An evaluation of the CHIMERE chemistry transport model to simulate dust outbreaks across the north Hemisphere in March 2014
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Abstract:

Mineral dust is one of the most important aerosol in mass over the world, affecting health and dynamics. This aerosol is mainly emitted over arid areas but may be long-range transported, impacting the local budget of air quality in many cities. While models were extensively used to study a single specific event, or making a global analysis at coarse resolution, the goal of our study is to simultaneously focus on several areas - Europe, North America, Central Asia, East China and the Caribbean area - for a one month period, March 2014, avoiding any parameter fitting to better simulate a given dust outbreak. The simulation is performed for the first time with the hemispheric version of the CHIMERE model, with a horizontal resolution of 10km. In this study, an overview of several simultaneous dust outbreaks over the north hemisphere is proposed to assess the capability of such modelling tools to predict dust pollution events. A quantitative and qualitative evaluation of the most striking episodes is presented with comparisons to satellite data, ground based Particulate Matter and calcium measurements. Despite some overestimation of dust concentrations far from emission areas, the model is able to simulate the timing of the arrival of dust outbreaks on observational sites. For instance, several spectacular dust storms in the US and China are perfectly captured by the models. The high resolution allows to better describe and understand the orographic effects and the long-range transport of dust plumes.
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1. Introduction

Air quality impairment is one of the major environmental threat throughout the world. The impact of pollutants on health, ecosystems and climate were clearly highlighted by many studies. The main sources of pollutants are anthropogenic and biogenic in origin and in the two last decades, particulate matter (PM) were identified as a vector of toxics particularly the fine fractions PM10 and PM2.5 (respectively the mass of particles with diameter below 10 and 2.5 µm). According to the World Health Organization, in 2012 air pollution was responsible for 7 million premature deaths, 3.7
million deaths from ambient air pollution and 4.3 million deaths from household air pollution [1].

Long term or short term exposure to PM10, PM2.5 could trigger hospital visits for asthma in children as recently shown in China [2].

At the global level, mineral dust is an important species; it is one of the major species affecting the PM background concentrations, interacting with clouds and then on the radiative budget [3-4] and will be particularly affected by climate change in the future [5]. The Sahara is certainly the largest source of atmospheric desert dust [6], contributing about 50% of the global total, followed by China and Central Asia (about 20% of the global total), Arabia and Australia. Drylands in Southern Africa and the Americas are relatively minor sources, together accounting for probably less than 5% of the global total. In a lesser extent, large agricultural areas can also contribute to soil PM, a good example is the dust event originated from Ukraine in 2007 [7]. Health impacts of dust can be illustrated by the case of the Sahel region. This region of West Africa has the highest bacterial meningitis attack and case fatality rates in the world. Mice exposed to the interaction of both heat and dust inhalation were significantly increased by risk of invasive pneumococcal disease. It might be that the combination of abrasion of the respiratory tract, impaired phagocytosis, and increased release of damaging pathogen toxins creates a “perfect storm” for dissemination of colonized bacteria from the nasopharynx [8-9]. Moreover, several studies show the impact of natural dust on asthma hospital admissions of affected people [10-14] and the importance of the mix of dust with anthropogenic pollution [15]. Model simulations suggest that global annual dust emissions have increased by 25% to 50% over the last century due to a combination of land use and climate changes. Sand dust storm frequency and severity have increased in recent decades in some areas but decreased in other areas. There appear to have been no major changes in dust activity over the past three decades over North Africa, the Middle East and South America, whereas there have been substantial changes in the US high plains, central Asia and Australia [16]. Over the north hemisphere, the south of Europe, the Caribbean zone, Central to east Asia are particularly affected by dust outbreaks leading to PM10 exceedances [17-18]. From the Rocky Mountains to the central plains of USA dust storms can also occur and lead to very high PM levels in these regions with an intensification of dust storms within the last two decades [19-20]. Concentration peaks usually occur in early spring in this region. Atmospheric transport of dust is also the major source of iron and other nutrients in ocean [21-22] and plays an important role on the biogeochemical of marine ecosystems through atmospheric fallout of dusts. Dust storms have economic implications [6]: for instance, total costs (on- and off-site costs) associated with a single large storm that affected the Australian state of New South Wales in 2009 were estimated at Aus$299 million (US$219m). Most of the costs were accounted for by household cleaning and disruption to commercial activities (retail and service industries), air transport and construction [23].

Modelling tools, the so-called chemistry transport models (CTMs), have been designed to work from the global to local scales. While global models used to focus on major species like primary organic matter, sulfates and desert dust, regional models were particularly developed to better simulate the secondary organic and inorganic chemistry. Now regional and global models host similar chemical and physical modules, only the resolution can differ with still different goals.

Global CTMs are closely linked to long term simulations related to climate change issues and to predict monthly or annual evolution of the atmospheric composition, usually they are used at 1° to 2° horizontal resolution to gain computing time. Model forecast of dust outbreaks from Africa to Europe have been evaluated [24] using global and regional chemistry transport models (CTMs). Models tend to underestimate the long-range transport towards northern Europe. Their analysis suggests that this is partly due to difficulties in simulating the vertical distribution of dusts and horizontal winds. Recently, the NOAA National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) implemented the NOAA Environmental Modeling System (NEMS) Global Forecast System (GFS) Aerosol Component (NGAC) for global dust forecasting in collaboration with NASA Goddard Space
Flight Center (GSFC). NGAC Version 1.0 has been providing 5-day dust forecasts at $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ resolution [25].

Regional models are usually run for air quality purposes over short periods like short term forecast [26] from the regional up to the urban scales (for large cities) with resolution up to 1 or 2 km over large domains [27] showing the added value of finer resolution to observe the evolution of anthropogenic pollution plumes issued from emission areas. Regional models are also commonly used for policy analysis with yearly analysis in scenario modes [28] or for climate scenarios [29]. The meteorological conditions influencing the development of deep convection, such as mixing depth, local thermodynamic variability, and wind velocity, are best resolved by models having much higher resolution than current global CTMs [30-31]. The added value of a finer resolution is also mentioned for models in other recent studies for the transport of dust in West Asia [32-33]. Differences due to a finer resolution are also associated to the channelization of the dust flow through valleys and the differences in the modelled altitude of the mountains that alters the meteorology and blocks the simulated dust fronts limiting the dust transport. For the USA, the use of the Non Hydrostatic Mesoscale Dust model at fine resolution [34] shows a large improvement of dust storm simulation thanks to the improvement of the quality of input data like soil moisture and vegetation cover. A major West African dust storm was simulated at 5km resolution with the French modelling system AROME coupled with the ORILAM aerosol model [35]. A high-resolution modeling of dust phenomena is computationally demanding and requires high-resolution input fields [36], however, high resolution simulations ensure a better quantification of dust source regions, meteorological mechanisms that control dust emission fluxes [37-38], transport pathways [39-40], dust radiative direct and indirect effects, complex atmospheric chemistry, and deposition processes. The intermediary spatial scale of about 100 km to about 1000 km consistent with ranges in between local and regional scales is critical and crucial to analyze because many population centers lie on the margins of deserts and because large amounts of dust can be transported over these intermediate distances, these regional dust-transport events are rarely documented [41].

The development of global emission inventories resulting of the merge of continental emission inventories authorizes the global and regional models to be used at the same resolution over large domains. Thus, recently the CHIMERE model has been developed to work at the hemispheric level at 10km resolution consistently with global existing emission inventories [42]. Several numerical issues have been solved to reach this goal and a work has been carried out to improve the emission of mineral dust throughout the world either from arid and semi-arid areas or from large dried arable lands.

In this study, a high spatial resolution simulation (about 10 km) for a one month period (March 2014) over the north hemisphere was performed with the last CHIMERE version [42]. Spring is known to be one of the more active seasons for dust emissions in the Northern Hemisphere [43]. This complete simulation including anthropogenic pollution and natural species including windblown dust emissions is an opportunity to evaluate the ability of the model to simulate the transport of mineral dust plumes far from emission sources at very fine resolution throughout the Northern Hemisphere. While models were extensively used to study a single specific event, or making a global analysis at coarse resolution, the goal of our study is to simultaneously focus on several areas - Europe, North America, Central Asia, East China and the Caribbean area - for a one month period avoiding any parameter fitting to better simulate a given dust outbreak. After an overview of modelled results at the global scale with global budgets related to dust concentrations and their transport, a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of the most striking episodes over the five previously identified areas will be performed using satellite data and ground based PM and calcium measurements where available. The results are analyzed in the context of the previous studies related to these episodes, the fine resolution of the simulation authorizes a local scale analyses of some local specific features.
2. Experiments

2.1. Modelling tools

2.1.1. The chemistry transport model CHIMERE

The CHIMERE offline chemistry transport model [42, 44] was used to model the transport and transformation of trace species in the lower troposphere. The model is being used by several international teams for research and environmental policy underpinning. It has been involved in a number of model inter-comparison initiatives [45-50] and is now a part of the COPERNICUS services (http://www.copernicus.eu). The model and further documentation can be found at http://www.lmd.polytechnique.fr/chimere/. Simulations at very high resolution have been performed over domains encompassing the full European domain [27,51] with resolutions up to 2 km thanks to refined emission inventories using adequate proxies for emission regridding. Besides the technical challenge, the authors found that model biases were significantly reduced, especially over urban areas. The high-resolution grid also allows reevaluating the contribution of individual city plumes to the European burden of pollution, providing new insights to target the appropriate geographical level of action when designing air pollution mitigation strategies.

2.1.2. Model set-up

The model grid covers the northern hemisphere using a polar stereographic projection with 1440 x 1440 points. With this projection and this number of points, the horizontal model resolution varies from 18×18 km² at the pole to 9×9 km² at the Equator. Global chemical fields from a 6-year reanalysis with the LMDz4-INCA3 model [52-53] are used as boundary conditions for the model top and lateral borders. For this simulation, the lateral boundary conditions (under the Equator) will have a low impact since the selected domain covers the whole northern hemisphere.

The vertical discretisation has 16 layers extending from approximately 997 hPa to 200 hPa. The period of March 2014 is simulated with 15 days spin-up in February. The model configuration is summarized here, but the reader is referred to the reference CHIMERE publication [42] for details on the corresponding model components and references as well as non user-specific model characteristics. The gas-phase chemical mechanism is MELCHIOR2, which consists of a simplified version (40 species and 120 reactions) of the full chemical mechanism based on the concept of chemical operators. Modelled particulate matter includes primary particulate matter, and secondary inorganic (nitrate, sulfate, ammonium based on the ISORROPIA thermodynamic equilibrium model) and organic aerosol (whose formation is represented according to a single-step oxidation of the relevant anthropogenic and biogenic precursors and gas–particle partitioning of the condensable oxidation products). Biogenic emissions are computed with MEGAN version 2.04, sea-salt and mineral dust emissions are also considered, emission parameterization for the latter species is discussed later.

The particle size ranges from 10nm to 40µm over 10 bins according these ranges from bin n°1 to bin n°10 which are respectively [10.00 nm – 22.01 nm], [2.20 nm – 48.43 nm], [48.43 nm – 106.7 nm], [106.7 nm – 234.7nm], [234.7 nm – 516.2 nm], [516.2 nm – 1.14 µm], [1.14 µm – 2.50 µm], [2.5 µm – 5.0 µm], [5.0 µm – 10.0 µm], [10 µm – 40 µm]. In this paper SIA, SOA, DUST, SALT, TPPM respectively refer to Secondary Inorganic Aerosol (sum of nitrate, sulfate and ammonium), Secondary Organic Aerosols (anthropogenic and biogenic in origins), natural mineral dust, sea salt and Total Primary Particle Matter (total primary anthropogenic carbonaceous and non-carbonaceous species). The attribute “-10” “-25” or “coarse” are affected respectively to the PM10, and PM2.5 and the coarse (PM10 – PM2.5) fractions. “Total dust” (sum over all bins including the bin above 10 µm) is sometimes used in this studied, the “coarse” fraction can include the last bin in some analyses.
Horizontal transport is solved with the second-order Van Leer scheme. Subgrid scale convective fluxes are considered. The present setup also benefits from an improved representation of turbulent mixing in urban areas that yields lower horizontal wind and vertical mixing in order to better capture the difference between the urban canopy (where the first CHIMERE model level lies) and the top of the urban sublayer of which the lowermost meteorological model level is representative [51].

As an offline CTM, CHIMERE requires prescribed meteorological fields which were provided here by ECMWF with the Integrated Forecasting System model at 0.125° resolution with data assimilation consistent with the CHIMERE horizontal resolution. Emissions for the main pollutants are issued from the EDGAR-HTAP initiative [54-56]. The EDGAR-HTAP project compiled a global emission dataset with annual inventories for CH4, NMVOC, CO, SO2, NOx, NH3, PM10, PM2.5, BC (Black Carbon), OC (Organic Carbon) and covering the period 2000-2005 using, to the extent possible, official or scientific inventories at the national or regional scale that are likely to be acceptable for policy makers in each region of the world. This compilation of different official inventories from EMEP for Europe, EPA for USA, GAINS for China and REAS was first gap-filled with global emission data of EDGARv4.1. The IPCC code, conform to UNFCCC’s common reporting formats, is used as key for harmonizing the data at sector level. Next, the resulting emissions by country and sector were allocated to a 0.1° x 0.1° grid consistently using the EDGARv4.1 proxy data. Monthly emissions of these macro pollutants are pre-processed in the CHIMERE pre-processor to fit with the simulation grid, the CHIMERE species and the final time resolution [42]. To evaluate the CHIMERE outputs with observed optical properties, the OPTSIM model [57] has been used to calculate the main properties. This post-processing tool calculates properties like the aerosol optical depth (AOD), the Ångström exponent (α), single scattering albedo (SSA), the color ratio and the visibility.

2.2. Dust emission in CHIMERE

The mineral dust emissions are calculated using the last version of the emission module described in previous studies [42,44,58]. The Dust Production Model used is described and optimized in previous studies [58-60]. In order to have global and highly spatially resolved surface databases, the module uses the NCAR USGS landuse dataset [61] and the STATSGO-FAO soil dataset [62]. The roughness length is estimated using the global 6km horizontal resolution “Global Aeolian Roughness Lengths from ASCAT and PARASOL” dataset [63]. The soil erodibility is refined over desert areas using the global erodibility dataset derived from MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) data [64]. Figure 1 represent the erodibility of soils for the CHIMERE domain in this study, the desert can be clearly identified but large agricultural zones over the US, Europe and Asia are also highlighted in light blue. Some soil and surface parameters are added to limit the emissions fluxes, depending on the period to model and its meteorological conditions. In order to take into the monthly vegetation variability, the vegetation fraction is diagnosed from the USGS 30s resolution database (from U.S. Geological Survey, accessible at https://landcover.usgs.gov/) and is used as limiter to the erodibility factor. The rain may also inhibit the emission and a function is added to stop then moderate the fluxes after a precipitation event [42]. The flux may also be limited by the soil humidity and the threshold friction velocity is limited using the Fecan’s scheme [65]. Higher in latitude over Alaska, Canada, Siberia and Greenland, dust emissions can potentially occur [66] due to additional processes specific to or enhanced in cold regions: low temperatures, humidity, strong winds, permafrost and niveo-aeolian processes. Most of these processes are not included in CHIMERE, however for an early spring period like in this study the emissions would be turned off due to the snow coverage in these regions.
2.3. Observational data for the evaluation of model performances

Various sources of data and derived products are used to evaluate the performances of the model for the March 2014 period, they are briefly described here below.

- CALIPSO and CloudSat, launched in 2006 as part of NASA's A-train satellite constellation, provide detailed information on cloud and aerosol vertical profiles from tropics to the poles. When cloud vertical profiles derived from Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) [67]. Here we use the V4.10 dataset [68], cross section of the atmosphere provides various categories separating mineral dust from anthropogenic pollution. The list of selected orbits is given in Figure 2.

- MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) is a key instrument aboard the Terra (originally known as EOS AM-1) and Aqua (originally known as EOS PM-1) satellites. Terra's orbit around the Earth is timed so that it passes from north to south across the equator in the morning, while Aqua passes south to north over the equator in the afternoon. Terra MODIS and Aqua MODIS are viewing the entire Earth’s surface every 1 to 2 days, acquiring data in 36
spectral bands, or groups of wavelengths. The MODIS Aerosol Product monitors the ambient aerosol optical thickness over the oceans globally and over the continents. Furthermore, the aerosol size distribution is derived over the oceans, and the aerosol type is derived over the continents. “Fine” aerosols (anthropogenic/pollution) and “course” aerosols (natural particles; e.g., dust) are also derived [69-70]. MODIS products are downloaded from the Worldview tool developed by NASA accessible at https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov/. In this paper, the merged Dark Target/Deep Blue Aerosol Optical Depth product will be used. It provides a more global, synoptic view of aerosol optical depth over land and ocean. This layer is created from three algorithms: two “Dark Target” (DT) algorithms for retrieving (1) over ocean (dark in visible and longer wavelengths) and (2) over vegetated/dark-soiled land (dark in the visible) and the Deep Blue (DB) algorithm, originally developed for retrieving (3) over desert/arid land (bright in the visible wavelengths). Which algorithm is used for a particular location on the Earth depends on its surface cover.

- The MISR (Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer) Aerosol Optical Depth Average layer product is also used. This instrument on board Terra displays the temporal averages of all aerosol optical depths calculated from radiances acquired from the green band (555 nm) of MISR’s cameras over as an average value for March 2014. This instrument is aboard the Terra satellite.
- Atmospheric composition data from the US IMPROVE network are also available with PM2.5, PM10 and Soil PM concentrations based on calcium measurements [71]. Daily data are available every 3 days and available at http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/Improve/improve-data/.
- Air quality data from the US EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) network provides hourly PM2.5 concentrations accessible at https://www.epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data.
- Data from the Chinese network provides hourly PM10 and PM2.5 concentrations made available for the Beijing area.
- The French GEOD’AIR database provides PM10 and PM2.5 concentrations for some stations over the French Caribbean Islands in this study (https://www.geodair.fr/).
- For the whole Europe, background PM2.5, PM10 and Calcium daily and/ or hourly data are retrieved thanks to the EMEP-EBAS database [72]. As a first estimate, Soil PM can be calculated from calcium measurements by multiplying the calcium concentrations by a factor of 8 [73].
- The modeled daytime Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) is compared to observations from the AERONET network [74], available at https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov from the daily AERONET level-2 measurements AERONET-AOD at 870nm. Unfortunately, AERONET measurements can be used in clear sky conditions areas generally below 20°N in latitude over the north Hemisphere. For Europe [75], Central Asia or North America most of dust outbreaks are issued from low pressure systems and then imply cloudy conditions which makes impossible to use level 2 AERONET products to detect these episodes since most of data are ruled out due to clouds.
- Synoptic data called SYNOP from the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) provides reporting weather observations made by manned and automated weather stations. The following ten types of coded data have been selected to identify observed dust episodes: “widespread dust in suspension not raised by wind”, “dust or sand raised by wind”, “well developed dust or sand whirls”, “dust or sand storm within sight but not at station”, “slight to moderate dust storm decreasing in intensity”, “slight to moderate dust storm, no change”, “slight to moderate dust storm, increasing in intensity”, “severe dust storm, decreasing in intensity”, “severe dust storm, no change”, “severe dust storm, increasing in intensity”. The categories “Haze” and “Smoke” have not been considered. These observations remain subjective as they are man based observation, they can be mixed-up with anthropogenic, wildfires pollution, or misty and foggy conditions but in some locations, like in Central Asia, this information is the only one we can access.
- Additional data from isolated stations or issued from previous publications will be used to assess the model performances.
Maps of site locations of interest and discussed in this study are provided in Figure S6 in the supplementary material.

3. Discussion results at the hemispheric scale

3.1. Overview of PM concentrations simulated by CHIMERE over the north Hemisphere in March 2014

The map of total emissions for March 2014 is displayed in Figure 3. This map is a combination of the various meteorological and soils related processes computed on an hourly basis. The main emission areas are closely linked to the erodibility map (Figure 1), the main desert area can be clearly identified (Algeria, Tunisia, Libya, Gobi Desert in China and Mongolia, Arabian Peninsula and in a lesser extend the US desert area) and particularly the Bodélé depression in the South of Sahara (Chad) which is the most intense source of dust worldwide. In Europe and even in Spain, the too low soil moisture in this season makes the emission of agricultural dust impossible. Significant dust emissions around the Caspian Sea are simulated, this specific pattern will be discussed in section 4.4.

![Figure 3. Total dust emissions in g m\(^{-2}\) for March 2014 simulated by CHIMERE](image)

Figure 4 represents the mean surface concentration maps of PM for March 2014 over the north hemisphere. The main hot spots for PM10 are located over the arid and semi-arid regions and also over the most populated areas in Asia. High PM levels are simulated in the south west of the USA. For the SIA species, the patterns are rather different with high concentrations observed in Europe dominated by ammonium concentrations and in Asia with mainly due to sulfate. The influence of the PM pollution events [76] is very strong and usual for the early spring period. In March the maximum SOA concentrations are simulated below the 40° N latitude, the contribution of biogenic species is important in Africa and south America while the contribution of anthropogenic emissions is more important in Asia. The primary emissions are very large over the most populated areas in Asia and Africa (e.g. Nigeria). Sea salt emissions are influenced by wind speed and the resulting concentrations are obviously high over the north Pacific and Atlantic oceans where the low-pressure systems are still active in early spring, the order of magnitude is in agreement with observational data as reported for coastal areas [77-78].

At the global scale the PM pattern is largely influenced by the dust concentrations, two dust plumes issued from the continental areas can be observed in the south west of US to the South Pacific and from the Sahara to the Caribbean area. A more diluted transport of dust is also observed over the north Pacific Ocean. It is rather difficult to compare the model with data for mineral dust as observational data are very scarce. Globally, the patterns of surface dust concentrations in the bottom panel of Figure 4 are very close to the multiannual structure shown in previous studies based on SYNOP meteorological observations [79], moreover the global increase of dust load in Figures S7 to S10 in supplementary material during the course of March 2014 is confirmed by data reported in previous works showing the yearly cycle of dust concentrations with the beginning of the “dust season” in February over the north Hemisphere [80].

Observational data for a march period based on available data are reported in the literature [81], unfortunately the exact data are not available observed values are averaged from available data, but
a first estimate shows that CHIMERE for March 2014 produces the same order of magnitude for dust concentrations, the main hot spots in Cape Verde (16.70°N – 22.90°W), Izana (28.30°N - 16.50°W) are rather well reproduced by the models with respectively about 100 and 10 µg m⁻³ against observed value generally of 200 and 30 µg m⁻³. Better agreements are found for two islands in front of China with for instance in Cheju (33.52°N – 126.48°E) with about 27 µg m⁻³ for the measurements and about 20 µg m⁻³ simulated by CHIMERE.

The lowest values (< 1 µg m⁻³) of surface dust concentrations are simulated over the Equatorial Pacific, this is certainly due to low dust emissions downwind to the prevailing air mass fluxes from Central and South America. From Central to North Pacific the mean surface concentrations lie in the range 2 – 10 µg m⁻³ that are usual for a March period which generally exhibits the highest dust concentrations over the Pacific Ocean [80], these orders of magnitude are fully in line with the SEAREX experiments findings [82]. In Alaska, the CHIMERE model exhibits dust concentrations in the fine mode of particles 5 to 10 times overestimated at IMPROVE Stations (Denali 63.72°N – 148.97°W, Gates of the Arctic 66.90°N – 151.52°W and Trapper Creek 62.31°N – 150.32°W) with observational data usually in the range 0.01 – 0.4 µg m⁻³ while CHIMERE simulates concentrations up to 1 to 5 µg m⁻³, however the concentrations increase at the end of March 2014 is well reproduced by the model. This overestimate of background concentrations in remote areas is shared by most of models [83].

Even if the objective of the paper is not to perform an exhaustive evaluation for criteria pollutants of the model as it has been made in past studies (see previous sections for adequate references), Table 1 presents an overview of the model performances for PM2.5 over Beijing, Europe and the USA. The performances will be more detailed in the next sections to analyses the ability of the model to simulate the identified dust events. For Beijing, the daily correlation is very good and the bias very low for this period. For Europe, as it is generally the case in this period an underestimation of PM2.5 is observed but the correlation is rather good particularly the spatial correlation. This underestimate is due to an underestimate of ammonium nitrate concentrations during the early spring pollution events and a lack of wood burning emissions in emission inventories [50]. For the USA, a negative bias is also observed with a lower correlation mainly driven by a low spatial correlation. In addition, Table S1 in supplementary material provides an overview of the evaluation at AERONET sites, at those sites CHIMERE generally overestimates the AOD and the time correlation is poor except at low latitude stations were more hourly data are available thanks to clear sky conditions. However, the spatial correlation is better.

Table 1. Average performance of CHIMERE for PM2.5 based on daily values in March 2014 over the Beijing area (all urban and rural background stations), USA (all background rural stations) and Europe (EMEP background rural stations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
<th>Mod.</th>
<th>RMSE *</th>
<th>Cor †</th>
<th>Total number of daily data</th>
<th>Spatial Correlation ‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>µg m⁻³</td>
<td>µg m⁻³</td>
<td>µg m⁻³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>0.75 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>0.86 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>12953</td>
<td>0.04 (367)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Root Mean Square Error
† Space-Time correlations based on total number of daily data
‡ Spatial correlation based on monthly data for each station given in brackets
3.2. Particle size distribution

March 16-19th is a very active period for dust episodes at the global scale, several outbreaks of dust in Asia and USA are observed occurring at the same time (Figure 5). These events will be discussed later in the regional focuses of the paper. The transport of dust in Asia can be observed with pollution plumes advected toward the Pacific Ocean later in March, a transatlantic transport of dust from Africa to the Caribbean is also simulated on March 16th. Over the north America, dust from south-west of USA are transported over the Pacific Ocean. For this date, the bin number providing the maximum PM concentration is also plotted (Figure 6). This maximum is the highest close to the dust emissions area with a peak around bin 9 and 10, i.e. around 10 µm, this peak is below 2.5 µm (bin 5 and 6) for areas influenced by anthropogenic sources, and in between elsewhere. At the bottom of Figure 6, the mean normalized size distributions for dust is displayed and compared to black carbon and sulfate. This distribution is averaged over the whole hemispheric domain for each model level (n°1 – 16) from the surface to the top for March 18th, 2014. On average, for dust, a single peak around 2 µm in diameter is retrieved by the model, the contribution of the last bin decrease for the highest levels consistent with the effect of sedimentation of particles however a slight shift of the peak is simulated that is certainly an effect of condensation of secondary species that makes the particles growing. The black carbon distribution displays a bi-modal pattern around 0.2 µm and 3 µm and consistent to what was observed in Europe during field campaigns on background sites [84]. For sulfates, the model simulates a single peak around 0.8 µm and also high
concentrations around 0.2 µm; this is consistent with experimental findings [85-86] as the results of condensation of sulfate on the maximum of surface available for mass transfer onto particles. For AERONET sites a main peak is generally observed around 4 µm and a second peak around 0.2 µm [87], this second peak being certainly due to anthropogenic sources, the main peak being driven by windblown dust emissions. It is important to note that in CHIMERE the hypothesis of internal mixing is made for the aerosols, therefore mineral dust participates directly or indirectly to chemical and microphysical processes (coagulation, condensation so far). This assumption is validated by experimental evidences in many studies [88] and allows a full interaction between all constitutive species of particles. Nevertheless, in this version of CHIMERE the formation of coarse nitrate due to the reaction of calcium with nitric acid (issued from the anthropogenic pollution) is not considered and could enhanced the interactions between natural and anthropogenic emissions as it has been studied in China [89].

Figure 5. Daily surface dust concentrations simulated by CHIMERE for the March 16th - 19th 2014 period

Figure 6: Top panel: Map of the size bin number corresponding to the maximum surface concentrations for the mean total PM concentrations on March 18th, 2014. Bottom panel: Mean normalized size distributions for dust compared to black carbon and sulfate, averaged over the whole hemispheric domain for each model level [1 – 16] from the surface to the top for March 18th, 2014.
The transport of “giant” mineral particles (with particle diameter exceeding 10 µm) according a recent study which can be defined as particles with diameter exceeding 10 µm is rarely documented in the literature [90]. This recent study analyses a specific Asian episode leading to the delivery of big particles in Korea thanks to mid-tropospheric strong winds. In Figure 6, the pattern close to Korea (showing that the maximum size bin is largely influenced by dust) confirms the possibility of long-range transport of “giant” particles far from emission areas. As mentioned in the introductive section the added value of using models with fine horizontal resolutions [31] allows to better reproduce the processes of particle lifting like through the parametrization of deep convection processes activated in this version of CHIMERE [44], however as suggested in [31] an explicit resolution of convection will enhance the capacity of the model to transport big particles on very long range transport, but this remains too much time consuming so far.

The evolution of the size of particles can also be visualized in Figure 7 which provides an overview of concentration fields of dust concentrations through latitudinal cross sections [0°N – 90°N] averaged over boxes encompassing the Pacific and Atlantic Ocean, respectively within longitudes ranges of [122°E – 124°W] and [80°W – 17°W]. Over the Pacific Ocean the maximum dust concentrations are located at 2 – 3 km in altitude at the interface with the boundary layer in midlatitude regions 35-40°N resulting mainly of the transpacific transport of Asian dust emissions mixed with diluted Saharan dust transported by southwesterly fluxes. In Figure 7 the deep convection updraft flux integrated over the column is displayed as an indicator of the convective activity. A peak is observed over the Equatorial Pacific and an increase is also observed at midlatitudes that could enhance the lift of dust particles within the free troposphere. Over the Atlantic Ocean the altitude of the maximum dust concentrations is lower, within the marine boundary layer and very close to the Equatorial area at 5-10°N as the results of trans-Atlantic transport of Saharan dust by the trade winds (easterly flux). The maximum dust concentrations are linked to the lowest contribution of fine particles (with diameter lower than 2.5 µm), in background areas of the atmosphere the fine fraction contribution is generally higher due to the sedimentation and dry deposition of the biggest particles. In addition, in the lower troposphere, the lowest concentrations are simulated near the polar easterlies area (about 60°N) and a second maxima of concentrations between 2 and 3 km in altitude in the polar cell above 80°N, this specific location over the poles is confirmed during the AeroCom experiment [91]. A close look at vertical wind speeds shows slight positive vertical winds around 2 – 3 km in altitude (Figure S11 in supplementary material) and downwind upper in altitude which can explain this feature. This second maxima of concentrations results from the accumulation of dust in this more stable area above the boundary layer which is very low over the poles, however a slight increase of ground wind speed is observed, indeed if wind velocities are generally low in this region, sudden gales can be frequently observed.

3.3. Deposition of mineral dust in march 2014

Dust deposition fluxes are much more important over the Atlantic Ocean compare to the Pacific Ocean due to higher concentrations over the Atlantic, except over mid latitude over Pacific between 30 and 50°N. On the northern hemisphere, the dry deposition simulated by CHIMERE dominates the total deposition (Figure S11 in supplementary material), while global models generally overestimate the role of wet deposition [83]. The total monthly averaged deposition fluxes (dry and wet) are in line with those reported in the literature [92] presented on a yearly basis even if the range of model results can vary within an order of magnitude due to differences in dust emissions and parameterization of processes. A ratio Ω for the dry (d) and wet (w) deposition processes at a given latitude (lat) defined in the set of Equations (1), as the ratio of scavenging coefficients in the coarse (defined here as particle diameter in the range 2.5 – 40 µm) over scavenging coefficient in the fine fraction of dust (particle diameter below 2.5 µm):
with \( D \) (\( \mu g \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1} \)) and \( C \) (\( \mu g \ cm^{-3} \)) respectively the deposition fluxes (dry or wet) and concentrations for dust in the coarse and fine fraction of particles averaged over hours, longitude (lon), \( N_{\text{lon}} \) is the number of longitudes considered. The concentrations are vertically averaged (ver=1-16, \( H_{\text{top}} \) is the top of the vertical mesh) for the wet deposition as it concerns the whole column (col) by in- and sub-cloud scavenging, and for dry deposition only the ground concentration is used. The scavenging coefficient \( \Lambda \) is a type of daily deposition velocities for a given latitude for the coarse and fine fraction of dust because there are no differences between the treatment of in-cloud scavenging for coarse and fine particles in CHIMERE [42]. However, this ratio between the coarse and fine fractions of dust because there are no differences between the treatment of in-cloud scavenging increases leading to lower differences (decrease of \( \Omega \)) between the washout of the coarse and fine fractions of dust because there are no differences between the treatment of in-cloud scavenging for coarse and fine particles in CHIMERE [42]. However, this ratio \( \Omega \) is generally high with values above 10 and even 200 close to the equator meaning that sub-cloud scavenging largely dominates the dust deposition in this area. These \( \Omega \) large values are the results of a sharp increase of the impact efficiency between falling droplets and the particles between 2 and 10 \( \mu m \), which can change with two orders of magnitude [93-94]. Over the northern latitudes (above 70°N), particularly over the Pacific Ocean, the precipitations decrease, the dry deposition fluxes become higher than the wet deposition, the wind speed increases and governs the dry deposition fluxes that is known to be positively correlated with wind velocity [95]. On average, \( \Omega \) is in the range 3 to 10 meaning that coarse particles are more influenced by dry deposition due to higher sedimentation velocities.
Figure 7. Monthly mean latitudinal cross sections (Altitude a. g. l. versus Latitude °N) for several variables in March 2014 simulated by CHIMERE for two areas encompassing the Atlantic (80°W – 17°W) and the Pacific (122°E – 124°W) Ocean areas. Top panels represent the mean dust concentrations with bold dashed lines representing the fine fraction of total dusts (%), the grey dotted lines are the average zonal winds (conventionally, westerly wind are positive). Bottom charts represent the evolution of various parameters along the corresponding cross sections and spatially averaged over the longitude: the boundary layer (BL) height in km, the total precipitation (convective and large scale) in cm month⁻¹, the deep convection updraft flux summed over the column in g air m⁻² s⁻¹, the mean cloud water content averaged over the first 10 model layers (approximately 2500 m), the wet and dry deposition fluxes of dust sum over time (monthly) in mg dust m⁻² month⁻¹ and the ratio Ω (unit less) of dry (d) and wet (w) scavenging coefficients coarse versus fine particles as defined in equation (1).

3.4. Transport of mineral dust simulated by CHIMERE in March 2014

Figure 8 represents the monthly averaged integrated dust concentrations C (in mg m⁻²) over the boundary layer (BL) as given by the meteorological driver and the free troposphere (FT) height according Equation (2), the ratio of the FT concentrations to the total column (BL+FT) is also represented as computed by Equation (3).

\[
C_{BL \text{ or } FT}[mg \ m^{-2}] = \sum_{h=BL \text{ or } FT} \left( \sum_{\text{Time}} \frac{C[\mu g \ m^{-3}] \times \Delta h[m]}{1000} \right) \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{Ratio ()} = \frac{C_{FT}[mg \ m^{-2}]}{(C_{FT}[mg \ m^{-2}] + C_{BL}[mg \ m^{-2}]}) \tag{3}
\]

This unusual way to represent the concentrations allows to reveal the way the dusts are advected in the northern hemisphere (Figure 8). Figure S7-S10 in supplementary material provides the full daily evolution of the concentrations in March 2014. The patterns are different with a more spread structure in the free troposphere with a shift towards the North-East compare to the concentrations in the BL. Generally, the highest dust loads are located and diluted in the FT allowing an efficient long range transport because they are less influenced by deposition and submitted to high velocity winds. Above the 45°N latitude more than 80% of the dust load is in the free troposphere. Around the 40°N latitude area, the transpacific transport of dust from Asia to the USA used the free troposphere layers while this transport seems more balanced between Africa and the Caribbean area. High dust loads in the BL are simulated over the emission areas because of elevated height of planetary boundary layers in these regions. The western Europe is clearly affected by dust transport in the free troposphere. In Figure S7-S10 in supplementary material, we can clearly see the
evolution of the dust emitted in Africa and the Middle East begin of March blown up into the free
troposphere and transported over East Asia Mid-March. At the end of March a large plume emitted
in Africa flies over Europe and reaches the Arctic, participating to the accumulation of dust in this
region as previously described.

Figure 8: Averaged monthly mean concentration of total dust integrated over the Boundary Layer (top
panel) and above in the Free Troposphere (middle panel) in mg m$^{-2}$, and ratio in % of the FT
concentrations versus the total column (BL+FT) (bottom panel) as defined in equations (2)
and (3).

An overview of the aerosol load can be observed from space using the equipment on board
Terra and Aqua satellites. The MIRS instrument as previously described allows to generate monthly
maps of AOD at 555nm such the one presented in Figure 9 (top panel). Unfortunately, the cloud
screening does not allow a full overview of the aerosol load across the world particularly at the
highest latitudes. In the bottom panel of Figure 9, the AOD computed by CHIMERE provides the
AOD 555nm without cloud screening. The model underestimates the AOD in the lowest latitude
mainly due to the missing fire emissions that are not included in this simulation, however the
pattern of dust from Africa to the Caribbean zone can be identified. Over the north Atlantic and Pacific Ocean, the high AOD simulated is mainly due to sea salts over the Atlantic Ocean (due to a high absorption of water by sea salts in ISORROPIA calculations) and a mix with anthropogenic aerosols and natural dust over the Pacific Ocean, this pattern can be observed by the MISR instrument but with a lower intensity due to the cloud screening. Elsewhere at lower latitude, in India for instance, as March is a month with clear sky conditions (pre-monsoon season in many regions), a comparison between CHIMERE and MISR data can be made and generally the same pattern can be observed with an underestimation of CHIMERE AOD. The Chinese plume simulated by CHIMERE cannot be caught by the instrument due to the presence of clouds but a small fraction can be observed over the Beijing area.

4. Discussion on regional dust events

4.1. Dust outbreaks over North America

In arid and semi-arid climates of North America (Arizona, New Mexico, California, Utah, Colorado and Texas) dust storms are commonly observed particularly during the March-May period [96]. In El Paso, TX alone, a study [97] reported that an average of 14.5 significant dust events (i.e. blowing dust leading to visibility reductions of 6 miles or less for duration of 2 h or more) have occurred annually since 1932.

Several dust episodes can be observed over the Southern USA in March 2014, some of them have been discussed and commented in media and press release of scientific institutions only. Over
the North America, the 11-12/03, 16/03 and 18-19/03 episodes were reported in media [98] and
Internet institutional resources [99-100]. The March 18th episode was particularly commented, a
low-pressure system brought strong winds—gusting to 85 km h$^{-1}$ —to the Southern Plains March
18th, 2014. The winds picked up exposed soil from the parched landscape, resulting in a large dust
storm that covered parts of Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, and Texas. The storm was
the second in the week to sweep across the region with similar wind patterns. The dust originated
from New Mexico. The plume stretched across about 175 km, but was dissipated a couple of hours
later. The large images reported in the NASA report [100] shows dust across a wider area, including
a second large dust plume in southern Colorado, Kansas, and Oklahoma.

Some stations from the USEPA air quality network have been selected to analyses the March
2014 dust events close to soil dust emission areas, mostly in the south west of US (Figure 10). The
PM2.5 observations have been selected to be consistent with the IMPROVE data that consist in data
in the fine fraction of particles. The three episodes can be identified in most of selected stations and
are clearly correlated to the presence of dust in the model outputs. The correlation coefficient based
on hourly values is in the range 0.3 to 0.6 for these stations and the timing of the soil dust episodes is
rather good (a correlation based on hourly value is very hard for such a model), however the
intensity of the events is underestimated at the station. On average, the bias is rather low, the
background observed and simulated concentrations are closed to 10 µg m$^{-3}$. During the dust
outbreaks, PM2.5 concentrations can reach and exceed 100 µg m$^{-3}$. The evolution of soil dust
concentrations in the PM2.5 fraction of particles is displayed in Figure 11 and confirms the ability of
the model to rather well locate the pattern of the plume events. From March 16th, the dust plume is
advected toward Mexico over the “Baja California” region. Manned SYNOP observations indicate
the presence of dust from March 16th and 21st particularly in “Baja California” (Ciudad Constitucion:
25.00°N - 111.67°W) where the presence of dust is reported several times on March 16th. All stations
in Chihuahua (city located in the very north of Mexico close to the USA border) displays high PM
concentrations on March 13th with PM2.5 hourly values largely exceeding 100 µg m$^{-3}$ at the Sur
station (urban station located 28.63°N - 106.04°W) and two other peaks on March 17th and 21st, these
three events are well detected by CHIMERE despite an underestimation (Figure S12 in
supplementary material) certainly due to local dust or resuspension of road traffic dust. Mexican
data can be accessed at http://sinaica.inecc.gob.mx/ that is the Air quality information system in
Mexico. High concentrations are also observed at this station on March 19th and 20th confirming the
extension of the plume far from the emission areas in US. The comparison of CALIPSO data
identified as “dusty marine” in Figure S1 in supplementary material (NAM5 trajectory) clearly
confirms the dust load within the boundary layer simulated by CHIMERE over the Pacific Ocean
between 10° and 30°N, dusts are mixed with sea salts (“dusty marine” category for CALIPSO
products).
Figure 10. Hourly time series of simulated and observed PM2.5 concentrations at six stations of the US air quality network influenced by the three dust episodes: Seiling Municipal Airport (36.16°N - 98.93°W), Big Bend National Park (29.30°N - 103.18°W), Dallas Hinton (32.82°N - 96.86°W), El Paso Utep (31.77°N - 106.50°W), Odessa Gonzales (31.87°N - 102.33°W) and Amarillo AandM (35.20°N - 101.91°W). The orange shaded area represents the dust concentrations simulated by CHIMERE for the corresponding PM matrix.

Figure 11. Modelled daily mean dust concentrations in the fine fraction of PM with CHIMERE versus observational data at selected IMPROVE stations (below 2000m a. s. l.) from March 10th to March 21st, 2014. The circles represent the PM2.5 observational data. Manned “dust” SYNOP observations are displayed at WMO stations (“star” symbol), a symbol is plotted if at least one observation is reported for a given day.

The three events can also be observed thanks to the MODIS data despite the presence of clouds which limits the detection of the full area of emissions. As depicted in Figure 12, MODIS data are compared with the AOD computed with CHIMERE at the same wavelength (555 nm), the pattern of over the emission areas in the south east of Colorado on March 11th and two high concentrations area on March 18th over an area located in the intersection of Colorado, New Mexico and Kansas states and the other one over Texas. On March 16th, the pattern observed by MODIS is more difficult to reproduce, nevertheless the small structure over Texas exists also in the model outputs with a lower
intensity, this is confirmed by the PM2.5 observations in this region which match with the simulated concentration.

Figure 12. MODIS AOD 555 nm (Merged DT/DB Aerosol Optical Depth Land and Ocean product) on the left column compared to CHIMERE AOD (second column) for the same time period of the satellite passes (average values from 18:00 – 22:00 UTC on March, 11th, 16th and 18th from the top to bottom to fit with the satellite ascending and descending passes). Dust concentrations in the fine fraction of particles and PM2.5 concentrations for the same time periods are respectively displayed in column third and fourth. The circles represent the ground observational data for PM2.5.

4.2. Dust outbreaks in Europe

As mentioned in the introductory section, Europe is commonly influenced by Saharan dust outbreaks particularly around the Mediterranean basin. France, Belgium, Netherland and Germany was affected mid-March 2014 by an important anthropogenic pollution episode due to a combination of favorable meteorological conditions and emissions from residential heating, industry, road traffic and particularly ammonia in this intensive spreading period [101]. End of March and begin of April a second episode was recorded [76]. In the early part of the episode, Saharan dust remained aloft above the UK; the presence of dusts at surface level was only simulated during the second part of the episode and over a small geographic area in southern UK. The analyses presented in their study illustrate the capability of air quality models, corroborated with chemically-speciated measurements, to identify the underlying causes of complex PM air pollution episodes. Specifically, the analyses highlight the substantial contribution of secondary inorganic ammonium nitrate PM, with agricultural ammonia emissions in continental Europe presenting a major driver. This event, also observed by other satellites like Meteosat-10 [102], was associated with rainfalls in UK, France and Italy. For March 2014, the time correlation is quite low for the stations far from the sources but for the Mediterranean station CY0002R exhibits a good correlation capturing the dust outbreaks from Africa to Asia. The Armenian station AM0001R is located close to Asian emission areas and is also influenced by Saharan dust outbreaks under south westerly air masses fluxes.

A spectacular dust outbreak over the Atlantic Ocean on March 10th was caught by MODIS data as shown in Figure 13 and well captured by the model. The dust plume emitted over the Sahara was quickly ventilated in the free troposphere and blocked by high pressure systems developing over the European continental areas which will lead to the development of the anthropogenic pollution Mid-March over the western Europe. March 10th was the very beginning of this anthropogenic
pollution event but this dust outbreak will remain over the ocean in the free troposphere and partly in marine boundary layer as shown in Figure S2 (EUR1 and EUR2 trajectory), the model captured well this event and its vertical extend up to 9 km in altitude.

The main dust outbreak which concern the ground level in Europe, started on March 29th with dust emitted over the Sahara. Calcium measurements (transposed in “dust” measurements by multiplying by a factor 8 [73]) confirm that the dust event was mainly confined in the free troposphere as shown by the CALIPSO data displayed in Figure S2 in supplementary material. The comparison with CHIMERE (Figure 14) shows that the model tends to transport a fraction of the plume within the boundary layer in some part of Europe as supported by modelling results presented in Figure S2 in supplementary material. This is confirmed at the Spanish station ES0014R close to Barcelona in the lands where dust concentrations tend to increase (Figure 14). The AERONET station in Murcia (38°N – 1.17°W, South of Spain) detects the dust event and the model is rather well in agreement with the observations at this station with an AOD exceeding 1 and low angstrom coefficient (close to 0) are observed on March 29th confirming the coarse nature of particles (Figure 15). The time correlation between observed and modelled values is 0.85 on average for March 2014 on an hourly basis in Murcia. A pattern of high dust concentrations simulated by CHIMERE in the south west of France and in the center of Spain can be observed in the top panel of Figure 8. Dust concentrations are generally higher in the mountainous regions certainly due to a deceleration of the dust-carrying flow [103] as it approaches the mountains with specific orographic effects around the Pyrenees leading to local maxima in the boundary layer observed in the south west of France. Southerly air mass fluxes are associated to foehn effects over the Pyrenees, this orographic effect has been observed in China [104] leading to very stable boundary layers in the up valleys enhancing the rise of air pollutant concentrations. However, north of the Pyrenees at about 100km, this synoptic southerly flux generates a south-east wind (“Autan” wind) which lowers the concentrations and explains the high boundary layer height even at night. Wind gusts up to 70 km hr⁻¹ were recorded in Auch 100 km north of the Pyrenees chain (43.65°N – 0.58°E) on March 29th,
while the meteorological conditions very close to the mountains were calm with a low boundary layer height. At the background EMEP station Peyrusse Vieille about 100 km north of the Pyrenees (43.62°N - 0.18°E) shows an increase of the coarse fraction to 35% of PM10 on March 31st compare to the days before where usually the coarse fraction is in the range 20-25%, the same phenomenon is observed in Toulouse also close to the Pyrenees with a coarse fraction exceeding 50% for urban background sites on March 31st associated to an increase of PM concentrations (data freely available on the French national forecasting system PREV'AIR, www.prevair.org). These potential orographic effects captured at this resolution with a dust plume coming from Sahara and blocked behind the Pyrenees mountain chain (Figure 16). Table 2 reports the measurements and models results at the closest station (Billere 43.31°N - 0.39°E in the suburb of Pau) in the up valley of the Pyrenees and confirms an increase of concentrations with a decrease of the PM2.5/PM10 ratio accrediting the presence of mineral dust in this area, although the concentrations are overestimated by the model.

Figure 17 shows the end of March 2014 evolution of hourly PM10 concentrations and mass distributions (expressed in dM/dlogDp) at the altitude site Pic du Midi station (elevation: 2876 m) located in the Pyrenees mountain chain. The observed mass distribution was derived from the number distribution from 0.3 to 10 µm) using a density of 2.6 g cm⁻³ typical for dust [105]. The observations clearly show the sudden increase of concentrations with particle size diameter above 2.5 µm confirming the assumption of a desert dust outbreak. CHIMERE overestimates the background concentrations (before the dust event) but the timing of the dust event occurrence is again perfectly captured with an increase of concentrations of particles with diameter above 2.5 µm.

Far away in the north of Europe, at the Danish station DK0012R a small signal can be observed (Figure 14), a slight increase of calcium measurements is observed and captured by the model. According to model results the dust plume extension (Figure S10 in supplementary material) is simulated up to the Arctic regions on March 30th-31st, unfortunately fully trustable satellite data are difficult to use to confirm this feature. Looking at AERONET version 1.5 data (cloud screened data) which were carefully used in recent works [106] compared to version 2 (cloud screened and quality-assured data) in Figure S13 in supplementary material shows a sharp increase of the AOD on March 30th which could indicate the arrival of this dust plumes at Ittoqqortoormiit station (70.48°N - 21.95°E, Greenland).

Table 2. Daily evolution end of March 2014 of observed and simulated concentrations at Billere (north of Pyrenees mountain chain), the urban background station the closest to the Pyrenees with both PM10 and PM2.5 measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>PM25</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM25/PM10</th>
<th>PM25</th>
<th>PM10</th>
<th>PM25/PM10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26/03</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/03</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/03</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/03</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/03</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/03</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 14. Daily time series of dust concentrations (in the PM10 or PM2.5 fractions) for 4 sites in Europe in March 2014 (ES0014R 41.40°N - 0.72°E Els Torms, Spain; AM0001R 44.26°N - 40.38°E Amberd, Armenia; DK0012R 55.69°N - 12.09°E Risoe, Denmark; CY0002R 35.04°N - 33.06°E, E Ayia Marina, Cyprus)

Figure 15. Time series of simulated (CHIMERE) and observed AERONET AOD 870 nm for March 2014 at Murcia (South east of Spain)
Figure 16. Vertical cross section of dust concentrations passing over the Pyrenees (PY) and starting from Africa (AF). The dashed lines represent the ratio PM2.5 versus Total dust (particle diameter up to 40 µm).

Figure 17. End of March evolution of the PM10 concentrations at the Pic du Midi station (42.94°N – 0.14°E, altitude: 2876m) and size distributions (Observations versus Modeled values).

4.3. Dust outbreaks over the Caribbean areas

The trans-Atlantic transport of dust from Africa to the Caribbean area has been extensively reported in the literature [4,107]. Dust predictions are generally of acceptable quality for the first several days after dust emission up to 2000 km west of the African continent [108], the removal of dust from the atmosphere is too strong for large transport paths in the global models, and the
simulated fine-to-coarse dust ratio (in terms of mass concentration and light extinction) is too high in the models compared to the observations. This deviation is already given close to the dust sources and then increases with distance from Africa. No specific scientific publication was published so far on the recorded episodes in March 2014 over the Caribbean area.

Figure 18 shows the evolution of dust concentrations along a cross section from Guinea to the French Guiana. This transport occurs in the low troposphere and is confirmed by the latitudinal cross section issued from CALIPSO (Figure 19) and very well reproduced by the model. The increase of the boundary layer height from the African shores toward South America is related to the rise of sea surface temperature along the path (cf. global sea temperature in March 2014 reported Figure S14 in supplementary material accessible at the internet NASA resource: https://neo.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov ). The high boundary layer height over Africa mix the dust within the boundary layer with a ventilation up to the free troposphere at about 5km in altitude in this region. The fine fraction of dust increase from Africa to South America mainly due to sedimentation effects of the coarse particles but the total dust concentrations remain rather high along the pathway. The timing of the arrival on March 5th and 6th over the Caribbean is perfectly captured by CHIMERE (Figure 20), however the maximum value is overestimated. This good behavior of the model is partly due to the ability of the model to simulate the emission fluxes of dust over the Sahara, the station in Cinzana close to emission areas show very good agreement between the model and observational data. The AOD simulated by CHIMERE at Dakar, Cinzana and Capo Verde stations (Figure 21) for March 2014 is very well reproduced compared to the AERONET retrievals with time correlations of 0.76, 0.70 and 0.79 respectively. A second phase of trans-Atlantic transport is observed end of March thanks to the CALIPSO data showing “dusty marine” and “dust” types of aerosols in the low troposphere also perfectly reproduced by the model (Figure S3 in supplementary material). However, the contribution attributed is low but a clear correlation can be observed between the dust load in CHIMERE and the PMcoarse measurements (Figure 20).

Figure 18: Arrival of the main dust episode over south America. Cross section of total dust concentrations fields (Altitude versus Longitude from) from Africa (GU for Guinea 11°N) to South America (FG for French Guiana 3°N) from March 3rd 03:00 UTC to March 5th 03:00 UTC. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %.
Figure 19. Cross section of CHIMERE dust concentrations (right) on March 5th, 2014 15:00 UTC zoomed over the highest values area versus the corresponding CALIPSO qualitative data (left) showing in yellow the dust load and in orange the polluted dust, for the CALIPSO trajectory CAR1 as reported in Figure 2. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %.

Figure 20. Hourly time series in March 2014 of simulated and observed PM concentrations in two stations of the Caribbean area operated by the French air quality networks (Cayenne, main city of French Guiana 4.93°N-52.31°W, and Fort-de-France in Martinique Island 14.61°N-61.05°W). The orange shade area represents the dust concentrations simulated by CHIMERE for the corresponding PM matrix.
4.4. Dust outbreaks in Central Asia

Dust storms are the main cause of air pollution in Central Asia, including Kazakhstan, affecting the ecology as a whole, and the health and living conditions at local levels. Dust, sand and salt storms occurring in Central Asian, Kazakhstan natural and anthropogenic deserts transport large amounts of deflated material over long distances, significantly affecting agricultural areas [109]. The drastic desiccation of the Aral Sea led to the intensive development of desertification processes in the region and the formation of a new desert, the Aralkum. In the last few decades, the Aralkum has become the new “hot spot” of dust and salt storms in the region. Dust storms and their source areas have been determined and analyzed by satellite data. An analysis of the land-cover changes in the dried bottom of the Aral Sea revealed that the north-eastern part of the Aralkum Desert is one of the most active dust sources in the region, responsible for high aerosol concentrations in the atmosphere particularly in spring [110-111]. The dust source areas are identified as the Iraq-Saudi Arabia boundary region and (recently) the northwest of Iraq, using MODIS deep blue aerosol optical depth data. Subsequently, a lagged correlation was implemented between identified dust sources and whole West Asia to determine the main paths and receptors of intense dust storms. Accordingly, southwest of Iran and Persian Gulf countries were determined as main receptors of summertime dust storms in West Asia. During spring, dust storms mostly hit the northern half of the region and reach to the Caspian Sea. Analyzing atmospheric patterns, Shamal (north westerly wind over the Middle East area) and Frontal patterns were found as dominant atmospheric circulations simultaneous with summertime and springtime dust storms, respectively [112]. In this region, a specific work described in a recent study [113] analyzed the impact of a change in horizontal distribution of emissions that lead to better simulations of dust storms with the WRF-chem model. The predominant source of mineral dust reaching the “Nepal Climate Observatory-Pyramid”, the highest Northern Hemisphere GAW-WMO global station sited at 5079 m a.s.l. in the high Khumbu valley (Nepal) on the southern Himalaya was identified in the arid regions of the north-western Indian subcontinent (Thar desert), which accounted for 41.6% of the trajectories points associated with dust transport days [114]. In this study, seasonal analysis also indicated that the winter season was significantly influenced by far western desert regions, such as North Africa and the Arabian Peninsula, their findings agree with other researches focusing on the south of Asia [115-116] with a peak of long range transport of dust in February-March due to changes in meteorological condition related to the starting of the Monsoon Season.
To our knowledge, the March 2014 dust episodes identified in our study were not reported in the scientific literature. Figure 22 shows a qualitative comparison between CALIOP-CALIPSO data and CHIMERE along the passes of the satellites. On March 16th, the pattern over the Arabian Peninsula is rather well reproduced by CHIMERE, even the observed "dust marine" concentrations above the marine boundary layer is reproduced by the model between 10°N and 15°N in latitude. On March 18th, the satellite passes over the same regions but slightly more on the east. The two main hot spots over the Arabian Peninsula and Iran are again very well reproduced by CHIMERE, above 45°N the presence of clouds makes difficult the comparisons. On March 18th, the satellite passes west of Tehran (about 35°N) with high dust concentrations are modelled by CHIMERE, and over the west coast of the Caspian Sea where the maximum concentrations remain above the boundary layer. The structure simulated around 45°N located in the North West of the Caspian Sea cannot be evaluated by CALIOP data due to the presence of clouds, it corresponds to a very emissive zone corresponding to the Volga delta, a large agricultural area (see Figure 3) where wind gusts exceeding 70 km h⁻¹ have been observed in the region. On March 19th and 20th the satellite flies over the Himalayan mountains and the comparison shows a quite good agreement between the model and the observational data, the model succeeds in simulating the main patterns with high concentrations in the free troposphere up to 8000m. Even the small structure observed by CALIOP very far from emission areas at 77°N on March 19th is captured by the model. For the last pass CAS6 on March 30th, the satellite flies over Iran the dust concentration structure is again very well reproduced by the model with high concentrations captured by the model between 27°N and 55°N. 

As shown in Figure 23, several meteorological SYNOP stations in central Asia recorded the presence of dust based on manned observations in the north of the Caspian Sea validating the pattern simulated by the model in this region, unfortunately the extension of the plume toward the north east to Central and East Siberia cannot be evaluated by any measurements, however Figure 23 shows a good agreement of the manned observations with surface dust concentrations where available. The pattern in China and Mongolia over the Gobi Desert on March 16th is particularly well captured, and this dust outbreak will be discussed in the next section of the paper.
Figure 22. Cross section of CHIMERE dust concentrations (right) for various dates zoomed over the highest values area versus the corresponding CALIOP-CALIPSO qualitative data (left) showing in yellow the dust load and in orange the polluted dust, for the CALIPSO trajectory from top to bottom respectively, CAS1, CAS2, CAS3, CAS4, CAS5 and CAS6 as reported in Figure 2. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %.
Figure 23. Evolution of daily mean total surface dust concentrations from March, 16th – 21st with manned “dust” SYNOP observations at WMO stations (“star” symbol), a symbol is plotted if at least one observation is reported for a given day.

4.5. Dust outbreaks over China

The major source areas of the Asian dust events affecting China are Gobi Desert, Taklimakan desert, and Loess Plateau [117-119]. Two major dust outbreaks affecting the region of Beijing are identified in March 2014, 12th-13th and 16th-18th, another episode is also identified end of March. Figure 24 shows a comparison between CHIMERE concentrations and CALIPSO qualitative data passing over the east part of China. The shape of dust concentrations is very well reproduced by CHIMERE and extend up to 5 and 6 km in altitude then largely ventilated into the free troposphere.

Figure 24: Cross section of CHIMERE dust concentrations (right) for two dates zoomed over the highest values area versus the corresponding CALIPSO qualitative data (left) showing in yellow the dust load and in orange the polluted dust, for the CALIPSO trajectories CNA4 (top) and CNA5 (bottom) as reported in Figure 2. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %.
The March 2014 episodes were discussed in previous studies [120-121], to understand the long-range transport processes of bioaerosols over the Asian-dust source regions. The mid-March episode was also studied with satellites data issued from CALIPSO to track the trans Pacific transport of dust from Asia to the North America [122]. These episodes were also studied by using a global model [123], they confirmed the importance of coarse-mode dust-nitrate as evidence of ‘polluted dust’ pollution. The model analysis showed that the formation of dust-nitrate occurred over the Yellow Sea and East China Sea before arriving in Japan. The mid-March episode was studied with the on-line coupled Weather Research and Forecasting-Chemistry (WRF-Chem) model over the Nanjing area focusing on the interactions between the dust load with the photochemistry [124]. Combined with observational data, the WRF-chem model has been recently used in a recent study [125] at 1°x1° to analyze the transport of a dust plume from Asia to the North America for an episode in March 2015. They show the dust plume located in the altitudes ranging from 1 km to 7 km over the source region, then ascended to 2 km–9 km over the Pacific Ocean. High wind speed enhancing the height of planetary boundary layer (PBL) tend to occur in spring in the northern China [126], which are favorable for the dust particles to be lofted into the free troposphere. These events were also analyzed in terms of emissions size distributions in Yinchuan, China close to Inner Mongolia [127], showing a two peaks emission for mass particle size distributions (PSD) but very different according the dust conditions (background, floating dust, blowing dust, and dust storm), these two peaks are located at 3-4 µm and around 10 µm. However, from in situ measurements compiled close to North African source regions [128], an emission peak is usually found around 10 µm. From campaign to campaign the PSD are very different [129] since the they depend on location of measurements and meteorological conditions. Other studies report size distribution curves of Asian dust often extending over 10 µm. In a previous study [130] a mean diameter of about 9 µm by using a cascade impactor in Seoul was reported. In CHIMERE (Figure 25), for the period only one peak is observed around 10 µm in Yinchuan, the missing first peak could be explained by an underestimation of the anthropogenic fraction of PM2.5 in the model due to a lack of emissions in the model for this city.

![Figure 25: Average mass distribution of particles simulated by CHIMERE for the period March, 17th 12:00 – March, 18th 01:00 (Beijing Time) as studied in Yinchuan [127], China (38.22°N - 106.48°E).](image-url)
Figure 26. Evolution of the ratio PMcoarse/PM10 in March 2014 for traffic (Dongsihuan 39.95°N – 116.49°E), urban (Olympic Center 39.98°N - 116.40°E) and rural (Yanqing 40.45°N -115.97°E) stations in the Beijing area from observational data and CHIMERE model outputs (not reported for the traffic station as the simulation resolution is not coherent).

As shown in Figure 26, the ratio PMcoarse/PM10 exceed 50%-60% during the previous identified episode, this threshold is usually mentioned to identify a mineral dust outbreak [15]. On average, the model captures quite well the evolution of PM concentrations over the Beijing area in March 2014 (Figure 27), the correlation is close to 0.8 for most of stations either for PM2.5 and PM10 concentrations and the bias is very low. However, the coarse fraction seems underestimated by the model (Figure 26). The dust outbreaks arriving on Beijing can be identified the simulated dust and the sudden rise of PM concentrations particularly the PMcoarse fraction particularly on March 17th with a maximum value reaching about 400 µg m\(^{-3}\) on an hourly basis over Beijing. Under less “dusty” conditions begin of March this coarse fraction is largely below 50 µg m\(^{-3}\). During the March 17th episode, the coarse fraction in the observations reaches 70% of PM10 on a daily basis with a model value of 72% in Yanqing station. A last dust episode end of march around the 18th appears in the simulation outputs starting at the end of an anthropogenic episodes which took place between March 22nd and 27th over Beijing. On March 28th, the CALIPSO data reveals the starting point of this dust episode over the Gobi Desert (Figure S5 in supplementary material, CNA5 trajectory) and a slight increase of coarse PM concentrations are observed at the rural station Yanqing near Beijing. At this station, the coarse fraction reaches 50% on March 28th in the model compare to less than 20% the days before during the anthropogenic pollution episode, this fraction is even larger in the observations reaching 60%. A closer look at the observations in Figure 26 shows that CHIMERE simulates higher coarse fraction ratio for rural stations compare to the urban station while the observations show the opposite with the highest values for the traffic station. This shows the importance of resuspension of dust over the cities that can be resuspended by vehicles or other anthropogenic (urban works, other human activities, etc.) or natural processes (windy conditions on flat surfaces), which lift more dust than in rural areas. These latter processes are not included or activated in CHIMERE for this study.
Figure 27. Hourly time series of PM concentrations and dust concentrations issued from CHIMERE for the PM2.5, PM10 and PMcoarse fractions versus observed values for two stations in Beijing: Yanqing (40.45°N -115.97°E) as a rural site located in the northeast of Beijing close to the Great Wall, and Olympic Center (39.98°N -116.40°E) as an urban site close to the center of Beijing. The orange shade area represents the dust concentrations simulated by CHIMERE for the corresponding PM matrix.

The evolution of the dust plumes over Beijing is displayed in Figure 28 which shows the evolution of concentrations along a longitudinal cross section passing over the capital of China. The dust comes from the Mongolian Plateau (1000-1500 m a.s.l), the Gobi Desert being the main source of this episode. The model simulates concentrations largely above 500 µg m\(^{-3}\) inside the plume that is located mainly within the boundary layer when it hits Beijing on March, 17\(^{th}\). The dust plumes are...
advected up to the Pacific Ocean but their transport occurs mainly in the free troposphere as shown in Figure 29. The role of topography (Japan and North Korean mountainous regions) is certainly of major importance to lift the dust up to the top of the boundary layer and inject them within the free troposphere. The role of topography on air pollutant dispersion in this region has already been identified in previous studies [131] but other processes like frontal activity, deep convection are usually identified, for instance the frontal systems, especially the so-called warm conveyor belts (WCBs), which are associated with extratropical cyclones, are dominant in the vertical redistribution of pollution in the midlatitudes. The role of the WCB is considered as the dominant advective transport mechanism for boundary-layer ventilation and transport of air pollutants into the free troposphere [126,132] and confirmed in a study in Asia for a specific dust event [133]. Our simulation shows very fine structures of the desert dust conveyed by the WCB even if these processes are large-scale events (Figure 29). In the AeroCom Project [91], for global models working at coarse resolutions it has been found that the boundary-layer mixing plays a dominant role for sea salt and mineral dust, which are emitted only from the surface, dry deposition and below-cloud scavenging were important for the vertical profile of mineral dust. On March 19th 15:00 UTC low dust concentrations integrated over the PBL can be observed in center of the cyclonic structure related to the sharp decrease of the marine boundary layer. During the studied period, a series of low pressure systems over the Pacific lift the dust plume up to the free troposphere. This transport of dust in the free troposphere far away over the Pacific Ocean is confirmed by the CALIPSO data shown in Figure S15 in supplementary material with a dust plume located between 4 and 6 km in altitude very well reproduced by CHIMERE.

Figure 28. Arrival of the second dust episode over Beijing. Longitudinal cross section of total dust concentrations fields (Altitude versus longitude) at 39.9°N latitude from 110°E to 120°E passing over Beijing (B) in blue letters) from March, 16th 18:00 UTC to March, 17th 18:00 UTC. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %. The full sequence of dust transport for the three episodes is depicted in Figure S16 an S17 in supplementary material.
Figure 29. Evolution of the different dust plumes toward the Pacific Ocean simulated by CHIMERE for March 19th, 2014 00:00 UTC. Top panel: Longitudinal cross section of total dust concentrations (altitude versus longitude) at 39.9°N (Beijing latitude) from longitude 110°E to 179°E passing over Beijing (BJ), North Korea (NK) and Japan (JP) on March 19th, 2014 00:00 UTC and travelling over the Pacific Ocean in a westerly flux. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %. Middle and bottom panels: Dust concentrations in the boundary layer (BL) and the free troposphere (FT) on March 19th, 2014 00:00 and 15:00 UTC.

5. Conclusions

A wide variety of observational data (satellite and ground based measurements) has been used to evaluate the ability of the chemistry transport model CHIMERE which was used at the hemispheric level at about 10 km resolution. The dust outbreak of dust through the northern hemisphere for the March 2014. The novelty of this work is to use a regional model at such a large scale with such a high resolution and focusing at several places in the world for the same short period and evaluate simultaneously the ability of the model to simulate dust outbreaks.

The CHIMERE model is able to simulate the main patterns of the dust concentrations compare to previous modelling studies and provide much more detailed structures due to the very fine resolution. In mid latitude, the transport of dust in low free troposphere is confirmed particularly over the Pacific Ocean while the transatlantic transport of dust from Sahara to the Caribbean area...
mainly occurs within the boundary layer. Close to the equatorial Pacific and Atlantic Oceans the fine fraction of dust is much more important due to the heavy precipitation which remove by sub-cloud scavenging the biggest particles. The background dust concentrations seem overestimated by the model when comparing the data at high latitude sites. A specific pattern is simulated with high dust concentrations over the pole at about 2-3 km in altitude, that is certainly the results of dust accumulation issued from long range transport. The effect of sedimentation for the biggest particles and the condensation and coagulation of the smallest ones shows a narrowing of the size aerosol distributions toward the upper levels of the atmosphere.

Several dust outbreaks have been identified in March 2014, some of them already studied in previous studies are very well captured by the model with a perfect timing. In China, the three main events are very well simulated the model showing a sharp increase of PM concentrations over the Beijing area due to dust issued from the Gobi Desert. Over the USA, three dust storms have been identified and analyzed with several observational datasets and CHIMERE is able to simulate these events with a rather good timing and magnitude. The evolution of the plumes toward Mexico and particularly “Baja California” is confirmed by available measurements. Europe is mainly affected during end of March with a dust plume that is mainly located in the free troposphere at the beginning with some intrusions within the boundary layer; this episode will affect later in April the UK [76]. The Caribbean area is affected by a long-range transport of dust from the Sahara and again the model perfectly captures the arrival timing of the plume but with a clear overestimation while the concentrations and AOD are in rather good agreement on African stations. The transport of dust in the low troposphere is confirmed by CALIPSO retrievals. Over Asia, several dust episodes are also identified and rather well captured by the model. Unfortunately, the difficulty to obtain PM measurements in Siberia and the impossibility to use satellite data due to the presence of clouds makes difficult the evaluation of the model, however the SYNOP manned measurements confirmed the evolution of the dust plumes in Central Asia.

While the timing of dust outbreaks is rather well simulated, one identified shortcoming of CHIMERE is the difficulty to simulate the background concentrations and the magnitude of dust events far from emission areas. Additional improvements must be initiated to better simulate the lowest concentration values far from emission areas which seems overestimated, processes related to wet deposition will be revised in the next version of CHIMERE to improve the results. The evolution of the size distribution has to be better analyzed in the next studies. Also the capacity of the model to transport thin layer of dust has to be improved, indeed the chemistry transport models tend to vertically diffuse the dust within the boundary layer and the free troposphere, more layers has to be implemented in CHIMERE in accordance with the available layers in the meteorological model driver. As identified in recent studies [134], the interactions of dust with meteorology through the radiative budget have also a strong influence on the emissions and diffusion within the boundary layer justifying the current work on a full-coupled version of CHIMERE with a mesoscale meteorological model [3]. These interactions must be considered between dust, clouds and chemical processes, which could influence the chemical regime regimes of trace elements in cloud droplets [135]. At the urban scale, the resuspension processes must be revisited or implemented in CHIMERE particularly to better simulate the PM10 concentrations in urban areas influenced by dry climatic conditions like Beijing in March before the rainy season.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supplementary material are available online at www.mdpi.com/link, Table S1. Error statistics (Correlation, Root Mean Square Error - RMSE, Bias) for CHIMERE at several AERONET stations over the north Hemisphere for March 2014; Table S2. Characteristics, locations of EBAS-EMEP sites used in this study; Figure S1. Cross sections of CHIMERE dust concentrations (right) for several orbits zoomed over the highest values area versus the corresponding CALIPSO qualitative data (left) showing in yellow the dust load and in orange the polluted dust, for the CALIPSO trajectories NAM1-6 as reported in Figure 2 in the publication. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %; Figure S2. Cross sections of CHIMERE dust concentrations (right) for several orbits zoomed over the highest values area versus the corresponding CALIPSO qualitative data (left) showing in yellow the dust load and in orange the polluted dust, for the CALIPSO trajectories EUR1-5 as
reported in Figure 2 in the publication. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %; **Figure S3.** Cross sections of CHIMERE dust concentrations (right) for several orbits zoomed over the highest values area versus the corresponding CALIPSO qualitative data (left) showing in yellow the dust load and in orange the polluted dust, for the CALIPSO trajectories CAR1-6 as reported in Figure 2 in the publication. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %; **Figure S4.** Cross sections of CHIMERE dust concentrations (right) for several orbits zoomed over the highest values area versus the corresponding CALIPSO qualitative data (left) showing in yellow the dust load and in orange the polluted dust, for the CALIPSO trajectories CAS1-6 as reported in Figure 2 in the publication. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %; **Figure S5.** Cross sections of CHIMERE dust concentrations (right) for several orbits zoomed over the highest values area versus the corresponding CALIPSO qualitative data (left) showing in yellow the dust load and in orange the polluted dust, for the CALIPSO trajectories CNA1-6 as reported in Figure 2 in the publication. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %; **Figure S6.** Map of site locations presented in the publication for AERONET stations (a), IMPROVE stations (b), US EPA networks for PM2.5 data (c), EBAS-EMEP stations (d) see Table S2 for the names of EMEP stations, French stations close to the Pyrenees area (e), Chinese stations (f) and the two stations in the Caribbean area (g); **Figure S7.** Evolution of mean daily concentrations integrated over the column (in g m^-2) of total dust from March 1st, to March 8th, 2014 in the free troposphere (FT) and the boundary layer (BL); **Figure S8.** Evolution of mean daily concentrations integrated over the column (in g m^-2) of total dust from March 9th, to March 16th, 2014 in the free troposphere (FT) and the boundary layer (BL); **Figure S9.** Evolution of mean daily concentrations integrated over the column (in g m^-2) of total dust from March 17th, to March 24th, 2014 in the free troposphere (FT) and the boundary layer (BL); **Figure S10.** Evolution of mean daily concentrations integrated over the column (in g m^-2) of total dust from March 25th, to March 31st, 2014 in the free troposphere (FT) and the boundary layer (BL); **Figure S11.** Monthly mean latitudinal cross sections (Altitude a. g. l. versus Latitude °N) for several variables in March 2014 simulated by CHIMERE over the north hemisphere. Top panels represent the mean dust concentrations with bold dashed lines representing the fine fraction of total dusts (%), the grey dotted lines are the average zonal winds (conventionally, westerly wind are positive). Bottom charts represent the evolution of various parameters along the corresponding cross sections and spatially averaged over the longitude: the boundary layer (BL) height in km, the total precipitation (convective and large scale) in cm month^-1, the deep convection updraft flux summed over the column in g m^-2 s^-1, the mean cloud water content averaged over the first 10 model layers (approximatively 2500m), the wet and dry deposition fluxes of dust sum over time (monthly) in mg_dust m^-2 month^-1 and the ratio Ω (unit less) of dry (d) and wet (w) scavenging coefficients coarse versus fine particles as defined in equation 1 in the publication; **Figure S12.** PM2.5 time series at Chihuahua station (Mexico) for CHIMERE and the corresponding observations. The orange shade area represents the dust in the PM2.5 matrix simulated by CHIMERE; **Figure S13.** AOD from AERONET network at Ittoqqortoormit in March 2014, level 1.5 (left) and level 2 (right) (source: NASA, https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/); **Figure S14.** Mean sea surface temperature in March 2014 (source: NASA https://worldview.earthdata.nasa.gov); **Figure S15.** Cross sections of CHIMERE dust concentrations (right) for several orbits zoomed over the highest values area versus the corresponding CALIPSO qualitative data (left) showing in yellow the dust load and in orange the polluted dust, for the CALIPSO trajectories PAC-1-2 as reported in Figure 2 in the publication. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %; **Figure S16.** Longitudinal cross section of total dust concentrations fields (Altitude versus longitude) at 39.9°N latitude from 110°E to 120°E passing over Beijing (B) in blue letters) from March 12th 00:00 UTC to March 15th, 21:00 UTC. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %; **Figure S17.** Longitudinal cross section of total dust concentrations fields (Altitude versus longitude) at 39.9°N latitude from 110°E to 120°E passing over Beijing (B) in blue letters) from March 16th 00:00 UTC to March 19th, 21:00 UTC. The dotted line represents the height of the boundary layer, the dashed lines represent the fraction of fine dust in %.
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