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Abstract: Oil revenues and external debt might have stimulated economic growth in the oil exporting countries via investment in capital projects. The paper estimated economic growth on oil revenues and external debt after controlling public investment and population growth over the period 1970-2015. Following the confirmation of the order of integration, our analysis is based on autoregressive distributed lag bound testing to cointegration approach. The key findings are that oil revenues and public investment contributes to Nigeria’s economic growth. However, our findings also indicate that external debt and population growth retards growth. The study suggests that minimizing fiscal deficits and unnecessarily foreign loans by creating tax avenues through the development of the non-oil sectors would reduce the dependency syndrome on a single commodity (oil) in Nigeria.
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1. Introduction

Among the oil exporting countries of Africa, Nigeria has substantial crude oil reserves estimated about 37.1 billion barrels, and being the largest oil exporter in the continent. This has made Nigeria to be the ninth largest proven crude oil reserves in the World after Venezuela, Saudi Arabia, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, United Arab Emirate, Russia and Libya (Organisation Petroleum Exporting Countries, 2016).

In the early 1970s, following the World oil price shocks and the increase in oil production, Nigeria seemed to be on track of prosperity. Oil windfalls allowed for huge investment plans, and rapidly increasing government expenditures (Kuijs, 1988). By 1979, Nigeria became a middle income country, following an increase in oil revenues to USD26 billion (53% of GDP) and a GDP per capita of USD1, 480. The collapse in the oil prices in the late 1970s made Nigeria vulnerable to macroeconomic shocks as how reliance the economy had become since oil revenues account about 70 percent of the government revenues. This causes mounting pressure on the Nigerias fiscal budget, especially in a period of population explosion, rapid increase in external debt and poor governance (Kuijs, 1988; Okonjo-Iweala and Osafo-Kwaako, 2007). However, to fill up the oil revenues shortfalls, Nigeria had to obtain different categories of loans ranging from short, medium to long term from foreign export credit institutions such as World Bank, International Monetary Fund among others. From USD8.6 billion in 1980, the total external debt stock rose to USD36.6 billion in 2004 and thereafter, declined to USD3.7 billion as of 2006, largely due to debt relief of US$18 billion from the Paris club creditors. Of a sudden, the external debt increased to US$10.7 billion in 2015 (Debt Management Office, 2016). In any case, the huge influx of oil revenues and external borrowing over the years have not shown any remarkable improvement as the country’s investments in both physical and social infrastructure are yet to exploit the advantages of the enormous incomes generated for long term economic growth. Many of the country’s indicators remained very low. For example, available evidence indicates poor infrastructure development to support the growth process. Hence, life expectancy is low and only less than half of the population is able to have access to social services such as education and health. Furthermore, over 60 percent of the population are living on less than USD1 per day (United Nation Development Programm, 2013). In terms of GDP per capita growth rate, 1.3, 2.7 and 3.5 percent in 1980, 2000, and 2014 are far below the value of 22.2% in 1970 (World Bank, 2015). With this in place, reveals that the bulk of incomes over the years have not add value to the country’s development indices.
The objective of this study is to explore the dynamic effect of oil revenues and external debt on economic growth in Nigeria. This study is persuaded by the fact that Nigeria’s economic growth has been driven by oil revenues and probably to be the mainstay for a long time, as currently constitutes a noteworthy portion of over 90 per cent of foreign exchange earnings and about 83 per cent of government revenues (Nwaozuzu, 2016). In recent years, Nigeria confronted a challenging macroeconomic crisis. Oil revenues declined, leading to startling fall in budgetary incomes from oil exports. In spite of a low benchmark oil price, oil revenues to the country in 2015 were 3.03% lower than anticipated. The nation lost more than $10 billion in external reserves and depleted its excess crude account. As a result, government were constrained to cut consumptions in the light of revenue shortages and seeks for alternative through external borrowing in order to provide fiscal stimulus for the nations budget.

The contribution of this paper is threefold: First, in the light of the review of the existing literature, this is the first attempt to incorporate external debt within the oil-growth framework in the context of Nigeria, and I do not know any empirical study that deals with this variables simultaneously at present. Second, the study nulls over the structural breaks that may occur in the series trend by employing innovational outlier (IO) test (Perron, 1997), and may enhance the robustness of the unit root tests. Third, in order to overcome small sample bias, I employ ARDL bounds testing to cointegrating approach developed by Pesaran et al., (2001) to 45 annual observations spanning from 1970 to 2015.

Following the introduction, section 2 presents literature review, and model, data and econometric methodology reported in section 3. Section 4 presents the empirical results and discussion while section 6 concludes the paper with policy implications.

2. Literature

2.1 Theory

The poor management of proceeds from resource exports in the majority of resource abundant countries is ascribed by “Dutch Disease hypothesis” – a circumstance when a country experience a natural resource boom leading to a sudden influx of foreign exchange earnings and results to real exchange rate appreciation, which undermine the competitiveness of the tradable sector (see Corden and Neary, 1982; Corden, 1984; Neary and van Wijnbergen, 1986). In a similar perception, Krugman (1987) viewed resource boom as a disease when it becomes difficult for the resource abundant country to revive the lagging sectors following the real exchange rate appreciation, this lower the competitiveness of the tradable sectors. Thus, in the long run, the country is at the risk of de-industrialisation, which is a curse to the country since the lagging sectors are characterized by learning by doing. Sachs and Warner (1995, 2001) and Auty (2007) pointed out that resource rich country generates a colossal amount of revenues from an exports of natural resources, however, failed to move the economy forward contrasted with non-resource abundant countries and results to political instability. Since the resource poor countries focus on wealth creation while resource abundant countries are centred on rent seeking for. Be that as it may, re-redistribution of rents among political agents makes these countries rely on primary exports and undermine the growth potentials.

Nevertheless, it is also perceived that the global oil shocks at different point in time has been the major factor leading to external debt burdens in oil rich countries. Infact, the debt overhang theory

---

1 The twin oil shocks of 1973 and 1974 increased the crude oil price more than thrice. It later declined slightly between 1975 and 1978, it picked up again from 1979 to 80. However, the 1979 and 1980 oil price windfalls were eroded within the period between 1981 and 1985, as price collapsed by almost 40 percent. By 1986, the price also declined by more than 50 percent. Within the past five years, the real oil price had fallen from more than a five times multiple of its 1970 value to less than a two times multiple (Gately, 1986).
developed by Krugman (1988) and Sachs (1990) viewed that increasing external debt stock have serious negative effects on per capita GDP growth. Reinhart and Rogoff (2010) pointed out that countries characterised by high debt burden are likely to experience economic stagnation due to debt service payments which crowd out domestic investment and affects growth negatively. Pattillo et al., (2011) argued that a country’s ability for debt repayment rely on the government fiscal effectiveness to absorb the burden of expenditures. If the source of income (tax base) is insufficient to repay the debt, then the ability for debt repayment is bounded.

2.2 Relationship between Oil revenue and Economic growth

From the empirical point of view, a plethora of studies have investigated the relationship between oil revenues and economic growth using distinct data measurement, time frame and methodologies. For instance, Adedokun (2012) examined the effect of oil export revenues on output growth in Nigeria using error correction model, and found a positive association between oil revenues and output growth. In a related study, Evans (2013) investigated the relationship between the Nigeria’s petroleum industry and per capita income, the result indicates a significant contribution of the Nigerian petroleum industry on per capita income. Using descriptive analysis, Asekunowo and Olaifa (2012) are interested on why resource rich countries such as Botswana, Norway and Chile having a diversified economies while Nigeria failed to improve its economic performance. The study concluded that Nigeria may be lagging behind resulting from institutional challenges, excessive spending at the expense of foreign borrowing despite the oil revenues, and some elements of fractionalization, which is another cause of resource curse. Mideksa (2013) found a positive correlation between oil and gas and per capita GDP for Norwegian economy. It was estimated that 20 percent of the increase in per capita GDP was influenced by income from oil and gas export revenues. In a similar study, Mehrara et al., (2010) examined the non-linear relationship between oil revenues and economic growth for Iran. They found that the response of economic growth to oil revenues in low regimes were improved than in high regimes of oil revenues. The threshold of oil revenues in Iran is around 37 per cent. An increase in oil revenues above the threshold level would result to an adverse effect on the economic growth. What is more, the impact of capital stock on economic growth in low oil revenues is additionally a great deal more than that in high oil revenues. These results affirm the resource curse, higher rent-seeking and lower productivity hypothesis, particularly amid boom periods for oil revenues. Garzak et al., (2012) investigated the relationship between oil export revenues and government expenditure for the Iranian economy using a wavelet approach over the period 1996 through 2007. They found a highly significant positive relationship between oil revenue and growth. In the kingdom of Bahrain, Hamdi and Sabia (2013) applied vector error correction model (VECM) to study the relationship between oil revenue, government expenditure and economic growth. They discovered response of oil revenue to economic growth was positive and significant, which indicates that oil revenues have been the major source for government spending to enhance growth. Lorde et al., (2009) found oil has been the main determinant of economic activity in Trinidad and Tobago. Brunnschweiler (2009) concluded with a positive and highly significant effect of oil on economic development in transition economies of the Former Soviet Union (FSU) and Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) between 1990 and 2006. Using the OLS method, Hassan and Abdullahl (2015) investigated the impact of oil revenue and the service GDP of Sudan, found a positive effect of oil revenue on service GDP. A comparative study between Mexico and Indonesia on policy options implemented during the oil boom era, Usui (1997) observed that Indonesia implemented good macroeconomic policy framework, which surpass the occurrence of Dutch disease, while Mexico was unable to utilize the opportunity of the oil boom, which exerted a negative effect on growth.

2.3 Relationship between External debt and Economic growth
Likewise, studies on external debt and economic growth relation has received great attention among researchers and policy makers since the global debt crisis in 1982. Yet, there has been no consensus on the exact effect of external debt on growth. Some findings reveals a negative of external debt on growth, while others found a positive relations. For instance, in a recent study over the period 1970 to 2013, Adamu and Rasiah (2016) found a negative effect of external debt on growth in Nigeria. Using ARDL bound test, Dauda et al., (2013) examined the impact of external debt on growth in Malaysia, and reported that accumulation of external debt in Malaysia is associated with an increase in economic growth up to an optimal level, and further increase of external debt beyond the threshold level affects growth negatively. For Malawi, Tchereni et al., (2013) investigated foreign debt on economic growth relation from 1975 through 2003. The results indicates a negative and insignificant effect of external debt on growth. Adegbite et al., (2008) used ordinary least squares and generalised least squares method to examine the relationship between external debt and growth in Nigeria from 1975 to 2005. They found a negative and significant effect of external debt and debt service on economic growth. Albeit, at the initial stage the external debt has affected growth positively, but after the subsequent years it became undesirable. Similarly, Ayadi and Ayadi (2008) conducted an empirical study on external debt and growth relation in Nigeria and South Africa. They found a significant as well as negative effect of external debt on growth in both countries. Unlike Nigeria, South Africa adheres strictly to the use loans, which reduces the magnitude of the effects on growth.

Contrary to the above findings, Amin and Audu (2006) used annual time series data and confirmed that external debt influences economic growth in Nigeria while debt service payments crowd out investment and growth. Frimpong and Oteng-Abayie (2006) used the VECM approach from 1979 to 1999 in Ghana. The study reveals a positive and significant correlation between external debt and economic growth. This suggests that external debt influenced output growth following the country’s ability to utilise the available resources for poverty reduction and investment in basic infrastructure development projects. Bakar and Hassan (2008) also found external debt had a positive and statistically significant relation with growth in Malaysia over the period 1970-2005. The study concluded that Malaysia no longer experience debt overhang.

3. Model, Data and Econometric methodology

3.1 Model and Data
The empirical model for the long run relationship to assess the Nigerian economy is specified as follows:

\[
\ln PCY_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln OLR_t + \alpha_2 \ln EDY_t + \alpha_3 \ln PUB_t + \alpha_4 PGR + \mu_t
\] (1)

Where \( PCY_t \) is per capita GDP, \( OLR_t \) is the oil revenue (proxied by oil rent), \( EDY_t \) is the external debt, \( PUB_t \) is public investment (as proxy for gross fixed capital formation), and \( PGR_t \) is population growth. Accordingly, \( \alpha_0 - \alpha_4 \) are the parameters to be estimated, \( t \) and \( \mu \) are the time period and the error term while \( \ln \) indicates variables in logarithmic form. Except per capita GDP and population growth, all variables are in percentage of GDP. All data were collected from two different databases. Per capita GDP, oil revenue, external debt and population growth are collected from World Development Indicators, World Bank while data on public investment were obtained from the World Macroeconomic Research.

The variables included in Eq. (1) are documented and justified in economic literature. For example, oil revenues have been the major source of income to the Nigerian economy. An increase in oil revenues directly affects the government expenditure, and stimulate growth. On the other hand, a fall in the oil price imply decline in oil revenues and may reduces government expenditure and slower growth. Therefore, the coefficient is expected to be positive. Similarly, excessive foreign borrowing during the oil
shocks has also been identified as another factor for poor economic performance. For example, during the oil boom Nigeria enjoyed credit rating in the International Capital Market (ICM), which allow the country to borrow heavily to increased expenditure on development projects with anticipation of continued oil export revenues. As a result much external debt were accumulated much debt (Asekunowo and Olaia, 2012, Adamu and Rajah, 2016). While high oil revenues allowed governments of the oil exporting countries to increase their budget on capital projects by providing infrastructure and public services (Adamu, 2016; Mashayekhi, 1998), therefore, the coefficient of public investment is expected to be positive. Lastly, the effect of population growth is indeterminate as increase in population can provide human capital to stimulate economic growth. Conversely, high population growth can divert resources from capital investment to social services and lower growth (Feder, 1982; Mankiw et al., 1992).

3.3 Unit root test

The study employs two conventional unit root tests – Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) (Dickey and Fuller, 1981) and Phillips and Perron (PP) tests (Phillips and Perron, 1988). The results presented in Table 1 will provide a guide for choosing an appropriate methodology. From Table 1, it is clearly shown that per capita GDP (PCY), external debt (EDY) and public investment (PUB) are not stationary at level, i.e. $I(0)$, however, after the first difference they become stationary i.e. $I(1)$. While oil revenue (OLR) and population growth (PGR) are stationary at level, i.e. $I(0)$. Therefore, all the variables exhibit a mixed order of integration.

Moreover, For many decades, Nigerian economy has been subjected to economic reforms following changes in governments leading to structural changes in macroeconomic variables. In this case, the ADF and PP tests are deficient in providing information on break point. In addition, both tests are susceptible to loss of power in small samples, especially when errors are serially correlated (Masih and Masih 2001; Kapetanious et al., 2000). To overcome these problems, I employ test for structural break using innovation outlier (Perron, 1997), in the following form:

$$y_t = \mu + \psi DU_t + \lambda t + \phi D(T_b)_t + \kappa y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_j \Delta y_{t-j} + \vartheta_t,$$

Where $y_t$ is the variable of interest, $DU_t$ is the dummy variable representing the mean shift at a particular break point, thus, $DU_t(\varphi) = 1$ if $t > T\varphi$, otherwise $DU_t(\kappa) = 0$, $\kappa = TB$ the break points and exact periods when the structural break occurred and $\Delta$ is the difference operator. The results are reported in the third column of Table 1. All the variables order of integration conformed with ADF and PP tests. This confirmed the robustness of the unit root test with one period point of structural break for each individual series.

The structural breaks period disclosed by Perron (1997) unit root test (see, Table 1), indicates a significant changes in the Nigerian economy. For example, in 2001, Nigeria’s per capita GDP picked up from US$350 to US$457 in 2002, which bring about changes in output growth and general welfare. The year 1988 coincides with the period when oil prices declined by more than half, which compelled the country to increase its total debt stock in order to fill up the oil revenue gap. Likewise, between 2005 and 2006, Nigeria witnessed a negotiation with Paris club of creditors on debt relief in which more than 80% of the Nigeria’s external debt was forgiven under the Highly Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) and Multilateral Debt Relief (MDR) initiatives. As a result, the Nigeria’s external debt reduced drastically from US$36.6 billion in 2004 to US$3.7 billion as at 2006 (Adamu and Rajah, 2016). The years of 1986 and 1988 are the pick periods of economic reform of fiscal and structural imbalances, declining foreign exchange following the fall in the oil prices and balance of payment leading to a decline in investment.
The combination of I(0) and I(1) orders of integration, this allows us to adopt ARDL bound testing to cointegration approach because of the following advantages: (i) it is appropriate irrespective of whether the underlying series are integrated of order I(1) or I(0) or mixed (Pesaran et al., 2001). (ii) Unlike Engle and Granger (1987) and Johansen and Juselius (1990) that consider variables of the same order of integration, ARDL technique does that, expect all variables are integrated of different order but not higher than I(1). (iii) it accommodates small samples, particularly between 30 to 80 observations (Narayan, 2005).

To compute the ARDL bound testing to cointegration approach requires three stages: First, the long run cointegrating relationship has to be computed using the Wald test (F-test) to decide the joint significance of the coefficients of the lagged levels of the variables in the unrestricted error correction model. The null hypothesis is that the coefficients of the lagged variables are equal to zero, which suggests the none existence of cointegrating relation: \( H_0: \delta_{1i} = \delta_{2i} = \delta_{3i} = \delta_{4i} = \delta_{5i} = 0 \), while the alternative hypothesis imply at least one of the coefficients is different from zero: \( H_A: \delta_{1i} \neq \delta_{2i} \neq \delta_{3i} \neq \delta_{4i} \neq \delta_{5i} \neq 0 \), for \( i = 1,2,3,4,5 \). Second, the computed Wald value (F-test) of the ARDL model is compared with the critical values of Pesaran et al., (2001) or Narayan (2005). The decision is that if the computed \( F \) value from the ARDL model is greater than upper bound critical value, the null hypothesis of no long run relationship is rejected and concluded there exists a cointegrating relation, and contrary to that, indicates the null hypothesis is not rejected and concludes the cointegrating relation does not exist. In case where the \( F \) value falls in between the upper and lower bound critical values the result is inconclusive. Third, aspect requires the estimation of the long run and error correction representation simultaneously. In doing so, I construct an unrestricted error correction model (UECM) corresponding to Equation (6), which give us the following specification.

\[
\Delta \ln PCY_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \ln PCY_{t-1} + \alpha_2 \ln OLR_{t-1} + \alpha_3 \ln EDY_{t-1} + \alpha_4 \ln PUB_{t-1} + \alpha_5 \ln PGR_{t-1} \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{1i} \Delta \ln PCY_{t-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_{2i} \Delta \ln OLR_{t-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_{3i} \Delta \ln EDY_{t-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_{4i} PUB_{t-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_{5i} PGR_{t-1} + \mu_t \tag{7}
\]

All variables are defined earlier. \( \Delta \) is the first difference operator and \( k \) represents the lag length, respectively.

Notes: “**” and “*” indicate 1% and 5% level of significance. Constant and the trend are included in the test while the break point is in parenthesis indicated by \( T_b \). ADF, PP and P stand for Augmented Dickey Fuller, Phillips-Perron and Perron respectively.

### Table 1- Unit root test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ADF At Level</th>
<th>PP</th>
<th>P (Tb) At Level</th>
<th>ADF At first difference</th>
<th>PP</th>
<th>P (Tb) At first difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>InPCY:</td>
<td>-0.917</td>
<td>-1.228</td>
<td>-4.004 (1991)</td>
<td>-5.704***</td>
<td>-5.818***</td>
<td>-5.425 (2002)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 The critical values provided by Narayan (2005) are more preferred over Pesaran et al., (2001) as the former accommodate a sample size of 30-80 as compared to 500-1000 observations of the latter.
4. Empirical Results

I begin the empirical analysis by examining the order of integration of the variables. The results of the integration tests using Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF), Phillips-Perron (PP) and the Perron, which justify the appropriateness of the ARDL bound test to cointegrating approach are reported in Table 1. Now, the next is to determine the long run relationship among the variables. Prior to that, an optimum lag length must be selected, however, optimum lag lengths of three have been chosen based on Akhaie Information Criteria (AIC). The results of the ARDL cointegration test is reported in Table 2. The result clearly show that the computed $F$-statistics of 11.345 is greater than the upper bound value at 1 percent level of significance in both Pesaran et al., (2001) and Narayan (2005) critical values. This confirms the existence of the long run cointegrating relationship among the variables. Furthermore, the diagnostic tests prove that the long run model comply with the OLS assumptions.

Table 2 - ARDL cointegration result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Bound testing to cointegration</th>
<th>Diagnostic tests: Probability values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lag</td>
<td>$F$-statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{C3\in} (PCY, (OLR, EDY, PUB, PGR); k = 5)$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.345***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Asymptotic critical value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LB, I(0)</td>
<td>UB, I(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *** indicate significance at the 1 percent level, respectively. Critical values are taken from Pesaran et al., (2001) and Narayan (2005). LB and UB denote the lower bound and an upper bound value.

Having confirmed the cointegrating relation among the variables, the long run and short run (error correction representation) coefficients are estimated based on ARDL (1, 4, 2, 4, 2) model. The results of the long run is reported in Table 4. It is clearly shown that the coefficient of oil revenue is positive and statistically significant. A one unit change in oil revenues is expected to generate growth by 0.14 percent, at the same time increase public investment by 0.07 percent. This suggests that growth in Nigeria is explained by an increase in oil revenues through an increase in government spending most especially in public capital expenditure. This implies that oil revenues remains the major contributor of the economic growth. One reason for the continued economic growth performance could be the decision by the Nigerian government to diversify the economy through investing the oil revenues in the various sectors of the economy for long run term economic growth. The result confirmed that Nigeria is oil-dependent and any shocks to the oil price in the international market may worsen the Nigers fiscal systems. This result is consistent with those found by Evans (2013), Mideksa (2013), Adedokun (2012), Hamdi and Sabia (2013) among others, but contradict the findings of Iwayemi and Fowewe (2011) who revealed a negative correlation between oil growth and Nigeria. The coefficient of external debt is negative, but has no significant impact on growth in the long run, though it remains a threat to growth. population growth is also negative and statistically significant. Thus, a one unit change in population growth would reduce growth by 3.59 percent. This suggests that population growth reduces income and jeopardizes the ability of the country to increase expenditure on development project. Since greater percentage of income is spend on consumption and social expenditure thereby undermining the potential for long term economic growth. This in line with views of Feder (1982) and Mankiw et al., (1991).
Turning into the results of the short run coefficients reported in Table 4. All the coefficients are significant, indicating their influence on growth. Like in the long run, the coefficients of oil revenue and public investment are positive and statistically significant in the third year lag. A one unit change in oil revenues and public investment increase growth by 0.03 and 0.08 percent. The coefficient of external debt is negative and statistically significant in the first year lag. A one unit change in external debt will reduce growth by 0.03 percent. This implies that the external loans obtained were either embezzled or diverted through corrupt deals that did not add value to the nation’s investment to generate adequate income for debt repayment, and affects growth negatively. This coincides with the works of Adamu and Rajah (2016), Adegbite et al., (2008) and Tchereni et al., (2013), but contradict the conclusions of Amin and Audu (2006) and Frimpong and Oteng-Abayie (2006), respectively. Similarly, population growth is negative and statistically significant in the first year lag. A one unit change in population growth will reduce growth by 7.41 percent. The one period lagged of error correction term (ect(-1)) of -0.617 is statistically significant as expected, proving that the feed back mechanism to long run equilibrium after shock is corrected approximately by 62 percent in the subsequent year.

The empirical analysis is extended by checking the consistency and reliability of the short run dynamic model using several sensitivity tests. The results are reported in Table 5. It is confirm that the model fits well against serial correlation, heteroskedasticity, non-normal, autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (ARCH) and Ramsey RESET test of functional form mis-specification. This suggests that the model possesses all the required econometric properties, therefore, it is valid to explain the variables relation.
The result further reveals that the critical bound at the 5 percent significance level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Null hypothesis</th>
<th>Statistics [p-value]</th>
<th>Inference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$Serial correlation (2)</td>
<td>$H_0$: No serial correlation</td>
<td>0.254 [0.776]</td>
<td>Do not reject $H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$Normal</td>
<td>$H_0$: Normality of $\mu$</td>
<td>1.732 [0.293]</td>
<td>Do not reject $H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$ARCH (1)</td>
<td>$H_0$: Homoskedasticity</td>
<td>0.065 [0.798]</td>
<td>Do not reject $H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$RESET (1)</td>
<td>$H_0$: Model correctly fitted</td>
<td>0.515 [0.477]</td>
<td>Do not reject $H_0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I also conducted structural stability test using cumulative sum (CUSUM) and the cumulative sum of square residuals (CUSUMSQ) tests (Brown et al., 1975). The results are shown in Figure 1, which indicates that the respective bands lie within the 5 percent confidence interval. This suggests that the classical assumptions are not violated by the residuals.

Figure 1 - Residual plots for CUSUM and CUSUMSQ
Note: The straight lines within the upper and lower bounds represent the critical bound at the 5 percent significance level.

5. Conclusion

The paper examines the impact of oil revenues and external debt on the Nigerian economy, making use of autoregressive distributed lag testing to cointegrating approach based on 45 annual observations spanning from 1970 to 2015. From the empirical estimation, I found oil revenues and public investment promotes economic growth. The result further reveals that external debt and population growth are negative, which implies that they retard growth. Therefore, the empirical results presented in this paper suggest that oil revenues has been the source of the Nigerian economic growth from which national savings is generated for investment. At the same time, the negative effect of external debt indicates poor management of loans. As a result, debt servicing obligations increases and crowd out resources that are mean for investment in productive sectors of the economy. This results confirms that even the 2005 debt relief from the Paris club of creditors to the Nigerian government does not change the adverse effects of external debt on growth. The policy implications that could be drawn from the findings includes government efforts to adhere to efficient utilization of the oil proceeds through savings and investment in the case of falling oil prices. Second, revitalizing the non-oil or lagging sectors could augment revenues and mitigate the tendencies for further external loans and overcome the Dutch disease syndrome. Third, if condition warrant for external borrowing, however, emphasis should be given to expenditure on development projects rather than raising recurrent expenditures, in doing so, adequate supervision has to be taken to ensure effective implementation of the capital projects to ensure adequate rate of return for loan repayment.
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