Subcellular Trafficking of the Papillomavirus Genome during Initial Infection: The Remarkable Abilities of Minor Capsid Protein L2
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Abstract

Beginning in 2012, our understanding of human papillomavirus (HPV) subcellular trafficking has undergone a drastic paradigm shift. Work from multiple laboratories has revealed that HPV has evolved a unique means to deliver its viral genome (vDNA) to the cell nucleus, relying on a myriad of host cell proteins and processes. The major breakthrough finding from these recent endeavors was the realization of L2-dependent utilization of cellular sorting factors for the retrograde transport of vDNA away from degradative endo/lysosomal compartments to the Golgi, prior to mitosis-dependent nuclear accumulation of L2/vDNA. An overview of current models of HPV entry, subcellular trafficking, and the role of L2 during initial infection is provided below, highlighting unresolved questions and gaps in knowledge.

Introduction

HPVs infect and replicate in cutaneous and mucosal epithelium (skin and oral/genital mucosa). Of the hundreds of HPV types [1-3], a set of about 15 HPV “high-risk” types are associated with cervical, anogenital, and head/neck/throat cancers. An additional set of “low risk” mucosal types cause benign anogenital warts. HPVs are currently the most common sexually transmitted infection and collectively these viruses account for 5% of cancers worldwide. [4-6].

As for most other DNA viruses, a successful HPV infection requires that the viral genome (vDNA) be transported from an extracellular encapsidated state (i.e. viral particles) to a free unencapsidated state within the host cell nucleus, to allow for viral gene expression and vDNA replication. The non-enveloped HPV capsid, comprised of
two proteins L1 and L2, is the molecular machine that accomplishes this task. Seventy-two pentamers of the major capsid protein L1 form the 55 nm icosahedral particle, which together with L2, encapsidate the vDNA. The minor capsid protein L2 is present in low amounts (~20-40 molecules per virion) the bulk of which is present beneath the capsid surface, underneath the L1 pentamers as seen by cryoEM reconstructions [7]. L2 is thought to be physically complexed to the vDNA within viral particles and is responsible for the intracellular transport and nuclear accumulation of the vDNA during infection [8]. Although many studies have reported *in vitro* DNA-binding activity for the conserved, positively charged N- and C-termini of L2 [9-12] the structural nature of the L2/vDNA complex within the actual virion remains poorly understood. This review focuses on the remarkable actions of the L2 protein (figure 1) and the molecular mechanisms and cellular pathways of subcellular trafficking of the L2/vDNA subviral complex. Recent progress will be summarized and outstanding questions and incongruencies will be highlighted.

**Figure 1.** Diagram of the L2 protein. Positions of key components are illustrated. Relative distances and positions are to scale.
Virion binding to extracellular heparin sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) induces conformational changes in both the L1 and L2 capsid proteins of the viral particle and subsequent transfer of the virion to a cell surface entry receptor complex (recently reviewed in [13-15]). While bound, cell surface kallikrein-8 (KLK8) and furin cleave the L1 capsid and L2 respectively, an important "priming" event that ensures the proper subsequent subcellular trafficking of L2/vDNA [16-18]. Endocytosis of the virion occurs through an actin-dependent process with similarities to macropinocytosis [19]. Tetraspanin CD151 and its associated \( \alpha_3 \beta_1, \alpha_6 \beta_1 \) and \( \alpha_6 \beta_4 \) integrin partners, growth factor receptor tyrosine kinases, annexin A2, and the cytoskeletal adaptor obscurin-like 1 (OBSL1) have been implicated in tetraspanin-enriched microdomain (TEM)-dependent HPV16 entry [20-27] (figure 2). While endocytosis of individual cell surface-bound particles can be quite rapid, overall bulk population-level internalization is asynchronous and slow, occurring on the time scale of many hours [19]. CD151 and the associated TEMs likely coordinate organization and assembly of entry receptor complexes; once assembled and bound to virion, these complexes facilitate rapid entry. Similar scaffolding roles for other tetraspanins have been reported for other enveloped and nonenveloped viruses [28,29]. Cleavage of L2 by the host protease furin occurs on the surface of host cells and on the extracellular matrix (ECM) in response to binding of the virion to HSPGs (reviewed in [30]). This cleavage occurs C-terminal to the final arginine residue of a conserved consensus site (RTKR, residues 9-12 for HPV16), removing twelve N-terminal residues of HPV16 L2 [31] (figure 1). The molecular basis for the requirement of this cleavage
remains unknown but inhibition of cleavage through mutation of the cleavage site or by biochemical inhibition of furin results in aberrant trafficking of the L2/vDNA complex and potent abrogation of infection [18]. Cleavage appears to trigger a conformational change in capsid and/or L2 structure, as the conserved and neutralizing RG-1 epitope (residues 17-36 for HPV16 [32]) becomes accessible to antibody staining shortly after virion binding in a furin-dependent manner [33]. Cell surface cyclophilins (peptidyl-prolyl isomerases, PPIs) also appear to modulate the conformation of L2, as RG-1 epitope exposure is sensitive to cyclosporine A, a broad PPI inhibitor [34]. RG-1 epitope exposure was initially believed to be a convenient marker for furin cleavage and cyclophilins were believed to control L2 accessibility and susceptibility to furin, but recent work disfavors this idea as furin cleavage still occurs despite PPI inhibition of RG-1 exposure [31]. Thus, while RG-1 staining is a convenient marker for an L2 conformational change that is both furin- and cyclophilin-dependent, it is not a direct readout for furin proteolysis of L2 as cleavage can occur without RG-1 exposure.
Figure 2. Early subcellular trafficking and uncoating. Internalized virions, primed by cleavage on the cell surface, enter the endolysosomal pathway and begin pH-dependent uncoating and L2 insertion/penetration. L2 recruitment of sorting factors including SNXs and retromer modulates the trafficking pathway. Retromer binding is important for EE to LE/MVB transport. Retrograde transport of L2/vDNA from LE/MVBs to the TGN occurs in a furin-, cyclophilin-γ-secretase-, and pH-dependent manner.

Subcellular Trafficking

Shortly after entry, early trafficking of HPV is modulated by the tetraspanin CD63 and its partners syntenin/ALIX [35]. These molecules are necessary for sorting of HPV virions from early endosomes (EE) into acidic late endosome (LE) and multivesicular bodies (MVBs), a prerequisite for capsid disassembly, uncoating, and segregation of L2/vDNA from L1 (figure 2). When MVB trafficking of HPV was interrupted by knockdown of either CD63 or syntenin, subcellular transport of L2/vDNA was altered and infection was partially blocked, demonstrating a requirement for virion transport into...
MVBs [35]. Accordingly, components of the ESCRT machinery, a group of cytosolic multisubunit complexes that facilitate endosomal maturation and MVB biogenesis are involved in efficient HPV infection [36,37]. Endosomal acidification is a strict requirement for HPV infection [19,38,39] but it remains unclear if it is simply needed for proper endosomal maturation and MVB biogenesis, or if acidification itself also triggers conformational changes in the HPV capsid or host proteins that are required for downstream processes like capsid uncoating and vDNA trafficking.

Acid-dependent cathepsin proteases further cleave and process the L1 capsid within the endolysosomal compartments. Capsid proteolysis and disassembly can be visualized by immunofluorescence with the monoclonal antibody L1-7 [40], specific for L1 residues 303-313, located in central cavities underneath each of the L1 pentamers. This region is only available for binding to L1-7 after capsid disassembly, around 8h post infection [23]. While useful for marking capsid disassembly, L1-7 reactivity does not reveal true infectious uncoating, as staining is blocked by cathepsin inhibitors with no effect on infectivity [17]. Within LE/MVBs the L2/vDNA complex segregates away from the partially degraded L1 capsid in a cyclophilin-dependent manner [41]. The complex then traffics to the trans-Golgi network (TGN) in a retromer-dependent manner [18,42] where it accumulates until the onset of mitosis [43-45]. Recent work has shown that a fraction of the L1 capsid, in the form of conformationally intact pentamers, accompanies the L2/vDNA complex to the TGN and nucleus but a functional role for these L1 pentamers remains unclear [46].

Colocalization of L2/vDNA with TGN markers is well established but several groups have reported transport of vDNA to more distal retrograde sites. Partial colocalization of
vDNA with cis/medial-Golgi markers like GM130 and giantin has been reported [18,47]. Likewise, sensitive techniques like the proximity ligation assay [48] suggest that L2/vDNA retrograde traffics past the Golgi to the ER [49]. Whether these represent primary or alternative routes of infection, or even unproductive dead ends is not clear. It is worth noting that the dynamic flux of proteins within the secretory compartments makes it difficult to precisely determine where colocalization is occurring by microscopy. Many ER proteins contain a C-terminal KDEL sequence and although they are maintained within the ER at steady state, they are constantly trafficking into the Golgi where they must be recycled back through KDEL cargo receptor [50,51]. Sensitive techniques like the proximity ligation assay using such KDEL-containing ER proteins must therefore be interpreted with caution. Since retrograde trafficking of L2/vDNA to more distal compartments has not been well established, this review will simply refer to the final retrograde destination of L2/vDNA as the “TGN”.

Retromer & Sorting Factors

The retromer, a trimer of Vps26, Vps29, and Vps35, is a cytosolic sorting adaptor complex that binds to peptide motifs within the intracellular domains and cytosolic tails of membrane bound receptors destined for the TGN. Retromer works in concert with molecules like Rab7b, Rab9a, and members of the sorting nexin family including SNX3, SNX27, and the BAR-domain sorting nexins (SNX-BAR) to sort cargos from a variety of endosomal compartments to the TGN [52,53]. L2 contains conserved hydrophobic retromer-binding sites near the C-terminus (FYL at residues 446-448 and YYML at residues 452-455 for HPV16, see figure 1). Mutation of these sites prevents association
of L2 with retromer and blocks the trafficking of L2/vDNA to the TGN, instead causing an accumulation within EEA1-positive endocytic compartments [42] suggesting a retromer-dependent sorting event away from EE compartments (figure 2). Likewise, siRNA knockdown of retromer components also prevents L2/vDNA from reaching the TGN [42]. In addition to retromer, L2 is capable of interaction with SNX17 and SNX27 to direct endosomal and retrograde trafficking of the vDNA [54,55]. The interaction with SNX17 through a conserved motif (NPxY, residues 254-257 for HPV16, see figure 1) is believed to occur very early after entry. One recent study observed recruitment of SNX17 to HPV positive endosomes by 2 hours post infection, a phenotype that was dependent on the conserved NPxY motif within L2 [56]. The SNX17-L2 interaction likely promotes retention/recycling of the L2/vDNA complex within the endosomal compartment, preventing the rapid trafficking and degradation of L2/vDNA within lysosomal compartments [54]. Perhaps the virion requires a relatively long retention time in moderately acidic EE and LE/MVB environments for efficient uncoating, partitioning of the L2/vDNA subviral complex from L1, and/or recruitment of the retromer? Mutation of the NPxY motif or knockdown of SNX17 results in aberrant L2/vDNA trafficking and decreased infectivity [54].

SNX17 uses its FERM domain to bind to cargo harboring the NPxY motif. SNX27 is another FERM-domain containing SNX involved in L2/vDNA trafficking but unlike SNX17, SNX27 does not interact with L2 through the conserved NPxY motif. In addition to a FERM domain, SNX27 also contains a PDZ domain, that mediates interaction with L2 through a non-canonical PDZ ligand located somewhere in between residues 192-292 of HPV16 L2 [55]. Notably, both SNX17 and SNX27 have been implicated in
efficient retrograde trafficking through the retromer [53,57], raising the possibility that cooperative interactions of L2 with these SNXs may somehow promote retromer-dependent TGN localization.

Recent work has revealed the existence of an additional trimeric sorting complex called the retriever, that functions in concert with SNX17, the CCC, and WASH complexes to sort cargo from degradative to recycling compartments. Retriever consists of three subunits- DSCR3, C16orf62, and Vps29, a subunit in common with the retromer [58]. HPV infection is decreased upon knockdown of retriever components DSCR3 and C16orf62, as well as CCC components CCDC22 and CCDC93, suggesting a role for this novel pathway in HPV infection [58].

\[\gamma\]-Secretase

ESCRT proteins, tetraspanins, SNXs, and retromer, all have physiological roles in subcellular trafficking and protein transport and thus many of these natural pathways and components are exploited and commandeered by different viruses for entry or assembly. Perhaps the most mysterious host factor necessary for HPV infection is the multisubunit intramembrane protease \(\gamma\)-secretase (\(\gamma\)-sec), which appears to be a unique requirement of papillomaviruses. The \(\gamma\)-sec complex is a transmembrane protease comprised of four subunits: presenilin1/2 (PS1/2), nicastrin (Nic), Aph1a/b, and PEN2. Two isoforms exist for both PS and Aph1, so there is heterogeneity among cellular \(\gamma\)-sec complexes [59]. \(\gamma\)-sec catalyzes the intramembrane cleavage of TMDs from a wide variety of membrane proteins [60] and is perhaps best known as an important component of the Notch signaling pathway and the biogenesis of A\(\beta\) peptides from
amyloid precursor protein (APP) [61-64]. Biochemical inhibition of γ-secretase or knockdown of any of the four subunits results in a potent block of HPV infection [49,65]. In a screen of a diverse panel of 34 different mucosal and cutaneous HPV types, sensitivity to γ-secretase inhibition was the most conserved feature among the 29 alpha and 5 beta HPV types tested, even higher than sensitivity to furin inhibition [66]. The molecular basis for the γ-secretase requirement is unknown but inhibition of γ-secretase activity results in a failure of L2/vDNA to reach the TGN, even though L2 appears to exit EEA1 positive endosomal compartments [49] (figure 2). This is in contrast to retromer knockdown, which causes an accumulation of vDNA within EEA1 endosomes [42]. This may suggest that in the absence of γ-secretase activity L2/vDNA never exits the MVB/LE compartments and instead continues to lysosomes for degradation, or that γ-secretase controls trafficking of L2/vDNA to a discrete intermediate compartment, between the MVB and the TGN. Consistent with the observed effects on L2/vDNA trafficking, HPV16 is only sensitive to γ-secretase inhibition during the first 6-8 hours of infection [49] and γ-secretase inhibition has no effect on post-TGN trafficking of vDNA [45]. Failure of L2/vDNA to reach the TGN in the absence of γ-secretase activity means that the retrograde trafficking pathway utilized by HPV involves more than just the canonical players like retromer and SNXs, suggesting that new γ-secretase-dependent retrograde pathways may exist and are being exploited by papillomaviruses. The catalytic PS1/2 subunit of γ-secretase are known to modulate protein trafficking, lysosomal maturation, and Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis independently of γ-secretase-activity, but direct connections to retrograde trafficking pathways are scant [67]. Retromer has however been implicated in retrograde-dependent trafficking and cleavage of gamma secretase substrates including APP [68,69].
Restriction Factors

As discussed above, many host proteins and pathways are exploited by HPV to facilitate virion trafficking and viral infection, but some proteins can restrict HPV infection suggesting an inherent anti-papillomaviral function. Vimentin is a recently reported inhibitory factor, found to limit infection at the level of viral entry [70]. Within cells, cathepsin proteases appear to limit HPV, as infection is increased upon genetic knockout, siRNA silencing, or biochemical inhibition [17,71], this is contrary to other non-enveloped viruses like reoviruses and adeno-associated viruses that depend on these endosomal proteases for uncoating [72,73]. The endosomal protein stannin restricts HPV infection by rerouting virions away from the TGN to degradative compartments [74]. Similarly, the α-defensin HD5 alters L2/vDNA trafficking, accelerating the degradation of virions within LE/lysosomal compartments to restrict HPV infection [75].

L2 is an “Inducible Transmembrane” Protein

How does L2, the minor capsid protein from a non-enveloped virus, complexed with the vDNA within the lumen of intracellular vesicular compartments, interact with a variety of cytosolic sorting molecules to direct its own transport to the TGN? Evidence from multiple laboratories suggests that L2 can interact with and span across vesicular membranes, thereby allowing L2 to gain access to the cytosol, to recruit cytosolic factors necessary for retrograde trafficking (figure 2). Post-TGN transit, full translocation across the limiting membrane, and nuclear accumulation of the L2/vDNA complex.
requires mitosis and is summarized in greater detail in latter sections of this review. For the sake of consistency within the field, the following nomenclature is proposed for the 3-step process describing L2’s remarkable ability to shift from being a soluble protein to a transmembrane protein and back again:

1) **Insertion**- Within the lumen, part(s) of L2 insert(s) into the local membrane.

2) **Protrusion**- L2 becomes a transmembrane protein. Part of L2 remains lumenal and is complexed with the vDNA while other parts of L2 stick through the membrane and are accessible to cytosolic proteins.

3) **Translocation**- L2/vDNA exits vesicular compartments, passing across the limiting membrane to establish infection within the cell nucleus.

**Insertion & Protrusion of L2**

How does the L2 protein initially interact with and insert into membranes? When naturally or ectopically expressed, L2 is a soluble nuclear protein, not a membrane protein [76,77]. Yet during infection L2 must somehow interact with and cross membranes, how is this accomplished? In 2006 a conserved “membrane destabilizing peptide” near the C-terminus of L2 (SYYMLRKRRLPY, residues 451-464 for HPV16, see figure 1) was identified as having a role in the endosomal escape of L2/vDNA via membrane disruption or destabilization [78]. Using purified C-terminal peptides from HPV33 L2, containing the corresponding membrane destabilization moiety (SYFLIRRKRFPYFFTDRVAA, residues 445-467), *in vitro* cytotoxicity and propidium iodide uptake experiments showed a pH-dependent ability to disrupt cellular membranes. While it is feasible that this C-terminal region aids in membrane insertion of
L2 within the acidic endosomes, it is important to note that a direct role in L2 membrane
insertion has yet to be demonstrated.

Regardless of how L2 initially inserts into membranes during infection, multiple
groups have published indirect evidence that L2 interacts with cytosolic factors and thus
must protrude into the cytosol across vesicular membranes. In 2013, my laboratory
identified a glycine-rich transmembrane-like domain (TMD) towards the N-terminus of
L2 (ILQYGSMGVFFGGLGIGTGSGTG, residues 45-67 of HPV16, see figure 1) [79].
Taking advantage of TMD-flanking monoclonal antibody epitopes and using elegant
immunofluorescence staining procedures, the Sapp laboratory has since demonstrated
that L2 utilizes this TMD to span intracellular vesicular membranes with residues C-
terminal of the TMD being cytosolic, consistent with a type-I transmembrane topology
[80]. Moreover, additional data from the Sapp laboratory suggest the vDNA remains
lumenal within these intracellular vesicles [81]. Exactly how L2 is able to insert into the
membrane and span across remains unknown, but endosomal acidification seems to be
required to adopt this conformation [80], although it remains to be determined if this may
simply reflect a requirement for L1 capsid disassembly or L1/L2 partitioning rather than
low pH having a direct effect on L2 protein structure or conformation. Thus, virion-
associated L2 appears to be an inducible transmembrane protein, with the ability to
insert into membranes and adopt a transmembrane configuration, to drive vDNA
subcellular trafficking by physically linking the lumenal vDNA to host cytosolic sorting
proteins (figure 2).

L2’s function to facilitate vDNA delivery across the limiting membrane is analogous
to that of many bacterial toxins, which penetrate intracellular membranes and deliver
toxin domains to the cytosol. Many of these bacterial toxins including diphtheria toxin, anthrax toxin protective antigen, Shiga toxin, and *Pseudomonas* exotoxin A [82,83] also rely on proteolytic activation by furin and other proteases to trigger conformational changes and structural rearrangements that underlie toxin membrane insertion and penetration. Given the requirement for furin in TGN localization of L2/vDNA, it is very likely that cleavage triggers a structural change that enables L2 to insert and protrude into the local membrane via the TMD to recruit cytosolic SNXs and retromer. Until structural data on L2 is obtained, the nature of any cleavage-induced conformational changes will remain elusive.

Although direct evidence is lacking, the TMD itself may play a role in the initial insertion of L2 into membranes. It is noteworthy that the L2 TMD is quite similar to the fusion peptides (FPs) from many type-I fusogenic glycoproteins of enveloped viruses of the *Orthomyxoviridae*, *Paramyxoviridae*, and *Retroviridae* families [79]. These fusion peptides generally consist of ~20 apolar residues and are typically enriched for glycine, a composition believed to impart conformational flexibility. The structurally dynamic nature of these fusion peptides is thought to be critical for their ability to partition into and destabilize local membranes [84-87]. It is also noteworthy that like L2, these viral fusion proteins require “priming” by proteolytic cleavage and “activation” by environmental cues like low pH or receptor binding [88]. It is conceptually challenging to envision how L2 could achieve a type-I transmembrane state upon insertion of its N-terminal TMD- L2 would have to essentially drag 400 residues C-terminal to the TMD across the membrane. Perhaps cooperative interactions between the TMD, the C-
terminal membrane disruption peptide, and the membrane are required for insertion and
protrusion of L2.

How does γ-sec facilitate L2/vDNA trafficking? No interaction between L2 and the γ-
sec complex has been reported although it is tempting to envision that L2 could interact
with the complex via its TMD while protruding through the membrane. Alternatively, γ-
sec activity could somehow be required for L2 to initially insert into membranes to
achieve membrane protrusion. This latter possibility would be consistent with the
trafficking defects observed upon inhibition of gamma secretase [42] as failure to insert
and protrude through the membrane would be expected to block TGN localization and
may instead cause trafficking away from EEA1 positive early endosomes into a
degradative lysosomal pathway. Although no evidence exists supporting a role for γ-sec
in HPV endocytosis, it has been found as part of a “tetraspanin interactome”, associated
with many of the same molecules believed to be part of the initial entry receptor
complex, including tetraspanins CD9 and CD81, integrins α3 and β1, and annexin-A2
[89]. The γ-sec complex may therefore be present locally during uncoating when L2
presumably adopts the protruding conformation (figure 2). In this scenario one could
also imagine L2 actually being a substrate for γ-sec cleavage, triggering a
conformational change of some kind upon cleavage of the L2 TMD. While attractive,
there is no published evidence supporting this notion. This begs the question- if L2 is
not a substrate for γ-sec then how does inhibition of γ-sec catalysis affect L2/vDNA
trafficking so drastically? Perhaps γ-sec cleavage of a cellular protein somehow
modulates trafficking or that L2 may actually be a “pseudosubstrate”, interacting with γ-
sec without cleavage. TMD substrates of γ-sec are believed to first dock into a substrate
binding site prior to transfer to the catalytic active site for proteolysis [90]. γ-sec inhibitors perturb the global structure of the γ-sec complex and may therefore prevent initial substrate docking. [91,92]

As mentioned above, the inhibitory host factors stannin and α-defensin HD5 restrict HPV infection by diverting subcellular trafficking of virions away from the TGN to degradative compartments. Stannin appears to work by blocking association of L2 with retromer, to prevent retrograde trafficking of L2/vDNA, causing an increased accumulation of L2/vDNA in LAMP1-positive lysosomal compartments [74]. This is in contrast to retromer knockdown or mutation of retromer binding sites in L2, which instead cause a trafficking block within EEA1-positive endosomal compartments [42]. Rather than directly inhibiting the L2-retromer association, stannin likely blocks the insertion and protrusion of L2 within vesicular membranes to indirectly prevent binding and recruitment of retromer. Similarly, HD5 may induce aberrant trafficking of L2/vDNA by directly binding the virion to interfere with L2 insertion and protrusion [75]. Much will be gained from further mechanistic studies of these inhibitory host factors.

Post-TGN Transport- Mitosis, Translocation, & Chromatin Binding

Prior to 2013, the consensus view was that the L2/vDNA complex egressed from endosomal compartments into the cytosol, as is the case for many other non-enveloped viruses [93-95]. Thus, discovery of the TGN as an important stop in the retrograde route of incoming L2/vDNA was a major advance in the field [18,96]. Initially, the L2/vDNA was believed to penetrate the TGN directly and wait in the cytosol prior to nuclear entry. Cell cycle progression into mitosis is known to be important for HPV infection [97] and
nuclear envelope breakdown was thought to facilitate L2/vDNA transfer from the cytosol into the nucleus like some retroviruses [44,98]. Recent work supports a model where at the onset of mitosis, when the Golgi and TGN naturally begins to fragment and vesiculate, the vesicle-bound vDNA egresses from what was the interphase TGN (figure 3). The Sapp laboratory pioneered an EdU/vDNA staining technique based on selective membrane permeabilization and sequential EdU labeling to demonstrate the lumenal state of the vDNA [81]. While the exact nature of these vesicles remains unknown, immunofluorescence microscopy reveals that these vDNA-containing vesicles stain negative for classical TGN markers like TGN46 and p230 and appear to migrate along microtubules, clustering around the centrioles during progression from G2/M to prometaphase [45,81]. L2 likely remains in the protruding conformation, spanning across the limiting membrane to coordinate microtubule-dependent traffic of these vDNA-containing vesicles along the mitotic spindle [81]. These vesicles eventually make their way to the condensed chromosomes and by metaphase vDNA can be seen associated with and presumably bound to the host chromosomes [43,45,81] (figure 3). From there the chromosome-bound vDNA is partitioned into daughter cells. In this manner, infection of both daughter cells is favored at an MOI > 2.

When does the L2/vDNA complex fully translocate across the limiting membrane? While useful for observing the trafficking of vDNA during HPV infection, standard subcellular localization of EdU-labeled vDNA by microscopy is insufficient to reveal the actual translocation event. Using their specialized IF protocol for sequential fluorophore-azide conjugation of EdU-labeled vDNA in differentially permeabilized cells, the Sapp
laboratory concluded that vDNA became cytosolic sometime during G1, well after mitosis [81]. In this model, L2/vDNA would reside within these unique mitotic transport vesicles, bound to chromosomes for an extended period of time (figure 3) until translocation occurred sometime in G1 after completion of mitosis.

Figure 3. Post-TGN mitotic trafficking of L2/vDNA complex. Upon entry into mitosis, L2/vDNA remains vesicle-bound but loses coincidence with TGN markers. These L2/vDNA containing vesicles likely travel along astral microtubules in the minus-end direction towards the centrosome where they accumulate during prometaphase. The vesicles likely switch polarity and travel along the spindle microtubules in the plus-end direction to reach the host chromosomes by metaphase. Chromosome-bound L2/vDNA partitions with host chromosomes, eventually localizing to PML bodies of the daughter cells. Chromosome binding of L2/vDNA is through the CBR of L2 and mutation of this region causes a block in translocation, with vesicular L2/vDNA becoming reabsorbed back into the nascent Golgi after mitosis. Chromosome-bound L2/vDNA may be in a membrane-bound vesicular state or may have penetrated the limiting membrane upon chromosome binding, further work is needed to clarify this stage of the HPV life cycle.
To better understand translocation, my laboratory has developed an alternative platform to detect and measure this elusive process. Our system is based on the biotin-protein ligase BirA, from *Escherichia coli*. The BirA enzyme will covalently attach a biotin molecule to a specific lysine residue of a short peptide substrate, termed the biotin acceptor peptide (BAP). The BAP is a specific substrate for bacterial BirA and is not recognized by mammalian biotin-protein ligases [45,99]. By generating HPV pseudoviruses that encapsidate a functional L2-BirA fusion and a HaCaT keratinocyte line that stably expresses a cytosolic GFP-BAP fusion, we have set up a two-component compartmentalization assay to detect L2-BirA translocation. In this system, luminal L2-BirA is separated from the cytosolic GFP-BAP substrate by limiting membranes. Only upon translocation of L2 will BirA encounter the BAP, thus biotinylation of GFP-BAP is a readout for translocation. However, it should be noted that since the assay relies on an L2-BirA fusion, biotinylated GFP-BAP could result from cytosolic exposure of just the C-terminus of L2, rather than full translocation.

Using this system, we found that L2 translocation required TGN localization of L2/vDNA and cell cycle progression past G2/M. Timecourse experiments with synchronized HaCaT-GFP-BAP cells demonstrated that the earliest biotinylated GFP-BAP signal was detected at or just prior to the onset of mitosis. Although these experiments were performed with a bulk population, we believe it suggests that L2 translocation (or at least of the C-terminus of L2) begins during mitosis (figure 3), well before transition into G1. Moreover, this timing of L2/vDNA translocation would be
consistent with the visual “jump” of vDNA from a punctate pericentriolar distribution in prometaphase to being chromosome bound by metaphase [45].

The chromosome binding ability of L2 was first reported in 2014 [44] and since then the Schelhaas group has mapped a minimal chromatin binding region (CBR, residues 188-334 for HPV16) within L2 [43]. Interestingly, the ability of ectopically expressed L2-GFP fusion to associate with mitotic chromatin was found to require cell cycle progression into prometaphase. This finding is suggestive that either the interaction between L2 and mitotic chromatin is indirect, requiring a prometaphase-specific factor, or that L2 is post-translationally modified during prometaphase to somehow activate its chromatin binding ability. Substitution of specific residues (IVAL;286-289, R302/305, and RTR;313-315) were found to completely abrogate the chromatin binding activity while mutation of RR396/397 resulted in a partial inhibition CBR function. When these same residues were mutated in reporter- expressing PsV, packaged with either L2 or L2-BirA, the same phenotypes were observed- infectivity and translocation were completely blocked for IVAL;286-289, R302/305, and RTR;313-315 and partially blocked for RR396/397 ([43,45], and unpublished observations).

The striking correlation between the ability of L2 to bind chromatin and to translocate during infection supports a model whereby chromatin binding is required for L2 translocation [43,45]. A mechanistic linkage between these processes favors a model where translocation of L2/vDNA out of the mitotic vesicles occurs while these compartments encounter mitotic chromosomes during prometaphase, and is supported by appearance of translocation signal in timecourse experiments [45]. While further work is needed to validate one model over another, it should be noted that the two
models do not have to be mutually exclusive. Translocation studies based on L2-BirA may in fact only be revealing exposure of the L2 C-terminus, and full translocation of vDNA could be occurring at a later time post-mitosis as suggested by the Sapp laboratory. Alternatively, the nature of these vesicles is unknown and if their lipid composition and detergent solubility changes it could affect sequential labeling efficiency or EdU-labeled vDNA availability to fluorophore-azides after differential detergent permeabilization.

A Topology Conundrum

As mentioned above, immunofluorescence staining with mAbs specific for L2 epitopes flanking the TMD suggest a type-I topology for L2 protrusion, with the N-terminal ~45 residues being lumenal, a ~25 residue TMD, and the C-terminal ~400 residues being cytosolic [80] (figure 4). This topology is in agreement with the placement of known SNX17 and retromer binding motifs within L2, as well as the newly defined CBR (figure 1). However, translocation studies with PsV encapsidating the L2-BirA fusion are suggestive of a different topology for L2. HPV Infection in the presence of S-phase blockers like aphidicolin traps incoming L2/vDNA at the TGN, likely in the protruding conformation [45]. This block is reversible, as removal of the drug releases cell cycle inhibition and enables synchronized egress and translocation of L2/vDNA out of the TGN upon entry into mitosis [45]. This data however is not in agreement with a strict type-I membrane topology for L2. The lack of translocation signal in the presence of aphidicolin implies that the C-terminus of L2-BirA is not cytosolic, as it would be in a type-I topology. Rather, it suggests that BirA is either lumenal or is somehow obstructed...
from engaging the GFP-BAP substrate when L2 is protruding from endosomal and TGN compartments, only becoming accessible to the cytosol upon entry into mitosis. A double-pass topology of protruding L2-BirA would result a luminal C-terminal BirA (figure 4). It should be noted that the C-terminal membrane destabilization peptide bears no resemblance to a conventional TMD and no other membrane-spanning regions of L2 have been identified, so it is unclear how L2 could span the membrane twice to keep the C-terminal BirA fusion luminal. Membrane spanning bacterial toxins including anthrax toxin, Diphtheria toxin, botulinum toxin, tetanus toxin, and Clostridium difficile toxins form pores through which they can extrude themselves into the cytosol by a variety of protein translocation mechanisms [100,101]. Oligomerization of individual L2 molecules, each with a single membrane-spanning TMD could theoretically enable a double-pass topology by extrusion of the L2 C-termini back into the lumen through such a pore. This hypothetical configuration would place a central portion of L2 within the cytosol to recruit sorting factors and direct traffic of the associated vDNA (figure 4). It should also be noted that such a double-pass topology would still be consistent with the IF data supporting a type-I topology [80]. Clearly much more work is needed to understand the protruding conformation of L2 during HPV infection.
Figure 4. Topology models of L2 protrusion. Both models are consistent with published L2 immunofluorescence and trypsin susceptibility data [80]. (A) In the type-I model, the N-terminus remains lumenal with all ~400 residues downstream of the TMD being cytosolic to recruit sorting factors. L2-BirA would be expected to biotinylate substrate in this model, contradicting the actual data [45]. (B) In the double-pass model, both the N- and C-termini would be lumenal, with the bulk of L2 being cytosolic. L2-BirA would not be expected to biotinylate substrate as observed. However, the means by which L2 spans the membrane a second time is difficult to conceptualize as the protein only has one TMD towards the N-terminus [79]. In vitro data suggest both the N- and C-termini are capable of non-specific dsDNA binding through electrostatic interactions [9,11].

PML Bodies & Beyond

Regardless of the precise mechanisms of L2 translocation, the minor capsid protein eventually leaves vesicular compartments and is seen along with vDNA within interphase nuclei of infected cells, localized to punctate nuclear foci called promyelocytic leukemia (PML) nuclear domains, also known as PML oncogenic domains (PODS), or ND10 bodies [102]. PML bodies are small nuclear structures, organized by the PML protein for which they are named. These dynamic domains are present in most cells and are assembled and remodeled in response to a variety of cellular stresses including infection, innate immune triggers/interferon (IFN), heat shock, DNA damage pathways, and metabolic stress [103-105]. PML bodies modulate a wide variety of cellular responses via recruitment, retention, and modification of numerous proteins including the transcriptional repressor Daxx, tumor suppressor Sp100, transcriptional regulator ATRX, DNA helicase BLM, kinase HIPK2, and a multitude of other host proteins. The PML protein, which has many different isoforms, is critical to the assembly of PML bodies and recruitment of host proteins to these foci [106]. Many PML-associated proteins are either directly conjugated to small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) proteins or contain short linear SUMO-interaction motifs (SIMs), or both. In
addition to PML oligomerization, SUMOylation and SUMO-SIM networks are believed to be important to PML assembly and dynamics [107].

Given the role of PML bodies in innate antiviral responses, many viruses have been shown to target PML bodies or induce degradation or remodeling of specific PML components [108,109]. PML bodies have been shown to be important for efficient infection from reporter-expressing HPV16 pseudoviruses as well as authentic BPV virions [102], suggesting that the vDNA is actively targeted to these sites by L2 upon infection. Ectopically expressed L2 can localize to PML bodies, remodeling them through recruitment of Daxx and depletion of Sp100 [110]. In older studies, the ability of GFP-L2 fusions to localize and induce remodeling of PML bodies was mapped to a C-terminal region of L2 (residues 360-420 for HPV33) [111]. L2 can itself be SUMOylated at a conserved lysine residue (K35 for HPV16) when ectopically expressed, but recent work suggests this modification is not important for PML body localization [112,113].

Rather, a moderately conserved SIM (DIVAL, residues 285-289 for HPV16) has been implicated in PML localization of ectopically expressed untagged full length, L2 [112]. Precise mechanisms of L2-dependent PML body remodeling have yet to be worked out but ectopic overexpression studies must be interpreted with caution since the mode of L2 gene transfection/delivery has been shown to heavily influence nuclear/PML localization of L2 [114]. Recent work suggests that the PML component Sp100 restricts HPV transcription and vDNA replication [115], favoring a model whereby incoming L2 might promote a nuclear environment conducive for early HPV gene transcription and genome maintenance in basal cells.
During cell division PML bodies show increased dynamics and disperse into the cytosol during open mitosis. Only after exit from mitosis and reformation of the nuclear envelope are PML bodies assembled *de novo*, and recruitment of Daxx and Sp100 is observed [116]. Whether L2 recruits PML to nucleate *de novo* assembly of PML bodies in the vicinity of the vDNA after mitosis or whether the L2/vDNA complex is targeted to newly formed PML bodies in early G1 remains to be determined. Likewise, much remains to be discovered regarding preferential remodeling of PML components like Daxx and Sp100 immediately after mitotic translocation of L2/vDNA, and the consequences of this remodeling for infection, immune evasion, and viral persistence.

**Conclusions & Future Directions**

In addition to role(s) in vDNA packaging, virion assembly, and particle stability [8], minor capsid protein L2 is tasked with ensuring nuclear delivery of the vDNA during HPV infection. This feat is accomplished via some remarkable means for a viral capsid protein present in low copy number. L2 is able to partition vDNA away from degradative endolysosomal compartments, instead diverting it to the TGN. L2 does this by possessing properties of an “inducible transmembrane” protein, with the ability to penetrate into and span across local vesicular membranes using a transmembrane-like domain. Portions of L2 containing conserved sorting motifs are exposed to the cytosol, recruiting cellular sorting factors that dictate retrograde trafficking of L2/vDNA to the TGN. Upon entry into mitosis, the vesicular L2/vDNA complex separates from the dispersed Golgi towards the pericentriolar region and by metaphase the vDNA can be seen associated with condensed chromosomes. Whether the visual association of
vDNA with mitotic chromosomes represents full translocation of L2/vDNA across limiting membranes or is simply vDNA-filled post-Golgi vesicles bound to chromosomes remains to be shown. Together with recent work on the chromatin-binding abilities of L2, translocation studies using a novel BirA-based approach suggest that chromatin binding is necessary for translocation. Timecourse experiments with synchronized cells suggest that translocation is concurrent or slightly after the onset of mitosis. In contrast, sequential fluor-azide conjugation of EdU-labeled vDNA after differential detergent permeabilization suggests that translocation, as defined by the liberation of vDNA from membrane-bound compartments, occurs post-mitosis in G1. Regardless of the specific mechanisms and timing of translocation, L2/vDNA localizes to PML bodies of the daughter cells and likely functions to promote efficient viral gene expression. Additional efforts are needed to further define the mechanisms of L2’s remarkable abilities. Structural studies are needed to understand the nature of the L2/vDNA complex within viral particles, the molecular basis underlying the requirement for furin, the consequences of cleavage, and the mechanisms of L2-membrane interaction. Further work is needed to understand and define the nature of L2 protrusion through limiting membranes, specifically the topology of L2 within these membranes and to identity any host proteins that may be necessary for L2-membrane insertion and protrusion. More work is necessary to identify the nature of the post-Golgi vesicles in which L2 resides upon entry into mitosis, and again to define the host proteins that may be interacting with L2 to enable transport of these compartments and eventual translocation. Elucidation of the timing and mechanisms of actual L2/vDNA translocation will require new approaches. Finally, the precise role(s) of virion-derived L2 in the
remodeling of PML bodies, establishment of infection, initial viral gene expression, and potential immunoevasion all represent exciting avenues of future endeavors.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Diagram of the L2 protein. Positions of key components are illustrated. Relative distances and positions are to scale.

Figure 2. Early subcellular trafficking and uncoating. Internalized virions, primed by cleavage on the cell surface, enter the endolysosomal pathway and begin pH-dependent uncoating and L2 insertion/penetration. L2 recruitment of sorting factors including SNXs and retromer modulates the trafficking pathway. Retromer binding is important for EE to LE/MVB transport. Retrograde transport of L2/vDNA from LE/MVBs to the TGN occurs in a furin-, cyclophilin-γ-secretase-, and pH-dependent manner.

Figure 3. Post-TGN mitotic trafficking of L2/vDNA complex. Upon entry into mitosis, L2/vDNA remains vesicle-bound but loses coincidence with TGN markers. These L2/vDNA containing vesicles likely travel along astral microtubules in the minus-end
direction towards the centrosome where they accumulate during prometaphase. The vesicles likely switch polarity and travel along the spindle microtubules in the plus-end direction to reach the host chromosomes by metaphase. Chromosome-bound L2/vDNA partitions with host chromosomes, eventually localizing to PML bodies of the daughter cells. Chromosome binding of L2/vDNA is through the CBR of L2 and mutation of this region causes a block in translocation, with vesicular L2/vDNA becoming reabsorbed back into the nascent Golgi after mitosis. Chromosome-bound L2/vDNA may be in a membrane-bound vesicular state or may have penetrated the limiting membrane upon chromosome binding, further work is needed to clarify this stage of the HPV life cycle.

Figure 4. Topology models of L2 protrusion. Both models are consistent with published L2 immunofluorescence and trypsin susceptibility data [80]. (A) In the type-I model, the N-terminus remains lumenal with all ~400 residues downstream of the TMD being cytosolic to recruit sorting factors. L2-BirA would be expected to biotinylate substrate in this model, contradicting the actual data [45]. (B) In the double-pass model, both the N- and C-termi would be lumenal, with the bulk of L2 being cytosolic. L2-BirA would not be expected to biotinylate substrate as observed. However, the means by which L2 spans the membrane a second time is difficult to conceptualize as the protein only has one TMD towards the N-terminus [79]. In vitro data suggest both the N- and C-termini are capable of non-specific dsDNA binding through electrostatic interactions [9,11].
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