Article

Synthesis of Polyhydroxybutyrate Particles with Micro-to-Nanosized Structures and Application as Protective Coating for Packaging Papers

Vibhore Kumar Rastogi *, Pieter Samyn

Freiburg Institute for Advanced Studies (FRIAS), Chair for Bio-based Materials Engineering, Faculty for Environment and Natural Resources, University of Freiburg, Werthmannstrasse 6, Freiburg 79085, Germany; Pieter.Samyn@outlook.be

* Correspondence: vibhore2906@gmail.com; Tel.: +49-152-1461-3335

Abstract: This study reports on the development of bio-based hydrophobic coatings for packaging papers through deposition of polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) particles in combination with nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC) and plant wax. In a first approach, PHB particles in micrometer range (PHB-MP) were prepared through a phase-separation technique providing internally nanosized structures. The particles were transferred as a coating by dip-coating filter papers in the particle suspension, followed by sizing with a carnauba wax solution. This approach allowed partial to almost full surface coverage of PHB-MP over the paper surface resulting in static water contact angles of 105° to 122° and 129° to 144° after additional wax coating. In a second approach, PHB particles with submicron sizes (PHB-SP) were synthesized by an oil-in-emulsion (o/w) solvent evaporation method, and mixed in aqueous suspensions with 0 to 7 wt% NFC. After dip-coating filter papers in PHB-SP/NFC suspensions and sizing with a carnauba wax solution, static water contact angles of 112 to 152° were obtained. The intrinsic properties of the particles were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy, thermal analysis and infrared spectroscopy, indicating higher crystallinity for PHB-SP than PHB-MP. The chemical interactions between the more amorphous PHB-MP particles and paper fibers were identified as an esterification reaction, while the morphology of the NFC fibrillar network was playing a key role as binding agent in the retention of more crystalline PHB-SP at the paper surface, hence contributing to higher hydrophobicity.

Keywords: polyhydroxybutyrate; nanofibrillated cellulose; paper coating; hydrophobicity

1. Introduction

Inspired by nature, surface science is continuously advancing towards the deeper analysis and understanding of natural materials in order to further exploit them in modern technology. The exceptional properties and functionality of materials in nature often relies on their hierarchical structure combining features at the micro- to nanoscale length scales. As such, the development of biomimetic materials is continuously growing by modeling biological surfaces such as lotus-leafs, picher plant or insect wings, for the fabrication of artificial superhydrophobic surfaces [1-4].

The surface hydrophobicity is a primary requirement for the creation of a protective barrier layer on papers, and can be adjusted by tuning both chemical surface components and surface morphology. Hydrophobicity of an existing surface can be enhanced by employing low surface energy chemicals and/or increasing the roughness of existing hydrophobic surface by creating a profile with multiple length scales. The surface modification of paper surfaces with fluoropolymers or wax gained special attention due to ultra-low surface energies [4]. Superhydrophobic tetrafluoroethylene coatings with a ribbon-like morphology were fabricated on textiles by pulsed RF discharges deposition [5], or by plasma-assisted deposition of fluorocarbon films [6]. However, fluoroderivates should be avoided due to health and environmental concerns, and new hydrophobic candidates must be devised. In addition, the surface morphology should generally be considered [7], by generating hierarchical micro- to nanoscale surface patterns following mechanical stretching

2 of 19

and/or etching techniques such as plasma, laser, and chemical etching [8], lithography [9], sol-gel process [10], electrochemical deposition, layer-by-layer and colloidal assembly [11]. Similarly, the surface hydrophobicity of fibrous materials or papers can be enhanced by controlling the surface morphology through deposition of micro- to nanoscale structured particles, providing hierarchical structures in combination with the macroscale fibers.

Several inorganic nanoparticles such as TiO₂, SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ coupled with low surface energy chemicals like 3-(trimethoxysilyl) propyl methacrylate (MPS) or silanes, acted as highly hydrophobic materials when coated over papers [12, 13]. In addition, a paper coating with organic nanoparticles of styrene maleimide showed high surface hydrophobicity and improved water repellency with self-cleaning ability [14, 15]. However, only few articles described the fabrication of highly hydrophobic papers obtained by purely using biopolymers as a coating. In one study, cellulose has been exploited as a nanoscale coating for the fabrication of superhydrophobic papers using porous structured microparticles of surface modified nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC) [16]. The microparticles were formed by spray drying of solvent-based NFC, followed by quick drying and modification by fluorinated trichlorosilane surfactant. Similarly, cellulose stearoyl ester nanoparticles were made from solution via nanoprecipitation and spray-coated over papers to provide structured superhydrophobic surfaces with a water contact angle larger than 150°, exhibiting self-cleaning properties [17], while the surface hydrophobicity of these papers can be further tuned by thermal annealing. In another example, the bacterial polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) polymer was applied on paper and transformed into micro- or nanoparticles through a phase-separation technique in direct contact with paper, providing high hydrophobicity [18]: however, the need for the long immersion times (12 hr) of the native PHB-coated paper in an ethanol/water coagulation bath resulted into the swelling of paper fibers and decrease in mechanical properties. Previously, PHB has been applied as a continuous film on paper by casting from a chloroform solution, resulting in lower moisture and water absorption in parallel with high surface hydrophobicity for PHB concentrations above 10 wt% [19]. Advantageously, the integration of NFC may further improve the barrier properties due to the intrinsic oxygen barrier resistance of fibrillated cellulose, as demonstrated before in combinations with PLA [20] and Shellac [21]. The application of PHB as a food packaging material has also been critically described in a review, indicating advantages, drawbacks and several routes for overcoming these drawbacks [22].

In this work, two approaches for improving the hydrophobicity of packaging papers through the creation of hierarchical structures on the paper surface will be presented, using polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) and nanocellulose (NFC). In first approach, internally structured micro-sized polyhydroxybutyrate particles (PHB-MP) will be prepared. In second approach, aqueous suspensions containing non-structured submicron sized particles (PHB-SP) mixed nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC) as a binding agent will be prepared. Finally, the particles will be transferred as a coating onto paper surfaces by a facile two step dip-coating process into (i) a suspension of PHB-MP or PHB-SP/NFC, followed by (ii) a plant wax solution as hydrophobizing agent. After synthesis and analytical characterization of the particles, the morphology of coating formulations was evaluated and optimized to achieve highest hydrophobicity.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis and characterization of PHB microparticles (PHB-MP) and submicron particles (PHB-SP)

2.1.1. Morphological analysis by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The morphology of dried PHB-MP and PHB-SP powders was studied by SEM (Figure 1). The spherical micro-sized PHB-MP have an average diameter of $25 \pm 5 \, \mu m$ and clearly show well-developed internal structures, as visualized in Figure 1a and Figure 1b (magnification). The PHB-MP were synthesized by following a phase-separation technique [18], with some modifications: dimethylformamide (DMF) was used to dissolve PHB pellets instead of chloroform, with the advantage of dissolving more PHB in smaller amounts of solvent in less time. The formation of

PHB-MP can be understood as a result of the exchanges between solvent and non-solvent in the system, where an ethanol/water mixture (acting as a non-solvent for PHB) diffuses into the PHB/DMF solution and induces the precipitation of PHB as spherical micro-sized particles. The exchange between solvent and non-solvent may result in a thermodynamic instability and phase separation of PHB. It is important to note that the spherical PHB-MP developed in the suspension only, just after the addition of the non-solvent. Whereas, the creation of internal structures within PHB-MP can be explained as a result of drying PHB/DMF/ethanol-water suspension at 70 °C, which facilitates the fast evaporation of ethanol (boiling point = 78 °C) and the simultaneous slow evaporation of DMF (boiling point = 153 °C). This resulted in self-organization of the PHB molecules at the solvent interfaces and hence the formation of nanoscale internal structures within PHB-MP.

The spherical submicron-sized PHB-SP have an average diameter of 800 ± 300 nm and do not show further internal structuring, as illustrated in Figure 1c and Figure 1d (magnification). The PHB-SP were synthesized by following the oil-in-emulsion (o/w) solvent evaporation method [23], with slight modifications: the PHB was dissolved in chloroform and acted as an oil phase in the external water phase containing polyvinylalcohol (PVA), a synthetic polymer that was used as emulsifier instead of anionic/cationic detergents. A low concentration of PVA (0.1 w/v %) in the external water phase was chosen to ensure the low viscosity of the o/w emulsion, resulting in a more easy break-up of the emulsion into smaller droplets, which get stabilized to avoid coalescence. Further, the slow rate of solvent evaporation at low pressure (200 mbar) and low temperature (40 °C) causes the slow diffusion of chloroform out of the emulsion droplets, and assists in the formation of solid non-structured submicron-sized PHB particles.




Figure 1. Scanning electron microscopy of (a, b) internally structured PHB-MP and (c, d) non-structured PHB-SP (note the different scales of magnification for best representation of the particle morphology).

2.1.2. Thermal analysis

In order to understand the effects of synthesis method on thermal stability and crystallinity of the PHB particles, thermal analysis was done on neat PHB as a reference material, together with

dried PHB-MP and PHB-SP powders. The thermal properties were analyzed by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), as discussed below.

The thermal degradation of neat PHB, PHB-MP and PHB-SP at temperatures between 50 and 400 °C is illustrated by the weight loss curve according to TGA analysis (nitrogen atmosphere) in Figure 2. The thermal degradation of all materials took place in single-step, representing the narrow processing window of the polymer. At temperatures below 200 °C, full stability of all materials is observed, with less than 1 % of residual adsorbed water. As detailed in a derivative weight loss curve (Figure 2, inset), the neat PHB presents a higher degradation temperature (292 °C) than PHB-MP (276 °C) and PHB-SP (264 °C). The variations in thermal stability can be mainly explained by size effects: the smaller submicron size of PHB-SP, resulting in a higher free surface area, is thermally less stable than the original densely compacted neat PHB pellets. Whereas, the thermal stability of PHB-MP lies intermediately between neat PHB and PHB-SP due to the porous micron size structure, having less free surface area than PHB-SP and more surface area than neat PHB.




Figure 2. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) representing weight loss and differential weight loss (inset) curves of (i) neat PHB (ii) PHB-MP and (iii) PHB-SP.

The melting behaviour of neat PHB, PHB-MP and PHB-SP was analyzed by the thermographs according to DSC analysis (nitrogen atmosphere) in Figure 3. A single heating scan was applied to analyze the quality of crystals formed after synthesis of PHB particles, which would otherwise vanish during the subsequent cooling and second heating scan. A single melting peak (T_{m1}) is observed for both neat PHB and PHB-SP, while two melting peaks (T_{m1} , T_{m2}) are observed for PHB-MP. The behavior with a single melting point is usually due to melting of crystals with uniform lamellar thickness, while multiple melting peaks can be attributed to crystals with different lamellar thickness having different melting temperatures [24]: this indicates that the processing of PHB-SP has not significantly altered the crystal structures, while the instability caused by solvent exchange during the fabrication of PHB-MP resulted in crystals with different sizes and lamellar thickness exhibiting multiple melting behavior. The melting temperatures for PHB-MP (T_{m2} = 171.5 °C) and PHB-SP (T_{m1} = 178.0 °C) were higher than neat PHB (T_{m1} = 169.0 °C): this indicates the higher degree

of crystallinity because of improved polymer chain mobility through solvent processing and hence better crystallization of PHB. The degree of crystallinity (X_c) was determined by Equation 1 [25]:

$$X_c = \frac{\Delta H_m}{\Delta H_m^o} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

where ΔH_m represents the melting enthalpy of the crystals formed in the PHB polymer, ΔH°_m is the theoretical enthalpy value for a 100 % crystalline PHB and chosen as 146.6 J/g [25]. As such, $X_c = 36.72$ % for neat PHB, 46.53 % for PHB-MP, and 53.55 % for PHB-SP. The highest crystallinity was achieved for PHB-SP, as only one solvent (chloroform) was used in processing, resulting in a better crystallization process due to improved mobility of the PHB polymer chains allowing for structural rearrangements. Otherwise, the diffusion of non-solvents (ethanol/water) into the PHB-DMF solution during synthesis of PHB-MP, resulted in instability and restricted PHB polymer chain mobility causing lower degree of crystallinity. The latter restrictions also explain the occurrence of a double melting peak observed for PHB-MP.




Figure 3. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermographs of (i) neat PHB (ii) PHB-MP and (iii) PHB-SP.


2.1.3. Chemical analysis by Fourier-Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy

Both PHB-MP and PHB-SP were characterized by FTIR spectroscopy to evaluate the presence of any residual solvents or PVA after synthesis, along with the influences of synthesis methods on the

PHB crystallinity, while neat PHB was included as a reference material. The FTIR spectra in Figure 4 show the characteristic absorption bands for PHB, as follows: 2976 cm⁻¹ (CH₃ stretching), 2935 cm⁻¹ (CH₂ stretching), 1721 cm⁻¹ (C=O asymmetrical stretching), 1687 cm⁻¹ (C=O symmetrical stretching), 1453 cm⁻¹ (CH₃ asymmetric stretching), 1380 cm⁻¹ (CH₃ symmetric stretching), 1275 cm⁻¹ (CH₂ wagging), 1262 cm⁻¹ (C-O-C stretching with C-H deformation), and 1228 cm⁻¹ (C-CH₃ stretching) [26-28]. The spectra were baseline corrected and normalized on the 1453 cm⁻¹ band, which is insensitive for changes in crystallinity [28].

From Figure 4a, the absence of a broad band (3100 to 3600 cm⁻¹) assigned to O-H stretching due to strong intra/inter-molecular hydrogen bonding in PVA, confirmed the complete solvent removal from PHB-SP after washing with water, as PVA is water soluble [28]. In addition, no evidence was found for the traces of solvents (chloroform, DMF, and ethanol) used during the PHB-MP or PHB-SP fabrication, confirming their complete removal.

From Figure 4b, the wavenumber region 1100 to 1500 cm⁻¹ is detailed for a qualitative analysis of crystallinity for neat PHB, PHB-MP and PHB-SP. The absorption intensity of bands at 1275 cm⁻¹ and 1228 cm⁻¹ (crystalline bands) and 1180 cm⁻¹ (amorphous band) is sensitive to the degree of crystallinity [28]. In semi-crystalline PHB (the present case) all three above bands are present, while in fully crystalline PHB only the 1228 cm⁻¹ band is present and assigned to the conformation of the PHB helical chains [28]. Considerable differences are observed in the intensity ratio I₁₂₂₈ / I₁₄₄₃ (crystalline index): the crystallinity index equals 5.7 (PHB-SP), 4.5 (PHB-MP) and 3.4 (neat PHB). The high crystallinity for PHB-SP accounts for better crystallization due to processing with only one solvent. The lower crystallinity for PHB-MP can be ascribed to the instability caused by non-solvents during the synthesis process. Whereas, for neat PHB, lowest crystallinity is attributed to the unprocessed state of PHB after its extraction from the microorganisms, which is obviously more amorphous than processed PHB [23]. This trend is in agreement with the previous values for degree of crystallinity (*X*_c) calculated from DSC analysis.






Figure 4. FTIR spectra showing (a) complete wavenumber range 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ (b) detailed spectra in wavenumber range 1500 to 1100 cm⁻¹ for (i) neat PHB (ii) PHB-MP, and (iii) PHB-SP.

2.2. First approach: Application of PHB-MP as paper coating

2.2.1. Morphological analysis (SEM) and Water contact angle measurements

In a first approach, the PHB-MP were deposited onto paper by dip-coating filter paper samples into the prepared PHB-MP suspension (8.5 w/v % of PHB and 7.4 v/v % non-solvent), and drying at 70 °C for 5 hours. From a detailed SEM image of the coated papers (Figure 5a), the PHB-MP deposits on paper retain the same size (diameter 25 µm) and internally nanosized structures like the PHB-MP powders discussed before. As explained before, the microparticles were formed in suspension after adding the non-solvent, while the nanoscale internal structures formed during drying (here, in contact with paper). However, the PHB-MP on paper were not deposited in close proximity to each other and did not form a compact coating structure, but only a partial surface coverage (Figure 5b). Interestingly, the PHB-MP on paper were mainly localized near the cellulose fibers, suggesting favorable interactions between the particles and cellulose fibers (see further paragraph 2.2.2). The initial interaction between PHB-MP and paper fibers took place within the wet coating state, when PHB-MP were in amorphous state due to the presence of solvents. In amorphous state, the PHB acts as a sticky material [29] and hence chemically adheres to the paper fibers, while these interactions were further strengthened during the drying process resulting in strong bonds between PHB-MP and paper fibers. Therefore, the preferential interaction of PHB-MP and cellulose fibers may hinder the formation of a continuous coating layer at given concentration

The effect of PHB and non-solvent concentrations in suspension on the final (i.e., dry) coating morphology was further investigated. An increase of PHB concentration (up to a maximum of 17 w/v%) resulted in formation of no well-defined PHB-MP structures (Figure 5c) and a non-structured layer covering almost the entire paper surface (Figure 5d). This observation can be explained by the insufficient amount of non-solvents (7.4 v/v%) that would be required for the self-organization of PHB into micro-sized particles. With an increase in the non-solvents concentration (up to 14.8 v/v%), a coating layer with better resolved internal structures developed (Figure 5e). It seems that the PHB-MP formed in the suspension are deposited as random structured moieties over the paper

8 of 19

(Figure 5f) rather than clear micro-sized PHB particles, which can be attributed to the favoured interactions between PHB and paper fibers at higher PHB and non-solvent concentration. With a high concentration of PHB (17 w/v %) and non-solvents (14.8 v/v %), individual cellulose fibers were fully coated due to the increased availability of PHB and equivalent amount of non-solvent available for creating structured particles. Further increase in the non-solvent concentration (up to 23.1 v/v %) resulted in a flat coating layer without internal structures (Figure 5g), covering the paper surface completely and homogenously (Figure 5h). The very high amounts of non-solvent induced the precipitation of PHB to a maximum extent and resulted in the deposition of a flat layer rather than the formation of microparticles. A similar flat layer was obtained when paper was coated with a PHB suspension (8.5 w/v % PHB) without non-solvent (Figure 5i and 5j). Based on this, the concentrations of PHB and non-solvents in suspension were optimized for creating structured PHB-MP over the paper surface, with the possibility for obtaining either single fiber deposits or full surface coverage.

The surface hydrophobicity of PHB-MP coated papers (8.5 to 17 w/v % PHB; 7.4 to 23.1 v/v % non-solvents in coating suspensions) was evaluated by static water contact angle measurements, performed on coatings with no wax sizing (inset of Figure 5a, 5c, 5e, 5g) and after a second dip-coating with an additional layer of carnauba wax (inset of Figure 5b, 5d, 5f, 5h). Filter paper coated with neat PHB (8.5 w/v %) without non-solvent were taken as a reference, before and after wax coating respectively (inset of Figure 5i, 5j). The contact angle of neat PHB coated paper is only 70° and comparable with values reported in literature [30]. For the PHB-MP coated paper at low concentrations, a contact angle of 105° was obtained with stability up to 10 seconds, as PHB-MP provides only a partial surface coverage (Figure 5a, inset). A higher and more stable contact angle of 122° was obtained for well-developed particles formed at higher concentrations, which almost cover the full paper surface (Figure 5e, inset). After wax sizing the PHB-MP coated papers, an increase in contact angles is attributed to the hydrophobic action of wax. A reference contact angle value of 112° is the lowest over the neat PHB coating with wax, presenting a flat surface morphology (Figure 5j, inset). The higher contact angles of 129 ° (Figure 5b, inset) and 144° (Figure 5f, inset) were obtained after deposition of wax over the PHB-MP structured coatings. As such, highest contact angles are obtained at highest PHB and non-solvent concentrations, where the individual cellulose fibers are favourably coated with PHB-MP.




Figure 5. Scanning electron microscopy for PHB-MP coated papers from suspensions with different concentrations: (a, b) PHB-MP, with 8.5 w/v % of PHB and 7.4 v/v % non-solvent (c, d) PHB-MP, with 17.0 w/v % of PHB and 7.4 v/v % non-solvent (e, f) PHB-MP, with 17.0 w/v % of PHB and 14.8 v/v % non-solvent (g, h) PHB-MP, with 17.0 w/v % of PHB and 23.1 v/v % non-solvent (i, j) neat PHB, with 8.5 w/v % of PHB without non-solvent. The figures (a, c, e, g, i) are magnified images with scale of 50 µm, insets are water contact angles on coated papers without additional wax coating. The figures (b, d, f, h, j) are images on larger area with scale of 1 mm, insets are water contact angles after application of additional wax layer over the coated papers.

2.2.2. Interactions between PHB-MP and the paper substrate

Based on FTIR spectroscopy, some detailed interactions between PHB-MP and cellulose fibers are illustrated in Figure 6. The spectra for uncoated and PHB-MP coated paper (Figure 6a) were normalized over 1534 cm⁻¹, considered as one of the two fingerprint bands for residual lignin present in the paper in addition to a band at 1734 cm⁻¹ [31, 32]. As such, the lower intensity of the 3421 cm⁻¹ band (O-H stretching in cellulose) for coated paper compared to uncoated paper suggests the interaction between PHB-MP and cellulose fibers through hydrogen bonding. The spectra for PHB-MP coated paper and PHB-MP powder (Figure 6b) were normalized over the 1453 cm⁻¹ band, which is insensitive for changes in crystallinity [28]. The broadening in the wavenumber region 1500 to 1800 cm⁻¹ and formation of a very small band at 1598 cm⁻¹ for PHB-MP coated on paper compared to PHB-MP powder, are observed: these have also been reported earlier in case of transgenic PHB production in plants and represent intrinsic esteric and hydrogen bonding with the cellulose fibers [25]. Therefore, it seems that the bonding between the PHB-MP and fibers of paper substrate can be related to the esteric and hydrogen bond formation. This confirms the favourable interaction and deposition of PHB-MP near the cellulose fibers, as observed in previous micrographs. In addition, the crystallinity index for PHB-MP powder (I1228 / I1443 = 4.5) is higher than for PHB-MP coated paper (I₁₂₂₈ / I₁₄₄₃ = 3.5): the interaction between PHB-MP and cellulose fibers of paper clearly restricts the crystallization of PHB, due to confinement of the polymer chain mobility of PHB-MP in contact with paper substrates.




Figure 6. Cont.




Figure 6. FTIR spectra showing the interactions between PHB-MP and cellulose fibers of the paper substrate, (a) spectra normalized over residual lignin present in the paper, 1534 cm⁻¹ (b) spectra normalized over PHB insensitive crystalline band, 1453 cm⁻¹ for (i) uncoated filter paper (ii) PHB-MP coated paper (iii) PHB-MP powder

2.3. Second approach: Application of PHB-SP as paper coating

2.3.1. Morphological analysis (SEM) and Water contact angle measurements

In a second approach, the PHB-SP were deposited onto paper by dip-coating filter paper samples into the prepared PHB-SP suspension and drying at 103 °C for 30 minutes. Two different particle suspensions with low concentration (5 w/v % PHB-SP) and high concentration (20 w/v % PHB-SP) were used to evaluate the differences in surface coverage on paper. In contrast with the observations for PHB-MP, however, a fully covered paper substrate with a continuous coating layer could not be obtained at low PHB-SP concentrations (Figure 7a), nor at high PHB-SP concentrations (Figure 7b). The differences in crystallinity between PHB-MP and PHB-SP may be reason for reduced interactions between the particles and cellulose fibers (see further paragraph 2.3.2). Interestingly, at higher concentration of PHB-SP suspension, slight improvement in PHB-SP retention can be seen over the paper fibers localized only near the microfibrils protruding from the paper fibers (detailed in Figure 7c). With this observation, the idea of using nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC) as a binder in order to enhance the retention for PHB-SP at the paper surface originated.

In order to enhance the retention of PHB-SP at the paper surface, NFC was added from an aqueous stock solution and mixed into a coating formulation with PHB-SP suspension (5 w/v %). The suspension of PHB-SP/NFC with variable amounts of NFC (1, 2, 5 and 7 wt%) were applied over paper samples by means of dip-coating method and the corresponding SEM images of coated paper surfaces are presented in Figure 7. The addition of a small fraction of NFC (1 wt%) resulted in an

higher retention of PHB-SP on the paper surface (Figure 7d): notably, small concentrations of NFC already enhanced the retention of the more crystalline PHB-SP through favourable interactions (see further paragraph 2.3.2). The further addition of NFC (2 wt%) enhanced the retention of PHB-SP in parallel with filling the inter-fiber pores to a larger extent (Figure 7e). Finally, a coating composition with 7 wt% NFC resulted in a full coverage of the paper surface (Figure 7f). The progressive addition of NFC signifies the importance of NFC as a binding agent and allows to increase the retention of PHB-SP on the paper surface towards the formation of a fully protective coating layer. The higher concentrations of NFC (above 7 wt%) resulted only in a higher coating thickness without having any other beneficial effect (no further increase in contact angle, see below). Therefore, addition of 7 wt% NFC in the PHB-SP coating suspension was estimated as an optimum amount required to retain a large amount of particles at the surface and form a fully covering coating layer, resulting in good functionality.

The surface hydrophobicity of the PHB-SP/NFC coated papers was analyzed by static water contact angles, after the additional dip-coating by plant wax solution (Figure 7, insets). Before wax sizing, however, the hydrophilicity of NFC was predominant for PHB-SP/NFC coated papers (contact angles < 40°). Even though, the hydrophilic NFC network embedding the PHB-SP provides better surface coverage, the presence of NFC masks the hydrophobic character of PHB-SP, and therefore additional wax coating was required. After wax sizing, the contact angles increased with PHB-SP concentration (no NFC) from 112° (Figure 7a, inset) to 119° (Figure 7b, inset); higher contact angles of 125° (Figure 7d, inset), 133° (Figure 7e, inset) and 152° (Figure 7f, inset) were obtained for PHB-SP/NFC coatings with progressively increasing NFC concentrations. Here, the surface hydrophobicity of the coated papers are largely controlled by the high retention of PHB at the paper surface and wax layer on these structures. Furthermore, the formation of wax crystals was not observed in the magnified SEM images (see Supplementary information S1). Therefore, it can be assumed that the wax layer has been deposited as a uniform film.




Figure 7. Scanning electron microscopy for PHB-SP or PHB-SP/NFC coated papers from suspensions with different concentrations PHB-SP and NFC: (a) 5 w/v % PHB-SP, no NFC, (b) 20 w/v % PHB-SP, no NFC, (c) detail of 20 w/v % PHB-SP, no NFC, (d) 5 w/v % PHB-SP, 1 wt% NFC, (e) 5 w/v % PHB-SP, 2 wt% NFC, (f) 5 w/v % PHB-SP, 7 wt% NFC, insets are water contact angles after application of additional wax layer over the coated papers.

2.3.2. Mechanisms of interactions between PHB-SP/NFC and the paper substrate

Considering the higher crystallinity of PHB-SP compared to PHB-MP (see before), the PHB-SP are more inert and resistant to the formation of esteric or hydrogen bonds with cellulose fibers of paper, resulting in reduced adhesive properties (refer to the poor surface coverage). The interactions among the PHB-SP/NFC coating components and the paper substrate can then be mainly explained by two aspects: (1) interaction between PHB-SP and NFC components in the coating suspension, and (2) interaction of suspended PHB-SP and NFC with cellulose fibers of paper substrate.

The interaction between PHB-SP and NFC in the coating suspension can be understood from the results obtained in the previous sections (Figure 7), where NFC enhanced the retention of PHB-SP at the paper surface, thereby acting like a binding agent. This interaction mechanism lies in the geometrical entrapment of the PHB-SP within the very fine fibrillar network of NFC: similar observations have been reported while using NFC for enhanced retention of precipitated calcium carbonate nanoparticles over the paper [33]. This mechanism can be further evidenced by the observations in Figure 8a, after leaving the coated paper (5 w/v % PHB, 7 wt% NFC) under a strong electron beam of the SEM for 5 minutes: the radiation resulted into melting of the PHB-SP and hence clearly revealed the dense NFC fibrillar network covered with PHB-SP.

The interaction of the PHB-SP/NFC coating suspension with the paper fibers can be ideally realized through the chemical interaction between NFC and the paper fibers: the latter is favoured because both NFC and cellulose fibers are chemically similar (having surface O-H groups), whereas, PHB-SP is rather physically adhered over the paper fibers through entanglement in the NFC network. The very strong attractive forces between NFC and paper fibers occur through hydrogen bonding and Van der Waals forces. In addition to the surface chemistry, the high surface area and aspect ratio of NFC further promote the intimate contact between NFC and the paper fibers. The strong interaction of NFC with the paper fibers may assist the good retention of PHB-SP on single paper fibers as shown in Figure 8b, after diluting the coating suspension (5 w/v % PHB-SP, 7 wt% NFC) by adding 4 ml of extra distilled water: as such, the PHB-SP deposits on single paper fibers can be realized and adhesive properties are mediated by the presence of NFC.




Figure 8. Scanning electron micrographs of filter paper dip-coated with PHB-SP/NFC suspension having 7 wt% NFC, showing (a) dense NFC network retaining PHB-SP, when placed under strong X-rays for 5 minutes, and (b) retention of PHB-SP over the single fiber of paper after diluting the coating suspension (added 4 ml distilled water).

2.4. Coating weight and coating thickness

After dip-coating paper samples as detailed in the experimental section, the coating weight for a one-side coated paper was calculated accordingly after the first dip-coating (i.e., PHB particles over paper), and second dip-coating (i.e., wax). The net coating weight per unit surface area, net coating thickness, and corresponding water contact angles before and after wax coating, are summarized in

14 of 19

Table 1. The consumption of wax (wax coating weight) applied to the various PHB-coated papers under same application conditions, differs and depends on the coating type. The higher wax coating weight on filter paper (2.5 mg) in comparison with the filter paper coated prior with neat PHB (2.2 mg), indicates the migration of wax inside the paper web in absence of a continuous thick layer of PHB. Otherwise, more wax is consumed for PHB-MP coated paper (2.8 mg) than PHB-coated paper (2.2 mg), due to the combined effect of wax migration and presence of particles that increase the total surface area to be covered with wax. In case of PHB-MP coated paper with higher concentrations of PHB (see Figure 5e, 5f), a thicker porous coating layer (thickness = $40 \mu m$) providing almost full surface coverage to the paper surface, could retain a higher amount of wax (3.2 mg) inside the porous coating structure. Similarly, for PHB-SP/NFC coated papers, a gradual increase in wax consumption (2.8 to 5.5 mg) was measured with increasing net coating thickness (15 to 50 µm), in parallel with the higher NFC concentrations (0 to 7 wt%). The latter can most likely also be attributed to an increase in thickness of the porous coating layer and hence, a higher uptake of wax. In addition, a gradual increase in PHB-SP/NFC coating weight (1 to 10 mg) with the increase in NFC concentrations (0 to 7 wt%) can be attributed to the binding effect of NFC for PHB-SP, as discussed previously.

In summary, the water contact angles on different coating types are also included in Table 1, as they were discussed throughout this paper. It is important to note that the paper with neat PHB consumes maximum amount of coating material as indicated by highest net coat weight (39.8 g/m²) and thickness (65 μ m), but provides the lowest contact angles. Otherwise, the coatings with micro- to nanosized structured PHB particles reduce coating weight and use of raw materials while providing higher surface hydrophobicity. Therefore, a critical selection of bio-based ingredients in the coating formulation and good control over their presentation at the paper surface may favourably enhance the surface hydrophobicity, which might be an interesting route for future applications as protective coatings for packaging papers.

Table 1. One-sided coating weight and thickness for PHB-MP and PHB-SP/NFC coated papers, along with the summary of corresponding contact angle measurements.

Coating type	PHB coating weight, mg	Wax coating weight, mg	Total coating weight, mg	Net coat weight, g/m²	Net Coating thickness, μm	Contact angle before wax coating, °	Contact angle after wax coating, °
No coating (filter paper)	-	2.5 ± 0.1	2.5 ± 0.1	4.2 ± 0.2	5 ± 0.5	< 40*	106 ± 2
Neat PHB	21.7 ± 1.2	2.2 ± 0.1	23.9 ± 1.2	39.8 ± 2.0	65 ± 1	70 ± 1	112 ± 1
PHB-MP 1	6.1 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.2	8.8 ± 0.4	14.7 ± 0.6	25 ± 2	105 ± 4	129 ± 3
PHB-MP 2	14.2 ± 0.4	3.2 ± 0.3	17.2 ± 0.5	28.7 ± 0.8	40 ± 2	122 ± 2	144 ± 2
PHB-SP/NFC, 0 %	1.0 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.1	6.3 ± 0.2	15 ± 1	< 40*	112 ± 2
PHB-SP/NFC, 1 %	3.5 ± 0.3	3.0 ± 0.1	6.5 ± 0.3	10.3 ± 0.5	20 ± 2	< 40*	125 ± 3
PHB-SP/NFC, 2 %	5.5 ± 0.2	4.1 ± 0.4	9.5 ± 0.4	15.8 ± 0.7	25 ± 3	< 40*	133 ± 4
PHB-SP/NFC, 5 %	8.5 ± 0.5	5.2 ± 0.3	13.5 ± 0.6	22.5 ± 1.0	35 ± 1	< 40*	142 ± 2
PHB-SP/NFC_CP, 7 %	10.0 ± 0.6	5.5 ± 0.4	15.5 ± 0.7	25.8 ± 1.2	50 ± 1	< 40*	152 ± 1

Note: PHB-MP 1 = low concentration of PHB (8.5 w/v %) and non-solvent (7.4 v/v %); PHB-MP 2 = high concentration of PHB (17.0 w/v %) and non-solvent (14.8 v/v %); *Contact angles $< 40^{\circ}$ are not stable and water sucks immediately into substrate due to hydrophilicity

15 of 19

3. Experimental Section

3.1. Materials

The neat PHB pellets were purchased from Metabolix GmbH (Köln, Germany) having a trade name of Mirel M2100 with the molecular weight $Mw = 3.7 \times 10^5 \, \text{g mol}^{-1}$ and polydispersity (M_w/M_n) in between 1.60 to 1.85. A nanofibrillated cellulose suspension (NFC, E167, 2% consistency) was obtained from VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland (Espoo, Finland). Whatman grade 4 qualitative filter paper (diameter of 90 mm, thickness 90 µm, grammage of 92 g/m²) was used as the paper substrate. The filter paper samples were cut at dimensions of 5 x 1.2 cm² and conditioned at 60°C for 12 hours before use. The carnauba wax was obtained from Basin, N.V (Wingene, Belgium). Dimethylformamide (DMF, 94 %), chloroform (CF, 99 %), tetrahydrofuran (THF, 99.9 %) and polyvinylalcohol (PVA, 87-90 % hydrolyzed) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Chemical Ltd (München, Germany).

3.2. Synthesis of PHB microparticles (PHB-MP) and submicron particles (PHB-SP) and deposition as paper coating

The PHB-MP were prepared by dissolving the neat PHB pellets in DMF (8.5 w/v %) at 150°C for 10 minutes under continuous stirring. An amount of 400 μl absolute ethanol and distilled water (9:1) mixture was subsequently charged into 5 ml PHB-DMF solution under continuous stirring for 1 minute. The resulting suspension was oven dried at 70 °C for 5 hours to facilitate the solvent evaporation leading to formation of structured PHB-MP powder.

The PHB-SP were prepared according to a previously established oil-in-water (o/w) emulsion solvent evaporation method, with slight modifications [23]. Briefly, the neat PHB pellets were dissolved in chloroform (5 w/v %) and emulsified in distilled water containing PVA (0.1 w/v %) for 15 minutes, using an ultrasonicater UW2200 (Bandelin Electronic, Berlin, Germany). The obtained emulsion was fed into a rotary evaporator (Heidolph, Schwabach, Germany) for solvent evaporation at 200 mbar pressure and 40 °C for 2 hours. The PHB-SP were collected by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes (F15 6X100YMultifuge, Thermo Scientific, MA, USA), washed several times with distilled water to remove PVA and finally stored after freeze-drying (ALPHA 12 LD plus freeze dryer, Martin Christ, Osterode am Harz, Germany). For preparation the coating formulations, the dried PHB-SP were mixed with an aqueous suspension of nanofibrillated cellulose or NFC (prepared as a 0.4 wt% stock suspension) by ultrasonication in variable concentration ratios (PHB-SP/NFC = 99:1, 98:2, 95:5 and 93:7 wt% on dry basis), and adjusted accordingly with distilled water to obtain a total of 10 ml coating slurry, as shown in Figure 9. As such, 500 mg of PHB-SP powder was mixed with variable amounts of NFC (5, 10, 25, and 40 mg on dry basis) to obtain the mentioned PHB-SP/NFC weight fractions in the coating suspension. As a reference coating formulation and to demonstrate the advantageous effect of NFC, two PHB-SP coating suspensions without NFC were prepared by dispersion 500 and 2000 mg of PHB-SP in 10 ml of distilled water, corresponding to 5 and 20 w/v % PHB-SP suspensions, respectively.

For preparing PHB-MP coated papers, the filter paper samples were dip-coated in the suspension of PHB-DMF/ethanol-water and dried at 70 °C for 5 hours. For preparing PHB-SP coated papers, the filter paper samples were dip-coated into different coating suspensions of either PHB-SP or PHB-SP/NFC, and cured at 103 °C for 30 minutes to evaporate water. Finally, the PHB-MP and PHB-SP coated papers were sized by dip-coating in a solution of plant wax in THF solution (6.6 w/v %) and cured again at 103 °C for 15 minutes to evaporate the solvent.




Figure 9. Scheme for preparing PHB-SP/NFC coating slurry used for paper coatings.

3.3. Characterization of PHB microparticles (PHB-MP) and submicron particles (PHB-SP) and coated papers

The morphologies of PHB-MP, PHB-SP in powder form and the corresponding coated paper surfaces were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), using a tabletop microscope TM 3000 (Hitachi, Krefeld, Germany). Although the technique allows researchers to work with samples without a sputtered gold film due to regulation of the vacuum, better results were obtained after deposition of a thin gold layer over the sample. The diameters of the particles were obtained by measuring the diameters of 100 random particles and were reported as an average value with standard deviation, along with the range of particles diameter.

The thermal properties of PHB-MP and PHB-SP were determined by thermogravimetric analysis or TGA using a Pyris1 equipment (PerkinElmer, Rodgau, Germany) and differential scanning calorimetry or DSC using a DSC 8500 equipment (PerkinElmer, Rodgau, Germany). For TGA, sample weights of 5 mg were heated from 50 to 400 °C at the rate of 10 °C/min in a flowing nitrogen atmosphere. For DSC, each sample weight of 5 mg was heated from -40 to 200 °C at the rate of 10 °C/min.

The chemical composition of PHB-MP, PHB-SP and a paper coating was determined by attenuated total reflection Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy or ATR-FTIR on a diamond/ZnSe crystal (9 bounces) using a Spectrum 65 equipment (Perkin Elmer, Rodgau, Germany), collecting spectra between 4000 to 650 cm⁻¹ wavelengths with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and averaged over 32 scans.

The topography of pure NFC pulps at nanoscale was studied with tapping-mode atomic force microscopy (AFM), using Nanoscope III with a tube scanner from Digital Instruments (Veeco, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) and silicon tips with stiffness k = 50 N/m and resonant frequency of 360 kHz (PPP-NCH, Nanoandmore, Wetzlar, Germany).

The coating weights over the filter paper samples of dimensions 5 x 1.2 cm² (surface area 6 cm²) were determined by subtracting the coated paper weight by the uncoated weight of filter papers (after conditioned at 60 °C for 12 hours). After depositing the PHB-MP or PHB-SP/NFC coating

17 of 19

slurry, the final weights of the coated papers were determined only after curing at 103 °C for 30 minutes to evaporate water. This weight was then subtracted from the weight of uncoated paper to obtain the two-sided coating weight, which was further reduced to half to obtain one-sided coating weight of the PHB-MP or PHB-SP layer obtained after the first dip-coating step. Similarly, the one-sided coating weights of an additional wax layer applied during a second dip-coating step were determined. Finally, the net coat weight per unit surface area of coated papers was determined by dividing the total coating weight (PHB/NFC + wax, in mg) to 6 cm² and reporting the final values in g/m².

The thickness of the coated papers was measured with a digital calliper at five different places per sample, and reported as average values with statistical standard deviation. The coating thickness was then deduced after subtracting it with thickness of uncoated paper to have two-sided coating thickness that was further reduced to half to obtain one-sided coating thickness over the coated papers.

The hydrophobicity of the coated papers was determined by static contact angles of deionised water measured on a Digidrop equipment (GBX, Romans sur Isere, France) by placing a droplet volume of 4 μ L over 60 s and fitting its geometry with a tangent fitting procedure. The measurements were repeated 3 times per sample and reported as average values with statistical standard deviation.

4. Conclusions

Two approaches were developed to fabricate the fully bio-based paper coatings with enhanced surface hydrophobicity, where polyhydroxybutyrate structured microparticles (PHB-MP) and sub-micron particles (PHB-SP) of were first synthesized and deposited over filter papers as coating pigments, and later additionally sized by plant wax solution through facile dip-coating method.

In a first approach, the synthesis of PHB-MP resulted in the formation of internally structured particles with relatively low crystallinity. Due to the favorable interactions with paper fibers, the PHB-MP were preferentially deposited onto the paper fibers: after drying, interactions between PHB-MP and cellulose fibers were observed through hydrogen bonding and esterification reactions. Depending on the concentrations of PHB and non-solvents, paper coatings with either deposition onto single fibers (low concentrations) or full surface coverage (higher concentrations) can be obtained. An increase was seen in the intrinsic hydrophobicity for PHB-MP coated papers with static contact angles of 105° to 122°. An additional wax coating over PHB-MP coated paper resulted further increase in contact angles from 129° to 144°. As such, highest contact angles are obtained at highest PHB and non-solvent concentrations, where the individual cellulose fibers are favourably coated with PHB-MP.

In second approach, PHB-SP were synthesized with higher crystallinity and consequently smaller affinity with the paper surface was noticed. Therefore, a full surface coverage could not be obtained by increasing the particle concentrations in the coating suspension. As such, the addition of NFC into the coating suspension was crucial in enhancing the retention of the PHB-SP particles at the paper surface, up to a maximum content of 7 wt% NFC that was required for completely and homogenously covering the paper surface. An additional wax coating over the PHB-SP/NFC coated paper provides contact angles of 112° to 152° with progressive increase in NFC concentrations. As such, the hydrophobicity and morphology of coatings was highly dependent on NFC as a binding agent, causing entrapment of PHB particles in the fibrillar network and anchoring to the paper fibers.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/link.

Acknowledgments: This work was financially sponsored by the Junioprofessorenprogram Baden-Württemberg ("NaCoPa"-project 2012–2015) and the Robert Bosch Foundation in the framework of the Juniorprofessorenprogram "Sustainable use of Natural Materials" ("Foresnab"-project 2011–2016).

18 of 19

Author Contributions: V.K.R initiated the research concept, performed experiments and data analysis, and contributed to manuscript writing. P.S. contributed to manuscript writing and supervised the work.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

PHB: Polyhydroxybutyrate

NFC: Nanofibrillated cellulose fibers

PHB-MP: Polyhydroxybutyrate microparticles

PHB-SP: Polyhydroxybutyrate submicron particles

DMF: Dimethylformamide

THF: Tetrahydrofuran

PVA: Polyvinylalcohol

TGA: Thermogravimetric analysis

DSC: Differential scanning calorimetry

SEM: Scanning electron microscopy

FTIR: Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy

AFM: Atomic force microscopy

X_c,%: Degree of crystallinity

References

- 1. Koch, K.; Barthlott, W. Superhydrophobic and superhydrophilic plant surfaces: an inspiration for biomimetic materials. *Philos. Trans. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **2009**, 367, 1487–1509.
- 2. Genzer, J.; Marmur, A. Biological and Synthetic Self-Cleaning Surfaces. MRS Bull. 2008, 33, 742–746.
- 3. Nosonovsky, M.; Bhushan, B. Multiscale friction mechanisms and hierarchical surfaces in nano- and bio-tribology. *Mater. Sci. Eng. R* **2007**, *58*, 162–193.
- 4. Mukhopadhyay, S.M.; Joshi, P.; Datta, S.; Zhao, J.G.; France, P. Plasma assisted hydrophobic coatings on porous materials: influence of plasma parameters. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, **2002**, *35*, 1927–1933.
- 5. Favia, P.; Cicala, G.; Milella, A.; Palumbo, F.; Rossini, P.; d'Agostino, R. Deposition of super-hydrophobic fluorocarbon coatings in modulated RF glow discharges. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2003**, *169-170*, 609–612.
- 6. Vaswani, S.; Koskinen, J.; Hess, D.W. Surface modification of paper and cellulose by plasma-assisted deposition of fluorocarbon films. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2005**, *195*, 121–129.
- 7. Yoshimitsu, Z.; Nakajima, A.; Watanabe, T.; Hashimoto, K. Effects of surface structure on the hydrophobicity and sliding behavior of water droplets. *Langmuir* **2002**, *18*, 5818–5822.
- 8. Ma, M.; Hill, R.M. Superhydrophobic surfaces. Curr. Op. Coll. Interface Sci. 2006, 11, 193–202.
- 9. Callies, M.; Chen, Y.; Marty, F.; Pépin, A.; Quéré, D. Microfabricated textured surfaces for super-hydrophobicity investigations. *Microelectronic Eng.* **2005**, 78-79, 100–105.
- 10. Hikita, M.; Tanaka, K.; Nakamura, T.; Kajiyama, T.; Takahara, A. Super-liquid-repellent surfaces prepared by colloidal silica nanoparticles covered with fluoroalkyl groups. *Langmuir* **2005**, *21*, 7299–7302.
- 11. Shi, F.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, X. Combining a layer-by-layer assembling technique with electrochemical deposition of gold aggregates to mimic the legs of water striders. *Adv. Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 1005–1009.
- 12. Ogihara, H.; Xie, J.; Saji, T. Factors determining wettability of superhydrophobic paper prepared by spraying nanoparticle suspensions. *Colloids Surf. A* **2013**, *434*, 35–41.
- 13. Li, S.; Wei, Y.; Huang, J. Facile Fabrication of Superhydrophobic Cellulose Materials by a Nanocoating Approach. *Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *39*, 20–21.
- 14. Samyn, P.; Schoukens, G.; Van den Abbeele, H.; Vonck, L.; Stanssens, D. Application of polymer nanoparticle coating for tuning the hydrophobicity of cellulosic substrates. *J. Coat. Technol. Res.* **2011**, *8*, 363–373.
- 15. Stanssens, D.; Van den Abbeele, Henk; Vonck, L.; Schoukens, G.; Deconinck, M.; Samyn, P. Creating water-repellent and super-hydrophobic cellulose substrates by deposition of organic nanoparticles. *Mater. Lett.* **2011**, *65*, 1781–1784.

- 16. Mertaniemi, H.; Laukkanen, A.; Teirfolk, J.-E.; Ikkala, O.; Ras, Robin H. A. Functionalized porous microparticles of nanofibrillated cellulose for biomimetic hierarchically structured superhydrophobic surfaces. *RSC Adv.* **2012**, *2*, 2882.
- 17. Geissler, A.; Loyal, F.; Biesalski, M.; Zhang, K. Thermo-responsive superhydrophobic paper using nanostructured cellulose stearoyl ester. *Cellulose* **2014**, *21*, 357–366.
- 18. Obeso, C.G.; Sousa, M.P.; Song, W.; Rodriguez-Pérez, M.A.; Bhushan, B.; Mano, J.F. Modification of paper using polyhydroxybutyrate to obtain biomimetic superhydrophobic substrates. *Colloids Surf. A* **2013**, 416, 51–55.
- 19. Cyras, V.P.; Commisso, M.S.; Mauri, A.N.; Vázquez, A. Biodegradable double-layer films based on biological resources: Polyhydroxybutyrate and cellulose. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2007**, *106*, 749–756.
- 20. Fukuzumi, H.; Saito, T.; Iwata, T.; Kumamoto, Y.; Isogai, A. Transparent and high gas barrier films of cellulose nanofibers prepared by TEMPO-mediated oxidation. *Biomacromolecules* **2009**, *10*, 162–165.
- 21. Hult, E.-L.; Iotti, M.; Lenes, M. Efficient approach to high barrier packaging using microfibrillar cellulose and shellac. *Cellulose* **2010**, *17*, 575–586.
- 22. Koller, M. Poly(hydroxyalkanoates) for Food Packaging: Application and Attempts towards Implementation. *Appl. Food. Biotechnol.* **2014**, 1(1), 3-15.
- 23. Horowitz, D.M.; Sanders, J.K.M. Amorphous, biomimetic granules of polyhydroxybutyrate: Preparation, characterization, and biological implications. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 2695–2702.
- 24. Botana, A.; Mollo, M.; Eisenberg, P.; Torres Sanchez, R.M. Effect of modified montmorillonite on biodegradable PHB nanocomposites. *Appl. Clay Sci.* **2010**, 47, 263–270.
- 25. Wróbel-Kwiatkowska, M.; Zuk, M.; Szopa, J.; Dymińska, L.; Maczka, M.; Hanuza, J. Poly-3-hydroxy butyric acid interaction with the transgenic flax fibers: FT-IR and Raman spectra of the composite extracted from a GM flax. *Spectrochim. Acta Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2009**, *73*, 286–294.
- 26. Chan, Rodman T. H.; Garvey, C.J.; Marçal, H.; Russell, R.A.; Holden, P.J.; Foster, L. John R. Manipulation of Polyhydroxybutyrate Properties through Blending with Ethyl-Cellulose for a Composite Biomaterial. *Int. J. Polym. Sci.* **2011**, 2011, 1–8.
- 27. Xu, J.; Guo, B.-H.; Yang, R.; Wu, Q.; Chen, G.-Q.; Zhang, Z.-M. In situ FTIR study on melting and crystallization of polyhydroxyalkanoates. *Polymer* **2002**, *43*, 6893–6899.
- 28. Peppas, N. A. Tear propagation resistance of semicrystalline polymeric networks. *Polymer* **1977**, *18*(2), 403-407.
- 29. Bugnicourt, E. Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA): Review of synthesis, characteristics, processing and potential applications in packaging. *expresspolymlett* **2014**, *8* (11), 791–808.
- 30. Alemdar, A.; Sain, M. Isolation and characterization of nanofibers from agricultural residues Wheat straw and soy hulls. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2008**, 99 (6), 1664–1671.
- 31. Sun, X.; Xu, F.; Sun, R.; Fowler, P.; Baird, M. Characteristics of degraded cellulose obtained from steam-exploded wheat straw. *Carbohydr. Res.* **2005**, 340 (1), 97–106.
- 32. Manangan, T; Shawaphun, S. PHB and PLA Coated Bagasse Paper for Biodegradable Food Packaging. *J. KMUTNB*. **2010**, 20 (2), 215–223.
- 33. Arbatan, T.; Zhang, L.; Fang, X.-Y.; Shen, W. Cellulose nanofibers as binder for fabrication of superhydrophobic paper. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2012**, *210*, 74–79.



© 2016 by the authors; licensee *Preprints*, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons by Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).