Review

Relationship Debt and Its Impact on Employee Burnout in Private Western Technology Companies

Johanna H Lundgren [*]

Review

Relationship Debt and Its Impact on Employee Burnout in Private Western Technology Companies

Johanna H Lundgren

Applied Psychology, London Met University; jhl0054@my.londonmet.ac.uk or johanna@lundgren.com; Tel.: +46-76-863-4198

Abstract: Employee burnout is a critical issue in Private Western Technology Companies (PWTCs), exacerbated by high-pressure environments. This paper introduces Relationship Debt (RD)—the accumulation of unresolved conflicts, unmet expectations, and workplace stressors that erode job satisfaction and productivity. Drawing on the Conservation of Resources Theory, Psychological Contract Theory, Equity Theory, Organisational Justice Theory, and Social Identity Theory, this study conceptualises RD as a cyclical and self-reinforcing phenomenon. Findings highlight RD as a significant yet underexplored contributor to burnout, necessitating targeted interventions. This research underscores the need for organisational policies that enhance psychological safety, fair resource distribution, and proactive conflict resolution. By framing RD as a measurable workplace phenomenon, this study provides a foundation for improving workplace relationships, burnout prevention, and organisational effectiveness.

Keywords: relationship debt; employee burnout; workplace dynamics; psychological contract; Organisational justice; social identity; conservation of resources theory; psychosocial risk factors; occupational well-being; engagement; occupational health

1. Introduction: Understanding Relationship Debt in the Workplace

Relationship Debt (RD) is a pervasive issue in professional environments, characterised by unresolved conflicts, unmet expectations, and neglected interpersonal dynamics. Much like financial debt, RD accumulates over time, potentially leading to the "bankruptcy" of professional relationships (Gottman, 1999, p.27). This accumulation manifests as stress, decreased trust, communication breakdowns, and reduced job satisfaction, ultimately contributing to employee burnout—a state of physical, emotional, and mental exhaustion resulting from prolonged stress (Maslach et al., 2001). Addressing RD is crucial, as its unchecked escalation negatively impacts individual well-being, organisational culture, and overall productivity.

The Organisational Consequences of RD

The accumulation of RD erodes morale, fosters resentment, and reduces collaboration, leading to higher conflict rates and diminished productivity (Koslowski & Ilgen, 2006). As RD persists, employees experience increased frustration, disengagement, and cynicism, further exacerbating burnout (Amabile, 1993; Eisenberger et al., 1986; Gamze & Firat, 2017). While burnout has been extensively studied (Maslach et al., 2001), the specific role of unresolved interpersonal conflicts and unmet expectations in driving burnout remains underexplored. Understanding this link is essential for developing targeted interventions that mitigate burnout and improve workplace relationships.

Filling a Critical Research Gap

This study introduces RD as a distinct construct, bridging the gap between relationship dynamics and burnout research. While workplace well-being has been traditionally examined through concepts such as conflict resolution, emotional labour, and organisational justice (Canary &

Lakey, 2012; Wilmot & Hocker, 2017), RD offers a novel lens to assess how accumulated interpersonal stressors contribute to burnout. By conceptualising RD through psychological frameworks such as Conservation of Resources Theory (Hobfoll, 1989), Psychological Contract Theory (Rousseau, 1989), and Social Identity Theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979), this study provides a new perspective on workplace well-being and burnout prevention.

The Role of Leadership and Organisational Culture

Leaders play a pivotal role in shaping workplace culture and mitigating RD by fostering emotional support, fairness, and psychological safety (Eisenberger et al., 1990; Podsakoff et al., 2000). Effective leadership—grounded in Leader-Member Exchange (LMX) Theory—strengthens trust, reduces perceived injustices, and enhances job satisfaction and organisational commitment (Graen & Uhl-Bien, 1995). Conversely, organisations that fail to address RD risk fostering disengagement, increased turnover, and deteriorating workplace relationships (De Dreu & Van Vianen, 2001; Tjosvold, 2008).

RD in High-Pressure Work Environments

Private Western Technology Companies (PWTCs)—characterised by high workloads, rapid innovation, and competitive cultures—are particularly vulnerable to RD. These environments often prioritise productivity over relationship maintenance, leading to chronic stress and interpersonal strain (Bakker & Demerouti, 2014; Maslach et al., 2001). However, RD is not unique to PWTCs; it exists in any industry that neglects balanced interpersonal relationships (Eisenberger et al., 1990; Podsakoff et al., 2000). Addressing RD is, therefore, universally relevant, with significant implications for workplace well-being across sectors.

A Case Study: RD's Real-World Impact

Consider Fred, a senior software developer at Tech Innovators Inc., who has worked for the company for ten years. Due to cost-cutting measures, he faces constant overtime demands, multiple high-priority projects, and frequent managerial changes. His lack of agency, poor communication with leadership, and unresolved conflicts with colleagues exemplify RD's detrimental effects. Despite occasional interpersonal skills workshops, Fred and his colleagues are too overwhelmed to attend, further straining engagement and morale. Additionally, perceived inequities in promotions, inconsistent policies, and a lack of transparency contribute to his growing frustration, disengagement, and burnout.

Significance of This Research

This study positions RD as a critical yet overlooked factor in organisational behaviour, linking it directly to employee burnout, workplace satisfaction, and performance. By conceptualising RD as a measurable workplace phenomenon, this research lays the groundwork for future studies and interventions to strengthen workplace relationships, prevent burnout, and improve organisational effectiveness.

2. Theoretical Background

Conceptualizing Relationship Debt (RD) in the Workplace

Relationship Debt (RD) refers to the accumulation of unresolved workplace tensions, including unmet expectations, perceived injustices, and lack of reciprocity in professional interactions (Cropanzano et al., 2017). These accumulated social stressors can drain employees' psychological resources, leading to burnout, disengagement, and lower job satisfaction (Maslach et al., 2001; Eisenberger et al., 1990).

RD impacts both individual employees and broader organisational culture. Workplaces with high RD experience reduced collaboration, declining morale, and increased turnover, as unresolved tensions weaken trust and engagement (Colquitt et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2014).

This section integrates key psychological and organisational theories to understand RD's mechanisms and consequences, offering a theoretical framework for how RD accumulates and contributes to employee burnout.

Core Theoretical Frameworks Explaining RD and Burnout

Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory

Hobfoll's Conservation of Resources (COR) Theory (1989) explains that individuals strive to acquire, retain, and protect valuable psychological resources—including social support, recognition, and fairness. When these resources are threatened or depleted, individuals experience stress, disengagement, and burnout. RD fits within COR Theory as it represents a loss of interpersonal resources (trust, fairness, support). Recent extensions of COR Theory highlight how digital work environments and remote job structures further exacerbate resource depletion, increasing RD (Hobfoll et al., 2018; Savić, 2020).

Psychological Contract Theory (PCT)

Rousseau's Psychological Contract Theory (1989) focuses on the unwritten expectations between employees and employers. Breaches in these implicit contracts—such as lack of career development, unfulfilled promises, or inadequate recognition—result in RD accumulation, lower motivation, and higher burnout (Pate et al., 2003; Bal & de Lange, 2014). With the rise of the gig economy and remote work, psychological contract breaches have increased, leading to higher employee dissatisfaction and disengagement (Conway & Briner, 2012).

Equity Theory & Organisational Justice Theory (OJT)

Adams' Equity Theory (1965) and Greenberg's Organisational Justice Theory (1990) emphasise fairness perceptions in the workplace. Employees compare their efforts and contributions to received rewards (e.g., recognition, promotions, compensation). Employees who perceive inequity accumulate RD, leading to stress and withdrawal.
Organisational justice is divided into:
Distributive justice – fairness in outcomes (e.g., pay, promotions).
Procedural justice – fairness in decision-making processes.
Interactional justice – fairness in interpersonal interactions.
Managerial transparency and fairness are crucial in reducing RD and preventing burnout (Colquitt et al., 2015; Hofstede, 2001).

Social Identity Theory (SIT) and Workplace Belonging

Tajfel & Turner's Social Identity Theory (1979) explains how employees' self-worth is linked to their workplace identity. When employees experience favouritism, social exclusion, or workplace bias, their social identity is threatened, leading to higher RD accumulation and disengagement (Gonzales-Morales et al., 2018). Remote work and hybrid teams challenge traditional workplace identities, exacerbating social exclusion and RD (Paruzel et al., 2020).

Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model and Workplace Stress

Demerouti & Bakker's JD-R Model (2011) describes how job demands (e.g., workload, deadlines) and job resources (e.g., support, autonomy, recognition) influence burnout. Employees with high RD experience greater emotional exhaustion and are more susceptible to burnout due to perceived

resource depletion (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). Recent studies suggest that building personal resilience can help mitigate RD's impact (Xanthopoulou et al., 2013).

Emotional Labour Theory (ELT) and Unrecognised Effort

Hochschild's Emotional Labour Theory (1983) explores the strain of suppressing emotions to meet professional expectations. Employees who engage in high emotional labour (e.g., HR, customer service, leadership) experience higher RD when their emotional effort is unacknowledged. Meta-analyses confirm that unrecognised emotional labour significantly increases burnout risks (Grandey et al., 2020; Brotheridge & Lee, 2002).

Integrating Theories: RD as a Self-Perpetuating Cycle

These frameworks collectively illustrate RD's accumulation and burnout effects:
- COR Theory explains RD as a resource depletion process.
- PCT & Equity Theory describe how unmet expectations and workplace injustices accelerate RD.
- SIT highlights social identity threats as RD amplifiers, particularly in remote work settings.
- JD-R Model connects high RD to workplace stress and exhaustion.
- ELT demonstrates how unrecognised emotional labour exacerbates RD.

The Möbius strip metaphor effectively captures RD's cyclical nature—unresolved RD fuels burnout, worsens workplace relationships, and furthers RD accumulation.

RD and Contemporary Workplace Trends

Remote Work & Digital Transformation

Workplace isolation increases RD accumulation, as reduced in-person interactions heighten misunderstandings and social disconnection (Galanti et al., 2021). Leadership transparency and proactive communication reduce RD in virtual work settings (Kniffin et al., 2021).

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) & RD

Bias and favouritism increase RD by amplifying workplace inequities (Rabelo & Cortina, 2014). Psychological safety initiatives mitigate RD by creating an environment where employees feel valued and respected (Edmondson, 2018).

Leadership Styles and RD Prevention

Transformational leadership (Breevaart & Bakker, 2017) reduces RD more effectively than transactional leadership by fostering trust and open communication. Adaptive leadership (Heifetz et al., 2009) emphasises flexibility and empowerment, helping prevent RD accumulation in high-pressure environments.

3. Empirical Findings: Relationship Debt (RD) and Burnout

The Link Between RD and Burnout

Empirical research confirms that RD significantly predicts employee burnout, primarily through unresolved workplace conflicts, unmet expectations, and perceived injustices. Employees burdened by RD experience higher emotional exhaustion, reduced job satisfaction, and lower organisational commitment, increasing stress and turnover risks (Colquitt et al., 2001; Maslach et al., 2001).

Workplace Justice and Emotional Exhaustion

Employees who perceive unfair treatment in promotions, workload distribution, or recognition report higher emotional exhaustion and disengagement (Colquitt et al., 2015). A meta-analysis of 183 studies on organisational justice found that perceived injustices strongly predict workplace resentment and burnout (Colquitt et al., 2015).

Psychological Contract Breaches and Disengagement

Employees experiencing psychological contract breaches—where employer promises regarding career growth, compensation, or work-life balance are unfulfilled—report higher burnout and lower motivation (Pate et al., 2003; Bal & de Lange, 2014). A longitudinal study of 350 IT professionals found that employees with frequent contract breaches were significantly more likely to experience emotional exhaustion and job withdrawal (Pate et al., 2003).

High Job Demands and Low Resources (JD-R Model)

Burnout risk increases when job demands exceed available resources (support, recognition, autonomy) (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). A study of 500 employees across multiple industries found that those with higher RD levels (unreciprocated effort, unresolved conflicts) reported 33% more burnout symptoms (Xanthopoulou et al., 2013).

Social Identity Threats and Workplace Exclusion

Perceived workplace bias and exclusion contribute to RD, increasing stress and disengagement (Tajfel & Turner, 1979; Gonzales-Morales et al., 2018). In remote work settings, social exclusion has been linked to a 50% rise in emotional exhaustion (Paruzel et al., 2020). A study of remote workers found that those who felt socially excluded reported 50% higher emotional exhaustion (Paruzel et al., 2020).

Emotional Labor and Unacknowledged Effort

Employees in high emotional labour roles (customer service, HR, leadership) who feel their effort is unrecognised report higher stress and job cynicism (Grandey et al., 2020). A meta-analysis found that unacknowledged emotional labour increases burnout risk by 60% (Brotheridge & Lee, 2002).

Long-Term Organisational Impact of RD

RD Increases Turnover Intentions

Burned-out employees are 3.4 times more likely to quit their jobs within two years (Maslach et al., 2001). A survey of 200 software developers found that those experiencing prolonged RD due to managerial unfairness had a 45% higher turnover intention (Colquitt et al., 2015).

RD Weakens Team Cohesion and Collaboration

Teams with high levels of unresolved RD experience lower collaboration and increased workplace stress (De Dreu & Van Vianen, 2001). In high-pressure industries, employees with accumulated RD participate less in knowledge-sharing, negatively impacting innovation and productivity (Eisenberger et al., 1990).

RD and Leadership Effectiveness

Leaders who fail to address RD and workplace injustice experience higher employee disengagement and lower team trust (Podsakoff et al., 2000). A survey of 150 managers found that those who did not recognise employee contributions had teams with 30% higher burnout rates (Graen & Uhl-Bien, 1995).

Remote Work, Digital Fatigue, and RD Accumulation

The COVID-19 pandemic amplified RD's effects by reducing face-to-face interactions and increasing workplace isolation.

Remote workers with low managerial engagement reported 40% higher stress levels due to inconsistent communication and lack of recognition (Kniffin et al., 2021). Employees experiencing digital fatigue from excessive virtual meetings showed higher workplace tension and RD accumulation (Xiao et al., 2021). Social exclusion in virtual teams led to heightened workplace conflicts and disengagement, further exacerbating burnout risks (Galanti et al., 2021).

Summary of Key Findings and Future Research Needs

Key Findings

Study	Key Finding	Implication for RD & Burnout
Colquitt et al. (2001, 2015)	Workplace injustice increases emotional exhaustion	Fairness and recognition reduce RD accumulation
Pate et al. (2003)	Psychological contract breaches increase burnout	Transparent communication prevents RD
Bakker & Demerouti (2017)	High job demands & low resources drive burnout	Balancing workload reduces RD
Gonzales-Morales et al. (2018)	Social identity threats increase burnout	Inclusive policies mitigate RD
Grandey et al. (2020)	Unrecognised emotional labour increases stress	Acknowledging emotional labour reduces RD

Future Research Needs

1. Longitudinal Studies – More research is needed to track RD's accumulation and long-term burnout effects over time.
2. Diversity Considerations – Studies should explore how gender, age, and cultural factors influence RD.
3. Intervention Testing – Experimental studies should evaluate which workplace strategies best mitigate RD and burnout.

Final Thoughts

These empirical findings establish RD as a critical driver of burnout, reinforcing the urgent need for proactive interventions. Future research should expand on RD measurement, long-term impacts, and targeted interventions to reduce burnout risks and improve workplace well-being.

4. Discussion: Addressing Relationship Debt (RD) for Sustainable Workplace Well-Being

Implications of RD on Workplace Dynamics

Enhancing Organisational Awareness and Psychological Safety

Recognising RD as a workplace phenomenon shifts the approach to burnout prevention, emphasising relationship quality alongside job demands and resources (Maslach et al., 2001; Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). Organisations can:
- Identify interpersonal strains early before they escalate into emotional exhaustion.
- Foster psychological safety, allowing employees to address concerns without fear (Edmondson, 2018).
- Strengthen trust and communication, reducing social exclusion and workplace conflicts.

Strengthening Workplace Relationships and Reducing Turnover

RD erodes job satisfaction, teamwork, and commitment, contributing to higher turnover rates (Colquitt et al., 2015; Demerouti et al., 2014). Proactive strategies to mitigate RD include:

- Conflict resolution training to prevent long-term resentment.
- Fair and transparent performance evaluations to ensure recognition equity.
- Managerial accountability and open communication to maintain engagement.

Leadership's Role in RD Prevention

Leaders set the tone for workplace fairness and emotional reciprocity (Podsakoff et al., 2000). Effective RD-reducing leadership practices include:

- Encouraging early conflict resolution to prevent unresolved tensions.
- Providing fair and consistent recognition, addressing equity concerns (Eisenberger et al., 1990).
- Developing inclusive leadership styles ensures all employees feel valued (Gonzales-Morales et al., 2018).

Lapidot et al. (2007) found that employees who perceived leadership fairness reported lower burnout symptoms and greater engagement.

RD Challenges in Remote and Hybrid Work

Digital work environments amplify RD risks as employees struggle with communication gaps and a lack of informal interactions (Kniffin et al., 2021). Studies indicate:

- Remote workers with low managerial engagement experience 40% higher stress levels (Galanti et al., 2021).
- Virtual team members facing exclusion show reduced motivation and productivity (Paruzel et al., 2020).

To combat digital RD accumulation, organisations should:

- Implement structured virtual team-building initiatives.
- Conduct frequent one-on-one check-ins to address workplace tensions proactively.
- Establish clear policies on recognition and feedback to prevent perceptions of unfair treatment in remote settings.

Developing Interventions for RD Reduction

Satire-Infused Social Learning (SISL) Intervention

To address RD accumulation, burnout, and workplace disconnection, this study introduces a preliminary intervention model, the Satire-Infused Social Learning (SISL) approach:

- Gamified conflict resolution workshops for approachable, engaging training.
- Humor-based learning modules to reduce tension in addressing RD-related issues.
- Interactive simulations where employees navigate workplace conflicts to strengthen communication and equity awareness.

Research on gamified learning and workplace psychology suggests that engaging, psychologically safe interventions improve the retention and application of conflict resolution skills (Dicheva & Dichev, 2015; Palmer-Garden & Rivera, 2022).

Next Steps for RD Research and Application

To validate and refine RD-focused interventions, future research should:

- Develop an RD measurement tool to assess its impact on burnout.
- Conduct experimental studies on the SISL intervention's effectiveness.
- Explore RD variations across different industries, leadership styles, and cultural settings.

Conclusion: Moving Beyond Traditional Burnout Models

Addressing RD is not just a burnout prevention measure but a strategy for long-term organisational resilience.

Key Takeaways

RD fuels burnout and disengagement, making early intervention essential.
Leadership and workplace fairness significantly impact RD accumulation.
Structured interventions can improve employee well-being and workplace culture.

Shifting from reactive burnout management to proactive RD mitigation enables organisations to foster healthier, more sustainable work environments.

5. Conclusion: Advancing Workplace Strategies for RD Prevention

Summary of Key Findings

This study positions Relationship Debt (RD) as a significant yet underexplored factor in workplace burnout. Key findings include:

● RD stems from unresolved interpersonal conflicts, unmet expectations, and workplace injustices, contributing to higher emotional exhaustion and turnover risks (Colquitt et al., 2001; Maslach et al., 2001).

● RD is closely linked to psychological contract breaches, emotional labour, and workplace equity concerns, impacting motivation and job satisfaction (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017; Rousseau, 1989).

● Leadership plays a central role in RD accumulation or prevention—transparent, inclusive leaders reduce RD and strengthen engagement (Podsakoff et al., 2000).

● Remote and hybrid work environments exacerbate RD, requiring targeted communication strategies and virtual leadership adaptations (Kniffin et al., 2021).

● Interventions like the SISL model offer promising approaches to RD reduction, leveraging humour and gamified learning for conflict resolution.
The Significance of RD as a Workplace Concept

Traditional burnout prevention focuses on workload and job demands but ignores the accumulated toll of unresolved interpersonal tensions. RD research fills this critical gap, offering:

● A measurable framework to assess interpersonal workplace strain.

● New insights into burnout causation, emphasising social reciprocity, fairness, and emotional support.

● A leadership and engagement-focused approach to reducing employee disengagement.

By integrating RD into organisational well-being strategies, companies can prevent burnout before it escalates, fostering a more resilient, productive workforce.

Future Research and Organisational Applications

To advance RD theory and practice, future research should Develop standardised RD assessment tools to track long-term effects. Examine RD across diverse work environments, including remote teams and high-stress industries. Test and refine RD-reducing interventions, particularly in leadership development programs.

Practical Implications for Organisations

Organisations must Prioritise leadership transparency and fairness to reduce RD accumulation. Establish open communication channels to address workplace conflicts proactively. Create psychologically safe environments where employees feel valued and supported. Implement structured interventions—such as mentorship programs, team-building initiatives, and humor-based conflict resolution models. By acknowledging and addressing RD, organisations can cultivate a healthier, more resilient workforce, reducing burnout and enhancing long-term success.

Final Thought: The Future of RD in Organisational Psychology

Relationship Debt is a workplace reality that must be acknowledged. Moving beyond traditional burnout models, this research presents a new workplace well-being paradigm focusing on interpersonal equity, trust, and long-term organisational sustainability. Organisations integrating RD awareness and interventions will be better positioned to support employees, improve retention, and foster workplace resilience in an evolving professional landscape.

References

1. Adams, J. S. (1965). Inequity in social exchange. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 2*, 267–299. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60108-2

2. Amabile, T. M. (1993). Motivational synergy: Toward new conceptualisations of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in the workplace. *Human Resource Management Review, 3*(3), 185–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/1053-4822(93)90012-S

3. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2014). Job demands-resources theory. *Wellbeing: A Complete Reference Guide, 1*, 37–64. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118539415.wbwell019

4. Bal, P. M., & De Lange, A. H. (2014). Psychological contract breach and job attitudes: A meta-analysis of age as a moderator. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 84*(1), 90–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2013.09.008

5. Breevaart, K., & Bakker, A. B. (2017). Leadership and employee well-being: The moderating role of self-control. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 22*(4), 498–508. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000062

6. Bakker, A.B. and Demerouti, E. (2014) Job Demands-Resources Theory. In: Chen, P.Y. and Cooper, C.L., Eds., Work and Wellbeing: A Complete Reference Guide, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1-28. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781118539415.wbwell019

7. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands-resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 22*(3), 273-285. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000056

8. Brotheridge, C. M., & Lee, R. T. (2002). Testing a conservation of resources model of the dynamics of emotional labor. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 7*(1), 57–67. https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.7.1.57

9. Canary, D. J., & Lakey, S. (2012). *Strategic conflict* (1st ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203148747

10. Colquitt, J. A. (2001). On the dimensionality of organisational justice: A construct validation of a measure. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 86*(3), 386–400. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.3.386

11. Colquitt, J. A., Scott, B. A., & LePine, J. A. (2007). Trust, trustworthiness, and trust propensity: A meta-analytic test of their unique relationships with risk-taking and job performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 92*(4), 909–927. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.4.909

12. Conway, N., & Briner, R. B. (2012). Fifty years of psychological contract research: What do we know and what are the main challenges? *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 27*, 71–130. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118311141.ch3

13. Cropanzano, R., Anthony, E. L., Daniels, S. R., & Hall, A. V. (2017). Social exchange theory: A critical review with theoretical remedies. *Academy of Management Annals, 11*(1), 479–516. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2015.0099

14. Colquitt, J. A., Conlon, D. E., Wesson, M. J., Porter, C. O. L. H., & Ng, K. Y. (2001). Justice at the Millennium: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of organisational justice research. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 86*(3), 425-445. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.3.425

15. Colquitt, J. A., Long, D. M., Rodell, J. B., & Halvorsen-Ganepola, M. D. K. (2015). Adding the "in" to justice: A qualitative and quantitative investigation of the differential effects of justice rule adherence and violation. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 100*(2), 278–297. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038131

16. Demerouti, E., & Bakker, A. B. (2011). The job demands-resources model: Challenges for future research. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 37*(2), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v37i2.974

17. Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., & Leiter, M. (2014). Burnout and job performance: The moderating role of selection, optimisation, and compensation strategies. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 19*(1), 96-107. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035062

18. Demerouti, E., Bakker, A. B., Nachreiner, F., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2014). The job demands-resources model of burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 86*(3), 499-512. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.3.499

19. Dicheva, D., & Dichev, C. (2015). Gamification in education: Where are we in 2015? *E-learning and Software for Education*.

20. De Dreu, C. K. W., & Van Vianen, A. E. M. (2001). Managing relationship conflict and the effectiveness of organisational teams. *Journal of Organisational Behavior, 22*(3), 309-328. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.71

21. Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., & Sowa, D. (1986). Perceived organisational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 71*(3), 500–507. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.71.3.500

22. Eisenberger, R., Fasolo, P., & Davis-LaMastro, V. (1990). Perceived organisational support and employee diligence, commitment, and innovation. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 75*(1), 51-59. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.75.1.51

23. Edmondson, A. C. (2018). *The fearless organisation: Creating psychological safety in the workplace for learning, innovation, and growth*. Wiley.

24. Galanti, T., Guidetti, G., Mazzei, E., Zappalà, S., & Toscano, F. (2021). Work from home during the COVID-19 outbreak: The impact on employees' remote work productivity, engagement, and stress. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 63*(7), e426-e432. https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000002236

25. Gamze, C., & Firat, İ. (2017). The impact of emotional intelligence on team performance and learning organization of employees. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 7*(7), 222-240. https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i7/3097

26. Gonsales-Morales, M. G., Kernan, M. C., Becker, T. E., & Eisenberger, R. (2018). Defeating abusive supervision: Training supervisors to support subordinates. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 23*(2), 151-162. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000070

27. Gottman, J. M. (1999). *The seven principles for making marriage work*. Crown Publishers.

28. Graen, G. B., & Uhl-Bien, M. (1995). Relationship-based approach to leadership: Development of leader-member exchange (LMX) theory of leadership over 25 years: Applying a multi-level multi-domain perspective. *The Leadership Quarterly, 6*(2), 219-247. https://doi.org/10.1016/1048-9843(95)90036-5

29. Grandey, A. A., England, K. E., & Boemerman, L. (2020). Emotional labour: Display rules and emotion regulation at work. In L.-Q. Yang, R. Cropanzano, C. S. Daus, & V. Martínez-Tur (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of workplace affect* (pp. 146-159). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108573887.012

30. Greenberg, J. (1990). Organisational justice: Yesterday, today, and tomorrow. *Journal of Management, 16*(2), 399–432. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639001600208

31. Heifetz, R. A., Grashow, A., & Linsky, M. (2009). *The practice of adaptive leadership: Tools and tactics for changing your organisation and the world*. Harvard Business Press.

32. Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualising stress. *American Psychologist, 44*(3), 513–524. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.3.513

33. Hobfoll, S. E., Halbesleben, J. R., Neveu, J. P., & Westman, M. (2018). Conservation of resources in the organisational context: The reality of resources and their consequences. *Annual Review of Organisational Psychology and Behavior, 5*, 103-128. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032117-104640

34. Hochschild, A. R. (1983). *The managed heart: Commercialization of human feeling*. University of California Press.

35. Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviours, institutions, and organisations across nations*. Sage Publications. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(02)00184-5

36. Kozlowski, S. W. J., & Ilgen, D. R. (2006). Enhancing the Effectiveness of Work Groups and Teams. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 7*(3), 77–124. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-1006.2006.00030.x

37. Kniffin, K. M., Narayanan, J., & Anseel, F. (2021). COVID-19 and the workplace: Implications, issues, and insights for future research and action. *American Psychologist, 76*(1), 63–77. https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000716

38. Lapidot, Y., Kark, R., & Shamir, B. (2007). The impact of situational vulnerability on the development and erosion of followers' trust in their leader. *Leadership Quarterly, 18*(1), 16–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.11.004

39. Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology, 52*(1), 397–422. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.397

40. Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annual Review of Psychology, 52*, 397-422. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.397

41. PalmerGarden, C. L., & Rivera, E. S. (2022). Gamified learning in higher education. In *Handbook of research on the influence and effectiveness of gamification in education* (pp. 497-520). https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-4287-6.ch025

42. Paruzel, A., Klonek, F. E., Parker, S. K., & Gerpott, F. H. (2020). Virtual teams: Benefits, challenges, and a review of the current literature. *Current Opinion in Psychology, 36*, 11–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.03.003

43. Pate, J., Martin, G., & Staines, H. (2003). Exploring the relationship between psychological contracts and organisational change. *Strategic Change, 12*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsc.604

44. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Moorman, R. H., & Fetter, R. (2000). Transformational leader behaviours and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organisational citizenship behaviours. *Leadership Quarterly, 1*(1), 107–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(99)00006-3

45. Rabelo, V. C., & Cortina, L. M. (2014). Two sides of the same coin: Gender harassment and heterosexist harassment in LGBQ work lives. *Law and Human Behavior, 38*(4), 378-391. https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000087

46. Rousseau, D. M. (1989). Psychological and implied contracts in organisations. *Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal, 2*(2), 121–139. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01384942

47. Savić, D. (2020). COVID-19 and work from home: Digital transformation of the workforce. *Grey Journal (TGJ), 16*(2), 101-104.

48. Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. *The Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations*, 33–47.

49. Tjosvold, D. (2008). The conflict-positive organisation: It depends upon us. *Journal of Organisational Behavior, 29*(1), 19-28. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.473

50. Wilmot, W. W., & Hocker, J. L. (2017). *Interpersonal conflict* (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education. ISBN: 9780073523941

51. Xanthopoulou, D., Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2013). A diary study on the happy worker: How job resources relate to positive emotions and personal resources. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 22*(5), 558–572. https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2012.698055

52. Xiao, Y., Becerik-Gerber, B., Lucas, G., & Roll, S. C. (2021). Working from home during the COVID-19 pandemic impacts office workstation users' physical and mental well-being. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 63*(3), 181-190. https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000002097