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Abstract: Volcanic activity essentially consists of the transfer of heat from the Earth's interior to the surface. The precise signature of this heat transfer relates directly to the processes underway at and within a particular volcano and this can be observed, at a safe distance, remotely, using infrared sensors that are present on Earth-orbiting satellites. For over 50 years, scientists have perfected this art using sensors intended for other purposes, and they are now in a position to determine the particular sort of activity that characterizes different volcanoes. This review will describe the theoretical basis of the discipline and then discuss the sensors available for the task and the history of their use. Challenges and opportunities for future development in the discipline are then discussed.
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1. Introduction

Remote sensing is the observation of an object without requiring contact with the object being observed. It is something our eyes do all the time and humans have developed specialist equipment for this purpose, ranging from the simple camera which detects reflected electromagnetic radiation (in this case, from the visible portion of the spectrum) to complex sensors which detect electromagnetic radiation in other portions of the electromagnetic spectrum, including in the infrared. The main difference between infrared remote sensing and remote sensing in the visual portion of the spectrum is that infrared imaging detects the electromagnetic emissions of an object itself, which are a function of an object's temperature and emissivity, as opposed to detecting electromagnetic radiation which has simply been reflected from an object's surface.

Observations in the infrared region of the spectrum are particularly useful when examining volcanic activity because volcanic activity intrinsically consists of the transfer of heat from within the Earth to the surface, and observations of this heat often relate directly to the activity of a volcano at a specific time. The activity observed, and expressed in the thermal signature, might be from a fresh lava flow or active lava lake or may be more subtle, such as from a degassing surface or warmed crater-lake. Understanding what is going on at a volcanic site using such data is clearly of use in determining a volcano's current status and as such, in predicting what might happen next; making such observations remotely and at a safe distance, is also clearly advantageous.

This review will firstly explain some of the theoretical concepts and considerations that must be taken into account when it comes to the infrared remote sensing of volcanic activity. It will then present the sensors used for the purpose and discuss the history of the discipline. The prospects, opportunities, and even threats, for the discipline in the coming decades will then be highlighted.

2. Infrared remote sensing: theory

All objects above 0 K (absolute zero) emit electromagnetic radiation, but the quantity and wavelength of these emissions depend on the temperature of the emitting object. According to the Stefan Boltzmann Law 23, the energy radiated by an object is directly proportional to the forth power
of the object’s actual temperature. In simple terms, this translates as: the hotter an object, the greater the total energy it will radiate, and this applies to all wavelengths of emission (Fig 1). According to Wien’s Displacement Law 4, the peak wavelength of spectral radiant emissions also becomes shorter with increasing temperature, and this is also observable in Figure 1.

The energy radiated from an object, otherwise termed radiant flux, is quantified in terms of radiance per unit of wavelength, and is referred to as spectral radiance. The spectral radiance, \( L_\lambda(T) \), (in units of \( W \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \mu\text{m}^{-1} \)), emitted by a blackbody at a particular temperature \( T \) and wavelength \( \lambda \), can be calculated using the Planck Function 5 below, and as represented again in Figure 1:

\[
L_\lambda(T) = \frac{C_1}{\lambda^5 \left( \exp \left( \frac{C_2}{\lambda T} \right) - 1 \right)},
\]

where: \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) = constants of \( 1.19 \times 10^{-16} \) and \( 1.44 \times 10^{-2} \) m K, respectively. 7

**Figure 1.** Relationship between spectral radiant emissions (in terms of quantity and wavelength) and temperature of emitting surface, thus representing the Planck Function and both the Stefan Boltzmann and Wien’s Displacement Laws. The definition of different portions of the infrared spectrum is also depicted (VNIR: visual and near infrared, SWIR: short-wave infrared, MIR: mid-infrared and TIR: thermal infrared).

The temperature of volcanic features varies widely. Fresh lava may be between 1073 K and 1273 K, although the temperature of lava lakes has been measured at up to 1473 K, while cooler surfaces may reach 673 K 6, 7, 8. At these temperatures, the main radiant emissions from active volcanic surfaces are in the thermal infrared (TIR), middle infrared (MIR) and shortwave infrared (SWIR) (see Figure 1) and fortunately, a number of satellites have sensors for observing such emissions, although often these have been included for purposes far removed from volcanic observation (Table 1). One complication however, is that surfaces of ambient temperature also have detectable radiant emissions in the MIR and TIR, both in the daytime and nighttime, effectively polluting the radiant signals from thermally anomalous surfaces and thus, requiring removal; SWIR radiation in contrast, is only emitted in detectable quantities from very hot surfaces (e.g. fires and incandescent or cooling lava) and as such, at night, only these will be visible, negating any removal steps, although in daytime imagery, solar reflection acts as a SWIR pollutant and as such, needs to be removed.
Table 1. Characteristics of the main sensors used for the infrared remote sensing of volcanoes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AATSR on-board the ESA European Remote Sensing Satellites</td>
<td>7 bands: VNIR-MIR-TIR Resolution: 1 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALI on-board the ESA Envisat</td>
<td>9 bands: VNIR-SWIR Resolution: 30 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASTER on-board NASA Terra</td>
<td>14 bands: VNIR-SWIR-TIR Resolution: 15, 30 and 90 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATSR-1, ATSR-2 on-board the ESA European Remote Sensing Satellites</td>
<td>4 bands: SWIR-MIR-TIR Resolution: 1 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVHRR on-board the NASA EO-1 satellite</td>
<td>5 bands: VNIR-SWIR-MIR-TIR Resolution: 1.1 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETM+ on-board NASA Landsat 7 satellite</td>
<td>8 bands: VNIR-SWIR-TIR Resolution: 15, 30 and 60 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imager on-board the NASA GOES</td>
<td>5 bands: VNIR-MIR-TIR Resolutions: 1-4 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperion on-board the NASA EO-1 satellite</td>
<td>220 bands: VNIR-SWIR Resolution: 30 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVIRI on-board the ESA Meteosat</td>
<td>3 bands: VIS-TIR Resolutions: 2.5-5 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS on-board NASA Terra and Aqua</td>
<td>32 bands: VNIR-MIR-TIR Resolutions: 250, 500 and 1000 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLI on-board NASA Landsat-8 satellite</td>
<td>11 bands: SWIR-TIR Resolutions: 15-100 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-NPP on-board NASA S-NPP satellite</td>
<td>22 bands: VNIR-SWIR-TIR Resolutions: 375-750 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Early satellites such as the National Aeronautics and Space Administration’s (NASA’s) Television Infrared Observation Satellite (TIROS) series, launched throughout the 1960s, possessed thermal infrared Earth-observation capabilities for meteorological observations, with clouds being cold relative to Earth’s surface, thereby allowing a synoptic view of large scale weather systems. Since this period (a detailed summary is provided later), infrared observations have remained crucial for meteorological purposes while at the same time, providing an excellent means of observing terrestrial ‘hot phenomena’ from wild fires to active volcanoes. Some examples of using orbiting infrared sensors for this purpose include 9, in which it is shown that subtle precursory thermal signals could be detected in the thermal infrared prior to the 2006 eruption of Bezymianny Volcano, Russia and 10, which also showed how TIR observations of Bezymianny could be used to monitor the volcano’s changing state during a later eruption. More recently, 11 used satellite-retrieved TIR observations, augmented by ground-based observations, to isolate precursory signals, and a subsequent eruption, at Redoubt, Alaska and 12 demonstrate the utility of satellite TIR observations for determining lava extrusion rates, and discriminating different lava dome activity, at Merapi volcano, Indonesia. Similarly, a number of satellite sensors have MIR detection capabilities which have been shown to be useful in monitoring both thermally anomalous surfaces and, also, those at a more ambient temperature. 13 and 14 for example, utilised MIR bands to quantify the thermal signals from a number of volcanoes, and used the same data to monitor the variability in heat flux from the lava lake at Mount Erebus, respectively, while 15 demonstrates a volcanic activity detection system which harnesses MIR signals detected remotely, by satellite sensors.

Some satellite sensors have also been endowed with SWIR detection capabilities, in large part, because SWIR data can be used in land surface reflectance studies (e.g. 16); in fact, the SWIR bands on National Aeronautics and Space Administration’s Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and
Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) were chosen specifically for the absorption features of various minerals and clays. However, such bands are also useful in monitoring particularly hot surfaces, especially at night, and it is unfortunate that the SWIR bands of ASTER, commonly used for such purposes, began to malfunction in 2008, although the opportunity to investigate the volcanic-application of a newer NASA satellite, Landsat-8, SWIR bands, remains unexplored.

In the absence of sunlight, any signal recorded from space in the SWIR from a terrestrial hot surface, will be purely a product of that surface and its temperature; in contrast, the MIR and TIR emissions of ambient terrestrial surfaces will always need to be removed from day and night imagery if accurate emissions quantifications are required. The advantage that MIR and TIR observations have over SWIR observations however, is that they will also detect cooler surfaces, thereby negating the requirement of incandescent or fresh lava to be present, thus allowing a greater range of volcanic activity to be monitored.

3. Specific consideration in infrared remote sensing

When it comes to infrared remote sensing of volcanic activity, as indeed with the remote sensing of any particular phenomena, there are a number of specific considerations that must be taken into account if the imagery acquired is to be appropriately interpreted and analysed; these are now examined.

Spatial resolution. This term relates to the smallest spatial unit of data that a sensor can collect and, in terms of remote sensing, it relates to the area on Earth’s surface that is imaged by one pixel. It is to some extent, a function of the altitude of the sensor, with the area imaged by one pixel being larger the further the sensor is from the surface. Another influence on the pixel size however, is the type of data being collected. Readers will be familiar with Google Earth imagery of Earth’s surface which is high in spatial resolution, allowing many small features on Earth’s surface to be identified. Unlike such remote sensing in the visual bands however, which may have a sub-metre resolution, the spatial resolution of infrared imagery is relatively coarse, with the highest resolution to date being 30 m (for the SWIR bands of NASA’s ASTER and Landsat-8 sensors, for example) and with even coarser resolution for TIR bands (e.g. 1000m for MODIS). The reason for this relates to one physical law: the Planck–Einstein relation, which states that the energy of a particular photon is inversely proportional to its wavelength. As such, longer wavelengths, such as those in the infrared region of the spectrum, are associated with less energy than shorter, visual, wavelengths. This means that the imaging element, the pixel sensor, must be larger for the detection of infrared signals so as to ensure that an appropriate amount of energy is received for detection, as compared to shorter wavelength detectors. The significance of this is that the area imaged by one MODIS TIR pixel for example, is rather large (1000 m x 1000 m) and when imaging an active lava-flow, such a pixel will be detecting radiance from various components (i.e. hot-incandescent and cooling lava-flows, and non-volcanic surfaces). As the radiance detected within one pixel is an average of the whole area imaged, with signals from sub-pixel components combined into a one ‘pixel-integrated’ value, the higher the spatial resolution of a sensor (i.e. the smaller the pixel size), the higher the level of accuracy and detail that will be discernible.
Figure 2. Infrared imagery of Palu’e Island, Indonesia, which consists of the Paluweh volcano. a: Landsat-8 imagery, with a spatial resolution of 30 m, captured 0200 UTC on 29 April 2013; b: MODIS imagery (Calibrated Earth View data), with a spatial resolution of 1000 m, captured 0210 UTC 30 April 2013.

*Temporal resolution.* The temporal resolution of remotely sensed data refers to the regularity with which a particular point on Earth’s surface can be imaged by the sensor and this, and the spatial and temporal resolution of spaceborne remote sensing devices are, in practice, inversely related 23. The reason for this is that the higher the spatial resolution of a sensor, the smaller its pixels and, therefore, the narrower its swath will be, where the swath is the width of the area on Earth’s surface that a sensor scans on overpass (Figure 3). As a narrower swath views less of Earth’s surface at any one time, it cannot gain imagery of the entire surface so regularly, hence reducing its temporal resolution. The swath width of the Operational Land Imager of the NASA Landsat-8 satellite, for example, is 185 km, with a pixel size of 30 m, resulting in a temporal resolution of 16 days; NASA’s Moderate-resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) in contrast, has a swath width of 2330 km and pixel size of 1 km, resulting in a twice daily temporal resolution.

*Spectral resolution.* This term relates to the capacity of a sensor to distinguish different parts of the electromagnetic spectrum and in many ways, is inversely related to the spatial and temporal resolution (Figure 4), with the dictating factor often being the data transfer rate. NASA’s Hyspi for example, a sensor due for launch in the next few years, will generate up to 1 GB of data per second of imaging, due to possessing 172 bands in the 380–2500 nm region of the spectrum, all of which will be broadcast to the surface 24, 25. Such large data requirements have necessitated its design with a smaller swath width (150 km for the SWIR bands) and hence, lower data producing capacity and reduced temporal resolution. In contrast, the Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) of NASA’s already flying Suomi National Polar-Orbiting Partnership satellite, acquires a maximum of 10.5 MB of data per second and given this, and the fact that it has fewer bands, meant it could be designed with a wider swath (3060 km) and hence, enhanced temporal resolution (daily).
**Figure 3.** Representation of the swath width of an Earth Observing satellite sensor.

**Figure 4.** The trade-off, and inverse relationship, between spatial, spectral and temporal resolution, when it comes to satellite Earth Observation imagery.

*Orbit.* In general, there are two types of Earth-orbit with regard to satellites used for volcanic remote sensing: geostationary and sun-synchronous. Geostationary satellites, such as NASA’s Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) and the European Space Agency’s (ESA) ESA’s Meteosat, orbit the Earth at the Equator at the same speed as Earth’s rotation and at an altitude of approximately 35,000 km. By matching Earth’s orbital speed, geostationary satellites maintain the same view of Earth’s surface at all times and being so high, provide the means of very regular (every 15-30 minutes), near-hemispheric, observations. Sun-synchronous satellites in contrast, and as the same suggests, are synchronised with the sun, orbiting the Earth at a much lower altitude (approximately 705 km) and from pole to pole, in such a way that they overpass the Equator at the same local solar time but different longitude, on each orbit. The temporal resolution of such sensors is swath-width dependent, ranging from one day (for MODIS, with a swath width of 2330 km) to sixteen (for Landsat-8, with a swath width of 185 km).

*Atmospheric effects.* In terms of remote sensing, if accurate quantitative data are required in relation to the observed surface, for example, to derive of surface temperature or actual radiant emissions, then the signal that is detected at the sensor must be corrected for the influence that the atmosphere has had on it, with the atmosphere capable of both attenuating and augmenting the signal. Most infrared bands on sensors used to monitor volcanic activity have been strategically
placed in ‘atmospheric windows’, or portions of the electromagnetic spectrum with high transmissivity and hence, low atmospheric absorption, however even in these windows, a small portion of the signal may be absorbed (see Figure 5). Additionally, as the atmosphere itself has a temperature, it too emits electromagnetic radiation that contributes to that detected by an observing sensor and, assuming an average atmospheric temperature of 273 K, such emissions may be high, particularly in the TIR. An added complication is that a portion of the atmospheric radiance, and indeed daytime solar radiance, will also be reflected sensor-ward, further augmenting the signal. Radiative transfer models are available to model such atmospheric effects which, especially in humid regions, may be significant.

![Figure 5. Atmospheric transmissivity throughout the infrared region of the spectrum. Note the very limited transmissivity around 6.0, attributable to the absorption by atmospheric water vapour. Source: 26.](image)

**Emissivity.** This is the radiating efficiency of a surface and is inversely proportional to its reflectance. An object with an emissivity of 1.0 is a perfect emitter, a black-body, emitting radiation with 100% efficiency; all other objects emit with less efficiency with the effect that the apparent (or brightness) temperature of such objects, if measured remotely and derived using the Plank equation (Equation 1), is less than the true kinetic temperature of the object. The significance of this is that to derive the true temperature of a volcanic, or indeed any surface, its emissivity is required, and this has been shown to vary widely for volcanic surfaces, depending on the mineralogy, rock temperature and waveband of observation (e.g. it has been shown to be 0.92 in the SWIR bands for cooled lava, andesite, at Lascar, Chile 27 and close to 1.0 for the active lava lake at Erta Ale, Ethiopia 8).

**Temperature limits.** All sensors have minimum and maximum detection limits which are largely a function of waveband and pixel size. Within these limits, the emissions from a volcanic surface can be detected but if the signal is too low, it will be missed, and if it is too high, it will saturate the individual pixels of the sensor, rendering quantitative analysis as impossible (see Figure 6). In the very early days of the discipline, saturation was identified as one of the key issues in the remote sensing of thermally anomalous volcanic activity 28 and such issues persist, although the situation is much improved. Determinants of the detection limits include the pixel size (in theory, a smaller pixel will saturate at a lower level of radiance when viewing a hot surface as the hot components will constitute a greater proportion of the viewing pixel) and the dynamic range of the sensor. In comparing the detection limits of Landsat 8’s Operational Land Imager (OLI) SWIR bands, with those
its predecessor, Landsat ETM+, the enhanced dynamic range means that temperatures of up to 747.9 K and 570.4 K, can be detected without saturation in bands 6 and 7, as compared with the corresponding ETM+ bands (724.5 K and 552.8 K) 29. One solution for saturation which has been applied is the use of varying gain settings to extend the maximum detectable temperatures at the higher end. The SWIR bands of the ASTER sensor, for example, were endowed with low-gain settings specifically for the purpose of volcanic monitoring (a “volcano mode” 30; 31), so at to raise the saturation temperature, but in doing such, it also had the effect of reducing sensitivity to lower temperature phenomena 19.

![Figure 6](image.jpg)

Figure 6. a: Band 9 ASTER nighttime image of Lascar volcano, Chile, depicting a transect line through the radiating SWIR signal. b: quantification of the signal along the transect in a. note that between pixels 12 and 16 the signal reaches a threshold, suggesting saturation. At pixel 18, the signal is 0 W m² sr⁻¹ µm⁻¹, suggesting this pixel has been temporarily damaged as a result of the saturating signal in adjacent pixels.

4. Historical perspective on sensors used for volcanic observation

Remote sensing imagers with infrared detection capabilities have been relatively common place on imaging satellites since the early 1960s, with the NOAA Television Infrared Observation Satellites (TIROS) constituting the first operational infrared radiometers in orbit 32. As technology progressed rapidly, so too did the number of platforms launched with infrared imaging capabilities but it was not until 1968 that certain infrared remote sensing systems were declassified in the USA, with data from the TIROS range being the first to be declassified 33. Since this period, there has been continuous infrared monitoring of Earth from space 32, with sensors gaining in both spectral and spatial resolution and with data availability becoming more and more widespread. The next section will provide a chronology of the discipline while here, the main satellites and sensors will be presented.

The Advanced Very-High-Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) instruments, carried on-board the satellites of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) series, were the key to the infrared remote sensing of volcanism becoming more mainstream. The first AVHRR instrument was launched in 1978 and soon after, their use in volcanic studies began (e.g. 34; 35). The AVHRR have various characteristics which render it as useful for the monitoring of volcanic activity, including of most relevance, two infrared bands with a spatial resolution of 1km x 1km and hence, the potential to detect a wide range of thermally anomalous volcanic phenomena 1. AVHRR orbits the Earth 14 times each day and, with an altitude of 833 km and a swath width of 2399 km, provides for repeat imagery of the same surface roughly every 12 hours – of clear utility in monitoring rapidly changing volcanic events (e.g. 36; 37; 38). Although used less widely today given the availability of newer sensors, AVHRR infrared monitoring is still used to detect and monitor thermally anomalous volcanic activity (for example 39), particularly because of the availability of a long timeseries of data 40 and NOAA’s policy of retaining two AVHRR satellites in orbit at the same time (which effectively enhances the temporal resolution of the dataset 32).
The 1970s also witnessed the commencement of the NASA Landsat series of satellites, although it was not until the 1984 launch of Landsat-5 that functioning SWIR and TIR bands of the Thematic Mapper sensor (TM) were available for thermal studies, endowed with spatial resolutions of 30m and 120 m respectively. The superior spatial resolution of this sensor was achieved because of a smaller swath width compared with AVHRR (185 km versus 2399 km), although this came at the expense of its temporal resolution which stands at 16 days. The next successful sensor of the series, on-board Landsat-7, was the Enhanced Thematic Mapper (ETM+), enhanced in terms of its TIR observation capabilities with a superior spatial resolution (TIR: 60m). It will come as no surprise that with their higher infrared spatial resolution, the Landsat sensors rapidly became very useful in terms of making more detailed volcanic observations than were possible with AVHRR (41; 42; 43; 44), although obviously, the reduced repeat period means that the Landsat satellites are less suitable for examining short-lived events.

In 2013, the latest in the Landsat series was launched, Landsat-8, as part of the Landsat Data Continuity Mission (LDCM) 45; 46. This satellite was endowed with the Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS), with two bands at a spatial resolution of 100m. This reduced spatial resolution, as compared with Landsat-7, was a result of a limited development time 45 but despite this, it has been shown to acquire detailed and accurate volcanic observations which will, for the foreseeable future, ensure infrared observations of volcanoes remain available when MODIS expires 20.

Several geostationary satellites were launched in the 1970s with infrared observing capabilities for meteorological purposes. Of these, the NASA GOES series has been most prolifically used for volcanic observations. The chief advantage of sensors on such systems is that they have extremely regular image acquisition (4 times per hour for GOES and the ESA’s Meteorological Satellite, Meteosat) but being geostationary, they are at a much higher altitude meaning they have a poorer spatial resolution (4 km for the GOES Imager and 5 km for Meteosat’s Visible and Infra-Red Imager [MVIRI]). Since 1998, an automated system at the Hawai’i Institute of Geophysics and Planetology, has been operational, with the task of processing GOES data for monitoring global volcanic activity, and since this time various studies have also confirmed the utility of GOES for determining volcanic activity chronologies (e.g. 47, 48). SEVERI, the instrument onboard the most recent Meteosat with infrared imaging capabilities, has similarly been used for such purposes and indeed, has formed part of an automated volcano monitoring system, HOTSAT, which is implemented, along with higher resolution MODIS data, for rapid activity detection and monitoring 49, 50.

In 1986, NASA announced its plans for the development of an Earth Observation System (EOS) 51 that would acquire low-Earth orbit observations. Infrared observations fell within the plans and even prior to its commencement, scientists were optimistic about the role the system could have in the observation of volcanic activity 52. The satellites of the system were launched from 1999 onwards and as the data started to come online, scientists rapidly harnessed the data for volcanological applications, given their optimal characteristics for the monitoring of volcanic activity. The four EOS-sensors with infrared observing capabilities were ASTER (on-board Terra) and MODIS (on-board Terra and Aqua), and the Advanced Land Imager (ALI) and Hyperion (on-board EO-1), each displaying different characteristics making them suitable for different purposes. ASTER was greeted with particular optimism for volcanic remote sensing, providing observations in the SWIR (6 bands of 30 m resolution) and the TIR (5 bands of 90 m), with a swath of 60 km and revisit frequency of 16 days it effectively constituted a follow on to Landsat TM 53; 54 55. As of 2008, imagery acquired from ASTER’s SWIR bands became unusable while the TIR bands remain functioning at the time of writing. Of the other EOS-sensors, ALI possessed ten bands from the VNIR to the SWIR, with a spatial resolution of 30 m, thus complementing the bands of ASTER, while Hyperion shared the same 30m resolution but with a ground-breaking 172 bands in the SWIR region.

Early on, the relatively fine spatial resolution of ASTER’s infrared bands was shown to be useful in detecting eruption-precursory thermal anomalies at Chikurachki volcano, Russia 55, while 56 and 19 demonstrated that despite its sub-optimal revisit frequency of 16 days, long term analysis of its infrared data could be used to characterise the behaviour of Shiveluch and Lascar volcanoes, respectively, with its SWIR and TIR bands showing utility for detecting the different thermal components of the volcanic surfaces. 57 also demonstrated that within several hours of acquisition,
ASTER imagery could be used to estimate the volcanic explosivity index of an eruption – although obviously this relied on ASTER viewing the appropriate surface at this time.

The two identical NASA MODIS instruments were launched three years apart on-board the Terra (1999) and Aqua (2002) platforms, each possessing 16 infrared bands with a spatial resolution of 1 km and, given a larger pixel size, a larger swath and shorter revisit period (12 hours); they had an envisaged design-life of 5 years. Since their launch, the MODIS sensors have shown themselves as the go-to sensors for regular and reliable observations of thermally anomalous volcanic activity. Although the spatial resolution is not ideal for imaging volcanic activity, the regular overpasses (up to four times daily when both sensors are considered) have contributed to their popularity. The MODIS instruments have now been functioning for over 15 years, providing a long dataset for analysis. Various products have also been developed which extract the instruments’ thermal data and process it for users. One group of such products with utility for volcanologists are the MODIS Fire products which provide a table of all thermally anomalous pixels identified within every MODIS scene. The long timeseries of regular data has allowed scientists to automatically monitor volcanic activity and to determine baseline activity for all global volcanoes.

The latest NASA satellite to have been launched this decade (2011), and that possesses infrared imaging capabilities, is the Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership satellite (S-NPP), which features the VIIRS. The S-NPP was only ever an experimental satellite of the Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS), coordinated by both NASA and NOAA. This system is envisaged as continuing the satellite record of environmental observations which commenced with AVHRR in the 1970s and currently continues with MODIS. The S-NPP consists of five instruments, with the VIIRS possessing two sets of bands with infrared detection capabilities: five high resolution Imaging (I) bands (375 m) and sixteen moderate (M) resolution bands (750 m), each covering the MIR and TIR portions of the spectrum; the bandwidth of the M bands is also smaller than that of the I bands, therefore making them better suited to quantitative analysis. To date there has only been one attempt at using VIIRS data for monitoring volcanic activity, with showing its detection capabilities are similar, if not superior, to those of MODIS, while a number of studies have confirmed the utility of its infrared bands for monitoring wildfires.

One final set of satellites which have been used for volcanic observations is what are termed ‘small satellites’. Varying definitions exist but in general, a small satellite is one weighing under 1000 kg, with the small size making them more affordable and potentially commercially mass-producible. Seemingly the first small satellite for hot-spot monitoring was the Bi-spectral Infrared Detection (BIRD) German Aerospace Cente (DLR) satellite mission, which was launched in 2001 and possessed two infrared bands (one MIR and TIR) specifically for identifying hotspots. The satellite entered a relatively low orbit (570 km), with a swath width of 190 km and a pixel size of 370 m x 185 m. Data collected by the sensor were examined using a bespoke hotspot detection algorithm which, uniquely, possessed adjustable gain settings that could be changed if the signals were approaching saturation. The chief advantages of the BIRD were the higher spatial resolution, providing for greater sensitivity than sensors such as MODIS, and the availability of MIR and TIR detection, an advantage over ASTER, however, these advantages were at the expense of temporal resolution, given a narrow swath. In 2012, a new DLR mission, FireBIRD, commenced, with two infrared sensors onboard the micro- Technologieerprobungsträger 1 (TET-1) satellite, launched into orbit. Although fire observation was the objective, in late 2014 it imaged an effusion of lava at Stromboi, Italy, where its adaptive gain settings meant that while a coincident MODIS image experienced saturation, the TET-1 data remained unsaturated, while the relatively high MIR spatial resolution (320 m) meant that detailed imagery was provided.

Thermal remote sensors utilised for volcanic remote sensing do not only include those funded by the US tax payer. In 1991 and 1995, the ESA launched the European Remote Sensing (ERS) 1 and 2 satellites, each possessing the Along Track Scanning Radiometer (ATSR) which was endowed MIR and TIR bands and also one SWIR band and in 2002, a third, the Advanced ATSR (AATSR) was launched following the success of the two earlier versions. The spatial resolution of the ATSR bands was 1 km, making them less useful for detailed studies than their American counterparts at the time (those of Landsat TM) but providing a much enhanced temporal resolution the days before MODIS.
27. Given the temporal superiority of ATSR to Landsat TM, use of the sensor became commonplace for a few years and it was used to examine temporal variations in volcanic activity at various locations including Lascar, Chile 27 Fernandina, Ecuador 73 and Etna, Italy 74, with a review of its surveillance capability reviewed in 75. Findings from use of the sensor were also used to review the potential volcanic-utility of the then, not-launched NASA MODIS 76. Japan also got in on the act in 1991 with the launch of the OPTical Sensor (OPS) on-board the Japanese Earth Resources Satellite (JERS-1), possessing, for the first time, four high spatial resolution (18 m x 24 m) SWIR bands; it is arguably data from this sensor that revealed the volcanic potential of the shorter wavelength part of the spectrum, particularly for high-temperature phenomena monitoring 77, 27.

5. Infrared remote sensing of volcanoes: a history

Given the previous detail concerning the infrared sensors used for monitoring volcanic activity, it will be evident that the discipline has a history of over half a century. As technology has progressed through this period, so too have the applications to which the discipline have been applied 78, and these will be highlighted here.

Early infrared radiometer remote sensing surveys were actually airborne, as opposed to in Earth orbit, with 79 describing this application to Hawaiian volcanoes and producing more detailed and accurate surface maps than had been possible from land-based observations alone. Later, 80 and 81 presented radiance maps, and detailed of how thermal emissions varied at the new Icelandic volcanic islands of Surtsey and Jolnir, again using airborne-sourced data. 82 and 83 also describe aerial volcanic surveys in Italy and at Mount St. Helens, respectively. 84 was the first study to use satellite-acquired infrared data in the detection and monitoring of volcanic activity. However, despite such early experimental endeavors, even today, no satellite sensor has been launched with the sole aim of volcanic infrared monitoring. Volcanologists have had to be inventive and make use of sensors launched for purposes other than volcanic observation and unfortunately, nothing seems set to change this in the near future.

35 stated, in relation to volcanic remote sensing, that “infrared remote-sensing methods remain of limited use” (p. 293) and at the time, this will have done little to encourage take-up of the data. This assessment was soon set to change however, as the discipline soon began to burgeon. 85 and 86 showed how thermal activity at remote Andean volcanoes could be identified without the need for costly and dangerous fieldwork, while 87 took a more global approach, highlighting the general utility of satellite infrared monitoring of volcanoes. Things then became quantitative, with 88 becoming the first workers to consider volcanic surface power emissions as opposed to just values of radiance.

32 and 78 each provide detailed chronologies of the development of the discipline that cannot be bettered here, but in summary, they show that during the 1990s, the discipline expanded significantly. The 52 work is perhaps responsible for stimulating most interest, by promoting the volcanic utility of the forthcoming NASA Earth Observation System. The initial priority during this period, according to 78 was simply the identification of ‘hotspots’ and following this, the isolation of temperature distributions (e.g. 89; 90; 41). Once perfected in their observations, such studies became more quantitative in their focus and increasingly attempted to relate remote observations to actual phenomena on the ground. 89 and 27 for example, were able to remotely identify eruptive periods from a time series of satellite data for Lascar volcano, Chile, while 91 and 38 showed how infrared observations could be used to estimate lava effusion rates. It was slowly becoming evident that a reliable and direct relationship existed between the radiant heat emitted from a volcano, and the type and intensity of activity at the surface. During this period, the potential seen in the discipline was highlighted in an overall review of the Remote Sensing of Active Volcanoes 22.

With the small volumes of data coming from the handful of early sensors, the early process of detecting the thermal signatures of volcanic activity was largely a manual, but between 1995 and 2010, a large number of algorithms were developed to detect volcanic thermal anomalies while also filtering out false-alarms 78. 92 for example, developed an algorithm for AVHRR MIR observations which identified hotspots within a uniformly warm surface, by harnessing the brightness
temperature difference between bands 3 (3.8 µm) and 4 (10.8 µm). The theory was that, given that MIR channels are more sensitive to surfaces of magmatic temperature than to those at terrestrial temperatures (see Fig. 2), where this difference exceeded a given threshold of 10 K, the surface could be reliably interpreted as displaying a sub-pixel hotspot which was contributing to the band 3 signal. Automated processes using such algorithms rapidly developed, including some that were location-specific, such as one with specific application to AVHRR data and Alaska’s volcanoes, which used an algorithm that became known as the Okmok Algorithm after the Aleutian Island volcano 93; 38. The Okmok algorithm functioned operationally by comparing the temperature of a potentially anomalous pixel to the temperature of those around it; where this difference was shown to be significant, it was automatically isolated as anomalous 32.

Steps in automation were also facilitated by improvements in data distribution and storage, with one of the new possibilities raised, in addition to automation, being the monitoring and observation of volcanic activity over wide areas, as opposed to focusing on just one location. 38 had shown how routine AVHRR observations, and the Okmok algorithm, could be used to monitor all volcanoes in the North Pacific, and soon after this, one of the most pervasive and widely referenced volcanic detection systems was developed: MODVOLC 94; 95; 96. This system, which remains operational, works on similar principles as other algorithms; that hotter surfaces will produce a higher spectral radiance at shorter wavelengths, than at longer wavelengths, and vice-versa. For each MODIS pixel of data, the MODVOLC algorithm compares the difference in spectral radiance detection between the MIR (band 22: 3.9 µm) and TIR (band 32: 12.00 µm), and normalizes these by the sum of these radiances (the equation is shown below); a greater difference will indicate the presence of a hotter surface, with a threshold used to isolate potential volcanic hotspots 95:

\[
NTI = \frac{R_{22} - R_{32}}{R_{22} + R_{32}},
\]

where: \( R_{22} \) and \( R_{32} \) refer to the spectral radiance (W m\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\)) in MODIS bands 22 and 32, respectively. If band 22 is saturated, data from the equivalent, low-gain band 21 can be used instead (Wright et al., 2004).

The principle of differencing MIR and TIR signals, as used by MODVOLC system, was also utilised in a later, AATSR-bespoke volcano monitoring system, VoMIR 97. However, perhaps the most sophisticated automated system of the period was the “Autonomous Sciencecraft Experiment System” (later named the “Volcano Sensor Web”) developed for the sensors of the EO-1 spacecraft 98; 99. This system functioned by processing Hyperion SWIR data; if a potential hot surface was identified, then a trigger was generated to re-point Hyperion (and ALI) appropriately. The system could also be triggered by other sources, such as MODIS, or on-the-ground observations, re-tasking it to make follow-up observations within 2 days of notification 98; 100. The utility of this system was demonstrated during the 2010 Eyjafjallajökull eruption, when 50 observations were made of the erupting volcano over a period of 74 days, ultimately providing data to stakeholders in Iceland to assist in risk and hazard assessments 99.

Despite early recognition of their utility in observing active volcanoes (e.g. 17; 87), few detection algorithms were ever developed using SWIR. This is because of the greater availability of regular MIR and TIR observations and the fact that MIR and TIR observations are usually available at moderate-low spatial resolution, delivering large volumes of frequent, wide-area coverage data that necessitates automated processing, as opposed to more localised, and often ad-hoc, SWIR observations 40.

One major issue that had to be dealt with from the outset of the discipline was the fact that volcanic surfaces may vary by several-hundred degrees over just a few centimetres 89 and given that 30 m (and often cruder) has been the highest spatial resolution of data available in the infrared, techniques were required to ensure that the data obtained were completely accurate. Take a 30 m pixel ASTER or Landsat 8 SWIR pixel for example, the situation on the ground could quite feasibly match the simulated pixel area of Figure 7, consisting of two (or more) surfaces of different
temperature (and just consider the possibilities within the 1 km pixels of AVHRR or MODIS). As such, while radiance detected within one pixel might give an approximate indication of the activity on the ground, such a pixel integrated value effectively hides much information. Given the varying sensitivity of different bands to surfaces of different temperature, the pixel integrated value will also be dependent on the band used to image it. For example, again taking Figure 7, in band 4 of ASTER, the brightness temperature that would be detected is 615 K as compared with 505 K if imaged in band 9 (see Figure 8), as the shorter wavelength detectors are more sensitive to the hotter surface components. This is also demonstrated by the wider area of detection in Figure 9 when the band 4 and band 9 signals are considered. This difference between bands was actually utilised for volcanic monitoring by 87, in using a technique developed by 101 and 102 for monitoring industrial heat sources. These ground breaking studies from 1981 has recognised that, for observations of hotspots of sub-pixel size, if the spectral emissions of a pixel are known for two different, thermally sensitive bands, each of which will be differently sensitive to sub-pixel components of different temperatures, then a sub-pixel thermal structure can be estimated, effectively allowing the observer to obtain a ‘sub-pixel’ characterisation of a surface.
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**Figure 7.** A modelled 30 m (e.g. ASTER) pixel, with various hot components at 1200 K and a background surrounding temperature of 310 K. Given these characteristics, the pixel would have an integrated temperature of 615 K at 1.650 µm (ASTER band 4) and 505 K at 2.395 µm (ASTER band 9).

To characterise the pixel area into two components, non-linear, simultaneous equations are used. These ‘dual-band’ equations, are:

\[
R_x = P_h L_x(T_h) + [(1 - P_h) L_x(T_c)] \quad \text{and} \\
R_y = P_h L_y(T_h) + [(1 - P_h) L_y(T_c)]
\]

where: \( R_x \) and \( R_y \) = radiance detected in bands \( x \) and \( y \) (W m\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\)), adjusted for atmospheric effects and surface emissivity; \( P_h \) = proportion of pixel occupied by hotspot; \( L_x(T_h) \) and \( L_y(T_c) \) = spectral radiance (W m\(^{-2}\) sr\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\)) emitted in a particular band by a surface at temperature \( T_h \) (the hot component) or \( T_c \) (the cooling component), as determined by the Planck Function (Eq. 2.3).
Figure 8. The pixel integrated temperature that would be detected by ASTER’s SWIR bands on viewing the modelled surface of Figure 7.
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**Figure 8.** The pixel integrated temperature that would be detected by ASTER’s SWIR bands on viewing the modelled surface of Figure 7.

Figure 9. Short-wave infrared imagery of the lava flow at Mount Etna, Italy, on 29 July 2007. a: in ASTER band 4; b: in ASTER band 9. The wider area in b demonstrates the detection of radiance from relatively cooler surfaces by band 9, on the periphery of the lava flow, than by band 4, which only detects the emissions from the very hot lava flow itself.
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**Figure 9.** Short-wave infrared imagery of the lava flow at Mount Etna, Italy, on 29 July 2007. a: in ASTER band 4; b: in ASTER band 9. The wider area in b demonstrates the detection of radiance from relatively cooler surfaces by band 9, on the periphery of the lava flow, than by band 4, which only detects the emissions from the very hot lava flow itself.

As the dual-band method relies on two equations and as there are three unknowns ($T_h$, $T_s$, and $P_h$), it requires the assumption of either $T_h$, $T_s$, or $P_h$. Volcanologically, $T_h$ may relate to the temperature of recently erupted lava, or an exposed lava lake, while $T_s$ may relate to the temperature of the surrounding surface unaffected by volcanic processes; $P_h$ will usually be impossible to estimate accurately. The assumption of two discrete temperature regions might be appropriate in some situations, but in most cases, a two-component solution will only ever approximate reality.

Determining the temperature and corresponding areas of thermal activity at the surface is certainly useful, but what is arguably more useful from a quantitative point of view is the overall radiant power (i.e. energy) emitted from a volcanic surface. The advantage of an overall power value
per pixel is that this value can be summed for all imaging pixels to derive an overall power emission value for the surface, thus providing a means of monitoring volcanic activity over time and of comparing the activity at different volcanoes. 88 was one of the first pieces of research to undertake such analysis, based on dual-band equation retrievals, setting a standard that was to be reproduced in many later studies (e.g. 103; 89; 104). The radiant power emission, or heat-loss, for an assumed two-component pixel, can be calculated using the Stefan-Boltzmann Law and by calculating the contribution of each component to the overall radiant heat-flux of the pixel:

\[ Q = \sigma \varepsilon A \left[ P_n T_n^4 + (1 - P_n) T_e^4 \right] \]  

(5)

Where: \( Q \) = radiant power emission (W), \( \sigma \) = Stefan-Boltzmann Constant \((5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-4})\), \( \varepsilon \) = surface emissivity (unit-less) and \( A \) = pixel surface area (m\(^2\)).

As multi-spectral infrared imaging capabilities became more widespread, and also with higher spatial resolution, the possibility of viewing the surface in more detail, while also allowing the differentiation of different features within the same surface, based on the different spectral radiant emissions from surfaces at different temperatures, became more realistic. 73 showed this when comparing the high detail in JERS observations of Lascar volcano, Chile, with that that a corresponding 1 km pixel of ATSR would observe. This is also demonstrated by 105 in which detail such as Hawaiian lava-flow braiding, could be isolated using multispectral and high resolution Landsat ETM+ data. Despite the availability of multi-spectral infrared bands of data however, use of dual-band techniques has persisted. 9 for example, use it to distinguish regions of active lava flow from regions of fumarolic activity using ASTER SW IR data while 106 use it to determine the true source of thermal emissions from adjacent volcanoes using spatially coarse AVHRR data.

Experimentations were made into using more than two bands of data, with 107 for example, showing that in relation to an active lava flow, a continuum of temperatures is displayed and that as such, a surface would be better characterised with 5-7 components. However, despite this, because its outputs are more accurate than effectively assuming one uniform temperature and deriving one pixel integrated value, use of the technique persisted, largely because until the launch of the multi-spectral EOS sensors, only two usable SWIR bands were available from satellite imagery 107. 19 also showed that despite the availability of multiple bands of data, such as in the SWIR bands of ASTER, the settings of such sensors were often sub-optimal for volcanic observational purposes. At this time however, one data source remained rather unexploited: the NASA ALI and Hyperion sensors. Possessing their impressive complement of bands meant that these sensors had the potential to discern numerous sub-pixel surfaces for fine detailed surface characterisation. 108 demonstrated the utility of such hyper-spectral imagery by using Hyperion data to distinguish various different expressions of thermal activity, such as lava lakes, flows and fountains, and indeed, even different lava types, at various volcanoes globally.

As time went by, long datasets of remotely sensed infrared imagery had accumulated, extending back to early AVHRR and Landsat observations, and coming forward to the NASA-EOS sensors. This provided the possibility of determining the ‘average’, or baseline radiant emissions, for a particular volcano, and of identifying potential periodicity in these signals and/or chronologies for particular eruptive events. 109 harnessed the AVHRR timeseries for the purpose determining an average infrared signal, both spatially and temporally in volcanic regions, against which volcanic anomalies could be compared and identified. The algorithm used to calculate this average was called the Robust AVHRR Technique and, while this technique has been criticised 110, it was later harnessed by 111, but this time with MODIS data, to develop an even more sensitive hot-spot detection algorithm. 63 analysed the entire MODVOLC database and were able to identify different eruption types and cyclical activity at various volcanoes, and to calculate the overall heat flux from all volcanoes during the operational period of the system. Chronologies of eruptive episodes have also been common, from 112 which used various sensors to derive the 2003 eruption chronology of Anatahan, Indonesia, to 113, 114 and 11, each of which document eruption chronologies using infrared data for volcanoes in the North Pacific.
Since the end of the last decade, the number of publications in the discipline has certainly declined, although the discipline has certainly not stopped making progress. A new and more sensitive volcanic activity detection algorithm, called MIROVA, which uses MODIS MIR data, was able to identify distinct phases of lava dome growth and collapse at Merapi volcano, Indonesia, and to determine the varied volcanic causes of thermal anomalies detected. The NASA-EOS satellites launched in the early 2000s are coming to the end of their operational lives (indeed the SWIR bands onboard ASTER became completely unusable in 2009) and while others remain in orbit (e.g. Landsat-7 and AVHRR) their spatial or temporal resolutions are far from optimal. New satellites have been launched with infrared imaging capabilities, such as Landsat-8 and Suomi, but the use of these to analyse volcanic activity has been rather limited, despite showing much promise with their data shown to be comparable, or indeed improved, when compared with predecessors. Now is perhaps a period of reflection as scientists review data from the past (e.g. 119; 120) and offer suggestions for the design of future satellites, perhaps smaller ones, which will have infrared imaging capabilities (e.g. 121).

**Prospects for the future**

Sensors currently used for the infrared monitoring of volcanic activity have immense utility and indeed, have become essential for the monitoring of volcanoes in remote regions. However, there remain a number of shortcomings dictated by the temporal-spatial-spectral resolution trade-off. 78 argued that 2000–2010 showed greater progress in the field than they envisaged for the next decade (up to 2020). Indeed, they discuss the debate that was required to ensure that the following generation of Landsat sensors possessed any infrared sensing capabilities at all, with such capabilities nearly having been excluded from the Landsat-8 platform 78, 46; a slowdown in progress this decade has certainly been indicated here. The apparent dearth of research in the volcanological remote sensing field over recent years might be explained by a number of factors, such as that the NASA-EOS satellites are coming to the end of their operational lives, while a number of others systems that remain in orbit (e.g. Landsat-7 and AVHRR) have less than optimal spatial or temporal resolutions. New satellites have been launched with infrared imaging capabilities, such as Landsat-8 and VIIRS onboard Suomi, but volcanic-use of these has been rather limited, despite showing comparability, or indeed improved performance; some seeming retrograde steps, such as the reduction in Landsat-8 infrared spatial resolution, have also been taken. The limited utilisation of these sensors for volcanological studies is by no means a reflection of their potential and it remains to be seen what new and innovative volcanic observational uses can be derived. At the same time, the body of data from all sensors in orbit continues to grow, providing an ever larger repository from which more accurate and representative estimations of volcanic activity might be drawn, such as the pioneering work of 63.

In the near future, the facility to provide infrared observations of volcanoes will continue with the successor to the S-NPP, the NASA Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS-1), due for launch in 2017 and possessing the already proven VIIRS, and with the launch of Hyspiri, currently planned for 2020 or later. This latter sensor will possess a similar contingent of VNIR and SWIR bands its predecessor, Hyperion (with 212 bands between 380 and 2500 nm, although at a reduced spatial resolution of 30m), in addition to spatially aligned and higher resolution MIR/TIR imagers (imaging at 60 m in 8 bands between 4 µm and 13µm). Crucially for Hyspiri, for which one second of operation will produce up to 1 GB of data, the capacity for on-board data processing via an ‘Intelligent Payload Module’ (IMP) and direct broadcast of a subset of the data 24; 122; 25), are all welcome and essential innovations. On-board automated analyses will have the capacity to identify specific features, which might include a volcanic eruption, the data of which would then be used to monitor the rapidly changing surface and/or to trigger other sensors (24; 25). 122 set out to simulate Hyspiri data with regard to its observation of volcanic activity, and showed promising results as compared with ASTER TIR data, given a smaller pixel size (60m) and higher saturation temperature (700-900K); its TIR bands will also have an improved temporal resolution (just 5 days at the equator) (121; 25). 123 sums up the optimism...
regarding Hyspiri: “There is no current thermal infrared sensor that combines multiple bands needed for temperature-emissivity separation, a sub-100 m spatial resolution, and a frequent repeat interval with global coverage, which are necessary to answer a wide range of Earth science studies related to surface composition, hydrology, and volcanology” (pg. 1). Fears remain however, as to whether or not the saturation temperature of the MIR bands will be high enough to prevent saturation over the most radiant flows 121.

Another project which shows potential from the perspective of volcanic remote sensing relates to the European Commission’s Earth observation programme: Copernicus. As part of this programme, the Sentinel 3 satellite mission is launching two satellites with infrared Earth Observation capabilities (Sentinel-3A was launched in early 2016 and Sentinel-3B is due for launch in 2017) which provide continuity in the ATSR and AATSR range. The primary aim of Sentinel-3, and its Sea and Land Surface Temperature Radiometers (SLSTR), is for monitoring ocean and land surface temperatures however, given SWIR, MIR and TIR imaging capabilities, it also has the capacity to continue the availability of volcanic observations. The chief advantages of the SLSTRs are a saturation temperature of 675 K and an Equatorial revisit time of half a day when both satellites are considered; thermal anomaly detection algorithms have also already been developed and tested (124; 125).

For the true monitoring of volcanic activity, high temporal resolution data, ideally at a sub-daily resolution, such as that provided by the geostationary satellites, is particularly useful, and there are future prospects which show promise in this regard. The Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite R-Series, GOES-R, for example (the first satellite of which is due for launch in late 2016), will possess the Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI) which will retain the sensor’s high temporal resolution while also improving current capabilities, with a superior spatial resolution (0.5 km – 2.0 km) and more bands (including a new SWIR band) 126. Japan’s equivalent satellites, Himawari -8 (launched in 2015) and -9 (due for launch in 2016), possess a sensor largely comparable in terms of features to the ABI 127. Similarly, the European Meteosat Third Generation Mission, the first spacecraft of which are due for launch from 2020, will possess more bands on its SEVERI replacement, the Flexible Combined Imager (FCI), with short wave and near infrared bands at 1 km resolution, and a temporal resolution of 10 minutes, as compared with 15 minutes at present 128. These geostationary satellites will also have the capability for rapid scans at times of enhanced activity and, in the case of GOES-R, this may be up to every 30 seconds 126, 127). And prospects for the more distant future for instantaneous monitoring are equally exciting with a fourth generation envisioned by 129 to be active from around 2035. This system will possess an increasingly hyperspectral range of instruments and an even greater spatial and temporal resolution - ideal for monitoring the rapidly changing surfaces associated with volcanoes.

100 argue that in future, data from surface sensors, in addition to from satellites, should form part of any automatic system for identifying volcanic anomalies, suggesting that had this been the case for the 2010 Eyjafjallajökull eruption, attention would have been focused on the eruption sooner, rather than after the eruption was underway. 78 in contrast, envisage most useful development in the coming years, in terms of the thermal remote sensing of volcanoes, to be in the area of small-satellites, launched privately and with less expense than their larger counterparts.

One of the chief disadvantages of small satellites is that, given their size, their revisit frequencies are usually sub-optimal, particularly when it comes to monitoring phenomena that change on short timescales (such as volcanic activity). Fortunately however, small satellites are low in cost relative to their larger relatives, thus opening the possibility that many more can be constructed for the same cost, with the aim of forming constellations 130. Should such constellations be endowed with numerous small satellites with infrared sensing capabilities, then it would provide the potential for very high spatial and temporal resolution observation of thermally anomalous surfaces. China successfully launched one such system, the Small Satellite Constellation for Environment Protection and Disaster Monitoring, in 2008 and with its 30m resolution infrared imaging capabilities, has proven to be useful in observing wildfires 131. The DLR have also instigated the a fire monitoring constellation, FireBIRD, with the first satellite, TET-1, having already shown utility in providing high resolution MIR and TIR imagery of a Strombolian lava flow 132. The second satellite of the constellation, the Bi-spectral InfraRed Optical System (BIROS), was launched in 2016 and it is
envisaged that in total, the FireBIRD constellation will have a 3-day revisit period for locations at the Equator 132. A constellation of multi-spectral infrared VISible-to-thermal IR micro-SATeLLites (VISIR-SAT), has also been proposed, with a spatial resolution of potentially less than 30m – something that would be ground breaking for MIR and TIR observations – and with one of its aims being: “To predict … volcanic hazards through detection of transient thermal phenomena” (pg. 1286) 71.

Despite an apparent slowdown in the discipline, there is much hope and potential for the future. An ideal strategy would be for a multi-scale approach, combining the use of high temporal resolution, wide swath spectroradiometers (e.g. MODIS or AVHRR) and higher spatial resolution monitoring focusing on high-risk areas. If both such systems communicate with each other, events noted at high temporal resolution could be used to trigger the higher spatial resolution observations – and precedence of this has been discussed. One particularly exciting prospect is an ESA Sentinel Convoy, whereby 71 suggests that the VISIR-SAT could be flown in convoy with the Sentinel-3 satellites, providing high spatial resolution observations of thermal anomalies detected by the coarser resolution, but more widely viewing, Sentinels.

The remote sensing of volcanoes has made much progress in little more than half a century and it is essential that progress is maintained to ensure areas of potential or imminent volcanic hazard, are identified and made known to scientists and decision makers. As long as investment continues in the development of orbiting infrared remote sensors, then improvements in what scientists are able to visualize and interpret, will continue, thus aiding in the understanding of the actual geological phenomena, and in the management of the associated hazards.
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