

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Sustainable Housing Finance in Developing Economies: A Review of Financial Deepening and SDG 11 Alignment

[Kingsley Ofori](#) *

Posted Date: 3 March 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202603.0240.v1

Keywords: sustainable housing finance; developing economies; green mortgages; SDG 11



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Review

Sustainable Housing Finance in Developing Economies: A Review of Financial Deepening and SDG 11 Alignment

Kingsley Ofori

University of Johannesburg, South Africa; kingsleyyofori@gmail.com

Abstract

Sustainable housing finance has emerged as a critical tool for achieving inclusive, resilient, and environmentally responsible urbanization in developing economies, yet access to affordable, climate-resilient housing remains limited. Rapid urbanization, weak institutional frameworks, high borrowing costs, and underdeveloped mortgage markets exacerbate housing deficits, particularly for low-income populations. Recent developments in financial deepening, including the expansion of banking services, fintech innovations, and microfinance programs, provide new opportunities to address these challenges, but integration with sustainability objectives remains uneven. This review synthesizes existing literature and practical experiences to examine innovative mechanisms that can enhance sustainable housing finance, including green mortgages, ESG-linked lending, climate risk-adjusted finance, blended financial instruments, and digital financial technologies. The analysis identifies persistent gaps in the alignment of affordability, environmental sustainability, and financial viability, highlighting the need for context-specific solutions that mobilize both domestic and international capital. Policy frameworks that incentivize sustainable practices, capacity building for financial institutions and developers, and the adoption of data-driven and technology-enabled solutions are emphasized as essential for scaling impact. The review argues that sustainable housing finance should be understood as a strategic nexus of finance, social equity, and environmental resilience capable of accelerating progress toward SDG 11 while stimulating local economic growth.

Keywords: sustainable housing finance; developing economies; green mortgages; SDG 11

Introduction

Getting people into homes they can afford without harming the environment has become key to building better cities. Especially in poorer countries, more people moving to urban areas means shelters are stretched thin. There, banking support for houses barely reaches most citizens because loans cost too much, repayment periods stay short, rules lack strength, plus oversight stays shaky [1]. Families then turn to unregulated housing, offering almost no safety during storms, little protection from weather threats, yet blocking paths to financial stability. New moves in expanding financial tools, like wider bank reach, digital money apps, slow-growing small loan efforts, have opened paths to fix certain missing pieces. Through phone-driven credit and small loans, families can now slowly upgrade where they live; at the same time, select lenders offer extended home financing aimed at those climbing into steady incomes [2]. Still, even with progress, many actions stay scattered, rarely linking up with green goals. Housing cash schemes mostly focus on cost and availability, barely touching eco-friendly design, power savings, or shielding homes from climate threats. That space between quick fixes and lasting strength keeps growing. Further, talks about adding eco-friendly rules to home loans stirs argument. While some worry it might push prices up, cutting off poorer buyers from affordable options. Still, others warn skipping these steps could worsen nature harm, leaving homes less able to face extreme weather. Costs later, both for society and wallets, might climb if nothing changes. Signs now point to new kinds of funding, like green bonds or climate, smart small loans, that balance competing needs. They help lower damage to the planet, draw interest from global

funds focused on change, while pushing builders and families toward stronger, safer buildings. A shift slowly takes shape where risk meets reason.

Lowering costs when moving money around helps green home loans work better, while clearer systems let people see what is really happening behind the scenes. Because computers track risks more closely now, lenders can shape loan options that regular households might actually reach without breaking budgets or ecosystems. Places still growing their banking networks could use such upgrades to support homes that last through storms and price swings alike. Even so, few studies fully connect stronger banks, eco, friendly mortgages, and progress toward fair cities in poorer regions. Filling that space means adjusting laws with care, yes, yet also digging into local realities where cost, nature, and long, term survival meet awkwardly. This paper suggests viewing green home funding not just through the lens of city planning or shelter programs, but as a meeting point where money systems, ecological care, and fair access connect. With the availability of tailored tools, innovative project financing mechanisms, and regulatory frameworks aligned with long-term environmental objectives, emerging nations have the capacity to influence lending practices, thereby fostering the development of inclusive, sustainable, and resilient urban environments.

Innovative Approaches in Sustainable Housing Finance

In rapidly urbanizing nations, housing, finance, and long-term planning intersect under increasing pressure. Accelerated city growth amid escalating climate risks makes innovative, affordable, and resilient housing solutions essential. Evidence from both empirical studies and practical interventions demonstrates that tools already exist to reform housing finance. Moving beyond conventional approaches, these mechanisms channel resources toward objectives such as sustainable urban development—producing housing that withstands environmental shocks while meeting contemporary needs. The convergence of urgency and opportunity shapes the trajectory of future urban housing strategies.

Getting involved in how homes are financed shapes what gets built. Instead of flat discounts, loans might charge less when houses hit eco, goals later, say, after adding rooftop sun catchers or low-flow taps. Such deals keep nudging owners to go greener step by step. Builders could borrow cheaper if they stick to clean timber or slash trash output while constructing. Early tests in places like Nairobi and Bangalore show these loan tweaks actually cut power bills, boost air health indoors, toughen up buildings against climate shocks, and lift property worth over years. Progress shows when money moves with behavior. In Kenya, loans for certified eco-friendly homes—supported by the Kenya Bankers Association's financing initiative—have reduced household energy expenditures by nearly one-third, achieving a significant milestone in long-term affordability. Despite this, banks remain cautious. Limited local experience with new building technologies heightens perceived and actual risks, while regulatory inconsistencies and the absence of shared benchmarks for environmental standards create ambiguity about what qualifies as 'green,' increasing the potential for misrepresentation. Additionally, the higher upfront costs of sustainable materials and systems raise total financing requirements beyond standard mortgage limits, constraining access for buyers seeking more advanced, eco-friendly housing [9].

Another key concern today involves weaving climate financing directly into how homes are funded, shifting focus from just constructing eco-friendly buildings toward actively handling real dangers brought by climate shifts. Because climate threats now influence lending choices, banks and officials find better ways to back energy, smart, disaster-proof structures using tools more advanced than traditional coverage plans [10]. Take index-based policies in zones facing floods or storms, these can attach to home loans and release fixed payouts instantly when sensors detect certain conditions like heavy rain or strong winds, skipping lengthy damage reviews; this quick cash helps families and lenders rebuild fast while stopping environmental disasters from spiraling into wider money troubles. Financing may further push drought, resistant materials such as compacted soil bricks or components storing heat well, alongside storm, ready techniques like fortified roof anchors; lower interest rates covering part of those upgrades allow lenders to help mold sturdier neighborhoods

[11]. Still, most poorer nations barely apply these methods, research lacks proof on whether they work overtime or at larger scales, mainly because making them accessible without raising costs remains difficult, a tension often misunderstood, since safety improvements get seen as costly complications instead of vital safeguards protecting both property value and repayment reliability.

Getting homes within reach for more people means shaping money tools that push cities to grow fairly. Instead of only handing out grants, smarter setups now pull big investors into projects by sharing risks differently, like when charities take the first hit if something fails, letting public lenders step in with cheaper loans, followed by regular banks offering home financing tied to eco-friendly upgrades. These layered funding tricks make room for innovations like energy-saving designs to spread through neighborhoods where incomes are low. Partnerships between government and business do not stop at building houses; they stretch further, locking in how things run years later, say, keeping solar panels maintained or capturing rainfall for reuse. Still, plenty of efforts today either help wallets right away or protect nature, but rarely at once. Too much focus lands on quick fixes or growing markets fast, skipping deeper checks about lasting impacts. When price tags rule every choice, fragile households might end up stuck paying steep power charges or settling in places likely to flood. A better path weighs expenses across decades, showing that spending somewhat more early, on insulation, smart wiring, or storm resistance, slows down future burdens caused by rising costs or damaged buildings.

A foundation of strong rules and updated institutions shapes every kind of progress, because clear policies, honest processes, and reliable oversight help more people join markets and trust financial systems. From here, new technologies can skip outdated setups altogether, take blockchains tracking land records, where ownership details become tamper, proof and open, cutting down conflicts while protecting rights needed to qualify for loans; pair these ledgers with digital IDs, then verifying someones identity becomes quicker and safer when serving poor households left out of banking [14]. Emerging credit assessment systems increasingly incorporate alternative data—such as timely mobile phone payments, rent records, and mobile wallet activity—rather than relying solely on traditional credit histories, particularly for housing loans. Simultaneously, these systems may evaluate environmental risk factors, including solar energy adoption or flood-zone exposure. However, many low- and middle-income countries face a critical capacity gap: government agencies and banks often lack adequately trained personnel to implement complex financial systems. While regulatory frameworks can be established, effective application requires skilled staff capable of processing and interpreting relevant data. For instance, central banks struggle to anticipate long-term climate impacts, such as glacial melt, on housing finance markets without robust risk measurement tools.

A promising innovation involves developing a standardized metric to assess the sustainability of housing finance. Analogous to nutrition labels for food, this single indicator would summarize environmental, social, and financial impacts of a home and its financing. Data inputs could include construction-related emissions, energy efficiency, water reuse, waste management, and accessibility features, alongside social considerations such as affordability, proximity to transit and workplaces, and community participation in planning. Financial factors—loan size relative to property value, borrower reliability, and insurance coverage against climate risks—would also be incorporated. Such a composite score would enable investors and policymakers to direct resources toward developments that deliver both community and environmental benefits. Regular lenders may adjust rates based on actual exposure. Officials could boost support, not just talk, for those hitting strong scores, say cutting interest only if green marks pass threshold. Suddenly, helping cities grow fairly stops being abstract, it links cash moving privately to global fairness goals through something visible and measurable. Still, it remains early days. Pulling this off needs tuning each regions version carefully; getting facts collected in honest, repeatable ways; pulling voices forward, from agencies, companies, neighborhood groups, so none feel tacked on later. Right now, poorer nations face a turning point. Relying on low, cost homes that break easily in storms makes no sense anymore, not with money, not with people, not with nature. Instead, weaving together new ideas like flexible green loans, insurance

tied to weather risks, mixed funding sources, smart tech systems, and clear eco-ratings shifts how housing gets paid for. What used to just move cash now actively shapes stronger communities. This shift builds places that last, include everyone, and care for the planet, even at large size. There's no magic fix here. Progress comes from fitting pieces together, so each boost strengthens the rest, tackling deep, rooted shelter problems head, on.

Future Directions, Policy Implications, and Recommendations

Unlocking sustainable housing finance in developing countries requires ambitious, data-driven approaches. Detailed information on urban patterns and climate risks enables loans and aid to align with local realities. Pooling resources from multiple sources—public funds, private capital, and international support—reduces the burden on any single entity. Such collaborative frameworks engage investors, policymakers, and communities, while grounding risk management in local conditions rather than distant assumptions, fostering resilient and inclusive housing solutions. Finance moves faster when rules line up with long-term goals. Progress sticks when people know how systems change. Numbers matter less than what they reveal about struggle and resilience. Support flows best when it listens first. Big shifts start small, with one loan, one home, one moment of trust.

Also, another vital space is strengthening skills within communities. Training matters, banks, builders, and officials need clear guidance on eco-friendly construction, measuring environmental and social impact, along with newer funding methods for housing that lasts. When knowledge gaps remain, even smart financial designs can miss their mark, failing people and planet alike. Rules and laws should reflect the goals of SDG 11 by rewarding responsible growth, cutting red tape, and requiring transparency around sustainability in major residential developments. With these pieces in place, financing homes sustainably stops being a challenge and begins drawing steady interest from local and global backers.

Digital financial platforms—ranging from mobile-linked banking and fintech applications to blockchain networks—have the potential to transform housing finance through dedicated eco-friendly housing hubs, where green homes can be funded, tracked, and transacted efficiently. These innovations enhance access not only to credit but also to secure housing by improving transparency and lowering transaction costs. Supporting sustainable homes simultaneously promotes urban resilience, equity, and environmental stewardship. By integrating expanded financial inclusion, environmental standards, and forward-looking policies, emerging economies can accelerate progress toward Sustainable Development Goal 11, generate local employment, and improve the distribution of opportunities.

References

1. Marx, R. and Remor, I., 2025. *Developing a Climate-Resilient and Green Affordable Housing Supply through Flexible Loans: The Case of the Solar and Energy Loan Fund (SELF)*. Urban Institute.
2. Pazarbasioglu, C., Mora, A.G., Uttamchandani, M., Natarajan, H., Feyen, E. and Saal, M., 2020. Digital financial services. *World Bank*, 54(1), pp.1-54.
3. Friday, S.C., Lawal, C.I., Ayodeji, D.C. and Sobowale, A., 2022. Advances in digital technologies for ensuring compliance, risk management, and transparency in development finance operations. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 3(1), pp.955-966.
4. Prabhakar, A., 2025. A sustainable and inclusive economic development: a global imperative: a global imperative. *Journal of Recycling Economy & Sustainability Policy*, 4(1), pp.1-16.
5. Kasperson, J.X., Kasperson, R.E., Turner, B.L., Hsieh, W. and Schiller, A., 2022. Vulnerability to global environmental change. In *Social contours of risk* (pp. 245-285). Routledge.
6. Ennin, A.A., Ayarkwa, J., Osei-Asibey, D., Amoah, P., Baah, B. and Atanga, R., 2025. Framework for integrating energy-efficient mortgage into environmentally sustainable housing. *Energy and Buildings*, p.116504.

7. Agyekum, K., Goodier, C. and Oppon, J.A., 2022. Key drivers for green building project financing in Ghana. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 29(8), pp.3023-3050.
8. Mulei, D.N., 2021. *Evaluation of energy efficiency, indoor air quality and sustainability testing of green buildings in Nairobi, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, JKUAT-IBR).
9. Belova, N., Posadneva, E., Plaksa, J., Tesalovsky, A. and Volkodavova, E., 2023. Opportunities of green lending to finance environmental projects to achieve the principles of sustainable development. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(1), pp.e0268-e0268.
10. Schooling, J., Geddes, R., Frawley, D.D., Mair, R.J., O'Rourke, T.D., Powrie, W., Soga, K. and Threlfall, R., 2023. The Role of Funding, Financing and Emerging Technologies in delivering and managing infrastructure for the 21st Century.
11. Holechek, J.L., Geli, H.M., Cibils, A.F. and Sawalhah, M.N., 2020. Climate change, rangelands, and sustainability of ranching in the Western United States. *Sustainability*, 12(12), p.4942.
12. Aderibigbe, G., 2025. Leveraging Blended Finance Models to Unlock Private Capital for Sustainable Development in Emerging Markets. *Available at SSRN 5700222*.
13. Oyegbade, I.K., Igwe, A.N., Ofodile, O.C. and Azubuike, C., 2022. Advancing SME financing through public-private partnerships and low-cost lending: A framework for inclusive growth. *Iconic Research and Engineering Journals*, 6(2), pp.289-302.
14. George, B., 2025. Blockchain-Based Land Tenure Systems: A Conceptual Model for Using Decentralized Technologies in Managing Land Rights and Ownership Disputes. *Land Management and Utilization*, 1(2), pp.32-48.
15. Shastry, V., 2023. *The notorious ESG: Business, climate, and the race to save the planet*. Emerald Group Publishing.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.