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Abstract:
We introduce a class of logarithmic wave equation. We study the global existence of weak solution for this class of equation. We deal with the initial boundary value problem of this class. Using the Galerkin method and the Gross logarithmic Sobolev inequality we establish the main theorem of existence of weak solution for this class of equation arising from Q-Ball Dynamic in particular.

Keywords: initial boundary value problem; Gross-Sobolev inequality; logarithmic wave equation; global existence of solution;

1. Introduction

We introduce the model of equation that is closely related to the following equation with logarithmic nonlinearity. This type of equation arising from many applications in many branches of physics such as nuclear physics, optics and geophysics [7, 9, 10].

\[ u_{tt} - (\Delta)^2 u + u - \epsilon \log |u|^2 + |u|^2 u = 0, \quad (x, t) \in Q \times (0, T) \]
\[ u(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \notin Q \times (0, T) \]  \( (1.1) \)

where \( Q \) is a finite interval \([a,b]\), the parameter \( \epsilon \) measures the force of the nonlinear interaction and the nonlinear effects in quantum mechanics are very small. The problem (1.1) is a relativistic version of logarithmic quantum mechanics introduced in [3, 4].

In this paper we deal with global existence of weak solutions for the initial boundary value problem of the logarithmic wave equation:

\[ u_{tt} - Au + u + u_t - u \log |u|^k + |u|^k u = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T) \]
\[ u(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \notin \partial \Omega \times (0, T) \]  \( (1.2) \)

where \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \ n \geq 1, \) is a bounded domain with smooth boundary \( \partial \Omega, \ k \geq 1 \) is an integer and \( A = (\Delta)^m \), \( m \geq 1 \) is a parameter). Here \( u \) is a complex scalar field.

The model (1.2) is introduced in [8] for studying the dynamics of Q-ball in theoretical physics. The logarithmic nonlinearity is of much interest in physics, since it appears naturally in cosmology and symmetric filed theories, quantum mechanics and nuclear physics [1, 14]. This type of problems have many applications in many branches of physics such as nuclear physics, optics and geophysics. It has been also introduced in the quantum field theory.

In [1]-[2], Cazenave and Haraux established the existence a solution for the following equation

\[ u_{tt} + A + u + u_t |u|^2 u = u ln|u|, \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0 \]  \( (1.3) \)
for studying the dynamics of Q-ball in theoretical physics. In [2], Cazenave and Haraux established the existence and uniqueness of a solution for the Cauchy problem for the following equation in $R^n$.

$$u_{tt} + A = u ln|u|^k,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

We deal with a mathematical analysis for the problem (1.2). The main difference between our work and [11] is: our problem is in $k$ dimensional case on $H^m_0$ and involves another nonlinear term $u log|u|^k$; there is no restrictions on the coefficient of the logarithmic nonlinear term $log|u|^k$. Recently in [8] a numerical model (1.1) is given. We mainly establish the global existence of weak solutions to the problem (1.2). Firstly we write the problem in a weak version. Secondly we construct approximate solutions by the Galerkin method. Finally we prove the convergence of the sequence of the approximate solutions. To get a priori estimates of the approximate solutions, we employ the Gross logarithmic Sobolev inequality and logarithmic Gronwall inequality.

In the following section we state some lemmas. In the section 3 we give the proof of the theorem.

2. Preliminaries for the Theorem of existence of week solution

We denote by $||.||_p$ the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm, and $||[.,.]||$ the Dirichlet norm in $H^m_0$. In particular, we denote $||.||=||.||_2$. We also use $C$ to denote a universal positive constant that may have different values in different places. We denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$, and by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the duality pairing between $H^1_0$ and $H^m_0$. We also use $C$ to denote a universal positive constant that may take different values in different places. Let’s introduce the definition of weak solutions for the problem (1.2).

**Def.** A function $u$ on $[0,T]$ is called a weak solution $u(x, t)$ of problem (1.2) on $\Omega \times [0, T)$, if $u \in C ([0, T], H^m_0(\Omega))$, $u' \in C ([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$, $u_0 = u^0(x)$ and $u'(0) = u^1(x)$ and $u$ satisfies,

$$\langle u''(t, \varphi) + (\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) + (u, \varphi) + (u', \varphi) - (u log|u|^k, \varphi) + (|u|^k u, \varphi) = 0 \rangle \hspace{1cm} (2.1)$$

**Lemma 2.1:** (See [12]) Assume $v \in H^m_0(\Omega)$, and $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $R^n (\Omega \subset R^n)$. Then, for any $a > 0$, it holds that

$$\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 log|v| \, dx \leq \frac{3}{4} log \left( \frac{4a}{e} \right) \| v \|_2^2 + \frac{a}{4} \| \nabla v \|_2^2 + \| v \|_2^2 \log \| v \|_2 \hspace{1cm} (2.2)$$

**Lemma 2.2:** (See [13]) Assume $w(t)$ is nonnegative, $w(t) \in L^\infty (0, T)$, $w(0) > 0$ and

$$w(t) \leq w(0) + a \int_{\Omega} w(s) \log[a + w(s)] \, ds \hspace{1cm} t \in (0, T), \hspace{1cm} (2.3)$$

where $a > 1$ is a positive constant. Then we have

$$w(t) \leq (a + w(0))^{e^{at}} \hspace{1cm} t \in (0, T), \hspace{1cm} (2.4)$$

**Lemma 2.3:** (See [3, 5]) (Logarithmic Sobolev inequality) Let $u$ be any function in $H^m_0(\Omega)$, and $a > 0$ be any number. Then

$$2 \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 \ln \frac{|u|}{\|u\|} \, dx + n(1 + log a)\|u\|^2 \leq \frac{a^2}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{L^2} u^2 \, dx \hspace{1cm} (2.5)$$
3. The main theorem and the proof

In this section we deal with main theorem of existence of global weak solution. By using these lemmas and using the Gross logarithmic Sobolev inequality with the combination of Galerkin method to construct approximate solutions, we can proof the main theorem. We carry out the proof of Theorem giving the solution $\mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u}$ is a weak solution of problem (1.2) on $[0, T^\ast)$, where $T$ is the maximal existence time of weak solution. The proof is based on Galerkin method. We use the Gross logarithmic Sobolev inequality and the logarithmic Gronwall inequality.

**Theorem 3.1** Assume that $u^0(\mathbf{x}) \in H_0^m(\Omega)$, and $u^1(\mathbf{x}) \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then, the problem (1.2) admits global weak solution defined on $[0, T)$ for any $T > 0$.

Proof: Let $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be the eigenfunctions of the operator $A = (\nabla)^m$ with zero Dirichlet boundary condition and $D(A) = H_0^m(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. It is well-known that $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Omega)$ as well as for $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Let $P_k$ be the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\Omega)$ onto $V_k = \text{the linear span of } \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\}$, $k \geq 1$. Let $u_k = \sum_{j=1}^k g_{k,j}(t) w_j$ be an approximate solution to (1.2) in $V_k$. Then $u_k(t)$ verifies the following system of ODEs:

$$\langle u_{t,k}(t), w_j \rangle + \langle \nabla u_k(t), \nabla w_j \rangle + \langle u_k, w_j \rangle + \langle u_k \log|u_k|^2, w_j \rangle + \langle |u_k|^2 u_k, w_j \rangle = 0$$

(3.1)

$$u_k(0) = P_k u^0(\mathbf{x}), \quad u_k'(0) = P_k u^1(\mathbf{x})$$

(3.2)

for $j = 1, \ldots, k$. More specifically,

$$u_k(0) = \sum_{j=1}^k u_{k,j}(0) w_j, \quad u_k'(0) = \sum_{j=1}^k u'_{k,j}(0) w_j$$

where,

$$u_{k,j}(0) = (u^0, w_j), \quad u'_{k,j}(0) = (u^1, w_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, k$$

Obviously, $u_k(0) \rightarrow u^0$ strongly in $H_0^m(\Omega)$, $u_k'(0) \rightarrow u^1$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By using the Cauchy-Peano theorem, we know that the system (3.1)-(3.2) admits a solution $g_{k,j}(t) \in C^2[0, T_k]$ for every $k \geq 1$ and some $T_k > 0$. Then we can obtain an approximate solution $u_k(t)$ of the problem (1.2) over $[0, T_k]$. Now we try to get the a priori estimate for the approximate solutions $u_k(t)$ of the problem (1.2). Multiplying (3.1) by $g_{k,j}'(t)$ and summing with respect to $j$ from 1 to $k$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|u_k'(t)\|^2_2 + \|u_k(t)\|^2_2 - \int_\Omega |u_k(t)|^2 \log|u_k(t)| \, dx \right] + \|u_k'(t)\|^2_2 = 0$$

(3.3)

Integrating (3.3) over $(0, t), \ 0 < t \leq T_k$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u_k'(t)\|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_k(t)\|^2_2 + \|u_k(t)\|^2_2 - \int_\Omega |u_k(t)|^2 \log|u_k(t)| \, dx + \frac{1}{4} \|u_k(t)\|^4_2 + \int_0^t \|u_k'(s)\|^2_2 \, ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|u_k'(0)\|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_k(0)\|^2_2 + \|u_k(0)\|^2_2 - \int_\Omega |u_k(0)|^2 \log|u_k(0)| \, dx + \frac{1}{4} \|u_k(0)\|^4_2$$

$$+ \int_0^t \|u_k'(s)\|^2_2 \, ds \leq C_0 - \int_\Omega |u_k(0)|^2 \log|u_k(0)| \, dx$$

(3.4)

where $C_0 = C \left( \|u^0\|^m_{H_0^m(\Omega)}, \|u^1\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)$ is a positive constant.
To deal with the last term in (3.4), we use the elementary inequality
\[ |t^2 \log t| \leq C (1 + t^3) \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \] (3.5)
where \( C \) is a positive constant. From (3.5) we have as follow,
\[
- \int_\Omega |u_k(0)|^2 \log|u_k(0)| \, dx \leq C |\Omega| + C \int_\Omega |u_k(0)|^3 \, dx \leq C \left(1 + \|u_k(0)\|_H^3(\Omega)\right)
\]
\[
\leq C \left(1 + \|u_0\|_H^3(\Omega)\right)
\] (3.6)

From (3.4) and (3.6) we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left\|u_k'(t)\right\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\|\nabla u_k(t)\right\|_2^2 + \|u_k(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u_k(t)\|_4^4 + \int_0^t \left\|u_k'(s)\right\|_2^2 \, ds \leq C + \left(\frac{3}{4} \log \frac{4a}{e} \right) \left\|u_k(t)\right\|_2^2 + \frac{a}{2} \left\|\nabla u_k(t)\right\|_2^2 + \|u_k(t)\|_2^2 \log \|u_k(t)\|_2
\] (3.7)

Now we use Lemma 2.1 introducing Gross-Sobolev inequality and we have
\[
\int_\Omega |u_k(t)|^2 \log|u_k(t)| \, dx \leq \left(\frac{3}{4} \log \frac{4a}{e} \right) \left\|u_k(t)\right\|_2^2 + \frac{a}{2} \left\|\nabla u_k(t)\right\|_2^2 + \|u_k(t)\|_2^2 \log \|u_k(t)\|_2
\] (3.8)

From (3.7) and (3.8), we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left\|u_k'(t)\right\|_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{a}{4}\right) \left\|\nabla u_k(t)\right\|_2^2 + \left(1 - \frac{3}{4} \log \frac{4a}{e} \right) \left\|u_k(t)\right\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|u_k(t)\|_4^4 + \int_0^t \left\|u_k'(s)\right\|_2^2 \, ds \leq C + \|u_k(t)\|_2^2 \log \|u_k(t)\|_2
\] (3.9)

In (3.9) we take \( a = \frac{1}{4} \) and we obtain
\[
\left\|u_k'(t)\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\nabla u_k(t)\right\|_2^2 + \|u_k(t)\|_2^2 + \|u_k(t)\|_4^4 \leq C(1 + \|u_k(t)\|_2^2 \log \|u_k(t)\|_2)
\] (3.10)

Note that \( u_k(t) = u_k(0) + \int_0^t u_k'(s) \, ds \), than we have
\[
\|u_k(t)\|_2^2 \leq 2\|u_k(0)\|_2^2 + 2T \int_0^t \left\|u_k'(s)\right\|_2^2 \, ds
\]
\[
\leq 2\|u_k(0)\|_2^2 + \max\{1,2T\} \frac{1 + C}{C} \int_0^t \left\|u_k'(s)\right\|_2^2 \, ds
\] (3.11)

From (3.10) and (3.11) we have
\[
\|u_k(t)\|_2^2 \leq A + B \int_0^t \|u_k(s)\|_2 \log \|u_k(s)\|_2 \, ds
\] (3.12)

where \( A = \|u_k(0)\|_2^2 + \max\{1,2T\} \) and \( B = \max\{1,2T\}(1 + C) \). Taking \( B \geq 1 \) and using the Gronwall inequality in Lemma 2.2 we get
\[
\|u_k(t)\|_2^2 \leq A + B \int_0^t \|u_k(s)\|_2 \log \|u_k(s)\|_2 \, ds
\]
\[ \|u_k(t)\|^2 \leq (A + B) e^{Bt} \leq C_T \] (3.13)

From (3.10) and (3.13)
\[ \|u'_k(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla u_k(t)\|^2 + \|u_k(t)\|^2 + \|u_k(t)\|^4 \leq C_T \] (3.14)

Note that \( u_k \) is uniformly bounded in \( L^\infty(0, T, H^m_0(\Omega)) \), \( u'_k \) is uniformly bounded in \( L^\infty(0, T, L^2(\Omega)) \), \( u''_k \) is uniformly bounded in \( L^\infty(0, T, H^{-m}_0(\Omega)) \). From these refers and using (3.14), there exist a subsequence \( \{u_k\} \), such that it implies the system (3.15) as follow:

\[ u_k \rightarrow u \quad \text{weekly in } L^\infty(0, T, H^m_0(\Omega)), \]
\[ u'_k \rightarrow u' \quad \text{weekly in } L^\infty(0, T, L^2(\Omega)), \] (3.15)
\[ u''_k \rightarrow u'' \quad \text{weekly in } L^\infty(0, T, H^{-m}_0(\Omega)) \]

Using these refers as above we have
\[ u_k \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega)) \] (3.16)

which implies the system (3.17) as follow:
\[ u_k \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \]
\[ u_k \log |u_k|^2 \rightarrow u \log |u|^2 \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \] (3.17)
\[ |u_k|^2 u_k \rightarrow |u|^2 u \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \]

Let we use now (3.5) again to estimate the logarithmic term
\[ \int |u_k \log u_k|^2 dx = 4 \int |u_k|^2 (\log u_k^2) dx \leq C |\Omega| + C \int |u_k|^2 dx \leq C |\Omega| (\|u_k(s)\|^\delta_{\delta_0} + 1) \leq C \] (3.18)

This implies that \( u_k \log |u_k|^2 \) is uniformly bounded in \( L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega)) \). So, exists any function in \( L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega)) \), such that \( |u_k|^2 u_k \) converges in it. This is \( u \log |u|^2 \). So,
\[ u_k \log |u_k|^2 \rightarrow u \log |u|^2 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega)) \] (3.19)

By Sobolev inequality
\[ \int |u_k|^2 u_k|^2 dx = \int |u_k|^6 \leq C \|u_k(s)\|^\delta_{\delta_0} \leq C \] (3.20)

As above we explained there exist any function as \( |u|^2 u \) in \( L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega)) \) such that
\[ |u_k|^2 u_k \rightarrow |u|^2 u \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega)) \] (3.21)

Using (3.18), (3.19), (3.20) in (3.1) and passing to the limit in (3.1) and since \( \{u_k\}_{j=1}^\infty \) is dense in \( H^m_0(\Omega) \) we obtain
\[ \langle u'', w \rangle + \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle + \langle u, w \rangle + \langle u', v \rangle - (u \log |u|^2, v) + (|u|^2 u, v) = 0 \quad \forall \in H^m_0(\Omega) \] (3.22)
This clearly said that \( u \) satisfies the eq. (1.2) in the week sense. From (3.15) we have \( u_k(0) \to u(0) \) weekly in \( L^2(\Omega) \). Using eq.3.16 and by choosing \( u_k(0) \to u^0 \) strongly in \( H^1_0(\Omega) \), we have

\[
u(0) = u^0 \tag{3.23}\]

From (3.16), \( \langle u_k, w_j \rangle \to \langle u, w_j \rangle \) in \( L^\infty(0,T) \). This implies that \( \langle u_k(0), w_j \rangle \to \langle u^\prime(0), w_j \rangle \). Noting that \( u_k(0) \to u^1 \) weekly in \( L^2 \), then

\[
u^\prime(0) = u^1 \tag{3.24}\]

From (3.22) and (3.23), the initial condition is satisfied. The theorem (3.1) is completed. The global existence of week solutions to the problem (1.2) is established.
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