

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Developing an Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia: A Comprehensive Review, Evaluation, And Future Directions

[Admas Kero](#) * , Dawit Demissie , Kula Tune

Posted Date: 26 September 2023

doi: 10.20944/preprints202309.1780.v1

Keywords: ontology; public policy; Ethiopia; policy analysis; decision-making; stakeholder involvement



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Review

Developing an Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia: A Comprehensive Review, Evaluation, and Future Directions

Admas Abtew ^{1,*}, Dawit Demissie ² and Kula kekeba ³

¹ Department of Information Technology, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia

² Department of Information Technology and Operations, Fordham University, New York, USA

³ Department of Software Engineering, Addis Ababa Science and Technology University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

* Corresponding Author Email: admes0922@gmail.com

Abstract: This literature review focuses on the development of an ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia. The study aims to provide a structured representation of concepts, relationships, and knowledge specific to the Ethiopian policy context. The research follows a systematic ontology development process that incorporates domain-specific knowledge and stakeholder involvement. The review begins by describing the steps involved in the ontology development process, including problem identification and scoping, requirement analysis, ontology modeling, and stakeholder involvement. It emphasizes the importance of engaging policymakers, subject matter experts, and researchers throughout the process to validate the ontology and ensure its relevance and effectiveness in addressing Ethiopian policy problems. The application of the developed ontology to specific policy problems is then discussed. Examples include agricultural policy analysis, healthcare policy evaluation, and education policy planning. The review highlights how the ontology can support policy analysis, decision-making, and evaluation by capturing relevant concepts, simulating policy scenarios, and assessing policy impacts. Furthermore, the review identifies potential challenges and limitations in the ontology development process, such as data availability, expert knowledge engagement, and the evolving policy landscape. These challenges need to be addressed to ensure the ontology's accuracy and usability in addressing Ethiopian policy problems. Finally, the review provides suggestions for further research and improvement, such as integrating the ontology with data sources, fostering collaborative ontology development, exploring semantic reasoning and inferencing techniques, and promoting interoperability and standardization. These suggestions aim to enhance the ontology's capabilities and its contribution to the field of ontology development for public policy problems. In conclusion, the development of an ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia offers a valuable tool for policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders to understand, analyze, and address complex policy challenges. The ontology's relevance and applicability to the Ethiopian context, stakeholder involvement, and support for policy analysis make it a significant contribution to the field of ontology development for public policy.

Keywords: ontology; public policy; Ethiopia; policy analysis; decision-making; stakeholder involvement

I. Introduction

A. Background of public policy problems in Ethiopia

Public policy problems pose significant challenges for governments around the world, including in Ethiopia. These problems are complex, multifaceted, and often require a deep understanding of the underlying issues to develop effective solutions. In recent years, the use of ontologies has

emerged as a promising approach to address the complexity of public policy problems by providing a structured and formal representation of domain knowledge[1].

Ontologies are formal representations of knowledge that capture concepts, relationships, and properties within a specific domain. They serve as semantic frameworks for organizing and categorizing information, enabling better understanding, analysis, and decision-making [2]. In the context of public policy, ontologies can help policymakers gain insights into the intricate relationships between various factors, stakeholders, and policy options associated with a specific problem.

However, despite the growing interest in ontologies for public policy, there is a research gap in the development of ontologies specifically tailored to address public policy problems in Ethiopia. While some ontologies have been developed for public policy domains in other countries, their applicability to the Ethiopian context may be limited due to differences in socio-cultural, economic, and political factors. Therefore, there is a need for an ontology specifically designed to capture the unique characteristics and challenges of public policy problems in Ethiopia.

This literature review aims to fill this research gap by examining the existing literature on designing and developing an ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia. By critically analyzing the relevant studies, this review will identify the key components, methodologies, and considerations involved in ontology development for the Ethiopian context. Additionally, it will assess the strengths and limitations of existing ontologies for public policy and identify areas for improvement.

The research gaps in the existing literature can be summarized as follows:

1. Limited focus on ontologies for public policy problems in Ethiopia: While ontologies have gained attention in various domains, including healthcare, education, and finance, there is a dearth of research specifically addressing the development of ontologies for public policy problems in Ethiopia. This gap highlights the need to explore the unique characteristics and challenges of the Ethiopian context and develop ontologies that are tailored to address these specific issues.

2. Lack of comprehensive methodology for ontology development: Existing literature often lacks a systematic approach or standardized methodology for ontology development in the context of public policy problems. This gap hinders the replication and comparability of studies and calls for the establishment of a comprehensive methodology that can guide researchers and practitioners in developing effective ontologies for public policy problems in Ethiopia.

3. Limited evaluation of ontology effectiveness: While several ontologies have been developed for public policy domains in other countries, there is a lack of comprehensive evaluation of their effectiveness in addressing real-world policy problems. Assessing the impact and practical utility of ontologies in the Ethiopian context is crucial for understanding their potential benefits and limitations.

By addressing these research gaps, this literature review will contribute to the field of ontology development for public policy problems in Ethiopia. It will provide insights into the design principles, methodologies, and challenges associated with developing ontologies that can enhance policy analysis, decision-making, and implementation processes in the Ethiopian context.

B. Significance of ontology in addressing public policy problems

Ontologies play a crucial role in addressing public policy problems by providing a structured and formal representation of domain knowledge. The significance of ontologies in this context can be understood through the following points:

1. Knowledge organization and integration: Public policy problems are complex and involve multiple interconnected factors, such as social, economic, and environmental dimensions. Ontologies help in organizing and integrating diverse knowledge sources, including data, information, and expertise, into a coherent framework[3]. By capturing the relationships and dependencies between different concepts and entities, ontologies facilitate a comprehensive understanding of the problem domain.

2. Enhanced policy analysis and decision-making: Ontologies enable policymakers to analyze and evaluate the impacts and implications of different policy options. They provide a systematic

structure for modeling policy-related concepts, objectives, and constraints, allowing policymakers to explore various scenarios and assess the potential outcomes[4]. This supports evidence-based decision-making and fosters a more informed and transparent policy process.

3. Interoperability and information sharing: Public policy issues often involve multiple stakeholders, such as government agencies, non-governmental organizations, and community groups. Ontologies facilitate interoperability and information sharing by establishing a common vocabulary and semantic framework[3]. This enables effective communication, collaboration, and coordination among diverse stakeholders, leading to more efficient and coordinated policy interventions.

4. Long-term knowledge management: Ontologies provide a foundation for long-term knowledge management in the field of public policy. As policy problems evolve over time, ontologies can be updated and refined to reflect changing circumstances and new insights[1]. This ensures that the accumulated knowledge and lessons learned from previous policy initiatives are preserved and can be leveraged for future decision-making.

C. Purpose of the literature review

The purpose of the literature review section is to provide a comprehensive overview of the existing research and knowledge related to the design and development of an ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia. This section serves several key purposes:

1. Identifying gaps and research needs: The literature review helps identify gaps in the existing literature, such as the limited focus on ontologies for public policy problems in Ethiopia and the lack of a comprehensive methodology for ontology development. By critically examining the current state of research, the review highlights areas that require further investigation and provides a foundation for addressing these research needs.

2. Understanding theoretical frameworks and concepts: The literature review provides a theoretical framework by discussing the concept of ontologies, their role in problem-solving and decision-making, and the methodologies used for ontology development. This theoretical foundation helps establish a common understanding of key concepts and theoretical underpinnings, guiding the subsequent sections of the research.

3. Evaluating existing ontologies: The review assesses the strengths and limitations of existing ontologies developed for public policy domains, both within Ethiopia and in other countries. By evaluating the effectiveness and applicability of these ontologies, the review identifies gaps and areas for improvement. This evaluation is crucial in informing the development of a context-specific ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia.

4. Informing the research methodology: The literature review section informs the research methodology by discussing various approaches and techniques employed in ontology development. It provides insights into data collection methods, ontology modeling and representation techniques, and validation and evaluation processes. This information guides the selection of appropriate methodologies and techniques for developing the ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia.

5. Establishing the context and significance of the research: The literature review establishes the context and significance of the research by highlighting the research gaps and the need for a tailored ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia. It demonstrates the novelty and relevance of the research, positioning it within the broader literature and underscoring its potential contributions to the field.

By fulfilling these purposes, the literature review section lays the foundation for the subsequent sections of the research, providing a comprehensive understanding of the existing knowledge and guiding the development of the ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia.

II. Theoretical Framework

A. Definition and Concept of Ontology

In the context of information science and knowledge representation, an ontology can be defined as a formal and explicit specification of a shared conceptualization[5]. It represents a structured and organized knowledge framework that captures the concepts, relationships, and properties within a specific domain. Ontologies provide a common vocabulary and a semantic structure for representing and interrelating knowledge, enabling effective communication and understanding among different stakeholders[2].

The concept of ontology draws inspiration from philosophy, where it refers to the study of existence and the nature of reality. In information science, ontologies serve as computational models that represent a specific domain's knowledge in a machine-readable and understandable format[1]. They define the entities, attributes, and relationships within the domain, enabling the representation of complex knowledge structures.

B. Role of Ontology in Problem-Solving and Decision-Making

Ontologies play a vital role in problem-solving and decision-making processes, particularly in complex domains such as public policy. The key roles of ontologies in these processes include:

1. Knowledge organization and integration: Ontologies facilitate the organization and integration of diverse knowledge sources, including data, information, and expertise, into a coherent framework. By providing a structured representation of the domain's concepts and relationships, ontologies enable the identification of relevant knowledge and its effective integration into the decision-making process[6].

2. Semantic interoperability: Public policy problems often involve multiple stakeholders with diverse backgrounds and perspectives. Ontologies establish a common vocabulary and semantic structure that enable effective communication and understanding across different stakeholders. They bridge the gap between different knowledge sources and facilitate interoperability, ensuring that relevant information can be shared, integrated, and used collaboratively[4].

3. Knowledge discovery and analysis: Ontologies enable the discovery of implicit knowledge by capturing explicit relationships and dependencies between concepts. They support the identification of patterns, trends, and causal relationships within the problem domain, facilitating data-driven analysis and decision-making[1]. Ontologies also enable the exploration of "what-if" scenarios, allowing policymakers to assess the potential impacts of different policy interventions.

C. Ontology Development Methodologies

Ontology development methodologies provide systematic approaches for creating ontologies that accurately represent the knowledge and meet the requirements of the problem domain. Several methodologies have been proposed, including:

1. Methontology: Methontology is a widely used ontology development methodology that provides a step-by-step process for ontology construction[7]. It emphasizes iterative development, involving activities such as knowledge acquisition, conceptualization, specification, implementation, and evaluation. Methontology promotes the involvement of domain experts and stakeholders throughout the ontology development process.

2. Ontology Development 101: Ontology Development 101 is a methodology that emphasizes a modular and iterative approach to ontology development[8]. It advocates for the use of foundational ontologies and reusing existing ontological resources to increase the efficiency and quality of ontology development. This methodology focuses on ontology evaluation and validation, ensuring that the resulting ontology meets the intended requirements.

3. NeOn Methodology: The NeOn Methodology [9] is a methodology specifically designed for large-scale ontology development. It emphasizes collaboration and distributed development, addressing challenges related to scalability, modularity, and versioning. The NeOn Methodology

promotes the reuse of existing ontologies and modular development, enabling the creation of ontologies that span multiple domains and can be maintained and updated collaboratively.

These methodologies provide guidelines and best practices for ontology development, addressing activities such as knowledge acquisition, ontology modeling, ontology verification and validation, and ontology maintenance. The selection of an appropriate methodology depends on the specific requirements, scope, and complexity of the ontology development project.

III. Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia

A. Overview of Major Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia

Ethiopia faces various significant public policy problems that require attention and effective interventions. Some of the major public policy problems in Ethiopia include:

1. Poverty and inequality: Ethiopia has a high poverty rate, with a significant portion of the population living below the poverty line. Income inequality is also a pressing issue, with disparities between urban and rural areas and among different social groups.

2. Food security and agricultural development: Agriculture is a vital sector in Ethiopia, employing a large portion of the population. However, challenges such as land degradation, climate change, inadequate infrastructure, and limited access to finance hinder agricultural productivity and food security.

3. Access to basic services: Ensuring access to quality education, healthcare, clean water, and sanitation remains a challenge, particularly in rural areas. Limited infrastructure, inadequate resources, and geographic disparities contribute to the unequal distribution of basic services.

4. Unemployment and job creation: Ethiopia has a growing young population, and providing employment opportunities for the youth is crucial. High youth unemployment rates, limited job opportunities in non-agricultural sectors, and a mismatch between skills and market demands pose challenges in addressing this issue.

5. Governance and corruption: Enhancing governance, transparency, and accountability in public institutions is essential for effective policy implementation. Addressing corruption, improving public service delivery, and promoting citizen participation are ongoing challenges in Ethiopia.

B. Challenges in Addressing Public Policy Problems

Addressing public policy problems in Ethiopia is accompanied by several challenges, including:

1. Limited resources: Ethiopia is a low-income country with limited financial and human resources. Insufficient funding for public programs and infrastructure development hampers effective policy implementation and the provision of essential services.

2. Capacity and expertise gaps: Building and retaining a skilled workforce in policy formulation, implementation, and evaluation is essential. However, Ethiopia faces challenges in terms of capacity and expertise gaps, particularly in specialized areas such as data analysis, monitoring and evaluation, and evidence-based policy making.

3. Institutional fragmentation: Public policy problems often require the involvement of multiple government agencies, as well as coordination with non-governmental organizations and other stakeholders. Institutional fragmentation and lack of coordination can impede effective policy implementation and hinder progress in resolving complex problems.

4. Political and social complexities: Ethiopia has diverse ethnic, cultural, and political dynamics, which can pose challenges in developing and implementing inclusive policies. Balancing the interests and aspirations of different groups, managing political tensions, and ensuring social cohesion are complex tasks in the policy-making process.

C. Need for a Systematic Approach to Problem-Solving

The challenges and complexities associated with public policy problems in Ethiopia highlight the need for a systematic approach to problem-solving. A systematic approach provides a structured

and evidence-based framework for policy formulation, implementation, and evaluation. Some key reasons for adopting a systematic approach include:

1. Efficiency and effectiveness: A systematic approach enhances the efficiency and effectiveness of policy interventions by providing a clear roadmap and methodology for problem-solving. It ensures that resources are allocated strategically, targets are set based on evidence, and progress is monitored and evaluated systematically[10].

2. Stakeholder engagement and participation: A systematic approach promotes stakeholder engagement and participation in the policy-making process. By involving diverse stakeholders, including government agencies, civil society organizations, and local communities, the approach ensures that policies are informed by a wide range of perspectives and priorities.

3. Evidence-based decision-making: A systematic approach emphasizes the use of evidence and data in decision-making. It encourages the collection, analysis, and utilization of relevant data and information to inform policy choices, monitor progress, and evaluate outcomes. Evidence-based decision-making enhances the quality and effectiveness of policies.

4. Policy learning and adaptability: Complex public policy problems often require iterative and adaptive approaches. A systematic approach facilitates policy learning by encouraging continuous monitoring and evaluation, feedback loops, and learning from past experiences[11]. It enables policymakers to adapt and refine policies based on lessons learned and changing circumstances.

By adopting a systematic approach to problem-solving, Ethiopia can enhance its policy-making processes, improve the effectiveness of policy interventions, and address complex public policy problems more comprehensively.

IV. Ontology Development for Public Policy Problems

A. Importance of Ontology in Understanding Complex Policy Issues

Ontologies play a crucial role in understanding and addressing complex policy issues. They provide a structured and formalized representation of the concepts, relationships, and dependencies within a specific domain, enabling policymakers to gain a deeper understanding of the problem at hand[12]. The importance of ontology in understanding complex policy issues can be highlighted in the following ways:

1. Conceptual clarity: Ontologies help in establishing a clear and shared understanding of the key concepts and terms related to a policy problem. By defining and organizing the concepts and their relationships, ontologies provide a common vocabulary that facilitates effective communication and knowledge sharing among stakeholders [13].

2. Knowledge integration: Complex policy issues often involve diverse sources of knowledge, including data, research findings, expert opinions, and legal frameworks. Ontologies enable the integration of this heterogeneous knowledge by providing a unified framework for organizing and relating different types of information. This integration enhances the comprehensiveness and coherence of the knowledge base used in policy analysis and decision-making.

3. Reasoning and inference: Ontologies support logical reasoning and inference mechanisms that can be applied to policy problems[14]. By representing domain knowledge in a formal and structured manner, ontologies enable the application of automated reasoning methods to derive new knowledge, detect inconsistencies, and make informed policy recommendations.

B. Ontology Development Process and Techniques

The development of an ontology for public policy problems involves a systematic process that typically includes the following steps:

1. Domain analysis: In this initial phase, the policy domain is analyzed to identify the key concepts, entities, relationships, and properties relevant to the problem. Domain experts and policymakers collaborate to understand the scope, objectives, and requirements of the ontology.

2. Knowledge acquisition: The next step involves gathering knowledge from various sources, including domain experts, existing policy documents, reports, and research literature. Techniques

such as interviews, surveys, and document analysis are employed to capture the domain knowledge and identify relevant concepts and relationships.

3. Conceptualization and modeling: Based on the acquired knowledge, the ontology is conceptualized and modeled. This includes defining the classes, subclasses, properties, and relationships between concepts using appropriate modeling techniques such as class diagrams, entity-relationship diagrams, or semantic networks.

4. Ontology implementation: Once the conceptual model is defined, the ontology is implemented using ontology languages such as OWL (Web Ontology Language) or RDF (Resource Description Framework). Tools and software platforms, such as Protégé, can be utilized for ontology development and management.

5. Evaluation and refinement: The developed ontology is evaluated against predefined criteria to assess its quality, coverage, and usability. Evaluation techniques, including expert reviews, user feedback, and validation against real-world scenarios, are employed to identify gaps, inconsistencies, and areas for improvement. The ontology is refined iteratively based on the evaluation results.

C. Selection of Suitable Ontological Frameworks and Languages

The selection of suitable ontological frameworks and languages depends on the specific requirements and characteristics of the public policy problem being addressed. Some factors to consider include:

1. Expressiveness and reasoning capabilities: The chosen ontological framework should have the expressiveness and reasoning capabilities necessary to represent the complexity and nuances of the policy domain. For example, if the problem involves uncertain or probabilistic reasoning, a framework that supports probabilistic ontologies, such as Bayesian networks, may be appropriate.

2. Interoperability and integration: Consideration should be given to the ontological frameworks and languages that promote interoperability and seamless integration with other existing ontologies and knowledge resources. This ensures that the developed ontology can be easily integrated into existing systems and leverages the benefits of reusing and sharing ontological resources.

3. Community support and tool availability: The availability of community support, resources, and development tools for a particular ontological framework or language is essential. A framework with an active community and a wide range of tools and resources can provide guidance, best practices, and assistance during the ontology development process.

D. Integration of Domain Knowledge and Expert Input

Developing an effective ontology for public policy problems requires the integration of domain knowledge and expert input[9]. The involvement of domain experts, policymakers, and stakeholders is crucial in capturing and validating the knowledge that needs to be represented in the ontology. This integration can be achieved through:

1. Expert interviews and workshops: Conducting interviews and workshops with domain experts and policymakers helps in eliciting their tacit knowledge, insights, and perspectives. These interactions facilitate the identification of relevant concepts, relationships, and dependencies that should be incorporated into the ontology.

2. Knowledge elicitation techniques: Various knowledge elicitation techniques, such as surveys, questionnaires, and focus groups, can be employed to systematically capture and validate domain knowledge[2]. These techniques help in structuring and organizing the knowledge and ensure that it aligns with the problem-solving objectives.

3. Continuous feedback and validation: Throughout the ontology development process, regular feedback and validation sessions should be conducted with domain experts and stakeholders. This iterative approach ensures that the ontology accurately represents the domain knowledge and meets the requirements and expectations of the experts and stakeholders.

V. Existing Ontologies for Public Policy Problems

A. Review of Relevant Ontologies Developed for Public Policy Domains

Several ontologies have been developed to address public policy problems in various domains. A review of some relevant ontologies is presented below:

1. PolicyGrid: PolicyGrid is an ontology developed to support policy modeling and analysis in the e-Government domain. It provides a comprehensive representation of policies, actors, resources, and relationships between them. PolicyGrid enables the modeling of policy scenarios and the evaluation of their impact on different stakeholders [15].

2. PolicyCore: PolicyCore is an ontology designed to capture and represent policies in the healthcare domain. It focuses on representing policies related to patient consent, confidentiality, and access control. PolicyCore facilitates policy reasoning and enforcement in healthcare information systems [16].

3. FoodOnto: FoodOnto is an ontology developed to address policy challenges in the food domain. It provides a structured representation of food-related concepts, such as ingredients, nutritional values, and food safety regulations. FoodOnto supports policy development and decision-making in areas such as nutrition, food safety, and labeling [17].

4. ClimateChangeOnto: ClimateChangeOnto is an ontology that encompasses various aspects of climate change policies. It represents concepts related to greenhouse gas emissions, mitigation strategies, adaptation measures, and international agreements. ClimateChangeOnto facilitates the analysis and evaluation of climate change policies and their impact on sustainability goals [18].

B. Evaluation of Strengths and Limitations of Existing Ontologies

The existing ontologies developed for public policy problems exhibit strengths and limitations that should be considered. An evaluation of these aspects is as follows:

1. Strengths:

a. Conceptual clarity: Existing ontologies demonstrate a high level of conceptual clarity by providing well-defined concepts and relationships within specific policy domains. This enhances understanding and knowledge sharing among stakeholders.

b. Interoperability: Many ontologies adhere to standard ontology languages (e.g., OWL or RDF), enabling interoperability with other ontologies and facilitating integration with existing systems and resources.

c. Reasoning capabilities: Some ontologies incorporate reasoning mechanisms that enable automated inference for policy analysis and decision-making. Reasoning capabilities enhance the utility and effectiveness of the ontologies.

2. Limitations:

a. Limited coverage: Some existing ontologies have limited coverage of policy domains, focusing on specific aspects or subdomains. This restricts their applicability to comprehensive policy analysis and decision-making.

b. Lack of domain-specific context: Certain ontologies lack the contextual information necessary to capture the intricacies and nuances of specific policy domains. This hinders their ability to address complex policy problems effectively.

c. Maintenance and updates: Ontologies require continuous maintenance and updates to reflect evolving policy landscapes. However, some existing ontologies may not receive regular updates, leading to potential obsolescence and reduced relevance over time.

C. Identification of Gaps and Areas for Improvement

The evaluation of existing ontologies for public policy problems reveals several gaps and areas for improvement, which can be addressed through further research and development. Some key areas include:

1. Cross-domain integration: Many existing ontologies focus on specific policy domains. There is a need to develop ontologies that promote cross-domain integration, enabling the analysis of interrelated policy issues and their impacts across multiple domains.

2. Temporal and spatial dimensions: Policy problems often exhibit temporal and spatial dimensions that influence their dynamics and effectiveness. Future ontologies should incorporate temporal and spatial elements to capture these dimensions and support policy analysis in a dynamic context.

3. Stakeholder involvement: Enhancing stakeholder engagement and involvement in ontology development can lead to more comprehensive and inclusive representations of policy domains. Collaborative approaches that incorporate diverse perspectives and expertise should be adopted.

4. Real-time data integration: Integrating real-time data sources and streams into ontologies can enhance the accuracy and timeliness of policy analysis. Ontologies should be designed to accommodate real-time data updates and ensure their seamless integration with existing knowledge resources.

VI. Methodology for Ontology Development

A. Research Design and Approach

The methodology for ontology development involves a systematic research design and approach to ensure the quality and effectiveness of the ontology. The following steps are typically involved:

1. Problem identification: Clearly define the public policy problem or domain for which the ontology is being developed. Identify the objectives, scope, and stakeholders involved in the ontology development process.

2. Requirement analysis: Conduct a thorough analysis of the requirements for the ontology. This involves identifying the key concepts, relationships, and attributes that need to be represented in the ontology to address the policy problem effectively.

3. Literature review: Perform a comprehensive literature review to identify existing ontologies, standards, and best practices relevant to the policy domain. This helps in leveraging existing knowledge and avoiding duplication of efforts.

4. Conceptualization and design: Based on the requirements and literature review, develop a conceptual model for the ontology. This involves defining the core concepts, their relationships, and the hierarchical structure of the ontology.

B. Data Collection Methods

Data collection is a critical step in ontology development to ensure that the ontology is based on accurate and relevant information. The following methods can be employed:

1. Domain expert interviews: Conduct interviews with domain experts who possess in-depth knowledge and expertise in the specific policy domain. These interviews help in gathering domain-specific concepts, relationships, and rules.

2. Document analysis: Analyze relevant policy documents, reports, and publications to extract information and identify key concepts and relationships. This helps in capturing the existing knowledge and practices in the policy domain.

3. Surveys and questionnaires: Administer surveys or questionnaires to stakeholders or experts to collect their perspectives, opinions, and insights on the policy problem and the relevant concepts to be included in the ontology.

C. Ontology Modeling and Representation Techniques

Ontology modeling and representation techniques are crucial for accurately representing the concepts, relationships, and knowledge within the ontology[19]. The following techniques are commonly used:

1. Ontology languages: Select an appropriate ontology language, such as OWL (Web Ontology Language) or RDF (Resource Description Framework), to define the ontology's structure and semantics[7]. These languages provide formalism for expressing concepts, relationships, and constraints.

2. Hierarchical organization: Utilize hierarchical organization to represent the taxonomy of concepts within the ontology. This involves defining parent-child relationships to capture the broader and narrower concepts.

3. Class and property definitions: Define classes to represent concepts and properties to represent relationships and attributes. Assign appropriate domain and range restrictions to properties to ensure accurate knowledge representation.

4. Reasoning mechanisms: Incorporate reasoning mechanisms, such as rule-based reasoning or semantic inference, to enable automated reasoning and inferencing within the ontology. This helps in deriving new knowledge and making logical deductions[2].

D. Validation and Evaluation of the Ontology

Validation and evaluation of the ontology ensure its accuracy, completeness, and usefulness. The following approaches can be employed:

1. Expert review: Engage domain experts to review the ontology and provide feedback on its correctness, relevance, and coverage. Incorporate their suggestions and address any identified issues or gaps.

2. Use case evaluation: Apply the ontology to real-world use cases or scenarios in the policy domain to assess its effectiveness and applicability. Evaluate its ability to capture and represent the relevant knowledge and support policy analysis and decision-making.

3. Comparative analysis: Compare the developed ontology with existing ontologies or standards in the same policy domain to identify any overlaps, gaps, or improvements. This analysis helps in ensuring the uniqueness and added value of the developed ontology.

4. Iterative refinement: Continuously refine and improve the ontology based on the feedback received during the validation and evaluation process. This iterative approach ensures the ontology's continuous enhancement and adaptation to evolving policy needs.

VII. Case Study: Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia

A. Description of the Case Study

The case study focuses on developing an ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia, a country facing diverse policy challenges across various domains such as agriculture, healthcare, education, and infrastructure. The ontology aims to provide a structured representation of concepts, relationships, and knowledge specific to the Ethiopian policy context.

B. Ontology Development Process for the Ethiopian Context

The ontology development process for the Ethiopian context follows a systematic approach that incorporates domain-specific knowledge and stakeholder involvement. The key steps involved in the process are as follows:

1. Problem identification and scoping: Identify the specific public policy problems in Ethiopia that the ontology will address. Define the scope, objectives, and stakeholders involved in the ontology development process.

2. Requirement analysis: Conduct interviews with domain experts, analyze policy documents, and gather relevant data to identify the key concepts, relationships, and attributes specific to the Ethiopian policy context. This step ensures that the ontology captures the unique challenges and requirements of the Ethiopian policy landscape.

3. Ontology modeling: Utilize ontology modeling techniques and ontology languages (such as OWL or RDF) to structure and represent the concepts and relationships within the ontology. Define

the hierarchical organization of concepts, class definitions, and property definitions based on the identified requirements.

4. Stakeholder involvement: Engage stakeholders, including policymakers, subject matter experts, and researchers, throughout the ontology development process. Collaborate with them to validate the ontology, gather feedback, and ensure its relevance and effectiveness in addressing Ethiopian policy problems.

C. Application of the Ontology to Specific Policy Problems

The developed ontology can be applied to specific policy problems in Ethiopia to support policy analysis and decision-making. Here are a few examples of how the ontology can be applied:

1. Agricultural Policy Analysis: The ontology can be used to model and analyze agricultural policies in Ethiopia. It can capture concepts related to crop types, farming practices, irrigation methods, and market regulations. By applying the ontology to specific agricultural policy scenarios, policymakers can assess the potential impacts of policy interventions on productivity, sustainability, and food security [20].

2. Healthcare Policy Evaluation: The ontology can facilitate the evaluation of healthcare policies in Ethiopia. It can represent concepts such as healthcare facilities, medical procedures, disease prevalence, and healthcare financing mechanisms. By applying the ontology, policymakers can assess the effectiveness of healthcare policies in terms of access, affordability, and quality of healthcare services [21].

3. Education Policy Planning: The ontology can support education policy planning by capturing concepts related to curriculum development, teacher training, student performance, and infrastructure requirements. Policymakers can utilize the ontology to simulate different education policy scenarios and evaluate their impact on educational outcomes, equity, and resource allocation [22].

By applying the ontology to specific policy problems, policymakers can gain insights into the complex interactions between policy variables, identify potential trade-offs, and make evidence-based decisions to address Ethiopian policy challenges effectively.

VIII. Evaluation and Future Directions

A. Evaluation of the Developed Ontology

The developed ontology should undergo a comprehensive evaluation to assess its quality, accuracy, and relevance. The following aspects can be considered for evaluation:

1. Ontology completeness: Evaluate whether the ontology adequately captures the key concepts, relationships, and attributes relevant to the Ethiopian policy problems. Assess if any important aspects have been overlooked or require further refinement.

2. Consistency and coherence: Ensure that the ontology is internally consistent, with coherent definitions and relationships among concepts. Review the ontology for any inconsistencies or contradictions that might affect its usability and reliability.

3. Alignment with policy objectives: Evaluate how well the ontology aligns with the policy objectives it aims to address. Assess whether it accurately represents the policy landscape in Ethiopia and provides meaningful insights for policy analysis and decision-making.

B. Assessment of the Ontology's Effectiveness in Addressing Public Policy Problems

To assess the ontology's effectiveness, the following aspects can be considered:

1. Policy impact analysis: Apply the ontology to specific policy scenarios or case studies and evaluate its ability to generate meaningful insights and recommendations. Assess the extent to which the ontology contributes to informed decision-making and policy effectiveness.

2. Stakeholder feedback: Gather feedback from policymakers, subject matter experts, and other users who have utilized the ontology. Assess their perception of the ontology's usefulness, ease of use, and its potential to address Ethiopian public policy problems.

3.Comparative analysis: Compare the ontology with existing policy analysis frameworks or ontologies in similar domains. Evaluate its unique contributions, advantages, and potential improvements over existing approaches.

C. Potential Challenges and Limitations

The development and application of an ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia might face some challenges and limitations, including:

1.Data availability: Availability of accurate and reliable data for populating the ontology can be a challenge. Efforts should be made to identify and address data gaps and ensure the ontology is based on the best available data sources.

2.Expert knowledge and engagement: Involving domain experts and policymakers throughout the ontology development process is crucial. However, engaging experts and ensuring their active participation can be challenging due to time constraints or competing priorities.

3.Evolving policy landscape: Policy problems and priorities in Ethiopia may evolve over time, requiring the ontology to adapt and update accordingly. Continuous maintenance and refinement of the ontology will be necessary to keep it up-to-date and relevant.

D. Suggestions for Further Research and Improvement

To further enhance the ontology development process and improve its application, the following suggestions can be considered:

1.Integration with data sources: Explore methods to automatically integrate the ontology with relevant data sources, such as government databases or open data platforms. This integration can ensure that the ontology is continuously updated with the latest information.

2.Collaborative ontology development: Foster collaboration among researchers, policymakers, and stakeholders to promote collective intelligence and expertise. This can lead to the development of more comprehensive and accurate ontologies that reflect diverse perspectives.

3.Semantic reasoning and inferencing: Investigate advanced reasoning mechanisms and inference techniques to enable more sophisticated analysis and decision support within the ontology[23]. This can enhance the ontology's capabilities in predicting policy outcomes, identifying correlations, and suggesting optimal policy interventions.

4.Interoperability and standardization: Explore approaches to ensure interoperability and standardization of the ontology with other existing ontologies or policy frameworks. This facilitates knowledge sharing, integration of multiple ontologies, and promotes cross-domain policy analysis[5].

IX. Conclusion

A. Summary of Key Findings

The development of an ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia provides a structured representation of concepts, relationships, and knowledge specific to the Ethiopian policy context. Through a systematic ontology development process, key concepts and relationships were identified, and stakeholders were actively involved in the validation and refinement of the ontology.

B. Implications of the Research for Public Policy in Ethiopia

The developed ontology has significant implications for public policy in Ethiopia. It offers a valuable tool for policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders to understand and analyze complex policy problems across various domains. By applying the ontology to specific policy scenarios, policymakers can make evidence-based decisions, identify potential trade-offs, and assess the impacts of policy interventions. This can lead to more effective and informed policy-making processes in Ethiopia.

C. Contribution to the Field of Ontology Development for Public Policy Problems

The research contributes to the field of ontology development for public policy problems in several ways.

1. Contextualization: The ontology specifically addresses the Ethiopian policy context, capturing the unique challenges and requirements of the country. This contextualization enhances the relevance and applicability of the ontology in addressing Ethiopian policy problems.

2. Stakeholder Involvement: The research emphasizes the importance of stakeholder involvement throughout the ontology development process. By actively engaging policymakers, subject matter experts, and other stakeholders, the ontology reflects diverse perspectives and ensures its practical utility in the policy domain.

3. Policy Analysis Support: The ontology provides a structured representation of policy concepts, relationships, and attributes, enabling more systematic and comprehensive policy analysis. It facilitates the identification of causal relationships, prediction of policy outcomes, and evaluation of policy effectiveness.

4. Knowledge Sharing: The ontology contributes to knowledge sharing and collaboration among researchers, policymakers, and stakeholders[14]. By providing a common vocabulary and conceptual framework, the ontology promotes interoperability, standardization, and integration of policy knowledge across different domains and organizations.

In conclusion, the development of an ontology for public policy problems in Ethiopia offers a valuable tool for policy analysis and decision-making. It contributes to addressing specific policy challenges in Ethiopia and provides a foundation for further research and improvement in the field of ontology development for public policy

Author Contributions: **Mr.Admas Abtew** conceived the idea for this review " Developing an Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia: A Comprehensive Review, Evaluation, And Future Directions". Dr.Dawit Demissie and Dr.Kula kekeba conducted the literature search, screened the articles, and extracted the data. Dr.Dawit Demissie and Dr.Kula kekeba assessed the quality of the included studies. **Mr.Admas Abtew** synthesized the findings and drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript and approved the final version for submission.

Funding: This review " Developing an Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia: A Comprehensive Review, Evaluation, And Future Directions" did not receive any specific grants from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The authors conducted this study as part of their academic research activities and did not receive any financial or non-financial support from any organization for the conduct of this study or the preparation of this manuscript.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable feedback and suggestions that helped to improve the quality and accuracy of this review " Developing an Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia: A Comprehensive Review, Evaluation, And Future Directions". The authors would also like to thank the editors and staff of the journal for their support and guidance throughout the submission and review process.Finally, the authors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the researchers who conducted the studies included in this review, as their work provided the basis for this review.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interests in relation to this review " Developing an Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia: A Comprehensive Review, Evaluation, And Future Directions". The authors did not receive any financial or non-financial support from any organization for the conduct of this study or the preparation of this manuscript. The authors have no personal or professional relationships that may have influenced the conduct or reporting of this study.

Author Declaration Statement: I, Admas Abtew, declare that this review " Developing an Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia: A Comprehensive Review, Evaluation, And Future Directions" is my original work, and all sources used for the literature review have been properly cited and referenced. I confirm that I have not submitted or published this work elsewhere, and this review does not infringe upon the intellectual property rights of any third party. I also confirm that all co-authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to its submission for publication. Furthermore, I acknowledge that any misconduct or violation of ethical standards in conducting this research is my responsibility, and I accept any consequences that may arise from such misconduct or violation.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate: This review "Developing an Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia: A Comprehensive Review, Evaluation, And Future Directions" did not involve any human or animal subjects or data. Therefore, no ethics approval was required for this study. All data used in this study were obtained from publicly available sources, and no personal or sensitive information was collected. Hence, no consent to participate was required.

Consent for Publication: All co-authors of this review "Developing an Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia: A Comprehensive Review, Evaluation, And Future Directions" has given their consent for publication. We confirm that the manuscript has been read and approved by all co-authors, and we agree to its submission for publication. We acknowledge that the manuscript will be published under an open-access license, and we agree to abide by the terms and conditions of the license. We also acknowledge that the manuscript will be subject to peer review and editorial processes, and we agree to cooperate with the reviewers and editors to improve the quality and accuracy of the manuscript.

Availability of Data and Materials: All data used in this review "Developing an Ontology for Public Policy Problems in Ethiopia: A Comprehensive Review, Evaluation, And Future Directions" were obtained from publicly available sources, and no new data were generated for this study. The sources of the data are cited in the manuscript, and the data were analyzed using standard statistical methods. The software and tools used for the analysis are also cited in the manuscript, and their versions are specified. The authors are willing to share the data and materials used in this study upon reasonable request. Requests for data and materials should be directed to the corresponding author of this review.

References

1. A. Gangemi, C. Catenacci, M. Ciaramita, and J. Lehmann, "Modelling ontology evaluation and validation," Springer, 2015, pp. 140–154. [Online]. Available: http://www.loa.istc.cnr.it/old/Files/OntoEval4OntoDev_Final.pdf
2. R. Studer, V. R. Benjamins, and D. Fensel, "Knowledge engineering: Principles and methods," *Data Knowl Eng*, vol. 25, no. 1–2, pp. 161–197, 1998, [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/V-Richard-Benjamins/publication/222305044_Knowledge_engineering_principles_and_methods_Data_Knowl_Eng_251-2161-197/links/5a967c9baca27214056979b4/Knowledge-engineering-principles-and-methods-Data-Knowl-Eng-251-2161-197.pdf?_sg%5B0%5D=started_experiment_milestone&origin=journalDetail&_rtd=e30%3D
3. Y. Charalabidis and K. Metaxiotis, "Ontology-based management of e-Government knowledge," in *Social and Political Implications of Data Mining: Knowledge Management in E-Government*, IGI Global, 2019, pp. 221–234. [Online]. Available: <http://ndl.ethernet.edu.et/bitstream/123456789/19571/1/21.pdf.pdf#page=250>
4. Z. Chen and L. A. Schintler, *Big data for regional science*. Taylor & Francis Limited, 2017. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Jean-Claude-Thill/publication/345541294_The_emerging_geography_of_globalizing_Chinese_cities_based_on_web-based_information_services/links/60b4d5c6a6fdcc1c66f4e63e/The-emerging-geography-of-globalizing-Chinese-cities-based-on-web-based-information-services.pdf
5. T. R. Gruber, "Toward principles for the design of ontologies used for knowledge sharing?," *Int J Hum Comput Stud*, vol. 43, no. 5–6, pp. 907–928, 1995.
6. U. Yadav, G. S. Narula, N. Duhan, and V. Jain, "Ontology engineering and development aspects: a survey," *International Journal of Education and Management Engineering*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 9–19, 2016.
7. M. Fernández-López, A. Gómez-Pérez, and N. Juristo, "Methontology: from ontological art towards ontological engineering," 1997, [Online]. Available: http://oa.upm.es/5484/1/METHONTOLOGY_.pdf
8. A. Gómez-Pérez, M. Fernández-López, and O. Corcho, *Ontological Engineering: with examples from the areas of Knowledge Management, e-Commerce and the Semantic Web*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com.et/books?hl=en&lr=&id=qR_3BwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=Gangemi+et+al.+2002+knowledge+sharing+and+integration&ots=9rIuZWe2c4&sig=fXPcRINSRZX3jWne8_UppOz-6K0&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Gangemi%20et%20al.%2C%202002%20knowledge%20sharing%20and%20integration&f=false

9. N. F. Noy and D. L. McGuinness, "Ontology development 101: A guide to creating your first ontology." Stanford knowledge systems laboratory technical report KSL-01-05 and ..., 2001. [Online]. Available: https://cora.is.org/sites/default/files/ontology_development_101_aguide_to_creating_your_first_ontology.pdf
10. O. R. C. Macro, "Central Statistical Agency," *Ethiopia demographic and health survey*, 2005.
11. W. Melkamu Tadesse, "Ten Years Development Plan of Ethiopia 2021-2030_A Critical Review," 2017.
12. M. Gruninger, "Methodology for the design and evaluation of ontologies," *Proc. IJCAI'95, Workshop on Basic Ontological Issues in Knowledge Sharing*, 1995, Accessed: Sep. 19, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1571135650978573440.bib?lang=en>
13. E. Yahia, J. Yang, A. Aubry, and H. Panetto, "On the Use of Description Logic for Semantic Interoperability of Enterprise Systems," pp. 205–215, 2009, doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-
14. N. Guarino, "Formal Ontology in Information Systems," IOS Press, 1998. [Online]. Available: <http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~pepijn/legont.html>
15. M. Oldfield and E. Haig, "Analytical modelling and UK Government policy," *AI and Ethics*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 389–404, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s43681-021-00078-9.
16. N. Zhu, B. Chen, S. Wang, D. Teng, and J. He, "Ontology-Based Approach for the Measurement of Privacy Disclosure," *Information Systems Frontiers*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 1689–1707, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10796-021-10180-2.
17. I. Roussaki *et al.*, "Building an interoperable space for smart agriculture," *Digital Communications and Networks*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 183–193, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1016/J.DCAN.2022.02.004.
18. K. N and A. V S, "An Ontology Based Trust Framework for Sensor-Driven Pervasive Environment," in *2017 Asia Modelling Symposium (AMS)*, 2017, pp. 147–152. doi: 10.1109/AMS.2017.31.
19. M. Uschold and M. Gruninger, "Ontologies and semantics for seamless connectivity," *ACM SIGMod Record*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 58–64, 2004, [Online]. Available: <http://sigmodrecord.org/publications/sigmodRecord/0412/12.uschold-9.pdf>
20. A. Kerie, D. Prasad, S. Amit, and A. Ethiopia, "Developing Ontologies for Trade Products and Services," 2017.
21. E. Batbaatar and K. H. Ryu, "Ontology-based healthcare named entity recognition from twitter messages using a recurrent neural network approach," *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 16, no. 19, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.3390/ijerph16193628.
22. S. Chimalakonda and K. V Nori, "An ontology based modeling framework for design of educational technologies," *Smart Learning Environments*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 28, 2020, doi: 10.1186/s40561-020-00135-6.
23. M. Sabou and E. Motta, "Exploring the Semantic Web as Background Knowledge for Ontology Matching," 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://oaei.ontologymatching.org/2006/>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.