

Article

Lagrangian Function on the Finite State Space Statistical Bundle

Giovanni Pistone ¹ 

¹ de Castro Statistics, Collegio Carlo Alberto, Piazza Vincenzo Arbarello 8, 10122 Torino, Italy; giovanni.pistone@carloalberto.org

Abstract: The statistical bundle is the set of couples (Q, W) of a probability density Q and a random variable W such that $\mathbb{E}_Q[W] = 0$. On a finite state space, we assume Q to be a probability density with respect to the uniform probability and give an affine atlas of charts such that the resulting manifold is a model for Information Geometry. Velocity and acceleration of a one-dimensional statistical model are computed in this set up. The Euler-Lagrange equations are derived from the Lagrange action integral. An example of Lagrangian using minus the entropy as potential energy is briefly discussed.

Keywords: Information Geometry; Statistical Bundle; Lagrangian function

1. Introduction

The set-up of classical Lagrangian Mechanics is a finite-dimensional Riemannian manifold. For example, see the monographs by V.I. Arnold [1, Ch. III-IV], R. Abraham and J.E. Marsden [2, Ch. 3], J.E. Marsden and T.S. Ratiu [3, Ch. 7]. Classical Information geometry, as it was firstly defined in the monograph by S.-I. Amari and H. Nagaoka [4], views parametric statistical models as a manifold endowed with a dually-flat connection. In a recent paper, M. Leok and J. Zhang [5] have pointed out the natural relation between these two topics and have given a wide overview of the mathematical structures involved.

In the present paper, we take up the same research program with two further qualification. First, we assume a non-parametric approach by considering the full set of positive probability functions on a finite set, as it was done, for example, in our review paper [6]. The discussion is restricted here to a finite state space to avoid difficult technical problems. Second, we consider a specific expression of the tangent space of the statistical manifold, which is an Hilbert bundle that we call statistical bundle. Our aim is to emphasize the basic statistical intuition of the geometric quantities involved. Because of that, we choose to use systematically the language of non-parametric differential geometry as it is developed in S. Lang monograph [7].

We use here our version of Information Geometry, see the review paper [6]. Preliminary versions of this paper have been presented at the SigmaPhy2017 Conference held in Corfu, Greece, Jul. 10-14 2017 and at a seminar held at Collegio Carlo Alberto, Moncalieri, on Sep. 5, 2017. In these early versions we did not refer to Leok and Zhang work we where unaware of at that time.

In Sec. 2 we review the definition and properties of the statistical bundle, and of the affine atlas that endows it with both a manifold structure and a natural family of transports between the fibers. In Sec. 3 we develop the formalism of the tangent space of the statistical bundle and derive the expression of the velocity and the acceleration of a one-dimensional statistical model in the given affine atlas. The derivation of the Euler-Lagrange equations, together with a relevant example, is discussed in Sec. 4.

2. Statistical bundle

We consider a finite sample space Ω , with $\#\Omega = N$. The probability simplex is $\Delta(\Omega)$ and $\Delta^\circ(\Omega)$ is its interior. The uniform probability on Ω is denoted μ , $\mu(x) = \frac{1}{N}$, $x \in \Omega$. The *maximal exponential*

36 family $\mathcal{E}(\mu)$ is the set of all strictly positive probability densities of (Ω, μ) . The expected value of
 37 $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the density $P \in \mathcal{E}(\mu)$ is denoted $\mathbb{E}_P[f] = \mathbb{E}_\mu[fP] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \Omega} f(x)P(x)$.

38 In [6,8,9] we made the case for the statistical bundle being the key structure of Information
 39 Geometry. The *statistical bundle* with base Ω is

$$S\mathcal{E}(\mu) = \{(Q, V) | Q \in \mathcal{E}(\mu), \mathbb{E}_Q[V] = 0\} .$$

40 The statistical bundle is a semi-algebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^{2N} i.e., it is defined by algebraic equations
 41 and strict inequalities. It is trivially a real manifold. At each $Q \in \mathcal{E}(\mu)$ the fiber $S_Q\mathcal{E}(\mu)$ is endowed
 42 with the scalar product

$$(V_1, V_2) \mapsto \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle_Q = \mathbb{E}_Q[V_1 V_2] = \text{Cov}_Q(V_1, V_2) .$$

43 We add to this structure a special affine atlas of charts in order to show a structure of affine
 44 manifold which is of interest in the statistical applications. The *exponential atlas* of the statistical
 45 manifold $S\mathcal{E}(\mu)$ is the collection of charts given for each $P \in \mathcal{E}(\mu)$ by

$$s_P: S\mathcal{E}(\mu) \ni (Q, V) \mapsto (s_P(Q), {}^e\mathbb{U}_Q^P V) \in S_P\mathcal{E}(\mu) \times S_P\mathcal{E}(\mu) , \quad (1)$$

46 where (with a slight abuse of notation)

$$s_P(Q) = \log \frac{Q}{P} - \mathbb{E}_P \left[\log \frac{Q}{P} \right] , \quad {}^e\mathbb{U}_Q^P V = V - \mathbb{E}_P[V] . \quad (2)$$

47 As $s_P(P, V) = (0, V)$, we say that s_P is the chart *centered at P*. If $s_P(Q) = U$, it is easy to derive the
 48 exponential form of Q as a density with respect to P , namely $Q = e^{U - \mathbb{E}_P[\log \frac{Q}{P}]} \cdot P$. As $\mathbb{E}_\mu[Q] = 1$, then
 49 $1 = \mathbb{E}_P \left[e^{U - \mathbb{E}_P[\log \frac{Q}{P}]} \right] = \mathbb{E}_P \left[e^U \right] e^{-\mathbb{E}_P[\log \frac{Q}{P}]}$, so that the *cumulant function* K_P is defined on $S_P\mathcal{E}(\mu)$
 50 by

$$K_P(U) = \log \mathbb{E}_P \left[e^U \right] = \mathbb{E}_P \left[\log \frac{P}{Q} \right] = D(P \| Q) ,$$

51 that is, $K_P(V)$ is the expression in the chart at P of Kullback-Leibler divergence of $Q \mapsto D(P \| Q)$, and
 52 we can write

$$Q = e^{U - K_P(U)} \cdot P = e_P(U) .$$

53 The *patch centered at P* is

$$s_P^{-1} = e_P: (S_P\mathcal{E}(\mu))^2 \ni (U, W) \mapsto (e_P(U), {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U)} W) \in S\mathcal{E}(\mu) .$$

54 In statistical terms, the random variable $\log(Q/P)$ is the relative point-wise information about Q
 55 relative to the reference P , while $s_P(Q)$ is the deviation from its mean value at P . The expression of the
 56 other divergence in the chart centered at P is

$$D(Q \| P) = \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\log \frac{Q}{P} \right] = \mathbb{E}_Q[U - K_P(U)] = \mathbb{E}_Q[U] - K_P(U) .$$

57 The equation above shows that the two divergences are convex conjugate functions in the proper
 58 charts, see [10].

59 The transition maps of the exponential atlas in Eq.s (1) and (2) are

$$s_{P_2} \circ e_{P_1}(U, W) = s_{P_2} \left(e_{P_1}(U), {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_1 P(U)} W \right) = s_{P_2} \left(e^{U - K_{P_1}(U)} \cdot P_1, W - \mathbb{E}_{e_{P_1}(U)} [W] \right) = \\ \left(U - K_{P_1}(U) + \log \frac{P_1}{P_2} - \mathbb{E}_{P_2} \left[U - K_{P_1}(U) + \log \frac{P_1}{P_2} \right], W - \mathbb{E}_{e_{P_1}(U)} [W] - \mathbb{E}_{P_2} \left[W - \mathbb{E}_{e_{P_1}(U)} [W] \right] \right) = \\ \left({}^e\mathbb{U}_{P_1}^{P_2} U + s_{P_2}(P_1), {}^e\mathbb{U}_{P_1}^{P_2} W \right),$$

60 so that the exponential atlas is indeed affine. Notice that the linear part is ${}^e\mathbb{U}_{P_1}^{P_2}$.

61 3. The tangent space of the statistical bundle

62 Let us compute the expression of the velocity at time t of a smooth curve $t \mapsto \gamma(t) =$
63 $(Q(t), W(t)) \in S\mathcal{E}(\mu)$ in the chart centered at P . The expression of the curve is

$$\gamma_P(t) = s_P(\gamma(t)) = \left(s_P(Q(t)), {}^e\mathbb{U}_{Q(t)}^P W(t) \right),$$

64 and hence we have, by denoting the derivative in \mathbb{R}^N by the dot,

$$\frac{d}{dt} s_P(Q(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\log \frac{Q(t)}{P} - \mathbb{E}_P \left[\log \frac{Q(t)}{P} \right] \right) = \frac{\dot{Q}(t)}{Q(t)} - \mathbb{E}_P \left[\frac{\dot{Q}(t)}{Q(t)} \right] = {}^e\mathbb{U}_{Q(t)}^P \frac{\dot{Q}(t)}{Q(t)}, \quad (3)$$

65 and

$$\frac{d}{dt} {}^e\mathbb{U}_{Q(t)}^P W(t) = \frac{d}{dt} (W(t) - \mathbb{E}_P [W(t)]) = \dot{W}(t) - \mathbb{E}_P [\dot{W}(t)] = {}^e\mathbb{U}_{Q(t)}^P \left(\dot{W}(t) - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} [\dot{W}(t)] \right). \quad (4)$$

66 If we define the *velocity* of $t \mapsto Q(t) = e^{U(t) - K_P(U(t))} \cdot P$ to be

$$\dot{Q}(t) = \frac{\dot{Q}(t)}{Q(t)} = \frac{d}{dt} \log Q(t) = \dot{U}(t) - dK_P(U(t))[\dot{U}(t)] \in S_{Q(t)} \mathcal{E}(\mu),$$

67 then $t \mapsto (Q(t), \dot{Q}(t))$ is a curve in the statistical bundle whose expression in the chart centered
68 at P is $t \mapsto (U(t), \dot{U}(t))$. The velocity as defined above is nothing else as the *score function* of the
69 one-dimensional statistical model see e.g., the textbook by B. Efron and T. Hastie [11, §4.2]. The
70 variance of the score function i.e. the squared norm of $\dot{Q}(t)$ in $S_{Q(t)} \mathcal{E}(\mu)$ is classically known as *Fisher*
71 *information* at t .

72 We define the *second statistical bundle* to be

$$S^2 \mathcal{E}(\mu) = \{ (Q, W, X, Y) \mid (Q, W) \in S\mathcal{E}(\mu), X, Y \in S_Q \mathcal{E}(\mu) \},$$

73 with charts

$$s_P(Q, V, X, Y) = \left(s_P(Q, V), {}^e\mathbb{U}_Q^P X, {}^e\mathbb{U}_Q^P Y \right),$$

74 we can identify the second bundle with the tangent space of the first bundle as follows.

75 For each curve $t \mapsto \gamma(t) = (Q(t), W(t))$ in the statistical bundle, define its *velocity* at t to be

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \left(Q(t), W(t), \dot{Q}(t), \dot{W}(t) - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} [\dot{W}(t)] \right),$$

76 because $t \mapsto \dot{\gamma}(t)$ is a curve in the second statistical bundle and that its expression in the chart at P has
77 the last two components equal to the values given in Eq.s (3) and (4).

78 In particular, consider the a curve $t \mapsto \chi(t) = (Q(t), \dot{Q}(t))$. The velocity is

$$\dot{\chi}^*(t) = \left(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t), \ddot{Q}(t), \ddot{\ddot{Q}}(t) \right),$$

79 where the *acceleration* $\ddot{\ddot{Q}}(t)$ is

$$\ddot{\ddot{Q}}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\dot{Q}(t)}{Q(t)} - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} \left[\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\dot{Q}(t)}{Q(t)} \right] = \frac{\ddot{Q}(t)}{Q(t)} - \left(\dot{Q}(t)^2 - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} \left[\dot{Q}(t)^2 \right] \right) \quad (5)$$

80 It should be noted that the acceleration has been defined without explicitly mentioning the
81 relevant connection. In fact, the connection here is implicitly defined by the transports ${}^e\mathbb{U}_P^Q$, which
82 is unusual in Differential Geometry, but is quite natural from the probabilistic point of view, see P.
83 Gibilisco and G. Pistone [12]. We shall see below that the non-parametric approach to Information
84 Geometry allows to define a dual transport, hence a dual connection as it was in [4]. because of that.
85 we could have defined other types of acceleration together with the one we have defined. Namely, we
86 could consider an *exponential acceleration* ${}^eD^2Q(t) = \ddot{Q}(t)$, a *mixture acceleration* ${}^mD^2Q(t) = \dot{Q}(t)/Q(t)$,
87 and a *Riemannian acceleration*

$${}^0D^2Q(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left({}^eD^2Q(t) + {}^mD^2Q(t) \right) = \frac{\ddot{Q}(t)}{Q(t)} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{\dot{Q}(t)}{Q(t)} \right)^2 - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} \left[\left(\frac{\dot{Q}(t)}{Q(t)} \right)^2 \right] \right), \quad (6)$$

88 each acceleration being associated with a specific connection, see the review paper [6]. We do not
89 further discuss the different second order geometries associated to the statistical bundle in this paper.

90 **Example 1** (Boltzmann-Gibbs). Let us compare the formalism we have introduced above with standard
91 computations in Statistical Physics. The *Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution* gives to point $x \in \Omega$ the probability
92 $e^{-(1/\theta)H(x)}/Z(\theta)$, with $Z(\theta) = \sum_{x \in \Omega} e^{-(1/\theta)H(x)}$ and $\theta > 0$, see Landau and Lifshits [13, Ch. 3]. As a
93 curve in $\mathcal{E}(\mu)$, it is $Q(\theta) = Ne^{-(1/\theta)H}/Z(\theta)$ because of the reference to the uniform probability. The
94 velocity defined above becomes in this case $\dot{Q}(\theta) = \theta^{-2}(H - \mathbb{E}_\theta[H])$, while the acceleration of Eq. (5)
95 is $\ddot{\ddot{Q}}(\theta) = -\theta^{-3}(H - \mathbb{E}_\theta[H])$. Notice that we have the equation $\theta\ddot{\ddot{Q}}(\theta) + \dot{Q}(\theta) = 0$.

96 Following the original construction of Amari's Information Geometry [4], we have defined on
97 the statistical bundle a manifold structure which is both an affine and a Riemannian manifold. The
98 base manifold $\mathcal{E}(\mu)$ is actually an Hessian manifold with respect to any of the convex functions
99 $K_p(U) = \log \mathbb{E}_p[e^U]$, $U \in S_p \mathcal{E}(\mu)$, see [14]. Many computations are actually performed using the
100 Hessian structure. The following equations are easily checked and frequently used

$$\mathbb{E}_{e_p(U)}[H] = dK_p(U)[H]; \quad (7)$$

$${}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_p(U)}H = H - dK_p(U)[H]; \quad (8)$$

$$d^2K_p(U)[H_1, H_2] = \left\langle {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_p(U)}H_1, {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_p(U)}H_2 \right\rangle_{e_p(U)}; \quad (9)$$

$$d^3K_p(U)[H_1, H_2, H_3] = \mathbb{E}_{e_p(U)} \left[{}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_p(U)}H_1 \cdot {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_p(U)}H_2 \cdot {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_p(U)}H_3 \right]. \quad (10)$$

101 We have defined a centering operation that can be thought of as a *transport* among fibers,

$${}^e\mathbb{U}_P^Q: S_p \mathcal{E}(\mu) \rightarrow S_q \mathcal{E}(\mu),$$

102 whose adjoint is ${}^m\mathbb{U}_q^pV = \frac{q}{p}V$. In fact, is the adjoint of ${}^e\mathbb{U}_p^q$,

$$\left\langle e^{\mathbb{U}_P^Q} U, V \right\rangle_Q = \mathbb{E}_Q [(U - \mathbb{E}_Q[U])V] = \mathbb{E}_Q[UV] = \mathbb{E}_P \left[U \left(\frac{Q}{P} V \right) \right] = \left\langle U, m^{\mathbb{U}_Q^P} V \right\rangle_P$$

103 Moreover, iff $U, V \in S_P \mathcal{E}(\mu)$, then

$$\left\langle e^{\mathbb{U}_P^Q} U, m^{\mathbb{U}_P^Q} V \right\rangle_Q = \left\langle e^{\mathbb{U}_Q^P} e^{\mathbb{U}_P^Q} U, V \right\rangle_P = \langle U, V \rangle_P .$$

104 **Example 2** (Entropy flow). This example is taken from [8]. In the scalar field $\mathcal{H}(Q) = -\mathbb{E}_Q[\log Q]$
 105 there is no dependence on the fiber. If $t \mapsto Q(t) = e^{V(t) - K_P(V(t))} \cdot P$ is a smooth curve in $\mathcal{E}(\mu)$
 106 expressed in the chart centered at P , then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(Q(t)) &= -\mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} [V(t) - K_P(V(t)) + \log P] = \\ &K_P(V(t)) - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} [V(t) + \log P + \mathcal{H}(P)] + \mathcal{H}(P) = \\ &K_P(V(t)) - dK_P(V(t))[V(t) + \log P + \mathcal{H}(P)] + \mathcal{H}(P) , \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

107 where the argument of the last expectation belongs to the fiber $S_P \mathcal{E}(\mu)$ and we have expressed the
 108 expected value as a derivative by using Eq. (7).

109 Using again Eq. (7), and also Eq. (9) we compute the derivative of the entropy along the given
 110 curve as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(Q(t)) &= \frac{d}{dt} K_P(V(t)) - \frac{d}{dt} dK_P(V(t))[V(t) + \log P + \mathcal{H}(P)] = \\ &dK_P(V(t))[\dot{V}(t)] - d^2 K_P(V(t))[V(t) + \log P + \mathcal{H}(P), \dot{V}(t)] - dK_P(V(t))[\dot{V}(t)] = \\ &-\mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} \left[e^{\mathbb{U}_P^Q} (V(t) + \log P) e^{\mathbb{U}_P^Q} \dot{V}(t) \right] . \end{aligned}$$

111 We use now the equations

$$V(t) + \log P = \log Q(t) + K_P(V(t)) , \quad e^{\mathbb{U}_P^Q} (\log Q(t) + K_P(V(t))) = \log Q(t) + \mathcal{H}(Q(t)) ,$$

112 and $e^{\mathbb{U}_P^Q} \dot{V}(t) = \dot{Q}(t)$, to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}(Q(t)) = - \left\langle \log Q(t) + \mathcal{H}(Q(t)), \dot{Q}(t) \right\rangle_{Q(t)} .$$

113 We have identified the gradient of the entropy in the statistical bundle,

$$\text{grad } \mathcal{H}(Q) = -(\log Q + \mathcal{H}(Q)) . \quad (12)$$

114 Notice that the previous computation could have been done using the exponential family $Q(t) =$
 115 $e_P(tV)$. See in [8] the computation of the gradient flow.

116 In the next section, we extend the computation illustrated in the example above to scalar fields on
 117 the statistical bundle.

118 4. Lagrangian function

119 A *Lagrangian function* is a smooth scalar field on the statistical bundle

$$L: S\mathcal{E}(\mu) \ni (Q, W) \mapsto L(Q, W) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

120 At each fixed density $Q \in \mathcal{E}(\mu)$, the partial mapping

$$S_Q\mathcal{E}(\mu) \ni W \mapsto L(Q, W) \quad (13)$$

121 is a defined on the vector space $S_Q\mathcal{E}(\mu)$, hence we can use the ordinary derivative, which is called in
122 this case *fiber derivative*,

$$d_2L(Q, W)[H_2] = \left. \frac{d}{dt} L(Q, W + tH_2) \right|_{t=0}, \quad H_2 \in S_Q\mathcal{E}(\mu). \quad (14)$$

123 **Example 3** (Running example I). If

$$L(Q, W) = \frac{1}{2} \langle W, W \rangle_Q + \kappa \mathcal{H}(Q), \quad \kappa \geq 0, \quad (15)$$

124 then $d_2L(Q, W)[H_2] = \langle W, H_2 \rangle_Q$. The example is suggested by the form of the classical Lagrangian
125 function in mechanics, where the first term is the kinetic energy and $-\kappa \mathcal{H}(Q)$ is the potential energy.

126 As the statistical bundle $S\mathcal{E}(\mu)$ is non-trivial, the computation of the partial derivative of the
127 Lagrangian with respect to the first variable requires some care. We want to compute the expression of
128 the total derivative in a chart of the affine atlas defined in Eq.s (1) and (1).

129 Let $t \mapsto \gamma(t) = (Q(t), W(t))$ a smooth curve in the statistical bundle. In the chart centered at P
130 we have

$$Q(t) = e^{U(t) - K_P(U(t))} \cdot P = e_P(U(t)), \quad W(t) = e^{\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U(t))}} V(t),$$

131 with $t \mapsto \gamma_P(t) = (U(t), V(t))$ being a smooth curve in $(S_P\mathcal{E}(\mu))^2$. Let us compute the velocity of
132 variation of the Lagrangian L along the curve γ .

$$\frac{d}{dt} L(\gamma(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} L(Q(t), W(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} L(e_P(U(t)), e^{\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U(t))}} V(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} L_P(U(t), V(t)),$$

133 with $L_P(U, V) = L(e_P(U), e^{\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U)}} V)$. It follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} L(Q(t), W(t)) = d_1L_P(U(t), V(t))[\dot{U}(t)] + d_2L_P(U(t), V(t))[\dot{V}(t)]. \quad (16)$$

134 If we write $Q = e_P(U)$ and $W = e^{\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U)}} V$, then we have

$$d_2L_P(U, V)[H_2] = \left. \frac{d}{dt} L_P(U, V + tH_2) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} L(Q, W + t e^{\mathbb{U}_P^Q} H_2) \right|_{t=0} = d_2L(Q, W)[e^{\mathbb{U}_P^Q} H_2], \quad (17)$$

135 where d_2L is the fiber derivative of L . As $\dot{U}(t) = e^{\mathbb{U}_{Q(t)}^P} \dot{Q}(t)$ and $e^{\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U(t))}} \dot{V}(t) = \dot{W}(t)$, it follows
136 from Eq.s (16) and (17), that

$$\frac{d}{dt} L(Q(t), W(t)) = d_1L_P(U(t), V(t))[e^{\mathbb{U}_{Q(t)}^P} \dot{Q}(t)] + d_2L(Q(t), W(t))[\dot{W}(t)].$$

137 In the equation above the first term in the RHS does not depend on P because the LHS and
 138 the second term of the RHS do not depend on P . Hence we define the first partial derivative of the
 139 Lagrangian function to be

$$d_1(Q, W)[H_1] = d_1 L_P(U, V)[{}^e\mathbb{U}_{e_P(U)}^P H_1], \quad H_1 \in S_Q \mathcal{E}(\mu), \quad (18)$$

140 so that the derivative of L along γ becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} L(Q(t), W(t)) = d_1 L(Q(t), W(t))[\dot{Q}(t)] + d_2 L(Q(t), W(t))[\dot{W}(t)]. \quad (19)$$

141 In particular, if $W(t) = \dot{Q}(t)$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t)) = d_1 L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t))[\dot{Q}(t)] + d_2 L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t))[\dot{Q}(t)],$$

142 see Eq. (5).

143 **Example 4** (Running example II). With the Lagrangian of Eq. (15), we have

$$L_P(U, V) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U)} V, {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U)} V \right\rangle_{e_P(U)} - \kappa \mathbb{E}_{e_P(U)} [U - K_P(U) + \log P] = \\ \frac{1}{2} d^2 K_P(U)[V, V] + \kappa (K_P(U) - dK_P(U)[U + \log P + \mathcal{H}(P)] + \mathcal{H}(P)),$$

144 see Eq.s (9) and (11). The first partial derivative is

$$d_1 L_P(U, V)[H_1] = \\ \frac{1}{2} d^3 K_P(U)[V, V, H_1] + \kappa \left(dK_P(U)[H_1] - d^2 K_P(U)[U + \log P + \mathcal{H}(P), H_1] - dK_P(U)[H_1] \right) = \\ \frac{1}{2} d^3 K_P(U)[V, V, H_1] - \kappa d^2 K_P(U)[U + \log P + \mathcal{H}(P), H_1] = \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_Q \left[W^2 {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U)} H_1 \right] - \kappa \mathbb{E}_Q \left[(\log Q + \mathcal{H}(Q)) {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U)} H_1 \right] = \\ \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} (W^2 - \mathbb{E}_Q [W^2]) - \kappa (\log Q + \mathcal{H}(Q)) \right) {}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U)} H_1 \right],$$

145 where we have used Eq.s (9) and (10) together with ${}^e\mathbb{U}_P^{e_P(U)} (U + \log P + \mathcal{H}(P)) = \log Q + \mathcal{H}(Q)$.

146 We have found that

$$d_1 L(Q, W)[H_1] = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} (W^2 - \mathbb{E}_Q [W^2]) - \kappa (\log Q + \mathcal{H}(Q)), H_1 \right\rangle_Q, \quad H_1 \in S_Q \mathcal{E}(\mu), \quad (20)$$

147 and also

$$d_1 L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t))[\dot{Q}(t)] = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} (\dot{Q}(t)^2 - \mathbb{E}_Q [\dot{Q}(t)^2]) - \kappa (\log Q + \mathcal{H}(Q)), \dot{Q}(t) \right\rangle_Q.$$

148 Using the fiber derivative computed in the first part of the running example, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t)) = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{Q}(t)^2 - \mathbb{E}_Q \left[\dot{Q}(t)^2 \right] \right) - \kappa(\log Q + \mathcal{H}(Q)), \dot{Q}(t) \right\rangle_Q + \left\langle \dot{Q}(t), \dot{Q}^*(t) \right\rangle_Q.$$

149 Notice that Eq. (12) shows that one of the term in the equations above is $\text{grad } \mathcal{H}(Q)$.

150 5. Action integral

If $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto Q(t)$ is a smooth curve in the exponential manifold, then the *action integral*

$$A(Q) = \int_0^1 L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t)) dt$$

151 is well defined. We consider the expression of Q in the chart centered at P , $Q(t) = e^{U(t) - K_P(U(t))} \cdot P$.

152 Given $\varphi \in C^1([0, 1])$ with $\varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0$, for each $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $H \in S_P \mathcal{E}(\mu)$ we define the
153 perturbed curve

$$Q_\delta(t) = e^{(U(t) + \delta\varphi(t)H) - K_P(U(t) + \delta\varphi(t)H)} \cdot P.$$

154 We have $Q_\delta(0) = Q(0)$, $Q_\delta(1) = Q(1)$, and

$$\dot{Q}_\delta(t) = \dot{U}(t) + \delta\dot{\varphi}(t)H - \mathbb{E}_{Q_\delta(t)} [(\dot{U}(t) + \delta\dot{\varphi}(t)H)],$$

155 whose expression in the chart centered at P is $\dot{U}(t) + \delta\dot{\varphi}(t)H$.

156 Let us consider the variation in δ of the action integral. We apply Eq. (19) applied to the smooth
157 curve in $S \mathcal{E}(\mu)$ given by

$$\delta \mapsto (Q_\delta(t), \dot{Q}_\delta(t)),$$

158 where t is fixed. As

$$\frac{d}{d\delta} \log Q_\delta(t) = \frac{d}{d\delta} (U(t) + \delta\varphi(t)H) - \mathbb{E}_{Q_\delta(t)} \left[\frac{d}{d\delta} (U(t) + \delta\varphi(t)H) \right] = \varphi(t)(H - \mathbb{E}_{Q_\delta(t)} [H])$$

159 and

$$e^{\mathbb{U}_P^{Q_\delta(t)}} \frac{d}{d\delta} (\dot{U}(t) + \delta\dot{\varphi}(t)H) = \dot{\varphi}(t)(H - \mathbb{E}_{Q_\delta(t)} [H]),$$

160 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\delta} A(Q_\delta) &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\delta} L(Q_\delta(t), \dot{Q}_\delta(t)) dt = \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\varphi(t) d_1 L(Q_\delta(t), \dot{Q}_\delta(t)) [H - \mathbb{E}_{Q_\delta(t)} [H]] + \dot{\varphi}(t) d_2 L(Q_\delta(t), \dot{Q}_\delta(t)) [H - \mathbb{E}_{Q_\delta(t)} [H]] \right) dt = \\ &= \int_0^1 \varphi(t) \left(d_1 L(Q_\delta(t), \dot{Q}_\delta(t)) [H - \mathbb{E}_{Q_\delta(t)} [H]] - \frac{d}{dt} d_2 L(Q_\delta(t), \dot{Q}_\delta(t)) [H - \mathbb{E}_{Q_\delta(t)} [H]] \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

161 If $t \mapsto Q(t)$ is an critical curve of the action integral, then $\frac{d}{d\delta} A(Q_\delta) \Big|_{\delta=0} = 0$, hence for all φ and H
162 we have

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(t) \left(d_1 L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t)) [H - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} [H]] - \frac{d}{dt} d_2 L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t)) [H - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} [H]] \right) dt = 0. \quad (21)$$

163 This, in turn, implies that for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and $H \in S_{Q(t)} \mathcal{E}(\mu)$ the Euler-Lagrange equation
 164 holds:

$$d_1 L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t))[H] - \frac{d}{dt} d_2 L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t))[H] = 0. \quad (22)$$

165 **Example 5** (Running example III). For the Lagrangian of Eq. (15) we can use Eq. (20) in the form

$$d_1 L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t))[H - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[H]] = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{Q}(t)^2 - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[\dot{Q}(t)^2] \right) - \kappa(\log(Q(t)) + \mathcal{H}(Q(t))), H - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[H] \right\rangle_{Q(t)},$$

166 with $H \in S_P \mathcal{E}(\mu)$. For the other term, we have

$$d_2 L(Q(t), \dot{Q}(t))[H - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[H]] = \left\langle \dot{Q}(t), H - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[H] \right\rangle_{Q(t)} = d^2 K_P(U(t))[\dot{U}(t), H],$$

167 whose derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} d^2 K_P(U(t))[\dot{U}(t), HR] &= d^3 K_P(U(t))[\dot{U}(t), \dot{U}(t), H] + d^2 K_P(U(t))[\ddot{U}(t), H] = \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} \left[\dot{Q}(t)^2 (H - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[H]) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} \left[\ddot{Q}(t) (H - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[H]) \right] = \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} \left[\left(\dot{Q}(t)^2 - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[\dot{Q}(t)^2] \right) (H - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[H]) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)} \left[\ddot{Q}(t) (H - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[H]) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

168 Dropping the generic H , the Euler-Lagrange equation becomes

$$\ddot{Q}(t) + \left(\dot{Q}(t)^2 - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[\dot{Q}(t)^2] \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{Q}(t)^2 - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[\dot{Q}(t)^2] \right) - \kappa(\log(Q(t)) + \mathcal{H}(Q(t))),$$

169 that is

$$\ddot{Q}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{Q}(t)^2 - \mathbb{E}_{Q(t)}[\dot{Q}(t)^2] \right) = -\kappa(\log(Q(t)) + \mathcal{H}(Q(t))),$$

170 The equation above has been derived using the exponential affine geometry of the statistical
 171 bundle and involves $\ddot{Q}(t)$. However, by using Eq.s (5), (6), and (12), we find the equivalent form

$${}^0D^2 Q(t) = \kappa \text{grad } \mathcal{H}(Q(t)).$$

172 6. Discussion

173 We have shown that the research program consisting in applying to Statistics concepts taken from
 174 Classical Mechanics makes sense, even if no practical application has been produced in this paper.
 175 Some simple examples have been discussed in order to show clearly that the language from classical
 176 mechanics is indeed suggestive when applied to typical concepts in Statistics such as Fisher score and
 177 statistical entropy. The derivation of the Euler-Lagrange equations is classically done in the set-up of
 178 the Riemannian geometry, while here we have used the affine structure of Information Geometry. The
 179 present provisional results prompt to a generalization to non-finite sample spaces and the development
 180 of applications. Finally, the related Hamiltonian formalism remains to be investigated.

181 **Acknowledgments:** The Author gratefully thanks Hiroshi Matsuzoe (Nagoya Institute of Technology, JP),
182 Lamberto Rondoni (Politecnico di Torino, IT), Antonio Scarfone (CNR and Politecnico di Torino, IT), Tatsuaki
183 Wada (Ibaraki University, JP), for their interesting comments on early versions of this piece of research. He thanks
184 two anonymous referees for their useful and enlightening comments. He acknowledges the support of de Castro
185 Statistics, Collegio Carlo Alberto, and of GNAMPA-INdAM.

186 **Conflicts of Interest:** The author declare no conflict of interest.

187 References

- 188 1. Arnold, V.I. *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*; Vol. 60, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag,
189 New York, 1989; pp. xvi+516. Translated from the 1974 Russian original by K. Vogtmann and A. Weinstein,
190 Corrected reprint of the second (1989) edition.
- 191 2. Abraham, R.; Marsden, J.E. *Foundations of mechanics*; Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Advanced
192 Book Program, Reading, Mass., 1978; pp. xxii+m-xvi+806. Second edition, revised and enlarged, With the
193 assistance of Tudor Rațiu and Richard Cushman.
- 194 3. Marsden, J.E.; Ratiu, T.S. *Introduction to mechanics and symmetry*, second ed.; Vol. 17, *Texts in Applied*
195 *Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999; pp. xviii+582. A basic exposition of classical mechanical
196 systems.
- 197 4. Amari, S.; Nagaoka, H. *Methods of information geometry*; American Mathematical Society, 2000; pp. x+206.
198 Translated from the 1993 Japanese original by Daishi Harada.
- 199 5. Leok, M.; Zhang, J. Connecting Information Geometry and Geometric Mechanics. *Entropy* **2017**, *19*, 518.
- 200 6. Pistone, G. Nonparametric information geometry. In *Geometric science of information*; Nielsen, F.; Barbaresco,
201 F., Eds.; Springer, Heidelberg, 2013; Vol. 8085, *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pp. 5–36. First International
202 Conference, GSI 2013 Paris, France, August 28-30, 2013 Proceedings.
- 203 7. Lang, S. *Differential and Riemannian manifolds*, third ed.; Vol. 160, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*,
204 Springer-Verlag, 1995; pp. xiv+364.
- 205 8. Pistone, G. Examples of the application of nonparametric information geometry to statistical physics.
206 *Entropy* **2013**, *15*, 4042–4065.
- 207 9. Lods, B.; Pistone, G. Information Geometry Formalism for the Spatially Homogeneous Boltzmann Equation.
208 *Entropy* **2015**, *17*, 4323–4363.
- 209 10. Pistone, G.; Rogantin, M. The exponential statistical manifold: mean parameters, orthogonality and space
210 transformations. *Bernoulli* **1999**, *5*, 721–760.
- 211 11. Efron, B.; Hastie, T. *Computer age statistical inference*; Vol. 5, *Institute of Mathematical Statistics (IMS)*
212 *Monographs*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2016; pp. xix+475. Algorithms, evidence, and data
213 science.
- 214 12. Gibilisco, P.; Pistone, G. Connections on non-parametric statistical manifolds by Orlicz space geometry.
215 *IDAQP* **1998**, *1*, 325–347.
- 216 13. Landau, L.D.; Lifshits, E.M. *Course of Theoretical Physics. Statistical Physics.*, 3rd ed.; Vol. V,
217 Butterworth-Heinemann, 1980.
- 218 14. Shima, H. *The geometry of Hessian structures*; World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ,
219 2007; pp. xiv+246.