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Abstract: This paper presents a new scientific contribution on the two-dimensional (2-D) subdomain technique in polar coordinates taking into account the finite relative permeability of the ferromagnetic material. The constant relative permeability corresponds to linear part of the nonlinear $B(H)$ curve. As in conventional technique, the method of separation of variables and the Fourier’s series are used for the resolution of magnetostatic Maxwell’s equations in each region. Although, the general solutions of magnetic field in the subdomains and boundary conditions (BCs) between regions are different in the conventional and proposed method. In this later, the magnetic field solution in each subdomain is a superposition of two magnetic quantities in the two directions (i.e., $r$- and $\Theta$-axis) and the BCs between two regions are also in both directions. For example, the scientific contribution has been applied to an air- or iron-cored coil supplied by a constant current. The distribution of local quantities (i.e., the magnetic vector potential and flux density) has been validated by a corresponding 2-D finite-element analysis (FEA). The obtained semi-analytical results are in very good agreement with those of numerical method.
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1. Introduction

The full calculation of magnetic field in electrical engineering applications is the first step for their design and optimization, the methods of magnetic field prediction can be classified in various categories [1]:

- Lehmann’s graphical [2];
- Numerical (i.e., finite-element, finite-difference, boundary-element, etc.) [3–5];
- Equivalent circuit (i.e., electrical, thermal, magnetic, etc.) [6–8];
- Schwarz-Christoffel mapping (i.e., conformal transformation, complex permeance model, etc.) [9];
- Maxwell-Fourier [10–15].

Currently, the works of design are based on (semi-)analytical models1 (i.e., equivalent circuit, conformal transformation and Maxwell-Fourier methods). In comparison with the other methods,
under certain geometrical and physical assumptions, these models permit to obtain accurate analytical expressions of magnetic field and known as fast for the prediction of local and global electromagnetic performances. At present, Maxwell-Fourier methods are one of the most used semi-analytic approaches with very accurate results (i.e., error less than 5%) on the electromagnetic performances calculation. These models are based on the formal resolution of Maxwell’s equations in Cartesian, cylindrical or spherical coordinates by using the method of seperation of variables and the Fourier’s series. Taking into account of iron parts and/or the effect of local/global saturation is still a scientific challenge in Maxwell-Fourier methods which is rarely explored in the literature [17,18]. Recently, Dubas et al. (2017) [1] realized an overview on the existing (semi-)analytical models in Maxwell-Fourier methods with the effect of local/global saturation, which can thus be classified as follows

- Multi-layers models (i.e., Carter’s coefficient [19,20], saturation coefficient [21,22], concept wave impedance [23–26], and convolution theorem [27–30]);
- Eigenvalues model, viz., the method of Truncation Region Eigenfunction Expansions (TREE) [31,32];
- Subdomain technique [1,33,34];
- Hybrid models, viz., the analytical solution combined with numerical methods [35,36] or (non)linear magnetic equivalent circuit [37–39].

The consideration of the effect of local/global saturation is appearing in hybrid models, where the solution is established analytically in concentric regions of very low permeability (e.g., air-gap and magnets) and other methods (e.g., numerical or magnetic equivalent circuit) are sought in regions where the saturation effect cannot be neglected. On other hand, the other models (i.e., multi-layers models, TREE method and subdomain technique) are more focused the global saturation. Some details and (dis)advantages of these techniques can be found in [1]. In most semi-analytical models based on the subdomain technique, the iron parts are considered to be infinitely permeability due the variation of material proprieties in the various directions, so that the saturation effect is neglected [16–18]. The first paper introducing the iron parts in the magnetic field calculation by using subdomain technique is [1], where the authors solve partial differential equations (EDPs) of magnetic potential vector in Cartesian coordinates in which the subdomains connection is performed directly in both directions (i.e., x- and y-edges). The 2-D magnetostatic model has been applied to an air- or iron-cored coil supplied by a constant current. In [33], the authors propose a 2-D semi-analytical model in spoke-type magnets synchronous machines based on the subdomain technique in polar coordinates with Taylor polynomial of degree 3 by focusing on the consideration of iron. The iron magnetic permeability is supposed constant corresponding to linear zone of the nonlinear $B(H)$ curve. The subdomains connection is carried out in both directions (i.e., r- and Θ-edges). The general solution of magnetic field is obtained by using the traditional BCs, in addition to new radial BCs (e.g., between the magnets and the rotor teeth, between the teeth and the slots of the stator) which are traduced into a system of linear equations according to Taylor series expansion. In [34], this semi-analytical model has been extended taking into account the initial magnetization curve in each soft-magnetic subdomain by an iterative procedure.

In the literature, to the authors’ knowledge, there exists no exact 2-D subdomain technique in polar coordinates taking into account of iron parts with(out) the nonlinear $B(H)$ curve and not using the Taylor series expansion to satisfy the r-edges BCs. Thus, the research work in this paper contributes to the continuous improvement of the 2-D subdomain technique. Moreover, it is an extension of [1] in polar coordinates $(r, \Theta)$. Section 2 presents this new scientific contribution. By applying the principle of superposition on the magnetic quantities in order to respect the BCs on the various edges, the general solution of magnetic field is decomposed in Fourier’s series into two general solutions in both directions (i.e., r- and Θ-edges). It allows evaluation of the local distribution of flux densities in the iron parts with a global saturation, does not have numerical convergence problems contrary to others models, and would easily introduce the current penetration effect in the conductive materials. The semi-analytical solution is exact as in [1] and does not use the Taylor polynomial to satisfy the r-edges BCs contrary to [33,34]. For example, it was applied to an air- or iron-cored coil supplied by a constant...
current. The iron magnetic permeability is constant corresponding to linear zone of the nonlinear $B(H)$ curve [1,33]. Nevertheless, as in [29,30,34], the saturation effect could be taken into account by an iterative calculation considering, at each iteration, a constant relative magnetic permeability according to the nonlinear $B(H)$ curve. However, this is beyond the scope of the paper. In Section 3, in order to confirm the effectiveness of the proposed technique, all semi-analytical results are then compared to those found by 2-D FEA [40]. The comparisons are very satisfying in amplitudes and waveforms.

2. A 2-D Subdomain Technique of Magnetic Field in Polar Coordinates

2.1. Model Description and Assumptions

Figure 1 represents the physical and geometrical parameters of an air- or iron-cored coil with $N_t$ turns of copper wire supplied by a constant current $I$. The electromagnetic device is surrounded by an infinite box with null value of magnetic vector potential at it boundaries.

The analytical prediction of magnetic field based on the 2-D subdomain technique is done by solving magnetostatic Maxwell’s equations in polar coordinates $(r, \Theta)$ with the following assumptions:

- The magnetic vector potential has only one component along the $z$-axis (i.e., $A = \{0; 0; A_z\}$) and then the end-effects are not considered;
- All materials are isotropic and the permeabilities are supposed constants in both directions (i.e., $r$- and $\Theta$-axis);
- All electrical conductivities of materials are supposed nulls (i.e., the eddy-currents induced in the copper/iron are neglected).

2.2. Problem Discretization in Regions

In Figure 2, we present the studied electromagnetic device which is divided into 7 regions with $\mu = C^s$, viz.,

- Region 1 $\{\forall \Theta \wedge r \in [r_1, r_2]\}$ with $\mu_1 = \mu_v$;
- Region 2 $\{\forall \Theta \wedge r \in [r_3, r_4]\}$ with $\mu_2 = \mu_v$;

Figure 1. Physical and geometrical parameters (see Table 1) of air- or iron-cored coil where ⊙ and ⊗ are respectively the forward and return conductor.
• Region 3 \( \{ \Theta \in [\Theta_1, \Theta_2] \land r \in [r_2, r_3] \} \) with \( \mu_3 = \mu_v \);
• Region 4 \( \{ \Theta \in [\Theta_5, \Theta_6] \land r \in [r_2, r_3] \} \) with \( \mu_4 = \mu_v \);
• Region 5 (i.e., the air or iron in the middle of the coil) \( \{ \Theta \in [\Theta_2, \Theta_3] \land r \in [r_2, r_3] \} \) with \( \mu_5 = \mu_v \) for the air or \( \mu_5 = \mu_\text{iron} \) for the iron;
• Region 6 (i.e., the forward conductor) \( \{ \Theta \in [\Theta_2, \Theta_3] \land r \in [r_2, r_3] \} \) with \( \mu_6 = \mu_c \);
• Region 7 (i.e., the return conductor) \( \{ \Theta \in [\Theta_4, \Theta_3] \land r \in [r_2, r_3] \} \) with \( \mu_7 = \mu_\text{c} \).

2.3. Governing EDPs in Polar Coordinates: Laplace’s and Poisson’s Equations

According to (A.1) (see Appendix A), the distribution of magnetic vector potential in polar coordinates \((r, \Theta)\) is governed by

\[
\Delta A_{\theta j} = \frac{\partial^2 A_{\theta j}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial A_{\theta j}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 A_{\theta j}}{\partial \Theta^2} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j = \{1, \ldots, 5\} \quad (\text{Laplace’s equation}), \tag{1a}
\]

\[
\Delta A_{r k} = \frac{\partial^2 A_{r k}}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial A_{r k}}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 A_{r k}}{\partial \Theta^2} = -\mu_k \cdot J_{\theta k} \quad \text{for} \quad k = \{6, 7\} \quad (\text{Poisson’s equation}), \tag{1b}
\]

where \(J_{\theta k}\) is the current density of the coil defined by

\[
J_{\theta k} = C_k \cdot \frac{N_t \cdot I}{S_c}, \tag{2}
\]

in which \(S_c\) is the conductor surface, and \(C_k\) (with \(C_6 = 1\) and \(C_7 = -1\)) is the coefficient that represents the current direction in the conductor.

According to Appendix A, the resolution of Laplace’s and Poisson’s equations by using the method of separation of variables and the Fourier’s series permit to obtain two potentials in both directions, viz., \(A_{\theta k}^\Theta\) for the \(\Theta\)-edges (A.2b) and \(A_{r k}^\Theta\) for the \(r\)-edges (A.2c). The spatial frequency (or periodicity) of \(A_{\theta k}^\Theta\) and \(A_{r k}^\Theta\) are respectively defined by \(\beta_{n_\Theta}\) and \(\lambda_{n_\Theta}\) with \(n_\Theta\) and \(n_\Theta\) the spatial harmonic orders.
2.4. Definition of BCs

In electromagnetic, the general solutions of various regions depend on the BCs at the interface of two surfaces, which are defined by the continuity of the normal flux density $B_\perp$ and parallel field intensity $H_\parallel$ [1]. On the outer BCs for $(\Theta_1 \land \Theta_8, \forall r)$ and $(\forall \Omega, r_1 \land r_4)$, $A_z$ satisfies the Dirichlet BC (see Figure 2), viz., $A_z = 0$.

Figure 3 represents the respective BCs at the interface between the various regions in both directions (i.e., r- and $\Theta$-edges).

2.5. General Solutions of Various Regions

2.5.1. Region 1

The solution of $A_{z1}$, $B_{r1}$ and $B_{\Theta1}$ are determined by the case-study no 1 (i.e., $A_z$ imposed on all edges of a region) in Appendix B. The BCs on the $r$-edges of the region (see Figure 3a) are met by posing $c_{h1}^\Theta = 0$ in (B.6). Therefore, $A_{z1}$ satisfying the BCs of Figure 3a and solution of (1a) is given by

$$A_{z1} = -\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} d_{h1}^\Theta \cdot \frac{r}{\beta h_1} \cdot \frac{E_{\phi}(\beta h_1, r_1, r_1)}{P_{\phi}(\beta h_1, r_2, r_1)} \cdot \sin[\beta h_1 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)],$$

the components of $B_1 = \{B_{r1}; B_{\Theta1}; 0\}$ by

$$B_{r1} = -\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} d_{h1}^\Theta \cdot \frac{r}{\beta h_1} \cdot \frac{E_{\phi}(\beta h_1, r_1, r_1)}{P_{\phi}(\beta h_1, r_2, r_1)} \cdot \cos[\beta h_1 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)],$$

$$B_{\Theta1} = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} d_{h1}^\Theta \cdot \frac{r}{\beta h_1} \cdot \frac{P_{\phi}(\beta h_1, r_1, r_1)}{P_{\phi}(\beta h_1, r_2, r_1)} \cdot \sin[\beta h_1 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)],$$

where $E_{\phi}(w, x, y)$ and $P_{\phi}(w, x, y)$ are defined in (B.4), $h_1$ the spatial harmonic orders in Region 1, $d_{h1}^\Theta$ the integration constant, and $\beta_{h1} = h_1 \cdot \pi / \tau_{\Theta1}$ with $\tau_{\Theta1} = \Theta_8 - \Theta_1$.

Using a Fourier series expansion of $F_1(\Theta)$ (see Figure 3a) over the interval $\Theta = [\Theta_1, \Theta_8] = [\Theta_1, \Theta_1 + \tau_{\Theta1}]$, the integration constant $d_{h1}^\Theta$ is determined in Appendix C with

$$d_{h1}^\Theta = \frac{2}{\tau_{\Theta1}} \int_{\Theta} F_1(\Theta) \cdot \sin[\beta h_1 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)] d\Theta.$$

2.5.2. Region 2

The same method than Region 1 is used to define the general solution in Region 2. By posing $d_{h1}^\Theta = 0$ in (B.6) (see Appendix B), $A_{z2}$ satisfying the BCs of Figure 3b and solution of (1a) is given by

$$A_{z2} = \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} c^{2\Theta}_h \cdot \frac{r_3}{\beta^{2\Theta}_h} \cdot \frac{E_{\phi}(\beta^{2\Theta}_h, r_4, r_4)}{P_{\phi}(\beta^{2\Theta}_h, r_4, r_5)} \cdot \sin[\beta^{2\Theta}_h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)],$$

the components of $B_2 = \{B_{r2}; B_{\Theta2}; 0\}$ by

$$B_{r2} = \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} c^{2\Theta}_h \cdot \frac{r_3}{r} \cdot \frac{E_{\phi}(\beta^{2\Theta}_h, r_4, r)}{P_{\phi}(\beta^{2\Theta}_h, r_4, r_3)} \cdot \cos[\beta^{2\Theta}_h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)],$$

$$B_{\Theta2} = \sum_{h=2}^{\infty} c^{2\Theta}_h \cdot \frac{r_3}{r} \cdot \frac{P_{\phi}(\beta^{2\Theta}_h, r_4, r)}{P_{\phi}(\beta^{2\Theta}_h, r_4, r_3)} \cdot \sin[\beta^{2\Theta}_h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)],$$
where \( h^2 \) is the spatial harmonic orders in Region 2, \( C_{h^2}^d \) the integration constant, and \( \beta_{h^2} = h^2 \cdot \pi / \Theta_2 \) with \( \Theta_2 = \Theta_6 - \Theta_1 \).

**Figure 3.** Boundary conditions (BCs) in both directions (i.e., \( r \) and \( \Theta \)-edges): (a) Region 1, (b) Region 2, (c) Region 3, (d) Region 4, (e) Region 5, (f) Region 6, and (g) Region 7.
Using a Fourier series expansion of \(G_2(\Theta)\) (see Figure 3b) over the interval \(\Theta = [\Theta_1, \Theta_2] = [\Theta_1, \Theta_1 + \tau_3 h_3]\), the integration constant \(c_2^0\) is determined in Appendix C with

\[
c_2^0 = \frac{2}{\tau_3} \left( \Theta_1 + \tau_3 \right) \cdot \int_{\Theta_1}^{\Theta_1 + \tau_3} G_2(\Theta) \cdot \sin[\beta_2 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)] \, d\Theta.
\]

(10)

2.5.3. Region 3

The solution of \(A_{33}, B_{33}\) and \(B_{33}\) are determined by the case-study no 1 (i.e., \(A_3\) imposed on all edges of a region) in Appendix B. The BCs on the \(\Theta\)-edges of the region (see Figure 3c) are met by posing \(\epsilon_{1r}^r = 0\) in (B.1) – (B.5). Therefore, \(A_{33}\) satisfying the BCs of Figure 3c and solution of (1a) is given by

\[
A_{33} = A_{33}^\Theta + A_{33}^\tau,
\]

(11a)

\[
A_{33}^\Theta = \sum_{h_3=1}^{\infty} \left[ c_3^\Theta_{h_3} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)}{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)} + d_3^\Theta_{h_3} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)}{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)} \right] \cdot \sin[\beta_3 h_3 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)],
\]

(11b)

\[
A_{33}^\tau = \sum_{n_3=1}^{\infty} \left[ \lambda_3 h_3 \cdot f_3 \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{\sin[\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)]}{\sin[\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot \tau_3]} \right] \cdot \sin[\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{r}{r_2}\right)],
\]

(11c)

the \(r\)-component of \(B_3\) by

\[
B_{33} = B_{33}^\Theta + B_{33}^\tau,
\]

(12a)

\[
B_{33}^\Theta = \sum_{h_3=1}^{\infty} \beta_3 h_3 \cdot \left[ c_3^\Theta_{h_3} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)}{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)} - d_3^\Theta_{h_3} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)}{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)} \right] \cdot \cos[\beta_3 h_3 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)],
\]

(12b)

\[
B_{33}^\tau = -\sum_{n_3=1}^{\infty} \lambda_3 h_3 \cdot f_3 \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{\sin[\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)]}{\sin[\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot \tau_3]} \cdot \cos[\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{r}{r_2}\right)],
\]

(12c)

the \(\Theta\)-component of \(B_3\) by

\[
B_{33} = B_{33}^\Theta + B_{33}^\tau,
\]

(13a)

\[
B_{33}^\Theta = \sum_{h_3=1}^{\infty} \beta_3 h_3 \cdot \left[ c_3^\Theta_{h_3} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)}{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)} - d_3^\Theta_{h_3} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)}{E_1(r, h_3, r_2)} \right] \cdot \sin[\beta_3 h_3 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)],
\]

(13b)

\[
B_{33}^\tau = -\sum_{n_3=1}^{\infty} \lambda_3 h_3 \cdot f_3 \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{\sin[\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)]}{\sin[\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot \tau_3]} \cdot \sin[\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{r}{r_2}\right)],
\]

(13c)

where \(h_3\) and \(n_3\) are the spatial harmonic orders in Region 3; \(c_3^\Theta_{h_3}\), \(d_3^\Theta_{h_3}\) and \(f_3^{n_3}\), the integration constants; \(\beta_3 h_3 = h_3 \cdot \lambda_3 / \tau_3\) with \(\tau_3 = \Theta_2 - \Theta_1\); and \(\lambda_3 h_3 = n_3 \cdot \pi / \tau_3\) with \(\tau_3 = \ln(r_3 / r_2)\).

Using Fourier series expansion of \(A_{31}|_{\Theta = r_3} = 0\) and \(A_{32}|_{\Theta = r_3} = 0\) (see Figure 3c) over the interval \(\Theta = [\Theta_1, \Theta_2] = [\Theta_1, \Theta_1 + \tau_3]\), the integration constants \(c_3^\Theta_{h_3}\) and \(d_3^\Theta_{h_3}\) are determined in Appendix C with

\[
c_3^\Theta_{h_3} = \frac{2}{\tau_3} \left( \Theta_1 + \tau_3 \right) \cdot \int_{\Theta_1}^{\Theta_1 + \tau_3} A_{31}|_{r=r_2} \cdot \sin[\beta_3 h_3 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)] \, d\Theta,
\]

(14a)

\[
d_3^\Theta_{h_3} = \frac{2}{\tau_3} \left( \Theta_1 + \tau_3 \right) \cdot \int_{\Theta_1}^{\Theta_1 + \tau_3} A_{32}|_{r=r_2} \cdot \sin[\beta_3 h_3 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1)] \, d\Theta.
\]

(14b)

With a weighting function \(g(r) = r^{-1}\) and using a Fourier series expansion of \(A_{36}|_{\Theta = \Theta_1 + \tau_3 r}\) (see Figure 3c) over the interval \(r = [r_2, r_3]\), the integration constant \(f_3^{n_3}\) is determined in Appendix C with

\[
f_3^{n_3} = \frac{2}{\tau_3} \int_{r_2}^{r_3} \frac{1}{r} \cdot A_{36}|_{\Theta = \Theta_1 + \tau_3 r} \cdot \sin[\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{r}{r_2}\right)] \, dr.
\]

(15)
2.5.4. Region 4

The solution in Region 4 is obtained using the same development than Region 3. By posing \( f''_n = 0 \) in (B.1) – (B.3) (see Appendix B), \( A_{24} \) satisfying the BCs of Figure 3d and solution of (1a) is given by

\[
A_{24} = A_{24}^\Theta + A_{24}^r,
\]

(16a)

\[
A_{24}^\Theta = \sum_{h4=1}^{\infty} \left[ c_4^{h4} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{E^\ell(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r)}{E^\ell(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r_2)} + d_4^{h4} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{E^\ell(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r_2)}{E^\ell(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r_2)} \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \beta_4 h_4 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_5) \right],
\]

(16b)

\[
A_{24}^r = \sum_{n4=1}^{\infty} e_4^{n4} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{\lambda_4 n_4 \cdot (\Theta_6 - \Theta)}{\lambda_4 n_4 \cdot r_4} \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda_4 n_4 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right],
\]

(16c)

the \( r \)-component of \( B_4 \) by

\[
B_{r4} = B_{r4}^\Theta + B_{r4}^r,
\]

(17a)

\[
B_{r4}^\Theta = \sum_{h4=1}^{\infty} \beta_4 h_4 \cdot \left[ c_4^{h4} \cdot \frac{2}{r} \cdot \frac{E^\ell(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r)}{E^\ell(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r_2)} + d_4^{h4} \cdot \frac{2}{r} \cdot \frac{E^\ell(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r_2)}{E^\ell(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r_2)} \right] \cdot \cos \left[ \beta_4 h_4 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_5) \right],
\]

(17b)

\[
B_{r4}^r = -\sum_{n4=1}^{\infty} \lambda_4 n_4 \cdot e_4^{n4} \cdot \frac{r_2}{r} \cdot \frac{\ln \left( \lambda_4 n_4 \cdot (\Theta_6 - \Theta) \right)}{\lambda_4 n_4 \cdot r_4} \cdot \cos \left[ \lambda_4 n_4 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right],
\]

(17c)

the \( \Theta \)-component of \( B_4 \) by

\[
B_{\Theta4} = B_{\Theta4}^\Theta + B_{\Theta4}^r,
\]

(18a)

\[
B_{\Theta4}^\Theta = \sum_{h4=1}^{\infty} \beta_4 h_4 \cdot \left[ c_4^{h4} \cdot \frac{2}{r} \cdot \frac{p_4(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r)}{p_4(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r_2)} - d_4^{h4} \cdot \frac{2}{r} \cdot \frac{p_4(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r_2)}{p_4(\beta_4 h_4 r_3, r_2)} \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \beta_4 h_4 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_5) \right],
\]

(18b)

\[
B_{\Theta4}^r = -\sum_{n4=1}^{\infty} \lambda_4 n_4 \cdot e_4^{n4} \cdot \frac{r_2}{r} \cdot \frac{\ln \left( \lambda_4 n_4 \cdot (\Theta_6 - \Theta) \right)}{\lambda_4 n_4 \cdot r_4} \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda_4 n_4 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right],
\]

(18c)

where \( h4 \) and \( n4 \) are the spatial harmonic orders in Region 4; \( c_4^{h4} \), \( d_4^{h4} \) and \( e_4^{n4} \) the integration constants; \( \beta_4 h_4 = h_4 \cdot \pi / r_4 \Theta_4 \) with \( r_4 = \Theta_6 - \Theta_5 \) and \( \lambda_4 n_4 = n_4 \cdot \pi / r_4 \) with \( r_4 = \ln (r_3 / r_2) \).

Using Fourier series expansion of \( A_{21} |_{\Theta=\Theta_5} \) and \( A_{22} |_{\Theta=\Theta_5+\Theta_4} \) (see Figure 3d) over the interval \( \Theta = [\Theta_5, \Theta_6] = [\Theta_5, \Theta_5 + \Theta_4] \), the integration constants \( c_4^{h4} \) and \( d_4^{h4} \) are determined in Appendix C with

\[
c_4^{h4} = \int_{\Theta_5}^{\Theta_5+\Theta_4} \frac{A_{21} |_{r=r_2}}{r_2} \cdot \sin \left[ \beta_4 h_4 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_5) \right] \cdot d\Theta,
\]

(19a)

\[
d_4^{h4} = \int_{\Theta_5}^{\Theta_5+\Theta_4} \frac{A_{22} |_{r=r_3}}{r_3} \cdot \sin \left[ \beta_4 h_4 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_5) \right] \cdot d\Theta.
\]

(19b)

With a weighting function \( g(r) = r^{-1} \) and using a Fourier series expansion of \( A_{22} |_{\Theta=\Theta_5 \land r} \) (see Figure 3d) over the interval \( r = [r_2, r_3] \), the integration constant \( e_4^{n4} \) is determined in Appendix C with

\[
e_4^{n4} = \int_{r_2}^{r_3} \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{A_{22} |_{\Theta=\Theta_5}}{r_2} \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda_4 n_4 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right] \cdot dr.
\]

(20)

2.5.5. Region 5

For Region 5, the general solution is given according to the BCs of case-study no 1 (i.e., \( A_5 \) imposed on all edges of a region) in Appendix B. Therefore, \( A_{25} \) satisfying the BCs of Figure 3e and solution of (1a) is given by

\[
A_{25} = A_{25}^\Theta + A_{25}^r,
\]

(21a)
\begin{equation}
A_{\Theta}^5 = \sum_{h5=1}^{\infty} \left[ c5 \Theta_{h5} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_5 h5, r_3, r)}{E_f (\beta_5 h5, r_3, r_2)} + d5 \Theta_{h5} \cdot r_3 \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_5 h5, r, r_2)}{E_f (\beta_5 h5, r, r_2)} \right] \cdot \sin [\beta_5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)],
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
A_{r}^5 = \sum_{n5=1}^{\infty} \left\{ e5 r_{5} \cdot \frac{\sin [\Lambda 5 r_{5} \cdot (\Theta_5 - \Theta_3)]}{\sin [\Lambda 5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)]} + f5 r_{5} \cdot \frac{\sin [\Lambda 5 r_{5} \cdot (\Theta_5 - \Theta_3)]}{\sin [\Lambda 5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)]} \right\} \cdot r_2 \cdot \sin [\Lambda 5 h5 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_2}{r_5} \right)],
\end{equation}

the r-component of \( B_5 \) by
\begin{equation}
B_{r}^5 = B_{r}^5 + B_{r}^5,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
B_{r}^5 = \sum_{h5=1}^{\infty} \beta_5 h5 \cdot \left[ c5 \Theta_{h5} \cdot \frac{r_2}{r_3} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_5 h5, r_2, r)}{E_f (\beta_5 h5, r_3, r_2)} + d5 \Theta_{h5} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_5 h5, r_3, r_2)}{E_f (\beta_5 h5, r_2, r_3)} \right] \cdot \cos [\beta_5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)],
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
B_{r}^5 = \sum_{n5=1}^{\infty} \lambda 5 h5 \cdot \left\{ -e5 r_{5} \cdot \frac{\sin [\Lambda 5 r_{5} \cdot (\Theta_5 - \Theta_3)]}{\sin [\Lambda 5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)]} + f5 r_{5} \cdot \frac{\sin [\Lambda 5 r_{5} \cdot (\Theta_5 - \Theta_3)]}{\sin [\Lambda 5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)]} \right\} \cdot \frac{r_2}{r_3} \cdot \sin [\Lambda 5 h5 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_2}{r_5} \right)],
\end{equation}

the \( \Theta \)-component of \( B_5 \) by
\begin{equation}
B_{\Theta}^5 = B_{\Theta}^5 + B_{\Theta}^5,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
B_{\Theta}^5 = \sum_{h5=1}^{\infty} \beta_5 h5 \cdot \left[ c5 \Theta_{h5} \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{P_y (\beta_5 h5, r_3, r)}{P_y (\beta_5 h5, r_2, r_3)} + d5 \Theta_{h5} \cdot r_3 \cdot \frac{P_y (\beta_5 h5, r_3, r_2)}{P_y (\beta_5 h5, r_2, r_3)} \right] \cdot \sin [\beta_5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)],
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
B_{\Theta}^5 = \sum_{n5=1}^{\infty} \lambda 5 h5 \cdot \left\{ -e5 r_{5} \cdot \frac{\sin [\Lambda 5 r_{5} \cdot (\Theta_5 - \Theta_3)]}{\sin [\Lambda 5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)]} + f5 r_{5} \cdot \frac{\sin [\Lambda 5 r_{5} \cdot (\Theta_5 - \Theta_3)]}{\sin [\Lambda 5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)]} \right\} \cdot \frac{r_2}{r_3} \cdot \cos [\Lambda 5 h5 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_2}{r_5} \right)],
\end{equation}

where \( h5 \) and \( n5 \) are the spatial harmonic orders in Region 5; \( c5 \Theta_{h5} \), \( d5 \Theta_{h5} \), \( e5 r_{5} \) and \( f5 r_{5} \) the integration constants; \( \beta_5 h5 = h5 \cdot \pi / r_3 \) with \( r_3 = \Theta_3 - \Theta_3 \) and \( \lambda 5 h5 = n5 \cdot \pi / r_5 \) with \( r_5 = \ln (r_3 / r_2) \).

Using Fourier series expansion of \( A_{z1}|_{\Theta_3 = r_2} \) and \( A_{z2}|_{\Theta_3 = r_3} \) (see Figure 3e) over the interval \( \Theta = [\Theta_3, \Theta_4] = [\Theta_3, \Theta_3 + \Theta_3] \), the integration constants \( c5 \Theta_{h5} \) and \( d5 \Theta_{h5} \) are determined in Appendix C with
\begin{equation}
c5 \Theta_{h5} = \frac{2}{\Theta_3} \cdot \int_{\Theta_3}^{\Theta_3 + \Theta_3} \frac{A_{z1}|_{r_2}}{r_2} \cdot \sin [\beta_5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)] \cdot d\Theta,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
d5 \Theta_{h5} = \frac{2}{\Theta_3} \cdot \int_{\Theta_3}^{\Theta_3 + \Theta_3} \frac{A_{z2}|_{r_3}}{r_3} \cdot \sin [\beta_5 h5 \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_3)] \cdot d\Theta.
\end{equation}

With a weighting function \( g(r) = r^{-1} \) and using a Fourier series expansion of \( A_{z6}|_{\Theta_3 = r_2} \) and \( A_{z7}|_{\Theta_3 = r_3} \) (see Figure 3f) over the interval \( r = [r_2, r_3] \), the integration constants \( e5 r_{5} \) and \( f5 r_{5} \) are determined in Appendix C with
\begin{equation}
e5 r_{5} = \frac{2}{r_2} \cdot \int_{r_2}^{r_3} \frac{r}{r_2} \cdot \frac{A_{z6}|_{r_2}}{r_2} \cdot \sin [\Lambda 5 r_{5} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_2}{r_2} \right)] \cdot dr,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
f5 r_{5} = \frac{2}{r_2} \cdot \int_{r_2}^{r_3} \frac{r}{r_2} \cdot \frac{A_{z7}|_{r_3}}{r_2} \cdot \sin [\Lambda 5 r_{5} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_2}{r_2} \right)] \cdot dr.
\end{equation}

2.5.6. Region 6

For Region 6, the general solution is given according to the BCs of case-study no 2 (i.e., \( B_r \) and \( A_\Theta \) are respectively imposed on \( r \)- and \( \Theta \)-edges of a region) in Appendix B. Therefore, \( A_{z6} \) satisfying the BCs of Figure 3f and solution of (1b) is given by
\begin{equation}
A_{z6} = A_{z6}^\Theta + A_{z6}^r + A_{z6}^\phi,
\end{equation}
\[ A_{z6} = \left\{ e^{6} \cdot \sum_{h6=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\ln(r/r_1)}{\ln(r/r_2)} \right] + d\delta_{h6} \cdot r_3 \cdot \frac{\ln(r/r_2)}{\ln(r/r_1)} \right\}, \tag{26b} \]

\[ A_{z6} = \sum_{n6=1}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{6} \cdot \frac{\text{ch}[(\lambda_{66}-\lambda_{66} \cdot (\Theta-\Theta_2))] \cdot f^{6} \cdot \frac{\text{ch}[(\lambda_{66}-\lambda_{66} \cdot (\Theta-\Theta_2))] \cdot \text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})}{\text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})} \right\}, \tag{26c} \]

Considering (26b) and (26c) as well as the form of the current density distribution, i.e., (2), a particular solution \( A_{z6} \) can be found. The following particular solution is proposed

\[ A_{z6} = -\frac{1}{4} \cdot r^2 \cdot \mu_6 \cdot J_{z6}. \tag{26d} \]

The \( r \)-component of \( B_6 \) is defined by

\[ B_{r6} = B_{r6}^{\Theta} + B_{r6}^{\psi} + B_{r6}^{\psi}, \tag{27a} \]

\[ B_{r6} = \frac{\delta_{h6} \cdot r_2 \cdot E_f[(\beta_{66}, r_2)] \cdot \text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})}{\text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})} + \frac{d\delta_{h6} \cdot r_3 \cdot E_f[(\beta_{66}, r_3)] \cdot \text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})}{\text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})} \cdot \sin \left[ \beta_{66} \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_2) \right], \tag{27b} \]

\[ B_{r6} = \frac{\delta_{h6} \cdot r_2 \cdot E_f[(\beta_{66}, r_2)] \cdot \text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})}{\text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})} + \frac{d\delta_{h6} \cdot r_3 \cdot E_f[(\beta_{66}, r_3)] \cdot \text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})}{\text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})} \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda_{66} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_2}{r_1} \right) \right], \tag{27c} \]

\[ B_{r6} = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial A_{z6}}{\partial \Theta} = 0, \tag{27d} \]

and the \( \Theta \)-component of \( B_6 \) by

\[ B_{\Theta6} = B_{\Theta6}^{\Theta} + B_{\Theta6}^{\psi} + B_{\Theta6}^{\psi}, \tag{28a} \]

\[ B_{\Theta6} = \frac{\delta_{h6} \cdot r_2 \cdot E_f[(\beta_{66}, r_2)] \cdot \text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})}{\text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})} + \frac{d\delta_{h6} \cdot r_3 \cdot E_f[(\beta_{66}, r_3)] \cdot \text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})}{\text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})} \cdot \cos \left[ \beta_{66} \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_2) \right], \tag{28b} \]

\[ B_{\Theta6} = \frac{\delta_{h6} \cdot r_2 \cdot E_f[(\beta_{66}, r_2)] \cdot \text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})}{\text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})} + \frac{d\delta_{h6} \cdot r_3 \cdot E_f[(\beta_{66}, r_3)] \cdot \text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})}{\text{sh}(\lambda_{66} \cdot \tau_{66})} \cdot \cos \left[ \lambda_{66} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_2}{r_1} \right) \right], \tag{28c} \]

\[ B_{\Theta6} = -\frac{\partial A_{z6}}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot r \cdot \frac{\mu_6 \cdot J_{z6}}{r_6}, \tag{28d} \]

where \( h6 \) and \( n6 \) are the spatial harmonic orders in Region 6; \( c_0^0 \), \( d_0^0 \), \( c_0^h_6 \), \( d_0^h_6 \), \( e_0^h_6 \) and \( f_0^h_6 \) the integration constants; \( \beta_{66} = h6 \cdot \pi / \tau_{66} \) with \( \tau_{66} = \Theta_2 - \Theta_2 \); and \( \lambda_{66} = n6 \cdot \pi / \tau_{66} \) with \( \tau_{66} = \ln \left( r_3 / r_1 \right) \).

Using Fourier series expansion of \( A_{z1} |_{\Theta = \Theta_1 = r_1} \) and \( A_{z2} |_{\Theta = \Theta_2 = r_2} \) (see Figure 3) over the interval \( \Theta = [\Theta_2, \Theta_3] = [\Theta_2, \Theta_2 + \tau_{66}] \), the integration constants \( c_{60}^0 \) & \( c_{60}^h_6 \) and \( d_{60}^0 \) & \( d_{60}^h_6 \) are determined in Appendix C with

\[ c_{60}^0 = \frac{1}{\tau_{66}} \cdot \int_{\Theta_2}^{\Theta_2 + \tau_{66}} \left[ A_{z1} |_{r = r_2} - A_{z6} |_{r = r_2} \right] \cdot d\Theta, \tag{29a} \]

\[ c_{60}^h_6 = \frac{1}{\tau_{66}} \cdot \int_{\Theta_2}^{\Theta_2 + \tau_{66}} \left[ A_{z1} |_{r = r_2} - A_{z6} |_{r = r_2} \right] \cdot \cos \left[ \beta_{66} \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_2) \right] \cdot d\Theta, \tag{29b} \]

\[ d_{60}^0 = \frac{1}{\tau_{66}} \cdot \int_{\Theta_2}^{\Theta_2 + \tau_{66}} \left[ A_{z1} |_{r = r_3} - A_{z6} |_{r = r_3} \right] \cdot d\Theta, \tag{29c} \]
\[ d\beta_{16}^r = \frac{2}{t_{16}} \cdot \frac{\Theta_2 + t_{16}}{\Theta_2} \cdot \int_{r_2}^{r_3} \left[ A_{12} |_{r=r_2} - A_{12} |_{r=r_3} \right] \cdot \cos \left[ \beta_{16}^r \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_2) \right] \cdot d\Theta. \]  

(29d)

Using a Fourier series expansion of \( \mu_6/\mu_5 \cdot B_{r5}(\Theta=\Theta_2, r=r) \) and \( \mu_6/\mu_3 \cdot B_{r3}(\Theta=\Theta_2, r=r) \) (see Figure 3f) over the interval \( r = [r_2, r_3] \), the integration constants \( c_{616}^r \) and \( f_{616}^r \) are determined in Appendix C with

\[ c_{616}^r = \frac{2}{t_{16}} \cdot \int_{r_2}^{r_3} \frac{1}{r_2} \cdot \frac{\mu_6}{\mu_5} \cdot B_{r5}(\Theta=\Theta_3, r) - B_{r5}(\Theta=\Theta_2, r) \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda_{616} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right] \cdot dr, \]  

(30a)

\[ f_{616}^r = \frac{2}{t_{16}} \cdot \int_{r_2}^{r_3} \frac{1}{r_2} \cdot \frac{\mu_6}{\mu_3} \cdot B_{r3}(\Theta=\Theta_2, r) - B_{r3}(\Theta=\Theta_3, r) \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda_{616} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right] \cdot dr. \]  

(30b)

2.5.7. Region 7

The solution in Region 7 is using the same development than Region 6. Thus, \( A_{17} \) satisfying the BCs of Figure 3g and solution of (2) is defined by

\[ A_{17} = A_{17}^0 + A_{17}' + A_{17}'' \]  

(31a)

\[ A_{17}^0 = \left| \begin{array}{c} c_{717}^0 \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{\ln(r_1/r_2)}{\ln(r_1/r_2)} + d_{717}^0 \cdot r_3 \cdot \frac{\ln(r_2/r_3)}{\ln(r_1/r_2)} \\
\vdots + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left[ c_{717}^h \cdot r_2 \cdot \frac{E_j(\beta_{717}, r_3)}{E_j(\beta_{717}, r_3, r_2)} + d_{717}^h \cdot r_3 \cdot \frac{E_j(\beta_{717}, r_2)}{E_j(\beta_{717}, r_3, r_2)} \right] \cdot \cos \left[ \beta_{717} \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_4) \right] \end{array} \right|, \]  

(31b)

\[ A_{17}' = \sum_{n_7=1}^{\infty} \left\{ c_{717}^{n_7} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3))}{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3, \tau_{7}))} + f_{717}^{n_7} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3))}{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3, \tau_{7}))} \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_{717}^n} \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda_{717} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right] \]  

(31c)

\[ A_{17}'' = - \frac{1}{4} \cdot r^2 \cdot \mu_7 \cdot f_{717}. \]  

(31d)

The \( r \)-component of \( B_7 \) is defined by

\[ B_{r7} = B_{r7}^0 + B_{r7}' + B_{r7}'', \]  

(32a)

\[ B_{r7}^0 = - \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \beta_{717} \cdot \left[ c_{717}^0 \cdot \frac{r_2}{7} \cdot \frac{E_j(\beta_{717}, r_3)}{E_j(\beta_{717}, r_3, r_2)} + d_{717}^0 \cdot \frac{r_3}{7} \cdot \frac{E_j(\beta_{717}, r_2)}{E_j(\beta_{717}, r_3, r_2)} \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \beta_{717} \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_4) \right], \]  

(32b)

\[ B_{r7}' = \sum_{n_7=1}^{\infty} \left\{ c_{717}^{n_7} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3))}{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3, \tau_{7}))} + f_{717}^{n_7} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3))}{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3, \tau_{7}))} \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_{717}^n} \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda_{717} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right], \]  

(32c)

\[ B_{r7}'' = \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial A_{17}''}{\partial \Theta} = 0, \]  

(32d)

and the \( \Theta \)-component of \( B_7 \) by

\[ B_{\Theta7} = B_{\Theta7}^0 + B_{\Theta7}' + B_{\Theta7}'', \]  

(33a)

\[ B_{\Theta7}^0 = \left| \begin{array}{c} c_{717}^0 \cdot \frac{r_2}{7} \cdot \frac{1}{\ln(r_1/r_2)} - d_{717}^0 \cdot \frac{r_3}{7} \cdot \frac{1}{\ln(r_1/r_2)} \\
\vdots + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \beta_{717} \cdot \left[ c_{717}^h \cdot \frac{r_2}{7} \cdot \frac{P_j(\beta_{717}, r_3)}{P_j(\beta_{717}, r_3, r_2)} - d_{717}^h \cdot \frac{r_3}{7} \cdot \frac{P_j(\beta_{717}, r_2)}{P_j(\beta_{717}, r_3, r_2)} \right] \cdot \cos \left[ \beta_{717} \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_4) \right] \end{array} \right|, \]  

(33b)

\[ B_{\Theta7}' = - \sum_{n_7=1}^{\infty} \left\{ c_{717}^{n_7} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3))}{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3, \tau_{7}))} + f_{717}^{n_7} \cdot \frac{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3))}{\sinh(\lambda_{717}(\Theta - \Theta_3, \tau_{7}))} \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_{717}^n} \cdot \cos \left[ \lambda_{717} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right], \]  

(33c)

\[ B_{\Theta7}'' = - \frac{\partial A_{17}''}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot r \cdot \mu_7 \cdot f_{717}, \]  

(33d)

where \( h7 \) and \( n7 \) are the spatial harmonic orders in Region 7; \( c_{717}^0, d_{717}^0, c_{717}^h, d_{717}^h, c_{717}^{n_7} \) and \( f_{717}^{n_7} \) the integration constants; \( \beta_{717} = h7 \cdot \pi / \tau_{7} \) with \( \tau_{7} = \Theta_5 - \Theta_4 \); and \( \lambda_{717} = n7 \cdot \pi / \tau_{7} \) with \( \tau_{7} = \ln (r_3 / r_2) \).
Using Fourier series expansion of \( A_{z1}\vmid_{\Theta, r=r_2} \) and \( A_{z2}\vmid_{\Theta, r=r_2} \) (see Figure 3g) over the interval \( \Theta = [\Theta_4, \Theta_5] = [\Theta_4, \Theta_4 + \tau_{\Theta}] \), the integration constants \( c7^\Theta_0 \) & \( c7^\Theta_{h7} \) and \( d7^\Theta_0 \) & \( d7^\Theta_{h7} \) are determined in Appendix C with

\[
c7^\Theta_0 = \frac{1}{\tau_{\Theta}} \cdot \int_{\Theta_4}^{\Theta_4+\tau_{\Theta}} \frac{1}{r_2} \cdot \left[ A_{z1}\vmid_{r=r_2} - A_{z2}\vmid_{r=r_2} \right] \cdot d\Theta, \quad (34a)
\]

\[
c7^\Theta_{h7} = \frac{2}{\tau_{\Theta}} \cdot \int_{\Theta_4}^{\Theta_4+\tau_{\Theta}} \frac{1}{r_2} \cdot \left[ A_{z1}\vmid_{r=r_2} - A_{z2}\vmid_{r=r_2} \right] \cdot \cos [\beta7_{h7} \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_4)] \cdot d\Theta, \quad (34b)
\]

\[
d7^\Theta_0 = \frac{1}{\tau_{\Theta}} \cdot \int_{\Theta_4}^{\Theta_4+\tau_{\Theta}} \frac{1}{r_3} \cdot \left[ A_{z2}\vmid_{r=r_3} - A_{z2}\vmid_{r=r_3} \right] \cdot d\Theta, \quad (34c)
\]

\[
d7^\Theta_{h7} = \frac{2}{\tau_{\Theta}} \cdot \int_{\Theta_4}^{\Theta_4+\tau_{\Theta}} \frac{1}{r_3} \cdot \left[ A_{z2}\vmid_{r=r_3} - A_{z2}\vmid_{r=r_3} \right] \cdot \cos [\beta7_{h7} \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_4)] \cdot d\Theta. \quad (34d)
\]

Using a Fourier series expansion of \( \mu7 / \mu4 \cdot B_{z4}\vmid_{\Theta=\Theta_4+\tau_{r}} \) and \( \mu5 / \mu8 \cdot B_{z8}\vmid_{\Theta=\Theta_4+\tau_{r}} \) (see Figure 3g) over the interval \( r = [r_2, r_3] \), the integration constants \( c7^r_{h7} \) and \( f7^r_{h7} \) are determined in Appendix C with

\[
c7^r_{h7} = \frac{2}{\tau_{r}} \cdot \int_{r_2}^{r_3} \frac{1}{r_2} \cdot \left[ \frac{\mu7}{\mu4} \cdot B_{z4}\vmid_{\Theta=\Theta_5} - B_{z4}\vmid_{\Theta=\Theta_5} \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda7_{h7} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right] \cdot dr, \quad (35a)
\]

\[
f7^r_{h7} = \frac{2}{\tau_{r}} \cdot \int_{r_2}^{r_3} \frac{1}{r_2} \cdot \left[ \frac{\mu7}{\mu5} \cdot B_{z5}\vmid_{\Theta=\Theta_4} - B_{z5}\vmid_{\Theta=\Theta_4} \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda7_{h7} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_2} \right) \right] \cdot dr. \quad (35b)
\]

3. Validation of the Semi-Analytic Method with FEA

3.1. Introduction

The objective of this section is to validate the proposed 2-D subdomain method in polar coordinates \((r, \Theta)\) on the magnetic field distribution in relation to the numerical method. The physical and geometrical parameters of studied electromagnetic device are given in Table 1.

For this validation, the air- or iron-cored coil has been modeled using Cedrat’s Flux2D (Version 10.2.1., Altair Engineering, Meylan Cedex, France) software package (i.e., an advanced finite-element method based numeric field analysis program) [40]. The finite-element model is done with the same coordinates \((\Theta, A)\) \((r_1, B)\) geometrical parameters of studied electromagnetic device are given in Table 1.

For this validation, the air- or iron-cored coil has been modeled using Cedrat’s Flux2D (Version 10.2.1., Altair Engineering, Meylan Cedex, France) software package (i.e., an advanced finite-element method based numeric field analysis program) [40]. The finite-element model is done with the same coordinates \((\Theta, A)\) \((r_1, B)\) geometrical parameters of studied electromagnetic device are given in Table 1.

The Maxwell-Fourier methods exhibit a similar problem to the numerical methods due to the periodicity of Fourier series, and consequently to the finite number of harmonics. Hence, \( A_z \) and \( B = \{ B_r; B_0; 0 \} \) in the various regions (see § 2.5. General Solutions of Various Regions) have been computed with a finite number of spatial harmonics terms \( H_{1max} - H_{7max} \) (for the O-edges) and \( N_{3max} - N_{7max} \) (for the r-edges). As indicated in [41,42], these spatial harmonics terms, given in Table 1, have been imposed according to an optimal ratio, i.e., for \( H_{1max} \) given,

\[
H_{max} = H_{1max} \cdot \frac{\tau_{\Theta}}{\tau_{r}} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{max} = H_{max} \cdot \frac{\tau_{r}}{\tau_{r}}.
\]
Table 1. Physical and Geometrical Parameters of the Air- or Iron-Cored Coil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters, Symbols [Units]</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of turns of the coil, ( N ) ([-])</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply current, ( I ) ([A])</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductor Surface, ( S_c ) ([mm^2])</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current density of the coil, ( J_{zk} ) ([A/mm^2])</td>
<td>±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective axial length, ( L_z ) ([mm])</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometrical parameters in the ( \Theta )-axis, {( \Theta_1; \Theta_2; \Theta_3; \Theta_4; \Theta_5; \Theta_6 )} ([deg.])</td>
<td>{0; 17; 21; 29; 33; 50}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometrical parameters in the ( r )-axis, {( r_1; r_2; r_3; r_4 )} ([mm])</td>
<td>{21; 81; 100; 160}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative magnetic permeability of the iron, ( \mu_{iron} ) ([-])</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of harmonics for Region 1, ( H_{1_{max}} ) ([-])</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of harmonics for Region 2, ( H_{2_{max}} ) ([-])</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of harmonics for Region 3, {( H_{3_{max}}; N_{3_{max}} )} ([-])</td>
<td>{88; 124}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of harmonics for Region 4, {( H_{4_{max}}; N_{4_{max}} )} ([-])</td>
<td>{88; 124}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of harmonics for Region 5, {( H_{5_{max}}; N_{5_{max}} )} ([-])</td>
<td>{42; 124}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of harmonics for Region 6, {( H_{6_{max}}; N_{6_{max}} )} ([-])</td>
<td>{21; 124}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of harmonics for Region 7, {( H_{7_{max}}; N_{7_{max}} )} ([-])</td>
<td>{21; 124}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. 2-D finite-element analysis (FEA) mesh for the air- or iron-cored coil.

The linear system size depends on the number of: (i) regions; (ii) BCs; and (iii) harmonics of each subdomain. In our study, the linear system (C.3) consists of 2,036 elements which is much smaller than the 2-D FEA mesh having 3,081 surfaces elements of second order (viz., the triangles number of system). For information, the 2-D FEA mesh for an air- or iron-cored coil is illustrated in Figure 4. The personal computer used for this comparison has the following characteristics: HP Z800 Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU@2.4 GHz (with 2 processors) RAM 16 Go 64 bits. The computation time of 2-D subdomain model is divided by 2 (viz., 0.5 sec for 2-D subdomain model and 1 sec for the 2-D FEA).

3.2. Results Discussion

The validation paths of \( A_z \) and \( B = \{B_r; B_\Theta; 0\} \) for the semi-analytic and numeric comparison are given in Figure 5.

The waveforms of global quantities are shown on different paths in Figure 6 for \( A_z \) and in Figure 7–11 for the components of \( B \). The solid lines represent the global quantities computed by the 2-D FEA and the circles correspond to 2-D subdomain model. Comparing those results with 2-D FEA,
it can be shown that a very good evaluation is obtained for $A_z$ and for the components of $\mathbf{B}$, whatever the paths, for both air- and iron-core. This confirms that the effect of global saturation can be taken into account accurately. It is interesting to note that numerical peaks appear in the FEA results (see Figure 6e, Figure 7, Figure 8b and Figure 11b) which are mainly due to the mesh. The relative error is less than 1.5% for the various global quantities (see Figure 6a and 6c for the maximum error).

4. Conclusion

It has been demonstrated that there exists no exact semi-analytical model based on the subdomain technique in polar coordinates taking into account of iron parts with(out) the nonlinear $B(H)$ curve. An improved 2-D subdomain method in polar coordinates $(r, \Theta)$ to study the magnetic field distribution in the iron parts with a finite relative permeability have been presented in this paper. Nevertheless, the research work is an extension of [1] in polar coordinates $(r, \Theta)$.

The proposed new subdomain model is applied to an air- or iron-cored coil supplied by a constant current. The magnetic field solutions in the subdomains and interfaces conditions between regions are carried out in the two directions (i.e., $r$- and $\Theta$-axis). The iron relative permeability used in this model is constant and corresponds to the linear part of the nonlinear $B(H)$ curve. However, the whole $B(H)$ curve of the magnetic material can be applied with an iterative algorithm as in [29,30,34]. The proposed subdomain method in polar coordinates $(r, \Theta)$ takes less computing time than the FEA (approximately 2 fold versus to FEA). It is very suitable for design and optimisation of the electromechanical systems in general and electrical machines in particular. The semi-analytical results have been validated with FEA and good agreement has been obtained in both amplitudes and waveforms.

The major scientific contribution is the 2-D semi-analytical analysis of the rotating electrical machines (e.g., radial-flux machines, etc.) with(out) magnets supplied by a direct or alternate current (with any waveforms).
Figure 6. Waveform of $A_z$ for: (a) Path 1, (b) Path 2, (c) Path 3, (d) Path 4, and (e) Path 5.
Figure 7. Waveform of $B$ for Path 1: (a) $r$- and (b) $\Theta$-component.

Figure 8. Waveform of $B$ for Path 2: (a) $r$- and (b) $\Theta$-component.

Figure 9. Waveform of $B$ for Path 3: (a) $r$- and (b) $\Theta$-component.
The $r$-component of the magnetic flux density for Path 4:

-0.015
0.025
-1.67e-3
1.65e-3

Air-cored coil

The $r$-component of the magnetic flux density for Path 5:

-0.12
0.02
-8e-3
6e-3

Iron-cored coil

(magnetization direction [43]), $\mu = \mu_0 \cdot \mu_r$ is the absolute magnetic permeability of the magnetic
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Appendix A The 2-D General Solution of EDPs (i.e., Laplace’s and Poisson’s equations) in Polar Coordinates

Using the magnetostatic Maxwell’s equations (viz., the Maxwell-Ampere law, the Maxwell-Thomson law, and the magnetic material equation) [1], the general EDPs in terms of magnetic vector potential $A = \{0; 0; A_z\}$ with $\mu = \mu_r^4$ can be expressed in polar coordinates $(r, \Theta)$ by

$$\Delta A_z = \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial \Theta^2} = ES,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1a)

$$ES = - \left[ \mu \cdot J_z + \frac{\mu_0}{r} \cdot \left( M_\Theta + r \cdot \frac{\partial M_\Theta}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial M_r}{\partial \Theta} \right) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1b)

where $J = \{0; 0; J_z\}$ is the current density (due to supply currents) vector, $M = \{M_r; M_\Theta; 0\}$ is magnetization vector (with $M = 0$ for the vacuum/iron or $M \neq 0$ for the magnets according to the magnetization direction [43]), and $\mu = \mu_0 \cdot \mu_r$ is the absolute magnetic permeability of the magnetic

Figure 10. Waveform of $B$ for Path 4: (a) $r$- and (b) $\Theta$-component.

Figure 11. Waveform of $B$ for Path 5: (a) $r$- and (b) $\Theta$-component.
material in which \( \mu_0 \) and \( \mu_r \) are respectively the vacuum permeability and the relative permeability of the magnetic material (with \( \mu_r = 1 \) for the vacuum or \( \mu_r \neq 1 \) for the magnets/iron).

The magnetic vector potential \( A_z \) is governed by Poisson’s equation (i.e., \( ES \neq 0 \)) or Laplace’s equation (i.e., \( ES = 0 \)). Using the method of separation of variables, the 2-D general solution of \( A_z \) in both directions (i.e., \( r \)- and \( \Theta \)-edges) can be written as Fourier’s series

\[
A_z = A_z^\Theta + A_z^r + A_{zP},
\]

\[
A_z^\Theta = \left[ C_0^\Theta + D_0^\Theta \cdot \ln (r) \right] \cdot \left( E_0^\Theta + F_0^\Theta \cdot \Theta \right) + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( C_h^\Theta \cdot r^{\beta_h} \cdot \cos (\beta_h \cdot \Theta) \right) \cdot \left[ E_h^\Theta \cdot \cos (\beta_h \cdot \Theta) \right] \cdot \left[ \cdots + F_h^\Theta \cdot \sin (\beta_h \cdot \Theta) \right],
\]

\[
A_z^r = \left[ C_0^r + D_0^r \cdot \ln (r) \right] \cdot \left( E_0^r + F_0^r \cdot \Theta \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( C_n^r \cdot \cos [\lambda_n \cdot \ln (r)] \right) \cdot \left[ E_n^r \cdot \cos (\lambda_n \cdot \Theta) \right] \cdot \left[ \cdots + F_n^r \cdot \sin (\lambda_n \cdot \Theta) \right],
\]

where \( A_{zP} \) is the particular solution of \( A_z \) respecting the second member \( ES \) in (A.1), \( C_0^\Theta - F_0^\Theta \) & \( C_0^r - F_0^r \) the integration constants, \( \beta_h \) & \( \lambda_n \) the spatial frequency (or periodicity) of \( A_z^\Theta \) & \( A_z^r \), and \( h \) & \( n \) the spatial harmonic orders.

Using \( \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \), the components of magnetic flux density \( \mathbf{B} = \{ B_r, B_\Theta; 0 \} \) can be deduced by

\[
B_r = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial \Theta} \quad \text{and} \quad B_\Theta = -\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r},
\]

which leads to

\[
B_r = B_r^\Theta + B_r^r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_{zP}}{\partial \Theta},
\]

\[
B_r^\Theta = \left[ \frac{E_0^\Theta}{r} \cdot \left( C_0^\Theta + D_0^\Theta \cdot \ln (r) \right) \right] + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{E_h^\Theta}{r} \cdot \cos (\beta_h \cdot \Theta) \right) \cdot \left[ -E_h^\Theta \cdot \sin (\beta_h \cdot \Theta) \right],
\]

\[
B_r^r = \left[ \frac{E_r^r}{r} \cdot \left( C_0^r + D_0^r \cdot \ln (r) \right) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{E_n^r}{r} \cdot \cos (\lambda_n \cdot \Theta) \right) \cdot \left[ -E_n^r \cdot \sin (\lambda_n \cdot \Theta) \right],
\]

and

\[
B_\Theta = B_\Theta^\Theta + B_\Theta^r - \frac{\partial A_{zP}}{\partial r},
\]

\[
B_\Theta^\Theta = \left[ \frac{D_0^\Theta}{r} \cdot \left( E_0^\Theta + F_0^\Theta \cdot \Theta \right) \right] + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{D_h^\Theta}{r} \cdot \cos (\beta_h \cdot \Theta) \right) \cdot \left[ E_h^\Theta \cdot \cos (\beta_h \cdot \Theta) \right] \cdot \left[ \cdots + F_h^\Theta \cdot \sin (\beta_h \cdot \Theta) \right],
\]

\[
B_\Theta^r = -\left[ \frac{D_0^r}{r} \cdot \left( E_0^r + F_0^r \cdot \Theta \right) \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{D_n^r}{r} \cdot \cos (\lambda_n \cdot \Theta) \right) \cdot \left[ E_n^r \cdot \cos (\lambda_n \cdot \Theta) \right] \cdot \left[ \cdots + F_n^r \cdot \sin (\lambda_n \cdot \Theta) \right].
\]
Figure B.1. $A_z$ imposed on all edges of a region: (a) General and (b) Principle of superposition.

Figure B.2. Particular case: $A_z = 0$ on $\Theta$-edges and $A_z$ imposed on $r$-edges of a region.

**Appendix B  Simplification of Laplace’s Equations according to imposed BCs**

**Appendix B.1 Case-Study no 1: "$A_z$ imposed on all edges of a region"**

Figure B.1a shows a region (for $\Theta \in [\Theta_r, \Theta_l]$ and $r \in [r_l, r_l]$) whose $A_z$ imposed on all edges. By respecting the BCs and applying the principle of superposition on the magnetic quantities, Figure B.1a is redefined by Figure B.1b.

In the case-study no 1, $A_z = A_z^\Theta + A_z^\varphi$, i.e., (A.2), is redefined by

\[
A_z^\Theta = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left[ c_h \cdot r_l \cdot \frac{E_f(\beta h, r_l)}{E_f(\beta h, r_l)} + d_h \cdot r_l \cdot \frac{E_f(\beta h, r_l)}{E_f(\beta h, r_l)} \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \beta h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_r) \right], \tag{B.1a}
\]

\[
A_z^\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ e_n \cdot \frac{sh[\lambda n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_r)]}{sh(\lambda n \cdot \Theta)} + f_n \cdot \frac{sh[\lambda n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_r)]}{sh(\lambda n \cdot \Theta)} \right\} \cdot r_l \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_l} \right) \right], \tag{B.1b}
\]

the component $B_r = B_r^\Theta + B_r^\varphi$ of $\mathbf{B}$, i.e., (A.4), by

\[
B_r^\Theta = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \beta h \cdot \left[ c_h \cdot r_l \cdot \frac{E_f(\beta h, r_l)}{E_f(\beta h, r_l)} + d_h \cdot r_l \cdot \frac{E_f(\beta h, r_l)}{E_f(\beta h, r_l)} \right] \cdot \cos \left[ \beta h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_r) \right], \tag{B.2a}
\]

where $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the magnetic field and the induced electric field, respectively. The magnetic potential $\Phi$ is the solution of the magnetic field, and the magnetic scalar potential $\Theta$ is the solution of the induced electric field.

The expressions for the potentials $\Phi$ and $\Theta$ are given by

\[
\Phi = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{r}, \quad \Theta = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{r},
\]

where $\Omega$ is the region of the problem.
\[ B'_r = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \cdot \left\{ -c'_n \cdot \frac{ch \left[ \lambda_n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) \right]}{sh (\lambda_n \cdot \tau_{\Theta})} + f'_n \cdot \frac{ch \left[ \lambda_n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) \right]}{sh (\lambda_n \cdot \tau_{\Theta})} \right\} \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda_n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_i} \right) \right], \] (B.2b)

and the component \( B_\Theta = B_\Theta^\Theta + B_\Theta^0 \) of \( B \), i.e., (A.5), by

\[ B_\Theta^\Theta = -\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \beta_h \cdot \left[ -c'_h \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \frac{P_f (\beta_h, r_i, r)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)} + d'_h \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \frac{P_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)} \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \beta_h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) \right], \] (B.3a)

\[ B_\Theta^0 = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \cdot \left\{ c'_n \cdot \frac{sh [\lambda_n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) / \lambda_n]}{sh (\lambda_n \cdot \tau_{\Theta})} + f'_n \cdot \frac{sh [\lambda_n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) / \lambda_n]}{sh (\lambda_n \cdot \tau_{\Theta})} \right\} \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \cos \left[ \lambda_n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_i} \right) \right], \] (B.3b)

where \( c'_h, d'_h, c'_n \) and \( f'_n \) are new integration constants; \( \beta_h = h \cdot \pi / \tau_{\Theta} \) with \( \tau_{\Theta} = \Theta_i - \Theta_r \); \( \lambda_n = n \cdot \pi / \tau_r \) with \( \tau_r = \ln (r_i / r_i) \); and \( E_f (w, x, y) \) & \( P_f (w, x, y) \) are [44]

\[ E_f (w, x, y) = \left( \frac{x}{y} \right)^w - \left( \frac{y}{x} \right)^w \quad \text{and} \quad P_f (w, x, y) = \left( \frac{x}{y} \right)^w + \left( \frac{y}{x} \right)^w, \] (B.4)

with

\[ \frac{\partial E_f (w, x, y)}{\partial x} = \frac{w}{x} \cdot P_f (w, x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial E_f (w, x, y)}{\partial y} = -\frac{w}{y} \cdot P_f (w, x, y), \] (B.5a)

\[ \frac{\partial P_f (w, x, y)}{\partial x} = \frac{w}{x} \cdot E_f (w, x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial P_f (w, x, y)}{\partial y} = -\frac{w}{y} \cdot E_f (w, x, y). \] (B.5b)

When \( A_z = 0 \) on \( \Theta \)-edges and \( A_z \) imposed on \( r \)-edges (see Figure B.2a), \( A_z \) with \( A_z' = 0 \) in (B.1) is expressed by

\[ A_z = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left[ c'_h \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \frac{P_f (\beta_h, r_i, r)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)} \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \beta_h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) \right], \] (B.6a)

the \( r \)-component of \( B \) with \( B'_r = 0 \) in (B.2) by

\[ B_r = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \beta_h \cdot \left[ c'_h \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)} + d'_h \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)} \right] \cdot \cos \left[ \beta_h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) \right], \] (B.6b)

the \( \Theta \)-component of \( B \) with \( B'_\Theta = 0 \) in (B.3) by

\[ B_\Theta = -\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \beta_h \cdot \left[ -c'_h \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \frac{P_f (\beta_h, r_i, r)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)} + d'_h \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \frac{P_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)} \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \beta_h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) \right]. \] (B.6c)

Appendix B.2 Case-Study no 2: “\( B \) and \( A_z \) are respectively imposed on \( r \)- and \( \Theta \)-edges of a region”

Figure B.3a shows a region (for \( \Theta \in [\Theta_l, \Theta_i] \) and \( r \in [r_i, r_i] \)) whose \( A_z \) and \( A_z \) are respectively imposed on \( r \)- and \( \Theta \)-edges. By respecting the BCs and applying the principle of superposition on the magnetic quantities, Figure B.3a is redefined by Figure B.3b.

In the case-study no 2, \( A_z = A_z^\Theta + A_z^r \), i.e., (A.2), is redefined by

\[ A_z^\Theta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\theta_0 \cdot \frac{\ln (r_i / r_i)}{\ln (r_i / r_i)} + \theta_1 \cdot \frac{\ln (r_i / r_i)}{\ln (r_i / r_i)} \\
\cdots + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left[ c'_h \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)} + d'_h \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_i, r_i)} \right] \cdot \cos \left[ \beta_h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) \right] \end{array} \right\}, \] (B.7a)

\[ A_z^r = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \left\{ c'_n \cdot \frac{ch [\lambda_n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) / \lambda_n]}{sh (\lambda_n \cdot \tau_{\Theta})} - f'_n \cdot \frac{ch [\lambda_n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta) / \lambda_n]}{sh (\lambda_n \cdot \tau_{\Theta})} \right\} \cdot \frac{r_i}{r} \cdot \sin \left[ \lambda_n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_i} \right) \right], \] (B.7b)
the component $B_r = B_r^\Theta + B_r'$ of $B$, i.e., (A.4), by

$$B_r^\Theta = -\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \beta_h \left[ c^\Theta_h \cdot \frac{r_l}{r} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_h, r_l, r)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_l, r)} + d^\Theta_h \cdot \frac{r_l}{r} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_h, r_l, r)}{E_f (\beta_h, r_l, r)} \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \beta_h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_r) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.8a)

$$B_r' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ e'_n \cdot \frac{sh (\Lambda_n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1))}{sh (\Lambda_n \cdot \tau_\Theta)} + f'_n \cdot \frac{sh (\Lambda_n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1))}{sh (\Lambda_n \cdot \tau_\Theta)} \right\} \cdot \frac{r_l}{r} \cdot \sin \left[ \Lambda_n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_l} \right) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.8b)

and the component $B_\Theta = B_\Theta^\Theta + B_\Theta'$ of $B$, i.e., (A.5), by

$$B_\Theta^\Theta = \left| \frac{c^\Theta_1 \cdot r_l}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{\ln (r_l)} - c^\Theta_0 \cdot \frac{r_l}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{\ln (r_l)} \right| + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \beta_h \left[ c^\Theta_h \cdot \frac{r_l}{r} \cdot \frac{p_0 (\beta_h, r_l, r)}{p_0 (\beta_h, r_l, r)} - d^\Theta_h \cdot \frac{r_l}{r} \cdot \frac{p_0 (\beta_h, r_l, r)}{p_0 (\beta_h, r_l, r)} \right] \cdot \cos \left[ \beta_h \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_r) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.9a)

$$B_\Theta' = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ e'_n \cdot \frac{ch (\Lambda_n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1))}{sh (\Lambda_n \cdot \tau_\Theta)} - f'_n \cdot \frac{ch (\Lambda_n \cdot (\Theta - \Theta_1))}{sh (\Lambda_n \cdot \tau_\Theta)} \right\} \cdot \frac{r_l}{r} \cdot \cos \left[ \Lambda_n \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_l} \right) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.9b)

where $c^\Theta_0, d^\Theta_0, c^\Theta_h, d^\Theta_h, e'_n$ and $f'_n$ are new integration constants.

Appendix C: Solving of Linear System

Appendix C.1 Calculation of General Integrals

For the determination of the Fourier’s series coefficients, it is required to calculate general integrals of the form

$$F_1^\Theta = \int_{l_i}^{l_i+w} \sin [\alpha_s \cdot (l - l_s)] \cdot dl,$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.1a)

$$F_2^\Theta = \int_{l_i}^{l_i+w} \cos [\alpha_s \cdot (l - l_s)] \cdot \sin [\alpha_s \cdot (l - l_s)] \cdot dl,$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.1b)

$$F_3^\Theta = \int_{l_i}^{l_i+w} \sin [\alpha_{s1} \cdot (l - l_{s1})] \cdot \sin [\alpha_{s2} \cdot (l - l_{s2})] \cdot dl,$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.1c)
The functions (C.1) will be used in the expression of the integration constants. The expressions of (C.1a) – (C.1e) have given in [1,44]. The development of (C.1f) – (C.1k) gives

\[ F_4^\Theta = \int_{l_f}^{l_f+w} ch [\alpha_{ch} \cdot (l - l_{ch})] \cdot \sin [\alpha_s \cdot (l - l_s)] \cdot dl, \]  
\[ F_5^\Theta = \int_{l_f}^{l_f+w} sh [\alpha_{sh} \cdot (l - l_{sh})] \cdot \sin [\alpha_s \cdot (l - l_s)] \cdot dl, \]  
\[ F_1^\gamma = \int_0^r \frac{1}{r} \cdot \sin \left[ \alpha_{s1} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right) \right] \cdot \sin \left[ \alpha_{s2} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right) \right] \cdot dr, \]  
\[ F_2^\gamma = \int_0^r r \cdot \sin \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_1} \right) \right] \cdot dr, \]  
\[ F_3^\gamma = \int_0^r \frac{1}{r} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \cdot \sin \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \right] \cdot dr, \]  
\[ F_4^\gamma = \int_0^r \frac{1}{r} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_i} \right) \cdot \sin \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_i} \right) \right] \cdot dr, \]  
\[ F_5^\gamma = \int_0^r \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{E_f(w,r_i,r)}{E_f(w,r_i,r)} \cdot \sin \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_i} \right) \right] \cdot dr, \]  
\[ F_6^\gamma = \int_0^r \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{E_f(w,r_i,r)}{E_f(w,r_i,r)} \cdot \sin \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_i} \right) \right] \cdot dr. \]  

The functions (C.1) will be used in the expression of the integration constants. The expressions of (C.1a) – (C.1e) have given in [1,44]. The development of (C.1f) – (C.1k) gives

\[ F_1^\gamma (\alpha_{s1}, \alpha_{s2}, r_1, r_1) = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{r_1}{r_1} \right)}{2} \cdot \left\{ \text{sinc} \left[ (\alpha_{s1} - \alpha_{s2}) \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_1}{r_1} \right) \right] - \text{sinc} \left[ (\alpha_{s1} + \alpha_{s2}) \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_1}{r_1} \right) \right] \right\}, \]  
\[ F_2^\gamma (\alpha_s, r_i, r_1) = r_i^2 \cdot \frac{\alpha_s}{\alpha_s + 4} \cdot \left\{ 2 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \cdot \text{sinc} \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \right] + \frac{r_i^2}{r_1} \cdot \cos \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \right] \right\}, \]  
\[ F_3^\gamma (\alpha_s, r_i, r_1) = \frac{1}{\alpha_s} \cdot \left\{ 1 - \text{sinc} \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \right] \right\}, \]  
\[ F_4^\gamma (\alpha_s, r_i, r_1) = \frac{1}{\alpha_s} \cdot \left\{ \text{sinc} \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \right] - \cos \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \right] \right\}, \]  
\[ F_5^\gamma (\alpha_s, r_i, r_1) = - \frac{\alpha_s}{w^2 + \alpha_s^2} \cdot \left\{ w \cdot \frac{2}{E_f(w,r_i,r_1)} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \cdot \text{sinc} \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \right] - 1 \right\}, \]  
\[ F_6^\gamma (\alpha_s, r_i, r_1) = \frac{\alpha_s}{w^2 + \alpha_s^2} \cdot \left\{ w \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \cdot \frac{P_4(w,r_i,r_1)}{E_f(w,r_i,r_1)} \cdot \text{sinc} \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \right] - \cos \left[ \alpha_s \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r_i}{r_1} \right) \right] \right\}. \]  

Appendix C.2 Determination of Integral Constants

The integration constants are determined by solving

\[ |IC| = [BC]^{-1} \cdot [ES] \quad (\text{i.e., Cramer’s system}) \]  

(C.3)
which consists of

$$X_{\text{max}} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{1\text{max}} + H_{2\text{max}} + 2 \cdot H_{3\text{max}} + N_{3\text{max}} + 2 \cdot H_{4\text{max}} + N_{4\text{max}} \\
\ldots + 2 \cdot (H_{5\text{max}} + N_{5\text{max}}) + 2 \cdot (H_{6\text{max}} + N_{6\text{max}} + 1) + 2 \cdot (H_{7\text{max}} + N_{7\text{max}} + 1) \end{bmatrix} \tag{C.4}$$

equations and unknowns [1], where $H_{1\text{max}} - H_{7\text{max}}$ (for the $\Theta$-edges) and $N_{3\text{max}} - N_{7\text{max}}$ (for the $r$-edges) are the maximal number of spatial harmonics in the various regions for the computation of $A_2$ and $B = \{B_1, B_2, 0\}$. To solve (C.3), a numerical matrix inversion is required for the calculation of $[IC]$. This set is implemented in Matlab® (R2015a, Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) by using the sparse matrix/vectors [1]. Usually, the two reasons for the possibility of including a finite number of harmonics is a limiting computational time and numerical accuracy [45].

The integration constants vector $[IC]$ (of dimension $X_{\text{max}} \times 1$) is defined by

$$[IC] = \begin{bmatrix} [IC1] \\
[IC2] \\
[IC3] \\
[IC4] \\
[IC5] \\
[IC6] \\
[IC7] \end{bmatrix}^T, \tag{C.5a}$$

$$[IC1] = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^{\Theta} \\
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{C.5b}$$

$$[IC2] = \begin{bmatrix} c_2^{\Theta} \\
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{C.5c}$$

$$[IC3] = \begin{bmatrix} c_3^{\Theta} d_3^{\Theta} f_3^{\tau} \\
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{C.5d}$$

$$[IC4] = \begin{bmatrix} c_4^{\Theta} d_4^{\Theta} e_4^{\tau} \\
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{C.5e}$$

$$[IC5] = \begin{bmatrix} c_5^{\Theta} d_5^{\Theta} e_5^{\tau} f_5^{\tau} \\
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{C.5f}$$

$$[IC6] = \begin{bmatrix} c_6^{\Theta} d_6^{\Theta} e_6^{\tau} f_6^{\tau} \\
\end{bmatrix}, \tag{C.5g}$$

$$[IC7] = \begin{bmatrix} c_7^{\Theta} d_7^{\Theta} e_7^{\tau} f_7^{\tau} \\
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{C.5h}$$

The structure of the electromagnetic sources vector $[ES]$ (of dimension $X_{\text{max}} \times 1$) as well as the BCs matrix $[BC]$ (of dimension $X_{\text{max}} \times X_{\text{max}}$) is given in [1] (see § 2.6. Solving of Linear System). The novel corresponding elements in $[ES]$ and $[BC]$ are defined as follows for Region 1

$$Q_{13}c_{h_1,l_3} = -\frac{2}{3\lambda_{h_3}} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \frac{1}{\mu_1} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \left( \lambda_{h_3}, r_{h_3}, 2 \right) \cdot F_3^{\Theta} \left( \lambda_{h_3}, r_{h_3}, 2 \right), \tag{C.6a}$$

$$Q_{13}d_{h_1,l_3} = \frac{2}{3\lambda_{h_3}} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \frac{1}{\mu_1} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \left( \lambda_{h_3}, r_{h_3}, 2 \right) \cdot F_3^{\Theta} \left( \lambda_{h_3}, r_{h_3}, 2 \right), \tag{C.6b}$$

$$Q_{13}f_{h_1,n_3} = \frac{2}{3\lambda_{n_3}} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \frac{1}{\mu_1} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \left( \lambda_{n_3}, r_{n_3}, 2 \right) \cdot F_3^{\Theta} \left( \lambda_{n_3}, r_{n_3}, 2 \right), \tag{C.6c}$$

$$Q_{14}c_{h_1,l_4} = -\frac{2}{3\lambda_{h_4}} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \frac{1}{\mu_1} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \left( \lambda_{h_4}, r_{h_4}, 2 \right) \cdot F_3^{\Theta} \left( \lambda_{h_4}, r_{h_4}, 2 \right), \tag{C.6d}$$

$$Q_{14}d_{h_1,l_4} = \frac{2}{3\lambda_{h_4}} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \frac{1}{\mu_1} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \left( \lambda_{h_4}, r_{h_4}, 2 \right) \cdot F_3^{\Theta} \left( \lambda_{h_4}, r_{h_4}, 2 \right), \tag{C.6e}$$

$$Q_{14}f_{h_1,n_4} = -\frac{2}{3\lambda_{n_4}} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \frac{1}{\mu_1} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \left( \lambda_{n_4}, r_{n_4}, 2 \right) \cdot F_3^{\Theta} \left( \lambda_{n_4}, r_{n_4}, 2 \right), \tag{C.6f}$$

$$Q_{15}c_{h_1,l_5} = -\frac{2}{3\lambda_{h_5}} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \frac{1}{\mu_1} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \left( \lambda_{h_5}, r_{h_5}, 2 \right) \cdot F_3^{\Theta} \left( \lambda_{h_5}, r_{h_5}, 2 \right), \tag{C.6g}$$

$$Q_{15}d_{h_1,l_5} = \frac{2}{3\lambda_{h_5}} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \frac{1}{\mu_1} \frac{P_f}{E_f} \left( \lambda_{h_5}, r_{h_5}, 2 \right) \cdot F_3^{\Theta} \left( \lambda_{h_5}, r_{h_5}, 2 \right). \tag{C.6h}$$
\[ Q_{15c_{11,n5}} = -2 \cdot \frac{\lambda_5 n_5}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_5} \cdot \text{csch} (\lambda_5 n_5 \cdot \tau_{\Theta_5}) \cdot F_5 \Theta (\lambda_5 n_5, \beta_{11}, \Theta_4, \Theta_1, \Theta_3, \tau_{\Theta_5}), \]  

(C.6i)

\[ Q_{15f_{11,n5}} = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda_5 n_5}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_5} \cdot \text{csch} (\lambda_5 n_5 \cdot \tau_{\Theta_5}) \cdot F_5^\Theta (\lambda_5 n_5, \beta_{11}, \Theta_3, \Theta_1, \Theta_3, \tau_{\Theta_5}), \]  

(C.6j)

\[ Q_{16c_{11,h6}} = -2 \cdot \frac{1}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_6} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{E_f \left( b_{06}, r_{3}, r_2 \right)} \cdot F_1 (\beta_{11}, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \tau_{\Theta_6}) \frac{1}{E_f \left( b_{06}, r_{3}, r_2 \right)} \right\} \]  

(C.6k) for \( h_6 = 0 \)

\[ Q_{16d_{11,h6}} = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_6} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{E_f \left( b_{06}, r_{3}, r_2 \right)} \cdot F_1 (\beta_{11}, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \tau_{\Theta_6}) \frac{1}{E_f \left( b_{06}, r_{3}, r_2 \right)} \right\} \]  

(C.6l) for \( h_6 \neq 0 \)

\[ Q_{16c_{11,n6}} = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_6} \cdot \text{csch} (\lambda_6 n_6 \cdot \tau_{\Theta_6}) \cdot F_4^\Theta (\lambda_6 n_6, \beta_{11}, \Theta_3, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \tau_{\Theta_6}), \]  

(C.6m)

\[ Q_{16f_{11,n6}} = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_6} \cdot \text{csch} (\lambda_6 n_6 \cdot \tau_{\Theta_6}) \cdot F_4^\Theta (\lambda_6 n_6, \beta_{11}, \Theta_3, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \tau_{\Theta_6}), \]  

(C.6n)

\[ Q_{17c_{11,h7}} = -2 \cdot \frac{1}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_7} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{E_f \left( b_{07}, r_{3}, r_2 \right)} \cdot F_1 (\beta_{11}, \Theta_1, \Theta_4, \tau_{\Theta_7}) \frac{1}{E_f \left( b_{07}, r_{3}, r_2 \right)} \right\} \]  

(C.6o) for \( h_7 = 0 \)

\[ Q_{17d_{11,h7}} = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_7} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{E_f \left( b_{07}, r_{3}, r_2 \right)} \cdot F_1 (\beta_{11}, \Theta_1, \Theta_4, \tau_{\Theta_7}) \frac{1}{E_f \left( b_{07}, r_{3}, r_2 \right)} \right\} \]  

(C.6p) for \( h_7 \neq 0 \)

\[ Q_{17c_{11,n7}} = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_7} \cdot \text{csch} (\lambda_7 n_7 \cdot \tau_{\Theta_7}) \cdot F_4^\Theta (\lambda_7 n_7, \beta_{11}, \Theta_4, \Theta_1, \Theta_4, \tau_{\Theta_7}), \]  

(C.6q)

\[ Q_{17f_{11,n7}} = -2 \cdot \frac{1}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_7} \cdot \text{csch} (\lambda_7 n_7 \cdot \tau_{\Theta_7}) \cdot F_4^\Theta (\lambda_7 n_7, \beta_{11}, \Theta_5, \Theta_1, \Theta_4, \tau_{\Theta_7}), \]  

(C.6r)

\[ E_{16h_1} \equiv E_{17h_1} = 2 \cdot \frac{r_2}{\tau_0} \cdot \left[ J_{20} \cdot F_1 (\beta_{11}, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \tau_{\Theta_6}) + J_{27} \cdot F_1 (\beta_{11}, \Theta_1, \Theta_4, \tau_{\Theta_7}) \right], \]  

(C.6s)

for Region 2

\[ Q_{23c_{12,h3}} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_3 h_3}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_3} \cdot \frac{r_2}{r_3} \cdot \frac{2}{F^\Theta (\beta_3 h_3, \beta_{22}, \Theta_1, \Theta_1, \Theta_3)}, \]  

(C.7a)

\[ Q_{23d_{12,h3}} = 2 \cdot \frac{\beta_3 h_3}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_3} \cdot \frac{P_d}{E_f \left( \beta_3 h_3, r_3, r_2 \right)} \cdot F_3^\Theta (\beta_3 h_3, \beta_{22}, \Theta_1, \Theta_1, \Theta_3), \]  

(C.7b)

\[ Q_{23c_{12,n3}} = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda_3 h_3}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_3} \cdot \frac{r_2}{r_3} \cdot (-1)^3 \cdot \text{csch} (\lambda_3 h_3 \cdot \tau_{\Theta_3}) \cdot F_5^\Theta (\lambda_3 h_3, \beta_{22}, \Theta_1, \Theta_1, \Theta_3), \]  

(C.7c)

\[ Q_{24c_{12,h4}} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_4 h_4}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_4} \cdot \frac{r_2}{r_3} \cdot \frac{2}{F^\Theta (\beta_4 h_4, \beta_{22}, \Theta_5, \Theta_1, \Theta_5, \tau_{\Theta_4})}, \]  

(C.7d)

\[ Q_{24d_{12,h4}} = 2 \cdot \frac{\beta_4 h_4}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_4} \cdot \frac{P_d}{E_f \left( \beta_4 h_4, r_3, r_2 \right)} \cdot F_3^\Theta (\beta_4 h_4, \beta_{22}, \Theta_5, \Theta_1, \Theta_5, \tau_{\Theta_4}), \]  

(C.7e)

\[ Q_{24c_{12,n4}} = -2 \cdot \frac{\lambda_4 h_4}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_4} \cdot \frac{r_2}{r_3} \cdot (-1)^4 \cdot \text{csch} (\lambda_4 h_4 \cdot \tau_{\Theta_4}) \cdot F_5^\Theta (\lambda_4 h_4, \beta_{22}, \Theta_1, \Theta_1, \Theta_5), \]  

(C.7f)

\[ Q_{25c_{12,h5}} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_5 h_5}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_5} \cdot \frac{r_2}{r_3} \cdot \frac{2}{F^\Theta (\beta_5 h_5, \beta_{22}, \Theta_3, \Theta_1, \Theta_3, \tau_{\Theta_5})}, \]  

(C.7g)

\[ Q_{25d_{12,h5}} = 2 \cdot \frac{\beta_5 h_5}{\tau_0} \cdot \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_5} \cdot \frac{P_d}{E_f \left( \beta_5 h_5, r_3, r_2 \right)} \cdot F_3^\Theta (\beta_5 h_5, \beta_{22}, \Theta_3, \Theta_1, \Theta_3, \tau_{\Theta_5}), \]  

(C.7h)
$$Q_{25}e_{l2,n5} = -\frac{2}{\tau_{e2}} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{5}}{\mu_{5}} \cdot \frac{r_{2}}{r_{3}} \cdot (-1)^{n} \cdot \cosh \left( \lambda_{5} \cdot \tau_{e3} \right) \cdot F\left( \lambda_{5} \cdot \beta_{2} \cdot \Theta_{2} \right) \cdot P_{3} \left( \lambda_{5} \cdot \beta_{2} \cdot \Theta_{2} \right),$$

$$Q_{25}f_{l2,n5} = -\frac{2}{\tau_{e2}} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{5}}{\mu_{5}} \cdot \frac{r_{2}}{r_{3}} \cdot (-1)^{n} \cdot \cosh \left( \lambda_{5} \cdot \tau_{e3} \right) \cdot F\left( \lambda_{5} \cdot \beta_{2} \cdot \Theta_{2} \right) \cdot P_{3} \left( \lambda_{5} \cdot \beta_{2} \cdot \Theta_{2} \right),$$

$$Q_{26}c_{l2,h6} = -\frac{2}{\tau_{e2}} \cdot \frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{6}} \cdot \frac{r_{2}}{r_{3}} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{\ln \left( \frac{r_{2}}{r_{3}} \right)} \cdot F_{1} \left( \beta_{2} \cdot \Theta_{1} \cdot \Theta_{2} \right) & \text{for } h6 = 0 \\
\frac{1}{\beta_{6} \cdot \Theta_{6}} \cdot F_{2} \left( \beta_{6} \cdot \Theta_{6} \right) & \text{for } h6 \neq 0
\end{array} \right.$$

$$Q_{26}d_{l2,h6} = -\frac{2}{\tau_{e2}} \cdot \frac{\mu_{2}}{\mu_{6}} \cdot \frac{r_{2}}{r_{3}} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{\ln \left( \frac{r_{2}}{r_{3}} \right)} \cdot F_{1} \left( \beta_{2} \cdot \Theta_{1} \cdot \Theta_{2} \right) & \text{for } h6 = 0 \\
\frac{1}{\beta_{6} \cdot \Theta_{6}} \cdot F_{2} \left( \beta_{6} \cdot \Theta_{6} \right) & \text{for } h6 \neq 0
\end{array} \right.$$
for Region 4

\[ Q41_{dh4,l1} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d4}} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{1,1}} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_{1,1}, r_2, r_1)}{P_f (\beta_{1,1}, r_2, r_1)} \cdot F_3^\Theta (\beta_{1,1}, \beta_{4,4}, \Theta_1, \Theta_3, \Theta_5, \tau_{d4}), \]  
(C.9a)

\[ Q42_{ch4,l2} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d4}} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{2,2}} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_{2,2}, r_4, r_3)}{P_f (\beta_{2,2}, r_4, r_3)} \cdot F_3^\Theta (\beta_{2,2}, \beta_{4,4}, \Theta_1, \Theta_3, \Theta_5, \tau_{d4}), \]  
(C.9b)

\[ Q47_{c4,h7} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d4}} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 
F_7^f (\lambda_4, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_7 = 0 \\
(\lambda_7)^{h_7} \cdot F_6^f (\beta_{7,7}, \lambda_4, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_7 \neq 0 
\end{cases}, \]  
(C.9c)

\[ Q47_{d4,h7} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d4}} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 
F_6^f (\lambda_4, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_7 = 0 \\
(\lambda_7)^{h_7} \cdot F_6^f (\beta_{7,7}, \lambda_4, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_7 \neq 0 
\end{cases}, \]  
(C.9d)

\[ Q47_{e4,n7} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d4}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_7} \cdot \coth (\lambda_7 \cdot \tau_{d7}) \cdot F_7^f (\lambda_7, \lambda_4, r_2, r_3), \]  
(C.9e)

\[ Q47_{f4,n7} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d4}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_7} \cdot \csc h (\lambda_7 \cdot \tau_{d7}) \cdot F_7^f (\lambda_7, \lambda_4, r_2, r_3), \]  
(C.9f)

\[ ES47_{n4} = -\mu_7 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \int_7 (\lambda_4, r_2, r_3), \]  
(C.9g)

for Region 5

\[ Q51_{d5,h_1} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d5}} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{1,1}} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_{1,1}, r_2, r_1)}{P_f (\beta_{1,1}, r_2, r_1)} \cdot F_3^\Theta (\beta_{1,1}, \beta_{5,5}, \Theta_1, \Theta_3, \Theta_5, \tau_{d5}). \]  
(C.10a)

\[ Q52_{c5,h_2} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d5}} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{2,2}} \cdot \frac{E_f (\beta_{2,2}, r_4, r_3)}{P_f (\beta_{2,2}, r_4, r_3)} \cdot F_3^\Theta (\beta_{2,2}, \beta_{5,5}, \Theta_1, \Theta_3, \Theta_5, \tau_{d5}). \]  
(C.10b)

\[ Q56_{c5,h_6} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d5}} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 
F_6^f (\lambda_5, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_6 = 0 \\
(\lambda_7)^{h_6} \cdot F_6^f (\beta_{6,6}, \lambda_5, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_6 \neq 0 
\end{cases}, \]  
(C.10c)

\[ Q56_{d5,h_6} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d5}} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 
F_6^f (\lambda_5, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_6 = 0 \\
(\lambda_7)^{h_6} \cdot F_6^f (\beta_{6,6}, \lambda_5, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_6 \neq 0 
\end{cases}, \]  
(C.10d)

\[ Q56_{e5,n_6} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d5}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_6} \cdot \coth (\lambda_6 \cdot \tau_{d6}) \cdot F_6^f (\lambda_6, \lambda_5, r_2, r_3), \]  
(C.10e)

\[ Q56_{f5,n_6} = \frac{2}{\tau_{d5}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_6} \cdot \csc h (\lambda_6 \cdot \tau_{d6}) \cdot F_6^f (\lambda_6, \lambda_5, r_2, r_3), \]  
(C.10f)

\[ Q57_{c5,h_7} = -\frac{2}{\tau_{d5}} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 
F_5^f (\lambda_5, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_7 = 0 \\
F_5^f (\beta_{7,7}, \lambda_5, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_7 \neq 0 
\end{cases}, \]  
(C.10g)

\[ Q57_{d5,h_7} = -\frac{2}{\tau_{d5}} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \begin{cases} 
F_5^f (\lambda_5, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_7 = 0 \\
F_5^f (\beta_{7,7}, \lambda_5, r_2, r_3) 
& \text{for } h_7 \neq 0 
\end{cases}, \]  
(C.10h)

\[ Q57_{e5,n_7} = -\frac{2}{\tau_{d5}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_7} \cdot \csc h (\lambda_7 \cdot \tau_{d7}) \cdot F_5^f (\lambda_7, \lambda_5, r_2, r_3), \]  
(C.10i)

\[ Q57_{f5,n_7} = -\frac{2}{\tau_{d5}} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_7} \cdot \coth (\lambda_7 \cdot \tau_{d7}) \cdot F_5^f (\lambda_7, \lambda_5, r_2, r_3), \]  
(C.10j)

\[ ES56_{n_5} = -\mu_6 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \int_6 (\lambda_5, r_2, r_3), \]  
(C.10k)

\[ ES57_{n_5} = -\mu_7 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \int_7 (\lambda_5, r_2, r_3). \]  
(C.10l)
for Region 6

\[ Q61d_{h6, h1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{h6}} \cdot E_f \left( \beta_{h1, r_2, r_1} \right) \cdot \begin{cases} \Phi_1 \left( \beta_{h1}, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \tau_{h6} \right) \\ \Phi_2 \left( \beta_{h6}, \beta_{h1}, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \tau_{h6} \right) \end{cases} \]  
for \( h6 = 0 \)  
for \( h6 \neq 0 \)  
(C.11a)

\[ Q62d_{h6, h1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{h6}} \cdot E_f \left( \beta_{h2, r_4, r_3} \right) \cdot \begin{cases} \Phi_1 \left( \beta_{h2}, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \tau_{h6} \right) \\ \Phi_2 \left( \beta_{h6}, \beta_{h2}, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \tau_{h6} \right) \end{cases} \]  
for \( h6 = 0 \)  
for \( h6 \neq 0 \)  
(C.11b)

\[ Q63c_{h6, h3} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_{h3}}{\tau_6} \cdot \frac{\mu_6}{\mu_3} \cdot \left( -1 \right)^{h3} \cdot F_5 \left( \beta_{h3}, \lambda_{h6}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.11c)

\[ Q64d_{h6, h3} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_{h3}}{\tau_6} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \left( -1 \right)^{h3} \cdot F_6 \left( \beta_{h3}, \lambda_{h6}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.11d)

\[ Q65f_{h6, n3} = -2 \cdot \frac{\lambda_{n3}}{\tau_{h6}} \cdot \frac{\mu_6}{\mu_3} \cdot \coth \left( \lambda_{n3} \cdot \tau_{h6} \right) \cdot F_7 \left( \lambda_{n3}, \lambda_{h6}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.11e)

\[ Q66c_{h6, h5} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_{h5}}{\tau_6} \cdot \frac{\mu_6}{\mu_5} \cdot F_3 \left( \beta_{h5}, \lambda_{h6}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.11f)

\[ Q67d_{h6, h5} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_{h5}}{\tau_6} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot F_6 \left( \beta_{h5}, \lambda_{h6}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.11g)

\[ Q68c_{h6, n5} = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda_{n5}}{\tau_{h6}} \cdot \frac{\mu_6}{\mu_5} \cdot \coth \left( \lambda_{n5} \cdot \tau_{h6} \right) \cdot F_7 \left( \lambda_{n5}, \lambda_{h6}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.11h)

\[ Q69f_{h6, n5} = -2 \cdot \frac{\lambda_{n5}}{\tau_{h6}} \cdot \frac{\mu_6}{\mu_5} \cdot \csch \left( \lambda_{n5} \cdot \tau_{h6} \right) \cdot F_1 \left( \lambda_{n5}, \lambda_{h6}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.11i)

\[ ES61_0 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \mu_6 \cdot J_{h6} \cdot r_2 \]  
(C.11j)

\[ ES62_0 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \mu_6 \cdot J_{h6} \cdot r_3 \]  
(C.11k)

for Region 7

\[ Q71d_{h7, h1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{h7}} \cdot E_f \left( \beta_{h1, r_2, r_1} \right) \cdot \begin{cases} \Phi_1 \left( \beta_{h1}, \Theta_1, \Theta_4, \tau_{h7} \right) \\ \Phi_2 \left( \beta_{h7}, \beta_{h1}, \Theta_1, \Theta_4, \tau_{h7} \right) \end{cases} \]  
for \( h7 = 0 \)  
for \( h7 \neq 0 \)  
(C.12a)

\[ Q72d_{h7, h2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{7}} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_{h7}} \cdot E_f \left( \beta_{h2, r_4, r_3} \right) \cdot \begin{cases} \Phi_1 \left( \beta_{h2}, \Theta_1, \Theta_4, \tau_{h7} \right) \\ \Phi_2 \left( \beta_{h7}, \beta_{h2}, \Theta_1, \Theta_4, \tau_{h7} \right) \end{cases} \]  
for \( h7 = 0 \)  
for \( h7 \neq 0 \)  
(C.12b)

\[ Q73c_{h7, h4} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_{h4}}{\tau_7} \cdot \frac{\mu_7}{\mu_4} \cdot F_5 \left( \beta_{h4}, \lambda_{h7}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.12c)

\[ Q74d_{h7, h4} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_{h4}}{\tau_7} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot F_6 \left( \beta_{h4}, \lambda_{h7}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.12d)

\[ Q75c_{h7, n4} = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda_{n4}}{\tau_7} \cdot \frac{\mu_7}{\mu_4} \cdot \coth \left( \lambda_{n4} \cdot \tau_{h7} \right) \cdot F_7 \left( \lambda_{n4}, \lambda_{h7}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.12e)

\[ Q76c_{h7, h5} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_{h5}}{\tau_7} \cdot \frac{\mu_7}{\mu_5} \cdot \left( -1 \right)^{h5} \cdot F_5 \left( \beta_{h5}, \lambda_{h7}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.12f)

\[ Q77d_{h7, h5} = -2 \cdot \frac{\beta_{h5}}{\tau_7} \cdot \frac{r_3}{r_2} \cdot \left( -1 \right)^{h5} \cdot F_6 \left( \beta_{h5}, \lambda_{h7}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.12g)

\[ Q78c_{h7, n5} = 2 \cdot \frac{\lambda_{n5}}{\tau_7} \cdot \frac{\mu_7}{\mu_5} \cdot \csch \left( \lambda_{n5} \cdot \tau_{h7} \right) \cdot F_1 \left( \lambda_{n5}, \lambda_{h7}, r_2, r_3 \right) \]  
(C.12h)
\[ Q7 f_{n5} = -\frac{2 \cdot \lambda_5 \cdot \mu_7}{\tau_{n7}} \cdot \frac{\mu_7}{\mu_5} \cdot \coth \left( \lambda_5 \cdot \tau_{n5} \right) \cdot F_1 \left( \lambda_5 \cdot \lambda_7 \cdot r_2, r_3 \right), \]  
\[ ES71_0 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \mu_7 \cdot J_{n7} \cdot r_2, \]  
\[ ES72_0 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \mu_7 \cdot J_{n7} \cdot r_3. \]
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