

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Multifractal and Phase-Coherence Signatures in the WMAP 9-Year W-Band Temperature Field: Evidence for Non-Gaussian Structure Beyond Gaussian Λ CDM

[John Taylor](#) *

Posted Date: 8 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.0664.v1

Keywords: cosmic microwave background; non-Gaussianity; multifractals; higher-order statistics; phase coherence; spherical harmonics; WMAP; Gaussian Monte Carlo simulations; cosmology: observations; large-scale structure of the universe



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Article

Multifractal and Phase-Coherence Signatures in the WMAP 9-Year W-Band Temperature Field: Evidence for Non-Gaussian Structure Beyond Gaussian Λ CDM

John Taylor

Independent Researcher; jteallc@gmail.com

Abstract

We present a joint multifractal and phase-coherence analysis of the WMAP 9-year W-band CMB temperature anisotropy map, using a framework based on the $\tau(q)$ multifractal spectrum and the phase-coherence envelope R_ℓ . A suite of Gaussian Monte Carlo simulations matched to the empirical C_ℓ distribution provides percentile confidence intervals for both statistics. The observed WMAP data exhibit significant deviations from Gaussian expectations at three scales: (i) a low- ℓ phase-coherence excess ($\ell \lesssim 40$), (ii) a structured acoustic-peak-scale coherence depression and recovery ($100 \lesssim \ell \lesssim 400$), and (iii) a sustained high- ℓ excess ($\ell \gtrsim 600$). These features correlate with departures in the $\tau(q)$ multifractal spectrum, particularly for $q > 0$, where the observed $\tau(q)$ lies persistently above the Monte Carlo median envelope. The combined statistical evidence suggests that the WMAP temperature field contains non-Gaussian structure that cannot be reproduced by phase-randomized or Gaussian Λ CDM surrogates with identical angular power spectra. The results demonstrate the sensitivity of multifractal and phase-coherence diagnostics to subtle higher-order correlations and motivate re-examination of the assumptions underlying Gaussian initial conditions and mode independence.

Keywords: cosmic microwave background; non-Gaussianity; multifractals; higher-order statistics; phase coherence; spherical harmonics; WMAP; Gaussian Monte Carlo simulations; cosmology; observations; large-scale structure of the universe

1. Introduction

Recent analyses of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) increasingly suggest that its structure contains signatures incompatible with purely Gaussian, statistically isotropic initial conditions. Our work builds on this emerging picture by applying multifractal and phase-coherence diagnostics to both observational and simulated CMB maps, directly contrasting standard Λ CDM expectations with empirical results.

A particularly relevant conceptual starting point comes from Leonard Susskind's discussion in *Zero Point Motion and the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation* (2025). In this lecture, Susskind emphasizes that the CMB need not be interpreted as a perfectly featureless Gaussian random field; rather, its structure may encode non-trivial correlations reminiscent of fractal-like or scale-interconnected behavior arising from quantum statistical properties of the early universe. This perspective motivates the investigations pursued in this paper, especially our focus on multifractality, coherence, and deviations from Gaussian surrogate models.

The Gaussianity of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) is a foundational assumption of standard cosmology, supported by inflationary theory and by statistical analyses of temperature fluctuations from COBE, WMAP, and Planck. Many previous works have examined higher-order statistics, including multifractal measures [1–6], surrogate data methods for detecting nonlinear structure [7–10], and a variety of non-Gaussianity searches in both WMAP and Planck data [11–29].

While the simplest Λ CDM model has passed many tests, persistent large-scale anomalies and higher-order correlations remain topics of continued investigation.

The present paper introduces a combined approach using (i) the multifractal $\tau(q)$ spectrum and (ii) the phase-coherence envelope R_ℓ extracted from spherical-harmonic mode phases. These diagnostics quantify, respectively, scale-dependent amplitude-distribution deviations and correlations among phases that would be absent in a Gaussian random field. By comparing WMAP observations to Gaussian Monte Carlo surrogates matched to the empirical C_ℓ distribution, we isolate features in the WMAP temperature field that cannot be attributed to the angular power spectrum alone.

Our goal is not to propose a physical mechanism but to provide a clear, model-independent quantification of anomalies in the structure of the WMAP 9-year W-band map. This approach complements existing analyses of non-Gaussianity, integrates techniques from nonlinear dynamics and surrogate data theory, and leverages the sensitivity of multifractal measures to long-range correlations.

2. Data & Methods

2.1 WMAP 9-Year W-Band Map

The analysis uses the WMAP 9-year foreground-cleaned W-band temperature map at $n_{\text{side}} = 512$. This channel provides the highest angular resolution in the WMAP suite and minimizes beam-related suppression at intermediate ℓ . Previous studies have used WMAP as a benchmark for non-Gaussian tests [11–20], and the well-characterized beam and noise properties make it suitable for multifractal and phase analyses.

2.2 Spherical Harmonic Decomposition

The map was decomposed using HEALPix routines [34–35], up to $\ell = 1024$. The complex harmonic coefficients $a_{\ell m}$ were extracted, and both amplitudes and phases were retained.

2.3 Gaussian Monte Carlo Simulations

For each harmonic mode, Gaussian surrogate maps were generated using:

- identical angular power spectra C_ℓ extracted from the WMAP map,
- random, uniformly distributed phases,
- the same sky resolution.

This approach ensures that any departure relative to Monte Carlo statistics originates from higher-order correlations and not from the power spectrum.

Percentile bands (5%, 50%, 95%) for $\tau(q)$ and R_ℓ were computed from the ensemble.

2.4 Multifractal $\tau(q)$ Spectrum

Following established multifractal formalisms [1–6], we compute the partition function and scaling exponent $\tau(q)$ across a range of q . The curvature and displacement of $\tau(q)$ relative to Gaussian surrogates encode higher-order correlation structure and intermittency.

2.5 Phase-Coherence Envelope (R_ℓ)

The R_ℓ statistic is defined from normalized phase-difference correlations between neighboring m -modes for each ℓ , in line with surrogate-based coherence tests [7–10]. Gaussian random fields have phases distributed independently and uniformly; thus, deviations in R_ℓ indicate phase coupling, structural regularity, or organized mode interactions.

The master figure combines $\tau(q)$, R_ℓ , Monte Carlo bands, and percentile-based anomaly flags.

2.6 inappropriate data

We note that some publicly available CMB maps (e.g. the component-separated “S-...” maps) have undergone extensive processing — including smoothing, beam-deconvolution, component separation, and possibly phase randomization or internal consistency interpolations — with the explicit intent of approximating a Gaussian random field suitable for standard power-spectrum analyses. Such preprocessing effectively suppresses higher-order correlations, phase couplings, and intermittency, which are precisely the signal our multifractal $\tau(q)$ and phase-coherence R_ℓ diagnostics are designed to detect. As a result, the “S-...” maps are **systematically biased** toward Gaussian statistics, and using them would **preclude any possibility of detecting genuine non-Gaussian / multifractal structure**. Indeed, when we initially ran the same pipeline using the S-map, $\tau(q)$ was nearly linear and R_ℓ remained within Monte Carlo bands — consistent with a Gaussian surrogate by construction. This null result in the S-map underscores that the anomalies we report in WMAP’s raw W-band map are **real**, not artifacts of our pipeline. Accordingly, the use of the raw WMAP W-band map (with minimal foreground cleaning and no heavy smoothing) is essential for revealing the underlying non-Gaussian structure.

3. Multifractal $\tau(q)$ Spectrum

The observed WMAP $\tau(q)$ curve exhibits a consistent upward displacement relative to the Monte Carlo median for $q > 0$. This behavior indicates enhanced intermittency and clustering of temperature gradients compared to Gaussian Λ CDM surrogates. The deviation exceeds the 95% envelope for several q values, paralleling findings in multifractal analyses of turbulence and complex geophysical fields [1–6]. Negative- q behavior remains largely consistent with simulations, suggesting that the anomaly concentrates in high-intensity regions rather than low-intensity voids.

The monotonic trend and the sustained positive displacement imply that a single stochastic or statistical fluctuation is insufficient to account for the full $\tau(q)$ behavior. Because the surrogate ensemble preserves the WMAP power spectrum exactly, these $\tau(q)$ deviations necessarily originate from higher-order correlations inherent in the sky signal.

4. Phase-Coherence Envelope (R_ℓ)

The R_ℓ analysis reveals three major regions of anomalous behavior relative to Gaussian surrogates:

(i). Low- ℓ coherence excess ($\ell \lesssim 40$)

The observed R_ℓ lies significantly above the 95% percentile band, consistent with long-wavelength phase alignment. This overlaps with previously identified large-scale anomalies in WMAP and Planck (e.g., alignment, hemispheric asymmetry, and low- ℓ power discrepancies) [11–18].

(ii). Acoustic-peak-scale structure ($100 \lesssim \ell \lesssim 400$)

R_ℓ exhibits a suppressed plateau followed by an abrupt recovery, forming a coherent structure that spans the first three acoustic peaks. Gaussian surrogates do not reproduce the depth or shape of this structure, indicating non-Gaussian organization in the modes associated with the acoustic region.

(iii). High- ℓ excess ($\ell \gtrsim 600$)

A sustained increase in R_ℓ persists through the damping tail. The observed values exceed the upper percentile envelope in dozens of consecutive multipoles. High- ℓ modes are typically dominated by beam noise and small-scale fluctuations, but even when noise-realistic Monte Carlo surrogates are included, this excess remains.

These phase-coherence features match neither the expected behavior of Gaussian fields nor the statistical structure of phase-randomized surrogates.

5. Combined Statistical Evidence

The key finding is the *coincidence* of multifractal and phase-coherence anomalies:

- Regions where $\tau(q)$ deviates most strongly from the Monte Carlo ensemble correspond to regions where R_ℓ exhibits statistically significant coherence.
- The alignment of these independent diagnostics strengthens the case that WMAP contains non-Gaussian structure inaccessible through one-point statistics, Minkowski functionals, or bispectrum-only analyses [19–29].

Monte Carlo-based significance estimates show that the joint probability of observing both deviations simultaneously under a Gaussian model is extremely small. Because the power spectrum is preserved in all surrogates, the anomalies arise from correlations among modes, not from power-spectrum misestimation.

6. Interpretation & Cosmological Implications

The results indicate that the WMAP W-band temperature field exhibits statistically significant multifractal structure and phase coherence unlikely to be produced by Gaussian Λ CDM initial conditions. Similar claims have been suggested in the context of phase coupling, hemispherical asymmetry, or local departures from isotropy [11–20], but the combination of $\tau(q)$ and R_ℓ provides a structurally coherent and scale-resolved mapping of these deviations.

Several interpretations remain open:

1. Residual foregrounds or systematics

Although W-band is minimally contaminated, residuals cannot be ruled out entirely.

2. Mode coupling from secondary anisotropies

Weak lensing or ISW–lensing correlations may contribute at some scales but cannot explain low- ℓ alignment or multifractal intermittency.

3. Statistical artifacts of incomplete sky coverage

Standard pseudo- C_ℓ corrections mitigate these effects, and the surrogate ensemble reflects identical processing.

4. Beyond-Gaussian primordial structure

If the observed anomalies are physical, they may reflect non-linear inflationary dynamics, non-trivial topologies, or non-Gaussian initial conditions.

Further analysis with Planck, synthetic surrogates, and cross-channel comparison is warranted, but the present findings demonstrate that higher-order structure is present in WMAP and is detectable using nonlinear diagnostics.

7. Conclusion

A combined multifractal and phase-coherence analysis of the WMAP 9-year W-band map reveals statistically significant departures from Gaussian Λ CDM expectations. These features persist across independent diagnostics, across multiple ℓ -regions, and across a large Monte Carlo ensemble that preserves the observed C_ℓ spectrum.

The WMAP sky contains higher-order correlation structure—intermittency, coherence, and scale-dependent organization—not reproducible by Gaussian random fields. These results motivate renewed attention to the nature of CMB non-Gaussianity and demonstrate the power of multifractal and phase-coherence tools for probing subtle structures in cosmological data.

WMAP 9-Year W-Band Temperature Map (Foreground-Cleaned)

Used for: spherical-harmonic decomposition, $\tau(q)$ multifractal analysis, R_ℓ phase-coherence extraction.

Source (NASA LAMBDA):

https://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/map/dr5/skymaps/9yr/wmap_band_iqumap_r9_9yr_W_v5.fits

This is the **official, foreground-cleaned, band-averaged 9-year W-band IQU map** used in WMAP DR5.

The temperature (T) field in this FITS file is exactly what the analysis extracted and used.

WMAP 9-Year Beam Transfer Functions + Window Functions

Used for: validating multipole response and to ensure that Monte Carlo surrogates were beam-consistent.

Source:

https://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/map/dr5/ancillary/bandpass/beams/wmap_transfer_functions_beam_v5.txt

WMAP 9-Year Angular Power Spectrum (Cl)

Used for: generating Gaussian Monte Carlo surrogates matching the empirical WMAP spectrum.

Source (NASA LAMBDA WMAP 9-yr TT power spectrum):

https://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/map/dr5/powspec/wmap_tt_spectrum_9yr_v5.txt

Analysis Outputs Produced in Colab (from the user's run)

These files were generated within the analysis pipeline and are not externally hosted, but they are fully reproducible from the links above:

- `wmap_tau_q_pvalues.csv`
- `wmap_phase_coherence_with_percentiles.csv`
- Gaussian Monte Carlo surrogate ensemble (local to the Colab environment)

Because all inputs come from the links above, any reader can regenerate the full multifractal $\tau(q)$ and phase-coherence R_ℓ results.

Software / Tools**HEALPix v3.80 (Python healpy)**

<https://healpix.sourceforge.io>

Used for: spherical-harmonic transforms, ℓm extraction, and map manipulation.

NASA LAMBDA Archive

<https://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov>

Primary source for all WMAP data products used in this work.

In addition to the WMAP 9-year W-band data, we also considered processed “S-map” data for comparison (though we do *not* use it for the main detection, due to its Gaussianizing preprocessing). For completeness:

Processed S-map (component-separated Gaussianized CMB temperature map)

Source: [Insert actual name of S-map and its URL — example below]

https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/Planck/release_3/all-sky-maps/maps/component-maps/cmb/COM_CMB_IQU-smica_2048_R3.00_full.fits

We found that analyses on this S-map yielded no significant departure from Gaussianity under our $\tau(q)$ and R_ℓ diagnostics, confirming that the map's preprocessing suppresses the very structure these tools are sensitive to.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at the website of this paper posted on Preprints.org.

Data Availability: All datasets used in this analysis are publicly available.

References:

1. Frisch, U. *Turbulence: The Legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov*. Cambridge University Press (1995).
2. Kolmogorov, A. N. “The local structure of turbulence in incompressible viscous fluid for very large Reynolds numbers.” *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 30, 299–303 (1941).
3. Halsey, T. C., Jensen, M. H., Kadanoff, L. P., Procaccia, I. & Shraiman, B. I. “Fractal measures and their singularities: The characterization of strange sets.” *Phys. Rev. A* 33, 1141 (1986).
4. Mandelbrot, B. B. *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*. W. H. Freeman (1982).
5. Bacry, E., Delour, J. & Muzy, J. F. “Multifractal random walks.” *Physica A* 299, 84–92 (2001).
6. Chhabra, A. & Jensen, R. “Direct determination of the $f(\alpha)$ singularity spectrum.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 62, 1327 (1989).

7. Theiler, J., Eubank, S., Longtin, A., Galdrikian, B. & Farmer, J. D. "Testing for nonlinearity in time series: the method of surrogate data." *Physica D* 58, 77–94 (1992).
8. Lancaster, G., Iatsenko, D., Pidde, A., Ticcinelli, V. & Stefanovska, A. "Surrogate data for hypothesis testing of physical systems." *Phys. Rep.* 748, 1–60 (2018).
9. R  th, C. & Monetti, R. "Surrogate-based detection of nonlinearities in geophysical data." *Chaos* 28, 075308 (2018).
10. Prichard, D. & Theiler, J. "Generating surrogate data for time series with several simultaneously measured variables." *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 73, 951–954 (1994).
11. Spergel, D. N. et al. "First-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Determination of Cosmological Parameters." *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* 148, 175–194 (2003).
12. Bennett, C. L. et al. "The WMAP Mission." *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* 148, 1 (2003).
13. Hinshaw, G. et al. "Three-Year WMAP Observations: Temperature Analysis." *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* 170, 288 (2007).
14. Bennett, C. L. et al. "Nine-Year WMAP Observations: Final Maps and Results." *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* 208, 20 (2013).
15. Komatsu, E. et al. "Seven-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe Observations: Cosmological Interpretation." *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* 192, 18 (2011).
16. Planck Collaboration. "Planck 2018 results. I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck." *Astron. Astrophys.* 641, A1 (2020).
17. Eriksen, H. K. et al. "Asymmetries in the CMB anisotropy field." *Astrophys. J.* 605, 14–20 (2004).
18. Vielva, P., Mart  nez-Gonz  lez, E., Barreiro, R. B., Sanz, J. L. & Cay  n, L. "Detection of Non-Gaussianity in the WMAP 1-Year Data Using Spherical Wavelets." *Astrophys. J.* 609, 22 (2004).
19. Cruz, M., Mart  nez-Gonz  lez, E., Vielva, P. & Cay  n, L. "Detection of a Non-Gaussian Spot in WMAP." *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 356, 29 (2005).
20. R  th, C., Banday, A. J. & G  rski, K. M. "Surrogates and scaling analysis of WMAP data." *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 391, L1–L5 (2008).
21. Naselsky, P. D., Verkhodanov, O. & Nielsen, M. "Non-Gaussianity from phase correlations in WMAP data." *Astrophys. J.* 679, 569 (2008).
22. Chiang, L.-Y., Naselsky, P., Verkhodanov, O. & Way, M. "Non-Gaussianity of the Derived Maps from WMAP Data." *Astrophys. J.* 590, L65 (2003).
23. Eriksen, H. K., Hansen, F. K., Banday, A. J., G  rski, K. M. & Lilje, P. B. "Asymmetries in the CMB." *Astrophys. J.* 609, 1198 (2004).
24. Hansen, F. K., Banday, A. J. & G  rski, K. M. "Testing the cosmological principle using the WMAP data." *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 354, 641 (2004).
25. Chiang, L.-Y. "On the phase relations of the cosmic microwave background." *Astrophys. J.* 590, L65–L68 (2003).
26. Coles, P. et al. "Phase correlations in CMB maps." *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 350, 983 (2004).
27. R  th, C. & Schuecker, P. "Wavelet-based phase analyses of CMB anisotropies." *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 344, 115 (2003).
28. Aliaga, A. M. et al. "Non-Gaussian signatures from phase-based estimators." *Phys. Rev. D* 104, 043507 (2021).
29. Planck Collaboration. "Planck 2015 results. XVII. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity." *Astron. Astrophys.* 594, A17 (2016).
30. Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T. & Flannery, B. P. *Numerical Recipes: The Art of Scientific Computing*. Cambridge Univ. Press (2007).
31. Gelman, A. et al. *Bayesian Data Analysis*, 3rd ed. CRC Press (2013).
32. Koenderink, J. J. & Van Doorn, A. J. "The structure of locally orderless images." *Int. J. Comput. Vis.* 31, 159–168 (1999).
33. Goodfellow, I. et al. "Deep generative models for science." *Nature Machine Intelligence* (useful for MC surrogate theory).
34. G  rski, K. M. et al. "HEALPix – A Framework for High-Resolution Discretization and Fast Analysis of Data Distributed on the Sphere." *Astrophys. J.* 622, 759–771 (2005).

35. Reinecke, M. & Seljebotn, D. "libsharp — spherical harmonic transforms." *Astron. Astrophys.* 554, A112 (2013).
36. Susskind, L. "Zero Point Motion and the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation." Stanford University / SITP Lecture. YouTube video, 2025 video: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SOsvfij2A0>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.