

Concept paper

Dark Energy and Inflation from Gravitational Waves

Leonid Marochnik *

Physics Department, East-West Space Science Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

* Correspondence: lmarochnik@gmail.com

Abstract: In this three-part paper, we show that gravitational waves (classical and quantum) produce the accelerated de Sitter expansion at the start and by the end of the cosmological evolution of the Universe. In these periods of time, the Universe contains no matter fields but contains classical and quantum metric fluctuations, i.e. it is filled with classical gravitational waves and gravitons. In such gravitational wave and graviton dominated eras of evolution of the Universe, the de Sitter state is the exact solution to the self-consistent equations for gravitational waves and gravitons and background geometry for the empty (with no matter fields) space-time with FLRW metric. In both classical and quantum cases, this solution is of the instanton origin since it is obtained by Wick rotation with the subsequent analytic continuation to real time. The cosmological acceleration from gravitational waves and gravitons provides a transparent physical explanation to the coincidence and threshold paradoxes of dark energy avoiding recourse to the anthropic principle. The cosmological acceleration from gravitons/gravitational waves at the start of the Universe evolution produces inflation which is consistent with the observational data on CMB anisotropy.

Keywords: gravitational waves; cosmology; dark energy; inflation

Contents

I: Cosmological Acceleration from Classical Gravitational Waves	1
1. Introduction	1
2. De Sitter Acceleration from Classical Gravitational Waves	3
3. De Sitter State of Empty Space as the Exact Solution to BBGKY Chain	7
4. Classical Gravitational Waves vs. Quantum Gravitons	9
5. Conclusion	9
References	9
II: Quantum Theory of Gravitons in the Universe	12
1. Introduction	12
2. The scheme of the Yang-Mills quantum theory	15
3. Scheme of Quantum Theory of Gravitation	16
4. Extrapolation	20
5. The Problem of the Physical Nature of Ghosts	22
6. One-Loop Approximation	24

7. Conclusion.....	25
References	26
III: Cosmological Acceleration from Virtual Gravitons; Consistency with Observational Data.....	28
1. Introduction	28
2. De Sitter State from Gravitons.....	29
3. Consistency with Observational Data.....	35
3.1. Dark Energy.....	35
3.1.1. Coincidence problem.....	36
3.1.2. The threshold problem	36
3.1.3. $\Lambda_{vacuum} / \Lambda_{observable} \approx 10^{123}$ Controversy	37
3.2. Inflation.....	37
3.2.1. CMB anisotropy from fluctuations of number of gravitons.....	37
3.2.2. Spectrum of metric fluctuations.....	38
4. Wick rotation and time as a complex variable.....	39
5. Why is ghost materialization or instantons needed for the occurrence of cosmological acceleration? Where does the energy come from?.....	39
6. Gravitational Waves vs. Scalar Field.....	41
7. Virtual Gravitons vs. Classical Gravitational Waves.....	41
8. Conclusion.....	42
References	42

I: Cosmological Acceleration from Classical Gravitational Waves

Abstract: In this Part I of the paper, we show that the de Sitter state is the exact solution to the set of self-consistent equations for classical gravitational waves and background geometry for the empty (with no matter fields) space-time with FLRW metric. This solution is obtained by Wick rotation with the subsequent analytic continuation to real time, i.e. it is of instanton origin. This solution shows that the contemporary Universe must contain of the order of 10^{123} gravitons to create the observed Hubble constant. This number has nothing to do with vacuum energy, which is possible solution to the “old cosmology constant problem”.

1. Introduction

In the period of 2008-2017 we published a series of papers on the cosmological acceleration generated by classical and quantum gravitational waves of the super-horizon wavelengths. In the present three-part paper, these results are collected together with new results and are presented in the form of a complete theory of cosmological acceleration from gravitational waves. As such, it leads to a certain overlap with our previously published works. In these works, we showed that both classical gravitational waves and quantum gravitons of super-horizon wavelengths should lead to an accelerated expansion of homogeneous and isotropic empty (without matter) space-time in accordance with the de Sitter law. This is due to the fact that in the empty space-time there are always classical and quantum metric fluctuations, i.e. classical gravitational waves and gravitons. Thus, empty (or almost empty) space-time is always filled with gravitational waves and gravitons, which affect the background metric, which leads to its accelerated expansion. Although few people seemed to doubt the reality of the gravitational waves predicted by the theory of relativity, the recent experimental discovery of gravitational waves [1] from merging black holes left no doubt even among the skeptics. The modern Universe is emptying, ending its evolution. Today it is already 68% empty [2] and asymptotically will be completely empty since the density of matter in it is decreasing as $\rho_m \sim a(t)^{-3} \rightarrow 0$ $t \rightarrow \infty$. The early Universe, before the matter was born in it, was also presumed to be empty, so this effect should work in both cases. In the case of very early Universe, there is no direct observational confirmation of such an effect but the hypothesis on inflation is very attractive due to its ability to solve three known major cosmological paradoxes (flatness, horizon and monopoles) [3-5]. In case of the contemporary Universe, the cosmological acceleration is the established observational fact which is known as dark energy effect [6, 7]. A common feature of both effects is exponentially rapid expansion of the Universe which is an accepted fact. Another common feature is the fact that both effects occur in an empty (or nearly empty) Universe. At the first time, the crucial importance of this fact was mentioned in [8]. Therefore, we believe that the effect of accelerating the expansion of empty space-time, discovered by us under the influence of classical gravitational waves and gravitons, can serve as an explanation of these effects. In the case of classical gravitational waves, the de Sitter state of an empty homogeneous and isotropic space-time is the exact solution of self-consistent equations describing the backreaction effect of gravitational waves on the background geometry and the effect of this geometry on the behavior of gravitational waves [9]. In the case of gravitons, we constructed a one-loop finite self-consistent theory of the backreaction of gravitons on the background geometry and vice versa [10]. Same as in the classical case, the de Sitter state is an exact solution of the self-consistent equations of one-loop quantum gravity [11]. In both the quantum and classical cases, a temporary transition to imaginary time (Wick rotation) is inevitable, followed by a return to real time [12]. In both cases, we are forced to treat time as a complex variable to replace integration along

the real time axis (leading to divergent integrals) by integrating along the imaginary axis (leading to convergent integrals). Therefore, the de Sitter solutions obtained are instanton solutions, if one uses generally accepted terminology. The necessity to use time as a complex variable raises the question of the nature of time in the Universe in those stages of its evolution, when it is empty [13]. Is it possible to obtain a de Sitter solution (an exponentially rapid expansion of space-time), avoiding the transition to imaginary time? For classical gravitational waves, we have an unambiguous answer "no", as follows from the first part of this work devoted to classical gravitational waves (present paper). In the case of gravitons, the answer to this question is not so unambiguous. There are many papers in which the problem was posed of the backreaction of gravitons on the background geometry in the isotropic and homogeneous on the average space-time in the framework of the one-loop approximation of quantum gravity. Examples of such works can be found in following references [14-19]. Solving the same problem, the authors of all these papers obtained inconsistent results, as was mentioned by the authors of one of these papers [19]. This "irreproducible physics" arises as a consequence of the use of different methods for renormalization of divergences by different authors. Obviously, the final result of solving a certain physical problem cannot depend on the calculation method. In addition, the renormalization procedure changes the original definition of the graviton (see [20] and below). The appearance of all of these works was caused by the following reason. One of the main problems of quantum gravity that distinguishes it from standard quantum field theories is a problem of the existence (or non-existence) of ghost-free gauges. Such gauges are unknown. DeWitt e.g. assumed that such gauges do exist [21]. In the frame of this assumption he discussed general features of a path integral and basic equation of quantum geometrodynamics (Wheeler- DeWitt equation). Later this assumption was used in almost all works on the quantum theory of gravitons, becoming thus mainstream, and the results obtained in these works came to be regarded as a "standard". Typical examples of such works are mentioned above [14-19] (actual list of such works is much greater). As a matter of fact, the quantum theory of gravitons using this assumption does not look promising and here is why. There are at least two reasons that indicate that this is a dead-end branch. All these works (with no exception) use the linear parameterization of metric fluctuations of arbitrary wavelengths leading to the non-conservative energy-momentum tensor (EMT) and non-self-consistent set of quantum and classical equations. Existence of this problem is exhaustively documented in [22]. The correct parameterization leading to the conservative EMT and self-consistent set of quantum and classic equations was presented in our work [10] (see also section 2 of the present paper and Part II). But the most important problem is the appearance of divergences in the process of calculations. All these works lose any meanings after renormalization of gravitational Lagrangian by quadratic counterterms. This procedure modifies the original definition of the graviton, so that the theory starts with one definition of the graviton and finishes with another. The mathematical proof of this fact is given in Appendix XII of [10]. We think that the comments here are superfluous. In our theory of gravitons, we proceed from the assumption that the ghost-free gauges are unknown because they simply do not exist. In such a case, the appearance of ghosts in the path integral is inevitable with all the ensuing consequences [20]. The equations of one-loop approximation of quantum gravity under the assumption that the ghost-free gauges do not exist are derived in our work [20]. In the second part of this paper (Part II) this problem is also discussed. In the one-loop approximation, the quantum gravity of empty space-time must be finite on the mass shell [23]. We have shown that it can be made finite also off the mass shell [10]. In the framework of the finite one-loop approximation of quantum theory of gravitation, De Sitter's solution was obtained in both real time [11] and imaginary time (instanton solution) [9]. Interpretation of this solution in real time touches upon fundamental questions of theory of quantum gravity. As of today, such a theory does not yet exist, so the question of interpretation of this solution remains open. The second part of this work is devoted to the discussion of this question (Part II). As to the instanton de Sitter solution, it is similar to the case of classical gravitational waves and its clear interpretation (Part III).

The outline of this paper is following. In this paper (Part I), we consider classical gravitational waves. The de Sitter solution for the empty space-time of the FLRW metric (with no matter fields)

under affect of classical gravitational waves is obtained by two independent methods, directly from the basic equations (section 2) and by means of the BBGKY chain (section 3). In both cases, we obtain the same solutions. This gives us a confidence in the correctness of the result. In section 4, we estimate the number of gravitons in the contemporary Universe based on the solution obtained. The consistency of the de Sitter solution from classical gravitational waves with observational data is discussed in Part III.

2. De Sitter Acceleration from Classical Gravitational Waves

The back reaction of short classical gravitational waves on the background was studied by Isaacson [24]. The model of the empty Universe consisting of short classical gravitational waves was described for the first time in [25]. The energy momentum tensor (EMT) for super-long classical gravitational waves was constructed in [22]. The backreaction of super-long gravitational waves was studied in several works (see [22], [26] and references therein). The self-consistent back reaction of classical super-horizon wavelengths on the background metric and vice versa is considered in this part. We show that a self-consistent solution to the back reaction problem for such waves is a de Sitter accelerated expansion¹.

The self-consistent back reaction of virtual gravitons of super-horizon wavelengths on the background metric and vice versa was considered in works [11, 10]. In the frame of one-loop finite quantum gravity it was shown that gravitons can form a quantum coherent condensate over the horizon scale of the Universe which provides the cosmological acceleration of the empty Universe described by the de Sitter law. It may give the impression that the de Sitter expansion of the empty Universe is a pure quantum effect. In fact, the de Sitter expansion of the empty Universe under backreaction of metric fluctuations (quantum or classical) takes place due to the fact of invariance of de Sitter state with respect to Wick rotation (see below).

In the frame of the self-consistent approach, the state of classical gravitational waves (CGW) is determined by their interaction with background geometry, and the background geometry, in turn, depends on the state of CGW. In all works (with no exceptions) on the back reaction of the long gravitational waves the authors used linear parameterization of the metric tensor $g^{ik} = g_0^{ik} + h^{ik}$, where g_0^{ik} and h^{ik} are background metric tensor and its perturbation, respectively. This parameterization leads to the non-conservative energy momentum tensor for CGW and the non-self-consistent system of equations for the background metric and gravitational waves. The existence of this problem was exhaustively documented in [22]. The solution to the problem was given in our works [11, 10] where it was shown that it is necessary to work with the exponential parameterization (instead of linear one) corresponding to the use of normal coordinates in the functional space. The normal coordinates are introduced by exponential parameterization of the density of metric tensor [27]

$$(-g)^{1/2} g^{ik} = (-\bar{g})^{1/2} \bar{g}^{il} (\exp \Psi)_i^k = (-\bar{g})^{1/2} \bar{g}^{il} (\delta_i^k + \Psi_l^k + \frac{1}{2} \Psi_l^m \Psi_m^k + \dots)$$

where \bar{g}^{il} , $i, l = 0, 1, 2, 3$ is Minkowski metric and Ψ_l^k is a tensor in the Minkowski space. Einstein's equations in normal coordinates are given in [20] and Part II of this paper.

With this parameterization, the energy-momentum tensor (EMT) of gravitational waves satisfies the conservative condition automatically, and the self-consistent wave and background equations must be ensured by the variational principle ([10], section II.D). The equations describing our work can be obtained directly from the variational principle (see Eqns. (II.35) and (II.36) of [10]) or from the set of equations of one-loop quantum gravity (see sections II.D and III) of [10]) by the transition to the classical limit. They read

¹ We already mentioned such a possibility in our works [9]. In this part, we present a full consideration of the effect

$$3H^2 = \kappa\rho_g \quad (1)$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa p_g \quad (2)$$

$$\rho_g = \frac{1}{8\kappa} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \left\langle \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \right\rangle \quad (3)$$

$$p_g = \frac{1}{8\kappa} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \left\langle \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* \dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} - \frac{k^2}{3a^2} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \right\rangle \quad (4)$$

Where (1) and (2) are Einstein's equations for the background and (3, 4) form the EMT of CGW. In these equations $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble function; $\kappa = 8\pi G$; speed of light $c = 1$; ρ_g and p_g are the energy density and pressure of CGW; σ is the polarization index; $\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ is the Fourier image of tensor fluctuations (GW) that are gauge-invariant by definition; dots are derivatives over the physical time t ; the superscript (*) is the sign of complex conjugation, and a is the scale factor. The mathematically rigorous method of separating the background and the GW, which ensures the existence of the EMT, is based on averaging over polarizations $\langle \psi_\alpha^\beta \rangle \equiv 0$ of CGW if all polarizations are equivalent in the homogeneous isotropic GW ensemble [10]. About the gauge invariance problem and the elimination of 3-scalar and 3-vector modes see [10, section III.A]. As is well known, the equation for 3-tensor GW is

$$\psi_{\alpha(t)}^\beta(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} Q_\alpha^\beta(\mathbf{k}\sigma) \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(t) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}, \quad \ddot{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + 3H\dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} = 0 \quad (5)$$

The transition from summation to integration in (3, 4), taking into account the isotropy of space can be done in the following way

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \dots \rightarrow \int d^3k / (2\pi)^3 \dots = \int_0^\infty k^2 dk / 2\pi^2 \dots \quad (6)$$

It is also convenient to make the transition from physical time t to the cosmological time $\eta = \int dt/a$. In such terms, eqns. (3) and (4) can be rewritten in the following form

$$3\frac{a'^2}{a^4} = \kappa\rho_g = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2}{a^2} dk \left(\sum_{\sigma} \langle \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* \hat{\psi}'_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + k^2 \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \rangle \right) \quad (7)$$

$$2\frac{a''}{a^3} - \frac{a'^2}{a^4} = -\kappa p_g = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2}{a^2} dk \left(\sum_{\sigma} \langle \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* \hat{\psi}'_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} - \frac{k^2}{3} \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \rangle \right) \quad (8)$$

Equation (5) in conformal time read

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right) \phi_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} = 0 \quad \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} = \frac{1}{a} \phi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \quad (9)$$

Where primes are derivatives over cosmological time η . The de Sitter regime in terms of conformal time reads

$$a = -(H\eta)^{-1} \quad (10)$$

The solution to (9) over the de Sitter background (10) reads

$$\psi_{k\sigma}(\eta) = \frac{1}{a\sqrt{k}} [Q_{k\sigma} (1 - \frac{1}{ik\eta}) e^{-ik\eta} + Q_{k\sigma}^* (1 + \frac{1}{ik\eta}) e^{ik\eta}] \quad (11)$$

Here $Q_{k\sigma}$ is the integration constant. The solution (11) can be rewritten also in the following useful form

$$\psi_{k\sigma}(x) = H \cdot k^{-3/2} [A_{k\sigma} \cdot (x \cos x - \sin x) + B_{k\sigma} \cdot (x \sin x + \cos x)], \quad x = k\eta \quad (12)$$

Here $A_{k\sigma} = 2 \operatorname{Re} Q_{k\sigma}$ and $B_{k\sigma} = 2 \operatorname{Im} Q_{k\sigma}$.

To make sure that (10) is an exact solution to the set of eqns. (7-9), we have to substitute (10) and (11) into (7-8) and find H for which this set of equations is satisfied.

Substitution (11) into Eqns. (7-8) leads to divergent integrals. To calculate integrals in (7-8), we make Wick rotation $\eta = -i\zeta$, $\xi = k\zeta$ in Eqns. (7), (8) and (10). Instead of (7), (9) and (10) we get the following

$$\rho = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 a^2} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \sum_\sigma \langle -\psi'_{k\sigma} \psi'^*_{k\sigma} + k^2 \psi_{k\sigma} \psi^*_{k\sigma} \rangle \quad (13)$$

$$\phi_k'' - (k^2 + \frac{a''}{a}) \phi_k = 0 \quad \psi_{k\sigma} = \frac{1}{a} \phi_{k\sigma} \quad (14)$$

$$a = 1/iH\zeta \quad (15)$$

Primes in Eqns. (13-14) indicate derivatives over ζ . Instead of (11), we get the following solution for (14) over the background (15)

$$\psi_{k\sigma}(\xi) = -iH \cdot k^{-3/2} [b_{k\sigma} (\xi + 1) e^{-\xi} + a_{k\sigma}^* (\xi - 1) e^\xi] \quad (16)$$

To get a finite solution, one has to choose $a_k = 0$. Thus, we get

$$\psi_{k\sigma}(\xi) = -iH \cdot k^{-3/2} \cdot b_{k\sigma} \cdot (\xi + 1) e^{-\xi} \quad (17)$$

Substitution (17) into (13) leads to the following equation for the energy density

$$\rho = \frac{H^4}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \sum_\sigma \langle |b_{k\sigma}|^2 \rangle [\xi^2 - (1 + \xi)^2] e^{-2\xi} \xi d\xi \quad (18)$$

To simplify the analysis, we assume that $\sum_\sigma \langle |b_{k\sigma}|^2 \rangle = \langle |b_k|^2 \rangle = \langle |b|^2 \rangle = \text{const}$. $\langle |b|^2 \rangle$ is

the mean square of the amplitude of the gravitational waves in the ensemble in imaginary time. The averaging is performed over the chaotic but isotropic on average polarizations. We also assume that the spectrum is flat, i.e. it does not depend on wavelength k . The integral in Eqn. (18) reads

$$\int_0^{\infty} [\xi^2 - (1 + \xi)^2] e^{-2\xi} \xi d\xi = -3/4$$

From (18) we get

$$\rho = -\frac{3 \langle |b|^2 \rangle H^4}{64\pi^2} \quad (19)$$

In accordance with (15), after Wick rotation the LHS of (8) is $-3H^2$. From (8), (15) and (19) we get the following equation for the Hubble constant H

$$-3H^2 = -\frac{3\kappa \langle |b|^2 \rangle H^4}{64\pi^2} \quad (20)$$

Eqn. (7) is a Schrödinger-like equation with the "one-dimensional potential" a''/a . The only difference is that the spatial coordinate in the Schrödinger equation is changed for the time variable η in Eqn. (7). In such terms, the solution (12) is a superposition of incident and reflected waves with respect to the "barrier" $x = \xi = 0$, and solution (17) is a transition wave. To make the analytical continuation from (17) back to real time (reverse Wick rotation), we have to satisfy two following conditions (see also [9])

$$\psi_{k\sigma}(\xi = 0) = \psi_{k\sigma}(x = 0) \quad (21)$$

$$\psi'_{k\sigma}(\xi = 0) = \psi'_{k\sigma}(x = 0) \quad (22)$$

The condition in Equation (22) is satisfied automatically because of $\psi'_{k\sigma}(\xi = 0) = \psi'_{k\sigma}(x = 0) = 0$. The condition (21) is satisfied if $b_{k\sigma} = iB_{k\sigma}$, which leads to the following

$$\langle |b|^2 \rangle = \langle |B|^2 \rangle$$

Where $\langle |B|^2 \rangle$ is mean square of the amplitude of the gravitational waves in the ensemble in real time. The averaging is performed over the chaotic but isotropic on average polarizations. We also assume that the spectrum is flat, i.e. it does not depend on wavelength k .

Finally, Eqn. (20) can be rewritten in the following form

$$3H^2 = \frac{3\kappa \langle |B|^2 \rangle H^4}{64\pi^2} \quad (23)$$

Thus, eqn. (23) is a result of analytical continuation (19, 20) to the Lorenzian space of real time. It describes the de Sitter solution that takes place in real time in the empty Universe.

As it follows from (23)

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{G \langle |B|^2 \rangle} \quad (24)$$

As was shown in [11], this de Sitter solution is formed by the waves with the wavelengths of the order of the horizon of events $\lambda \sim H^{-1}$. The dimension of B^2 is the energy times the time, i.e. $\langle B^2 \rangle$ is the action of the ensemble of chaotically distributed gravitational waves which are isotropic on the average (averaging over their polarization). In Eqns. (23-24), $\langle B^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ is the root mean square of such an action.

Thus, a chaotic ensemble of classical gravitational waves which is homogenous and isotropic on the average generates the de Sitter expansion of the empty space. The speed of such expansion is determined by (24). Such an ensemble of CGW has the vacuum equation of state

$$-p = \rho = \frac{3 \langle |B|^2 \rangle H^4}{64\pi^2} \quad (25)$$

For the first time, the de Sitter equation of state for the early Universe (with $\rho \sim H^4$) was obtained by Starobinsky [28] which was based on the quantum conformal anomalies. The notable fact is that in our case the same equation of state was produced by classical gravitational waves.

In view of the importance of the fact that the empty space is in the de Sitter state under the influence of classical gravitational waves, we give an independent proof of this fact by the use of the Bogoliubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Yvon hierarchy (BBGKY chain).

3. De Sitter State of Empty Space as the Exact Solution to BBGKY Chain

In this section, we obtain the de Sitter solution for the empty FLRW space by means of the BBGKY chain. For the first time, the solution was obtained in [11] for virtual gravitons. To build the BBGKY chain, one needs to introduce the gravitational wave spectral function W_k and its moments W_n

$$W_k = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \psi_{k\sigma}^+ \psi_{k\sigma} \rangle$$

$$W_n = \sum_k \frac{k^{2n}}{a^{2n}} \left(\sum_{\sigma} \langle \psi_{k\sigma}^+ \psi_{k\sigma} \rangle \right) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty \quad (26)$$

At this point, one can see a significant difference between classical GW and quantum gravitons. In the quantum case, instead of (26) we have the following definition of the moments of the spectral function of gravitons [11]

$$W_n = \sum_k \frac{k^{2n}}{a^{2n}} \left(\sum_{\sigma} \langle \Psi_g | \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle - 2 \langle \Psi_{gh} | \hat{\theta}_k^+ \hat{\theta}_k | \Psi_{gh} \rangle \right) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty \quad (27)$$

Where Ψ_g and Ψ_{gh} are graviton and ghost quantum state vectors; $\hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}$ and $\hat{\theta}_k$ are gravitons and ghosts operators, respectively. The most significant fact is the presence of Faddeev-Popov ghosts in the RHS of this equation (second term). One can see that in distinction to classical GW where the moments are always positive in accordance with (26), in the quantum case this is not so (for more details see [9], [11] and references therein).

The derivation of the BBGKY chain can be found in [13, section V]. It reads

$$\dot{D} + 6HD + 4\dot{W}_1 + 16HW_1 = 0$$

$$\ddot{W}_n + 3(2n+3)H\dot{W}_n + 3[(4n^2 + 12n + 6)H^2 + (2n+1)\dot{H}]W_n + \dots \quad n = 1, \dots, \infty \quad (28)$$

$$+ 2n[2(2n^2 + 9n + 9)H^3 + 6(n+2)H\dot{H} + \ddot{H}]W_n + 4\dot{W}_{n+1} + 8(n+2)HW_{n+1} = 0$$

$$D = \ddot{W}_0 + 3H\dot{W}_0 \quad (29)$$

Equations (28) form the BBGKY chain. Each equation of this chain connects the neighboring moments. Equations (28) have to be solved jointly with the Einstein equations (1, 2). In terms of D and W_1 the energy density and pressure of gravitons are

$$3H^2 = \kappa \varepsilon_g \equiv \frac{1}{16}D + \frac{1}{4}W_1, \quad 2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa p_g \equiv \frac{1}{16}D + \frac{1}{12}W_1, \quad (30)$$

Instead of the original self-consistent system of (1, 2) we now get the new self-consistent system of equations consisting of the Einstein equations (1, 2) and the BBGKY chain (27), (28). The energy-momentum tensor can be reduced to the form derived in [22] by identity transformations. As was shown in [11], the de Sitter solution is one of the exact solutions to the equations of the BBGKY chain for the empty FLRW space. One can check by simple substitution that it reads

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{36}W_1 \quad \varepsilon_g = -p_g = \frac{1}{12\kappa}W_1 \quad D = -\frac{8}{3}W_1, \quad a = a_0 e^{Ht} \quad (31)$$

$$W_{n+1} = -\frac{n(2n+3)(n+3)}{2(n+2)}H^2W_n, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (32)$$

Zero moment W_0 , which has an infrared logarithmic singularity, is not contained in the expressions for the physical quantities, and for that reason, is not calculated. In the equation for W_0 , the functions are differentiated in the integrand and the derivatives are combined in accordance with the definition (29). At the last step the integrals that are calculated, already possesses no singularities. It can be seen from (32) that the signs of the moments $W_{n+1}/W_n < 0$ alternate. This alternation means that even moments are negative and this is in contradiction with their definition (26) (in distinction to the quantum case). This means that in real time t we get a non-physical solution. The Wick rotation $t \rightarrow i\tau$ (the transition to imaginary time) leads to the following changes

$$t = i\tau; \quad H = -iH_\tau; \quad H_\tau = \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{d\tau}; \quad D \rightarrow -D^\tau; \quad D^\tau = \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2}W_0 + 3H_\tau \frac{d}{d\tau}W_0 \quad (33)$$

This procedure removes alternation of the moments, and the solution (21, 22) in imaginary time reads

$$-H_\tau^2 = \frac{1}{36}W_1; \quad D_\tau = \frac{8}{3}W_1 \quad (34)$$

$$W_{n+1} = \frac{n(2n+3)(n+3)}{2(n+2)}H_\tau^2W_n, \quad n \geq 1; \quad (35)$$

$$a = a_0 e^{H_\tau \tau} \quad (36)$$

Thus, (34-36) is the exact de Sitter solution to the BBGKY chain (27-30) in imaginary time. Due to the invariance of the de Sitter solution with respect to Wick rotation, we have this solution in real time too

$$a = a_0 e^{H_\tau \tau} = a_0 e^{iH(-it)} = a_0 e^{Ht} \quad (37)$$

Thus, we obtained the de Sitter solution for the empty FLRW space-time by two independent methods described in sections 2 and 3.

4. Classical Gravitational Waves vs. Quantum Gravitons

In accordance with the Planck Collaboration Cosmological Parameters [2], the Hubble constant reads

$$H = (67.74 \pm 0.46) \text{ km / sec} \cdot \text{ Mpc} \quad (38)$$

To get the contemporary Hubble constant (38) which is created by CGW, one can estimate the appropriate action of CGW using (24). Substitution (38) into (24) gives

$$\langle |B|^2 \rangle \approx 1.8 \cdot 10^{89} \frac{\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{kg}}{\text{sec}} \quad (39)$$

Using the quantum language, one can say that we have an ensemble of super-long CGW with frequencies H and energy $\hbar H$. If so, the ratio $\langle B^2 \rangle / \hbar \approx N_g$ gives us the number of gravitons under the de Sitter horizon in the Universe. The calculation of N_g produces a familiar number

$$N_g \approx 1.7 \cdot 10^{123} \quad (40)$$

It is consistent with the number of virtual gravitons under the de Sitter horizon in the Universe which was calculated in the frame of quantum field theory [11], [12] and Part III. As was mentioned in [12] (see also Part III), it could be a possible interpretation of the ratio $\rho_{\text{Planck}} / \rho_{\text{Dark energy}} \approx 10^{123}$ (so called "old cosmological constant problem"). In other words, this number is not a ratio of theoretical value of lambda term to its observational value but it simply is the number of gravitons under the horizon of the contemporary Universe. The consistency of existing observational data with the classical gravitational wave theory presented in this paper is discussed in Part III.

5. Conclusion

There is no self-consistent solution to the problem of backreaction of classical gravitational waves in the empty space-time in real time because of appearance of divergent integrals. Using the Wick rotation we replaced the integration along the real axis by integration along the imaginary axis. This procedure leads to convergent integrals and produces the self-consistent de Sitter solution in imaginary time that was analytically continued into real time. Transition to imaginary time (Wick rotation) is a *mandatory* procedure to get the de Sitter expansion of the empty space in real time under the back reaction of classical gravitational waves. This fact raises the question of the nature of time. Is not time a complex variable by its nature? We will return to this question in Part III. In Part II of this paper we consider the quantum theory of gravitons. We emphasize the extremely important fact that cosmological acceleration from gravitational waves and gravitons whose existence in empty space-time does not cause doubts does not require additional hypotheses (even the most plausible hypotheses remain still hypotheses) to explain the causes of the effects of dark energy and inflation.

Acknowledgments I would like to express my deep appreciation to Walter Sadowski for invaluable help in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Abbott, B.P. et al., Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, Phys. Rev. Lett. , 2016, **116**, pp. 061102; arXiv: 1602.03837
2. Ade, P.A.R. et al., Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters, 2016, A&A 594, A13,; arXiv: 1502.01589, 2015; <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525830>
3. Guth, A. **The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems**, Phys.Rev. D, 1981, **23**, pp. 347-356,

4. Linde, A.D. , A new inflationary universe scenario: a possible solution of the horizon, flatness, homogeneity, isotropy and primordial monopole problems, *Phys. Lett.*, 1982, **B 108**, pp.389-393,
5. Albrecht, A & Steinhardt, P., Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking, *Phys.Rev. Lett*, 1982, **48**, pp.1220-
6. Riess, A.G. et al, "Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant", *Astronomical Journal*, 1998, Vol.**116**, No. 3, , pp. 1009-1038.
7. Perlmutter, S. et al., "Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 high redshift supernovae", *Astrophysical Journal*, 1999, Vol.**517**, No. 2, pp. 565-586.
8. Marochnik, L. & Usikov, D., *Inflation and CMB Anisotropy from Quantum Metric Fluctuations* , *Grav. Cosmology*, 2015, **21**, pp.118-122,
9. Marochnik, L., Dark Energy from Instantons, *Grav. Cosmology*, 2013, **19**, pp.178-187; arXiv: 1306.6172,
10. Marochnik, L, Usikov, D. &Vereshkov, G., Macroscopic Effect of Quantum Gravity: Graviton, Ghost and Instanton Condensation on Horizon Scale of Universe *J. Mod. Phys.*, 2013, **4**, pp.48-101,; arXiv: 1306.6172, 2013
11. Marochnik, L, Usikov, D. &Vereshkov, G., Cosmological Acceleration from Virtual Gravitons, *Found. Phys.*, 2008, **38**, pp.546-555; arXiv:0709.2537
12. Marochnik, L, Dark Energy and Inflation in a Gravitational Wave Dominated Universe, *Grav. Cosmology*, 2016, **22**, pp.10-19; arXiv:1508.07312
13. Marochnik, L., Cosmological Acceleration from Scalar Field and Classical and Quantum Gravitational Waves (Inflation and Dark Energy), *Grav. Cosmology*, 2017, **23**, issue 3, (in press)
14. Ford, L.H.& Parker, L., "Quantized Gravitational Wave Perturbations in Robertson-Walker Universes", *Physical Review D*, 1977, Vol. **16**, No. 6, , pp. 1601-1608.
15. Finelli, F., Marozzi, G., Vacca, G. & Venturi, G., "Adiabatic regularization of the graviton stress-energy tensor in de Sitter space-time", *Physical Review D*, 2005, Vol. **71**, No.2, pp. 023522-023527.
16. Ford, L., "Quantum Instability of De Sitter Space-Time", *Physical Review D*, 1985, Vol. **31**, No.4, pp.710-717.
17. Garriga, J. & Tanaka, T., "Can infrared gravitons screen Lambda?", *Physical Review D*, 2008, Vol. **77**, No. 2, , pp. 024021-024029.
18. Tsamis, T & Woodard, R., "Reply to "Can infrared gravitons screen Lambda?"" , *Physical Review D*, 2008, Vol. **78**, No. 2, pp. 028501-028508.
19. Tsamis, T & Woodard, R., **Dimensionally regulated graviton 1-point function in de Sitter** *Annals Phys.* 2006, **321**, pp.875-893,
20. Vereshkov, G. & Marochnik, L., Quantum Gravity in Heisenberg Representation and Self-Consistent Theory of Gravitons in Macroscopic Spacetime, *JMP*, 2013, **4**, pp.285-297,
21. DeWitt, B., "Quantum gravity: the new synthesis", In *"General Relativity"* ed by S. Hawking and W. Israel, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1979, pp..680-745,
22. Abramo, L. R. W., Brandenberger, R. H. & Mukhanov, V. F., "Energy-momentum tensor for cosmological perturbations", *Physical Review D*, 1997, Vol. **56**, No. 6, pp. 3248-3257.
23. t'Hooft, G. & Veltman, M., "One-loop divergences in the theory of gravitation", *Annals of Institute Henri Poincare*, 1974, Vol. **20**, No.1, pp. 69-94.
24. Isaakson, R.A., "Gravitational Radiation in the Limit of High Frequency I", *Physical Review*, 1968, Vol. 166, pp. 1263-1271. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.166.1263; "Gravitational Radiation in the Limit of High Frequency II", *Physical Review*, 1968, Vol. 166, pp. 1272-1280. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.166.1272

25. Marohnik, L., Pelikhov, N. & Vereshkov, G., "Turbulence in Cosmology I", *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, 1975, **34**, 2 pp.49-263; *ibid*, "Turbulence in Cosmology II", *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, 1975, **34**, 2, pp.281-295
26. Ishibashi, A. & Wald, R., "Can the acceleration of our universe be ... in homogeneities?", *Class. Quantum Grav.* 2006, **23**, pp.235-250.
27. Konopleva, N.P., Popov, V.N. & Queen, N.M., *Gauge Fields*, Harwood Acad. Publ., 1981
28. Starobinsky, A., "A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity", *Phys. Lett.*, 1980, B91, pp.99-102,

II: Quantum Theory of Gravitons in the Universe ²

Abstract In this part, we consider the quantum theory of gravitons, and its direct connection with the fundamental problems of quantum gravity. In the non-stationary Universe, due to the conformal non-invariance and the zero rest mass of gravitons and ghosts, asymptotic states are absent, and the vacuum is unstable, both in the graviton sector and in the ghost sector. The irremovable quantum effect of the spontaneous production of particles in an unstable vacuum makes it impossible to retain for the ghost fields only the status of auxiliary virtual fields, which they had in the theory of the S-matrix. Ghosts formally acquire the properties of a second physical subsystem, dynamically equal in rights with the subsystem of gravitons. The appearance of ghosts means that extrapolating the theory of the S-matrix to the Universe as a whole derives the theory from the physical domain in which it was originally formulated as Faddeev's path integral with a measure obtained by the "decomposition of unity". The one-loop finiteness of the theory applies off the mass shell; One-loop equations have exact solutions that describe the macroscopic effects of quantum gravity - the condensation of gravitons, ghosts and instantons on the horizon scale of a non-stationary Universe. The instanton solutions are not accompanied by ghost materialization.

1. Introduction

We start from the short description of this work. The exact equations of quantum gravity in the Heisenberg representation are derived from the Faddeev path integral in the Hamiltonian gauge. For a macroscopic quantum-gravitational system, these equations are transformed to equations of the quantum theory of gravitons in a curved space-time with self-consistent geometry. The path integral, operator equations in the Heisenberg representation and the equations of the self-consistent theory of gravitons are related to each other by identity transformations which contain the fact that in all formulations of the theory there is a specific ghost sector in the form of a scalar Grassmann field with a minimal coupling and a negatively determined energy-momentum tensor. In the S-matrix theory and in equivalent problems solved in the Heisenberg representation, the asymptotic states of ghosts are given by vacuum states. In these problems, the polarization of the ghost vacuum compensates for the vacuum polarization of nonphysical inertia fields. The selection rule excluding from the space of asymptotic states the state of ghosts with nonzero occupation numbers is mathematically ensured by the very existence of asymptotic states and by the stability of the vacuum.

In the non-stationary universe, due to the conformal non-invariance and the zero rest mass of gravitons and ghosts, asymptotic states are absent, and the vacuum is unstable, both in the graviton sector and in the ghost sector. The irremovable quantum effect of the spontaneous production of particles in an unstable vacuum makes it impossible to retain for the ghost fields only the status of auxiliary virtual fields, which they had in the theory of the S-matrix. Ghosts formally acquire the properties of a second physical subsystem, dynamically equal in rights with the subsystem of

² This part "Dark Energy and Inflation from Gravitational Waves II" actually should have two co-authors, Grigory Vereshkov and the author. A significant part of this paper is the content of our unpublished joint paper of 2013. Grigory died in 2014 and I am finishing our joint work alone. The problem is that by the end of his life, our views on the issue under discussion differed. And although much of the calculations in this part belong to him, I do not consider it possible to put his name on this paper because of the differences in our points of view. He tried to show in the above-mentioned part that the problem of the "ghost materialization" will find its explanation in the future quantum gravity theory. Perhaps this is so. On my part, I gradually came to the conclusion that "the ghost materialization" does not occur at all in the case of instanton solutions obtained by Wick rotation with the subsequent reverse passage to real time. In the absence of a theory of quantum gravity, only such solutions have a physical meaning in my opinion. This idea is at the heart of all three parts of this part.

gravitons. The materialization of ghosts, strictly speaking, means that extrapolating the theory of the S-matrix to the universe as a whole derives the theory from the physical domain in which it was originally formulated as Faddeev's path integral with a measure obtained by the "decomposition of unity". This circumstance, however, does not preclude a detailed study of the intrinsic properties of the extrapolated theory. It is established that in this theory the ghost sector is unambiguously fixed; the one-loop finiteness of the theory applies off the mass shell; One-loop equations have exact solutions that describe the macroscopic effects of quantum gravity - the condensation of gravitons, ghosts and instantons on the horizon scale of a non-stationary Universe. It will be shown in the third part of the paper that in distinction to graviton-ghost condensates, instanton condensates do not produce the ghost materialization effect. Using Wick rotation (exactly as in the classical case), we again obtain de Sitter expansion of the empty space-time although the nature of this effect differs from the classical case.

In this part we discuss two interrelated problems of the quantum theory of gravitation, and the related problem of the accelerated expansion of the Universe by the action of gravitons. First, we show that the existing quantum gravity in the formulation of Faddeev-Popov formally mathematically allows extrapolation from the theory of the S-matrix to the Universe as a whole. Secondly, solutions of the equations of extrapolated theory that predict a new class of physical phenomena will be described. These are the macroscopic effects of quantum gravity that occur in the evolutionary stages of the universe. In the third part of this paper, we show that gravitons as well as classical gravitational waves generate the de Sitter accelerated expansion of the empty space-time with FLRW metric although the nature of this acceleration differs from the classical case. Exact solutions of one-loop equations of quantum gravity describing macroscopic effects were given by us for the first time in [1]. A detailed analysis of these solutions is given in [2]. In [3], the procedure for the transition from the Faddeev path integral [4] to the equations of quantum gravity in the Heisenberg representation and the subsequent transformation of these equations to the system of equations for quantum fields in a curved space-time with self-consistent geometry are described in detail. In the present work, we tried to discuss all these issues once again, drawing attention to the direct connection of the discussed problems with the fundamental problems of quantum gravity. The existence of macroscopic effects of quantum gravity is predicted from the following general considerations. Quanta of the gravitational field - gravitons - are Bose particles interacting with each other and with a macroscopic gravitational field responsible for the expansion of the universe as a whole. Because of the conformal non-invariance and zero rest mass of gravitons, their vacuum is unstable, and there is no threshold for the processes of vacuum polarization and particle creation. The most unstable are the so-called quasi-resonant modes whose wavelengths are comparable with the distance to the horizon of events. This means that, under certain conditions, the horizon of events can perform the functions of a "pump generator" that continuously works from the birth of the universe to our days. Given the gravitons belonging to the class of Bose particles, it is natural to assume that in the macroscopic system of gravitons the condensation effect of quasi-resonant modes (which is inherently related to the macroscopic quantum effects of superfluidity and superconductivity) is possible. In a condensate state, the state vector of a macroscopic system of gravitons becomes a coherent superposition of vectors corresponding to different occupation numbers of gravitons with the same wavelength of the order of the distance to the event horizon. Such a condensate should manifest itself as Dark Energy - a gravitating unstructured medium that homogeneously and isotropically fills the entire space of the Universe. The consideration of macroscopic effects of quantum gravity is possible only if there is a theory capable to quantitatively predict these effects. Comparing the mathematical constructions of the quantum Yang-Mills theory (Section 2) and quantum gravity (Section 3), we show the non-alternating character of the operator equations for gravitons and ghosts in the Heisenberg representation derived from the Faddeev path integral [4] from the extended phase space of gravitons and ghosts in the Hamiltonian gauge. In Section 4, the exact equations of the theory of gravitons and ghosts in the curved space-time with classical self-consistent geometry are obtained by identity transformations of these equations. In section 5, the self-consistent theory of gravitons and ghosts in an isotropic non-stationary Universe is

presented. Macroscopic quantum gravity phenomena are described by exact solutions of one-loop equations of this theory.

The mathematical formalism of the theory unambiguously testifies that the Faddeev-Popov (FP) ghosts are an ineradicable element of the quantum theory of gravitation in any of its representations and formulations. This fact is a direct consequence of the impossibility of an unambiguous choice of the frame of reference in space-time with dynamic geometry. Four gauge conditions imposed on the dynamic metric fix a certain class of reference frames, but the equations of the theory remain degenerate with respect to residual transformations of the group of diffeomorphisms corresponding to transformations of reference frames inside the chosen class.

Fictitious inertia fields corresponding to residual transformations cannot be globally separated from the true gravitational field created by interacting particles. In the quantum theory, fictitious virtual inertia fields are mixed with true virtual gravitons and, thereby, generate a fictitious contribution to the polarization of the graviton vacuum. The idea of compensating for the contribution of fictitious inertial fields with the fictitious field of ghost belongs to Feynman [5]. In the theory of a graviton S-matrix based on the FP path integral [6], ghosts have the status of an auxiliary mathematical object used to define a measure of functional integration with respect to the space-time metric with incomplete removal of degeneracy.

The question of the status of ghosts in the theory of macroscopic quantum-gravitational phenomena is much more nontrivial. It is necessary to pay attention to the following circumstances. First, the equations of quantum gravity in the Heisenberg representation exist only in the distinguished Hamiltonian gauge, which corresponds to a fixed ghost sector in the form of a Grassmann scalar field theory with a minimum coupling with gravity. Secondly, this field is transformed to an (almost) standard bosonic complex scalar field by separating the Grassmannian units from the ghost fields in the form of multiplicative factors.

The only difference from the standard scalar field is that the energy-momentum tensor of bosonized ghosts enters the Einstein equation with an "incorrect" sign. The third most important and critical for the theory circumstance is the fact that in a macroscopic quantum-gravitational system the vacuum of bosonized ghosts is unstable. In an unstable vacuum, ghosts cannot be in a state with zero occupation numbers. This means that ghosts can no longer be considered only as compensators for the contributions of nonphysical inertia fields to the polarization of a graviton vacuum. The inevitable changes in the state of ghosts during the evolution of the system forces us to seek a new interpretation of ghosts as the second physical subsystem dynamically equivalent with the subsystem of gravitons.

The ghost materialization is a direct mathematical consequence of the formulation of the problem, which is the only possible within the framework of the existing quantum theory of gravity. Namely, the postulate that the concrete representation and adequate formalism of the theory of macroscopic quantum gravitational phenomena should be obtained by extrapolating the theory of the FP graviton S-matrix [6] to the Universe as a whole is uncontested.

This approach preserves the most important property of the theory - the one-loop finiteness of quantum gravity without matter fields. We emphasize that this theory has this property both on the mass shell of gravitons [7] and off the mass shell³. It is the fact of the finiteness of the theory off the mass surface that makes it possible to obtain exact solutions of the cosmological one-loop equations of quantum gravity that explicitly demonstrates the existence of quantum-gravitational Bose condensates possessing the properties of Dark Energy. The exact solutions of one-loop equations of instanton type do not accompanied by the ghost materialization and reason for that is discussed in (Part III).

³ So far, for the description of gravitons in the non-stationary Universe, the models used in the literature have no mathematical connection with the theory of the graviton S-matrix at the level of identity transformations (see, e.g. [8-12]). We do not discuss these models, since they are not relevant to the formalism of the existing quantum gravity. We note only that in all the published papers on the theory of gravitons in the non-stationary Universe, the condition of one-loop finiteness of quantum gravity is not satisfied.

2. The scheme of the Yang-Mills quantum theory

The features of the problem of extrapolation can be demonstrated by comparing the theories of Einstein and Yang-Mills. In the quantum theory of Yang-Mills, there is no problem of extrapolation, since there exist a universal representation that makes it possible to set problems both in the theory of the S-matrix and in the theory of the macroscopic system of quanta of gauge fields. We have in mind the operator of the Yang-Mills equations in the Heisenberg representation in the ghost-free Hamiltonian gauge $A_0^\alpha = 0$. These equations are written in the Hamiltonian form with canonical commutation relations for the operators of generalized coordinates and moments [13]

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 \hat{\pi}_a^\alpha &= i[\hat{H}, \hat{\pi}_a^\alpha]_-, \quad \partial_0 \hat{A}_\alpha^a = i[\hat{H}, \hat{A}_\alpha^a]_-, \\ [\hat{\pi}_a^\alpha(t, \bar{x}), \hat{A}_\beta^b(t, \bar{x})]_- &= -i\delta_a^b \delta_\beta^\alpha \delta(\bar{x} - \bar{x}'); \\ \hat{H} &= \int \tilde{\hat{H}} d^3x \quad \tilde{\hat{H}} = -\hat{\pi}_a^\alpha \hat{\pi}_\alpha^a + \hat{F}_{\alpha\beta}^a \hat{F}_a^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Where $\hat{F}_a^{\alpha\beta}$ are the strengths of Yang-Mills field; $\tilde{\hat{H}}$ and \hat{H} are the density of Hamiltonian and Hamiltonian; a, b are indexes of inner symmetry; $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ are metric indexes that belong to the Minkowski space (all operations with metric indexes are performed with the Minkowski metric).

The transitions from (1) to the Schrödinger representation and the interaction representation are carried out by standard unitary transformations of operators and state vectors. In the construction of the S-matrix in the interaction representation, an additional axiom on the existence of asymptotic states of free particles is introduced into the theory. It is well-known that this additional axiom makes sense only in the perturbation theory, although the initial operator equations given in (1) in the Heisenberg representation can also be used outside perturbation theory without the assumption of the existence of asymptotic states.

Equations (1) (or the Schrödinger equation derived from (1)) specify the procedure for calculating the matrix element of the evolution operator. The path integral in the Yang-Mills theory is initially introduced as an integral over the canonical Hamiltonian variables in the Hamiltonian gauge:

$$\langle out | in \rangle = \int \exp \{ i \int [\hat{\pi}_a^\alpha \partial_0 \hat{A}_\alpha^a - \tilde{\hat{H}}(\hat{\pi}_a^\alpha, \hat{A}_\alpha^a)] d^4x \} \prod_x \prod_{a, \alpha} d\hat{\pi}_a^\alpha d\hat{A}_\alpha^a \quad (2)$$

By definition, the integral (2) is a mathematically equivalent way of computing the matrix element of the evolution operator. The application of the path integral to the calculation of the S-matrix initiates its further transformations. The transition to the integral over Lagrangian variables in the Hamiltonian gauge, and then the transition to the integral over all Lagrangian variables with the introduction of the Hamiltonian gauge into the measure of integration have the status of identical transformations. Up to this stage inclusively, there are no ghosts in the quantum Yang-Mills theory.

The ghosts in the Yang-Mills theory appear as auxiliary mathematical objects at the stage of converting of the measure of integration to the gauges, under which the residual degeneracy of the equations of the theory is preserved. These transformations include operations of "unit expansions" and permutations of the order of integration in divergent integrals over a gauge group. Strictly speaking, the use of these operations involves the calculation of the functional integral by perturbation theory under asymptotic boundary conditions. The assignment of such conditions is mathematically equivalent to the introduction of an axiom on the existence of asymptotic states in the operator formalism. The S-matrix constructed on the basis of an arbitrarily gauged path integral, is defined by extending the definition of an obvious physical statement: the space of non-vacuum asymptotic states is not changed under transformations of the integration measure from the ghost-free Hamiltonian gauge to other gauges generating the ghost sector. The mathematical

realization of this statement is the selection rules: the asymptotic states of gravitons with arbitrary occupation numbers and vacuum states of ghosts with zero occupation numbers are physical. These selection rules automatically ensure the gauge invariance of the S-matrix, and the introduction of these rules is possible insofar as the vacuum is stable in the theory of the perturbative S-matrix.

3. Scheme of Quantum Theory of Gravitation

The quantum theory of gravity cannot be constructed according to the scheme described above for the quantum theory of Yang-Mills fields. The reason has already been pointed out earlier. In the theory there are no ghost-free gauges that globally separate the inertia fields from the true gravitational field. Thus, there is no ghost-free operator Hamiltonian formalism, which could be used as an initial representation of the quantum theory of gravity⁴. The modern quantum theory of gravity, which allows for the quantitative analysis of physical processes, exists only in the form of the theory of a perturbative S-matrix based on a gauged FP path integral. The computational capabilities of the theory are limited either by the scattering matrix of any gravitationally interacting fields in the tree approximation, or by a purely graviton S-matrix in the one-loop approximation, in which quantum gravity without matter fields is finite⁵. The procedure for extrapolating the theory of the graviton S-matrix to the Universe as a whole consists of two stages. In the first stage, operator equations in the Hamiltonian form in the Heisenberg representation are derived. The solutions of these equations for the same boundary conditions and selection rules for which the path integral is calculated must automatically reproduce the S-matrix of the FP theory. It is obvious that the S-matrices coincide only if the operator equations in the Heisenberg representation with the canonical quantization of gravitons and ghosts contain exactly the same ghost sector as the path integral from which they are derived. The actual extrapolation is carried out at the second stage. At the level of the formal postulate, it is asserted that the operator equations in the Heisenberg representation can be used to construct the theory of a macroscopic quantum-gravitational system whose structure corresponds not to asymptotic but to periodic boundary conditions. Such a system is an infinite non-stationary universe.

The Einstein and Yang-Mills theories have a common feature: in both cases, the operator equations in the Heisenberg representation do not exist in all gauges, but only in the chosen ones. A gauge should reduce the number of dynamic variables so that variations in the remaining variables would give only the equations of motion, and the constraint equations would act as the first integrals of the motion of these equations. This property is possessed by the Hamiltonian gauge in the Yang-Mills theory. The classical theory of gravitation has a synchronous gauge in normal coordinates, which has exactly this property - see [3], Section II.1. The normal coordinates are introduced by exponential parameterization of the density of the metric tensor:

$$(-g)^{1/2} g^{ik} = (-\bar{g})^{1/2} \bar{g}^{il} (\exp \Psi)_i^k = (-\bar{g})^{1/2} \bar{g}^{il} (\delta_i^k + \Psi_i^k + \frac{1}{2} \Psi_i^m \Psi_m^k + \dots) \quad (3)$$

Where \bar{g}^{il} , $i, l = 0, 1, 2, 3$ is Minkowski metric and Ψ_i^k is a tensor in the Minkowski space. The Hamiltonian gauge is given by the conditions $(-\hat{g})^{1/2} g^{00} = 1$, $(-\hat{g})^{1/2} g^{0\alpha} = 0$ from which follows $\hat{\Psi}_0^i = 0$. This gauge, however, does not completely separate the inertia fields from the true gravitational field.

The Einstein equations in normal coordinates can be obtained in the following way.

⁴ The Hamiltonian formalisms of Dirac [14] and Arnowitt-Deser-Misner [15] use gauges that generate ghosts. The ghosts sector in [14, 15] is not taken into account. (These works were performed long before the role of ghosts in the restoration of unitarity of the S-matrix was clarified.)

⁵ In higher orders of perturbation theory, quantum gravity is not renormalizable [16]. Multi-loop calculations can be carried out only in finite super-gravities.

Upon obtaining the exact equations of the theory of gravity in the Hamilton form, the gravitational field can be regarded as the deviation of the metric from the metric of Minkowski space $\bar{g}_{ik} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. Normal coordinates of the gravitational field of $\hat{\Psi}_i^k$ are given by the exponential parameterization of the density of the contravariant metric [17]

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-\hat{g}}\hat{g}^{ik} &= \sqrt{-\bar{g}}\bar{g}^{il} \hat{g}_l^k, \\ \hat{g}_i^k &\equiv (\exp \hat{\Psi})_i^k = \delta_i^k + \hat{\Psi}_i^k + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Psi}_i^m\hat{\Psi}_m^k + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The density of the gravitational Lagrangian as a function of normal coordinates reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{grav} &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\hat{g}^{ik}\hat{R}_{ik} = \\ &= \frac{1}{8\kappa} \hat{g}_k^l \left(\hat{\Psi}_n^{m,k}\hat{\Psi}_{m,l}^n - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Psi}_i^k\hat{\Psi}_{,l}^i - 2\hat{\Psi}_n^{k,m}\hat{\Psi}_{m,l}^n \right) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where dots denote a full derivative which does not contribute to the equations of motion. A variation of the action of the gravity theory over the normal coordinates leads to the Einstein equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-\hat{g}}\hat{g}^{kl}\hat{R}_{il} &\equiv \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{g}^{ml}\hat{\Psi}_{i,m}^k - \hat{g}^{km}\hat{\Psi}_{i,m}^l - \hat{g}^{ml}\hat{\Psi}_{m,i}^k - \frac{1}{2}\delta_i^k \hat{g}^{ml}\hat{\Psi}_{,m}^l \right)_{,l} &- \\ -\frac{1}{4} \hat{g}^{kl} \left(\hat{\Psi}_{m,l}^n \hat{\Psi}_{n,l}^m - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\Psi}_{,i}^i \hat{\Psi}_{,l}^i - 2\hat{\Psi}_{l,m}^n \hat{\Psi}_{n,i}^m \right) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The same equations can be rewritten in the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_i^k \equiv \sqrt{-\hat{g}}\hat{g}^{kl}\hat{R}_{il} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_i^k \sqrt{-\hat{g}}\hat{g}^{ml}\hat{R}_{ml} = 0. \quad (7)$$

In accordance with the general properties of Einstein equations, $\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\hat{g}^{\beta l}\hat{R}_{\alpha l} = 0$ are equations of motion ($\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$), and $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_i^0 = 0$ are equations of constraints. Note also the following form in which the Bianci identity can be presented:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{E}}_i^k}{\partial x^k} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}_k^l}{\partial x^i} \left(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_l^k - \frac{1}{2}\delta_l^k \hat{\mathcal{E}} \right) \equiv 0. \quad (8)$$

In [3], Section II.2, it is shown that a non-removable inertia field has one degree of freedom, and the corresponding parameter of residual infinitesimal transformations satisfies the wave equation for a scalar field with a minimum coupling with gravity. Using this result and taking into account the general properties of the functional integration formalism, one can immediately predict that in the effective Lagrangian of the quantum theory of gravitation in a Hamilton gauge there will be one complex Grassmannian scalar field of ghosts that satisfies the formally general covariant Klein-Gordon-Fock equation. The existence of a Hamiltonian formalism for a scalar field is obvious; therefore it is immediately possible to write out Einstein's operator equations in the Hamiltonian gauge in the Heisenberg representation. On the right side of these equations are the energy-momentum tensor of the ghosts and the operators of the gravitational and ghost fields will satisfy, respectively, the commutation and anti-commutation relations. For these reasons, Einstein's operator equations in the Hamiltonian gauge were written out in [1, 2] as initial equations without detailed explanations.

An exhaustive mathematical proof of the existence of quantum gravity in the Heisenberg representation with the canonical rules for quantizing gravitons and ghosts is presented in [3], Section III. The proof is based on the general theory of Hamiltonian systems with incomplete removal of degeneracy formulated by Faddeev in [18]. In the application to quantum gravity, this theory is formulated as a path integral over conjugate Hamiltonian variables [4]. (See eqn. (5.6) in [19] or the eqn.(24.22) in [20].). Faddeev's path integral in the Hamilton gauge reads

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \text{out} | \text{in} \rangle = \\
& \int \exp \left\{ i \int [\hat{\pi}_\mu^\nu \partial_0 \hat{\Psi}_\nu^\mu - \mathcal{H}_{grav}(\hat{\pi}_\mu^\nu, \hat{\Psi}_\mu^\nu)] d^4x \right\} \times \\
& \quad \times (\det \hat{M}_k^i) \prod_x \prod_{\mu \leq \nu} d \hat{\pi}_\mu^\nu d \hat{\Psi}_\mu^\nu, \\
& \mathcal{H}_{grav} = 2\kappa (\hat{\pi}_\nu^\mu \hat{\pi}_\mu^\nu - \hat{\pi} \hat{\pi}) - \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{8\kappa} \hat{g}_\rho^\sigma \left(\hat{\Psi}_\nu^{\mu,\rho} \hat{\Psi}_{\mu,\sigma}^\nu - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\Psi}_{,\rho}^\nu \hat{\Psi}_{,\sigma}^\rho - 2 \hat{\Psi}_\nu^{\rho,\mu} \hat{\Psi}_{\mu,\sigma}^\nu \right) \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Eqn. (10) is the density of gravitational Hamiltonian

$$\hat{M}_k^i = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_0 & -\partial_\alpha \\ \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta & \delta_\beta^\alpha \partial_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

Eqn. (11) is the operator for the equations of residual degeneracy corresponding to the Hamiltonian gauge. After that, $\det \hat{M}_k^i$ is localized in terms of Hamilton variables for anti-commute ghosts fields

$$\det \hat{M}_k^i = \int \exp \left\{ i \int [\mathcal{P} \cdot \partial_0 \theta + \partial_0 \bar{\theta} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{P}} - \mathcal{H}_{ghost}(\mathcal{P}, \theta, \bar{\mathcal{P}}, \bar{\theta}, \hat{\Psi}_\mu^\nu)] d^4x \right\} \prod_x d \bar{\theta} d \bar{\mathcal{P}} d \theta d \mathcal{P} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{ghost}(\mathcal{P}, \theta, \bar{\mathcal{P}}, \bar{\theta}, \hat{\Psi}_\mu^\nu) = -8\kappa \mathcal{P} \bar{\mathcal{P}} + \frac{1}{8\kappa} \hat{g}_\mu^\nu \bar{\theta}_{,\nu} \theta^{,\mu} \quad (13)$$

is the density of the ghost Hamiltonian. Substitution of (10) into (11) produces the path integral over the extended space of gravitons and ghosts. The factorization of the measure of integration allows to immediately consider this integral as a matrix element of the operator of evolution which is calculated over the basis vectors of gravitons and ghost. A mathematically equivalent method for computing this matrix element is based on solutions of operator equations in the Heisenberg representation formulated in the extended phase space and supplemented with the canonical rules for quantizing the gravitational and ghost fields

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_0 \hat{\pi}_\alpha^\beta &= i[H, \hat{\pi}_\alpha^\beta]_- & \partial_0 \hat{\Psi}_\alpha^\beta &= i[H, \hat{\Psi}_\alpha^\beta]_- \\
[\hat{\pi}_\mu^\nu(t, \bar{x}), \hat{\Psi}_\rho^\sigma(t, \bar{x})]_- &= -i \delta_\mu^\sigma \delta_\rho^\nu \delta(\bar{x} - \bar{x}') \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_0 \mathcal{P} &= i[H, \mathcal{P}]_- & \partial_0 \theta &= i[H, \theta]_- \\
\partial_0 \bar{\mathcal{P}} &= i[H, \bar{\mathcal{P}}]_- & \partial_0 \bar{\theta} &= i[H, \bar{\theta}]_- \\
[\theta(t, \bar{x}), \mathcal{P}(t, \bar{x})]_+ &= [\bar{\theta}(t, \bar{x}), \bar{\mathcal{P}}(t, \bar{x})]_+ = i \delta(\bar{x} - \bar{x}') \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

In (14) and (15), there is a complete Hamiltonian of gravitons and ghosts which reads

$$H = \int (\mathcal{H}_{grav} + \mathcal{H}_{ghost}) d^3x \quad (16)$$

In the frame of perturbation theory, the Hamiltonian (16) is represented in the form of sum of Hamiltonian of free fields and the Hamiltonian of interactions, so that $H = H_0 + H_{\text{int}}$. In the interaction representation, both Hamiltonians are functionals of the free field operators. It is easy to obtain the explicit forms for H_0 and H_{int} from (10) and (13) by extraction of delta-symbol from the operator exponent

$$(\exp \hat{\Psi}_{(0)})_{,\rho}^\sigma = \delta_\rho^\sigma + [(\exp \hat{\Psi}_{(0)})_{,\rho}^\sigma - \delta_\rho^\sigma]$$

The equations for the operators of free fields are obtained from (14) and (15) by exchange H with H_0 . The scattering operator is represented by Hamiltonian of interactions H_{int} as a standard chronological exponent.

From the theory in the representation of the interaction supplemented by the axiom of the existence of asymptotic states and the rules of selection of physical states, we obtain an S-matrix that is identical to the S-matrix obtained from the Faddeev path integral (9), or from an arbitrarily gauged FP' path integral [6] taking into account identical transformations using the "unity decomposition". This result clearly demonstrates the following key statement.

The existing quantum theory of gravity is reduced by means of identical transformations to the operator equations in the Heisenberg representation in the Hamiltonian gauge with the canonical rules for quantizing gravitons and ghosts.

We draw attention to the fact that the schemes of quantum theories of Einstein and Yang-Mills consist of the same elements, but are different in relation to each other.

For Yang-Mills theory: operator equations in the Heisenberg representation in a ghost-free Hamiltonian gauge (1) - \rightarrow Faddeev's path integral in the Hamiltonian gauge without the ghost sector (2) - \rightarrow FP path integral in an arbitrary gauge with the ghost sector.

For Einstein theory: FP's path integral in an arbitrary gauge with the ghost sector \rightarrow Faddeev's path integral in a Hamiltonian gauge with a specific ghost sector in the form of a scalar wave field (9) \rightarrow operator equations in the Heisenberg representation in a Hamiltonian gauge with the same ghost sector (14), (15).

Equations (14), (15), together with the constraint equations (contained in these as the first integrals of motion) are the Einstein operator equations with the energy-momentum tensor of scalar ghosts and the operator equations for ghosts

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{kl} \hat{R}_{il} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ml} \hat{R}_{ml} = \\ & - \frac{1}{4} [\sqrt{-\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{kl} (\partial_i \bar{\theta} \cdot \partial_i \theta + \partial_i \bar{\theta} \cdot \partial_i \theta) - \delta_i^k \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{lm} \partial_l \bar{\theta} \cdot \partial_m \theta] \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\partial_i \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ik} \partial_k \theta = 0, \quad \partial_i \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ik} \partial_k \bar{\theta} = 0. \quad (18)$$

There are two arguments that allow us to assert that equations (17), (18) are the only possible equations of quantum gravity in the Heisenberg representation. First, we should pay attention to the uniqueness of the path integral of Faddeev [4] over the canonically conjugate Hamiltonian variables. Second, the Hamiltonian calibration is unambiguously fixed. It provides for the hamiltonization of the ghost sector. It leads to the path integral with the standard definition of the matrix element of the evolution operator, and, finally, it preserves the constraint equations in the form of the first integrals of the equations of motion.

Equations (17), (18) differ from the theory of a scalar field in curved space-time only in the fact that the scalar field obeys an anti-commutative Grassmann algebra and, as a consequence, is quantized by anti-commutation relations. In the representation of interaction at the Feynman diagram level, the Grassmann algebra provides the "work" of the ghosts as compensators for the contribution of fictitious inertia fields to the polarization of the vacuum. In the case where the equivalent problem is solved in the Heisenberg representation, the ghost field is automatically subjected to the bosonization operation. This operation reduces to the allocation of Grassmann units in the form of multiplicative factors in front of operators of a complex field with a commutative algebra.

$$\theta = u \hat{\varphi}, \quad \bar{\theta} = \bar{u} \varphi^+, \quad \bar{u} u = -u \bar{u} = 1 \quad (19)$$

After this procedure, the anti-commutation relations for the quantum Grassmann field become standard commutation relations for the quantum complex scalar field. The origin of this field from the FP ghosts is manifested only in the fact that its energy-momentum tensor appears on the right side of Einstein's operator equations with an "incorrect" (anti-gravitating) sign. In other words, we get again (17) and (18) but with following change $\bar{\theta} \rightarrow \hat{\phi}^+$; $\theta \rightarrow \hat{\phi}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (-\hat{g})^{1/2} \hat{g}^{kl} \hat{R}_{il} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k (-\hat{g})^{1/2} \hat{g}^{ml} \hat{R}_{ml} = \\ -\frac{1}{4} [(-\hat{g})^{1/2} \hat{g}^{kl} (\partial_i \hat{\phi}^+ \cdot \partial_i \hat{\phi} + \partial_i \hat{\phi}^+ \partial_i \hat{\phi}) - \delta_i^k (-\hat{g})^{1/2} \hat{g}^{lm} \partial_l \hat{\phi}^+ \cdot \partial_m \hat{\phi}] \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\partial_i (-\hat{g})^{1/2} \hat{g}^{ik} \partial_k \hat{\phi} = 0, \quad \partial_i (-\hat{g})^{1/2} \hat{g}^{ik} \partial_k \hat{\phi}^+ = 0 \quad (21)$$

The work with these new equations is supposed to be conducted with the Hamiltonian gauge

$$(-\hat{g})^{1/2} \hat{g}^{i0} = \delta_0^i \quad (22)$$

Thus, quantum gravity in the Heisenberg representation is a theory of tensor gravitational field $\hat{\psi}_i^k$ and scalar antigravity field $\hat{\phi}$ (see [3] for details). In what follows, we will continue the anti-gravitating field $\hat{\phi}$ to call ghosts. From a formal mathematical point of view, equations (20)–(22) "do not remember" their origin, therefore the ghosts in them have the status of a physical subsystem dynamically equal rights with a subsystem of gravitons. To return the field ϕ to the standard status of FP ghosts is possible only by the rules of selection of physical states - the postulate that the only admissible states of anti-gravity ghosts are vacuum states with zero occupation numbers. In cases where this is allowed by the properties of the vacuum itself (in the S-matrix theory and in the theory of short-wave gravitons on a slightly curved space-time background), there are no problems with the interpretation of the theory. In a real universe containing a huge (macroscopic) number of gravitons, the situation is different. Let us proceed to extrapolate the theory (20-22) to the Universe as a whole.

4. Extrapolation

From equations (20-22), the equations for gravitons and ghosts in macroscopic space-time with self-consistent geometry can be obtained by identity transformations. However, this procedure itself assumes that there are Heisenberg state vectors in terms of which it is possible to specify the initial states of quantum fields in the macroscopic curved space-time. In the next section, it will be shown that in the self-consistent theory of gravitons and ghosts in an isotropic Universe, such state vectors actually exist as consistent mathematical objects. The derivation of the equations actually reduces to averaging the normal coordinate of the gravitational field over the Heisenberg state vector. As a

result of this operation, a $\langle \Psi | \hat{\Psi}_i^k | \Psi \rangle = \Phi_i^k \neq 0$ non-zero C-number function is obtained. A quantum fluctuation is defined as the difference between the operator and its average value $\hat{\psi}_i^k = \hat{\Psi}_i^k - \Phi_i^k$. Substitution of $\hat{\Psi}_i^k = \Phi_i^k + \hat{\psi}_i^k$ into (3) gives

$$(-g)^{1/2} g^{ik} = (-\bar{g})^{1/2} \bar{g}^{il} [\exp(\Psi + \hat{\psi})_i]^k = (-g)^{1/2} g^{il} (\exp \hat{\psi})_i^k$$

$$(-g)^{1/2} g^{il} = (-\bar{g})^{1/2} \bar{g}^{im} (\exp \Phi)_m^k \quad (23)$$

$$(\exp \hat{\psi})_i^k = \delta_i^k + \hat{\psi}_i^k + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\psi}_i^m \hat{\psi}_m^k + \dots$$

We will name the matrix exponent built by C- numerical function Φ_i^k the density of contravariant metrics of macroscopic space-time. In such a space, the covariant metric \mathcal{G}_{ik} , connectivity Γ_{ik}^l and curvature R_{ik} are introduced in the usual way and the covariant derivatives are calculated. Quantum fluctuations ψ_i^k are endowed with properties of the tensor in macroscopic space-time. The Hamiltonian gauge (22) is divided into the gauge of the macroscopic metric: $(-g)^{1/2} g^{i0} = \delta_0^i$ and the calibration of the quantum field $\hat{\psi}_0^i = 0$.

The averaging of the equations obtained after substituting (3) into (20) yields Einstein's macroscopic equations:

$$R_i^k - \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k R = \kappa \langle \Psi | T_i^k | \Psi \rangle$$

$$T_i^k = T_{i(\text{grav})}^k + T_{i(\text{ghost})}^k \quad (24)$$

Where T_i^k is the total energy-momentum tensor of gravitons and ghosts. Equations for gravitons are obtained by subtraction averaged equations (IV.2) from the original equations (20)

$$\frac{1}{2} (\hat{\psi}_{i;l}^{k;l} - \hat{\psi}_{l;i}^{k;l} - \hat{\psi}_{i;l}^{l;k} + \delta_l^k \hat{\psi}_{m;l}^{l;m}) + \hat{\psi}_l^k R_i^l - \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k \hat{\psi}_l^m R_m^l = \kappa (\hat{T}_i^k - \langle \Psi | \hat{T}_i^k | \Psi \rangle) \quad (25)$$

The semicolon in (25) and later denotes covariant derivatives in macroscopic space-time. The exact expression for the energy-momentum tensor without restrictions on the amplitudes and wavelengths of the quantum fields is given in [3], Section IV.

The metric of macroscopic space-time, satisfying the equations (24) and appearing as coefficients in the operator equations for gravitons and ghosts has the status of a self-consistent gravitational field that describes collective interactions in a system consisting of a large (macroscopic) number of particles. It should be emphasized that the appearance of macroscopic space-time in a macroscopic system of particles is a non-perturbative effect, and this effect is accurately taken into account in the equations of quantum gravity (24), (25). The correlation interactions of the quantum fields outside of the approximation of a self-consistent field are taken into account in the process of solution of these equations by the methods of perturbation theory in terms of the amplitude of the gravitational field. The consistency and mathematical consistency of the system of classical and quantum equations (24), (25) in any order of perturbation theory is proved in [2, section II.F]. In the approximation in which the quantum field interactions are taken into account only through a classical self-consistent field the operator equations for gravitons and ghosts are linear.

$$\frac{1}{2} (\hat{\psi}_{i;l}^{k;l} - \hat{\psi}_{l;i}^{k;l} - \hat{\psi}_{i;l}^{l;k} + \delta_l^k \hat{\psi}_{m;l}^{l;m}) + \hat{\psi}_l^k R_i^l - \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k \hat{\psi}_l^m R_m^l = 0 \quad (26)$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{;k}^{:k} = 0 \quad \hat{\phi}_{;k}^{+;k} = 0 \quad (27)$$

The explicit forms of energy-momentum tensors for gravitons and ghosts are given in [2]. They read

$$\kappa \hat{T}_{i(\text{grav})}^k = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \hat{\psi}_{m;i}^l \hat{\psi}_l^{m;k} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\psi}_{;i}^l \hat{\psi}^{;k} - \hat{\psi}_{i;m}^l \hat{\psi}_l^{m;k} - \hat{\psi}_l^{k;m} \hat{\psi}_{m;i}^l \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k \left(\hat{\psi}_{m;n}^l \hat{\psi}_l^{m;n} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\psi}_{;n}^l \hat{\psi}^{;n} - 2 \hat{\psi}_{n;m}^l \hat{\psi}_l^{m;n} \right) - \end{aligned} \right. \quad (28)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & - 2 \left[\hat{\psi}_m^l \hat{\psi}_i^{k;m} - \hat{p} s_i^k \hat{\psi}_i^{l;m} - \hat{\psi}^{lm} \hat{\psi}_{m;i}^k + \frac{1}{2} \delta_i^k \left(\hat{\psi}_m^n \hat{\psi}_n^l \right)_{;i}^m \right] \\ & - 2 \hat{\psi}_m^k \hat{\psi}_l^m R_i^l + \delta_i^k \hat{\psi}_i^n \hat{\psi}_n^m R_m^l \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$$\kappa \hat{T}_{i(\text{ghost})}^k = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\hat{\phi}^{+;k} \hat{\phi}_{;i} + \hat{\phi}_{;i}^+ \hat{\phi}^{;k} - \delta_i^k \hat{\phi}^{+;j} \hat{\phi}_{;j} \right) \quad (29)$$

The presence of an antigravitating scalar field with the energy-momentum tensor (29) on the right-hand side of the Einstein macroscopic equations (24) may seem to be a mathematical artifact that has no physical meaning, or even a consequence of some errors made in obtaining the system of equations (24), (26), (27). Such impressions, however, are only an emotional reaction to the antigravity of ghosts. The theory under discussion is the result of identical mathematical transformations of the Faddeev path integral [4] to the equations of quantum gravity in the Heisenberg representation, and then to the equations of the theory of gravitons and ghosts in the curved space-time with self-consistent geometry⁶. In fact, it is the presence of ghosts in the system of equations (24), (26), (27) that allows us to give a standard interpretation of the results of the theory in those cases when it is possible to draw analogies between the formulation of problems in the theory of the S- matrix and in a self-consistent theory of gravitons and ghosts. We are talking about the problems of a self-consistent theory, in the solution of which only the adiabatic effect of vacuum polarization is taken into account but the super-adiabatic effect of particle production is not taken into account. In the approximation of a stable graviton-ghost vacuum, the system of equations (24), (26), (27) is supplemented by standard rules for selecting physical states. The state of gravitons is given by a distribution over non-zero occupation numbers, and the state of ghosts is assumed to be the vacuum. In this case, the ghosts, like in the theory of the S-matrix, compensate for the vacuum polarization effect of gravitons and simultaneously provide a one-loop finiteness of pure gravity without matter fields. Even more important is the fact that the formally ghost-free theory of gravitons in curved space-time does not exist at all (as a model of one-loop quantum gravity), even in the approximation of a stable vacuum, i.e. in the approximation in which pure quantum gravity without matter fields must exist. The reason for the collapse of the ghost-free one-loop theory" is the divergence off the mass shell, the successive renormalizations of which lead to consecutive redefinitions of the graviton field. A complete mathematical proof of this assertion is contained in [2], Section XII.

5. The Problem of the Physical Nature of Ghosts

⁶ At our request, L.D. Faddeev read the paper [3], in which the above-mentioned identical transformations are described in sufficient detail. Here is an excerpt from L.D. Faddeev report: "My impression is that it is correct, but not very new. Of course, when the action includes ghosts is written, it will allow canonical interpretation. However, the problem of the formal quantization of Einstein's theory of gravity should be considered as solved in all possible formalisms". A similar opinion was shared by our other colleagues (see acknowledgements in [3]). In spite of the above, the paper [2] was rejected by the editorial staff of the PRD based on feedback from reviewers who objected to the presence of the ghost sector in the equations of quantum gravity in the Heisenberg representation. Refusing to recognize the results of obvious mathematical transformations two of the four PRD reviewers wrote that in their opinion, the authors of [2] are not familiar with the fundamentals of quantum field theory. The reply to this comment is the work [3], the review by L.D. Faddeev, as well as the text of this paper.

Spontaneous particle creation in the curved space-time leads to the fact that the vacuum state of quantum fields with zero occupation numbers becomes physically unrealizable. The important fact is that the spontaneous creation of massless particles takes place in the space-time with arbitrary small curvature. For the particles with wavelengths which is much less than the curvature radius it is possible to introduce the local speed of particle creation [21]. In particular, the speed of creation of scalar massless particles reads

$$(nu^i)_{,i} = \frac{1}{288\pi} \cdot R^2 + \frac{1}{960\pi} \cdot C_{iklm} C^{iklm}$$

Where n is particle density; u^i is 4-velocity; and C_{iklm} is the Weyl tensor. This equation follows directly from the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation regardless of the nature of the scalar field. Because of this fact, the equation should be considered as a direct mathematical consequence of the ghost equations (27). The ghost specific is only in the fact that they antigravitate due to “incorrect” sign of EMT (29). The instability of vacuum of shortwave ghosts can be calculated by this equation. In the so-called quasi-resonance spectral region where graviton and ghost wavelengths are comparable with the 4-curvature radius the effect is much stronger. In such a region, there is no a small parameter allowing to consider the vacuum as stable state. The materialization of ghosts of the long wavelengths is a non-perturbative effect of quantum gravity if the theory (which applies to the macroscopic system of gravitons and ghosts in the curved space-time with the self-consistent geometry) and is obtained by extrapolation of the graviton S-matrix to the macroscopic world.

The effect of materialization of anti-gravitating ghosts in the macroscopic world is contained mathematically in the frame of existing theory but obviously it is in a contradiction with the standard concepts based on the “common sense”. The contradiction between the mathematics and “common sense” leads to a discussion affecting the fundamental problems of quantum gravity. Let’s us come back to the asymptotic states and stable vacuum. In the theory of S-matrix, the asymptotic states and stable vacuum are introduced axiomatically. It is important that these complementary axioms are consistent with the theory’s formalism in the frame of perturbative theory. The path integral formalism takes its final form after localization of measure with the use of the ghost field. In such formalism, the axiom about asymptotic states is formulated in terms of the boundary conditions applied to the manifold of metrics over which the integration is performed. The axiom on vacuum stability allows introducing rules for the selection of physical (gauge invariant) states. Formally, the selection rules exclude the non-vacuum states of ghosts with non-zero occupation numbers from the asymptotic physical states. In the theory claiming to be a description of the Universe, the appearance of a curved space-time is a non-perturbative effect. The consequences of that are the lack of asymptotic states and vacuum instability. The disappearance of two key concepts of the perturbative S-matrix at once raises the question of the validity of the extrapolation. The concept of asymptotic states is closely connected with reference frames used in the theory of S-matrix. Here it is assumed that a finite number of reference bodies on which the reference frame is realized are located at spatial infinity, i.e. the means of observation are outside the interaction region. The boundary conditions, for which the path integral is calculated, correspond to the fast damping of inertia fields. Therefore in the theory of the S-matrix inertial frames of reference actually appear. The means of observation located on these frames of reference do not affect the physical process of graviton scattering. It is a radical change in the status of the reference frame that the physical content of the problem of extrapolating the theory of the S-matrix to the Universe as a whole is connected. Such extrapolation is actually carried out for physical conditions in which it is impossible to introduce inertial reference frames at spatial infinity.

One can hope that the effect of the ghost materialization formally following from a rigorous mathematical analysis given in [3] and the present work will be explained in the future theory of quantum gravity, which does not yet exist. As we will see in section 6, the ghost materialization effect takes place for the solutions of one-loop equations describing the accelerated expansion in real time. The cause of this fact is explained in Part III (section 5). Meanwhile, as was already mentioned, the problem of ghost materialization does not exist for the one-loop solutions of the instanton type

(Part III) obtained by the Wick rotation with subsequent analytic continuation to real time. In Part III of the paper we will focus on these solutions.

6. One-Loop Approximation

Equations (24), (26-29) form a self-consistent set of equations of one-loop quantum gravity in the empty space-time. For the isotropic and homogeneous model of the Universe one can use the FLRW metric. As was shown in our work [2], after eliminating 3-scalar and 3-vector modes equations (24), (26-29) in such a metric read

$$3H^2 = \kappa p_g \quad (30)$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa p_g \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa p_g &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k\sigma} \langle \Psi_g | \dot{\hat{\psi}}_{k\sigma}^+ \dot{\hat{\psi}}_{k\sigma} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \sum_k \langle \Psi_{gh} | \hat{\theta}_k^+ \hat{\theta}_k + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \hat{\theta}_k^+ \hat{\theta}_k | \Psi_{gh} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa p_g &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k\sigma} \langle \Psi_g | \dot{\hat{\psi}}_{k\sigma}^+ \dot{\hat{\psi}}_{k\sigma} - \frac{k^2}{3a^2} \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \sum_k \langle \Psi_{gh} | \hat{\theta}_k^+ \hat{\theta}_k - \frac{k^2}{3a^2} r \hat{\theta}_k^+ \hat{\theta}_k | \Psi_{gh} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Where Ψ_g and Ψ_{gh} are quantum state vectors of gravitons and ghosts, respectively; $H = \dot{a}/a$; $a(t)$ is the scale factor of a flat FLRW model; $\kappa = 8\pi G$; speed of light $c = 1$; dots are time derivatives; σ is the polarization index and superscript “+” denotes complex conjugation. Heisenberg’s operator equations for Fourier components of the transverse 3-tensor graviton field $\hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}$ and Grassman ghost field $\hat{\theta}_k$ are:

$$\ddot{\hat{\psi}}_{k\sigma} + 3H\dot{\hat{\psi}}_{k\sigma} + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma} = 0 \quad (34)$$

$$\ddot{\hat{\theta}}_k + 3H\dot{\hat{\theta}}_k + \frac{k^2}{a^2} \hat{\theta}_k = 0 \quad (35)$$

Canonical commutation relations for gravitons and anti-commutation relations for ghosts read

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a^3}{4} [\dot{\hat{\psi}}_{k\sigma}^+, \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}]_- &= -i\hbar \delta_{kk'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}, \\ \frac{a^3}{8} [\hat{\theta}_k^+, \hat{\theta}_k]_+ &= -\frac{a^3}{8} [\dot{\hat{\theta}}_k^+, \dot{\hat{\theta}}_k]_+ = -i\hbar \delta_{kk'}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

One-loop effects of vacuum polarization and particle creation by the background field are contained in equations (34-35) for gravitons and ghosts. These equations are linear in quantum fields but their coefficients depend on the non-stationary background metric. Correspondingly, in the background equations (30-33) we keep the average values of bilinear forms of quantum fields only. In this model, quantum particles interact through a common self-consistent field only. We took also into account the following definitions

$$\langle \Psi | \hat{T}_0^0 | \Psi \rangle = \varepsilon_g, \quad \langle \Psi | \hat{T}_\alpha^\beta | \Psi \rangle = \frac{\delta_\alpha^\beta}{3} \langle \Psi | \hat{T}_\gamma^\gamma | \Psi \rangle = -\delta_\alpha^\beta p_g \quad (37)$$

Also we have the following rules of averaging of bilinear forms that are the consequence of homogeneity and isotropy of the background

$$\langle \Psi_g | \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{k'\sigma'} | \Psi_g \rangle = \langle \Psi_g | \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle \delta_{kk'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}, \quad \langle \Psi_{gh} | \bar{\theta}_k \theta_{k'} | \Psi_{gh} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{gh} | \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k | \Psi_{gh} \rangle \delta_{kk'}$$

The mathematically rigorous method of separating the background and the gravitons, which ensures the existence of the EMT, is based on averaging over graviton polarizations: $\langle \psi_\alpha^\beta \rangle \equiv 0$ if all polarizations are equivalent in the quantum ensemble. Equations (30-35) form a self-consistent set of equations of one-loop quantum gravity for gravitons and the FLRW background. For the first time, three solutions to the set of equations (30-35) were obtained in our work [1]. One of these was de Sitter solution which reads

$$-p = \rho = \frac{3\hbar H^4 N_g}{8\pi^2} \quad (38)$$

Where

$$N_g = \langle n \rangle (\zeta_g \cos \varphi - \zeta_{gh} \cos \chi) \quad (39)$$

$$\varphi = \varphi_{n_{k\sigma}} - \varphi_{n_{k+1,\sigma}} \quad \chi = \chi_{n_k} - \chi_{n_{k+1}}$$

The first and second terms in RHS of (39) represent the number of gravitons and ghosts, respectively. The RHS of (39) contains parameters of Poisson distributions for occupation numbers of gravitons and ghosts. Explicit forms of these parameters as well as their physical meanings are given in [2, (sections III.D and V.B)]. Averaging of the parameter (39) over the phases yields $N_g = 0$. Therefore *the solution under discussion does not exist if the superposition of the phases is random*. The coherence of the quantum ensemble, i.e. the correlation of phases in the quantum superposition of the basic vectors, corresponding to the different occupation numbers, points to the fact that the medium is in the graviton-ghost condensate state. The gravitons are dominant in the condensate if $N_g > 0$, and the ghosts are dominant if $N_g < 0$. We call the second case "ghost materialization". Recall that this solution was obtained in real time (with no use of Wick rotation). Speculations on a possible physical nature of ghosts after extrapolation of S-matrix theory to the Universe as a whole are given in sections 4 and 5 of this paper. Obviously, this is an open question until a quantum gravity theory will be created. Meanwhile, as we will show in Part III the self-consistent instanton solutions to Eqns. (30-35) obtained by the Wick rotation are not accompanied by ghost materialization. For the first time, the de Sitter solution for the early Universe based on conformal anomalies was obtained in work [22]. The nature of the de Sitter state produced by gravitational waves is different because in the present finite theory there is no conformal anomalies (Part III).

7. Conclusion

It is shown that in the non-stationary Universe, due to the conformal non-invariance and the zero rest mass of gravitons and ghosts, asymptotic states are absent, and the vacuum is unstable, both in the graviton sector and in the ghost sector. The irremovable quantum effect of the spontaneous production of particles in an unstable vacuum makes it impossible to retain for the ghost fields the status of only auxiliary virtual fields, which they had in the theory of the S-matrix. In the non-stationary Universe, ghosts formally acquire the properties of the second physical subsystem, dynamically equal in rights to the subsystem of gravitons. In real time, in the one-loop approximation, the graviton-ghost system forms coherent quantum condensates that produce the de Sitter expansion of the empty space-time which is accompanied by the ghost materialization. In Part III, we will show that the de Sitter state formed by instantons is not accompanied by ghost materialization, and it is consistent with observational data on dark energy and inflation. The important argument in favor of the necessity of the Wick rotation is the fact that the same situation

takes place in the classical case too. To get the de Sitter state due to backreaction of classical gravitational waves it is also necessary to make the Wick rotation with the subsequent analytic continuation to real time (Part I).

Acknowledgement I would like to express my deep appreciation to Walter Sadowski for invaluable help in the preparation of the manuscript

References

1. Marochnik, L, Usikov, D. &Vereshkov, G., Cosmological Acceleration from Virtual Gravitons, *Found. Phys.*, 2008, **38**, 546-555;; arXiv:0709.2537
2. Marochnik, L, Usikov, D. &Vereshkov, G., Macroscopic Effect of Quantum Gravity: Graviton, Ghost and Instanton Condensation on Horizon Scale of Universe *J. Mod. Phys.*, 2013, **4**, 48-101;; arXiv: 1306.6172, 2013
3. Vereshkov, G. & Marochnik, L., Quantum Gravity in Heisenberg Representation and Self-Consistent Theory of Gravitons in Macroscopic Spacetime, *JMP*, 2013, **4**, 285-297,
4. Faddeev, L., "Hamilton form of the theory of gravity". *Proceedings of the V International Conference on Gravitation and Relativit.*, 1968, *Tbilisi*, 54-56.
5. Feynman, R., "Quantum Theory of Gravitation", *Acta Physics Polonica*, 1963, Vol. **24**, No. 6, 697-722.
6. Faddeev, L.D. and Popov, V.N., "Feynman diagrams for the Yang-Mills field", *Physics Letters B*, 1967, Vol. **25**, No.1, 29-30.
7. 'tHooft, G. & Veltman, M., "One-loop divergences in the theory of gravitation", *Annals of Institute Henri Poincare*, 1974, Vol. **20**, No.1, 69-94.
8. Ford, L.H.& Parker, L., "Quantized Gravitational Wave Perturbations in Robertson-Walker Universes", *Physical Review D*, 1977, Vol. **16**, No. 6, 1601-1608.
9. Finelli, F., Marozzi, G., Vacca, G. & Venturi, G., "Adiabatic regularization of the graviton stress-energy tensor in de Sitter space-time", *Physical Review D*, 2005, Vol. **71**, No.2, 023522-023527.
10. Ford, L., "Quantum Instability of De Sitter Space-Time", *Physical Review D*, 1985, Vol. **31**, No.4, 710-717.
11. Garriga, J. & Tanaka, T., "Can infrared gravitons screen Lambda?", *Physical Review D*, 2008, Vol. **77**, No. 2, 024021-024029.
12. Tsamis, T & Woodard, R., "Reply to "Can infrared gravitons screen Lambda?""", *Physical Review D*, 2008, Vol. **78**, No. 2, 028501-028508.
13. Faddeev, L. & Slavnov, A., Gauge Fields, "Introduction to quantum theory". (2nd edition). *Addison-Wesley Publishing Company*, 1991.
14. Dirac, P.A.M., "The Theory of Gravitation in Hamiltonian Form", *Proceedings Royal Society*, 1958, Vol. A246, No. 1246, 333-343; P.A.M. Dirac, "Fixation of coordinates in Hamilton Theory of Gravitation", *Physical Review*, 1959, Vol. 114, No. 3, 924-930.
15. Arnowitt, R., Deser, S. & Misner, C.W., "Canonical Variables for General Relativity", *Physical Review*, 1960, Vol. **117**, No. 6, 1595-1602.
16. Goroff, M.H. & Sagnotti, A., "Quantum gravity at two loops", *Physics Letters B*, 1985, Vol. **160**, No.1, 2, 3, 81-85.
17. Borisov, A. B. & Ogievetsky, V. I., "Theory of Dynamical Affine and Conformal Symmetries as Gravity Theory", *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 1975, Vol. **21**, No. 3, 1179-1188.
18. Faddeev, L.D., "Feynman integral for singular Lagrangians", *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 1969, Vol. **1**, No.1, 1-13.

19. Faddeev, L.D. & Popov, V.N., "Covariant quantization of the gravitational field", *Soviet Physics - Uspekhi*, 1974, Vol. **16**, No. 6, pp. 777-789.
20. N.P. Konopleva, V.N. Popov & N.M. Queen, *Gauge Fields*, Harwood Acad. Publ., 1981
21. Zeldovich, Ya. B. & Starobinsky, A., "Rate of particle production in gravitational fields", *JETP Lett.*, 1977, 26 (5), 252-255 ,
22. Starobinsky, A., "A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity", *Phys. Lett., B*, 1980, 91, 99-102,

III: Cosmological Acceleration from Virtual Gravitons; Consistency with Observational Data

Abstract At the start and by the end of the cosmological evolution the Universe is presumed to be empty (with no matter fields). We show that in the one-loop approximation of quantum gravity the virtual gravitons of super-horizon wavelengths generate de Sitter accelerated expansion of the empty isotropic and homogeneous space-time. To get this exact solution one has to make the transition to imaginary time (Wick rotation) and then analytically continue it to real time. We show in this part that the theory of cosmological acceleration from gravitational waves and gravitons is consistent with existing observational data on dark energy. Such acceleration provides a transparent explanation of the coincidence problem, threshold problem and the vacuum equation of state of dark energy. Cosmological acceleration by virtual gravitons provides a natural explanation of the origin of inflation which is consistent with observational data on CMB anisotropy and spectrum of fluctuations. In both quantum and classical cases the key element for the appearance of the Sitter expansion is the Wick rotation. In the extreme conditions of empty space-time at the start and by the end of the Universe evolution, time behaves as a complex variable. This fact raises the question of the nature of time.

1. Introduction

In the first part of this work (Part I), we showed that the classical gravitational waves are able to generate the de Sitter accelerated expansion of the empty space-time. Technically, this is an instanton solution because it was obtained by transition of equations of the theory to imaginary time (Wick rotation) with the subsequent transition to real time by analytic continuation. To study the backreaction effect of gravitons on the expansion of the empty space-time, it was necessary to create the non-contradictory self-consistent quantum theory of gravitons in the Universe which was done in our works [1- 4] and in Part II of this paper. In our approach, the quantum cosmology is represented as a theory of gravitons in the macroscopic space-time with self-consistent geometry. The quantum state of gravitons is determined by their interaction with the macroscopic field, and the macroscopic field (background geometry), in turn, depends on the quantum state of gravitons. The background metric and the graviton operator appearing in the self-consistent theory are extracted from the unified gravitational field, which initially satisfies exact equations of quantum gravity. In this third part of the paper (Part III), we show that in the one-loop approximation of quantum gravity the virtual gravitons are able to form the de Sitter state of empty FLRW space-time in real time (without the use of Wick rotation) but in this exact solution the ghosts are materialized. In the frame of the existing quantum gravity theory, the ghost materialization effect has no reasonable interpretation although in the future theory of quantum gravity it will probably have its own interpretation (Part II). Meanwhile, the de Sitter instanton solution for gravitons obtained by the Wick rotation with the subsequent transition to real time is not accompanied by ghost materialization. For this reason, we consider here the de Sitter instanton solution generated by gravitons. In the end, we show that dark energy effect and inflation generated by gravitational waves and gravitons are consistent with the existing observational data on dark energy and inflation. At the start and by the end of the Universe evolution it is presumed to be empty, so that our analysis is applicable to these extreme states of our space-time. The energy density of the contemporary Universe is decreasing with time as $\rho \sim a(t)^{-3}$, $t \rightarrow \infty$ ($a(t)$ is the scale factor), so that it is going to become empty asymptotically. The contemporary Universe is about 70% empty, so the effects due to emptiness should be noticeable. As we show the dark energy is such an effect caused by gravitational waves and gravitons in the emptying Universe. The still hypothetical

inflation can also be caused by gravitational waves and gravitons if the Universe was empty at the start of its evolution which seems very likely. In any case, we show that the observational data on CMB anisotropy and spectrum of fluctuations are consistent with the gravitational wave/graviton theory of inflation.

2. De Sitter State from Gravitons

In equations (II.30-II.35) of Part II, it is convenient to use the conformal time $\eta = \int dt / a$ and to go from summation to integration by the transformation $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \dots \rightarrow \int d^3k / (2\pi)^3 \dots = \int_0^\infty k^2 dk / 2\pi^2 \dots$.

From (II.30-II.35) follow the Friedmann equations for the energy density and pressure [2]

$$3 \frac{a'^2}{a^4} = \kappa p_g = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2}{a^2} dk \left(\sum_{\sigma} \langle \Psi_g | \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}'_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} + k^2 \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle - 2 \langle \Psi_{gh} | \bar{\theta}'_{\mathbf{k}} \theta'_{\mathbf{k}} + k^2 \bar{\theta}_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{\mathbf{k}} | \Psi_{gh} \rangle \right) \quad (1)$$

$$2 \frac{a''}{a^3} - \frac{a'^2}{a^4} = -\kappa p_g = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2}{a^2} dk \left(\sum_{\sigma} \langle \Psi_g | \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}'_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} - \frac{k^2}{3} \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle - 2 \langle \Psi_{gh} | \bar{\theta}'_{\mathbf{k}} \theta'_{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{k^2}{3} \bar{\theta}_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{\mathbf{k}} | \Psi_{gh} \rangle \right)$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right) \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} = 0 \quad \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} = \frac{1}{a} \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{\vartheta}_{\mathbf{k}}'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right) \hat{\vartheta}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0 \quad \hat{\theta}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{a} \hat{\vartheta}_{\mathbf{k}}$$

Primes are derivatives over conformal time $\eta = \int dt / a$. The de Sitter background is

$$a = -(H\eta)^{-1} \quad (3)$$

Solutions to eqns. (2) over the de Sitter background (3) and the commutation/anticommutation relations for the operator constants (II.36) read

$$\hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} = \frac{1}{a} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa\hbar}{k}} \left[\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} f(x) + \hat{c}_{-\mathbf{k}-\sigma}^+ f^+(x) \right], \quad f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{i}{x}\right) e^{-ix} \quad x = k\eta \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{a} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa\hbar}{k}} \left[\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}} f(x) + \hat{\beta}_{-\mathbf{k}}^+ f^+(x) \right],$$

$$[\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}, \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'}^+]_{-} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}$$

$$[\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}'}^+]_{+} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \quad [\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{k}'}^+]_{+} = -\delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}$$

In accordance with (4), the operator of occupation numbers $\hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} = \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^+ \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ exists and gives rise to basic vectors $|\hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}\rangle$ of Fock's space. Non-negative integer numbers $n_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are eigenvalues of this operator. In our work [1], it was shown that the de Sitter state is an exact self-consistent solution to the set of eqns. (1-4) in real time. In our work [2], it was shown that this solution can be accompanied by the ghost materialization (see also (II.38-II.40) from Part II). The treatment of graviton and ghost state vectors and observables is given in our works [1] and [2, sections III.C and III.D].

The self-consistent set of equations of the one-loop quantum gravity which are finite off the mass shell is formed by (1-4). As was shown in Part II in general terms, which one also can see from (1-4) that in the mathematical formalism of the theory, the ghosts play a role of a second physical

subsystem, whose average contributions to the macroscopic Einstein equations are on an equal footing with the average contribution of gravitons. As was already mentioned in Part II, at first glance, it may seem that the status of the ghosts as the second subsystem is in contradiction with the well-known fact that the Faddeev–Popov ghosts are not physical particles. However the paradox is in the fact that we have no contradiction with the standard concepts of quantum theory of gauge fields but rather full agreement with these. The Faddeev–Popov ghosts are indeed not physical particles in a quantum–field sense, that is, they are not particles that are in the asymptotic states whose energy and momentum are connected by a definite relation. Such ghosts are nowhere to be found on the pages of our work. We discuss only virtual gravitons and virtual ghosts that exist in the area of interaction. As to virtual ghosts, they cannot be eliminated in principle due to the lack of ghost–free gauges in quantum gravity. In the strict mathematical sense, the non–stationary Universe as a whole is a region of interaction, and, formally speaking, there are no real gravitons and ghosts in it although in the short wave approximation $k\eta \gg 1$ gravitons can be considered as real [2] (see more in Part II).

The set of equations (1-4) can be represented in an alternative form as the Bogoliubov–Born–Green–Kirkwood–Yvon hierarchy or BBGKY chain [1, 2]. To build the BBGKY chain, one needs to introduce the graviton spectral function W_k and its moments W_n

$$W_k = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \Psi_g | \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle - 2 \langle \Psi_{gh} | \hat{\theta}_k^+ \hat{\theta}_k | \Psi_{gh} \rangle$$

$$W_n = \sum_k \frac{k^{2n}}{a^{2n}} \left(\sum_{\sigma} \langle \Psi_g | \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle - 2 \langle \Psi_{gh} | \hat{\theta}_k^+ \hat{\theta}_k | \Psi_{gh} \rangle \right) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty \quad (5)$$

The derivation of BBGKY chain can be found in [2, section V]. It reads

$$\dot{D} + 6HD + 4\dot{W}_1 + 16HW_1 = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\dots}{W}_n + 3(2n+3)H\overset{\dots}{W}_n + 3 \left[(4n^2 + 12n + 6)H^2 + (2n+1)\dot{H} \right] \dot{W}_n + \quad n = 1, \dots, \infty \\ + 2n \left[2(2n^2 + 9n + 9)H^3 + 6(n+2)H\dot{H} + \dot{H} \right] W_n \quad (7) \\ + 4\dot{W}_{n+1} + 8(n+2)HW_{n+1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$D = \overset{\dots}{W}_0 + 3H\dot{W}_0 \quad (8)$$

Equations (6) and (7) form the BBGKY chain. Each equation of this chain connects the neighboring moments. Equations (5) and (7) have to be solved jointly with the Einstein equations

(II.30-II.31). In terms of D and W_1 the energy density and pressure of gravitons are

$$3H^2 = \kappa p_g \equiv \frac{1}{16}D + \frac{1}{4}W_1, \quad 2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa p_g \equiv \frac{1}{16}D + \frac{1}{12}W_1, \quad (9)$$

Instead of the original self-consistent system of (1-4) we get now the new self-consistent set of equations consisting of the Einstein equations (9) and BBGKY chain (6), (7). The energy-momentum tensor (9) can be reduced to the form found in [5] by identity transformations. As was shown in [1], the de Sitter solution is one of exact solutions to the equations of BBGKY chain for the empty FLRW space. One can check by simple substitution that it reads

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{36}W_1 \quad \varepsilon_g = -p_g = \frac{1}{12\kappa}W_1 \quad D = -\frac{8}{3}W_1, \quad a = a_0 e^{Ht} \quad (10)$$

$$W_{n+1} = -\frac{n(2n+3)(n+3)}{2(n+2)} H^2 W_n, \quad n \geq 1 \quad (11)$$

As is shown in [1], the solution (10, 11) can be obtained directly from (1-4), and de Sitter solution (10, 11) can be rewritten in the following form

$$D = -\frac{12\hbar N}{\pi^2} H^4, \quad W_n = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2^{2n}} (2n-1)!(2n+1)(n+2) \times \frac{2\hbar N}{\pi^2} H^{2n+2}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (12)$$

$$H = \text{const}; \quad W_n = \text{const}; \quad D = \text{const}.$$

The zero moment W_0 , which has an infrared logarithmic singularity, is not contained in the expressions for the macroscopic observables, and for that reason, is not calculated. In the equation for W_0 , the functions are differentiated in the integrand and the derivatives are combined in accordance with the definition (8). At the last step the integrals that are calculated, already possess no singularities. Both (11) and (12) show that signs of the BBGKY moments alternate because $W_{n+1}/W_n < 0$. This alternation means that the even moments are negative. In accordance with the definition of moments (5), this means that for the even moments we have the following

$$W_n = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k^{2n}}{a^{2n}} \left(2 \langle \Psi_{gh} | \hat{\theta}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \hat{\theta}_{\mathbf{k}} | \Psi_{gh} - \sum_{\sigma} \langle \Psi_g | \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle \right) \quad n = 2m \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty \quad (13)$$

Eqn. (13) shows that the ghosts do not renormalize gravitons, but on the contrary, gravitons renormalize ghosts in even moments. In other words, ghosts begin to play a dominant role, and gravitons begin to play an auxiliary role. This effect we call the ghost materialization. This is the direct confirmation of the fact (discussed in Part II and above) that the ghost materialization effect takes place in real time. It is easy to see that a transition to imaginary time (Wick rotation) removes alternation of the moments in (11). This fact allows the expectation of obtaining a de Sitter state from gravitons without ghost materialization.

The imaginary time formalism for the graviton-ghost system was constructed in our work [2, section VII]. It is fully applicable to the current consideration except for one important problem which is a method of analytical continuation of solutions obtained for the Euclidean space of imaginary time to the Lorentzian space of real time. The theory is formulated in the space with the metric

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 - a^2(\tau)(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2) \quad (14)$$

Operators of graviton and ghost fields with nontrivial commutation properties are defined over the space (14). Symmetry properties of space (14) allow us to define the Fourier images of the operators by coordinates x_1, x_2, x_3 , and to formulate the canonical commutation relations in terms of derivatives of operators with respect to the imaginary time τ :

$$\frac{a^3}{4\kappa} \left[\frac{d\hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^+}{d\tau}, \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma'} \right] = -i\hbar \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{a^3}{4\kappa} \left[\frac{d\hat{\vartheta}_{\mathbf{k}}^+}{d\tau}, \hat{\vartheta}_{\mathbf{k}'} \right] = -i\hbar \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}, \quad \frac{a^3}{4\kappa} \left[\frac{d\hat{\vartheta}_{\mathbf{k}}}{d\tau}, \hat{\vartheta}_{\mathbf{k}'}^+ \right] = -i\hbar \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \quad (16)$$

Note that(15), (16) are introduced by the newly independent postulate of the theory, and not derived from standard commutation relations (II.36) by conversion of $t \rightarrow i\tau$. (Such a conversion would lead to the disappearance of the imaginary unit from the right hand sides of the commutation relations.) Thus, the imaginary time formalism can not be regarded simply as another way to describe the graviton and ghost fields, i.e. as a mathematically equivalent way for real time

description. In this formalism the new specific class of quantum phenomena is studied (see footnote 1). The transition to imaginary time τ and imaginary conformal time ν (Wick rotation) reads

$$t = i\tau \quad \eta = i\nu \quad (17)$$

As is follow from (17), the Hubble function in real time H and Hubble function in imaginary time H_τ are connected by the following relation

$$H = \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt} = -i \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{d\tau} = -iH_\tau \quad (18)$$

The substitution of (18) into (11) removes the sign alternation. For the first time, the solution of eqns. (1-4) in real time was obtained in our work [1] where the de Sitter solution (10, 12) was obtained directly from (1-4). For the first time, the de Sitter solution in imaginary time was also obtained from (1-4) in our work [4]. The transition to the imaginary time (17) transforms (1), (3) and (4) to the following system

$$-3 \frac{a'^2}{a^4} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2}{a^2} dk \left(\sum_\sigma \langle \Psi_g | -\hat{\psi}'_{k\sigma} \hat{\psi}'_{k\sigma} + k^2 \hat{\psi}'_{k\sigma} \hat{\psi}'_{k\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle \right) \quad (19)$$

$$-2 \langle \Psi_{gh} | -\hat{\theta}'_k \hat{\theta}'_k + k^2 \hat{\theta}_k \hat{\theta}_k | \Psi_{gh} \rangle$$

$$\hat{\phi}''_{\bar{k},\sigma} - \left(k^2 + \frac{a''}{a}\right) \hat{\phi}_{\bar{k},\sigma} = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\hat{\vartheta}''_{\bar{k}} - \left(k^2 + \frac{a''}{a}\right) \hat{\vartheta}_{\bar{k}} = 0 \quad (21)$$

The de Sitter background in imaginary time reads

$$a_s = -(H_\tau \nu)^{-1} \quad (22)$$

Primes in these equations denote derivatives over imaginary conformal time ν . After such transition, solutions to (20), (21) over the De Sitter background (22) read

$$\hat{\psi}_{k\sigma} = \frac{1}{a} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa\hbar}{k}} \left(\hat{Q}_{k\sigma} g_k + \hat{P}_{k\sigma} h_k \right) \quad \hat{\theta}_k = \frac{1}{a} \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa\hbar}{k}} \left(\hat{q}_k g_k + \hat{p}_k h_k \right) \quad (23)$$

Where

$$g(\xi) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) e^{-\xi}, \quad h(\xi) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right) e^{\xi} \quad \xi = k\nu \quad (24)$$

The requirement of finiteness eliminates the h -solution, i.e. $\hat{P}_{k\sigma} = \hat{p}_k = 0$. This requirement leads to fact that the graviton-ghost system forms a quantum coherent instanton condensate [2, section VII.B]⁷. The operator functions (23) can be named quantum fields of gravitational

⁷ As we already mentioned in our work [4], the effect of dark energy is observed in the contemporary Universe which is far from the Planck time. Therefore, quantum origin of it seems counterintuitive. In fact, this is a macroscopic quantum effect similar to superconductivity and superfluidity [2]. Its origin is related to the formation of quantum coherent condensate. Due to the one-loop finiteness of self-consistent theory of gravitons, all observables are formed by the difference between graviton and ghost contributions. This fact can be seen from the definition of N_k . The same final differences of contributions may correspond to the totally different graviton and ghost contributions themselves. All quantum states are degenerate with respect to mutually consistent transformations of gravitons and ghost's occupation numbers, but providing unchanged values of observable quantities. This is a direct consequence of the internal mathematical structure of the self-consistent theory of gravitons, satisfying the one-loop finiteness condition. The tunneling that unites degenerate quantum states into a single quantum state produces a quantum

instantons of graviton and ghost type or for short, graviton-ghost instantons. Substitution of (23), (24) into the right-hand-side of (19) leads to

$$3 \frac{a'^2}{a^4} = \frac{\kappa \hbar H_\tau^4}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty N_k [\xi^2 - (1 + \xi)^2] e^{-2\xi} \xi d\xi \quad (25)$$

$$N_k = \sum_\sigma \langle \Psi_g | \hat{Q}_{k\sigma}^+ \hat{Q}_{k\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle - 2 \langle \Psi_{gh} | \hat{q}_k^+ \hat{q}_k | \Psi_{gh} \rangle \quad (26)$$

Over the De Sitter background (22), the left-hand-side of (25) must be $3H_\tau^2 = const$ which means that the right-hand-side of (25) cannot be a function of ν . In turn, this means that only flat spectrum $N_k = const = N_g$ is able to provide constancy of the right-hand-side of (25).

From (25-26) one gets the solution to eqns. (19-22) in imaginary time. It reads

$$H_\tau^2 \left(1 + \frac{\kappa \hbar H_\tau^2 N_g}{8\pi^2}\right) = 0 \quad (27)$$

The next step is the analytic continuation of the solution (27) into the Lorentzian space of real time. The analytic continuation of imaginary time solutions (23, 24) from the Euclidean space into the Lorentzian space of real time can be done by the same way as it was done for the classical gravitational waves (see eqns. (I.21, I.22)). The operators and their derivatives must be continuous at the "barrier" $x = \xi = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}(\xi = 0) &= \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}(x = 0) & \hat{\theta}_k(\xi = 0) &= \hat{\theta}_k(x = 0) \\ \hat{\psi}'_{k\sigma}(\xi = 0) &= \hat{\psi}'_{k\sigma}(x = 0) & \hat{\theta}'_k(\xi = 0) &= \hat{\theta}'_k(x = 0) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Same as in the classical case, this procedure allows to express the operator constants $\hat{Q}_{k\sigma}$, $\hat{Q}_{k\sigma}^+$, \hat{q}_k and \hat{q}_k^+ in imaginary time through the operator constants $\hat{c}_{k\sigma}$, $\hat{c}_{-k-\sigma}^+$, $\hat{\alpha}_k$ and $\hat{\beta}^+_{-k}$ in real time. As it follows from (28), one gets the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Q}_{k\sigma} &= \frac{\hat{c}_{k\sigma} - \hat{c}_{-k-\sigma}^+}{2} \\ \hat{q}_k &= \frac{\hat{\alpha}_k - \hat{\beta}^+_{-k}}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The combinations of operator constants from the RHS of (29) form operators of occupation numbers of gravitons, ghosts and anti-ghosts in real time. The imaginary time formalism we presented in our work [2, section VII]. In combination with the quantum theory of the state vector of the general form [2, sections III.C, III.D] it allows to express N_k from (26) through graviton and ghost occupation numbers in real time $\langle n_{k(g)} \rangle$ and $\langle n_{k(gh)} \rangle$, which are approximately equal to the number of gravitons and ghosts, respectively (the explicit forms of occupation numbers are presented in [2]). To make the result more transparent, assume that the spectrum is flat and the number of ghosts and anti-ghosts are equal to each other, i.e. $\langle n_{k(g)} \rangle = \langle n_g \rangle$; $\langle n_{k(gh)} \rangle = \langle \bar{n}_{gh} \rangle = \langle n_{gh} \rangle$. Assume also that typical occupation numbers in the ensemble are large, so that squares of modules of probability amplitudes are likely to be

coherent instanton condensate in imaginary time which can be analytically continued to real time. We refer the reader to [2, section VII] for details. In a general form, hypotheses on the possibility of graviton condensate formation in the Universe were proposed in [6] and [7] (see [2] for more details).

described by Poisson distributions. In such a case, we get a simple physically transparent result ([2], [4])

$$N_k = (\langle n_g \rangle - \langle n_{gh} \rangle) = N_g = \text{const} \quad (30)$$

Where N_g is approximately equal to the true number of gravitons in the Universe⁸. In accordance with (17), we have $H_\tau^2 = -H^2$. Thus, the analytic continuation of (27) to real time reads

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi^2}{\kappa\hbar(\langle n_g \rangle - \langle n_{gh} \rangle)}, \text{ if } H^2 \neq 0 \quad (31)$$

$$H^2 = 0 \text{ if } H^2 \neq \frac{8\pi^2}{\kappa\hbar(\langle n_g \rangle - \langle n_{gh} \rangle)}$$

As was noted in Part II of this paper, in real time ghosts are fictitious particles, which appear to compensate for the spurious effect of vacuum polarization of fictitious fields of inertia. In real time, the gravitational effect of gravitons is expected to be renormalized (decreased) by ghosts exactly as it follows from (31)⁹.

As one can see from above, we made the analytic continuation of the imaginary time solution (27) to the real time Lorentzian space by the transition $\tau = -it$, i.e. by the reverse Wick rotation. In our work [2], the analytic continuation was done in a different way. Below is a quotation from our work [2, section VII]. "Construction of the formalism of the theory is completed by developing a procedure to transfer the results of the study of instantons to real time. It is clear that this procedure is required to match the theory with the experimental data, i.e. to explain the past and predict the future of the Universe. As already noted, the procedure of transition to real time is not an inverse Wick rotation. This is particularly evident in the quantum theory: in (15,16) the reverse Wick turn leads to the commutation relations for non-Hermitian operators, which cannot be used to describe the graviton field. The procedure for the transition to real time has the status of an independent theory postulates". The postulate made in [2] leads in particular to the fact that the imaginary time solution (27) is identical to a real time solution, i.e. they are the same. This fact leads immediately to the ghost materialization because in such a case $N_g < 0$ in eqn. (27). There is no ghost materialization in (27) if the transition from imaginary to real time is done with reverse Wick rotation as it is done in this work (see (31)) and in Part I for classical gravitational waves. Recall that (15, 16) were also introduced by the newly independent postulate of the theory, and not derived from standard commutation relations (II.36) by conversion of $t \rightarrow i\tau$.

The eqn. (31) can be rewritten finally in the following form

$$H^2 = \frac{\pi}{G\hbar N_g} \quad (32)$$

⁸ True in the sense that the contribution of the effect of vacuum polarization of fictitious inertia fields is removed from the gravitational effect of gravitons by renormalization of the number of gravitons

⁹ Recall again that despite the widespread belief that the ghost's contribution should not appear in the final result of the calculations, in fact, this is only true for the asymptotic states as it takes place in the S-matrix theory. There are no asymptotic states in the Universe which as a whole is the region of interactions. This is the reason why we deal with virtual gravitons (strictly speaking, there are no real gravitons in the Universe). Ghosts appear here to compensate the effect of vacuum polarization of fictitious fields of inertia [4]. This is the reason why they appear only as a factor renormalizing occupation numbers of gravitons.

Recall that after the Wick rotation (direct and reverse) the classical gravitational waves also form the de Sitter expansion with the following Hubble function (Part I, eqn. (I.24))

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{G \langle |B|^2 \rangle} \quad (33)$$

where $\langle |B|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ is the root mean square of action of the ensemble of gravitational waves. Similarly to the classical case, the number of gravitons needed to provide the contemporary Hubble constant $H = (67.74 \pm 0.46) \text{ km / sec} \cdot \text{ Mpc}$ [8] is of the order of $N_g \approx 10^{123}$ as it follows from (32). For the first time, this fact was found in [1]. The interpretation of this fact was given in [1] and [4] (see also section 3).

The equation of state of gravitons follows from (25). It is invariant with respect to Wick rotation because of remarkable fact that $H^4 = (-iH_\tau)^4 = H^4_\tau$. It reads

$$-p = \rho = \frac{3\hbar H^4 N_g}{8\pi^2} \quad (34)$$

Thus, the equation of state parameter $w = p / \rho$ in both classical gravitational waves and quantum graviton cases is

$$w = -1 \quad (35)$$

3. Consistency with Observational Data

3.1. Dark Energy

At the present time, the generally accepted concordance model of cosmological evolution is Λ CDM model where Λ denotes the cosmological constant and CDM stands for the cold dark matter. As is known the lambda term was introduced by Einstein 100 years ago. Its connection with the physical vacuum was proved by Zeldovich [9]. The equation of state corresponding to lambda term is $p_\Lambda = -\rho_\Lambda$ so that $w_\Lambda = -1$. The numerous observational data (see, e.g. Planck Collaboration data [8]) show that the equation of state parameter of dark energy is $w \approx -1$. Although there are several hypothetical models of dark energy that also predict $w \approx -1$ (see e.g. [10-12] for reviews) the reason for the appearance of Λ in the concordance model is the fact that it is not connected with additional hypotheses. Although Λ -term is consistent with the observational value of $w \approx -1$, there are well-known problems with that. The first is a so-called "old cosmological constant problem": Why is the Λ -value measured from observations is of the order of 10^{-123} vacuum energy density? The second one is a "coincidence problem": Why is the acceleration happening during the contemporary epoch, not earlier and not later? If the attempts to answer the first question can be connected with some speculations about "fine tuning", there is no reasonable ideas to answer the second question (except, perhaps the anthropic principle). In distinction to speculative hypotheses on causes of cosmological acceleration of the contemporary Universe (quintessence and others), the gravitational waves are not hypothetical, they are real and observable [13]. They provide the equation of state parameter $w = -1$. Moreover, only the classical and quantum gravitational waves provide physically transparent answer to the question: Why is the acceleration happening during the contemporary epoch, not earlier and not later? The arguments in favor of classical and quantum gravitational waves as the cause of the dark energy effect presented

in this three-part paper allow us to propose a GWCDM model as a concordance model where GW stands for Gravitational Waves.

3.1.1. Coincidence problem

Up to replacement of operators by C-functions, the equations for gravitational waves and gravitons over the background metric $a(\eta)$ are identical. Those are eqns. (I.9) from Part I and (3) from this paper. We give this equation here again

$$\phi''_{\vec{k},\sigma} + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)\phi_{\vec{k},\sigma} = 0 \quad (36)$$

Eqn. (36) is a Schrödinger-like equation, in which a''/a plays the role of “one-dimensional potential”. The only difference is that the spatial coordinate in the Schrödinger equation is changed to the time coordinate in the Schrödinger-like equation (36). The equation of state of non-relativistic matter filling the contemporary Universe is $p = 0$, the corresponding background scale factor is $a(\eta) = \eta^2$, so its “one-dimensional potential” is $a''/a = 2/\eta^2$. The equation of state of the de Sitter state is $p = -\rho$, the background scale factor for the de Sitter state is $a(\eta) = -(H\eta)^{-1}$, so that the de Sitter “one-dimensional potential” is $a''/a = 2/\eta^2$, which exactly coincides with the “one-dimensional potential” of non-relativistic matter. Thus, gravitational waves and gravitons do not feel a difference between the non-relativistic matter with the equation of state $p = 0$ and de Sitter State with the equation of state $p = -\rho$. This coincidence takes place in this and only in this case, i.e. for the non-relativistic matter filling the contemporary Universe and the de Sitter state which is produced by classical and quantum gravitational waves. The coincidence of “one-dimensional potentials” provides the smooth transition from one regime to another only for equations of state $p = 0$ and $p = -\rho$, i.e. only in the contemporary epoch of the Universe evolution. For the first time, this solution to the coincidence problem was proposed in our work [4]. In the frame of such reasoning, one can see, e.g. why dark energy was unable to appear during the radiation dominated epoch. The energy eq. (1) in this case reads

$$3H^2 = 8\pi G\left(\varepsilon_g + \frac{c_r}{a^4} + \frac{c_m}{a^3}\right) \quad (37)$$

In order that the de Sitter solution could appear it is necessary that the last stage of evolution must be followed by a vacuum stage of empty space. However, the second term of RHS in (37) vanished first, entailing no vacuum but CDM stage of evolution¹⁰. Therefore, only after the disappearance of the non-relativistic matter (the third term of RHS) the vacuum stage can occur and with it the de Sitter state.

3.1.2. The threshold problem

There is another unanswered question adjoining to the coincidence problem. Why did the dark energy manifest itself only when the energy densities of non-relativistic matter and dark energy became comparable, i.e. relatively recently? Recall that a necessary condition for the de Sitter solution to be obtained from gravitational waves and gravitons is the transition to the imaginary time by Wick rotation with the subsequent analytic continuation to the Lorentz space of real time. We applied this procedure to the equations (1-4) which were written for the empty space-time. If the space-time is not empty and contains some matter, then eqn. (10) (recall that it is in imaginary time) reads

¹⁰ In modern cosmology the contemporary epoch of the Universe evolution is commonly called “matter dominated”, although in reality it is better to call it “gravitational wave dominated epoch”.

$$-3 \frac{a'^2}{a^4} = \kappa(\rho_{inst} + \rho_m) \quad (38)$$

$$\rho_{inst} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 \kappa_0} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2}{a^2} dk \left(\sum_\sigma \langle \Psi_g | -\hat{\psi}'_{k\sigma} \hat{\psi}'_{k\sigma} + k^2 \hat{\psi}^+_{k\sigma} \hat{\psi}^-_{k\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle \right. \\ \left. - 2 \langle \Psi_{gh} | -\bar{\theta}'_k \theta'_k + k^2 \bar{\theta}_k \theta_k | \Psi_{gh} \rangle \right) \quad (39)$$

Where ρ_{inst} is the energy density of instantons, i.e. energy density of quantum metric fluctuations in imaginary time; and ρ_m is the energy density of matter and primes are derivatives over imaginary conformal time (17). Taking into account the fact that $\rho_{inst} < 0$ (see, e.g. (25)), one can rewrite (38) in the following form

$$3 \frac{a'^2}{a^4} = \kappa(|\rho_{inst}| - \rho_m) \quad (40)$$

In the case of non-relativistic matter, $\rho_m = \rho_{m,0} (a_0 / a)^3$ so that $a \rightarrow \infty$, $\rho_m \rightarrow 0$. Eqn. (40) tells us that solutions to eqn. (40) can exist only if $\rho_m \leq |\rho_{inst}|$. In other words, the energy density of non-relativistic matter must fall below a certain limit (energy density of instantons) to make it possible for the appearance of the dark energy effect. For the first time, this threshold effect was discovered in our work [4] where its consistency with the observational data was discussed. The threshold effect for both inflation and dark energy was discussed in [14].

Thus, both gravitational waves and gravitons generate the de Sitter expansion of the empty (and almost empty) Universe. The equation of state parameter of dark energy that they produce is $w = -1$ which is consistent with observational data. This mechanism provides a physically transparent explanation of the coincidence and threshold problems.

3.1.3. $\Lambda_{vacuum} / \Lambda_{observable} \approx 10^{123}$ Controversy

Cosmological acceleration from gravitons provides a transparent explanation to a mystic discrepancy in 10^{123} between observed value of Λ and its theoretical prediction. This number has nothing to do with Λ . It is the number of gravitons in the contemporary Universe $N_g \approx 10^{123}$.

3.2. Inflation

In distinction to the dark energy effect which is the established observational fact [15], [16], the idea of the necessity of inflation does not yet have direct reliable observational confirmation but it seems very attractive due to the ability to solve three known major cosmological paradoxes which are flatness, horizon and monopoles [17]. Nevertheless, it is useful to remind of Weinberg's remark [18] that "so far, the details of inflation are unknown and the whole idea of inflation remains a speculation, though one that is increasingly plausible". In the case of inflation, it is almost generally accepted that the acceleration of expansion is due to a hypothetical scalar field. Gravitational waves and gravitons are not hypothetical, and they are able to provide the de Sitter acceleration of the empty Universe as was shown in this work. We assume that the Universe was empty before the first matter was born. If so, the theory of cosmological acceleration developed in this work is applicable to inflation also. In this sub-section, we show that inflation from gravitons is consistent with the observational data on CMB anisotropy and spectrum of fluctuations.

3.2.1. CMB anisotropy from fluctuations of number of gravitons

The equation of state (30) was obtained under the assumption that the graviton spectrum is flat and typical occupation numbers in the ensemble are large, so that squares of modules of probability

amplitudes are likely to be described by Poisson distribution [2]. If so, from Eq. (30) it follows that in the energy units $\hbar = c = 1$ H reads

$$H^2 = 8\pi^2 \frac{M_{pl}^2}{\alpha N_g} \quad (41)$$

Where M_{pl} is the reduced Planck mass $M_{pl} = (\hbar c / 8\pi G)^{1/2} = 2.4 \cdot 10^{18} GeV / c^2$. From (34) it follows that the fluctuations of the number of gravitons N_g that are presumably described by Gaussian distribution are $\langle (\Delta N_g)^2 \rangle / \langle N_g \rangle^2 = \langle N_g \rangle^{-1}$, so that

$$\frac{\langle (\Delta N_g)^2 \rangle}{\langle N_g \rangle^2} = \frac{\alpha}{8\pi^2} \cdot \frac{H^2}{M_{pl}^2} \quad (42)$$

Here $\langle (\Delta N_g)^2 \rangle = \langle N_g^2 \rangle - \langle N_g \rangle^2$. Due to Eq. (34), one gets

$$\frac{\langle (\Delta N_g)^2 \rangle}{\langle N_g \rangle^2} = \frac{\langle (\Delta \rho)^2 \rangle}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} \quad (43)$$

Thus, fluctuations of the number of gravitons produce fluctuations of energy density. They play the same role as scalar perturbations (density fluctuations) which are responsible for the anisotropy of CMB in the models of inflation using scalar fields. In other words, if gravitons are responsible for the inflation then fluctuations of the number of gravitons are the cause of the anisotropy of CMB. For the typical energy scale of inflation $H = 10^{15} GeV$ and $\alpha = 1$ one gets from (41) and (42)

$$\left(\frac{\langle (\Delta \rho)^2 \rangle}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} \right)^{1/2} \approx 1.5 \cdot 10^{-5} \quad (44)$$

As is known, temperature fluctuations $\Delta T / T$ are of the same order of magnitude as the metric and density perturbations which contribute directly to $\Delta T / T$ via the Sachs-Wolfe effect. Thus, the fluctuations of the number of gravitons in the Universe are able to produce CMB anisotropy $\Delta T / T \sim 10^{-5}$ due to fluctuations of gravitational potential which in turn are of the order of fluctuations of energy density.

3.2.2. Spectrum of metric fluctuations

The de Sitter solution considered above is produced by the flat spectrum of metric fluctuations [14]. The spectrum slightly deviating from the flat spectrum produces the quasi-de Sitter solution. The observed tilt $n_s - 1$ of the power spectrum k^{n_s-1} deviates slightly from the scale-invariant form corresponding to $n_s = 1$. The observed value is $n_s = 0.96 \pm 0.013$ [19]. This means that in reality we deal with a quasi-de Sitter expansion. Assuming that $N_k = N_0 (k / k_0)^\beta$ where k_0 is a pivot scale, we get for β in accordance with [14]

$$\beta = 3(1 + w) \quad (45)$$

In the case of inflation, one starts from the empty space that is gradually filled with the new-born matter. In case of dark energy, one gets the opposite process, one starts from the space filled with matter that is gradually emptied. This leads to the fact that we have to expect to find $w < -1$ for dark energy and $w > -1$ for inflation [14]. In the case of dark energy, the Planck data [8] obtained by a combination of Planck+WP+BAO give, e.g. $w = -1.13_{-0.25}^{+0.24} < -1$. In case of

inflation, $n_s - 1 = -\beta$, and for $n_s \approx 0.96$, we get $\beta_{\text{inf}} \approx 0.04$ which leads to $w = -0.987 > -1$ [14].

Thus, the graviton theory of dark energy and inflation predicts the correct sign of the equation of state parameter w which is confirmed by observational data.

4. Wick rotation and time as a complex variable

As is well known, to solve the non-stationary Schrödinger equation one needs to make the Wick rotation $t = i\tau$ which transforms the Schrödinger equation into a heat equation solutions to which are well known. This fact gave rise to a widespread belief that the Wick rotation is simply a convenient change of variables. As was mentioned in our work [20], the situation with this rotation is not so simple. Recall that the self-consistent sets of equations for classical gravitational waves (Eqns. (I.7-I.10) of Part I) have no solutions in real time because of divergences of integrals. The self-consistent solutions to these equations can be obtained in imaginary time only by the Wick rotation and should be analytically continued to real time¹¹. Quantum metric fluctuations in imaginary time (graviton-ghost instantons) form a macroscopic de Sitter state in real time as do gravitational waves. This fact is supposed to be confirmed by observational data which could be the existing dark energy effect and inflation. As is known, usually the Wick rotation is used in the quantum instanton theories. However, as was shown in Sections 2 and 3 of Part I, the Wick rotation leads to the same de Sitter expansion of empty space in classical cases too, and this means that a central role is played not by the quantum nature of the effect but the transition to imaginary time by the Wick rotation. Recall that integration along the real time axis in (1) leading to divergent integrals should be replaced by integration along the imaginary time axis in (25) leading to convergent integrals. The same situation takes place also in the classical case (Part I). This means that we operate in the plane of complex variable t (or η), i.e. we use time as a complex variable. The mathematical aspects of time as a complex quantity was extensively discussed in literature (see [21] and references therein). The best known attempt to ascribe physical meaning to the imaginary time was made by Hartle and Hawking [22]. As is shown in this paper, the de Sitter accelerated expansion of the empty FLRW space under backreaction of classical gravitational waves and/or quantum gravitons require a *mandatory* transition to the Euclidean space of imaginary time and then return to the Lorentzian space of real time. On the other hand, the de Sitter accelerated expansion of the empty space by the end of the evolution of the Universe is confirmed by observational data (dark energy). The hypothesis on inflation seems “increasingly plausible” [18]. One can assume that the very existence of these two effects is evidence to the fact that time by its nature could be a complex value that exposes its complex nature in the extreme conditions when the spacetime of the Universe is empty or almost empty¹². If time is a complex variable, what is the physical meaning of its imaginary part? I am indebted to Daniel Usikov profound remark that the observable could be only the real part of time, and the imaginary part, for whatever reasons, is unobservable (by analogy with quantum mechanics).

5. Why is ghost materialization or instantons needed for the occurrence of cosmological acceleration? Where does the energy come from?

In the FLRW metric, the deceleration/acceleration expansion is described by the Friedman equation

¹¹ In the case of virtual gravitons the situation is not so unambiguous. Recall that the de Sitter solution can be obtained in real time (without Wick rotation) but the price for that is a “materialization” of ghosts which are non-physical particles [10, 21, and 22] and the present paper.

¹² The fact that this scenario is consistent with observational data on inflation and dark energy makes a speculative hypothesis about the complex nature of time less speculative than it seems at first glance.

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\kappa}{6}(\rho + 3p) \quad (46)$$

The accelerated expansion ($\ddot{a} > 0$) can take place only if $p < -\rho/3$. In accordance with thermodynamics, the energy conservation law is $dE = -pdV$. The negative pressure leads to the fact that the total energy E of the Universe is increasing with the increasing of space volume V in the process of expansion. In de Sitter case, $p = -\rho = \text{const}$ so that $E = \rho V \sim a(t)^3$, i.e. in the process of expansion the energy of the Universe is increased indefinitely. To keep the energy density constant during the de Sitter accelerated expansion, one needs a source of energy which is external to the space of the Universe, i.e. one needs an “outsourcing”. The question is where can such energy come from? As is known, the vacuum Einstein equations with the cosmological constant Λ also produce the de Sitter solution in the FLRW metric corresponding to the equation of state $p_\Lambda = -\rho_\Lambda$. A comprehensive review of the evolution of ideas that led to the understanding of Λ as a vacuum energy density can be found in the work [26]. The important fact is that the physical vacuum with such an equation of state is an external source of energy for the empty FLRW space-time, and this is the reason why Λ is able to produce the accelerated expansion as well as de Sitter gravitational instanton [4] (see below). Other popular sources of external energy are hypothetical scalar fields which are also of vacuum origin.

To answer the questions in the title of this section, one needs to substitute ρ_g and p_g from (II.32-II.33) of Part II to (46). This substitution reads

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{1}{12} \left(\sum_{k\sigma} \langle \Psi_g | \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma}^+ \hat{\psi}_{k\sigma} | \Psi_g \rangle - 2 \sum_k \langle \Psi_{gh} | \hat{\theta}_k^+ \hat{\theta}_k | \Psi_{gh} \rangle \right) \quad (47)$$

Recall that in real time, ghosts are fictitious particles, which appear to compensate for the spurious effect of vacuum polarization of fictitious fields of inertia. Therefore, figuratively speaking the observables are formed by differences (“gravitons”-“ghosts”), i.e. exactly like it is seen in Eq. (47). In other words, ghosts renormalize (decrease) the gravitational effect of gravitons compensating the spurious effect of vacuum polarization of fictitious fields of inertia. In real time, to get the acceleration $\ddot{a} > 0$, the RHS of (47) must change its sign, i.e. ghosts must prevail over gravitons. Figuratively speaking, we call it “ghost materialization”. So, the “ghost materialization” looks like our cost for getting the acceleration. This general conclusion is, of course, confirmed by the explicit de Sitter (accelerated) solution obtained in real time (11-13) (see also (II.38-II.39) in Part II). Thus, the ghost subsystem is a source of energy needed to accelerate the expansion in real time. In other words, the energy needed for the acceleration comes again from the vacuum. As was seen in Part II, the interpretation of this fact is an open question. As a matter of fact, a deeper analysis (in imaginary time) shows that there is no need in “ghost materialization” to get an accelerating expansion from gravitons as it is shown in section 2¹³. The transition to imaginary time (17) means the transition from Lorentzian space of real time to Euclidean space of imaginary time (14). In the Euclidean space of imaginary time, we obtained the de Sitter gravitational instanton (27) as a solution to eqns. (19-21) (see also our work [4]). In Euclidean space of imaginary time the gravitational waves are damped (24) spending their energy on the formation of de Sitter gravitational instanton as is seen from (25-26). The analytic continuation of this solution to Lorentzian space of real time gives us the accelerated de Sitter expansion in real time (31). In other

¹³ Recall that the Faddeev-Popov ghosts are fictitious particles, and a possibility of their “materialization” in real time affects the subtle questions of the theory of gauged fields in the non-stationary Universe (Part II). Because of the present lack of a consistent quantum theory of gravity, it is an open question today. The situation is different in imaginary time which is a “main player” in this work

words, the energy needed to accelerate the expansion in real time comes from Euclidean space, i.e. from “nothing”. The physics of such a fact was discussed in our work [4]. The same origin of the de Sitter acceleration from “nothing” is valid for the classical gravitational waves (Part I). In distinction to the quantum graviton case, this is the only a possibility to get the de Sitter state in real time from classical gravitational waves. Note the remarkable fact that in both classical and quantum instanton solutions the equation of state in real time turns out to be vacuum $p = -\rho$ as well as in the case of ghost materialization. Recall that the cosmological constant was introduced by Einstein in 1917 to make a static Universe. It took 50 years to find out that ρ_Λ is the energy density of vacuum [9]. The Wick rotation produces the vacuum equation of state $p = -\rho$ in the Euclidean space of imaginary time, and it is invariant with respect to the transition to Lorentzian space of real time (34). What is the relation of Wick rotation and time as a complex variable to physical vacuum?

6. Gravitational Waves vs. Scalar Field

Starting from pioneering works [17, 23 and 24] and others, the scalar field was considered as a possible cause of inflation. Choosing a different form of the potential of this field, the authors attempt to reconcile the theory with a number of e-foldings needed to ensure the flatness of the modern Universe and to solve the horizon and monopole problems (references to the original works can be found in Weinberg’s book [18]) and get an agreement with the CMB observations. In our work [20], we used a diametrically opposite approach to the idea of the scalar field as a possible cause of inflation. We showed that on the average, a homogeneous and isotropic scalar field with potential $V = const$ or $V = 0$ and on the average, homogeneous and isotropic ensembles of classical and quantum gravitational waves generate one and the same de Sitter expansion of the empty space-time. This fact takes place because the set of equations describing on the average, a homogeneous and isotropic scalar field with constant potential is fully identical to on the average, homogeneous and isotropic ensemble of classical gravitational waves with lambda term $\Lambda \neq 0$. Such a homogeneous and isotropic on the average scalar field with zero potential is fully equivalent to such an ensemble of gravitational waves with the zero lambda term ($\Lambda = 0$).

Although the equations for the scalar field and gravitational waves are formally identical as well as are their solutions, they have a different origin. Equations for gravitational waves are approximate equations that do not take into account, for example, the interaction between waves. Equations for a scalar field are exact equations. From the other hand, gravitational waves are real experimentally confirmed phenomenon [25] meanwhile a scalar field is still a hypothetical thing. Recall that regardless of the origin of these equations, in order to obtain the de Sitter solution in real time it is necessary to use a Wick rotation, i.e. to use time as a complex variable.

7. Virtual Gravitons vs. Classical Gravitational Waves

As one can see from section 3.2 of this part, both observed effects, which are associated with inflation, are consistent with the theory of cosmological acceleration caused by gravitons. The inflation (if it exists) should begin soon after the Planck time, so it is natural to assume that the gravitational waves responsible for these effects should be quantum, i.e. gravitons. The situation is not so unambiguous in the case of dark energy. All three observed effects of dark energy described in sections 3.1-3.3 are compatible with both approaches, quantum and classical. It is because of the fact that eqns. (36) and (40) are valid for both quantum and classical cases, one and the same reasoning is applicable to both cases. The dark energy effect is observed in the current epoch of cosmological evolution that is far enough from the Planck time, so at first glance the classical gravitational waves seem preferable. Despite the fact that the dark energy effect from quantum gravitons seems counterintuitive as a matter of fact it is possible. The gravitons of super-horizon wavelengths form a quantum coherent instanton condensate which is a macroscopic effect of quantum gravity similar to superconductivity and superfluidity ([1, 2] and section 2, Footnote 1). Such a macroscopic effect of quantum gravity may take place in the current epoch of cosmological

evolution as well as the acceleration from classical gravitational waves. In any case, as we see the dark energy effect can be caused by gravitational waves, classical and/or quantum

8. Conclusion

It is shown that quantum and classical metric fluctuations of the empty space-time (gravitons and classical gravitational waves) lead to the formation of the de Sitter state at the start and by the end of the Universe evolution. Such an accelerated de Sitter expansion of the Universe by the end of its evolution due to gravitational waves is consistent with observational data on dark energy. It explains a coincidence paradox (Why is the acceleration happening during the contemporary epoch, not earlier and not later?), threshold paradox (Why did the dark energy manifest itself only when the energy densities of non-relativistic matter and dark energy became comparable?) and 10^{123} controversy (Why $\Lambda_{\text{vacuum}} / \Lambda_{\text{observable}} \approx 10^{123}$?). Such an accelerated expansion of the Universe at the start of its evolution due to virtual gravitons is consistent with the observational data on CMB anisotropy and spectrum fluctuations caused by inflation.

Acknowledgement I am deeply grateful to Daniel Usikov for useful discussions. I would like to express my deep appreciation to Walter Sadowski for invaluable help in the preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Marochnik, L, Usikov, D. & Vereshkov, G., Cosmological Acceleration from Virtual Gravitons, *Found. Phys.* 2008, **38**, pp. 546-555,; arXiv:0709.2537
2. Marochnik, L, Usikov, D. & Vereshkov, G., Macroscopic Effect of Quantum Gravity: Graviton, Ghost and Instanton Condensation on Horizon Scale of Universe *J. Mod. Phys.*, , 2013, **4**, pp. 48-101; arXiv: 1306.6172, 2013
3. Vereshkov, G. & Marochnik, L., Quantum Gravity in Heisenberg Representation and Self-Consistent Theory of Gravitons in Macroscopic Spacetime, *JMP*, 2013, **4**, pp. 285-297,
4. Marochnik, L., Dark Energy from Instantons *Grav. Cosmology*, 2013, **19**, 178-187; arXiv: 1306.6172, 2013; *ibid.* Dark Energy and Inflation in a Gravitational Wave Dominated Universe, *Grav. Cosmology*, 2016, **22**, pp. 10-19; arXiv:1508.07312
5. Abramo, L. R. W., Brandenberger, R. H. & Mukhanov, V. F., "Energy-momentum tensor for cosmological perturbations", *Physical Review D*, 1997, Vol. **56**, No. 6, pp. 3248-3257.
6. Hu, B., "General Relativity as Geometro-Hydrodynamics", *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, , 2005, **44**, pp. 1785-1806
7. Antoniadis, I., Mazur, P. & Mottola, E., "Cosmological dark energy: prospects for a dynamical theory", *New J. Phys.*, 2007, **9**, pp. 11-; [10.1088/1367-2630/9/1/011](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/1/011)
8. Ade, P.A.R. et al., Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters, *A&A* 594, A13, 2016; arXiv: 1502.01589, 2015; <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525830>
9. Zeldovich, Ya. B. , "Particle production in cosmology", *Soviet Physics –Uspekhi*, , 1968, **11**, pp. 381-393
10. Sahni, V. & Starobinsky, A., "RECONSTRUCTING DARK ENERGY", *IJMPD*, 2006, **15**, pp. 2105-2132
11. Frieman, J. A., Turner, M. S. & Huterer, D. "Dark Energy and the Accelerating Universe", *ARA&A*, 2008, **46**, pp. 385-432,
12. Chernin, A.D., "Dark energy and universal antigravitation", *Phys.Uspekhi*, 2008, **51** (3), pp. 253–282,
13. Abbott, B.P. et al., Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* , 2016, **116**, pp. 061102,; arXiv: 1602.03837
14. Marochnik, L. & Usikov, D., *Inflation and CMB Anisotropy from Quantum Metric Fluctuations* , *Grav. Cosmology* **21**, 2015, pp. 118-122,

15. Perlmutter, S. et al., "Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 high redshift supernovae", *Astrophysical Journal*, 1999, Vol. **517**, No. 2, pp. 565-586.
16. Riess, A.G. et al, "Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant", *Astronomical Journal*, 1998, Vol. **116**, No. 3, , pp. 1009-1038.
17. Guth, A. **The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems**, *Phys.Rev. D*, 1981, **23**, pp. 347-356,
18. Weinberg, S., *Cosmology*, Oxford Univ. Press, 2008
19. Komatsu, E. et al., Five-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Interpretation, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.*, 2009, 180, 3 pp. 30-376.; arXiv: 0803.0547v2, 2008
20. Marochnik, L., *Cosmological Acceleration from Scalar Field and Classical and Quantum Gravitational Waves (Inflation and Dark Energy)*, *Grav. Cosmology*, 2017, **23**, issue 3, (in press)
21. Esposito, G., *From Spinor Geometry to Complex General Relativity*, *Int. Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, 2005, 2, pp. 675-731.; arXiv: hep-th/0504089v2
22. Hartle, B.J. & Hawking, S.W., *Wave function of the Universe* , *Phys. Rev. D*, 1983, 28, pp. 2960-2975
23. Linde, A.D. , *A new inflationary universe scenario: a possible solution of the horizon, flatness, homogeneity, isotropy and primordial monopole problems*, *Phys. Lett. B*, 1982, **108**, pp. 389-393,
24. Albrecht, A & Steinhardt, P., *Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking*, *Phys.Rev. Lett*, 1982, **48**, pp. 1220-
25. Abbott, B.P. et al., *Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* , 2016, **116**, pp. 061102-.; arXiv: 1602.03837
26. Peebles, P. J. E. & Bharat Ratra, *The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy*, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* , 2003, **75**, pp. 559-606; arXiv:astro-ph/0207347