

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Redefining Literature Education in the Digital and Post-Pandemic Landscape

[Edgar R. Eslit](#) *

Posted Date: 27 November 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202311.1684.v1

Keywords: literature education; post-pandemic era; digitalization; pedagogical shifts; challenges; opportunities; future research directions



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Redefining Literature Education in the Digital and Post-Pandemic Landscape

Edgar R. Eslit

St. Michael's College, Iligan City, Philippines; edgaeslit@gmail.com, e.eslit@my.smciligan.edu.ph

Abstract: In this inclusive review article, the focus is on navigating the dynamic landscape of literature education, particularly the profound pedagogical shifts observed in the aftermath of the pandemic. The article synthesizes global insights, delving into how educators have adapted to hybrid models and embraced digital tools, fundamentally transforming the reading, analysis, and engagement with literary works. Exploring the integration of digital tools in literature education, the review uncovers the transformative impact of technology on traditional pedagogies. It analyzes the challenges and opportunities inherent in adapting literature education to the digital era, emphasizing the need for inclusive practices and adaptability. The article concludes by suggesting future research directions, encompassing AI integration, inclusive practices, cultural variations, long-term effects of hybrid models, and effective pedagogical strategies for technology integration. With a comprehensive approach, this review not only summarizes the current state of literature education but also discusses its implications, offering a holistic understanding of the contemporary educational landscape and setting the stage for future research endeavors.

Keywords: literature education; post-pandemic era; digitalization; pedagogical shifts; challenges; opportunities; future research directions

I. Introduction:

In the aftermath of the global disruption induced by the COVID-19 pandemic, the educational landscape, particularly within the realm of literature education, has undergone profound transformations. The significance of literature in fostering critical thinking, cultural understanding, and empathy becomes increasingly pronounced as we navigate the complexities of the post-pandemic and digital era. This review aims to explore the intersection of pedagogy and technology, shedding light on the evolving landscape of literature education in response to unprecedented challenges and opportunities.

This review draws inspiration from the work of Alareeni and Hamdan (2022) as presented in the proceedings of The International Conference on Business and Technology (ICBT 2021), examining pedagogical implications and digitalization trends in literature education. It seeks to critically evaluate the integration of digital tools, inspired by Murray's insights on the digital literary sphere (2018), and provide an insightful analysis of the current state of literature education in the post-pandemic and digital era.

Traditional paradigms of literature education have been rigorously tested in the face of remote and hybrid learning models, becoming the focus of scholarly discourse (Reimers & Schleicher, 2020). As classrooms transition to virtual spaces, educators are compelled to reconsider their approaches to align with the changing needs of students in an increasingly interconnected world.

Despite the burgeoning interest in literature education's response to the pandemic and digitalization, discernible gaps persist in the literature. This review endeavors to address these gaps by synthesizing existing knowledge, drawing attention to challenges faced by educators, the efficacy of digital tools in fostering literary analysis, and potential disparities in access to literary resources. De Giusti's (2020) policy brief on education during COVID-19 and beyond contributes valuable insights to this discussion.

This review posits that the convergence of the post-pandemic and digital era necessitates a reevaluation of literature education, demanding innovative pedagogical approaches and strategic integration of digital tools. The synthesis of existing literature and identification of gaps will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the evolving landscape of literature education in contemporary times.

The subsequent sections of this review will systematically explore the pedagogical shifts witnessed in the post-pandemic era, guided by insights from Chang and Yano's (2020) snapshot of policy measures and UNICEF's (2020) overview of findings from a survey of Ministries of Education on national responses to COVID-19. Additionally, it will delve into the role of digitalization in literature education, inspired by Lorizio's (2021) beginner's guide to digital transformation. The review will conclude with a critical discussion on the implications for future research and practice, incorporating perspectives from Winthrop (2020), Rogers et al. (2020), and Carvalho et al. (2020) on planning for school reopening and recovery after COVID-19. Through this multifaceted analysis, the researcher aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on literature education in the context of the post-pandemic and digital era.

II. Literature Review

In the context of literature education, the post-pandemic and digital era has ushered in transformative changes, redefining traditional pedagogies and embracing technological advancements. This succinct literature review navigates the evolving landscape, offering a comprehensive exploration of global insights into the pedagogical shifts, challenges, and opportunities that have emerged. From the adaptation of educators to hybrid models to the integration of digital tools, the literature review sets the stage for understanding the dynamic interplay between literature education and the rapidly evolving technological and educational landscapes.

1. Pedagogical Shifts in the Post-Pandemic Era:

The outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in profound disruptions to the field of education, prompting educators to reassess pedagogical approaches in response to the challenges and opportunities posed by the global crisis.

1.1. Influence of the Pandemic on Pedagogical Approaches:

The seismic impact of the pandemic has compelled a reevaluation of traditional pedagogical approaches, with educators adapting swiftly to the challenges posed by social distancing measures and the sudden shift to online and remote learning modalities (Meinck, Fraillon, & Strietholt, 2022). The urgency of the situation has led to innovative solutions as educators strive to maintain the quality of instruction while prioritizing the safety and well-being of students.

1.2. Changes in Teaching Methodologies:

The rapid integration of remote learning and hybrid models has become a defining feature of the pedagogical response to the pandemic. Gouédard, Pont, and Viennet's insights into education responses to COVID-19 (2020) shed light on the ways in which technology has been harnessed to sustain literature education. Virtual classrooms, video conferencing tools, and online collaborative platforms have become integral components of the educator's toolkit, fostering new possibilities for engagement and interaction among students (Chang & Yano, 2020). These technological interventions have not only facilitated the continuity of education but have also spurred discussions on the potential permanence of such changes in a post-pandemic world.

Educators, navigating the uncharted territory of hybrid models, grapple with the dual challenge of catering to both in-person and remote learners. The literature by Vegas and Winthrop (2020) emphasizes the broader digital transformation landscape, extending its influence into the educational domain.

The literature reviewed suggests that the pandemic has not only necessitated the adoption of technological tools but has also prompted a reevaluation of pedagogical philosophies. The amalgamation of traditional and digital teaching methodologies reflects a dynamic response to the evolving needs of students in an era characterized by unprecedented disruptions (Reuge et al., 2021).

2. Digitalization in Literature Education:

As we navigate the post-pandemic and digital era, the integration of digital tools into literature education assumes paramount significance, reshaping the reading experience, analytical processes, and overall engagement with literary works.

2.1. Exploration of Digital Tools in Literature Education:

The infusion of digital tools into literature education signifies a transformative shift in instructional methodologies, underscored by Gouédard, Pont, and Viennet's insights into education responses to COVID-19 (2020). Their work illuminates the widespread adoption of digital platforms to ensure continuity in education during the pandemic, emphasizing the necessity of leveraging digital tools in the face of global challenges.

Educators are increasingly incorporating a spectrum of digital resources, such as e-books, online libraries, and interactive platforms, to enhance the accessibility and diversity of literary materials (Zierer, 2021). The study by William and Stéphan (2021) further underscores the transformative potential of digitalization in mitigating disruptions to education caused by the pandemic.

2.2. Impact of Technology on Reading, Analysis, and Engagement:

The digital era has ushered in innovative approaches to reading, analysis, and engagement with literary works, as evidenced by insights from studies on the impact of COVID-19 on education. The research by Wyse et al. (2020) highlights the potential of technology in fostering critical thinking skills and personalized learning experiences. Hammerstein et al.'s systematic review (2021) contributes to understanding the broader impact of COVID-19-related school closures on student achievement, emphasizing the role of digital tools in navigating educational challenges.

Technology facilitates sophisticated literary analysis through tools that offer textual data mining, sentiment analysis, and collaborative annotation platforms (Huber & Helm, 2020). The study by Stanistreet, Elfert, and Atchoarena (2020) delves into the consequences of education in the age of COVID-19, shedding light on the multifaceted impact of digitalization on student engagement and learning outcomes.

Overall, the integration of digital tools into literature education represents a paradigm shift, offering educators and students new avenues for exploration, analysis, and engagement with literary works. This section has highlighted the diverse range of digital resources and their impact on the reading experience, analytical processes, and overall engagement within the evolving landscape of literature education, as informed by a synthesis of insights from various studies on the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on education.

3. Challenges and Opportunities:

Navigating the adaptation of literature education to the digital era entails confronting an array of challenges and leveraging opportunities, illustrating the intricate interplay between traditional pedagogy and technological advancements.

3.1. Challenges in Adapting Literature Education to the Digital Era:

The journey toward integrating literature education into the digital landscape is fraught with challenges, as illuminated by Sharma's mapping of the global EdTech revolution (2022). The study underscores the complexities of transitioning from 'Determinism' to 'Solutionism' during the pandemic, shedding light on the nuanced nature of technological integration into educational frameworks.

Hussain's exploration of technological convergence and digital transformation (2021) further delves into the challenges faced by cultural heritage sectors, providing insights into the broader implications of digitalization in the post-COVID-19 era. The study emphasizes the resilience-based structures required to address the intricate challenges posed by the pandemic.

3.2. Opportunities Presented by Technological Advancements:

In the face of challenges, literature education stands to gain transformative opportunities from technological advancements. Rapanta et al.'s examination of technology, pedagogy, and the new normal (2021) in higher education provides a comprehensive view of how the digital era can be harnessed to balance educational elements post-pandemic.

Andriani and Bram's exploration of technology use in teaching literature (2022) offers insights into teachers' perceptions, highlighting the potential for technology to enhance the literature learning experience. Additionally, Zancajo, Verger, and Bolea's analysis of the effects of COVID-19 on post-pandemic educational policy and delivery in Europe (2022) contributes to understanding the broader implications of digitalization in shaping educational landscapes.

Overall, the challenges and opportunities in adapting literature education to the digital era are intricately woven into the fabric of global education transformations. Drawing from diverse studies, this section has highlighted the multifaceted nature of the challenges and the potential opportunities afforded by technological advancements in the post-pandemic educational landscape.

III. Methodology:

This review article employs a robust methodology based on in-depth and systematic literature review principles, guided by established frameworks and guidelines from scholarly sources.

1. Search Criteria: The search criteria were meticulously crafted, drawing inspiration from Snyder's insights on literature review as a research methodology (Snyder, 2019). Library and online sources were used as the primary sources of information. Keywords such as "literature education," "digitalization," "post-pandemic," and "pedagogical shifts" were strategically chosen, and the search strategy involved the use of Boolean operators, truncation, and proximity operators (Ryan, 2023). The temporal scope was restricted to recent publications, aligning with the recommendation to capture the most contemporary perspectives in literature (Snyder, 2019).

2. Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria: The study adheres to stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria, aligning with best practices outlined by Creswell and Poth (2016) and Davis et al. (2014). Included references and articles are only scholarly and peer-reviewed, focusing explicitly on pedagogical shifts and digitalization in literature education within the post-pandemic context. Non-peer-reviewed sources, articles lacking relevance, and those falling outside the specified temporal scope were excluded to maintain the study's focus and rigor.

3. Validity and Reliability: To enhance the validity of the methodology, the study draws on foundational works such as Creswell and Báez's exploration of essential skills for qualitative researchers (Creswell & Báez, 2020). The reliance on qualitative inquiry principles aligns with the guidelines outlined by Miles, Huberman, and Saldana (2023) in their authoritative resource on qualitative data analysis. The systematic nature of the literature review process ensures reliability, and the inclusion of peer-reviewed sources contributes to the credibility of the synthesized information (Davis et al., 2014).

Additionally, insights from Cardoso Ermel et al. (2021) on literature analysis have been integrated to refine the approach to synthesizing and presenting findings. This collaborative use of established methodologies from renowned scholars in the field enhances the overall robustness of the review methodology.

IV. Discussion:

In the following discussion, the researcher delves into a nuanced analysis of the findings gleaned from the comprehensive literature review, contemplating patterns, trends, and gaps identified in

existing scholarship on literature education in the post-pandemic and digital era. This section aims to distill the essence of the reviewed literature, providing insights into the dynamic landscape that defines contemporary literature education. By scrutinizing the implications of these findings, the researcher endeavors to shed light on the evolving nature of pedagogy and technology integration, offering a platform for thoughtful reflection and dialogue on the future trajectory of literature education.

Summary and Analysis of Findings:

The comprehensive literature review conducted in this article, enriched by insights from a diverse array of sources form the library and online sources, presents a nuanced understanding of literature education in the post-pandemic and digital era.

1. Pedagogical Shifts in the Post-Pandemic Era: The synthesized findings resonate with the acknowledgment of substantial pedagogical shifts, a sentiment echoed in studies by Ladson-Billings (2021) and Üstündağ Güvenç et al. (2022). Ladson-Billings advocates for a 'hard re-set' in post-pandemic pedagogy, emphasizing the need to preserve cultural integrity. The study by Üstündağ Güvenç et al. (2022) contributes localized insights, exploring changes in the teaching of literature at Çankaya University during the COVID-19 pandemic. By incorporating these perspectives, the review captures both global and localized dynamics in the evolution of literature education.

2. Digitalization in Literature Education: Insights from Moorhouse, Wong, and Li (2023) shed light on the technological normalization and AI-induced disruptions in teaching with technology post-pandemic. This aligns with the overarching trend of integrating digital tools, as emphasized by Larsari et al. (2023) and Estrellado (2021). The conceptualization of hybrid teaching, as presented by Ulla and Perales (2022), adds depth to the discussion, showcasing a practical approach in the post-COVID-19 education landscape. The collective findings highlight not only the increasing reliance on technology but also the diverse approaches adopted by educational institutions worldwide.

Moreover, drawing upon the findings of various research studies, including those by Halverson et al. (2018), Baraté, Haus, Ludovico, Pagani, & Scarabottolo (2019), and Schmidt and Tang (2020), the researcher has constructed a table to demonstrate the potential of technology and digitalization in enhancing multiple facets of literature teaching. These facets include motivation, engagement, collaboration, and creativity.

The table is structured around a framework that delineates the dimensions of digitalization in education. This framework comprises three main elements: the Aspect of Literature Teaching, the Digitalization Activity, and the associated Benefits. Each of these elements represents a unique way in which digitalization can contribute to the teaching of literature.

Aspect of Literature Teaching	Digitalization	Benefits
Text Analysis	Natural Language Processing (NLP) tools	Automated analysis of literary texts
		Identification of themes, tone, motif, and style
		Generation of summaries and insights
Personalized Learning	Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS)	Adaptive content based on student progress
		Tailored exercises to address weaknesses
		Real-time feedback and assistance
Language Skills Development	Chatbots for language practice	Conversational practice in literary topics

		Correction of grammar and vocabulary usage
		Engaging language learning experiences
Creative Writing Support	AI-assisted writing tools	Grammar and style suggestions
		Inspiration prompts for creative writing
		Enhancement of students' writing skills
Student Engagement	Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented	Immersive experiences in literary settings
		Augmented Reality (AR) applications
		Increased interest and motivation
		Interactive storytelling experiences

Patterns, Trends, and Gaps:

1. Patterns and Trends: Patterns emerge as educators globally demonstrate resilience in adopting AI and digital competencies (Ng et al., 2023). The literature converges on the trend of technological normalcy and the embrace of hybrid teaching models (Ulla & Perales, 2022; Moorhouse et al., 2023). The synthesized analysis reinforces these patterns, emphasizing the transformative role of technology in literature education post-pandemic.
2. Gaps in the Existing Literature: While the existing literature emphasizes the 'how' of digitalization, insights from Hanaba, Mysechko, and Bloshchynskyi (2021) on the possibilities and risks of AI use underscore the need for a deeper exploration of the 'why' and 'to what extent.' Additionally, Cahapay's study (2022) on how Filipino parents at home educate their children during COVID-19 highlights a gap in understanding diverse and inclusive educational practices. By integrating these perspectives, the current review strives to address these gaps, providing a more holistic view of literature education in the post-pandemic and digital era as likewise observed in Iligan City, Philippines, being the locale of the study.

Addressing Gaps in the Current Review:

The current review intentionally expands its scope to encompass diverse perspectives on AI, hybrid teaching, and inclusive education practices. By drawing on studies exploring the possibilities and risks of AI (Hanaba et al., 2021) and the home education experiences of children (Cahapay, 2022), this review aims to offer a more comprehensive understanding of the motives, implications, and inclusivity in literature education's digital transformation.

Overall, this discussion synthesizes a multitude of perspectives on literature education, addressing patterns, trends, and gaps within the broader post-pandemic and digital context. By integrating insights from a variety of sources, the review enriches the discourse surrounding literature education's evolution, contributing to a more holistic understanding of the challenges and opportunities in the contemporary educational landscape.

Directions for Future Research:

Future research endeavors should chart a course towards:

1. AI Integration in Literary Analysis: Investigating the impact of AI on literary analysis, exploring AI-driven tools for personalized learning experiences and ethical considerations in AI integration in literature education (Eslit, 2023).
2. Inclusive Practices: Focusing on inclusive literature education practices, addressing diverse learning needs and challenges faced by students with varying abilities.
3. Cultural and Regional Variances: Delving into cultural and regional variations in the adoption of digital tools, recognizing the unique challenges and opportunities faced by educators in different contexts (Nicdao, 2013; Laguador, 2017).
4. Long-term Effects of Hybrid Models: Assessing the sustainability and long-term effects of hybrid teaching models, evaluating their impact on student engagement and academic performance.
5. Pedagogical Strategies for Technology Integration: Researching effective pedagogical strategies for seamlessly integrating technology into literature education, ensuring a harmonious balance between traditional and digital approaches.

Overall, this review not only offers a snapshot of the current state of literature education but also lays the foundation for future research endeavors. By integrating insights from diverse sources, including Eslit (2023), Nicdao (2013), Laguador (2017), Alerta (2021), and Cruz (2018), it presents a holistic view that reflects the dynamic nature of literature education in the post-pandemic and digital era.

V. Conclusion:

This review article delves into the multifaceted landscape of literature education in the post-pandemic and digital era, synthesizing diverse perspectives to illuminate the evolving educational paradigm. The examination of literature reveals seismic pedagogical shifts driven by the pandemic, with educators globally adapting to hybrid models and embracing digital tools. This transformative period underscores the need for dynamic and technology-integrated approaches to literature education. Digitalization, as explored by Laguador (2017) and Nicdao (2013), has not only influenced pedagogical methodologies but has also redefined the way literary works are read, analyzed, and engaged with in the contemporary era. The implications for literature education are profound, necessitating a paradigm shift where technology is integrated without compromising the foundational values of literary education. As Alerta (2021) advocates for breaking boundaries in comparative literary methods, the post-pandemic and digital era demands a reimagining of pedagogical approaches to keep pace with technological advancements. Furthermore, insights from Cruz (2018) highlight the significance of literature in shaping identity and building a nation, emphasizing the role of language and literature pedagogy in this transformative process. Moreover, Eslit's work (2023) on student-centered solutions for post-pandemic mental health, time management, and stress reduction adds a crucial dimension to the discussion, emphasizing the importance of holistic student well-being in the literature education landscape.

References

- Alareeni, B., & Hamdan, A. (Eds.). (2022). *Innovation of Businesses, and Digitalization during Covid-19 Pandemic: Proceedings of The International Conference on Business and Technology (ICBT 2021)*. Springer Nature
- Alerta, H. (2021). Breaking the Boundaries: Reimagining the Comparative Literary Method and Pedagogy in the Philippine Literature Classrooms. *International Journal of English and Comparative Literary Studies*, 2(6), 14-31.
- Andriani, E., & Bram, B. (2022). Technology use in teaching literature amid and post-pandemic: teachers' perceptions. *Premise: Journal of English Education*, 11(2), 329-347.
- Ashour, S., El-Refae, G. A., & Zaitoun, E. A. (2021). Post-pandemic higher education: Perspectives from university leaders and educational experts in the United Arab Emirates. *Higher Education for the Future*, 8(2), 219-238.

- Baratè, A., Haus, G., Ludovico, L., Pagani, E., & Scarabottolo, N. (2019). 5G technology and its application to e-learning. In *Proceeding 11th annual International Conference EDULEARN* (Bd. 19). <http://www.ludovico.net/download/papers/EDULEARN2019.pdf>. Zugegriffen am 15.07.2019.
- Burgess, S., & Sievertsen, H. H. (2020). Schools, skills, and learning: The impact of COVID-19 on education. *VoxEu. org*, 1(2), 73-89.
- Cahapay, M. B. (2022). How Filipino parents home educate their children with autism during COVID-19 period. *International Journal of Developmental Disabilities*, 68(3), 395-398.
- Cardoso Ermel, A.P., Lacerda, D.P., Morandi, M.I.W.M., Gauss, L. (2021). Literature Analysis. In: *Literature Reviews*. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-75722-9_4
- Carvalho, S., Rossiter, J., Angrist, N., Hares, S., & Silverman, R. (2020). Planning for school reopening and recovery after COVID-19. *Center for Global Development*, 26.
- Chang, G. C., & Yano, S. (2020). How are countries addressing the Covid-19 challenges in education? A snapshot of policy measures.
- Chang, G. C., & Yano, S. (2020). How are countries addressing the Covid-19 challenges in education? A snapshot of policy measures.
- Creswell, J. W., & Báez, J. C. (2020). 30 essential skills for the qualitative researcher. Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage publications.
- Cruz, P. A. T. (2018). Teaching literature, teaching identity: Language pedagogy and building a nation through texts and textbooks. In *Literature Education in the Asia-Pacific* (pp. 153-166). Routledge.
- d'Orville, H. (2020). COVID-19 causes unprecedented educational disruption: Is there a road towards a new normal?. *Prospects*, 49(1-2), 11-15.
- Davis, J., Mengersen, K., Bennett, S. et al. Viewing systematic reviews and meta-analysis in social research through different lenses. *SpringerPlus* 3, 511 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-3-511>
- De Giusti, A. (2020). Book review: Policy brief: Education during COVID-19 and beyond. *Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnología En Educación y Educación En Tecnología*, (26), 110-111.
- Deshmukh, J. (2021). Speculations on the post-pandemic university campus—a global inquiry. *Archnet-IJAR: International Journal of Architectural Research*, 15(1), 131-147.
- Eslit, E. R. (2023). Surviving and Thriving: Empowering Student-Centered Solutions for Post-Pandemic Mental Health, Time Management, and Stress Reduction. doi: 10.20944/preprints202309.0777.v1. <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202309.0777/v1>
- Estrellado, C. J. (2021). Transition to post-pandemic education in the Philippines: Unfolding insights. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 11(12).
- Frohn, J. (2021). Troubled schools in troubled times: How COVID-19 affects educational inequalities and what measures can be taken. *European Educational Research Journal*, 20(5), 667-683.
- Gouédard, P., Pont, B., & Viennet, R. (2020). Education responses to COVID-19: Implementing a way forward.
- Gouédard, P., Pont, B., & Viennet, R. (2020). Education responses to COVID-19: Implementing a way forward.
- Hammerstein, S., König, C., Dreisörner, T., & Frey, A. (2021). Effects of COVID-19-related school closures on student achievement—a systematic review. *Frontiers in psychology*, 12, 746289.
- Halverson, R., Kallio, J., Hackett, S., & Halverson, E. (2018). Participatory culture as a model for how new media technologies can change public schools. *The Emerging Learning Design Journal*, 3(1), Art 1. <https://digitalcommons.montclair.edu/eldj/vol3/iss1/1>.
- Hanaba, S., Mysechko, O., & Bloshchynskyi, I. (2021). Changing the educational paradigm in post-pandemic world: Possibilities and risks of artificial intelligence using. *BRAIN. Broad Research in Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 11(2Sup1), 48-55.
- Howe, D. C., Chauhan, R. S., Soderberg, A. T., & Buckley, M. R. (2021). Paradigm shifts caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. *Organizational dynamics*, 50(4), 100804.
- Huber, S. G., & Helm, C. (2020). COVID-19 and schooling: evaluation, assessment and accountability in times of crises—reacting quickly to explore key issues for policy, practice and research with the school barometer. *Educational assessment, evaluation and accountability*, 32, 237-270.
- Hussain, Z. (2021). Paradigm of technological convergence and digital transformation: The challenges of CH sectors in the global COVID-19 pandemic and commencing resilience-based structure for the post-COVID-19 era. *Digital Applications in Archaeology and Cultural Heritage*, 21, e00182.

- Irwansyah, D. (2022). Teaching English Through Literature In Post-Pandemic Era: A Case At An Indonesian Islamic Higher Institution. In Proceedings of the 10th UNNES Virtual International Conference on English Language Teaching, Literature, and Translation, ELTLT 2021, 14-15 August 2021, Semarang, Indonesia.
- Kurnaz, S., & Arg?n, E. (Eds.). (2022). Digitalization and the impacts of COVID-19 on the aviation industry. IGI Global
- Ladson-Billings, G. (2021). I'm here for the hard re-set: Post pandemic pedagogy to preserve our culture. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 54(1), 68-78.
- Laguador, J. M. (2017). Integrating Educational Technology in the Philippine contemporary classroom environment. *School Environment in Nigeria, Ghana and the Philippines*. Authorhouse: Bloomington-IN, 47403.
- Larsari, V. N., Wildová, R., Dhuli, R., Chenari, H., Reyes-Chua, E., Galas, E. M., ... & Lanuza, M. H. (2023). Digitalizing Teaching and Learning in Light of Sustainability in Times of the Post-Covid-19 Period: Challenges, Issues, and Opportunities. In *International Conference on Digital Technologies and Applications* (pp. 366-375). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Lorizio, N. P. (2021). Digitalization: The new normal of the post-pandemic world: (Beginner's guide to digital transformation). Amazon Digital Services LLC - Kdp1
- Meinck, S., Fraillon, J., & Strietholt, R. (2022). The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Education: International Evidence from the Responses to Educational Disruption Survey (REDS). International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement.
- Miles, M., Huberman, M. and Saldana, J. (2023). *Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Resource Book*. SAGE Publishing, Third Edition. <https://study.sagepub.com/miles3e>
- Moorhouse, B. L., Wong, K. M., & Li, L. (2023). Teaching with technology in the post-pandemic digital age: Technological normalisation and AI-induced disruptions. *RELC Journal*, 54(2), 311-320.
- Murray, S. (2018). *The Digital Literary Sphere: Reading, Writing, and Selling Books in the Internet Era*. JHU Press
- Ng, D. T. K., Leung, J. K. L., Su, J., Ng, R. C. W., & Chu, S. K. W. (2023). Teachers' AI digital competencies and twenty-first century skills in the post-pandemic world. *Educational technology research and development*, 71(1), 137-161.
- Ng, D. T. K., Leung, J. K. L., Su, J., Ng, R. C. W., & Chu, S. K. W. (2023). Teachers' AI digital competencies and twenty-first century skills in the post-pandemic world. *Educational technology research and development*, 71(1), 137-161.
- Niaz, M. (2022). Revolutionizing Inventory Planning: Harnessing Digital Supply Data through Digitization to Optimize Storage Efficiency Pre-and Post-Pandemic. *BULLET: Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu*, 1(03).
- Nicdao, J. M. (2013). Teaching literature through blended learning in the Philippines. In *EDULEARN13 Proceedings* (pp. 2817-2822). IATED.
- Patrinos, H. A., Vegas, E., & Carter-Rau, R. (2022). An analysis of COVID-19 student learning loss.
- Peng, M. H., & Dutta, B. (2023). The Mediating Effects of Innovativeness and System Usability on Students' Personality Differences: Recommendations for E-Learning Platforms in the Post-Pandemic Era. *Sustainability*, 15(7), 5867.
- Perkins, M. (2023). Academic Integrity considerations of AI Large Language Models in the post-pandemic era: ChatGPT and beyond. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, 20(2), 07.
- Rapanta, C., Botturi, L., Goodyear, P., Guàrdia, L., & Koole, M. (2021). Balancing technology, pedagogy and the new normal: Post-pandemic challenges for higher education. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 3(3), 715-742.
- Reimers, F., & Schleicher, A. (2020). Schooling disrupted, schooling rethought. How the Covid-19 pandemic is changing education.
- Reuge, N., Jenkins, R., Brossard, M., Soobrayan, B., Mizunoya, S., Ackers, J., ... & Tauro, W. G. (2021). Education response to COVID 19 pandemic, a special issue proposed by UNICEF: Editorial review. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 87, 102485.
- Rogers, F. H., Sabarwal, S., Avitabile, C., Lee, J. V., Miyamoto, K., Nellemann, S., & Saavedra Chanduvi, J. (2020). *The COVID-19 Pandemic: Shocks to Education and Policy Responses (Vol. 2): Executive Summary*.
- Ryan, E. (2023). *Boolean Operators | Quick Guide, Examples & Tips*. Scribbr. Retrieved November 21, 2023, from <https://www.scribbr.com/working-with-sources/boolean-operators/>
- Schleicher, A. (2020). *The Impact of COVID-19 on Education: Insights from "Education at a Glance 2020"*. OECD Publishing.

- Sharma, H. (2022). Mapping the Global EdTech Revolution during the Pandemic: From 'Determinism' to 'Solutionism'. Re-imagining Educational Futures in Developing Countries: Lessons from Global Health Crises, 119-137.
- Schmidt, J.T., Tang, M. (2020). Digitalization in Education: Challenges, Trends and Transformative Potential. In: Harwardt, M., Niermann, P.J., Schmutte, A., Steuernagel, A. (eds) *Führen und Managen in der digitalen Transformation*. Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-28670-5_16
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines, *Journal of Business Research*, Volume 104, 2019, Pages 333-339, ISSN 0148-2963, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Stanistreet, P., Elfert, M., & Atchoarena, D. (2020). Education in the age of COVID-19: Understanding the consequences. *International Review of Education*, 66, 627-633.
- Toquero, C. M. D., Sonsona, D. A., & Talidong, K. J. B. (2021). Game-based learning: Reinforcing a paradigm transition on pedagogy amid COVID-19 to complement emergency online education. *International Journal of Didactical Studies*, 2(2), 10458.
- Ulla, M. B., & Perales, W. F. (2022). Hybrid teaching: Conceptualization through practice for the post COVID19 pandemic education. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 7, p. 924594). Frontiers Media SA.
- UNICEF. (2020). What have we learnt?: Overview of Findings from a Survey of Ministries of Education on National Responses to COVID-19.
- Üstündağ Güvenç, Ö., Sağlam, B., Çakırlar, Ö., & Uzundemir, Ö. (2022). Changes in the Teaching of Literature: A Study of Practices in the English Language and Literature Department at Çankaya University during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Changing English*, 29(1), 53-65.
- Vegas, E., & Winthrop, R. (2020). Beyond reopening schools: How education can emerge stronger than before COVID-19.
- William, T., & Stéphan, V. L. (2021). *Schooling During a Pandemic The Experience and Outcomes of Schoolchildren During the First Round of COVID-19 Lockdowns: The Experience and Outcomes of Schoolchildren During the First Round of COVID-19 Lockdowns*. OECD Publishing.
- Winthrop, R. (2020). COVID-19 and school closures: What can countries learn from past emergencies?.
- Wyse, A. E., Stickney, E. M., Butz, D., Beckler, A., & Close, C. N. (2020). The potential impact of COVID-19 on student learning and how schools can respond. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 39(3), 60-64.
- Zancajo, A., Verger, A., & Bolea, P. (2022). Digitalization and beyond: the effects of Covid-19 on post-pandemic educational policy and delivery in Europe. *Policy and Society*, 41(1), 111-128.
- Zierer, K. (2021). Effects of pandemic-related school closures on pupils' performance and learning in selected countries: A rapid review. *Education Sciences*, 11(6), 252.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.