Unification of Electromagnetism and Gravitation

Raymond J. Beach

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, L-465, 7000 East Avenue, Livermore, CA 94551

E-mail: beach2@llnl.gov

Abstract: Using four field equations, a recently proposed theory that covers the phenomenology of classical physics at the level of the Maxwell and Einstein Field Equations (M&EFEs) but then goes further by unifying electromagnetic and gravitational phenomena in a fundamentally new way is reviewed. Predictions of the field equations are shown to be consistent with those of the M&EFEs through specific solutions; a particle-like solution representing a point charge, and two radiative solutions representing electromagnetic and gravitational waves. A unique feature of the full set of field equations is that charge and mass are treated as dynamic fields instead of being introduced as external parameters as is done with the classical M&EFEs, a feature that enables a procedure for quantizing the mass, charge and angular momentum of particle-like solutions. Finally, antimatter is naturally accommodated by the theory and definite predictions regarding the interactions of matter and antimatter with gravitational fields are made.

Key words: unified field theory; electromagnetism; Maxwell's equations; gravitation; general relativity; Einstein's field equations; gravitational radiation; hidden variable; dark matter; cosmological constant

Introduction

Assuming the geometry of nature is Riemannian with four dimensions, the following four equations have been proposed as an alternative description of classical physics at the level of the M&EFEs, but one that then goes further by reconciling gravity and electromagnetism

$$F_{uv:\kappa} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda \kappa uv} \tag{1}$$

$$a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} = \rho_{c}u^{\nu} \tag{2}$$

$$u^{\lambda}u_{\lambda} = -1\tag{3}$$

$$\left(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle m} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + F^{\mu}_{\ \lambda} F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}\right)_{;\nu} = 0 \tag{4}$$

Both equations (1) and (2) are new, as is the vector field a^{λ} that appears in them and serves to couple gravitational to electromagnetic phenomena. Equation (1) couples the Maxwell tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$, to the Riemann-Christoffel (R-C) tensor $R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu}$. Equations (2) couples the Ricci Tensor $R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu}$, to the coulombic current density $\rho_c u^{\nu}$. Supplementing these first two equations are equations (3) and (4), both of which are well known. Equation (3) normalizes the four-velocity vector field u^{λ} that describes the motion of both the charge density field ρ_c , and the mass density field ρ_m , which are assumed to be comoving. Equation (4) describes the conservation of energy and momentum for a specific choice of energy-momentum tensor. Much of the discussion that follows will be focused on describing solutions to these equations and demonstrating that such solutions are consistent with those of the classical M&EFEs, but then go further by unifying electromagnetic and gravitational phenomena. Taken together, the four field equations are used to axiomatically build up a description of nature in terms of the six dynamic fields described in Table I.

Table I. Dynamic fields

Field	Description	Number of components
$g_{\mu \nu}$	Metric tensor	10
$F_{\mu\nu}$	Maxwell tensor	6

u^{λ}	Four-velocity	4
a^{λ}	Four-vector with no counterpart in the	4
u	classical M&EFEs	•
$ ho_c$	Charge density	1
$ ho_m$	Mass density	1
Total number of independent field components		26

An outline of the paper is as follows: Using the fundamental field equations (1) through (4) and the properties of the R-C tensor, Maxwell's equations are derived. The classical field theory based on equations (1) through (4) is then shown to be consistent with the requirements of general covariance after taking full account of dependent or constraining equations. Symmetries of the theory important for the treatment of antimatter and how it responds to a gravitational field are then reviewed. Next, a soliton solution to the field equations representing a spherically-symmetric charged particle is reviewed. Emphasized in the particle-like solution are the source terms of the electromagnetic and gravitational fields, ρ_c and ρ_m , respectively, which are themselves dynamic fields in the theory; a development which opens the possibility of quantizing such solutions for charge, mass and angular momentum using a set of self-consistency equations that flow from the analysis. A discussion of the Einstein field equations and how they fit into the framework defined by the fundamental field equations (1) through (4) is then given. Next, two radiative solutions representing electromagnetic and gravitational waves are developed and analyzed, emphasis is on the unification that the theory brings to electromagnetic and gravitational phenomena through the introduction of the vector field a^{λ} . A discussion of antimatter and how it is accommodated by the new theory is then given. Finally, to gain insight into the numerical solution of the fundamental field equations (1) through (4), an analysis of the Cauchy initial value problem as it applies to them is given in Appendix II.

In this paper geometric units are used throughout along with a metric tensor having signature [+,+,+,-]. Commas before tensor indices are used to denote ordinary derivatives while semicolons before tensor indices denote covariant derivatives. Spatial indices run from 1 to 3, with 4 the time index. For the definitions of the R-C curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor, the conventions used by Weinberg are followed.ⁱⁱ

Maxwell's equations from $F_{\mu\nu;\kappa} = a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu}$ and $\rho_c u^{\nu} = a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\nu}$

Equations (1) and (2) which relate the Maxwell tensor derivatives to the R-C tensor and the charge current density to the Ricci tensor, respectively, are the fundamental relationships from which all of Maxwell's equations flow. The derivation of Maxwell's homogenous equation begins with the algebraic property of the R-C tensor

$$R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu} + R_{\lambda\mu\nu\kappa} + R_{\lambda\nu\kappa\mu} = 0 . ag{5}$$

Contracting (5) with a^{λ} gives,

$$a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu} + a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda\nu\kappa\mu} + a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda\nu\kappa\mu} = 0. \tag{6}$$

Using (1) to substitute $F_{\mu\nu;\kappa}$ for $a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu}$ leads to

$$F_{\mu\nu;\kappa} + F_{\nu\kappa;\mu} + F_{\kappa\mu;\nu} = 0, \qquad (7)$$

which is Maxwell's homogenous equation. Maxwell's inhomogeneous equation follows from (1) by contracting its μ and κ indices

$$F^{\mu\nu}_{,\mu} = -a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\nu}. \tag{8}$$

Making the connection between the RHS of (8) and the coulombic current density $\rho_c u^v = J^v$ using (2) gives the conventional Maxwell-Einstein version of Maxwell's inhomogeneous equation

$$F^{\mu\nu}_{\;\;;\mu} = -\rho_c u^{\nu} \left(\equiv -J^{\nu} \right) \,. \tag{9}$$

Because $F^{\mu\nu}$ is antisymmetric, the identity $F^{\mu\nu}_{;\mu;\nu} = 0$ is forced, which in turn forces the coulombic charge density to be a conserved quantity

$$\left(\rho_c u^{\nu}\right)_{;\nu} = \left(a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu}\right)_{;\nu} = 0 \ . \tag{10}$$

Using equations (2) and (3), the coulombic charge density can be solved for in terms of the Ricci tensor, a^{λ} and u^{ν}

$$\rho_{c} = \begin{cases} \pm \sqrt{a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda}^{\nu} a^{\sigma} R_{\sigma \nu}} \\ or \\ -a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda}^{\nu} u_{\nu} \end{cases}$$
 (11)

In the forgoing development, only equations (1), (2) and (3) are fundamental to the new theory. Maxwell's equations (7) and (9), the conservation of the coulombic charge density (10) and the solution for the coulombic charge density (11) are all consequences of (1), (2) and (3), and the properties of the R-C curvature tensor.

One of the new pieces of physics in the foregoing development is the introduction of the vector field a^{λ} , a vector field that has no counterpart in the conventionally accepted development of classical physics but here serves to both couple the Maxwell tensor to the metric tensor through (1), and the charge density to the metric tensor through (2). Much of the analysis and discussion that follows will be focused on the impact of a^{λ} and how it drives the development of a classical field theory that encompasses the physics covered by the M&EFEs but then goes further by unifying gravitational and electromagnetic phenomena.

A classical field theory that unifies electromagnetism and gravitation

As shown in the preceding section equations (1), (2) and (3) combined with the properties of the R-C tensor provide a basis for deriving Maxwell's homogeneous and inhomogeneous equations in curved space-time. Taking the source terms of the gravitational and electromagnetic fields, ρ_m and ρ_c , respectively, as dynamic fields to be solved for, a classical field theory of gravitation and electromagnetism that is logically consistent with the requirements of general covariance is possible. For a theory to be logically consistent with the requirements of general covariance, the N dynamical field components of the theory must be underdetermined by N-4 independent equations, the remaining 4 degrees of freedom representing the freedom in the choice of coordinate system.

Table I lists the six dynamic fields of the theory along with the number of independent components that comprise each field, yielding a total of 26 independent field components. Now consider the last of the theory's fundamental equations, equation (4), the energy and momentum conservation equation

$$\left(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle m} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + F^{\mu}_{\ \lambda} F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}\right)_{;\nu} = 0 \ . \tag{4}$$

The specific form of the energy-momentum tensor in (4) ensures that ρ_m is conserved and that there is a Lorentz force law. These two dependent equations are derived by first contracting (4) with u_μ which leads to the conservation of mass

$$\left(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle m} u^{\scriptscriptstyle V}\right)_{\scriptscriptstyle ;_{\scriptscriptstyle V}} = 0 , \qquad (12)$$

and then combining (4) and (12), which leads to the Lorentz force law

$$\rho_m \frac{Du^\mu}{D\tau} = \rho_c u^\lambda F^\mu_{\ \lambda} \tag{13}$$

where $\frac{Du^{\mu}}{D\tau} = u^{\mu}_{;\sigma}u^{\sigma}$. A complete derivation of (12) and (13) is given in the Appendix I. Table

II collects and summarizes the four fundamental equations of the new theory, along with the number of components of each equation.

Table II. Fundamental equations

Equation	Equation number in text	Number of components
$F_{\mu\nu;\kappa} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu}$	(1)	24
$a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} = \rho_{c}u^{\nu} \ (\equiv J^{\nu})$	(2)	4
$u^{\lambda}u_{\lambda}=-1$	(3)	1
$\left(\rho_m u^\mu u^\nu + F^\mu_{\ \lambda} F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}\right)_{;\nu} = 0$	(4)	4
Total number of equations		33

The total number of fundamental field equations listed in Table II is 33 and is greater than the 26 field components listed in Table I that need to be solved for. If all the fundamental equations listed

in Table II were independent then the field components listed in Table I would be overdetermined and the theory would not be compatible with the requirements of general covariance. However, not all the 33 equations listed in Table II are independent. As already noted, dependent constraint equations can be derived from the equations listed in Table II and the properties of the R-C curvature tensor. Table III collects these dependent constraint equations along with a brief description of their derivation.

Table III. Dependent equations

Equation	Equation number in text	Derivation	Number of components
$F_{\mu\nu;\kappa} + F_{\nu\kappa;\mu} + F_{\kappa\mu;\nu} = 0$	(7)	(1) and $R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu} + R_{\lambda\mu\nu\kappa} + R_{\lambda\nu\kappa\mu} = 0$	4
$\left(\rho_c u^{\nu}\right)_{;\nu} = \left(a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu}\right)_{;\nu} = 0$	(10)	(1) and (2)	1
$\rho_c = \begin{cases} \pm \sqrt{a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} a^{\sigma} R_{\sigma \nu}} \\ or \\ -a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} u_{\nu} \end{cases}$	(11)	(2) and (3)	1
$\left(\rho_m u^{\nu}\right)_{;\nu} = 0$	(12)	(4), (3) and (7)	1
$\rho_m \frac{Du^\mu}{D\tau} = \rho_c u^\lambda F^\mu_{\ \lambda}$	(13)	(4), (3) and (12)	4
To	otal number of equation	ns	11

The 11 dependent constraint equations listed in Table III mean that of 33 fundamental equations listed in Table II, only 33-11=22 are independent. These 22 independent equations satisfy the requirements of general covariance for determining the 26 independent field components of Table I. The remaining four degrees of freedom in the solution representing the four degrees of freedom in choosing a coordinate system. To further elucidate the content of the fundamental field equations (1) through (4), an outline of their solution when viewed as a Cauchy initial value problem is presented in Appendix II.

Symmetries of the fundamental field equations

Before leaving the formal description of the fundamental equations listed in Table II, three important symmetries that these equations exhibit are noted. The first of these symmetries corresponds to charge-conjugation

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^{\lambda} \\ a^{\lambda} \\ F^{\mu\nu} \\ g_{\mu\nu} \\ \rho_{c} \\ \rho_{m} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} u^{\lambda} \\ -a^{\lambda} \\ -F^{\mu\nu} \\ g_{\mu\nu} \\ -\rho_{c} \\ \rho_{m} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

the second symmetry corresponds to matter-antimatter transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix}
u^{\lambda} \\
a^{\lambda} \\
F^{\mu\nu} \\
g_{\mu\nu} \\
\rho_{c} \\
\rho_{m}
\end{pmatrix} \rightarrow
\begin{pmatrix}
-u^{\lambda} \\
-a^{\lambda} \\
-F^{\mu\nu} \\
g_{\mu\nu} \\
\rho_{c} \\
\rho_{m}
\end{pmatrix}, (15)$$

and the third symmetry is the product of the first two

$$\begin{pmatrix}
u^{\lambda} \\
a^{\lambda} \\
F^{\mu\nu} \\
g_{\mu\nu} \\
\rho_{c} \\
\rho_{m}
\end{pmatrix} \rightarrow
\begin{pmatrix}
-u^{\lambda} \\
a^{\lambda} \\
F^{\mu\nu} \\
g_{\mu\nu} \\
-\rho_{c} \\
\rho_{m}
\end{pmatrix} .$$
(16)

All three transformations (14) through (16) leave the fundamental equations (1) through (4) unchanged. Including an identity transformation with the symmetries (14) through (16) forms a group (the Klein-4 group) with the product of any two of the symmetries (14) through (16) giving the remaining symmetry. These symmetry transformations will be useful for defining boundary

conditions that lead to quantized mass, charge and angular momentum of particle-like solutions, as well as for the treatment of antimatter which will be discussed later in this manuscript.

Particle-like solution: Electric field, gravitational field, and quantization

Investigated here is an exact solution of the new theory representing a charged, spherically-symmetric particle-like soliton. This example is useful because an exact solution to the fundamental field equations (1) through (4) facilitates a clear comparison between the gravitational and electric fields predicted by the new theory and those predicted by the classical M&EFEs. To proceed I draw on a solution for a spherically-symmetric charged particle that was previously derived in reference [i].ⁱⁱⁱ Working in spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ, t) , it was shown in reference [i] that the following expressions for the dynamic fields given in Table I are an exact solution to the field equations given in Table II

where $s = \pm 1$ as will be explained later. Solution (17) is straightforward to verify by direct substitution into the equations of Table II. The physical interpretation of this solution is that of a particle having charge $\pm q$ and mass m. Of note is the metric tensor which is identical to the Reissner-Nordstrom metric, establishing that the new theory predicts gravitational fields that are in agreement with the Einstein field equations. Furthermore, the electric field is radial and agrees with the coulomb field of the conventional Maxwell equations to leading order in 1/r.

Regarding solution (17), several points are worth emphasizing. First, the fundamental equations in Table II, which look very different than the M&EFEs, give the same solutions for the gravitational and electric fields as the M&EFEs, at least for the case of the spherically-symmetric

charged particle investigated here. This lends credence to the claim that the new theory's predictions are consistent with those of the M&EFEs. Second, the new theory's predictions go further than the M&EFEs by giving the spatial distribution of the mass and charge density as part of their solution, *i.e.*, the mass and charge density are dynamic fields in the new theory. As discussed below, having the mass density and charge density as dynamic fields, when combined with boundary conditions that impose self-consistency on the field solutions leads to quantization conditions on the particle's mass and charge.

As previously proposed in reference [i], a methodology for quantizing the charge of particle-like solutions such as (17) proceeds by imposing a boundary condition requiring the asymptotic value of the electric field be consistent with the spatially integrated charge density

$$q = \int \rho_c u^4 \sqrt{\gamma_{sp}} \, d^3 x = \lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 F_{14} \tag{18}$$

where q is the total charge of the particle, F_{14} is the radial electric field component of the Maxwell tensor, and γ_{sp} is the determinant of the spatial metric defined by v

$$\gamma_{spij} = g_{ij} - \frac{g_{i4} g_{j4}}{g_{44}} \tag{19}$$

where i and j run over the spatial dimensions 1, 2 and 3. An analogous quantizing boundary condition for the mass of the particle is arrived at by requiring the asymptotic value of its gravitational field be consistent with the spatially integrated mass density of the solution

$$m = \int \rho_m |u^4| \sqrt{\gamma_{sp}} d^3 x = \lim_{r \to \infty} r \frac{1 + g_{44}}{2}$$
 (20)

where m is the total mass of the particle. The reason for the absolute value of u^4 in the mass boundary condition (20) but not in the charge boundary condition (18) is the symmetry (15) exhibited by the theory's fundamental field equations and the requirement that the boundary conditions exhibit the same symmetry. The boundary conditions (18) and (20) represent self-consistency constraints on the charge parameter q and the mass parameter m that appear in the metric (17). The proposal here is that these boundary or self-consistency conditions represent

additional constraints on *physically allowable solutions* beyond the fundamental equations presented in Table II.

For the spherically-symmetric solution investigated in (17), the LHS of both (18) and (20) diverge leaving no hope for satisfying those quantization boundary conditions. The upshot of this observation is that while (17) represents a solution that describes the gravitational and electrical fields of a point charge that formally satisfy the equations of the theory in Table II, (17) cannot represent a physically allowed solution. The possibility of finding solutions that satisfy both the equations of the theory in Table II and the quantized charge and mass boundary conditions remains an open question at this point. However, interesting possibilities exist beyond the specific solution investigated here. For example, the modified Reissner-Nordstrom and modified Kerr-Newman metrics developed by S.M. Blinder, i give finite values for the LHS of both (18) and (20). Finally, when considering metrics that include nonzero angular momentum, as for example would be required for particles having an intrinsic magnetic field, the same methodology used here to quantize the particle's mass and charge can be used to quantize its angular momentum.

The particle-like solution (17) illustrates one restriction that the charge-conjugation symmetry (14) places on metrics that contain a charge parameter q. By (14), the charge-conjugation transformation takes $g_{\mu\nu} \to g_{\mu\nu}$, and $\rho_c \to -\rho_c$ or equivalently $q \to -q$ by (18). This forces the conclusion that the sign of q has no impact on the metric, *i.e.*, the metric can only depend on the absolute value of q since the metric is unchanged by the transformation $q \to -q$. This result is in line with the known charge containing solutions of the Einstein field equations such as the Reissner-Nordstrom and Kerr-Newman metrics both of which depend on q^2 .

One of the unique features of the classical field theory being proposed here is that it allows for the inclusion of antimatter in a very natural way. The multiplicative factor s in the expressions for F_{uv} , a^{λ} and u^{λ} in solution (17) is defined by

$$s = \begin{cases} +1 \text{ for matter} \\ -1 \text{ for antimatter} \end{cases}$$
 (21)

and accounts for the matter-antimatter symmetry expressed in (15). The physical interpretation is the s = -1 solution represents a particle having the same mass but opposite charge and four-

velocity as the s = +1 solution. This is equivalent to the view today that a particle's antiparticle is the particle moving backwards through time. Said another way, the time-like component of the four-velocity is positive for matter and negative for antimatter

$$u^{4} \begin{cases} > 0 \text{ for matter} \\ < 0 \text{ for antimatter} \end{cases}$$
 (22)

With these definitions for the four-velocity of matter and antimatter, charged mass density can annihilate similarly charged antimass density and satisfy both local conservation of charge (10) and local conservation of mass (12). Additionally, because total energy is conserved by (4), the annihilation of matter by antimatter must be accompanied by the generation of electromagnetic energy, the only other available energy channel in the theory.

Because I am endeavoring to develop a theory that flows from the four fundamental equations in Table II axiomatically, an interesting observation is that there appears to be nothing at this point in the development that precludes the existence of negative mass density, $\rho_m < 0$, and negative mass parameter, m < 0. Indeed, the existence of negative mass in the context of classical General Relativity has been proposed and studied, it and invoked when trying to find stable particle-like solutions using the conventional Einstein field equations. However, in the context of the present theory, the existence of negative mass density leads to a logical contradiction that can only be resolved by requiring the mass density be non-negative, i.e., $\rho_m \ge 0$ always. I'll come back to this point and develop this logical inconsistency when investigating the behavior of matter and antimatter in electric and gravitational fields.

How do the Einstein field equations comport with the new theory?

While evident from the preceding discussion that the Maxwell field equations and the classical physics that springs from them are contained in the fundamental equations (1) through (4), at this point in the development it is not obvious that the Einstein field equations have the same standing. The particle-like solution analyzed in the preceding section demonstrates that the Reissner-Nordstrom metric is an exact solution of the fundamental field equations (1) through (4), thus establishing that the new theory and classical general relativity support the same solutions, at least

in the case of spherical symmetry. To go further and determine if the Einstein equations are derivable from the fundamental equations of the new theory, consider equation (4). An immediate consequence of (4) with its vanishing covariant divergence is that a number of trivial tensor equations can be written down relating the covariant divergence of the energy momentum tensor used in (4) to various geometric quantities. The simplest example of such a tensor equation is

$$G^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = \kappa T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} \tag{23}$$

where $G^{\mu\nu} = R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R$ is the Einstein tensor, κ is an arbitrary constant, and $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the stress energy tensor defined in (4)

$$T^{\mu\nu} \equiv \rho_m u^\mu u^\nu + F^\mu_{\ \lambda} F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} \ . \tag{24}$$

While (23) is rigorously true, it's trivially satisfied because both sides are independently 0, the left being 0 by the Bianchi identity and the right by fundamental equation (4). An immediate consequence of equation (23) on taking the anti-covariant derivative of both sides is

$$G^{\mu\nu} = \kappa T^{\mu\nu} + \Lambda^{\mu\nu} \tag{25}$$

where $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ is a function of integration forced to have vanishing covariant divergence, *i.e.*, $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu}=0$ because both $G^{\mu\nu}$ and $T^{\mu\nu}$ have vanishing covariant divergence. As is the case with equation (23), equation (25) is trivially satisfied with $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ given by $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}=G^{\mu\nu}-\kappa T^{\mu\nu}$. This also demonstrates that the specific choice for the value of the constant κ is completely arbitrary; a change in the value of κ is absorbed by a change to $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$. With this understanding that all choices for the value of κ are essentially identical, it will be convenient to choose its value such that equation (25), except for the appearance of $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$, reduces to the Einstein field equations. This is accomplished by setting $\kappa=-1$, *i.e.*,

$$G^{\mu\nu} = -T^{\mu\nu} + \Lambda^{\mu\nu} . \tag{26}$$

The presence of the function $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ in equation (26) means that (26) is not quite identical to the classical Einstein field equations. However, in the derivation of (26), the required presence of $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ is interesting because it can mimic exactly the properties of dark matter, viz., it is conserved

with $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu} = 0$, it is a source of gravitational fields, and it has no interaction signature beyond the gravitational fields it sources. Finally, note that $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ includes as a special case the possibility of a cosmological constant, *i.e.*, $\Lambda^{\mu\nu} = \lambda g_{\mu\nu}$.

It is important to note that equation (26) with its auxiliary condition $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}_{,\nu}=0$ is a consequence of fundamental field equation (4) and the properties of the R-C tensor. With questions today regarding the validity of classical General Relativity beyond the confines of our own solar system, xiii the most interesting aspect of $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ in (26) is that it can represent both dark matter and dark energy. Today such terms are appended to the energy-momentum tensor in the Einstein field equations in an *ad hoc* manner to explain both the observed flattening of rotational velocity curves observed on galactic scales, and the observed accelerating expansion of the universe. So in several respects equation (26), which is a consequence of the new theory presented within, is already accepted and being actively used to analyze gravitational physics problems. Finally, it is important to note that equation (26) stand-alone is not a useful equation for solving for the gravitational field (the $g_{\mu\nu}$'s) in situations where only the energy-momentum tensor is known because in this case there is no way *a priori* to fix $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$. Ultimately $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ must be found from a solution of the full set of fundamental field equations (1) through (4) such as was done in the previous section in deriving solution (17).

Electromagnetic and gravitational wave solutions

Working in the weak field limit, derived here are expressions for a propagating electromagnetic plane wave in terms of the vector field a^{λ} and the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$. This example is useful as it makes clear the relationship between electromagnetic and gravitational radiation imposed by the fundamental equations in Table II, and predicts that an electromagnetic wave cannot exist without an underlying gravitational wave. To begin, consider an electromagnetic plane wave having frequency ω , propagating in the +z-direction and polarized in the x-direction. The Maxwell tensor for this field is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -B_y & E_x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -E_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\omega(t-z)}$$
(27)

where E_x and B_y are the constant field amplitudes. Assuming a near Minkowski weak field metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}e^{i\omega(t-z)}$$

$$\left|h_{\mu\nu}\right| \Box 1$$
(28)

where the $h_{\mu\nu}$ are complex constants, and a constant vector field a^{λ} ,

$$a^{\lambda} = \left(a^{1}, a^{2}, a^{3}, a^{4}\right) , \tag{29}$$

proceed by substituting for $F_{\mu\nu}$, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and a^{λ} into (1) and only retain terms to first order in the fields $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}$, which are assumed of the same order of magnitude. Doing this leads to a set of 8 independent linear equations for the 16 unknown constants: $h_{\mu\nu}$, a^{λ} , E_x and B_y . Imposing these constraining equations, then solve for the field components E_x , B_y , $g_{\mu\nu}$ and a^{λ} in terms of 8 free constants

$$E_{x} = i \omega \frac{\left(h_{11}^{2} + h_{12}^{2}\right)}{2 h_{11}} a^{1},$$

$$B_{y} = E_{x}$$
(30)

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & -h_{14} & h_{14} \\ h_{12} & -h_{11} & -h_{24} & h_{24} \\ -h_{14} & -h_{24} & h_{33} & -\frac{1}{2}(h_{33} + h_{44}) \\ h_{14} & h_{24} & -\frac{1}{2}(h_{33} + h_{44}) & h_{44} \end{pmatrix} e^{i\omega(t-z)} , \qquad (31)$$

and

$$a^{\lambda} = \left(a^{1}, a^{1} \frac{h_{12}}{h_{11}}, a^{4}, a^{4}\right). \tag{32}$$

This solution illustrates several ways in which the new theory departs from the traditional view of electromagnetic radiation. In the approach being put forth here the undulations in the electromagnetic field are due to undulations in the metrical field (31) via the coupling defined in (1). This result also underlines that the existence of electromagnetic radiation is forbidden in strictly flat space-time. An interesting aspect of this solution is that while electromagnetic radiation necessitates the presence of an underlying gravitational radiation field, the gravitational radiation is not completely defined by the electromagnetic radiation. The supporting gravitational radiation has 6 undetermined constants $(h_{11}, h_{12}, h_{14}, h_{24}, h_{33}, h_{44})$, with the only restriction being that they satisfy $|h_{\mu\nu}| \Box 1$ and $h_{11} \neq 0$ as required by (30). Further insight into the content of the metric (31) is evident after making the infinitesimal coordinate transformation from $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\nu\mu}$ given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \\ t' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + \frac{i}{\omega} h_{14} \\ y + \frac{i}{\omega} h_{24} \\ z - \frac{i}{2\omega} h_{33} \\ t - \frac{i}{2\omega} h_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$
(33)

and only retaining terms to first order in the h's. Doing this, the metric (31) is transformed to

while E_x and B_y , the transformed electric and magnetic field amplitudes, respectively, are identical to E_x and B_y given in (30). Note that only the h_{11} and h_{12} components of the metric (34) have an absolute physical significance and $h_{22} = -h_{11}$, which makes the plane wave solution (34) identical to the plane wave solution of the classical Einstein field equations. Expression and the solution here is that there will be an uncertainty when describing the interaction of

electromagnetic radiation with matter if the gravitational wave component of the problem is ignored. However, for a nonrelativistic matter, this gravitational interaction (34) vanishes to first order in the h's. To see this, consider the following expansion of the Lorentz force law

$$\rho_{m} \frac{Du^{\mu}}{D\tau} = \rho_{c} u^{\lambda} F^{\mu}_{\lambda}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad . \tag{35}$$

$$\rho_{m} \frac{du^{\mu}}{d\tau} = -\rho_{m} u^{\nu} u^{\lambda} \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda} + \rho_{c} u^{\lambda} F^{\mu}_{\lambda}$$

The first term on the RHS in the line above represents the gravitational interaction. This gravitational interaction term vanishes for nonrelativistic matter, $u^{\lambda} \approx (0,0,0,1)$ because for the metric (34) all the Γ^{μ}_{44} vanish to first order in the h's.

The forgoing analysis demonstrates the necessity of having an underlying gravitational wave to support the presence of an electromagnetic wave, but the converse is not true, and gravitational radiation can exist independent of any electromagnetic radiation. The following analysis demonstrates this by solving for the structure of gravitational radiation in the absence of electromagnetic radiation. Following the same weak field formalism for the unknown fields $h_{\mu\nu}$ given in (28), but this time zeroing out E_x and B_y in (27), leads to the following solutions for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and a^{λ}

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & -h_{14} & h_{14} \\ h_{12} & \frac{h_{12}^{2}}{h_{11}} & -h_{24} & h_{24} \\ -h_{14} & -h_{24} & h_{33} & -\frac{1}{2}(h_{33} + h_{44}) \\ h_{14} & h_{24} & -\frac{1}{2}(h_{33} + h_{44}) & h_{44} \end{pmatrix} e^{i\omega(t-z)}$$
(36)

and

$$a^{\lambda} = \left(a^{1}, -a^{1} \frac{h_{11}}{h_{12}}, a^{4}, a^{4}\right). \tag{37}$$

Both $g_{\mu\nu}$ given by (36) and a^{λ} given by (37) are modified from their solutions in the presence of an electromagnetic wave as given by (31) and (32), respectively. Performing a transformation to the same primed coordinate system as given in (33), here gives the metric field

again, illustrating that only the h_{11} and h_{12} components have an absolute physical significance. The interaction of nonrelativistic matter with the gravitational wave (38) vanishes for the same reason that it vanished for the gravitational wave (34) that accompanies electromagnetic radiation. Of particular note is the change in the value of the h_{22} component depending on whether the gravitational wave supports an electromagnetic wave as in (34) or is standalone as in (38).

It seems remarkable that the fundamental equations (1) through (4) in Table II that lead to Maxwell's equations and electromagnetic radiation can also lead to gravitational waves, unifying both phenomena as undulations of the metric field. On the other hand, equation (1) with $F_{\mu\nu}=0$ is a system of second order partial differential equations, $a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu}=0$, in the metric field components $g_{\mu\nu}$ just as the Einstein field equations are, so the fact that both sets of field equations give similar solutions for gravitational waves is not to be completely unexpected. Combining the solutions in this section for gravitational and electromagnetic radiation with those of the last section for the static electric and gravitational fields of a particle-like solution lends additional credence to the claim that the new theory's solutions are consistent with those of the M&EFEs.

Antimatter and its behavior in electromagnetic and gravitational fields

The distinction between matter and antimatter is naturally accommodated in the new theory, with antimatter solutions generated from their corresponding matter solutions using transformation (15). As already mentioned, antimatter can be viewed as matter moving backwards through time. To

see this more rigorously, consider the four-velocity associated with a fixed quantity of charge and mass density,

$$u^{\lambda} = \frac{dx^{\lambda}}{d\tau} \ . \tag{39}$$

Under the matter-antimatter transformation (15), $u^{\lambda} \rightarrow -u^{\lambda}$, or equivalently $d\tau \rightarrow -d\tau$. This motivates the following expression for the four-velocity in terms of the coordinate time

$$u^{\lambda} = \frac{dx^{\lambda}}{d\tau} = s\gamma \frac{dx^{\lambda}}{dt} = s\gamma \binom{v}{1} \tag{40}$$

where s is the matter-antimatter parameter defined in (21), $\mathbf{v} = (v_x, v_y, v_z)$ is the ordinary 3-space velocity of the charge or mass density, and $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-\mathbf{v}^2}$. Equation (40) establishes that the corresponding matter-antimatter solutions travel in opposite time directions relative to each other. One of the unusual aspects of the matter-antimatter transformation (15) is that ρ_c does not change sign under the transformation. To see that this is consistent with the usual view in which antiparticles have the opposite charge of their corresponding particles, I'll use (40) to illustrate the behavior of a charged matter and antimatter density in an electromagnetic field. Consider a region with an externally defined electromagnetic field

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_z & -B_y & E_x \\ -B_z & 0 & B_x & E_y \\ B_y & -B_x & 0 & E_z \\ -E_x & -E_y & -E_z & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(41)

and with no, or at least a very weak gravitational field so that $g_{\mu\nu} \approx \eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} \approx 0$. Starting with the Lorentz force law (13) and expanding

$$\rho_{p} \frac{Du^{\mu}}{D\tau} = \rho_{c} u^{\lambda} F^{\mu}_{\lambda}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\rho_{p} s \gamma \frac{du_{\mu}}{dt} = \rho_{c} F_{\mu\lambda} u^{\lambda}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\rho_{p} s \gamma \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} s \gamma \vec{v} \\ -s \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \rho_{c} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_{z} & -B_{y} & E_{x} \\ -B_{z} & 0 & B_{x} & E_{y} \\ B_{y} & -B_{x} & 0 & E_{z} \\ -E_{x} & -E_{y} & -E_{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s \gamma v_{x} \\ s \gamma v_{y} \\ s \gamma v_{z} \\ s \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\rho_{p} \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \vec{v} \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = s \rho_{c} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \\ \vec{v} \cdot \vec{E} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(42)$$

which on the last line above ends up at the conventional form of the Lorentz force law except for the extra factor of s on the RHS. This factor of s in (42) gives the product $s\rho_c$ the appearance that antimatter charge density has the opposite sign to that of matter charge density. The definition of q given in (18) is also equivalent to this point of view because making the matter-antimatter transformation (15) changes the sign of u^{λ} but not ρ_c in (18), thus changing the sign of q.

Next, I investigate antimatter in a gravitational field. There is no question about the gravitational fields generated by matter and antimatter, they are identical under the matter-antimatter symmetry (15), as $g_{\mu\nu}$ is unchanged by that transformation. To understand whether antimatter is attracted or repelled by a gravitational field, I go again to the Lorentz force law (13), but this time assume there is no electromagnetic field present, just a gravitational field corresponding to a Schwarzschild metric generated by a central mass m corresponding to either matter or antimatter. Placing a test particle a distance r from the center of the gravitational field and assuming it to be initially at rest, the equation of motion for the test particle, a geodesic trajectory, is given by the following development

$$\frac{Du^{\mu}}{D\tau} = 0$$

$$\downarrow s \gamma \frac{du^{\mu}}{dt} = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} u^{\nu} u^{\rho}$$

$$\downarrow s \gamma \frac{d}{dt} \left(s \gamma \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ \theta \\ \phi \\ t \end{pmatrix} \right) = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} u^{\nu} u^{\rho} \approx -\Gamma^{\mu}_{44} s^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{m}{r^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} s^{2}$$

$$\downarrow s \gamma \frac{d}{dt} \left(s \gamma \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ \theta \\ \phi \\ t \end{pmatrix} \right) = -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} u^{\nu} u^{\rho} \approx -\Gamma^{\mu}_{44} s^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{m}{r^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} s^{2}$$

where in the last line above I have approximated the RHS using the initial at rest value of u^{μ} , $u^{\mu} = (0,0,0,s)$ and additionally used the fact that the only nonzero Γ^{μ}_{44} in a Schwarzschild metric is $\Gamma^{1}_{44} = \frac{m}{r^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right)$. Simplifying the LHS of the last line in (43) by noting that initially $\gamma = 1$, gives

$$\frac{d^2r}{dt^2} \approx -\frac{m}{r^2} \tag{44}$$

independent of *s*, and so demonstrating that the proposed theory predicts both matter and antimatter will be attracted by a gravitational field because they follow the same geodesic trajectory, and this regardless of whether matter or antimatter generated the gravitational field.

As already noted, there appears to be nothing in the fundamental equations of Table II that preclude the possibility of negative mass density, $\rho_m < 0$. However, there are inconstancies that are introduced if negative mass density were to exist. Consider equation (44) with m > 0. As just shown, a test particle at some distance from the origin will feel an attractive gravitational force regardless of whether it is comprised of matter or antimatter. But this attraction is also independent of whether the test particle is comprised of positive or negative mass because the test particle's mass does not enter the calculation; all test particles, regardless of their composition, follow the same geodesic trajectory. Now consider equation (44) with m < 0. In this case a test particle at some distance from the origin will feel a repulsive gravitational force regardless of whether the

test particle is matter or antimatter and regardless of whether the test particle has positive or negative mass. These two situations directly contradict each other, making the new theory logically inconsistent if negative mass density exists. Thus, the only way to avoid this logical contradiction is to require mass density be non-negative always.

Discussion and summary

Equations (1) and (2) are the most important concepts being proposed in this manuscript, fundamentally tying the Maxwell tensor and charge density to the R-C curvature tensor and thereby unifying electromagnetism and gravitation. The cost of this unification is the introduction of a new field a^{λ} , a field that has no counterpart in classical physics but in the new theory serves to couple electromagnetic and gravitational phenomena. The unification that ensues puts electromagnetic phenomena on par with gravitational phenomena, with both intrinsically tied to nonzero curvatures. On the surface, this central role for nonzero curvature in all electromagnetic phenomena might be construed as problematic due to the prevalent view today that electromagnetic phenomena can exist in flat space-time. However, looking a little deeper it is not hard to see the connection between the new theory that requires a nonzero curvature for all electromagnetic phenomena and the classical Maxwell theory that works in flat space-time. If one were not aware of the vector field a^{λ} , but recognized the existence of the coulombic current density as is the view today, that part of the new theory not directly connected to a^{λ} is exactly the classical Maxell theory. To see this, consider the equations in Tables II and III that result from replacing $a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\nu}$ with the classical coulombic current density, which is just a statement of fundamental equation (2). The before and after equations with this substitution are shown in Tables VI and VII. None of the equations updated with the substitution $a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\nu} \rightarrow J^{\nu}$ reference a^{λ} or have a connection to the R-C curvature tensor and are exactly the classical Maxwell field equations and their consequences.

Table VI. Fundamental equations from Table II with $a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} \rightarrow J^{\nu}$

Original equation	Updated with $a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} \rightarrow J^{\nu}$	Comment
		Maxwell's
$F_{\mu\nu;\kappa} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu}$	$F^{\mu\nu}_{;\mu} = -J^{\nu}$	inhomogeneous
		equation
		Definition of
$a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu}=\rho_{c}u^{\nu}$	$J^{v} = \rho_{c}u^{v}$	classical current
		density
$u^{\lambda}u_{\lambda}=-1$	$u^{\lambda}u_{\lambda}=-1$	No change
$\left[\left(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle m} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + F^{\mu}_{\lambda} F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}\right)_{;\nu} = 0\right]$	$\left(\rho_{m}u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + F^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}F^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}\right)_{;\nu} = 0$	No change

Table VII. Dependent equations from Table III with $a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\nu} \to J^{\nu}$

Original equation	Updated with $a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} \rightarrow J^{\nu}$	Comment
$F_{\mu\nu;\kappa} + F_{\nu\kappa;\mu} + F_{\kappa\mu;\nu} = 0$	$F_{\mu\nu;\kappa} + F_{\nu\kappa;\mu} + F_{\kappa\mu;\nu} = 0$	No change
$\left(\rho_c u^{\nu}\right)_{;\nu} = \left(a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda}^{\nu}\right)_{;\nu} = 0$	$J^{\nu}_{;\nu}=0$	Conservation of charge
$\rho_c = \begin{cases} \pm \sqrt{a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} a^{\sigma} R_{\sigma \nu}} \\ or \\ -a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda}^{\ \nu} u_{\nu} \end{cases}$	$\rho_c = -J^{\nu}u_{\nu}$	Definition of classical current
$-a^{\lambda}R_{\lambda}^{\ \ \nu}u_{\nu}$		density
$\left(\rho_{\scriptscriptstyle m} u^{\scriptscriptstyle V}\right)_{;_{\scriptscriptstyle V}} = 0$	$\left(\rho_m u^\nu\right)_{;\nu} = 0$	No change
$\rho_m \frac{Du^\mu}{D\tau} = \rho_c u^\lambda F^\mu_{\ \lambda}$	$\rho_m \frac{Du^\mu}{D\tau} = \rho_c u^\lambda F^\mu_{\ \lambda}$	No change

In considering the new theory, one might view classical physics at the level of the Maxwell field equations as they are accepted today as incomplete, there being a hidden field a^{λ} that has gone

unrecognized. The value of the new theory with its introduction of the vector field a^{λ} is the reductionism it brings to classical physics at the level of the M&EFEs.

In addition to the electromagnetic coverage of the new theory, it also contains solutions replicating those of the Einstein field equations. In fact, the Reissner-Nordstrom metric (and the Schwarzschild metric as a limiting case) are exact solutions of the fundamental equations (1) through (4) demonstrating that the new theory replicates gravitational physics at the level of the Einstein field equations, at least in this spherically-symmetric case. Another reason that the particle-like solution (17) is so important is that it establishes that exact solutions to the theory do exist. This is not at all evident from equation (1), which represents a mixed system of first order partial differential equations for $F_{\mu\nu}$ and so carries with it specific integrability conditions that must be satisfied for solutions to exist. $^{\text{xvii}}$, $^{\text{xviii}}$ The existence of the particle-like solution (17) allays this concern by direct demonstration.

In the view being put forth here, equation (26), Einstein's field equations with the addition of a function of integration $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$, emerges as a consequence of the fundamental field equation (4) and the properties of the R-C tensor. Because the covariant divergence of $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ is constrained to vanish, $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ has built into it all the properties of dark matter and dark energy. Particular cases of $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$ could represent dark matter halos useful for explaining the flattened rotational velocity curves observed on galactic scales, and a cosmological constant term $\lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ useful for describing dark energy and explaining the accelerating expansion of the universe. The results of applying (26) are all very much in line with current investigations of gravitational interactions that use Einstein's field equations with *ad hoc* modifications to their energy-momentum tensor to include features such as dark matter and dark energy.

The weak field solution for electromagnetic radiation requires that it be supported by an underlying gravitational radiation. As a consequence of this a test particle in the path of an electromagnetic wave would in addition to feeling the effects of an undulating electromagnetic field, also feel the effects of the underlying gravitational wave. This prediction of the new theory, which does not agree with the classical physics predictions of the M&EFEs suggests one direction for investigations that could yield empirical results either supporting or refuting the predictions of the new theory. For example, if the new theory is the more correct description of nature, then taking

only electromagnetic effects into account for relativistic particles interacting with electromagnetic radiation would introduce an error in the calculated trajectory of particles due to the neglect of the interaction with the underlying gravitational wave.

A detraction of the new theory, at least at its present level of development, is the somewhat *ad hoc* inclusion of the conserved energy-momentum tensor (4) as one of its four fundamental equations; this rather than a more elegant and integrated variational derivation of the field equations from an appropriate action. The strongest argument for the inclusion of the conserved energy-momentum tensor (4) is the logical completeness it brings to the theory, *i.e.*, the number of independent field equations is four less than the number of independent field variables leaving four degrees of freedom in the determination of the independent field variables; a situation that is consistent with the requirements of general covariance and the freedom in choice of coordinate system. Internal consistency with the requirements of general covariance is a very strong argument for the theory when compared to the situation with the classical M&EFEs, where, for example, attempts to find particle-like solutions have noted that there is generally one more unknown than there are equations for determining the unknowns. ^x

An attractive feature of the new theory is the way in which antimatter is naturally accommodated. Using the matter-antimatter transformation (15), a solution corresponding to antimatter can be generated from its symmetric matter solution by changing the sign of a^{λ} , u^{λ} and $F_{\mu\nu}$, and leaving ρ_c , ρ_m and $g_{\mu\nu}$ unchanged. This leads to the same picture as is accepted today in which antimatter density is viewed as matter density moving backwards through time. The change in sign of u^{λ} between matter and antimatter ensures that matter density and similarly charged antimatter density can annihilate each other and conserve: charge (10), mass (12), and overall energy (4). By direct calculation, the behavior of matter and antimatter in a gravitational field is demonstrated to be attractive always. Additionally, the mass density field ρ_m must be nonnegative always to eliminate the possibility of a logical contradiction within the theory.

The ability of the fundamental field equations (1) through (4) to incorporate a logical description of antimatter is both surprising and unique when one considers that the new theory is a classical field theory and not a quantum field theory. This along with the new theory's introduction of a vector field a^{λ} which has no counterpart in the accepted description of classical physics today, and

in fact can be considered a hidden variable in that description raises interesting questions regarding how the new theory could potentially be bridged to the quantum mechanical world.

As proposed here, the new theory is a theory of everything at the level of classical physics. This claim resting on the fact that both charge density and mass density are treated as dynamic fields in the theory, leaving no external parameters to be introduced. This of course highlights one shortcoming of the particle-like solution (17). As already discussed that solution cannot satisfy the charge and mass boundary conditions (18) and (20), respectively, because the spatial integrals in both of those equations diverge due to singularities at the origin. This is a technical problem due to the metric solution in (17), the Reissner-Nordstrom metric with its singularity at the origin. One way to get around this difficulty might be to investigate other choices of metric such as, for example, the Blinder-Reissner-Nordstrom metric [vi] which is well behaved at the origin. Still other possibilities include relaxing the spherical symmetry of the solutions investigated within to that of cylindrical symmetry, thus allowing for angular momentum about an axis and solutions capable of modeling particles having a magnetic field; but this goes well beyond the level of analysis presented within.

Conclusion

The proposed classical field theory of electromagnetism and gravitation developed here encompasses classical physics at the level of the M&EFEs using four fundamental field equations as detailed in Table II, but then goes further by unifying electromagnetic and gravitational phenomena in a fundamentally new and mathematically complete way. The coupling between electromagnetic and gravitational physics is accomplished through the introduction of a new vector field a^{λ} that has no counterpart in the presently accepted description of nature based on the classical M&EFEs. Starting with the fundamental field equations (1) through (4) and the properties of the R-C tensor, the classical Maxwell field equations as well as the physics that flow from them can be derived. With this derivation it becomes clear that in the view of the new theory a^{λ} is a hidden variable in the classical Maxwell equation description of electromagnetism. In an analogous manner, the Einstein gravitational field equations with the addition of a term that can mimic dark matter and dark energy are derived as a consequence of the fundamental field equation

(4) and the properties of the R-C tensor. The unification brought to electromagnetic and gravitational phenomena by the new theory is demonstrated through several specific examples including the electric and gravitational fields of a spherically-symmetric particle-like solution, and radiative solutions representing both electromagnetic and gravitational waves. One of the strengths of the new theory's field equations, in fact a guiding principle in their development, is the requirement that the full set of fundamental field equations be logically consistent with the requirements of general covariance. Another strength of the new theory is the reductionism brought to electromagnetic and gravitational phenomena by treating the sources of these fields as dynamic variables rather than parameters that need to be externally put into the theory; a development which potentially explains the quantization of the mass, charge and angular momentum of particles in the context of a classical field theory. Finally, an outline for numerically solving the fundamental field equations is given in the form of an analysis of the Cauchy initial value problem applied to the fundamental field equations.

The genesis of the work presented within was reported in preliminary form in reference [i]. The same fundamental equations and quantizing boundary conditions reviewed here were first reported there. New to this manuscript is the discussion of the symmetries of the fundamental equations in Table II. Based on these symmetry properties, the interpretation of the particle-like solution has been advanced here. The derivation of the Einstein field equations augmented by a function of integration $\Lambda^{\mu\nu}$, capable of representing dark matter or dark energy is also new to this manuscript. The present manuscript also corrects an error in the weak field analysis of reference [i], leading to the expanded discussion of electromagnetic radiation and its underlying gravitational radiation. Finally, the inclusion of an analysis of the Cauchy initial value problem as it relates to the theory's fundamental equations is new.

Acknowledgement

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

Appendix I – Derivation of the Lorentz force law and the conservation of mass

The Lorentz force law (13) and the conservation of mass (12) follow from the fundamental equations of the theory. An outline of the derivation of these equations is given here. To derive the conservation of mass equation (12), I begin with equation (4) contracted with u_u

$$u_{\mu} \left(\rho_{m} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + F^{\mu}_{\lambda} F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma} \right)_{\nu} = 0 . \tag{45}$$

Expanding (45) and then simplifying as per the following development

$$u_{\mu}\left(\left(\rho_{m}u^{\nu}\right)_{;\nu}u^{\mu} + \rho_{m}u^{\nu}u^{\mu}_{;\nu} + F^{\mu}_{\lambda,\nu}F^{\nu\lambda} + F^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\nu\lambda}_{;\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}F^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma;\nu}\right) = 0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad$$

leads to the conservation of mass equation (12) on the last line above. The Lorentz force law (13) is now derived using the conservation of mass result just derived and equation (4). Expanding and then simplifying per the following development

$$\left(\rho_{m}u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + F^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}F^{\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}\right)_{,\nu} = 0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad$$

leads to the Lorentz force law (13) on the last line above.

Appendix II – The Cauchy problem applied to the fundamental field equations

One of the unusual features of the field equations in Table II is the lack of any derivatives of the vector field a^{λ} , a situation which raises questions about the time dependent development of a^{λ} . To further elucidate this and other questions regarding solutions of the fundamental field

equations, and to outline how they could be solved numerically, they are here analyzed in terms of a Cauchy initial value problem.

Given a set of initial conditions comprising the values of the fundamental fields in Table I at all spatial locations, a procedure is outlined that propagates those fields to any other time. To begin,

assume $g_{\mu\nu}, F_{\mu\nu}, u^{\lambda}, \rho_{c}, \rho_{m}$ and $\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$ are known at all spatial coordinates at some initial time t_{0} .

Note that the initial values for the field a^{λ} are not required, rather they will be solved for using equation (1), a situation that arises due to the integrability conditions which must be satisfied for a solution of a mixed system of first order partial differential equations such as (1) to exist. xviii, xviii

Also note, in addition to $g_{\mu\nu}$ the initial values of $\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$ must be specified because the fundamental field equations are second order in the time derivatives of $g_{\mu\nu}$, a situation analogous to classical General Relativity. The goal of the Cauchy method as it applies here is to start with specified initial conditions for $g_{\mu\nu}$, $F_{\mu\nu}$, u^{λ} , ρ_c , ρ_m and $\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$ at t_0 , and then using the fundamental field

equations in Table II solve for a^{λ} , $R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu}$, $\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial u^{\lambda}}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial \rho_c}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t^2}$ at t_0 . Armed with

these values at t_0 , it is straight forward to propagate the fields $g_{\mu\nu}$, $F_{\mu\nu}$, u^{λ} , ρ_c , $\rho_{\rm m}$ and $\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$ from

their initial conditions at t_0 to $t_0 + dt$ and then solve for a^{λ} , $R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu}$, $\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial u^{\lambda}}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial \rho_c}{\partial t}$ and

 $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t^2}$ at $t_0 + dt$ using the same procedure that was used to find them at t_0 . Repeating this procedure, values for the fundamental fields of the theory can be found at all times. One additional

requirement on the field values specified by initial conditions is that they must self-consistent with the fundamental field equations in Table II, *i.e.*, the specified initial conditions must be consistent

with a solution to the field equations in Table II.

In what follows Greek indices $(\mu, \nu, \kappa, ...)$ take on the usual spacetime coordinates 1-4 but Latin indices (i, j, k, ...) are restricted to spatial coordinates 1-3 only. Since the values of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and

listed here

 $\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$ are known at all spatial coordinates at time t_0 , the values of $\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^i}$, $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^i \partial t}$ can be calculated at all spatial coordinates at time t_0 . This leaves the ten quantities $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t^2}$ as the only second derivatives of $g_{\mu\nu}$ not known at t_0 . To find the values of $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t^2}$ at t_0 proceed as follows. First find the values of the six $\frac{\partial^2 g_{ij}}{\partial t^2}$ at t_0 using a subset of equations from (1), the subset containing only those equations having spatial derivatives of $F_{\mu\nu}$ on the LHS and at most one time index in each occurrence of the R-C tensor on the RHS. These equations will be used to solve for the values of a^{λ} at time t_0 . In all there are 12 such equations out of the 24 that comprise (1) as

$$\begin{split} F_{12,1} &= a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 112} \\ F_{13,1} &= a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 113} \\ F_{23,1} &= a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 123} \\ F_{12,2} &= a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 212} \\ F_{13,2} &= a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 213} \\ F_{23,2} &= a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 223} \\ F_{12,3} &= a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 312} \\ F_{13,3} &= a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 313} \\ F_{23,3} &= a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 323} \\ F_{12,4} &= F_{24,1} + F_{41,2} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 412} \\ F_{13,4} &= F_{34,1} + F_{41,3} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 413} \\ F_{23,4} &= F_{34,2} + F_{42,3} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 423} \end{split}$$
 (48)

The last three equations in (48) use (7), Maxwell's homogenous equation to express the Maxwell tensor component time derivative on the LHS as the sum of the spatial derivative of two Maxwell tensor components. The importance of having only spatial derivatives of the Maxwell tensor components on the LHS of (48) is that they are all known quantities at time t_0 , *i.e.*, since all the

 $F_{\mu\nu}$ are known at time t_0 , all $\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^i}$ can be calculated at time t_0 . Equally important is that the RHS of the 12 equations that comprise (48) contain at most a single time index in each occurrence of their R-C tensor and so are also known at time t_0 . This is most easily seen by examining the general form of the R-C tensor in a locally inertial coordinate system where all first derivatives of $g_{\mu\nu}$ vanish

$$R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\nu} \partial x^{\kappa}} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\kappa}}{\partial x^{\nu} \partial x^{\lambda}} - \frac{\partial^2 g_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu} \partial x^{\kappa}} + \frac{\partial^2 g_{\kappa\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu} \partial x^{\lambda}} \right). \tag{49}$$

Note that having at most one time index on the RHS of (49) means that the R-C tensor is made up entirely of terms from $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\lambda \partial t}$, all of which are known at time t_0 . Examining the set of equations (48) there are 12 equations for 4 unknowns, the unknowns being the components of a^{λ} . These 12 equations can be solved for a^{λ} at time t_0 if the initial conditions were chosen self-consistently with the fundamental field equations in Table II, *i.e.*, chosen such that a solution to the field equations is indeed possible.

Knowing the R-C tensor components with at most one time index at t_0 , I now proceed to determine the R-C tensor components with two time indices. Going back to the 24 equations that comprise the set of equations (1), here I collect the subset of those equations in which the LHS is known at time t_0 , *i.e.*, contains only spatial derivatives of the Maxwell tensor, and the RHS has an R-C tensor component that contain two time indices

$$F_{14,1} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 114}$$

$$F_{24,1} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 124}$$

$$F_{34,1} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 134}$$

$$F_{14,2} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 214}$$

$$F_{24,2} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 224} \qquad . \tag{50}$$

$$F_{34,2} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 234}$$

$$F_{14,3} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 314}$$

$$F_{24,3} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 334}$$

$$F_{34,3} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 334}$$

Each of the equations in (50) contains only one unknown, the R-C component having two time indices. In total, there are six such independent R-C tensor components,

$$R_{1414}$$
 R_{1424}
 R_{1434}
 R_{2424}
 R_{2434}
 R_{3434}
 (51)

so the system of nine equations (50) can be algebraically solved for the these six unknown R-C components at time t_0 . With this I now know the value all components of the R-C tensor at time t_0 . From the t_0 values of the R-C tensor components listed in (51), the values of the six unknown $\frac{\partial^2 g_{ij}}{\partial t^2}$ at t_0 can be found.

There are three remaining equations from the set of equations (1) that have not yet been addressed

$$F_{14,4} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 414}$$

$$F_{24,4} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 424} \qquad . \tag{52}$$

$$F_{34,4} = a^{\lambda} R_{\lambda 434}$$

These are the equations for which the temporal derivatives of the Maxwell tensor components are not yet known. However, with all values of the R-C tensor and a^{λ} now known, these three

remining time-differentiated components of the Maxwell tensor can now be solved for, giving complete knowledge $\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$ at time t_0 .

If the values of the four $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu 4}}{\partial t^2}$ could be calculated then all $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu \nu}}{\partial t^2}$ would be known and all $\frac{\partial g_{\mu \nu}}{\partial t}$ could be propagated from t_0 to $t_0 + dt$. Just as is the case with classical General Relativity, the four $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu 4}}{\partial t^2}$ are determined from coordinate conditions. xix Recapping, at t_0 the following quantities are now known:

- 1. $g_{\mu\nu}, F_{\mu\nu}, u^{\lambda}, \rho_c, \rho_m$ and $\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$ are defined by initial conditions
- 2. a^{λ} , $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\kappa} \partial x^{\lambda}}$, $R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu}$ and $\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\lambda}}$ are solved for using initial conditions and the fundamental field equations

Still needed to propagate the initial conditions in time from t_0 to $t_0 + dt$ are $\frac{\partial u^{\mu}}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial \rho_c}{\partial t}$.

Using the Lorentz force law (13), the following progression,

$$\rho_{m} \frac{Du^{\mu}}{D\tau} = \rho_{c} u^{\lambda} F^{\mu}_{\lambda}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad$$

shows on the last line above that $\frac{\partial u^{\mu}}{\partial t}$ can be solved for at t_0 in terms of knowns at t_0 . Using the conservation of mass (12) and knowing $\frac{\partial u^{\mu}}{\partial t}$ at t_0 , the following progression

$$(\rho_{m}u^{\nu})_{;\nu} = 0$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$(\rho_{m}u^{4})_{;4} = -(\rho_{m}u^{i})_{;i}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{m}}{\partial t}u^{4} = -\rho_{m}u^{4}_{;4} - (\rho_{m}u^{i})_{;i}$$
(54)

shows on the last line above that $\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t}$ can be solved for at t_0 in terms of knowns at t_0 . Following an analogous progression for ρ_c using the charge conservation equation (10), $\frac{\partial \rho_c}{\partial t}$ can be solved for at t_0 in terms of knowns at t_0 . With these, the values of a^{λ} , $R_{\lambda\kappa\mu\nu}$, $\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial u^{\lambda}}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial \rho_c}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t^2}$ are all known at t_0 and can be used to propagate the initial conditions $g_{\mu\nu}$, $F_{\mu\nu}$, u^{λ} , ρ_c , ρ_m and $\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial t}$ at t_0 to time t_0+dt . Iterating the process then gives the fundamental fields at all times.

References and endnotes

- Beach, R. (2014) A Classical Field Theory of Gravity and Electromagnetism. *Journal of Modern Physics*, 5, 928-939. doi: 10.4236/jmp.2014.510096.
- 2. S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY 1972. The definition of the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor is $R^{\lambda}_{\ \mu\nu\kappa} \equiv \partial_{\kappa}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \mu\nu} \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \mu\kappa} + \Gamma^{\eta}_{\ \mu\nu}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \kappa\eta} \Gamma^{\eta}_{\ \mu\kappa}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\ \nu\eta}$, and the definition of the Ricci tensor is $R_{\mu\kappa} \equiv R^{\lambda}_{\ \mu\lambda\kappa}$.
- 3. The interpretation of the solution here is different than in reference [i]. Specifically, in reference [i] the charge density was restricted to be positive, a restriction that is lifted here.
- 4. Calculations within were performed in Mathematica: Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 11.0, Champaign, IL (2016).
- L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Fourth Edition, p. 235, Pergamon Press, New York, NY 1975.
- Blinder, S. M. "General relativistic models for the electron." Reports on Mathematical Physics 47.2 (2001): 279-285.
- 7. R.P. Feynman, "The Theory of Positrons," Phys Rev, 1949, **76**(6): p. 749-759.
- 8. Bondi, Hermann. "Negative mass in general relativity." Reviews of Modern Physics 29, no. 3 (1957): 423.
- 9. Bonnor, W. B. "Negative mass in general relativity." *General relativity and gravitation* 21, no. 11 (1989): 1143-1157.
- 10. Bailyn, M., and D. Eimerl. "General-Relativistic Interior Metric for a Stable Static Charged Matter Fluid with Large e m." *Physical Review D* 5, no. 8 (1972): 1897.
- 11. Bonnor, W.B. and Cooperstock, F.I., 1989. Does the electron contain negative mass? *Physics Letters A*, *139*(9), pp.442-444.
- 12. Herrera, L., and V. Verela. "Negative energy density and classical electron models." *Physics Letters A* 189, no. 1-2 (1994): 11-14.
- 13. Glenn D. Starkman, "Modifying Gravity: You Can't Always Get What You Want," [v1] Mon, 9 Jan 2012 06:46:26 GMT (34kb) arXiv:1201.1697v1 [gr-qc].
- 14. This calculation was presented in reference [i] but contained an error that is corrected here. In that original publication, the electric and magnetic fields where not restricted to the same weak field approximation as the *h*'s.
- 15. S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY 1972, Section 10.2.
- 16. L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Fourth Edition, p. 235, Pergamon Press, New York, NY 1975, Section 107.
- 17. L.P. Eisenhart, *An Introduction to Differential Geometry*, Chapter 23, "Systems of Partial Differential Equations of the First Order, Mixed Systems," Princeton University Press 1947.
- 18. For more explanation see the description in reference [i], Section 4. Integrability Conditions.
- 19. For an excellent discussion of the Cauchy method applied to Einstein's field equations and how, for

example, harmonic coordinate conditions determine $\frac{\partial^2 g_{\mu 4}}{\partial t^2}$ see, "The Cauchy Problem," section 5.5 of S.

Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY 1972.