

Applications of q -Umbral Calculus to Modified Apostol Type q -Bernoulli Polynomials

Mehmet Acikgoz^{1,a}, Resul Ates^{1,b}, Ugur Duran^{1,c} and Serkan Araci^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science,
University of Gaziantep, TR-27310 Gaziantep, Turkey

E-Mails: acikgoz@gantep.edu.tr^a; resulates@hotmail.com^b;
mtdrnugur@gmail.com^c

²Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics,
Administrative and Social Sciences,
Hasan Kalyoncu University, TR-27410 Gaziantep, Turkey

E-Mail: mtsrkn@hotmail.com

*Corresponding Author

Abstract- This article aims to identify the generating function of modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials. With the aid of this generating function, some properties of modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials are given. It is shown that aforementioned polynomials are q -Appell. Hence, we make use of these polynomials to have applications on q -Umbral calculus. From those applications, we derive some theorems in order to get Apostol type modified q -Bernoulli polynomials as a linear combination of some known polynomials which we stated in the paper.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification- 05A30, 11B65, 11B68, 11S23.

Keywords and Phrases- q -Umbral Calculus, Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials, Modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials, q -Appell polynomials, Generating functions.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we make use of the following standard notations: $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Also, as usual, \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of integers, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers and \mathbb{C} denotes the set of complex numbers.

We now begin with the fundamental properties of q -calculus. Let q be chosen as a fixed real number between 0 and 1. The q -analogue of any number n is given by

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}.$$

The expression

$$[n]_q! = [n]_q[n - 1]_q \cdots [2]_q[1]_q$$

means the q -factorial of n , and also let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, for $k \leq n$

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n - k]_q!}$$

is called q -binomial coefficient. Note that $[0]_q! := 1$. The q -derivative of $f(x)$ is defined by

$$D_q f(x) = \frac{d_q f(x)}{d_q x} = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1 - q)x} \quad (0 < q < 1). \quad (1.1)$$

If $q \rightarrow 1^-$, it becomes

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_q f(x) = \frac{df(x)}{dx}$$



representing familiar derivative of a function f , with respect to x . The Jackson definite q -integral of a function f is also defined by

$$\int_0^a f(x)d_qx = a(1-q) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(q^j a)q^j.$$

The q -exponential functions are given by

$$e_q(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \text{ and } E_q(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{n}{2}} t^n}{[n]_q!} \quad (t \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |t| < 1)$$

with the following equality

$$e_{q^{-1}}(t) = E_q(t).$$

These fundamental properties of q -calculus listed above are taken from the book [3].

By using an exponential function $e_q(x)$, Kupershmidt [10] defined the following q -Bernoulli polynomials

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{t}{e_q(t) - 1} e_q(xt).$$

In the case $x = 0$, $B_{n,q}(0) = B_{n,q}$ means the n -th q -Bernoulli number.

Very recently, Kurt [8] defined Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials of order α by making use of the following generating function:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(x, y, \lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \left(\frac{t}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} \right)^\alpha e_q(xt) E_q(yt) \quad (1.2)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In this paper, we will study on the following polynomial $B_{n,q}^{(1)}(x, \lambda) := B_{n,q}(x, \lambda)$ which is given by special cases $\alpha = 1$ and $y = 0$ in (1.2):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}(x, \lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{t}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} e_q(xt). \quad (1.3)$$

When $q \rightarrow 1$ in (1.3), it reduces to Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials, see [2,11].

We now review briefly the concept of q -umbral calculus. For the properties of q -umbral calculus, we refer the reader to see the references [1-4, 7, 13, 14].

Let \mathbb{C} be a field of characteristic zero, and let \mathcal{F} be the set of all formal power series in the variable t over \mathbb{C} with

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f \mid f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{[k]_q!}, \quad (a_k \in \mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

Let \mathbb{P} be the algebra of polynomials in the single variable x over the field complex numbers and let \mathbb{P}^* be the vector space of all linear functionals on \mathbb{P} . In the q -Umbral calculus, $\langle L|p(x) \rangle$ means the action of a linear functional L on the polynomial $p(x)$. This operator has a linear property on \mathbb{P}^* given by

$$\langle L + M|p(x) \rangle = \langle L|p(x) \rangle + \langle M|p(x) \rangle$$

and

$$\langle cL|p(x) \rangle = c \langle L|p(x) \rangle$$

for any constant c in \mathbb{C} .

The formal power series

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{[k]_q!} \quad (1.4)$$

defines a linear functional on \mathbb{P} by setting

$$\langle f(t)|x^n \rangle = a_n \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (1.5)$$

Taking $f(t) = t^k$ in Eq. (1.4) and Eq. (1.5) gives

$$\langle t^k | x^n \rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k}, \quad (n, k \geq 0) \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$\delta_{n,k} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = k \\ 0, & \text{if } n \neq k \end{cases}.$$

Actually, any linear functional L in \mathbb{P}^* has the form (1.4). That is, since

$$f_L(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle L | x^k \rangle \frac{t^k}{[k]_q!},$$

we have

$$\langle f_L(t) | x^n \rangle = \langle L | x^n \rangle,$$

and so as linear functionals $L = f_L(t)$. Moreover, the map $L \rightarrow f_L(t)$ is a vector space isomorphism from \mathbb{P}^* onto \mathcal{F} . Henceforth, \mathcal{F} will denote both the algebra of formal power series in t and the vector space of all linear functionals on \mathbb{P} , and so an element $f(t)$ of \mathcal{F} will be thought of as both a formal power series and a linear functional. From (1.5), we have

$$\langle e_q(yt) | x^n \rangle = y^n$$

and so

$$\langle e_q(yt) | p(x) \rangle = p(y) \quad (p(x) \in \mathbb{P}).$$

The order $o(f(t))$ of a power series $f(t)$ is the smallest integer k for which the coefficient of t^k does not vanish. If $o(f(t)) = 0$, then $f(t)$ is called an invertible series. A series $f(t)$ for which $o(f(t)) = 1$ will be called a delta series (c.f. [1-4, 7, 13, 14]).

If $f_1(t), \dots, f_m(t)$ are in \mathcal{F} , then

$$\langle f_1(t) \dots f_m(t) | x^n \rangle = \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_m=n} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_m}_q \langle f_1(t) | x^{i_1} \rangle \dots \langle f_m(t) | x^{i_m} \rangle,$$

where

$$\binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[i_1]_q! \dots [i_r]_q!}.$$

We use the notation t^k for the k -th q -derivative operator on \mathbb{P} as follows:

$$t^k x^n = \begin{cases} \frac{[n]_q!}{[n-k]_q!} x^{n-k}, & k \leq n \\ 0, & k > n \end{cases}.$$

If $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are in \mathcal{F} , then

$$\langle f(t)g(t) | p(x) \rangle = \langle f(t) | g(t)p(x) \rangle = \langle g(t) | f(t)p(x) \rangle$$

for all polynomials $p(x)$. Notice that for all $f(t)$ in \mathcal{F} , and for all polynomials $p(x)$

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle f(t) | x^k \rangle \frac{t^k}{[k]_q!} \quad \text{and} \quad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle t^k | p(x) \rangle \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!}. \quad (1.7)$$

Using (1.7), we obtain

$$p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x) = \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} \frac{\langle t^l | p(x) \rangle}{[l]_q!} x^{l-k} \prod_{s=1}^k [l-s+1]_q$$

providing

$$p^{(k)}(0) = \langle t^k | p(x) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle 1 | p^{(k)}(x) \rangle = p^{(k)}(0). \quad (1.8)$$

Thus, from (1.8), we note that

$$t^k p(x) = p^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k p(x).$$

Let $f(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ be a delta series and let $g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ be an invertible series. Then there exists a unique sequence $s_n(x)$ of polynomials satisfying the following property

$$\langle g(t)f(t)^k | s_n(x) \rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k} \quad (n, k \geq 0) \quad (1.9)$$

which is called an orthogonality condition for any q -sheffer sequence, cf. [1-4, 7, 13, 14].

The sequence $s_n(x)$ is called the q -Sheffer sequence for the pair of $(g(t), f(t))$, or this $s_n(x)$ is q -Sheffer for $(g(t), f(t))$, which is denoted by $s_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$.

Let $s_n(x)$ be q -Sheffer for $(g(t), f(t))$. Then for any $h(t)$ in \mathcal{F} , and for any polynomial $p(x)$, we have

$$h(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle h(t) | s_k(x) \rangle}{[k]_q!} g(t)f(t)^k, \quad p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle g(t)f(t)^k | p(x) \rangle}{[k]_q!} s_k(x) \quad (1.10)$$

and the sequence $s_n(x)$ is q -Sheffer for $(g(t), f(t))$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(t))} e_q(x\bar{f}(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \quad (1.11)$$

for all x in \mathbb{C} , where $\bar{f}(f(t)) = f(\bar{f}(t)) = t$.

An important property for the q -Sheffer sequence $s_n(x)$ having $(g(t), t)$ is the q -Appell sequence. It is also called q -Appell for $g(t)$ with the following consequence

$$s_n(x) = \frac{1}{g(t)} x^n \Leftrightarrow ts_n(x) = [n]_q s_{n-1}(x). \quad (1.12)$$

Further important property for q -Sheffer sequence $s_n(x)$ is as follows

$$s_n(x) \text{ is } q\text{-Appell for } g(t) \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{g(t)} e_q(xt) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_n(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \quad (x \in \mathbb{C}).$$

For having information about the properties of q -umbral theory, see [1-4, 7, 13, 14] and cited references therein.

Recently several authors have studied q -Bernoulli polynomials, q -Euler polynomials and various generalizations of these polynomials [1-15]. In the next section, we investigate modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials, and we apply these numbers and polynomials to q -umbral theory which is the systematic study of q -umbral algebra. Actually, we are motivated to write this paper from Kim's systematic works on q -umbral theory [4-7].

2. Modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials

Recall from (1.3) that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}(x, \lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{t}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} e_q(xt) \quad (\lambda \neq 1). \quad (2.1)$$

Taking $t \rightarrow 0$ on the above gives $B_{0,q}(x, \lambda) = 0$. This shows that the generating function of these polynomials is not invertible. Therefore, we need to modify slightly Eq. (2.1) as follows

$$F_q^*(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{1}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} e_q(xt)$$

representing

$$\frac{B_{n+1,q}(x, \lambda)}{[n+1]_q} = B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda). \quad (2.2)$$

Here we called $B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda)$ modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials. Now

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F_q^*(x, t) = B_{0,q}^*(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda - 1} \neq 0 \quad (\lambda \neq 1).$$

This modification yields to being invertible for generating function of modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials. As a traditional for some special polynomials to be a number, in the case when $x = 0$, $B_{n,q}^*(0, \lambda) = B_{n,q}^*(\lambda)$ is called the modified Apostol type n -th q -Bernoulli number. Now we list some properties of modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials as follows.

From (2.2), we obtain

$$B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q B_{k,q}^*(\lambda) x^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q B_{n-k,q}^*(\lambda) x^k. \quad (2.3)$$

By (2.2), the modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli numbers can be found by means of the following recurrence relation:

$$B_{0,q}^*(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda B_{n,q}^*(1, \lambda) - B_{n,q}^*(\lambda) = \delta_{0,n}. \quad (2.4)$$

A few numbers are listed below:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{0,q}^*(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{\lambda - 1}, \quad B_{1,q}^*(\lambda) = \frac{-\lambda}{(\lambda - 1)^2}, \quad B_{2,q}^*(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda(1 + \lambda q)}{(\lambda - 1)^3}, \\ B_{3,q}^*(\lambda) &= \frac{-\lambda(1 + 2\lambda q + 2\lambda q^2 + \lambda^2 q^3)}{(\lambda - 1)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.11) and (1.12), we have

$$B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) \sim (\lambda e_q(t) - 1, t) \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$t B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) = [n]_q B_{n-1,q}^*(x, \lambda) = B_{n,q}(x, \lambda). \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from (2.6) that $B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda)$ is q -Appell for $\lambda e_q(t) - 1$.

We now have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$. We have*

$$\left\langle \frac{\lambda e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid p(x) \right\rangle = \lambda \int_0^1 p(u) d_q u.$$

Proof. From Eq. (2.5) and Eq. (2.6), we write

$$B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} x^n \quad (n \geq 0).$$

By (1.1) and (1.6), we obtain the following calculations

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\lambda e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid x^n \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \left\langle \frac{\lambda e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid t x^{n+1} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \langle \lambda e_q(t) - 1 \mid x^{n+1} \rangle \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{[n+1]_q} = \lambda \int_0^1 x^n d_q x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Thus, from (2.7), we arrive at

$$\left\langle \frac{\lambda e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid p(x) \right\rangle = \lambda \int_0^1 p(u) d_q u \quad (p(x) \in \mathbb{P})$$

which is desired result. \square

Example 1. If we take $p(x) = B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda)$ in Theorem 1, on the one hand, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_0^1 B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) d_q x &= \left\langle \frac{\lambda e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle 1 \mid \frac{\lambda e_q(t) - 1}{t} \frac{t B_{n+1,q}^*(x, \lambda)}{[n+1]_q} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \langle t^0 | x^{n+1} \rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n+1,0}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda [n+1]_q \int_0^1 B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) d_q x &= \lambda [n+1]_q \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q B_{n-k,q}^*(\lambda) x^k d_q x \\ &= \lambda [n+1]_q \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q B_{n-k,q}^*(\lambda) \int_0^1 x^k d_q x \\ &= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1}_q B_{n-k,q}^*(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following interesting property for modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli numbers derived from Theorem 1 for $n \geq 0$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1}_q B_{n-k,q}^*(\lambda) = 0$$

which can be also generated by Eq.(2.3) and Eq.(2.4).

The following is an immediate result emerging from (1.10) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[n+1]_q}{[k]_q!} \left\langle \frac{\lambda e_q(t) - 1}{t} t^k \mid p(x) \right\rangle B_{k,q}^*(x, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[n+1]_q}{[k]_q!} \left\langle \frac{\lambda e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid t^k p(x) \right\rangle B_{k,q}^*(x, \lambda) \\ &= \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[n+1]_q}{[k]_q!} B_{k,q}^*(x, \lambda) \int_0^1 t^k p(x) d_q x. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing suitable polynomials $p(x)$, one can derive some interesting results. So we omit to give examples, and so we now take care of a fundamental property in q -umbral theory which is stated below by Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. Let n be nonnegative integer. Then we have

$$\left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) \right\rangle = \int_0^1 B_{n,q}^*(u, \lambda) d_q u.$$

Proof. From (2.3), we first obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{x+y} B_{n,q}^*(u, \lambda) d_q u &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q B_{n-k,q}^*(\lambda) \frac{1}{[k+1]_q} \left\{ (x+y)^{k+1} - x^{k+1} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1}_q B_{n-k,q}^*(\lambda) \left\{ (x+y)^{k+1} - x^{k+1} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} (B_{n+1,q}^*(x+y, \lambda) - B_{n+1,q}^*(x, \lambda)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Thus, by applying (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \left\langle \frac{e_q(t) - 1}{t} \mid t B_{n+1,q}^*(x, \lambda) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]_q} \{ B_{n+1,q}^*(1, \lambda) - B_{n+1,q}^*(\lambda) \} \\ &= \int_0^1 B_{n,q}^*(u, \lambda) d_q u. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Comparing Eq. (2.8) with Eq. (2.9), we complete the proof of this theorem. \square

The following theorem is useful to derive any polynomial as a linear combination of modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials.

Theorem 3. For $q(x) \in P_n$, let

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} B_{k,q}^*(x, \lambda).$$

Then

$$b_{k,q} = \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \{ \lambda q^{(k)}(1) - q^{(k)}(0) \}.$$

Proof. It follows from (1.9) that

$$\langle (\lambda e_q(t) - 1) t^k | B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) \rangle = [n]_q! \delta_{n,k} \quad (n, k \geq 0). \quad (2.10)$$

We now consider the following sets of polynomials of degree less than or equal to n :

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \{q(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x] \mid \deg q(x) \leq n\}.$$

For $q(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$, we further consider that

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} B_{k,q}^*(x, \lambda). \quad (2.11)$$

Combining (2.10) with (2.11), it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\lambda e_q(t) - 1) t^k | q(x) \rangle &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_{l,q} \langle (\lambda e_q(t) - 1) t^k \mid B_{l,q}^*(x, \lambda) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n b_{l,q} [l]_q! \delta_{l,k} = [k]_q! b_{k,q}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, from (2.12), we have

$$b_{k,q} = \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \langle (\lambda e_q(t) - 1) t^k | q(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \{ \lambda q^{(k)}(1) - q^{(k)}(0) \},$$

where $q^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k q(x)$. Thus the proof is completed. \square

When we choose $q(x) = E_{n,q}(x)$, we have the following corollary which is given by its proof.

Corollary 1. Let $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n,q}(x) &= (\lambda q - 1) B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) + [n]_q \left(\frac{\lambda + 1}{2} \right) B_{n-1,q}^*(x, \lambda) \\ &\quad - (\lambda + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k} q B_{n-k,q}^*(x, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that the q -Euler polynomials $E_{n,q}(x)$ are defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \frac{[2]_q}{e_q(t) + 1} e_q(xt) \quad (\text{c.f. [12, 15]})$$

which in turn yields to

$$E_{n,q}(x) \sim \left(\frac{e_q(t) + 1}{[2]_q}, t \right) \quad (n \geq 0)$$

and

$$tE_{n,q}(x) = [n]_q E_{n-1,q}(x).$$

Set

$$q(x) = E_{n,q}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n.$$

Then it becomes

$$E_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} B_{k,q}^*(x, \lambda). \quad (2.13)$$

Let us now evaluate the coefficients $b_{k,q}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \langle (\lambda e_q(t) - 1) t^k \mid E_{n,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{[n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [n-k+1]_q}{[k]_q!} \langle \lambda e_q(t) - 1 \mid E_{n-k,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \binom{n}{k}_q \langle \lambda e_q(t) - 1 \mid E_{n-k,q}(x) \rangle \\ &= \binom{n}{k}_q (\lambda E_{n-k,q}(1) - E_{n-k,q}), \end{aligned}$$

where $E_{n,q} := E_{n,q}(0)$ are called q -Euler numbers satisfying the following property

$$E_{n,q}(1) + E_{n,q} = [2]_q \delta_{0,n} \quad (2.14)$$

with the conditions $E_{0,q} = 1$ and $E_{1,q} = -\frac{1}{2}$. By (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n,q}(x) &= b_{n,q} B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) + b_{n-1,q} B_{n-1,q}^*(x, \lambda) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} b_{k,q} B_{k,q}^*(x, \lambda) \\ &= (\lambda q - 1) B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) + [n]_q \left(\frac{\lambda + 1}{2} \right) B_{n-1,q}^*(x, \lambda) \\ &\quad - (\lambda + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k}_q E_{n-k,q} B_{k,q}^*(x, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall from (1.2) that Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials of order r are given by the following generating function, for $y = 0$ (see [8]):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \left(\frac{t}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} \right)^r e_q(xt),$$

where $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If t approaches to 0 on the above, it yields to $B_{0,q}^{(\alpha)}(x, \lambda) = 0$, which means that the generating function of $B_{n,q}^{(\alpha)}(x, \lambda)$ is not invertible. So, we need to modify slightly Eq. (2.1), as follows

$$\tilde{F}_q^{(r)}(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} \right)^r e_q(xt). \quad (2.15)$$

The polynomials $\tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda)$ may be called as modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials of higher order.

Notice that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{F}_q^{(r)}(x, t) = \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda - 1} \right)^r \neq 0 \quad (\lambda \neq 1),$$

which implies an invertible for generating function of modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli polynomials of higher order. In the case $x = 0$, $\tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(0, \lambda) := \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(\lambda)$ may be called the modified Apostol type q -Bernoulli numbers.

Let

$$g^r(t, \lambda) = (\lambda e_q(t) - 1)^r.$$

It is clear that $g^r(t, \lambda)$ is an invertible series. It follows from (2.15) that $\tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda)$ is q -Appell for $(\lambda e_q(t) - 1)^r$. So, by (1.12), we have

$$\tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{g^r(t, \lambda)} x^n,$$

and

$$t \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) = [n]_q \tilde{B}_{n-1,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda).$$

Thus, we have

$$\tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) \sim ((\lambda e_q(t) - 1)^r, t).$$

By (1.5) and (2.15), we get

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{1}{(\lambda e_q(t) - 1)^r} e_q(yt) \right) | x^n \right\rangle = \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(y, \lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l}_q \tilde{B}_{n-l,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) y^l. \quad (2.16)$$

Here we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} \right)^r | x^n \right\rangle &= \left\langle \frac{1}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} \times \cdots \times \frac{1}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} | x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_r = n} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q B_{i_1,q}^*(\lambda) \cdots B_{i_r,q}^*(\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

By using (2.16), we have

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{1}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} \right)^r | x^n \right\rangle = \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(\lambda). \quad (2.18)$$

Therefore, by (2.17) and (2.18), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let n be nonnegative integer. Then we have*

$$\tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_r = n} \binom{n}{i_1, \dots, i_r}_q \prod_{j=1}^r B_{i_j,q}^*(\lambda).$$

Set

$$q(x) = \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{P}_n.$$

Then, by Theorem 3, we write

$$\tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q} B_{k,q}^*(x, \lambda), \quad (2.19)$$

where the coefficient $b_{k,q}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q} &= \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \langle (\lambda e_q(t) - 1) t^k | q(x) \rangle \\ &= \binom{n}{k}_q \langle (\lambda e_q(t) - 1) | \tilde{B}_{n-k,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) \rangle \\ &= \binom{n}{k}_q \left(\lambda \tilde{B}_{n-k,q}^{(r)}(1, \lambda) - \tilde{B}_{n-k,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

From the Eq. (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\lambda \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(1, \lambda) - \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) \right) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} &= \left(\frac{1}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} \right)^r (\lambda e_q(t) - 1) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\lambda e_q(t) - 1} \right)^{r-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r-1)}(\lambda) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the coefficients $\frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}$ in the above equation, we get

$$\lambda \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(1, \lambda) - \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(\lambda) = \tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r-1)}(\lambda). \quad (2.21)$$

From the Eqs. (2.19), (2.20) and (2.21), we get the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then*

$$\tilde{B}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q \tilde{B}_{n-k,q}^{(r-1)}(\lambda) B_{k,q}^*(x, \lambda).$$

Let us assume that

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r \tilde{B}_{k,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{P}_n. \quad (2.22)$$

We use a similar method in order to find the coefficient $b_{k,q}^r$ as same as Theorem 3. So we omit the details and give the following equality:

$$b_{k,q}^r = \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l}_q \lambda^l (-1)^{r-l} \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \frac{1}{[m]_q!} q^{(m+k)}(0).$$

By (2.22) and coefficient $b_{k,q}^r$, we state the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let*

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r \tilde{B}_{k,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{P}_n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k,q}^r &= \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \langle (\lambda e_q(t) - 1) t^k | q(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{r}{l}_q \lambda^l (-1)^{r-l} \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_l = m} \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \frac{1}{[m]_q!} q^{(m+k)}(0), \end{aligned}$$

where $q^{(k)}(x) = D_q^k q(x)$.

Let us consider $q(x) = B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) \in \mathbb{P}_n$. Then, by Theorem 6, we have

$$B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{k,q}^r \tilde{B}_{k,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda). \quad (2.23)$$

From Theorem 6 and (2.23), we acquire the following theorem.

Theorem 7. For $n, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the following equality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,q}^*(x, \lambda) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n-k} \sum_{l=0}^r \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_l=m} (-1)^{r-l} \lambda^l \binom{r}{l}_q \binom{m}{i_1, \dots, i_l}_q \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \binom{m+k}{m}_q \binom{n}{m+k}_q B_{n-m-k,q}^*(\lambda) \right) \tilde{B}_{k,q}^{(r)}(x, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Araci, S., Acikgoz, M., Diagana, T., Srivastava, H.M., A novel approach for obtaining new identities for the λ extension of q -Euler polynomials arising from the q -umbral calculus, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **10** (2017), 1316-1325.
- [2] Choi, J., Anderson, P.J., Srivastava, H.M., Some extensions of the Apostol-Bernoulli and the Apostol-Euler polynomials of order and the multiple Hurwitz zeta functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **199** (2008), 723-737.
- [3] Kac, V., Cheung, P., *Quantum Calculus*, Springer (2002).
- [4] Kim D.S., Kim, T., q -Bernoulli polynomials and q -umbral calculus, *Sci. China Math.*, **57** (2014), 1867-1874.
- [5] Kim D.S., Kim, T., Some identities of q -Euler polynomials arising from q -umbral calculus, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2014**:1, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2014-1>.
- [6] Kim D.S., Kim, T., Umbral calculus associated with Bernoulli polynomials, *J. Number Theory*, **147** (2015), 871-882.
- [7] Kim, T., Mansour, T., Rim, S.-H., Lee, S.-H., Apostol-Euler polynomials arising from umbral calculus, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, **2013**:301, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1847-2013-301>.
- [8] Kurt, B., A Note on the Apostol Type q -Frobenius-Euler Polynomials and Generalizations of the Srivastava-Pintér Addition Theorems, *Filomat*, **30**:1 (2016), 65-72.
- [9] Kurt, B., and Simsek, Y., On the generalized Apostol type Frobenius-Euler polynomials, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, **2013**:1, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1847-2013-1>.
- [10] Kupershmidt, B.O., Reflection symmetries of q -Bernoulli polynomials, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, **2005**, 12: 412-422.
- [11] Luo, Q.-M., Srivastava H. M., Some relationships between the Apostol-Bernoulli and Apostol-Euler polynomials, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **51** (2006), 631-642.
- [12] Mahmudov, N.I., On a class of q -Bernoulli and q -Euler polynomials, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, **2013**:108, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1847-2013-108>.
- [13] Mahmudov, N.I., Keleshteri, M.E., On a class of generalized q -Bernoulli and q -Euler polynomials, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, **2013**:115, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1847-2013-115>.
- [14] Roman, S., More on the umbral calculus, with emphasis on the q -umbral calculus, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **107** (1985), 222-254.
- [15] Srivastava, H.M., Some generalization and basic (or q -) extensions of the Bernoulli, Euler and Genocchi polynomials, *Appl. Math. Inform. Sci.*, **5** (2011), 390-444.