermore, it is important to validate whether protease mutant phenotypes are resulting from loss of protease activities, by including catalytically dead mutants. For most immune proteases this control has not been included. This leaves open the possibility that other protein functions may be contributing to immune phenotypes.

Proteases do not act in isolation in immunity and protease mutant phenotypes may be indirect. For example, the abundance of the tomato serine protease P69B may be regulated during the defence response by Sl2-MMP and Sl3-MMPs matrix metalloproteases in defence [81]. In humans, a computational study demonstrated that proteases impact activities of other proteases in a complex
This interconnectivity is compounded by multifunctional inhibitors [83] such as AtSERPIN1, which regulates several unrelated immune proteases [30,57,84]. In addition, many proteases can possess similar activities, such as CathB and PBA1 exhibiting caspase-3 like activity, which could therefore act redundantly. Teasing apart the roles of individual proteases in defence is by no means a trivial task.

It is important to note that subcellular localisations may be dynamic, especially upon stress. This is illustrated by CathB, which is not restricted to the apoplast. Mass spectrometry data from unchallenged Arabidopsis plants supports a vacuolar localisation, whilst expression of RFP fusions and apoplastic activity assays in N. benthamiana support CathB presence in the apoplast [18,85]. It may be possible that either the protease has a dual localisation, is relocated upon different stresses, or its localisation differs between species. The Golgi localised S1P has also been detected in the apoplast, where it interacts with and is inhibited by AtSERPIN1 [84]. AtSERPIN1 has been identified in the cytoplasm, Golgi, ER and apoplast [57,86]. Thus, caution should be exercised when assuming the location in which proteases mediate their phenotype.

Our current knowledge of the exact roles of proteases places them as key players in many facets of pathogen responses. Future efforts in this field will need to address the lack of known substrates, the assignment of subcellular localisation and the role of proteases in interactions with different pathogens. Despite these limitations, proteases are now well established as important contributors to host defence. Future research addressing their regulation and substrates will undoubtedly produce greater insights into the plant immune system.
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