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Abstract

Although the European reports highlight an increase in community-acquired Legionnaires’ disease cases, the risk of *Legionella* spp. in private houses is underestimated. In Pisa (Italy) we performed a three-year survey on Legionella presence in 121 buildings with an independent hot water production (IB); 64 buildings with a central hot water production (CB); and 35 buildings with a solar thermal system for hot water production (TB). From all the 220 buildings *Legionella* spp. was researched in two hot water samples collected either at the recirculation point or at on the first floor and on the last floor, while the potable water quality was analyzed in three cold water samples collected at the inlet from the aqueduct network, at the exit from the autoclave, and at the most remote remote? tap. *Legionella pneumophila* sg1, *Legionella pneumophila* sg2-16 and not-pneumophila *Legionella* species were detected in 26% of the hot water networks, mostly in CB and TB. In these buildings we detected correlations between the presence of Legionella and the total chlorine concentration decrease or/and the increase of the temperature. Cold water resulted free from microbiological hazards, with the exception of *Serratia liquefaciens* and *Enterobacter cloacae* isolated at the exit from two different autoclaves. We observed an increase in total microbial counts at 22 and 37°C between the samples collected at the most remote taps compared to the ones collected at the inlet from the aqueduct. The study highlights a condition of potential risk for susceptible categories of population and supports the need for measures of risk assessment and control.
Introduction

*Legionella* spp. is a Gram negative aerobic bacterium, which is widely present in soil and freshwater but that can also contaminate water systems; it replicates between 25°C and 42°C surviving at higher temperatures [1-2]. *Legionella* infection may cause distinct clinical diseases as Legionnaires’ Disease and Pontiac fever. Infection is acquired by inhalation, aspiration or micro-aspiration of *Legionella* carrying aerosols. Droplets carrying the pathogen can originate by water spraying or by gurgling air through contaminated water. Immunocompromise, chronic diseases and old age are predisposing factors for the development of the disease [3]. *Legionella pneumophila* serogroup 1 is the species most commonly associated with the disease. It is the cause of 95% of *Legionella* infections in Europe and 85% worldwide [4-6]. The surveillance of legionellosis is coordinated in Europe by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), and in Italy by the Italian National Institute of Health. The latest data, related to 2015 give 1,553 cases of legionellosis in the Italian population, corresponding to a notification rate of 2.55 cases per million inhabitants. However, it must be noted that 2015 has seen an increase of 5.6% in the cases when compared with the previous year. Of the 1,553 notified cases, 83 (5.3%) were hospital acquired, while 1,221 (78.5%) were community acquired infections, underscoring the relevance of Legionella contamination of community environment and the subsequent need for control [7-8]. Literature data on Legionella contamination in air conditioning systems and hot water networks are available for hospitals [9-10], but, despite the high percentage of *Legionella* community acquired infections, few published papers have focused on the presence of Legionella in small residential buildings [11]. In Italy in
2015 a guideline for legionellosis control in all the settings has been updated [12]. This scientific document gives indications for prevention and control of Legionella in hot water networks with instructions for Legionella risk assessment in different contexts. Moreover, the Drinking Water Directive [13] identifies the importance and the responsibilities for water quality control in buildings. In a previous study, a survey on 81 Italian apartments buildings found the colonization of Legionella in 20% of hot water networks [14]. Following the previous published data, the aim of this study is the assessment of Legionella colonization in hot water networks in residential buildings in the Pisa district (Italy) in the period 2014-2017.

**Materials and Methods**

**Setting and inspections**

The survey was performed from April 2014 to April 2017 on 220 residential buildings located in Pisa (Italy). The buildings were mainly of small sizes with a range between four and twenty flats. 121 buildings had an independent hot water production (IB); 64 buildings had a central hot water production (CB); 35 buildings had a solar thermal system for hot water production (TB).

In each technical plant an inspection visit was performed with the aim of analyzing the adequate functioning of the thermal and water power plants. Inspections were conducted following a checklist organized with some information such as name, address of building, type of hot water productions system, presence of devices (recirculation, boilers, softeners, autoclaves, etc), water disinfection system, periodicity and type of
water system maintenance and cleaning, water supplying, and number of floor and apartments.

**Sampling**

From each water system three cold water samples were taken at the inlet from the aqueduct network into the building pipework (Point I); at the exit from pressure autoclave (point E); and at the most remote tap from the autoclave (point T). Therefore, 660 cold water samples were analyzed for the determination of potability requirements as suggested by the Council Directive 98/83/EC [13].

At the same time two hot water samples were collected either at the recirculation point or on the first floor of the building (Point A) and on the last floor (Point B). Therefore, 440 hot water samples were collected for *Legionella* spp. detection as suggested by the Italian guidelines for legionellosis control.

Water temperature and total chlorine concentration, were determined in cold and hot water samples, while pH, and conductivity values were measured only in hot water samples.

**Laboratory tests**

In accordance to the Council Directive 98/83/EC [13] total microbial count at 22°C and at 37°C, coliforms and enterococci counts were determined. Total microbial count was performed in Reasoner's 2A agar (Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK) according to ISO6222:2001 [15]. Coliforms and enterococci bacteria were researched in 100 ml samples using Colilert 100 ml (Idexx, US) and Slanetz Bartley Agar (Biolife, Italy),
respectively, according to ISO 9308-1:2012 [16] and ISO 7899-2:2003 [17]. Bacteria species confirmation of suspect colonies was obtained by Mini API galleries (bioMeriéux, France).

The samples were tested for the presence of *Legionella* in accordance to the Italian guidelines and ISO 11731:1998 [18]. One liter of water was filtrated through a 0.2 µm membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA), which was subsequently immersed in 10 ml of the same water and sonicated for 5 minutes, allowing the detachment of cells from the membrane and their suspension in water. Suspension was subjected to a thermal inactivation treatment at 50°C for 30 minutes with the aim to select *Legionella* spp., inactivating all the microbial species not resistant to high temperature. Afterwards 0.1 ml of the suspension was seeded in triplicate on Legionella BMPA selective medium (Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK) and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 7-10 days within jars containing modified atmosphere (2.5% CO2). Suspected Legionella colonies grown on the medium were subjected to specie and serogroup identification analysis using a multi-purpose latex agglutination test (Legionella Latex Test, Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK).

**Statistical analysis**

The Shapiro-Wilk test was performed to verify normality of distributions. The Kruskall-Wallis test and the Dunn's test were performed to compare the total microbial counts at 22 and at 37°C detected in different sampling points. Power tests were used to estimate the sample sizes, the 1-β values of the significant variables were > 0.8, assuring a low risk of type II error and an appropriate sample sizes. Correlation tests were performed and Pearson's coefficients were calculated with the aim to analyze the correlations
between physical-chemical parameters of the samples (temperature, chlorine concentration and conductivity) and the presence of *Legionella* spp. These tests were independently applied for IB, CB and TB. 95% confidence levels were defined for the statistical tests. Therefore, we considered the following ranges of values: 0-0.3 (weak correlation); 0.3-0.7 (moderate correlation); 0.7-1 (strong correlation). All statistical analysis were carried out using the SPSS software package, version 17.0.1.

**Results**

*Inspections and physical-chemical results*

All 121 IB present an autoclave system which collects municipal water before being injected in water networks. Water is softened in 36 out of 121 (30%) buildings. Cold water (mean 19.3±2.1°C) is disinfected with sodium hypochlorite, which was detected at points of use (Point I, Point E and Point T) in a concentration range between 0 and 0.21 mg/L (mean 0.11±0.06 mg/L). In these buildings each flat has an independent boiler for hot water production. Hot water (42.7±12.1°C) is treated with sodium hypochlorite at concentration between 0 and 0.24 mg/L (mean 0.18±0.09 mg/L) measured at Point A and Point B. Physical-chemical data, measured in hot water samples, showed pH values ranging from 5.7 to 7.3 (mean 6.5±0.9) and conductivity values between 344 to 1089 µS (mean 797±233 µS). Maintenance and cleaning activities of the central water supplies are performed on a half-yearly basis.

On the other hand, all 64 CB have an autoclave system, which distribute municipal water. Only 16 out of 64 (28%) central water supplies are provided with a softener. Sodium hypochlorite is the disinfectant used for cold and hot water treatment and its
concentration, detected at points of use, ranged from 0 to 0.1 mg/L (mean 0.04±0.03 mg/L) in cold water (18.6±2.6°C), and from 0 to 0.15 mg/L (mean 0.07±0.05 mg/L) in hot water (44.2±7.2°C). All buildings have a thermal central water system with a recirculation device, aimed to energy saving. Physical-chemical data, measured in hot water samples, showed pH values ranging from 5.9 to 7.5 (mean of 6.7±1.1) and conductivity values between 344 to 1081 µS (mean of 799±241 µS). Maintenance and cleaning activities of the cold and hot water systems are performed on a half-yearly basis.

Regarding the TB, all the 35 central water supplies have an autoclave system. 30 out of 35 (86%) water plumbing distribute municipal water, while 5 out of 35 (14%) of TB are fed by wells water. No buildings have softeners and an adequate disinfection method. Therefore, all cold water (17.9±2°C) and hot water (37.4±8.2°C) samples from TB were not chlorinated. All central water supplies have a boiler device for water storage, which is heated by solar thermal systems. Physical-chemical data, measured in hot water samples, showed pH values ranging from 5.8 to 7.1 (mean 6.9±1) and conductivity values between 1025 to 1100 µS (mean 1052±115 µS). Maintenance programs results absent in all the TB.

All physical-chemical value regarding the cold and hot water samples, collected at different points of use, are shown in Table 1.
### Building with independent hot water production (IB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS</th>
<th>HOT WATER SAMPLES</th>
<th>COLD WATER SAMPLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Point A</td>
<td>Point B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Chlorine (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.21±1.1</td>
<td>0.15±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.4±0.8</td>
<td>6.6±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (µS)</td>
<td>801±253</td>
<td>784±203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>48.1±8.6</td>
<td>39.9±15.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Building with central hot water production (CB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS</th>
<th>HOT WATER SAMPLES</th>
<th>COLD WATER SAMPLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Point A</td>
<td>Point B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Chlorine (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.09±0.07</td>
<td>0.05±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.6±1</td>
<td>6.7±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (µS)</td>
<td>791±235</td>
<td>805±254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>48.3±7.9</td>
<td>40.1±7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Building with solar thermal system for hot water production (TB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL PARAMETERS</th>
<th>HOT WATER SAMPLES</th>
<th>COLD WATER SAMPLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Point A</td>
<td>Point B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Chlorine (mg/L)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Mean values of physical-chemical (total chlorine, pH, conductivity and temperature) detected in hot and cold water sampled at different point of use (Point A, Point B, Point I, Point E, and Point T) of the buildings IB, CB and TB. NA= Not Applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IB</th>
<th>CB</th>
<th>TB</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.9±1</td>
<td>6.9±1.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (µS)</td>
<td>793±215</td>
<td>799±256</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>39.5±8.9</td>
<td>33.8±7.9</td>
<td>17.4±2.6</td>
<td>18.9±2.2</td>
<td>17.6±2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Drinking water parameters results**

A variability in microbial growth at 22 and 37°C was observed among the cold water samples. No significant difference in the total microbial counts at 22 and 37°C was found between samples collected in IB, CB and TB. Overall, the total microbial counts at 22 and 37°C were between 1 and 400 CFU/ml. High bacterial counts (>10²CFU/ml) were detected in 13% (87 out of 660) of the samples, mostly at Point E and Point T.

The total microbial counts at 22 and 37°C detected at the inlet from the aqueduct (Point I) were significantly lower (p<0.0001) than those recorded in samples obtained at the most remove tap from the autoclave (Point T).

In CB and TB the cold water resulted free from microbiological hazards. Enterococci were not isolated in any sample, but *Serratia liquefaciens* (3 CFU/100ml) and *Enterobacter cloacae* (4 CFU/100ml) were isolated at the exit from two different pressure autoclave.
**Legionella spp. results**

Legionella spp. was detected in 100 out of 440 (23%) of the hot water samples examined. However, 22 out of 121 (18%) IB, 24 out 64 (38%) CB, and 12 out of 35 (34%) resulted positive to Legionella. Overall, 58 out of 220 (26%) examined buildings have at least one sample positive for *Legionella*.

In IB Legionella positive samples showed counts from $2 \times 10^2$ to $4.8 \times 10^4$ CFU/L (mean $1 \times 10^4 \pm 1.6 \times 10^4$ CFU/L). *Legionella pneumophila* sg1, *Legionella pneumophila* sg2-16; and *Legionella* spp. were respectively recovered in 12 out of 22 (55%), 4 out of 22 (18%) and 6 out of 22 (27%) of the water samples.

In IB samples statistical results showed moderate correlations between the presence of Legionella and the total chlorine concentration decrease (r=0.64; p=0.02) (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Legionella counts and total chlorine concentration detected in 22 colonized IB.](image)

In CB Legionella positive samples showed counts between $2 \times 10^2$ and $3 \times 10^4$ CFU/L (mean $5.7 \times 10^3 \pm 7.9 \times 10^2$ CFU/L). *Legionella pneumophila* sg1, *Legionella pneumophila*
sg2-16; and *Legionella* spp. were respectively recovered in 9 out of 24 (38%), 8 out of 24 (33%) and 7 out of 24 (29%) of the water samples.

In water samples collected from CB a moderate correlation was detected between the Legionella concentration and the reduction of total chlorine values ($r=0.67; p=0.022$) (Figure 2).

![Figure 2: Legionella counts and total chlorine concentration detected in 24 colonized CB.](image)

Again, in CB samples a weak statistical correlation between the presence of Legionella and the increase of the temperature was obtained ($r=0.02; p=0.048$) (Figure 3).
At last, in TB Legionella positive samples showed counts from $2 \times 10^2$ to $2.5 \times 10^4$ CFU/L (mean $5 \times 10^4 \pm 7.1 \times 10^2$ CFU/L). *Legionella pneumophila* sg1, *Legionella pneumophila* sg2-16; and *Legionella* spp. were respectively recovered in 7 out of 12 (58%), 3 out of 12 (25%) and 2 out of 12 (17%) of the water samples.

In water samples collected from TB a moderate correlation was detected between the Legionella concentration and the increase of the temperature ($r=0.6; p=0.02$) (Figure 4).
Discussion

Despite water risk control is focused on evaluation of disinfection systems employed to control Legionella colonization in hospitals and tourist accommodations water networks, recent global epidemiological data show that almost 80% of the Legionnaire's disease cases diagnosed in a year are acquired in community [7-8] [19]. In Italy, community cases are underestimated for the lack of specific diagnostic tests performed prior to prescribe broad-spectrum antibiotics. In community, the majority of Legionnaire's disease are considered as atypical pneumonia [14]. These evidences
confirm the importance of extending prevention activities and water risk control to residential buildings not associated with a specific outbreak [11].

Home networks contamination represent a real risk for public health, especially for immunocompromised and elderly people. Moreover, European epidemiological data show that at least 50% of Legionella infections occurs in people under 65, but a gradual rise of the incidence rates from the age of 40 is documented [8].

Our results, as well as other studies [20-22] demonstrate the presence of *Legionella pneumophila* sg 1, *Legionella pneumophila* sg 2-16 and not-*pneumophila* Legionella species in 26% of the hot water networks analyzed, especially in buildings provided with a centralized warm water production system and a solar thermal system for hot water production. In these buildings we detected some moderate correlations between the presence of Legionella and the total chlorine concentration decrease or/and the temperature increase.

Another issue evidenced by this study concerns the status of the autoclaves. Statistical data (p<0.0001) show an increase in total microbial counts at 22 and 37°C between the samples collected at the most remote tap from the autoclave compared to the ones directly sampled at the inlet from the aqueduct. Therefore, the higher microbial counts were obtained in water samples collected at distal points of use in apartments. This evidence may be due to sediment formation and debris deposition in the autoclave and in pipeworks.
Conclusions

In conclusion, our work is the first study evaluating the presence of Legionella and the potable water quality in three different kind of residential buildings for a 36-month period, proving the need of water systems control in residential apartments. The Regulations of drinking water quality previously cited in this manuscript extends to building administrators the responsibility of water hygienic control in the building, from the point of delivery by the water supplier up to the points of use. Cold and hot water quality controls must be scheduled using a regular monitoring scheme of water networks to ensure public health safety.
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