

1 *Type of the Paper (Article)*

## 2 **Association between Malnutrition and Hospital** 3 **Mortality and Duration of Intensive Care Unit** 4 **Admission in the Critically Ill: A Prospective** 5 **Cohort Study**

6 **Charles Chin Han Lew** <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, **Gabriel Jun Yung Wong** <sup>2</sup>, **Ka Po Cheung** <sup>2</sup>, **Ai Ping Chua** <sup>3</sup>,  
7 **Mary Foong Fong Chong** <sup>4</sup> and **Michelle Miller** <sup>1</sup>

8 <sup>1</sup> Discipline of Nutrition and Dietetics, Flinders University (Australia); charles\_lew@juronghealth.com.sg,  
9 michelle.miller@flinders.edu.au

10 <sup>2</sup> Dietetics and Nutrition Department, Ng Teng Fong General Hospital (Singapore);  
11 charles\_lew@juronghealth.com.sg, gabriel\_wong@juronghealth.com.sg, kpcheungapril@gmail.com

12 <sup>3</sup> Department of Respiratory Medicine, Ng Teng Fong General Hospital (Singapore);  
13 ai\_ping\_chua@juronghealth.com.sg

14 <sup>4</sup> Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore (Singapore);  
15 mary\_chong@nus.edu.sg

16  
17 \* Correspondence: charles\_lew@nuhs.edu.sg; Tel.: +65 67165626

18 **Abstract:** There is limited evidence for the association between malnutrition and hospital mortality  
19 as well as Intensive Care Unit length-of-stay (ICU-LOS) in critically ill patients. We aimed to  
20 examine the aforementioned associations by conducting a prospective cohort study in an ICU of a  
21 Singapore tertiary hospital. Between August 2015 and October 2016, all adult patients with  $\geq 24$  h of  
22 ICU-LOS were included. The 7-point Subjective Global Assessment (7-point SGA) was used to  
23 determine patients' nutritional status within 48 h of ICU admission. Multivariate analyses were  
24 conducted in two ways: 1) presence versus absence of malnutrition, and 2) dose-dependent  
25 association for each 1-point decrease in the 7-point SGA. There were 439 patients of which 28.0%  
26 were malnourished, and 29.6% died before hospital discharge. Malnutrition was associated with an  
27 increased risk of hospital mortality [adjusted-RR 1.39 (95%CI: 1.10–1.76)], and this risk increased  
28 with a greater degree of malnutrition [adjusted-RR 1.09 (95%CI: 1.01–1.18) for each 1-point  
29 decrease in the 7-point SGA]. No significant association was found between malnutrition and  
30 ICU-LOS. Conclusion: There was a clear association between malnutrition and higher hospital  
31 mortality in critically ill patients. The association between malnutrition and ICU-LOS could not be  
32 replicated and hence requires further evaluation.

33 **Keywords:** malnutrition; nutritional assessment; hospital mortality; length of stay; critical illness  
34  
35

---

## 36 1. Introduction

37

38 Malnutrition within the critical care setting is a global issue where prevalence in developing  
39 and developed countries can be as high as 78.1% and 50.8% respectively [1]. Studies linking  
40 malnutrition and worsened clinical outcomes in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) are conflicting  
41 because the diagnoses of malnutrition were often inappropriate [2-4]. For instance, serum albumin is  
42 frequently used to classify nutritional status. However in an acute care setting, albumin level is  
43 affected by various factors such as disease severity and hence is not a valid indicator of nutritional  
44 status [5]. Therefore [Lew, et al. \[1\]](#) recently conducted a systematic review that only included studies  
45 that used well-validated nutrition screening tools [e.g. Nutritional Risk Screening-2002 [6],  
46 Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool[7]], and assessment tools {e.g. Subjective Global Assessment  
47 (SGA) [8] and Mini Nutritional Assessment [9]}. The systematic review demonstrated that nutrition  
48 risk determined by nutrition screening tools showed inconsistent association with clinical outcomes  
49 [1]. On the contrary, malnutrition diagnosed by the SGA was consistently associated with increased  
50 length of stay in the ICU and a higher risk of hospital mortality. Therefore, the systematic review  
51 recommended the use of the SGA in the critical care setting [1].

52

53 The systematic review also identified possible limitations in the included primary studies such  
54 as small sample sizes (n = 49 to 294) and the lack of blinding of treatment team (i.e. intensivists and  
55 nurses) to the objective of the studies [1]. These limitations reduce the precision of the risk estimates  
56 and introduce treatment bias that weakens the validity of the association between malnutrition and  
57 poorer clinical outcomes in the ICU.

58

59 Another evaluation of the primary studies included in the systematic review [1] is the quality of  
60 statistical adjustment as optimal statistical adjustment is essential for a valid quantification of the  
61 association between a particular risk factor and the outcome of interest [10]. The primary studies  
62 used the Acute Physiologic and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) crude score [11] instead  
63 of the predicted mortality risk (PMR) to adjust for mortality risk. This may not be ideal because the  
64 PMR better reflects actual mortality risk by factoring both the admission diagnosis and the APACHE  
65 II crude score in its derivation [11]. Consequently, the APACHE II crude score of patients with  
66 different admission diagnoses can be identical, yet the PMR may differ due to the difference in  
67 mortality associated with the diagnoses [11-13]. For example, patients with congestive heart failure  
68 and an APACHE II score of 23 would have a PMR of 36%. In contrast, the same APACHE II score  
69 would translate to a PMR of 64% in patients with sepsis. Therefore, the PMR may be a more  
70 appropriate covariate for statistical adjustment of mortality risk than the APACHE II crude score.

71

72 Another tool recommended by established guidelines [14] is the Nutrition Risk in Critically Ill  
73 Score (NUTRIC) [15]. The NUTRIC score however does not contain any key nutrition parameters  
74 [16], hence it cannot be used to determine the association between malnutrition and clinical  
75 outcomes of critically ill patients. Furthermore, recent studies showed that the NUTRIC had poor  
76 concordance with the SGA [17,18].

77

78 In response to the systematic review conducted by [Lew, et al. \[1\]](#) which highlighted  
79 considerable limitations in the included studies: small sample size, sub-optimal statistical  
80 adjustment, and the lack of blinding and dose-dependent analysis, this study aimed to overcome  
81 these limitations in an effort to perform a valid determination of the association between  
82 malnutrition and hospital mortality and ICU length-of-stay (ICU-LOS) amongst critically ill patients.

## 83 2. Materials and Methods

84

85 This prospective observational cohort study was conducted in the ICU of Ng Teng Fong  
86 General Hospital (Singapore). Between August 2015 and October 2016, consecutive patients  
87 admitted to the ICU were screened for eligibility. Patients  $\geq 18$  years old who had  $\geq 24$  hours  
88 ICU-LOS were enrolled, and only data from their first ICU admission within the same  
89 hospitalisation were included in the study. The physicians and nurses were blinded to the objective  
90 of the study to reduce the risk of selection and treatment biases. The Domain Specific Review Board  
91 approved this study (NHG DSRB Ref: 2014/00878) and informed consent was not required. This  
92 study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT03213899, and the reporting of this study  
93 followed the TRIPOD statement [19].

94

### 95 Data collection

96

97 The ICU contains 35 beds and functions as a closed unit that provides support to both medical  
98 and surgical patients. The unit also concurrently functions as a High Dependency (HD) Unit as  
99 patients' status can be changed between ICU-status and HD-status within the same ICU-/ HD-bed.  
100 Patients are classified as "ICU-status" when mechanically ventilated and requiring support of two or  
101 more organ systems. They are downgraded to HD-status once they are extubated from mechanical  
102 ventilation. When in HD-status, patients are treated by the same physicians and allied health  
103 professionals. The only difference between ICU- and HD-status is the nursing to patient ratio where  
104 it changes from 1:1 to 1:2.

105

106 The primary outcomes were hospital mortality and ICU-LOS. To measure hospital mortality,  
107 all patients were followed until hospital discharge or death for up to one year after admission to the  
108 ICU to minimize the risk of attrition bias. For ICU-LOS (in days), duration was measured from the  
109 date of the first ICU admission to the date of the first change in ICU-status to HD-status or  
110 discharge to the general ward. To enable robust statistical adjustments, other parameters known to  
111 be covariates for hospital mortality and ICU-LOS [15,20] were also collected (i.e. location, length of  
112 hospitalization, and presence/ absence of vasoactives and cardiopulmonary resuscitation before  
113 ICU admission; APACHE II; PMR derived from the APACHE II and admission diagnosis [11];  
114 Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) [21]; Charlson Comorbidity Index[22]; length of  
115 mechanical ventilation; and ICU and hospital length of stay). All data were prospectively measured  
116 and recorded in the electronic medical records.

117

### 118 Nutrition Assessment

119

120 A variant of the SGA [8] (i.e. 7-point SGA) [23,24] was used not only to determine the  
121 association between malnutrition and hospital mortality and ICU-LOS, but further allow a  
122 dose-dependent analysis. One key advantage for using the 7-point SGA is the detailed response  
123 options that improve the standardisation and objectivity in the classification of nutritional status  
124 [24]. Similar to the conventional SGA, the 7-point SGA classifies nutritional status into three major  
125 categories (i.e. well-nourished, mildly-moderately malnourished, severely malnourished).  
126 Specifically, patients with SGA-A7 and SGA-A6 are well-nourished; SGA-B5, SGA-B4 and SGA-B3  
127 are mildly-moderately malnourished; and SGA-C2 and SGA-C1 are severely malnourished. Each  
128 1-point decrease reflects a greater degree of malnutrition, and this increased resolution allowed the  
129 association between malnutrition and hospital mortality to be analysed in a dose-dependent  
130 manner.

131

132 As part of routine care, one of the three experienced ICU dietitians performed the 7-point SGA  
133 on all patients within 48 hours of admission to the ICU. The agreement between the dietitians was  
134 previously measured in 68 patients, and the weighted kappa was 0.85 (standard error = 0.079,

135 p-value < 0.001), indicating good agreement. Information required for the 7-point SGA was obtained  
136 from either the patients or their main caregivers. In cases where nutritional status cannot be  
137 determined within the first 48 hours (due to inadequate information), data on nutritional status were  
138 considered as “missing”. This was to minimise reverse causality bias as the study aimed to  
139 determine the association between premorbid malnutrition and hospital mortality.

140

#### 141 **Statistical analysis**

142

143 Patient characteristics were reported as mean and standard deviation (continuous variables) or  
144 counts and percentages (categorical variables) and were compared using Student’s t-test or  
145 Chi-square test as appropriate. Medians and inter-quartile range were reported for variables that  
146 deviate from normality, and the Mann-Whitney U-test was used for comparison. The relative risk for  
147 the association between malnutrition (SGA-B5 to SGA-C1) and hospital mortality was quantified  
148 using a modified Cox regression model with robust variance [25]. Collinear variables (i.e. APACHE  
149 II and SOFA) were excluded and backwards elimination of covariates was performed to obtain a  
150 parsimonious model. The dose-dependent relationship between the degree of malnutrition and  
151 hospital mortality was quantified using the same Cox model with the exception of having nutritional  
152 status (SGA-A7 to SGA-C1) analysed as a continuous variable. Since the association between  
153 malnutrition and hospital mortality was previously expressed as odds ratio [26], a multivariate  
154 logistic regression with backwards elimination was performed to generate the odds ratio for the  
155 purpose of comparison. Model fit was assessed by the Hosmer-Lemeshow chi-square  
156 goodness-of-fit test.

157

158 To explore the effects of sub-optimal statistical adjustment, two logistic regression models  
159 were compared. Model A contained commonly used covariates (i.e. age, duration of mechanical  
160 ventilation, APACHE II, and duration of stay in the ICU and hospital), while Model B contained all  
161 the above covariates, but replaced the APACHE II with PMR, and included additional covariates  
162 that are associated with ICU clinical outcomes but were often not adjusted in other studies (i.e. the  
163 presence/ absence of vasoactive drugs and length of hospitalization before ICU admission). The  
164 McFadden’s pseudo-R<sup>2</sup> and Akaike information criterion revealed that Model B performed better in  
165 which the McFadden’s pseudo-R<sup>2</sup> and the Akaike information criterion of Model B were  
166 respectively 8.7% higher (45.1% versus 36.4%) and 42 units lower (311 versus 353) than Model A.  
167 Therefore, Model B was used to generate the adjusted odds ratio of the association between  
168 malnutrition and hospital mortality.

169

170 The association between malnutrition and ICU-LOS was determined by a series of simple  
171 linear regressions and thereafter a multiple linear regression. Only ICU survivors were considered  
172 in the analysis to account for the competing risk of death on ICU-LOS. Statistical analyses were  
173 performed using STATA 14.2 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA) and significance assumed at p  
174 < 0.05.

### 175 3. Results

176

177 There were 502 eligible patients, but 63 were excluded as they lacked 7-point SGA data.  
178 Excluded patients had significantly shorter length of hospitalization (median: 8.0 days versus 14.0  
179 days), less severe comorbidities (median of Charlson morbidity index: 0.0 versus 1.0), and  
180 proportionally less of them were admitted from the general wards (7.9% versus 18.7%). Amongst  
181 the remaining 439 patients (medical: 294, surgical: 145), sepsis (23.9%), respiratory (22.1%),  
182 neurological (22.1%), and cardiovascular (18.5%) conditions were the most common reasons for ICU  
183 admission. The hospital mortality rate was 29.6% (n = 130), and no patients were lost to follow-up.  
184 The longest hospital LOS was 255 days.

185

186 Prevalence of malnutrition was 28% [mildly-moderately malnourished: 25% (SGA-B5: 13.4%,  
187 SGA-B4: 7.3%, SGA-B3: 4.3%), severely malnourished: 3% (SGA-C2: 2.7%, SGA-C1: 0.2%)].  
188 Malnourished patients were significantly older, had lower BMI and higher disease severity as  
189 compared to their well-nourished counterparts (Table 1). In addition, the prevalence of  
190 malnutrition was highest in patients admitted with sepsis (38.1%) and lowest in patients with  
191 neurological conditions (14.4%). Patients with respiratory and cardiovascular conditions had  
192 similar prevalence (24.7% and 28.4% respectively).

193

194 Malnutrition was associated with a 39% increased risk of hospital mortality. The  
195 dose-dependent analysis revealed that each 1-point decrease in the 7-point SGA (indicative of a  
196 greater degree of malnutrition) was associated with a 9% increase in the risk of hospital mortality  
197 (Table 2). The adjusted odds ratio for the association between malnutrition and hospital mortality  
198 was 2.99 (95%CI: 1.57-5.68), and there was no evidence of poor model fit (p-value = 0.11).

199

200 There were 363 patients who survived their ICU admission, and their median ICU-LOS was 2.0  
201 days (IQR: 1.0, 5.0). Simple linear regression did not identify any covariate that was associated with  
202 ICU-LOS (Table 3). Therefore a multilinear regression was not carried out.

203

**Table 1: Comparison of characteristics between well-nourished and malnourished patients, and survivors and non-survivors in the hospital**

Parameters	Well-nourished (n = 316)	Malnourished (n = 123)	p-value	Survivor (n = 309)	Non-survivor (n = 130)	p-value
Age (years)	59.8 (15.7)	65.6 (15.3)	0.001	59.4 (16.0)	66.1 (14.2)	< 0.001
Male	188 [59.5]	69 [56.1]	0.517	184 [59.5]	73 [56.2]	0.510
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	26.2 (5.8)	22.6 (5.8)	<0.001	25.2 (6.0)	25.1 (6.1)	0.845
<b>Location before adm</b>						
ED/ HD/ OT	263 [83.2]	94 [76.4]	0.100	266 [86.1]	91 [70.0]	<0.001
Wards	53 [16.8]	29 [23.6]		43 [13.9]	39 [30.0]	
<b>Type of adm</b>						
No surgery	210 [66.5]	83 [67.5]	0.974	193 [62.5]	100 [76.9]	0.013
Elective surgery	10 [3.2]	4 [3.3]		11 [3.6]	3 [2.3]	
Emergency surgery	96 [30.4]	36 [29.3]		105 [34.0]	27 [20.8]	
<b>Charlson morbidity index</b>	1.0 (0.0, 3.0)	1.0 (1.0, 3.0)	0.054	1.0 (0.0, 3.0)	1.0 (0.0, 3.0)	0.244
<b>LOS before ICU adm (days)</b>	0.0 (0.0, 1.0)	1.0 (0.0, 3.0)	<0.001	0.0 (0.0, 1.0)	0.5 (0.0, 3.0)	0.001
<b>APACHE II</b>	23.7 (8.0)	26.9 (7.9)	<0.001	22.6 (7.4)	29.3 (7.7)	< 0.001
<b>SOFA</b>	8.3 (3.6)	9.5 (4.2)	0.009	7.8 (3.4)	10.8 (3.9)	< 0.001
<b>Predicted mortality risk (%)<sup>a</sup></b>	47.7 (25.8)	59.7 (24.9)	<0.001	43.8 (24.0)	68.2 (22.8)	< 0.001
<b>Vasoactives before ICU adm</b>	134 [42.4]	59 [48.0]	0.292	123 [39.8]	70 [53.8]	0.007
<b>CPR before ICU admission</b>	35 [11.1]	18 [14.6]	0.304	17 [5.5]	36 [27.7]	< 0.001
<b>Length of MV (days)</b>	2.0 (1.0, 5.0)	2.0 (1.0, 5.0)	0.734	2.0 (1.0, 3.5)	3.0 (2.0, 7.0)	< 0.001
<b>ICU LOS (days)</b>	2.0 (2.0, 5.0)	3.0 (2.0, 5.0)	0.981	2.0 (1.0, 4.0)	3.0 (2.0, 7.0)	< 0.001
<b>Hospital LOS (days)</b>	13.0 (6.3, 24.0)	16.0 (9.0, 27.0)	0.120	15.0 (9.0, 28.5)	11.0 (4.0, 19.0)	< 0.001
<b>Hospital mortality</b>	75 [23.7]	55 [44.7]	<0.001			
<b>Malnutrition</b>				68 [22.0]	55 [42.3]	<0.001
<b>SGA sub-categories</b>						
SGA-7	217 [68.7]			161 [52.1]	56 [43.1]	
SGA-6	99 [31.3]			80 [25.9]	19 [14.5]	
SGA-5		59 [48.0]		38 [12.3]	21 [16.0]	
SGA-4		32 [26.0]		13 [4.2]	19 [14.5]	
SGA-3		19 [15.4]		8 [2.6]	11 [8.4]	
SGA-2		12 [9.8]		9 [2.9]	3 [2.3]	
SGA-1		1 [0.8]		0 [0.0]	1 [100.0]	

Values are mean (SD), median (q1, q3), or counts [percentage]

<sup>a</sup> derived from the Acute Physiologic and Chronic Health Evaluation II

adm, admission; APACHE II, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II; BMI, Body Mass Index; CPR, Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation; ED, Emergency Department; HD, High Dependency; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; LOS, Length of Stay; MV, Mechanical Ventilation; OT, Operation Theatre; SGA, Subjective Global Assessment; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment

**Table 2: Multivariate analysis of the association between malnutrition and hospital mortality**

Parameters	Risk estimates <sup>a</sup>	p-value
Malnourished <sup>b</sup>	Adj-RR 1.39 (95%CI: 1.10, 1.76)	0.006
	Adj-OR 2.99 (95%CI: 1.57, 5.68)	0.001
Every 1-point decrease in the 7-point SGA <sup>c</sup>	Adj-RR 1.09 (95%CI: 1.01, 1.18)	0.02

<sup>a</sup>: adjusted for age; presence/ absence of vasoactive drugs, and length of hospitalization before admission to the intensive care unit; duration of mechanical ventilation; predicted mortality risk derived from the Acute Physiologic and Chronic Health Evaluation II; and duration of stay in the intensive care unit and hospital

<sup>b</sup>: Reference: Well-nourished (SGA-A7 or SGA-A6)

<sup>c</sup>: Every 1-point decrease is indicative of a higher degree of malnutrition

Adj-OR, Adjusted odds ratio; Adj-RR, Adjusted relative risk; CI, confidence interval; SGA, Subjective global assessment

205  
206  
207  
208

**Table 3: Simple linear regression models of the association between patient characteristics and length of stay in the Intensive Care Unit (measured in days) amongst patients who were discharged alive from the Intensive Care Unit**

Patient Characteristics (n = 363)	Standardized Beta weight	95% confidence interval	p-value
Age (years)	-0.100 <sup>b</sup>	-0.105, 0.001	0.057
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	0.052 <sup>b</sup>	-0.072, 0.220	0.318
Admitted from the wards	0.005	-2.247, 2.479	0.923
No surgery	-0.200	-1.875, 1.230	0.700
Charlson morbidity index	-0.100 <sup>b</sup>	-0.870, 0.011	0.056
LOS before ICU admission (days)	0.001 <sup>b</sup>	-0.180, 0.182	0.063
APACHE II	-0.025 <sup>b</sup>	-0.140, 0.085	0.632
SOFA	0.031 <sup>b</sup>	-0.175, 0.324	0.559
Predicted Mortality Risk (%) <sup>a</sup>	-0.042 <sup>b</sup>	-0.049, 0.020	0.424
Given vasoactives before ICU admission	0.001	-1.726, 1.745	0.991
Given CPR before ICU admission	0.006	-2.980, 3.364	0.905
Length of MV (days) <sup>†</sup>	0.068 <sup>b</sup>	-0.213, 5.825	0.068
Malnutrition	-0.015	-2.245, 1.665	0.771

<sup>a</sup> derived from the Acute Physiologic and Chronic Health Evaluation II

<sup>b</sup> every unit increase

APACHE II, Acute Physiologic And Chronic Health Evaluation II; BMI, Body Mass Index; CPR, Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation; ICU, Intensive Care Unit; LOS, Length of Stay; MV, Mechanical Ventilation; SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment

209

#### 210 4. Discussion

211

212 To our knowledge, this is the largest study that used a validated nutrition assessment tool in an  
213 attempt to demonstrate an association between malnutrition and hospital mortality and ICU-LOS  
214 amongst the critically ill. In addition, this is the first study that explored their relationships in a  
215 dose-dependent manner which strengthened the findings.

216

217 However, the results of the present study could not be compared with those in previous studies  
218 as only the odds ratio [26] or adjusted p-value [27] were reported. The odds ratio was therefore  
219 computed in the present study for the purpose of comparison. Similar to [Fontes, et al. \[26\]](#),  
220 malnutrition was independently associated with hospital mortality [adjusted odds ratio: 8.12  
221 (95%CI: 2.94-22.42)]. The lower adjusted odds ratio found in the present study may be due to the  
222 more extensive statistical adjustment. The larger sample size of the present study also resulted in a  
223 narrower confidence interval.

224

225 One of the rationales for limiting life-sustaining treatments in the ICU is poor prognosis. The  
226 sum of evidence provided by the present study and a recent systematic review [1] demonstrated a  
227 clear association between malnutrition and higher hospital mortality. This suggests that nutritional  
228 status should be considered along with other conventional prognostic parameters to aid treatment  
229 decisions.

230

231 No significant association was found between malnutrition and ICU-LOS. This could be due to  
232 the short ICU-LOS where any association with malnutrition and other parameters (including disease  
233 severity) would be difficult to establish. The median ICU-LOS in the present study was notably  
234 shorter than a similar cohort in another local tertiary hospital (two versus four to five days) [28]. This  
235 could be due to the unique integration of ICU/ HD unit in the hospital that allows our ICU patients  
236 to quickly transit to HD care without a need to change location. It is likely a more accurate reflection  
237 of the required ICU-LOS as compared to other tertiary hospitals where ICU patients may need to  
238 wait for a physical bed in the HD unit before transfer and this may potentially inflate their ICU-LOS.  
239 [Sheean, et al. \[27\]](#) also did not observe any association between malnutrition and ICU-LOS, and this  
240 may also be attributed to the relatively short mean ICU-LOS (i.e. three days). These findings are in  
241 contrast with the study by [Caporossi, et al. \[29\]](#) where malnutrition was reported to be associated  
242 with prolonged ICU admission (mean ICU-LOS: nine days).

243

244 The present study further widened the range of malnutrition prevalence reported in the  
245 literature. In a recent systematic review [1], the prevalence of malnutrition amongst ICUs that admit  
246 heterogeneous types of patients was 38 to 78%, whereas prevalence was 28% in the present study.  
247 The wide variability calls for studies in individual ICUs to determine their local malnutrition  
248 prevalence, and identify an appropriate nutrition screening tool (e.g. Nutritional Risk  
249 Screening-2002 [6]) to be used in their respective ICUs. These studies may use the SGA as the  
250 reference criterion since the validity and reliability of the SGA in the ICU has been well  
251 demonstrated [1,30].

252

253 Compared to previous studies, this study has some strengths. First, results are more  
254 generalizable with the inclusion of both medical and surgical patients. Second, instead of only  
255 computing the odds ratio, this study also expressed the strength of the association between  
256 malnutrition and hospital mortality in relative risk. This is important as the prevalence of  
257 malnutrition was more than 10%, and the use of odds ratio will result in an overestimation of the  
258 association [31]. There are however several limitations that deserve consideration. Firstly, some  
259 patients were excluded from the study due to missing 7-point SGA data. Although they had several  
260 characteristics that were significantly different from those patients with 7-point SGA data, these  
261 characteristics were either not associated with hospital mortality and ICU-LOS, or they were



262 adjusted using the multivariate models. Secondly, despite robust statistical adjustments, there  
263 remained a possibility of residual confounding in all observational studies.

264

#### 265 **Future Research**

266

267 As with [Fontes, et al. \[26\]](#), it was beyond the scope of the present study to measure the extent of  
268 nutrition support rendered to both well- and malnourished patients. It is plausible that variations in  
269 the degree of nutrition support may explain the differences in odds ratio for malnutrition and  
270 hospital mortality reported by [Fontes, et al. \[26\]](#) and the present study. The corollary of this view is  
271 the question “will adequate nutrition support attenuate the mortality risk of malnourished patients  
272 in the ICU?”.

273

274 The optimal nutrition support strategy in the ICU (i.e. permissive underfeeding vs meeting  
275 estimated energy requirements) remain nebulous and current evidence from randomized controlled  
276 trials is mixed [\[32\]](#). A common limitation amongst the studies is the lack of baseline nutrition  
277 assessment since it is conceptually possible that malnourished patients require more calories and  
278 protein to attenuate the deleterious effects of critical illness as compared to well-nourished patients  
279 [\[33,34\]](#). Given the clear association between malnutrition and hospital mortality, future studies that  
280 aimed to determine the optimal nutrition support strategy for the critically ill should conduct  
281 nutrition assessment at baseline to better elucidate how nutritional status can modify the therapeutic  
282 effects of different feeding strategies.

**283 5. Conclusions**

284

285 There was clear evidence that malnutrition is independently associated with increased risk of  
286 hospital mortality. This suggests that nutritional status, along with other conventional prognostic  
287 factors, should be considered to better predict hospital mortality. The association between  
288 malnutrition and ICU-LOS however was not demonstrated in the present study. More studies are  
289 recommended to further evaluate this possible association. In addition, the prevalence of  
290 malnutrition in the present study was lower than those reported in a recent systematic review [1].  
291 This highlighted the importance for individual ICUs to measure their local prevalence in order to  
292 guide their nutrition screening and assessment policies. Lastly, the present study provided a  
293 rationale for future studies to determine the interaction between baseline nutritional status and  
294 optimal goal of nutrition support on mortality outcomes.

295

**296 Acknowledgments**

297

298 We are grateful for the statistical support provided by Wong Chiew Meng Johnny, Biostatistician,  
299 Clinical Research Unit, Jurong Health Services.

300

**301 Author Contributions**

302

303 C. C. H. Lew, G. J. Y. Wong, K. P. Cheung, M. F. F. Chong, A. P. Chua, and M. Miller equally  
304 contributed to the conception and design of the research; C. C. H. Lew, G. J. Y. Wong and K. P.  
305 Cheung contributed to the acquisition of the data; C. C. H. Lew contributed to the analysis and  
306 interpretation of the data as well as drafted the manuscript. All authors critically revised the  
307 manuscript, agree to be fully accountable for ensuring the integrity and accuracy of the work, and  
308 read and approved the final manuscript.

309

**310 Conflicts of Interest**

311

312 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

313 **References**

314

- 315 1. Lew, C.C.H.; Yandell, R.; Fraser, R.J.; Chua, A.P.; Chong, M.F.F.; Miller, M.  
316 Association between malnutrition and clinical outcomes in the intensive care unit: A  
317 systematic review. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* **2017**, *41*, 744-758.
- 318 2. Bassili, H.R.; Deitel, M. Nutritional support in long term intensive care with special  
319 reference to ventilator patients: A review. *Can. Anaesth. Soc. J.* **1981**, *28*, 17-21.
- 320 3. Giner, M.; Laviano, A.; Meguid, M.M.; Gleason, J.R. In 1995 a correlation between  
321 malnutrition and poor outcome in critically ill patients still exists. *Nutrition* **1996**, *12*,  
322 23-29.
- 323 4. Schlossmacher, P.; Hasselmann, M.; Meyer, N.; Kara, F.; Delabranche, X.;  
324 Kummerlen, C.; Ingenbleek, Y. The prognostic value of nutritional and inflammatory  
325 indices in critically ill patients with acute respiratory failure. *Clin Chem Lab Med*  
326 **2002**, *40*, 1339-1343.
- 327 5. Vincent, J.L.; Dubois, M.J.; Navickis, R.J.; Wilkes, M.M. Hypoalbuminemia in acute  
328 illness: Is there a rationale for intervention? A meta-analysis of cohort studies and  
329 controlled trials. *Ann. Surg.* **2003**, *237*, 319-334.
- 330 6. Kondrup, J.; RASMUSSEN, H.H.; Hamberg, O.; STANGA, Z. Nutritional risk  
331 screening (nrs 2002): A new method based on an analysis of controlled clinical trials.  
332 *Clin. Nutr.* **2003**, *22*, 321-336.
- 333 7. Elia, M. *The'must'report. Nutritional screening for adults: A multidisciplinary*  
334 *responsibility. Development and use of the'malnutrition universal screening*  
335 *tool'(must) for adults.* BAPEN: 2003.
- 336 8. Detsky, A.S.; McLaughlin, J.R.; Baker, J.P.; Johnston, N.; Whittaker, S.; Mendelson,  
337 R.A.; Jeejeebhoy, K.N. What is subjective global assessment of nutritional status?  
338 *JPEN J. Parenter. Enteral Nutr.* **1987**, *11*, 8-13.
- 339 9. Guigoz, Y.; Vellas, B.; Garry, P.J. Assessing the nutritional status of the elderly: The  
340 mini nutritional assessment as part of the geriatric evaluation. *Nutr. Rev.* **1996**, *54*,  
341 S59-65.
- 342 10. Moons, K.G.; Royston, P.; Vergouwe, Y.; Grobbee, D.E.; Altman, D.G. Prognosis  
343 and prognostic research: What, why, and how? *BMJ* **2009**, *338*, b375.
- 344 11. Knaus, W.A.; Draper, E.A.; Wagner, D.P.; Zimmerman, J.E. Apache ii: A severity of  
345 disease classification system. *Crit. Care Med.* **1985**, *13*, 818-829.
- 346 12. Ho, K.M.; Knuiman, M.; Finn, J.; Webb, S.A. Estimating long-term survival of  
347 critically ill patients: The predict model. *PLoS ONE* **2008**, *3*, e3226.
- 348 13. Waterer, G.W.; Somes, G.W.; Wunderink, R.G. Monotherapy may be suboptimal for  
349 severe bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **2001**, *161*,  
350 1837-1842.
- 351 14. McClave, S.A.; Taylor, B.E.; Martindale, R.G.; Warren, M.M.; Johnson, D.R.;  
352 Braunschweig, C.; McCarthy, M.S.; Davanos, E.; Rice, T.W.; Cresci, G.A.  
353 Guidelines for the provision and assessment of nutrition support therapy in the adult  
354 critically ill patient: Society of critical care medicine (sccm) and american society for

- 355           parenteral and enteral nutrition (aspen). *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* **2016**, *40*,  
356           159-211.
- 357   15.   Heyland, D.K.; Dhaliwal, R.; Jiang, X.; Day, A.G. Identifying critically ill patients  
358           who benefit the most from nutrition therapy: The development and initial validation  
359           of a novel risk assessment tool. *Crit. Care* **2011**, *15*, R268.
- 360   16.   White, J.V.; Guenter, P.; Jensen, G.; Malone, A.; Schofield, M.; Academy  
361           Malnutrition Work, G.; Force, A.S.P.E.N.M.T.; Directors, A.S.P.E.N.B.o. Consensus  
362           statement: Academy of nutrition and dietetics and american society for parenteral and  
363           enteral nutrition: Characteristics recommended for the identification and  
364           documentation of adult malnutrition (undernutrition). *JPEN J. Parenter. Enteral*  
365           *Nutr.* **2012**, *36*, 275-283.
- 366   17.   Lew, C.C.H.; Cheung, K.P.; Chong, M.F.F.; Chua, A.P.; Fraser, R.J.; Miller, M.  
367           Combining 2 commonly adopted nutrition instruments in the critical care setting is  
368           superior to administering either one alone. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* **2017**,  
369           0148607117726060.
- 370   18.   Coltman, A.; Peterson, S.; Roehl, K.; Roosevelt, H.; Sowa, D. Use of 3 tools to assess  
371           nutrition risk in the intensive care unit. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* **2014**,  
372           0148607114532135.
- 373   19.   Moons, K.G.; Altman, D.G.; Reitsma, J.B.; Ioannidis, J.P.; Macaskill, P.; Steyerberg,  
374           E.W.; Vickers, A.J.; Ransohoff, D.F.; Collins, G.S. Transparent reporting of a  
375           multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (tripod):  
376           Explanation and elaborationthe tripod statement: Explanation and elaboration. *Ann.*  
377           *Intern. Med.* **2015**, *162*, W1-W73.
- 378   20.   Salluh, J.I.; Soares, M. Icu severity of illness scores: Apache, saps and mpm. *Curr*  
379           *Opin Crit Care* **2014**, *20*, 557-565.
- 380   21.   Vincent, J.-L.; Moreno, R.; Takala, J.; Willatts, S.; De Mendonça, A.; Bruining, H.;  
381           Reinhart, C.; Suter, P.; Thijs, L. The sofa (sepsis-related organ failure assessment)  
382           score to describe organ dysfunction/failure. *Intensive Care Med.* **1996**, *22*, 707-710.
- 383   22.   Charlson, M.E.; Pompei, P.; Ales, K.L.; MacKenzie, C.R. A new method of  
384           classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and  
385           validation. *J. Chronic Dis.* **1987**, *40*, 373-383.
- 386   23.   Churchill, D. Adequacy of dialysis and nutrition in continuous peritoneal dialysis:  
387           Association with clinical outcomes. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* **1996**, *7*, 198-207.
- 388   24.   Lim, S.L.; Lin, X.H.; Daniels, L. Seven-point subjective global assessment is more  
389           time sensitive than conventional subjective global assessment in detecting nutrition  
390           changes. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* **2016**, *40*, 966-972.
- 391   25.   Lee, J.; Tan, C.S.; Chia, K.S. A practical guide for multivariate analysis of  
392           dichotomous outcomes. *Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore* **2009**, *38*, 714-719.
- 393   26.   Fontes, D.; Generoso, S.d.V.; Toulson Davisson Correia, M.I. Subjective global  
394           assessment: A reliable nutritional assessment tool to predict outcomes in critically ill  
395           patients. *Clin. Nutr.* **2014**, *33*, 291-295.

- 396 27. Sheean, P.M.; Peterson, S.J.; Chen, Y.; Liu, D.; Lateef, O.; Braunschweig, C.A.  
397 Utilizing multiple methods to classify malnutrition among elderly patients admitted  
398 to the medical and surgical intensive care units (icu). *Clin. Nutr.* **2013**, *32*, 752-757.
- 399 28. Mukhopadhyay, A.; Henry, J.; Ong, V.; Leong, C.S.-F.; Teh, A.L.; van Dam, R.M.;  
400 Kowitlawakul, Y. Association of modified nutric score with 28-day mortality in  
401 critically ill patients. *Clin. Nutr.* **2016**.
- 402 29. Caporossi, F.S.; Caporossi, C.; Borges Dock-Nascimento, D.; de  
403 Aguilar-Nascimento, J.E. Measurement of the thickness of the adductor pollicis  
404 muscle as a predictor of outcome in critically ill patients. *Nutr. Hosp.* **2012**, *27*,  
405 490-495.
- 406 30. Sheean, P.M.; Peterson, S.J.; Gurka, D.P.; Braunschweig, C.A. Nutrition assessment:  
407 The reproducibility of subjective global assessment in patients requiring mechanical  
408 ventilation. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **2010**, *64*, 1358-1364.
- 409 31. Schmidt, C.O.; Kohlmann, T. When to use the odds ratio or the relative risk? *Int J*  
410 *Public Health* **2008**, *53*, 165-167.
- 411 32. Arabi, Y.M.; Casaer, M.P.; Chapman, M.; Heyland, D.K.; Ichai, C.; Marik, P.E.;  
412 Martindale, R.G.; McClave, S.A.; Preiser, J.-C.; Reignier, J. The intensive care  
413 medicine research agenda in nutrition and metabolism. *Intensive Care Med.* **2017**,  
414 1-18.
- 415 33. Ferrie, S.; Allman-Farinelli, M.; Daley, M.; Smith, K. Protein requirements in the  
416 critically ill: A randomized controlled trial using parenteral nutrition. *JPEN J.*  
417 *Parenter. Enteral Nutr.* **2016**, *40*, 795-805.
- 418 34. Kondrup, J.; Rasmussen, H.H.; Hamberg, O.; Stanga, Z. Nutritional risk screening  
419 (nrs 2002): A new method based on an analysis of controlled clinical trials. *Clin.*  
420 *Nutr.* **2003**, *22*, 321-336.  
421