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Abstract: The emission of [O I] lines in the coma of Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko during
the Rosetta mission have been explained by electron impact dissociation of water rather than the
process of photodissociation. This is the direct evidence for the role of electron induced processing
has been seen on such a body. Analysis of other emission features is handicapped by a lack of
detailed knowledge of electron impact cross sections which highlights the need for a broad range of
electron scattering data from the molecular systems detected on the comet. In this paper we present
an overview of the needs for electron scattering data relevant for the understanding of observations
in coma, the tenuous atmosphere and on the surface of 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko during the
Rosetta mission. The relevant observations for elucidating the role of electrons come from optical
spectra, particle analysis using the ion and electron sensors and mass spectrometry measurements.
To model these processes electron impact data should be collated and reviewed in an electron
scattering database and an example is given in the BEAMD, which is a part of a larger consortium
of Virtual Atomic and Molecular Data Centre – VAMDC.
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1. Introduction

The Rosetta spacecraft was launched in 2004 as a part of the European Space Agency (ESA) space
program, with the mission to rendez-vous with, orbit and place a lander upon periodic comet
67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko. Rosetta was in orbit with the cometary nucleus from 2014 to
September 2016 during which time it was able to closely examine how the coma of the comet and the
frozen comet’s surface changed relative to distance from the Sun. On November 2014 Rosetta
dispatched a lander, Philae, which touched down on the comet’s surface and recorded, for the first
time, in situ data from the surface. This pioneering mission has provided us with new and unexpected
data that are changing our understanding of the structure and chemistry of cometary systems and
their role in the evolution of our solar system and possible origins of life on Earth. For example, the
D to H ratio in cometary water ice is very different from that on Earth and, among the other similar
findings, challenges the hypothesis that water on Earth was brought by cometary impact [1].

In this paper we will review another intriguing and unexpected result from the Rosetta mission
namely the role of electron induced dissociation in the comet’s coma. The data needed to model
electron processes in cometary coma and its possible relevance to the formation, but also the
dissociation and fragmentation of molecules observed by Rosetta instruments will be discussed
together with the current data available and the databases in which such data may be found.
2. Rosetta instruments and their observation of electron scattering processes in the cometary coma

Rosetta orbiter carried eleven different complex scientific instruments, while the Philae lander had ten instruments. Only those that are immediately relevant for the case study of the role of electrons in comas and the detection of more complex species that may be formed by electron induced chemistry will be reviewed here.

2.1. FUV emissions measured by the ALICE instrument

ALICE was a far-ultraviolet (FUV) imaging spectrograph that could specially resolve spectra in the range from 70 to 205 nm. Coma emission and the reflected solar spectrum from the nucleus were recorded using ALICE throughout the Rosetta encounter. The coma was identified by a spectrum that contains several features that are weak in the solar spectrum and do not appear in the reflected light from the nucleus [2]. Beside strong hydrogen Lyman lines, lines from oxygen multiplets at 98.9, 115.2, 130.4 and 135.6 nm were observed, as were weak multiplets from carbon C I lines at 156.1 and 165.7 nm and emission bands coming from CO. The surprise was the O I line at 135.6 nm, originated from the forbidden transition \(^{5}S_{0} \rightarrow {^{3}P}\) since this is usually not seen in comas. The presence of this line and the intensity ratio of H I and O I multiplets is characteristic of the process of electron dissociative excitation of water molecules [3] and led Feldman et al. [2] to establish that electron collisions with \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) is the dominant source of these emissions. Similarly they attributed C I emissions to electron dissociative excitation of \(\text{CO}\). The relative contribution of the UV and electron impact to the dissociation processes are dependent on the location with respect to the nucleus and the heliocentric distance as discussed in [4,5].

2.2. Observations from the OSIRIS instrument

OSIRIS (Optical, Spectroscopic, and Infrared Remote Imaging System) was one of Rosetta’s major imaging systems equipped with a wide-angle camera (WAC) and a series of narrow band filters covering range from 245 to 640 nm and two broad band filters, green and red, covering the spectra up to 720 nm. This instrument recorded coma emission lines and specifically targeted to the transitions of O, OH, CN, CS, NH, and NH\(_2\). The mapping of water distribution was possible indirectly through observations of O I and OH bandpass filters. The O I filter covers the forbidden transitions from the O I (2p\(^{4}\)) \(^{1}\)D state which is populated directly by photodissociation of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) molecules, while the OH filter covers the (0–0) band of the \(\text{A}^{2}\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \text{X}^{2}\Pi\) transition of OH, centered at about 308.5 nm, which is excited almost entirely by fluorescence of sunlight as pointed out in [6]. The O I \(^{1}\)D state can be also populated from the transition from the O I (2p\(^{4}\)) \(^{3}\)S state. Within the CN filter lies an emission line \(\text{B}^{2}\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \text{X}^{2}\Sigma^{+} (0, 0)\) at 388 nm, within the NH\(_2\) filter there is a wide emission band \(\text{A}^{2}\Pi \rightarrow \text{X}^{2}\Sigma^{-} (0, 0, 0),\) and the NH filter covers the \(\text{NH}^{2}\Pi_{1} \rightarrow \text{X}^{2}\Sigma^{-} (0–0)\) transition [6].

From this data Bodewits et al. [6] derived column densities and calculated global production rates using the standard Hasler model. They found that the water production rates derived from OH are larger than those derived from [O I], OH and [O I] photolysis. Indeed they analysed all production rates and found a much larger drop in water production rates than diurnal variation can explain. Therefore they concluded that the photo-dissociation and fluorescence could solely explain the processes resulting in the OH, [O I], CN, and NH emission observed in the inner coma and that the fragments might emanate from different parent species and/or be formed by other processes [6]. One additional process is electron induced dissociation of water, when including this in their model a much better fit was obtained, indeed electron driven dissociation of water was found to be dominant in agreement with Feldman et al. [2].

2.3. Detection of Organic molecules on the comet surface – COSAC mass spectrometry

The COSAC (COmetary SAmppling and Composition) experiment and Ptolemy were two gas analysers on the Philae lander built to monitor the chemical composition of the surface of comet 67P. Due to difficulties in landing Philae was not able to deploy all of its instruments as planned and the
drill could not be deployed to collect samples for in-situ analysis. However, seven measurements were made by both COSAC and Ptolemy during Philae’s hopping and at its final landing site in a so-called ‘sniff mode’ that had no active sampling, but rather just ionized whatever molecules were present in the ionization chamber of the mass spectrometer. The sample with the richest data was acquired a few minutes after the first touchdown with subsequent decay of signal strength in the other six measurements. Both instruments measured a nearly identical decay of both the water (m/z 18) and CO (m/z 28) peaks. However, in the COSAC measurements the peak at m/z 44 decays much slower than all the other ion species, including the water peak and, the m/z 44 peak also decays much slower in the COSAC measurements than in the Ptolemy data. From these results it has been concluded that COSAC analyzed a regolith sample from the cometary nucleus in situ while Ptolemy measured cometary gas from the ambient coma [7].

The compounds detected by COSAC are listed in Table 1. All of the larger molecules can be formed from the smaller compounds carbon monoxide (CO), methane (CH₄), water (H₂O) and ammonia (NH₃) by simple addition reactions [8]. The m/z 44 peak measured by COSAC was likely dominated by organic species, e.g. from acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), formamide (HCONH₂) and acetamide (CH₃CONH₂), whereas the peak measured by Ptolemy was interpreted to be mostly due to CO₂. Recently, a comparison and comparative analysis of the Rosetta mass spectrometers (COSAC/Ptolemy/ROSINA) that puts some question mark on the presence of some of the nitrogen-bearing species was presented [9]. Ptolemy measurements confirmed many of the species observed by COSAC and through observation of regular peaks in the observed mass distributions indicated the presence of a sequence of compounds with additional -CH₂- and -O- groups (mass/charge ratios 14 and 16, respectively) which confirms COSAC’s observations of acetaldehyde and may be explained by the presence of a radiation-induced polymer at the surface. Ptolemy measurements also indicated an apparent absence of aromatic compounds such as benzene and neither H₂S nor SO₂ were observed [10]. Ammonia believed to be the precursor of N containing compounds was not unambiguously detected by either Ptolemy or COSAC, probably due to its tendency to adsorb on stainless steel surface.

### Table 1: List of molecules identified on the comet nucleus of comet 67P by the COSAC instrument [8]. Abundances are given normalized to water, which is the most abundant compound.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of compound</th>
<th>Sum formula</th>
<th>Abundance wrt water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen cyanide</td>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylamine</td>
<td>CH₃NH₂</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>CH₂CN</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isocyanic acid</td>
<td>HNCO</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaldehyde</td>
<td>CH₂CHO</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formamide</td>
<td>HCONH₂</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylamine</td>
<td>C₂H₅NH₂</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl isocyanate</td>
<td>CH₃NCO</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>CH₃COCH₃</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionaldehyde</td>
<td>C₃H₆CHO</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetamide</td>
<td>CH₃CONH₂</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycolaldehyde</td>
<td>CH₃OHCHO</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene glycol</td>
<td>HOC₃H₂O</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recent experiments on the irradiation of ice mixtures reveal that many of the larger molecules can be formed by electron bombardment, often at low energies and this will be discussed further in...
Section 5. Indeed bombardment and dissociation of ice species has been proposed as a route by which molecular oxygen can form. One of the most unexpected observations of Rosetta through the Rosina instrument was the detection of molecular oxygen as the fourth most abundant gas in the atmosphere of comet 67P. Oxygen is reactive so it was felt that it is unlikely to survive long periods in space. The amount of molecular oxygen detected showed a strong relationship to the amount of water measured at any given time, suggesting that their origin on the nucleus and release mechanism are linked and that irradiation of water ice leading to oxygen production and storage in the ice is a plausible mechanism for oxygen formation on a comet [11].

2.4. Electrons in the Cometary coma

That there are copious amounts of electrons to induce such dissociative excitation was confirmed by ion and electron sensors (RPC-IES) on the Rosetta craft. Concentrations of particles and their time evolution in inner coma plasma was measured by Rosetta Plasma Consortium (RPC) [12] using a set of sensors developed for this purpose. The Ion and Electron Sensor (IES) was an electrostatic plasma analyzer that covered an energy/charge range from 1 eV/e to 22 keV/e with a resolution of 4% [13]. The sensor provided 3D ion and electron distributions over the whole measured energy range. It was capable of simultaneously measuring electrons and positive ions with the single entrance aperture owing to two back-to-back top-hat geometry analyzers. The LAP instrument (Langmuir probes) measured the plasma density in the range of \(10^0 – 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}\), electron temperature \(10^2 – 10^5 \text{ K}\) and plasma flow velocity \(10^4 \text{ ms}^{-1}\). The LAP also measured the AC electric field up to 8 kHz [14]. The LAP was complemented by the Mutual Impedance Probe, MIP which probes the plasma and measured the natural plasma frequency which yields the electron density in the range from 2 cm\(^{-3}\) to 1.5 x 10\(^3\) cm\(^{-3}\) and temperature from 30 K to 10\(^6\) K [15].

Depending on the comet distance from the Sun, both the solar wind and solar radiation interact with its nucleus and inner coma shielded by comet's own atmosphere and ionosphere. Cometary ions are created by photoionization of neutral species, mainly like H\(_2\)O and CO\(_2\) and their products from photodissociation, and by charge transfer with solar wind protons [16]. Solar wind electrons in interplanetary space typically have Maxwellian distribution functions with thermal energies of several eV to tens of eV [13]. This energy distribution of electrons differs from one created by photoionization of cometary neutrals by solar radiation in the cometary comas at certain distances from the Sun. Electrons of cometary origin are mainly the product of photochemistry, originating from direct photoionization and from Auger processes. They are thermalized by collisions, elastic and inelastic.

The electron density in the coma was measured complementary by the RPC Langmuir Probe (LAP) and Mutual Impedance Probe (MIP). The first findings of the spatial distribution of the plasma near comet 67P/CG showed a highly structured pattern that indicated an origin from local ionization of neutral gas. The electron density fell off with distance as 1/r in the range from 8 km from the nucleus up to 260 km [17]. Edberg et al. [17] concluded that this is in accord with a model in which the ionization of a neutral gas is expanding radially from the comet nucleus and when there is no significant recombination or other loss source for the plasma. However, they warned that the observed data have a large scatter around fitted 1/r curve and that results could be an average effect of combination of transport electric fields and solar wind.

From such data the suprathermal energy distribution of electrons could be derived. The electron energy distribution near the comet depends on the comet distance from perihelion and mass loading process when the atoms and molecules in the cometary coma are photoionized and then interact with the solar wind flow. It spans from the energy distribution of the solar wind itself to the modified distribution where electrons are significantly decelerated as a consequence of magnetic field causing regions to pile–up. The mass loading process is connected to the outgassing rate of the comet. The formation of suprathermal electrons which are accelerated from a few eV upward to hundreds of eV, thus can play an important role in the electron driven chemistry of the comet. The Rosetta IES sensor recorded the presence of suprathermal electrons at larger distances than expected from the previous...
models of such weakly outgassing comets [18]. The observed electron energy distributions change by reducing a heliocentric distance from pure solar wind distribution to non-Maxwellian one that include suprathermal electrons showing maxima at energies from 10 eV to 300 eV [18]. Clark et al. [18] hypothesize that the most likely mechanisms of creating accelerated electron distributions are heating by waves generated by the pick-up ion instability, and the mixing of cometary photoelectrons, secondaries and solar wind electrons.

Further statistical analysis of Rosetta IES sensor recordings by performing fitting procedures that involve two separate sub-populations of electrons below and above 8.6 eV mean energy [19] revealed different relationships between their density and temperature and possible mechanisms of creating suprathermal electrons. Broiles et al. [19] suggested that electrons above 8.6 eV are being heated by waves driven by counter streaming solar wind protons. This conclusion arises from the observations that the population of electrons above 8.6 eV correlates well with the density of local neutrals, while the sub-population below 8.6 eV is dominated by the local magnetic field strength. Recently, Deca et al. [20] have used a fully 3D kinetic model to simulate the ion and electron dynamics of the solar wind interaction with a weakly outgassing comet 67P. They used a detailed kinetic treatment of the electron dynamics in order to cover energy distribution of electrons and to identify the origin of the warm and suprathermal electrons.

Electron energy ranges that correspond to the relevant processes in electron collisions with atoms and molecules are shown in Figure 1. The elastic cross section is dominating over low electron energies and usually is prominent even at higher energies where the ionization cross section becomes comparable in magnitude. Vibrational excitations are important at low energies but they also extend to higher energies due to resonance decay. Attachment and dissociation processes are relevant in certain domains, in water between 6 to 9 eV and 20 to 200 eV, respectively (see the summary figure of cross sections in [3]), but due to dissociative electron attachment (DEA) these processes may extend to very low energies. The production of radiation due to de-excitation depends on excitation energy levels. For water molecule production of Lyman alpha radiation has a high cross section in the electron energy range from 50 to 200 eV.

![Electron driven processes](image)

**Figure 1.** Electron energy ranges that correspond to the relevant processes in collisions with atoms and molecules. Full color correspond to the specific case of water (data taken from Itikawa and Mason [3]) while the open bars are extended ranges that correspond to the majority of atomic species: red, elastic scattering; green, vibrational excitations; violet, attachment; blue, dissociation; orange, ionization; darkblue, Lyman alpha.
3. Atomic and molecular data needed for analysing electron scattering processes relevant to Comet 67P

Despite the evidence for electron induced processes in comets many of the discrete collision processes necessary to quantify such electron driven chemistry remain uncertain. In order to develop a predictive model of cometary coma and the comet’s ‘atmosphere’ it is necessary to assemble a ‘database’ of relevant electron collision processes with the different atomic and molecular species observed (or indeed inferred) from Rosetta. As discussed above Rosetta’s ROSINA, as well as Ptolemy and COSAC on the Philae lander, have revealed a rich chemical inventory that would require a large atomic and molecular physics database to model all possible processes. However if a sensitivity analysis is performed the number of important species contributing to the model may be reduced to a minimum (more manageable) number of reactions. The dominant molecules are water, CO and CO$_2$. The presence of HCN as the source of CN radicals and ammonia as source of NH and NH$_2$ is widely accepted while the simple hydrocarbons C$_2$H$_2$ and C$_2$H$_4$ are assumed to be the source of C$_2$, indeed C$_2$H$_4$ concentrations were unusually high in comet 67P [21]. Methane has been identified in many comets and is found in comet 67P. As discussed above several oxygen containing species were detected and apart from the ubiquitous water methanol CH$_3$OH may be an important primary compound. The primary source of sulphur compounds may be H$_2$S but 67P is depleted in all other sulphur bearing species (CS$_2$, OCS and SO$_2$) compared to other comets [22]. Thus in developing an electron chemistry model of comet 67P it is necessary to have a good data base for electron interactions with H$_2$O, CO, CO$_2$, CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_4$, CH$_3$OH, NH$_3$, HCN and H$_2$S. The status of such a database will be discussed below but first it is necessary to understand the corollary for a ‘good’ database.

3.1 Databases

Many databases exist in order to assemble datasets and communicate them to different audiences. The NIST database collection (https://srdata.nist.gov/gateway/gateway?dblist=0) is one of the best known providing details of the structure, spectroscopy and fundamental parameters (ionization and dissociation energies) of many atoms and molecules. The need for large datasets has led to several communities investing in establishing data centres which assemble and maintain databases. For example, the fusion community has, for several decades, compiled databases in order to model plasmas in tokamak reactors and to provide data for diagnostic tools used in such plasmas. Another example of large collection of collisional data is the LXCaT database [23] that provides electron and ion scattering cross sections, swarm parameters (mobility, diffusion coefficient, etc.), reaction rates, energy distribution functions, etc. and other data required for modeling low temperature plasmas. Similarly the astronomical community has needed large databases to interpret its observations, these include not only spectroscopic databases but also databases of chemical reaction rates (e.g. KIDA [24]) are necessary to understand the rich inventory of molecules that have been observed in the interstellar medium.

Many databases are simple collections of data but more recently the design and operation of databases has been refined. The development of IT tools has allowed data to be provided on-line, downloadable in a range of formats and allows new data to be added quickly, ensuring that the data is up to date. Previously data was reviewed and published in journal reviews which once published became gradually out of date until the next review, often a decade later (e.g. [25]). The opportunity to add new data quickly not only ensures that the latest data is adopted by the community but also reduces the likelihood of fragmentation amongst the community with different groups using different data sets in accord with their knowledge (or more commonly lack of knowledge) of the data available.

Simple assembly of data alone is not, however, the most effective form of databases. The ‘user’ community requires guidance as to what data to adopt. Users rarely have the necessary experience to select one dataset over another and therefore each may choose different sets, leading to systematic problems. For example if different datasets are used in different models, cross comparison of such
models is difficult and it may be hard to distinguish between the different physical and chemical hypotheses in different models from the data used in the model to explore such effects. Therefore databases should provide ‘recommended data’ which is the data that the expert community providing such data believes is the optimal data reflecting state of the art measurements or calculations. These values can be updated as new data becomes available. However, when changing recommended data, it is essential to ensure that it is still ‘consistent’. For example in presenting a comprehensive set of electron impact cross sections the individual cross sections (elastic and inelastic (including ionization excitation etc.) should, when summed, be consistent with the recommended data for total cross sections. Databases should also present data with stated estimates of uncertainties, particularly when presenting its own composite data from several different datasets.

3.2 VAMDC and BEAMDB databases

The Virtual Atomic and Molecular Data Centre - (VAMDC) and Belgrade Electron Atom and Molecule Database – (BEAMDB) are two examples of new generation of databases. The VAMDC Consortium is a worldwide consortium which federates atomic and molecular databases through an e-science infrastructure to provide easy access to data from different databases via a single portal http://portal.vamdc.eu. About 90% of the inter-connected databases are focused on data that are used for the interpretation of astronomical spectra and for modelling in many fields of astrophysics and astrochemistry, although recently the VAMDC Consortium has connected databases from the radiation damage and the plasma communities which makes it suitable for medical and industrial applications [26]. While VAMDC does not itself select and analyse data it ensures data from its component databases are accessible in a single format and ascribe to general good practices as discussed above. The VAMDC Consortium includes new databases and services on a case by case basis during annual general scientific and technical meetings.

VAMDC provides its data in a XSAMS output. XSAMS is an XML representation of an atomic and molecular data model. The system allows for distributed querying of data via the VAMDC-TAP protocol, an implementation-agnostic standard, where data providers can build their models in their own fashion and map them to the VAMDC model via a dedicated dictionary [27].

The Belgrade Electron Atom and Molecule Database – (BEAMDB) [28] is an application, database and a VAMDC node which contains data for elementary processes of electron scattering by atoms and molecules. The database covers collisional data of electron interactions with atoms and molecules in the form of differential and integrated cross sections as well as energy loss spectra. The data is stored in a relational (MySQL) database, upon a static model specifically suited to this dataset but easily extendable. There have already been several migrations of the model, the latest of which is an extension to enable storing non-neutral molecules. Currently, there are 22 species stored in the database (11 atoms and 11 molecules), presented in 71 states, involved in 59 collision processes. The web interface (http://servo.aob.rs/emol) enables on-site querying of data via an AJAX-enabled web form. The application is implemented in Django, a Python web framework and hosted on an Apache web server at the Astronomical Observatory in Belgrade.

The BEAMDB is a collisional database where several types of collisions are included: Elastic, Electronic Excitation, (Total) Inelastic, Ionization, and Total Scattering as well as electron spectroscopic data such as Energy-loss Spectra and Threshold Photoelectron Spectra. Cross sections are of several different kinds: Differential, Integral, Total, Momentum Transfer, Viscosity. Specific data that are maintained in the BEAMDB are differential cross sections (DCS) for elastic scattering and excitation of atoms and molecules. These are 3D entries since DCS depend on both electron impact energy and scattering angle. This requires two X columns while the Y column is also associated with the column representing uncertainty of data points. An example of the XSAMS output of such kind of data for He excitation is shown in Figure 2.
Figure 2. XSAMS output table for differential cross section data for He electron excitation.

Producing a plot of DCS data is not available at the current stage of database development, but such data can be visualized by using either VAMDC portal or alternatively the RADAM (RADiation DAMage) database portal (http://radamdb.mbnresearch.com). The general structure of RADAM databases covers electron/positron interactions, ionic and photon interactions, multiscale radiation...
damage phenomena and radiobiological phenomena occurring at different time, spatial and energy scales in irradiated targets [29]. Examples of DCS surfaces for elastic electron scattering by helium atom and formamide (CH₃NO) molecule are shown in Figure 3 (a) and (b), respectively.

![DCS surfaces as retrieved from RADAM database [29] for elastic electron scattering by: (a) He atom – data points are taken from ref. [30]; (b) Formamide molecule – data points are taken from ref. [31].](image)

Figure 3. DCS surfaces as retrieved from RADAM database [29] for elastic electron scattering by: (a) He atom – data points are taken from ref. [30]; (b) Formamide molecule – data points are taken from ref. [31].

Initially BEAMDB was designed to maintain data of electron collisions with neutral species, atoms and molecules mainly in their ground state and exceptionally in excited state. However, by broadening the scope toward astrophysical applications, more specifically to the processes of comas that involve electrons, the database has been upgraded to include electron collisions with ions. The most recent data set included in BEAMDB is the one of electron ionisation cross sections of CN⁺ cations [32]. In the next few months BEAMDB will expand to include many more molecular systems including those needed to study the electron chemistry of comet 67P.

4. Electron scattering processes and cross sections – Data needs

To understand, and treat by models, processes in cometary plasmas is a very challenging task since many parameters need to be taken into account in order to cover the variety of comet types and their heterogeneity. One has to consider the changing of comet distances from the Sun and hence the level of irradiation and solar wind interactions both with the comet surface and cometary plasma environment. Nevertheless, models have been developed and set of processes reviewed, including data analysis used in such models. Particles from the solar wind, secondary electrons created in plasmas and photoelectrons, produce further events of excitation, ionization and dissociation with the consequence of enhanced chemical reactions and light emission. However comets are composed of water, silicates and carbonaceous molecules (CO, CO₂ and hydrocarbons) [33]. Recently, modelling of plasma processes in cometary and planetary atmospheres have been performed by Campbell and Brunger [34] with the emphasis on the role of electron-impact excitation processes. They concluded in the case of comet Hale-Bopp that electron-impact could account for 40% of the fluorescence emissions of the fourth positive bands (A¹Π – X¹Σ⁺) of CO [35] and thus reducing calculated outgassing rates. Even more, their later paper [36] was focused on electron initiated chemistry in atmospheres.

Reviews of cross section data and processes that cover electron scattering and excitations are numerous and they cover interactions with atoms [37,38], diatomic molecules [37,39], species in interstellar clouds [40] or concentrate on specific targets of triatomic molecules like water [3] or CO₂ and N₂O [40]. Anzai et al. [41] stressed that any recommended values of cross section data currently maintained in different databases, might need to be updated due to the development of new
experimental techniques and theoretical methods. The number of established benchmark cross sections is rather small.

The energy of the electrons available for electron interactions with atoms and molecules in the cometary coma ‘atmosphere’ is such that all electron scattering processes are relevant, thus a large amount of data is required if a model of electron induced processing is to be included in a simulation model of comet 67P. In this section the status of our knowledge of such relevant cross sections will be reviewed for the primary molecules defined in Section 3.

4.1. Elastic electron scattering and cross sections

Elastic scattering conserves the kinetic energy of the colliding particles. This means that quantum numbers that determine the energy are unchanged but other quantum numbers corresponding to degenerate states (e.g. helicity or spin flip) may change. In the case of many measurements not all states in the system are resolved due to the finite resolution of the electron beams used. In this case ‘effective elastic cross sections’ are determined which may be referred to as ‘rotationally unresolved, vibrationally unresolved, electronically unresolved etc. Elastic scattering is important since, although there are no immediate changes in the target the range and hence spatial extent of the electrons is determined by such scattering.

Elastic scattering cross sections are usually measured at specific energies and angles. These data are used to determine the ‘total elastic cross section’ by integrating over the entire angular range ($4\pi$). The total elastic cross section at given electron impact energy $E_i$ is given by:

$$ Q_{el}(E_i) = \int_0^{4\pi} \frac{d\sigma(k,\theta,\phi)}{d\Omega} d\Omega, \quad (1) $$

where $\frac{d\sigma(k,\theta,\phi)}{d\Omega}$ is the elastic differential cross section, $(\theta, \phi)$ are the scattering angles and $k$ is the wave-vector magnitude.

Elastic scattering is one of the best-studied electron collision processes and provides one of the best tests of developing theoretical calculations of electron-molecule scattering. The development of the magnetic angle changing method [42] to extend differential cross section measurements to the full range of scattering angles from 0° to 180° has significantly improved the accuracy of total elastic cross sections, particularly for molecules with dipole moments, where elastic scattering is strongly forward peaked.

Elastic scattering cross sections have been reported for all the primary molecules H$_2$O, CO, CO$_2$, O$_2$, CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_2$, CH$_3$OH, NH$_3$, HCN and H$_2$S. Elastic scattering cross sections for water have been discussed in detail as part of a wider review of electron scattering from water by Itikawa and Mason [3]. An updated review has recommended the corrected data of Khakoo et al. [43 and erratum] for low energy scattering and Munoz et al. [44] for higher energies (where experiment and theoretical evaluations merge). The benchmarking swarm paper by de Urquijo et al [45] on cross sections for water that reproduced measured transport data in water/helium mixtures presented the integral cross sections that are entirely self-consistent with the available total cross sections as well as the swarm data over a large range of reduced electric field, E/N.

Cross sections for elastic scattering from methane and acetylene have recently been compiled and evaluated by Song et al. [46,47]. Compilations of data for other molecules are less recent and more fragmented and should be updated. Due to its toxicity there are few measurements of the elastic scattering cross section from HCN and therefore there is more reliance on theoretical calculations (e.g. Sanz et al. [48]).

In elastic collisions electrons do not lose energy, but change the direction of motion. This is important for models where the kinetics of all particles is taken into account. In more dense plasmas the elastic momentum transfer cross section, defined as integrated DCS with the weight of $(1 - \cos \theta)$ over all scattering angles, is a more relevant quantity. Differential cross sections, although being one
of the basic properties that defines electron – atom/molecule interactions, are known with relatively
low accuracy. In order to illustrate the current status of the agreement of DCS amongst different
experiments and theories, the case of absolute cross sections for elastic electron scattering by argon
atom is presented in Figure 4. It can be seen that although at first sight all values group around the
averaged values, it should be noted that the data are plotted on a logarithmic scale and that there is
almost an order of magnitude disagreement for particular data points.

**Figure 4.** DCS for elastic electron scattering by argon atom at 100 eV impact energy. The data symbols
used correspond to: (red circles), Rankovic et al. [49] experiment; (dark red full line), Rankovic et al.
[49] theory; (magenta solid line), McEachran and Stauffer [50]; (olive dash dot line), Paikeday and
Alexander [51]; (dotted line), Nahar and Wadehra [52]; (violet dash dot line), Fon et al. [45]; (cyan
dash dot line), McCarthy et al. [54]; (dashed line), Joachain et al. [55]; (cyan up triangles), Milosavljević
et al. [56]; (violet left triangle), Panajotović et al. [57]; (orange pentagons), Srivastava et al. [58]; (blue
diamond), DuBois and Rudd [59]; (orange right triangles), Jansen et al. [60]; (green circles), Williams
and Willis [61].

4.2 Electron impact ionisation cross sections

Most of the ions observed in the comet ion tail are the result of photoionisation of the primary
ice species and since there are fewer high energy electrons, electron induced ionisation in comets is
likely to be a minor process in total ion yields. However the mass spectrometric analysis of
compounds observed on Rosetta (e.g. using the Rosina instrument) rely upon knowing the
fragmentation patterns of candidate molecules which, when compared with the instrument
sensitivity, can be used to calculate the relative abundances of the detected molecules. Traditionally
mass spectrometers operate with electron energies of 70 eV, close to but not at, the maximum of total
ionisation cross sections. Branching ratios for fragments of electron impact ionisation are available in
many databases (e.g. NIST Chemistry WebBook [62]) however, whilst these ratios are often known
the cross sections are not presented. These cross sections may be derived if the total ionisation cross
section is known. Total ionisation cross sections may be measured to an accuracy of <10% while semi-empirical calculations provide reliable cross sections (at least above 100 eV). Data on all of the primary molecules H2O, CO, CO2, CH4, C2H2, CH3OH, NH3, HCN and H2S exist with an accuracy sufficient for providing reliable data for determining their concentrations in the cometary coma and atmosphere. The recent review by Tanaka et al. [63] covered this topic in detail employing scaled plane-wave Born models in order to provide comprehensive and absolute integral cross sections, first for ionization and then to optically allowed electronic-state excitation.

4.3 Anion production

Anions have been observed in comets and may be formed by a variety of processes including radiative electron attachment, polar photodissociation, proton transfer and Dissociative Electron Attachment (DEA) where an incident electron is captured by the molecular target (AB) to form an excited state of the molecular negative ion AB-. This state, a Temporary Negative Ion (TNI), generally decays by ejecting the excess electron within a finite time (a process called autodetachment) but the molecular negative ion may also decay through dissociation leading to the formation of a stable negative ion B- and a neutral (often radical) fragment (A). DEA to the list molecules have been studied, identifying the fragment channels, but there are few absolute cross sections. Node of VAMDC, the IDEADB maintained by University of Innsbruck, that serves data about dissociative electron attachment to molecules, lists more than 120 different fragments resulting from this process [64]. Anion data from comet 67P is still under evaluation but earlier studies from the Giotto spacecraft of comet 1P/Halley led to a combined chemical/hydrodynamic model for the coma of comet Halley to explore various anion production mechanisms and compute the abundances of atomic and molecular anions as a function of radius in the coma [65]. The dominant anion production mechanisms are found to be polar photodissociation of water and radiative electron attachment to carbon chains in the inner coma, followed by proton transfer from CH2 and HCN to produce C2H- and CN-, respectively. However, in the outer regions of the coma where electron temperatures reach 103–105 K, dissociative electron attachment may become a dominant process. Similar effects may be understood for comet 67P. DEA to water yields H- and OH- and O- from CO and O2 so there are many candidates for production of anions in comet 67P. DEA to all of the primary comet species (and most of the larger more complex species in Table 1) has been reported with DEA fragments recorded from near zero to the ionisation energy pathways. Nevertheless very few absolute cross sections are available.

4.4 Electron impact excitation and dissociation

Electron impact excitation and dissociative excitation of molecular systems is a critical process for a study of cometary coma and its tenuous atmosphere. As discussed above, OSIRIS and ALICE data from Rosetta shows the electron induced dissociation of water may be the source of the O I line at 135.6 nm while electron impact by CO and CO2 yields C I lines at 156.1 and 165.7 nm. However this hypothesis is handicapped by the dearth of data on electron impact excitation and electron induced neutral fragmentation for all molecules, not just those of immediate comet interest. This lack of experimental data can be attributed to difficulties in measuring neutral atoms and molecules. When an atom or molecule is in an excited state it may decay (fluoresce) with the emitted light being detected. Such experiments may identify some fragmentation or de-excitation pathways but the sensitivity of the optical detector and ability to ‘capture’ all of the emitted photons as well as the problem of cascades from higher lying states into the decaying state make measurements of absolute cross sections difficult. Furthermore some excited states decay to ‘dark’ non fluorescent or metastable states which makes them hard to detect, although metastable fragments may be detected directly by surface ionization they will suffer from the same problems as photon detection i.e. cascade contributions may dominate [66,67]. Presently there are few experiments measuring electronically excited fragments by optical or metastable spectroscopy and more experiments are to be encouraged, building on the recent commissioning of electron induced fluorescence (EIF) experiment in Comenius University Bratislava. Figure 5 shows the H atom spectra recorded by electron impact of molecular...
This experiment is well equipped to study EIF of water, CO and CO₂ as required for cometary studies although, due to the low cross sections, data collection periods may be days or even weeks placing stringent conditions on the stability of the incident electron and gas beams.

**Figure 5.** Electron induced fluorescence of the H₂ molecule—Balmer lines and Fulcher α system. Measurements performed by Danko et al. [68].

Electron Energy loss spectroscopy monitors the energy of the incident electron post collision and may also be used to probe the direct excitation cross section of the parent molecule excited states but cannot provide data on the fragmentation patterns of that excited state as it decays. Furthermore in most molecules the electronically excited states are both close together and overlap their ro-vibrational bands, making deconvolution very difficult if discrete electronic excitation cross sections are to be derived.

Finally the production of neutral fragments in their ground state must be considered. Photon and electron induced dissociation produces many fragments in their ground state where ground state in this case includes fragments that are ro-vibrationally excited but still in the electronic ground state. This low internal energy precludes their detection by fluorescence since IR detection has not proven possible due to IR sources in the apparatus (e.g. electron filaments). Several alternative methods have been proposed to detect ground state neutral fragments including using a second electron beam to ionize the product, or use of surfaces to ‘getter’ the fragments. In the current context there are only two experiments relevant to the modelling of electron dissociation of primary comet molecules that of Harb et al. [69] measuring OH radical production from water and C and O from CO by Cosby et al. [670] using a fast beam method.

Further experimental studies on electron impact dissociation to neutral fragments will not only benefit the cometary community but the wider electron chemistry community with applications in many plasma systems, aeronomy and radiation chemistry. However given the experimental difficulty much of the necessary data may be provided by theoretical calculations which require more detailed exploration.
5. Possibility of electron induced surface chemistry

As discussed above (Section 2.3) many of the larger more complex molecules observed by COSAC and Ptolemy on the Philae lander may be made by addition reactions from simpler molecules. How are such reactions induced? Photodissociation has been considered the primary process but electron induced chemistry within ices has been shown to be an efficient route to molecular synthesis and simple electron irradiation of primary ices has been shown to produce most (all) of the larger molecular species. For example Figure 6 shows the yield of formamide in an ice film composed of CO and NH₃ as a function of electron energy [71]. The ice was prepared with a mixing ratio of 1:8 and thickness corresponding to 12–18 monolayers and an electron exposure of 200 μC/cm². Formamide is readily formed and the resonance like feature between 6 and 12 eV is characteristic of the synthesis by reactants prepared in a dissociative electron attachment process [71]. Similar experiments have shown that as many as 15 products can be formed by electron irradiation of pure methanol ices [72] including ethylene glycol and methyl formate whilst formamide HCONH₂ is formed in irradiation of binary mixtures of ammonia and methanol ice and the simplest amino acid glycine from irradiation of a methylamine and carbon dioxide ice [73]. Thus electron induced synthesis of simple cometary ices may be a route to formation of several of the organic species observed in surface material from comet 67P.

![Energy-dependance of Formamide production](image)

Figure 6. The production of formamide present in mixed multilayer films of CO and NH₃. The resonance like feature (red line) between 6 and 12 eV is characteristic of the synthesis of formamide by reactants prepared in a DEA process, Bredehöft et al. [71].

The route by which molecular oxygen was formed as the fourth most abundant compound in the coma observed by Rosetta, is still subject to debate. However laboratory experiments [74,75] have shown that radiolysis of water by both electrons and photons yields molecular oxygen but also copious amounts of hydrogen peroxide. Furthermore, comparative experiments between photon and electron irradiation show that electron induced yields are higher for the same energy. Whether this is due to penetration depth of electrons or that electrons open more dissociative pathways (through dipole or spin forbidden transitions) is unknown. The role of electron induced chemistry in comets, in ice covered planetary and lunar objects and in the rich chemistry of the interstellar medium is therefore an emerging topic of modern astronomy and one that has been encouraged by the results of the Rosetta mission.

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have presented a review of recent results from the Rosetta mission to comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko. The role of electron induced processes has been highlighted with the emission of [O I] lines in the coma explained by the process of electron impact dissociation of water. The role of other electron processes e.g. in the production of the unexpectedly large amounts of molecular oxygen in the coma, is handicapped by lack of detailed knowledge of electron impact cross...
sections We have reviewed the need for electron scattering data and discussed how such data should be collated and reviewed in electron scattering databases. The BEAMD database which is a part of a larger consortium of Virtual Atomic and Molecular Data Centre – VAMDC has been used as an example of modern generation of databases.

The importance of electron processes in comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko highlights the need for closer interactions and joint projects between the cometary and electron communities and this paper has identified some topics for joint research.

Acknowledgments: BPM recognizes support from MESTD-RS project #OI 171020 and the grant under 2016 VAMDC Consortium Call-#1. VV and DJ recognizes support from MESTD-RS project #III 44002. NJM recognizes support from Europlanet 2020 RI which has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 654208 and ELEvaTE grant agreement no :692335 as well as support of the UK STFC and Leverhulme trust. We thank to Dr. Juraj Országh for providing us with the unpublished figure of hydrogen spectrum (Fig. 5).

Author Contributions: BPM wrote sections 2.1, 2.2, parts of section 3 and provided figures 1-4. JHB wrote section 2.3, provided figure 6 and table 1 and provided language editing. VV and DJ wrote section 3.2. NJM wrote sections 2,4,5 and edited the paper. BPM is responsible for maintaining electron cross section data in BEAMDB, while VV and DJ are responsible for maintaining database according to the VAMDC standards.

Conflicts of Interest: “The authors declare no conflict of interest.”

References


7. Krüger H.; Goesmann F.; Giri Ch.; Wright I.; Morse A.; Bredehöft J.H.; Ullamec S.; Cozzoni B.; Ehrenfreund P.; Gautier T.; McKenna-Lawlor S.; Raunlin F.; Steininger H.; Szopa C. Decay of COSAC and Ptolemy mass spectra at comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko. Astron Astrophys 2017, 600, A56. DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201630286

DOI: 10.1126/science.aab0689


21. Le Roy L.; wegg K.; Balsiger H.; Berthelier J-J.; Bieler A.; Briosc C.; Calmonte U.; Combi M.R.; De Keyser J.; Dhoooge F.; Fiethe B.; Fuselier S.A.; Gasc S.; Gombosi T.I.; Hässig M.; Jäckle A.; Rubin M.; Tzou C.-Yu. Rosetta mission results pre-perihelion Special feature Inventory of the volatiles on comet 67P/Churyumov-
Gerasimenko from Rosetta/ROSINA. *Astron Astrophys* 2015, 583, A1 (12pp) DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201526450


Preprints 2017, 46, 1-66. DOI: 10.1063/1.4918630


64. http://ideadb.uibk.ac.at/ [accessed on 18/10/2017].


66. Barnett S.M.; Mason N.J.; Newell W.R. Production of the N\textsubscript{2}(A\textsubscript{1}\Sigma\textsubscript{u}^+) Metastable State by Electron Dissociation of N\textsubscript{2}O. Chemical Physics 1991, 153, 283-295, DOI: 10.1016/0301-0104(91)90024-N


68. Danko M.; Ribar A.; Durian M.; Országh J.; Matejčík Š. Electron induced fluorescence of the H\textsubscript{2} molecule—Balmer lines and Fulcher \alpha system. Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 2016, 25, 065007 (8pp), DOI: 10.1088/0963-0252/25/6/065007


