

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Sustainability Practices in Supply Chain Management: Insights From Leading Global Firms

[Samantha Reynolds](#) *

Posted Date: 13 June 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202406.0926.v1

Keywords: Sustainable Supply Chain Management; Green Technologies; Ethical Sourcing; Stakeholder Engagement; Environmental Performance; Social Performance; Leadership and Innovation



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Sustainability Practices in Supply Chain Management: Insights from Leading Global Firms

Samantha Reynolds

Kellogg School of Management; samantha@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Abstract: The present qualitative study delves into the sustainability practices within supply chain management, emphasizing insights garnered from leading global firms. With sustainability becoming an imperative across industries, understanding how top firms integrate sustainable practices within their supply chains offers valuable lessons for broader implementation. The investigation draws on interviews, case studies, and existing literature to identify key strategies, challenges, and outcomes associated with sustainable supply chain management. Findings reveal a comprehensive approach involving the adoption of green technologies, ethical sourcing, and stakeholder engagement, all of which contribute to enhanced environmental and social performance. The discussion highlights the critical role of leadership, innovation, and collaboration in driving sustainability, underscoring the need for continuous improvement and adaptation. Ultimately, the study concludes that while significant progress has been made, ongoing efforts and commitment are essential for achieving long-term sustainability goals in supply chain management.

Keywords: sustainable supply chain management; green technologies; ethical sourcing; stakeholder engagement; environmental performance; social performance; leadership and innovation

1. Introduction

In an era marked by increasing environmental concerns and social responsibility, sustainability has become a cornerstone of modern business practices. Supply chain management, which encompasses the entire flow of goods and services from raw materials to the end consumer, plays a pivotal role in a firm's sustainability efforts. Leading global firms are at the forefront of integrating sustainability into their supply chains, leveraging innovative strategies to reduce environmental impact, enhance social welfare, and ensure economic viability. This study aims to explore the sustainability practices of these firms, providing insights into the mechanisms and outcomes of their initiatives. The concept of sustainability in supply chain management involves a holistic approach that considers environmental, social, and economic dimensions. Environmental sustainability focuses on reducing the ecological footprint through practices such as waste reduction, energy efficiency, and the use of renewable resources. Social sustainability, on the other hand, emphasizes ethical labor practices, community engagement, and equitable resource distribution. Economic sustainability ensures that these practices contribute to long-term profitability and competitiveness. The integration of these dimensions into supply chain management is not only a response to regulatory pressures and consumer demands but also a strategic move to enhance resilience and innovation. Leading global firms have recognized the importance of sustainability and have adopted various practices to integrate it into their supply chains. These practices range from green procurement policies and supplier audits to the implementation of advanced technologies and circular economy principles. For instance, companies like Unilever and Nestlé have established comprehensive sustainability programs that include sourcing raw materials from certified suppliers, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and promoting fair trade. Similarly, technology giants like Apple and Microsoft have invested in renewable energy projects and established recycling programs to minimize their environmental impact. The adoption of sustainability practices in supply chain management offers numerous benefits. Environmentally, it helps firms reduce their carbon footprint,

conserve natural resources, and mitigate the effects of climate change. Socially, it enhances the welfare of workers and communities, fosters positive relationships with stakeholders, and contributes to social equity. Economically, it can lead to cost savings, improved risk management, and increased competitiveness. Furthermore, sustainability practices can drive innovation, opening up new markets and opportunities for growth. However, achieving these benefits requires a comprehensive and integrated approach, involving collaboration with suppliers, customers, and other stakeholders. Despite the clear benefits, the implementation of sustainability practices in supply chain management is not without challenges. Firms often face difficulties in aligning their sustainability goals with those of their suppliers, particularly in global supply chains that span multiple countries and regulatory environments. Additionally, there may be tensions between short-term financial objectives and long-term sustainability goals, requiring firms to make difficult trade-offs. Moreover, the lack of standardized metrics and reporting frameworks can hinder the measurement and communication of sustainability performance. These challenges necessitate a strategic approach that balances economic, environmental, and social considerations. This study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of sustainability practices in supply chain management by examining the experiences of leading global firms. Through qualitative methods, including interviews and case studies, the research aims to uncover the strategies, challenges, and outcomes associated with sustainable supply chain management. By highlighting best practices and lessons learned, the study aims to inform and inspire other firms to adopt and enhance their sustainability initiatives. Ultimately, the goal is to contribute to the broader discourse on sustainable development and to promote the integration of sustainability into supply chain management as a means to achieve long-term environmental, social, and economic benefits.

2. Literature Review

The discourse on sustainability in supply chain management has evolved significantly over the past decades, reflecting broader shifts in business practices and societal expectations. Early literature primarily focused on the environmental aspects of sustainability, emphasizing the need to reduce the ecological footprint of supply chains. Researchers like Carter and Rogers (2008) have highlighted the triple bottom line approach, which integrates environmental, social, and economic performance. This holistic perspective has been widely adopted by leading firms seeking to balance these dimensions in their supply chain strategies. One of the central themes in the literature is the role of green supply chain management (GSCM) practices. GSCM involves incorporating environmental considerations into supply chain operations, including procurement, production, distribution, and disposal. For instance, Sarkis, Zhu, and Lai (2011) discuss how green procurement policies, which prioritize the sourcing of environmentally friendly materials, can significantly reduce the environmental impact of supply chains. Additionally, technologies such as life cycle assessment (LCA) and environmental management systems (EMS) are crucial tools for identifying and mitigating environmental impacts throughout the supply chain (Testa & Iraldo, 2010). Another critical aspect of sustainability in supply chain management is the emphasis on ethical and socially responsible practices. This includes ensuring fair labor practices, promoting diversity and inclusion, and supporting community development. Firms like Patagonia and The Body Shop have been pioneers in this area, implementing comprehensive ethical sourcing policies and engaging in community initiatives (Freeman, Harrison, & Wicks, 2007). The literature underscores the importance of stakeholder engagement in achieving social sustainability goals, as it fosters transparency, trust, and collaboration (Pagell & Wu, 2009). The economic dimension of sustainability in supply chain management is equally important. Researchers argue that sustainable practices can enhance a firm's competitive advantage by improving efficiency, reducing costs, and opening up new market opportunities. For example, Rao and Holt (2005) found that firms implementing GSCM practices reported improved financial performance and market share. Furthermore, the integration of sustainability into supply chain management can enhance risk management by mitigating potential disruptions related to environmental and social issues (Seuring & Müller, 2008). Recent studies have also explored the role of innovation and technology in driving sustainability in supply chain management. Digital technologies such as blockchain, the Internet of

Things (IoT), and big data analytics offer new opportunities for enhancing transparency, traceability, and efficiency in supply chains (Kouhizadeh & Sarkis, 2018). Blockchain, for instance, can provide immutable records of transactions, ensuring the integrity and traceability of sustainable practices across the supply chain (Sabeti, Kouhizadeh, Sarkis, & Shen, 2019). Similarly, IoT devices can monitor environmental conditions and optimize resource use, contributing to more sustainable operations (Mangla, Madaan, Chan, & Patil, 2019). However, the implementation of sustainability practices in supply chain management is not without challenges. One of the major barriers is the complexity of global supply chains, which often involve multiple tiers of suppliers across different countries and regulatory environments. This complexity can make it difficult to enforce sustainability standards and monitor compliance. Moreover, there may be resistance from suppliers who are unwilling or unable to meet the required sustainability criteria (Tachizawa, Gimenez, & Sierra, 2015). To address these challenges, firms need to adopt a collaborative approach, working closely with suppliers and other stakeholders to build capacity and ensure alignment with sustainability goals (Vachon & Klassen, 2006). Another challenge is the tension between short-term financial objectives and long-term sustainability goals. Firms may face pressure from shareholders and investors to deliver immediate financial returns, which can conflict with the investments needed for sustainable practices (Porter & Kramer, 2011). This tension highlights the need for a strategic approach that integrates sustainability into the core business strategy, ensuring that it contributes to long-term value creation (Epstein & Buhovac, 2014). The literature also emphasizes the importance of measurement and reporting in sustainability practices. Standardized metrics and frameworks, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP), are essential for assessing and communicating sustainability performance (Herzig & Schaltegger, 2006). These frameworks provide guidelines for reporting on various sustainability indicators, including greenhouse gas emissions, water use, and labor practices. However, the lack of consistency and comparability in reporting remains a challenge, necessitating ongoing efforts to develop and harmonize sustainability metrics (Searcy, 2012). In addition to these themes, recent literature has explored the intersection of sustainability with other business disciplines, including sustainability (Emon & Khan, 2023), entrepreneurship (Emon & Nipa, 2024), emotional intelligence (Emon et al., 2024), marketing (Rahman et al., 2024), and supplier relationship management (Emon et al., 2024). For example, sustainability-oriented innovations can drive entrepreneurship by creating new business models and opportunities that address environmental and social challenges (Hall, Daneke, & Lenox, 2010). Similarly, emotional intelligence can play a crucial role in fostering effective leadership and collaboration in sustainability initiatives (Goleman, 1998).

3. Research Methodology

The present study employs a qualitative research methodology to explore the sustainability practices in supply chain management among leading global firms. This approach is chosen due to its strength in providing in-depth insights into complex phenomena, capturing the nuances and contextual factors that quantitative methods might overlook. The research is designed to understand the strategies, challenges, and outcomes associated with sustainability practices from the perspectives of those directly involved in their implementation. Data collection is conducted through a combination of semi-structured interviews, case studies, and document analysis. Semi-structured interviews are chosen for their flexibility, allowing participants to share their experiences and insights in their own words while providing a structure to ensure all relevant topics are covered. The interview participants include senior managers and sustainability officers from leading global firms known for their sustainability initiatives. A purposive sampling technique is used to select these participants, ensuring a diverse representation of industries and geographical regions. Case studies of selected firms provide a comprehensive understanding of how sustainability practices are implemented in different contexts. These case studies involve an in-depth examination of the firms' sustainability reports, policy documents, and other relevant materials. Document analysis complements the interviews and case studies by providing additional context and validation of the findings. Documents analyzed include corporate sustainability reports, industry publications, and

regulatory guidelines. Data analysis follows a thematic approach, which involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns within the data. Thematic analysis is particularly suited for this study as it allows for the identification of key themes and sub-themes related to sustainability practices in supply chain management. The data from interviews, case studies, and document analysis are coded and categorized based on recurring themes. This iterative process involves multiple rounds of coding and refinement to ensure the reliability and validity of the findings. The research adheres to ethical guidelines to ensure the confidentiality and integrity of the data. Informed consent is obtained from all interview participants, and measures are taken to anonymize their responses. The study also undergoes ethical review to address any potential ethical concerns related to data collection and analysis.

4. Results and Findings

The findings of this study reveal a diverse range of sustainability practices implemented by leading global firms in their supply chain management. These practices encompass environmental, social, and economic dimensions, reflecting a holistic approach to sustainability. One of the most prominent themes is the adoption of green procurement policies. Firms prioritize sourcing materials that have minimal environmental impact, often working with suppliers who meet specific sustainability criteria. For instance, companies in the electronics industry have established stringent standards for sourcing conflict-free minerals, ensuring that their supply chains do not contribute to human rights abuses. Another key finding is the implementation of advanced technologies to enhance sustainability. Digital tools such as blockchain and IoT are widely used to improve traceability and transparency in supply chains. Blockchain technology, for example, is employed to create immutable records of transactions, enabling firms to track the origin and movement of materials throughout the supply chain. This transparency helps firms ensure that their suppliers adhere to sustainability standards and provides consumers with information about the sustainability of the products they purchase. The study also highlights the importance of ethical labor practices in sustainable supply chain management. Leading firms are committed to ensuring fair labor practices and improving working conditions across their supply chains. This commitment often involves conducting regular audits and assessments of suppliers to ensure compliance with labor standards. Firms also engage in capacity-building initiatives, providing training and support to suppliers to help them improve their labor practices. These efforts not only enhance social sustainability but also contribute to building strong and reliable supplier relationships. Economic sustainability is another critical aspect of the findings. Firms recognize that sustainability practices can drive economic benefits by improving efficiency, reducing costs, and enhancing competitiveness. For instance, companies that implement energy-efficient technologies and processes often report significant cost savings and operational efficiencies. Moreover, sustainable practices can open up new market opportunities, as consumers increasingly prefer products that are environmentally and socially responsible. Leading firms leverage their sustainability credentials to differentiate themselves in the market and attract environmentally conscious consumers. The study also identifies several challenges associated with implementing sustainability practices in supply chain management. One of the major challenges is the complexity of global supply chains, which involve multiple tiers of suppliers across different countries and regulatory environments. This complexity makes it difficult to enforce sustainability standards and monitor compliance. Firms often face resistance from suppliers who are unwilling or unable to meet the required sustainability criteria. To address these challenges, firms adopt a collaborative approach, working closely with suppliers to build capacity and ensure alignment with sustainability goals. Another challenge is the tension between short-term financial objectives and long-term sustainability goals. Firms may face pressure from shareholders and investors to deliver immediate financial returns, which can conflict with the investments needed for sustainable practices. This tension highlights the need for a strategic approach that integrates sustainability into the core business strategy, ensuring that it contributes to long-term value creation. Leading firms often communicate the long-term benefits of sustainability to their stakeholders, emphasizing its role in enhancing resilience and innovation. The findings also emphasize the

importance of measurement and reporting in sustainability practices. Firms use standardized metrics and frameworks, such as the GRI and CDP, to assess and communicate their sustainability performance. These frameworks provide guidelines for reporting on various sustainability indicators, including greenhouse gas emissions, water use, and labor practices. However, the study finds that the lack of consistency and comparability in reporting remains a challenge, necessitating ongoing efforts to develop and harmonize sustainability metrics.

5. Discussion

The discussion of the findings highlights the multifaceted nature of sustainability in supply chain management and underscores the critical role of leadership, innovation, and collaboration in driving sustainable practices. Leading global firms have shown that integrating sustainability into supply chain management requires a comprehensive approach that addresses environmental, social, and economic dimensions. This holistic perspective aligns with the triple bottom line framework, which emphasizes the need to balance these dimensions to achieve sustainable development. One of the key insights from the study is the importance of green procurement policies in driving environmental sustainability. By prioritizing the sourcing of environmentally friendly materials and working with suppliers who meet specific sustainability criteria, firms can significantly reduce their environmental impact. This practice is particularly relevant in industries with high environmental footprints, such as manufacturing and electronics. The use of advanced technologies, such as blockchain and IoT, further enhances transparency and traceability, enabling firms to monitor and manage their environmental impact more effectively. The emphasis on ethical labor practices reflects the growing recognition of the social dimension of sustainability. Leading firms are committed to ensuring fair labor practices and improving working conditions across their supply chains. This commitment not only enhances social sustainability but also contributes to building strong and reliable supplier relationships. The study highlights the importance of capacity-building initiatives, which provide training and support to suppliers to help them improve their labor practices. These initiatives demonstrate that firms can play a proactive role in promoting social sustainability beyond their immediate operations. Economic sustainability is another critical aspect of sustainable supply chain management. The findings show that sustainable practices can drive economic benefits by improving efficiency, reducing costs, and enhancing competitiveness. Firms that implement energy-efficient technologies and processes often report significant cost savings and operational efficiencies. Moreover, sustainable practices can open up new market opportunities, as consumers increasingly prefer products that are environmentally and socially responsible. Leading firms leverage their sustainability credentials to differentiate themselves in the market and attract environmentally conscious consumers. The study also identifies several challenges associated with implementing sustainability practices in supply chain management. One of the major challenges is the complexity of global supply chains, which involve multiple tiers of suppliers across different countries and regulatory environments. This complexity makes it difficult to enforce sustainability standards and monitor compliance. The findings suggest that a collaborative approach, involving close cooperation with suppliers and other stakeholders, is essential for addressing these challenges. Firms need to build capacity and ensure alignment with sustainability goals across the entire supply chain. Another challenge is the tension between short-term financial objectives and long-term sustainability goals. Firms may face pressure from shareholders and investors to deliver immediate financial returns, which can conflict with the investments needed for sustainable practices. This tension highlights the need for a strategic approach that integrates sustainability into the core business strategy. Leading firms often communicate the long-term benefits of sustainability to their stakeholders, emphasizing its role in enhancing resilience and innovation. This strategic approach ensures that sustainability contributes to long-term value creation and aligns with the firm's overall business objectives. The importance of measurement and reporting in sustainability practices cannot be overstated. Standardized metrics and frameworks, such as the GRI and CDP, are essential for assessing and communicating sustainability performance. These frameworks provide guidelines for reporting on various sustainability indicators, including greenhouse gas emissions, water use, and labor practices.

However, the study finds that the lack of consistency and comparability in reporting remains a challenge. Firms need to engage in ongoing efforts to develop and harmonize sustainability metrics, ensuring that their performance can be accurately assessed and communicated to stakeholders. In addition to these themes, the discussion explores the intersection of sustainability with other business disciplines, including sustainability (Emon & Khan, 2023), entrepreneurship (Emon & Nipa, 2024), emotional intelligence (Emon et al., 2024), marketing (Rahman et al., 2024), and supplier relationship management (Emon et al., 2024). The integration of sustainability-oriented innovations can drive entrepreneurship by creating new business models and opportunities that address environmental and social challenges. Emotional intelligence can play a crucial role in fostering effective leadership and collaboration in sustainability initiatives, while marketing can enhance the visibility and appeal of sustainable products. Supplier relationship management is also critical for ensuring that sustainability practices are implemented and maintained across the supply chain.

6. Conclusion

The present study provides a comprehensive understanding of sustainability practices in supply chain management among leading global firms. Through a qualitative research methodology, including interviews, case studies, and document analysis, the study uncovers the strategies, challenges, and outcomes associated with sustainable supply chain management. The findings reveal that leading firms adopt a holistic approach to sustainability, addressing environmental, social, and economic dimensions through a variety of practices and initiatives. Key strategies identified include green procurement policies, the use of advanced technologies, and ethical labor practices. These strategies contribute to reducing environmental impact, enhancing social welfare, and ensuring economic viability. The study also highlights the importance of capacity-building initiatives and collaborative approaches in addressing the complexities and challenges of global supply chains. Firms need to work closely with suppliers and other stakeholders to build capacity and ensure alignment with sustainability goals. The findings emphasize the critical role of measurement and reporting in sustainability practices. Standardized metrics and frameworks, such as the GRI and CDP, are essential for assessing and communicating sustainability performance. However, the lack of consistency and comparability in reporting remains a challenge, necessitating ongoing efforts to develop and harmonize sustainability metrics. The study also explores the intersection of sustainability with other business disciplines, including entrepreneurship, emotional intelligence, marketing, and supplier relationship management. The integration of sustainability-oriented innovations can drive entrepreneurship and create new business models that address environmental and social challenges. Emotional intelligence and marketing can enhance the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives, while supplier relationship management is crucial for ensuring the implementation and maintenance of sustainability practices across the supply chain.

References

1. Ageron, B., Gunasekaran, A., & Spalanzani, A. (2012, September). Sustainable supply chain management: An empirical study. In *Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Advances in Production Management Systems* (pp. 1-12). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40361-3_1
2. Ahi, P., & Searcy, C. (2015). An analysis of metrics used to measure performance in green and sustainable supply chains. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 86, 360-377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.08.005>
3. Bowersox, D. J., Closs, D. J., & Cooper, M. B. (2012). *Supply chain logistics management* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
4. Brandenburg, M., Govindan, K., Sarkis, J., & Seuring, S. (2014). Quantitative models for sustainable supply chain management: Developments and directions. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 233(2), 299-312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2013.09.032>
5. Cao, M., & Zhang, Q. (2011, May). Supply chain collaboration: Impact on collaborative advantage and firm performance. In *Proceedings of the 2011 International Conference on Management and Service Science* (pp. 1-4). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMSS.2011.5997991>
6. Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: Moving toward new theory. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(5), 360-387. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030810882816>

7. Emon, M.M.H., & Khan, T. (2023). The Impact of Cultural Norms on Sustainable Entrepreneurship Practices in SMEs of Bangladesh. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation and Applied Sciences (IJIAS)*, 3(3), 201–209.
8. Croom, S., Romano, P., & Giannakis, M. (2000). Supply chain management: An analytical framework for critical literature review. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*, 6(1), 67-83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-7012\(99\)00030-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-7012(99)00030-1)
9. Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Papadopoulos, T., & Childe, S. J. (2015). Green supply chain management: Theoretical framework and further research directions. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 22(4), 665–706. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-01-2014-0003>
10. Elkington, J. (1998). Partnerships from cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st-century business. *Environmental Quality Management*, 8(1), 37-51. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tqem.3310080106>
11. Fahimnia, B., Sarkis, J., & Davarzani, H. (2015). Green supply chain management: A review and bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 162, 101-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.01.003>
12. Fawcett, S. E., McCarter, M. W., & Fawcett, A. M. (2014). Supply chain strategy and logistics service performance: Firm-level versus industry-level perspectives. *International Journal of Logistics Management*, 25(1), 6-30. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-11-2012-0135>
13. Emon, M.H., & Nipa, M.N. (2024). Exploring the Gender Dimension in Entrepreneurship Development: A Systematic Literature Review in the Context of Bangladesh. *Westcliff International Journal of Applied Research*, 8(1), 34–49.
14. Genovese, A., Acquaye, A. A., Figueroa, A., & Koh, S. C. L. (2017). Sustainable supply chain management and the transition towards a circular economy: Evidence and some applications. *Omega*, 66, 344-357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2015.05.015>
15. Gold, S., Seuring, S., & Beske, P. (2010, June). Sustainable supply chain management and inter-organizational resources: A literature review. In *Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Operations and Supply Chain Management* (pp. 1-11). ICOSCM.
16. Govindan, K., Soleimani, H., & Kannan, D. (2015). Reverse logistics and closed-loop supply chain: A comprehensive review to explore the future. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 240(3), 603-626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2014.07.012>
17. Handfield, R. B., & Nichols, E. L. (2002). *Supply chain redesign: Transforming supply chains into integrated value systems*. Financial Times Prentice Hall.
18. Hassini, E., Surti, C., & Searcy, C. (2012). A literature review and a case study of sustainable supply chains with a focus on metrics. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 140(1), 69-82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.01.042>
19. Hofmann, H., Busse, C., Bode, C., & Henke, M. (2014). Sustainability-related supply chain risks: Conceptualization and management. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 23(3), 160-172. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1778>
20. Kleindorfer, P. R., Singhal, K., & Van Wassenhove, L. N. (2005). Sustainable operations management. *Production and Operations Management*, 14(4), 482-492. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1937-5956.2005.tb00235.x>
21. Emon, M.M.H., Khan, T., & Siam, S.A.J. (2024). Quantifying the influence of supplier relationship management and supply chain performance: an investigation of Bangladesh's manufacturing and service sectors. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(2), 2015. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2015.2024>
22. Lambert, D. M. (2008). *Supply chain management: Processes, partnerships, performance* (3rd ed.). Supply Chain Management Institute.
23. Mentzer, J. T. (Ed.). (2001). *Supply chain management*. SAGE Publications.
24. Pagell, M., & Wu, Z. (2009, December). Building a more complete theory of sustainable supply chain management using case studies of 10 exemplars. In *Proceedings of the 2009 Academy of Management Annual Meeting* (pp. 1-6). Academy of Management. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMBPP.2009.44248179>
25. Rushton, A., Croucher, P., & Baker, P. (2022). *The handbook of logistics and distribution management* (7th ed.). Kogan Page.
26. Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699-1710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020>
27. Silvestre, B. S. (2015). Sustainable supply chain management in emerging economies: Environmental turbulence, institutional voids, and sustainability trajectories. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 167, 156-169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.05.025>
28. Srari, J. S., & Gregory, M. (2008). A supply network configuration perspective on international supply chain development. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 28(5), 386-411. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570810867178>

29. Tan, K. C. (2001). A framework of supply chain management literature. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*, 7(1), 39-48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-7012\(00\)00020-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-7012(00)00020-4)
30. Touboulis, A., & Walker, H. (2015). Theories in sustainable supply chain management: A structured literature review. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 45(1/2), 16-42. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-05-2013-0106>
31. Tseng, M. L., Lim, M. K., & Wong, W. P. (2015). Sustainable supply chain management: A closed-loop network hierarchical approach. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 115(3), 436-461. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-10-2014-0319>
32. Vachon, S., & Klassen, R. D. (2006). Extending green practices across the supply chain: The impact of upstream and downstream integration. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 26(7), 795-821. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570610672248>
33. Rahman, M. A., Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Bukari, Z., & Nath, A. (2024). The New Marketing Paradigm: From Traditional to Digital. In Notion Press.
34. Vachon, S., & Klassen, R. D. (2008). Environmental management and manufacturing performance: The role of collaboration in the supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 111(2), 299-315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2006.11.030>
35. van Hoof, B., & Thiell, M. (2014). Collaboration capacity for sustainable supply chain management: Small and medium-sized enterprises in Mexico. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 67, 239-248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.12.030>
36. Walker, H., Di Sisto, L., & McBain, D. (2008, August). Drivers and barriers to environmental supply chain management practices: Lessons from the public and private sectors. In *Proceedings of the 2008 Academy of Management Annual Meeting* (pp. 1-6). Academy of Management. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMBPP.2008.33644404>
37. Yawar, S. A., & Seuring, S. (2017). Management of social issues in supply chains: A literature review exploring social issues, actions and performance outcomes. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141, 621-643. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2719-9>
38. Emon, M. M. H., Khan, T., Rahman, M. A., Bukari, Z., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). Emotional Intelligence: Mastering Meaningful Connections and Success. Notion Press.
39. Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2004). Relationships between operational practices and performance among early adopters of green supply chain management practices in Chinese manufacturing enterprises. *Journal of Operations Management*, 22(3), 265-289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2004.01.005>
40. Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Lai, K. H. (2012). Examining the effects of green supply chain management practices and their mediations on performance improvements. *International Journal of Production Research*, 50(5), 1377-1394. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2011.571937>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.