Table I: Information about the correspondence between the number and type of spring water (SW) and bottled mineral water (BMW) samples and their geographical position for each brand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRANDS</th>
<th>NUMBER OF SW AND BMW SAMPLES COLLECTED</th>
<th>TYPE OF WATER</th>
<th>GEOGRAPHICAL POSITION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 1</td>
<td>2 SW</td>
<td>Minimally-mineralized</td>
<td>Tuscan-Emilian Appennines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 2</td>
<td>2 SW</td>
<td>Minimally-mineralized</td>
<td>Tuscan-Emilian Appennines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 3</td>
<td>2 SW; 2 BMW</td>
<td>Minimally-mineralized</td>
<td>Tuscan-Emilian Appennines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 4</td>
<td>1 SW</td>
<td>Minimally-mineralized</td>
<td>Apuan Alps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 5</td>
<td>1 SW</td>
<td>Minimally-mineralized</td>
<td>Apuan Alps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 6</td>
<td>2 SW</td>
<td>Minimally-mineralized</td>
<td>Tuscan-Emilian Appennines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 7</td>
<td>4 SW; 4 BMW</td>
<td>Oligo-mineralized</td>
<td>Tuscan-Emilian Appennines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 8</td>
<td>2 SW</td>
<td>Oligo-mineralized</td>
<td>Tuscan-Emilian Appennines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 9</td>
<td>2 SW</td>
<td>Rich-mineralized</td>
<td>Tuscan archipelago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 10</td>
<td>2 SW</td>
<td>Rich-mineralized</td>
<td>Tuscan-Emilian Appennines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAND 11</td>
<td>4 SW; 4 BMW</td>
<td>Rich-mineralized</td>
<td>Val d’Orcia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table II: Mean Temperature, pH and conductivity values obtained in minimally-mineralized, oligo-mineralized and rich-mineralized spring water (SW) and bottled mineral water (BMW) samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mean pH</th>
<th>Mean Conductivity (µS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimally-mineralized SW</td>
<td>11.30±0.70</td>
<td>6.29±0.10</td>
<td>76.70±3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligo-mineralized SW</td>
<td>12.90±0.13</td>
<td>5.80±0.01</td>
<td>1104.00±0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich-mineralized SW</td>
<td>35.20±10.10</td>
<td>5.90±0.05</td>
<td>3316.00±476.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally-mineralized BMW</td>
<td>12.00±0.70</td>
<td>6.00±1.00</td>
<td>46.50±3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligo-mineralized BMW</td>
<td>12.60±0.14</td>
<td>5.80±0.09</td>
<td>1110.00±1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich-mineralized BMW</td>
<td>28.30±11.70</td>
<td>6.10±0.06</td>
<td>3195.00±551.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Legionella, NTM, FLA qPCR units (qPCR units/L) and Conductivity values detected in 34 water samples.
Figure 2: Legionella, NTM, FLA qPCR units (qPCR units /L) and Temperature values (T) detected in 34 water samples.