

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Evaluation of Respiratory Intensive Care Experiences and Relationships with Nutritional Status Among Patients Admitted to the Intensive Care Unit

<u>Murat Yıldız</u>*, <u>Deniz Celik</u>, <u>Tarkan Ozdemir</u>, <u>Kerem Ensarioğlu</u>, Melek Cakir, Tugce Dondu Savur, <u>Oral Menteş</u>, Mustafa Ozgur Cirik

Posted Date: 15 January 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202501.1126.v1

Keywords: Intensive care unit; Nutritional support; Patient experience; ICU outcomes



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Evaluation of Respiratory Intensive Care Experiences and Relationships with Nutritional Status Among Patients Admitted to the Intensive Care Unit

Murat Yıldız ^{1,*}, Deniz Celik ², Tarkan Ozdemir ³, Kerem Ensarioğlu ⁴, Melek Cakir ⁵, Tugce Dondu Savur ⁶, Oral Menteş ⁷ and Mustafa Ozgur Cirik ⁸

- Health Sciences University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Atatürk Sanatorium Research Hospital
- ² Alanya University, Pulmonary Medicine
- University of Health Sciences, Ankara Ataturk Sanatorium Training and Research Hospital, Department of Pulmonology
- ⁴ Pulmonary Medicine Department, Ankara Ataturk Sanatoryum Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁵ Health Sciences University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Atatürk Sanatorium Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁶ Health Sciences University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Atatürk Sanatorium Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey
- ⁷ Health Sciences University Gülhane Training and Research Hospital
- Bepartment of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Ankara Ataturk Sanatorium Training and Research Hospital, University of Health Sciences, 06290 Ankara, Turkey
- * Correspondence: drmuratyildiz85@gmail.com

Abstract: Objectives: This study aimed to evaluate patients' experiences in the intensive care unit (ICU) setting and to investigate whether there was a correlation between these experiences and their nutritional status. Methods: The study included patients admitted to the pulmonary medicine ICU between January 1, 2022, and December 31, 2022. Only patients aged 18 years or older were eligible for inclusion. Written and verbal consent was obtained from all participants, while those unable to provide nonverbal communication were excluded from the study. The Intensive Care Experience Questionnaire (ICEQ), developed by Rattray et al. in 2004, was utilized to assess the overall experiences of ICU patients. Results: The ICEQ results were analyzed across four categories: awareness of surroundings, recall of experiences, frightening experiences, and satisfaction with care. A total score was generated by summing the scores of these four categories. While the initial parameters were analyzed as ordinal data, the results for the four subcategories and the total score followed a parametric distribution and were thus analyzed accordingly. Conclusion: The findings reinforce the hypothesis that nutritional support requirements play a critical role in shaping patients' experiences in the ICU, regardless of their pre-admission status. Adequate nutritional support was shown to have a positive impact on ICU experiences.

Keywords: Intensive care unit; Nutritional support; Patient experience; ICU outcomes

Introduction

Intensive care units (ICUs) are specialized hospital wards dedicated to providing vital functional support for patients in critical condition. These units rely on highly skilled, multidisciplinary medical teams that employ a wide range of medical interventions and advanced devices. The primary goal of ICU admission is not only to sustain life but also to provide physiological and psychological support, ensuring that patients can recover and leave the ICU with positive experiences [1]. However, several

factors may contribute to negative experiences in the ICU, including the unfamiliar environment, disrupted sleep patterns, immobilization, restrictions on visits from relatives, insufficient information about treatment plans, and frequent invasive procedures [2,3].

Critically ill patients often require additional life support interventions, such as respiratory, cardiovascular, or renal support. Despite the critical nature of their condition, ICU patients remain susceptible to emotional and psychological challenges. Many report experiencing vivid dreams, hallucinations, and significant emotional fluctuations during their stay [4].

The role of nutritional status in shaping ICU experiences—both positive and negative—is an area of ongoing research. This study aimed to assess the overall experiences of patients in an ICU setting and to explore whether a correlation exists between patients' nutritional status and their ICU experiences.

Materials and Methods

The study included patients admitted to the pulmonary medicine ICU between January 1, 2022, and December 31, 2022. The ICU served as a facility for managing patients transferred from other intensive care units, emergency departments, and pulmonary medicine wards. Patients were eligible for inclusion if their ICU stay exceeded 24 hours and they were aged 18 years or older. Both written and verbal consent were obtained from all participants, while those unable to provide nonverbal communication were excluded from the study. All questionnaires were administered in person following patient approval.

The data collection process involved a patient information and follow-up form comprising two components. The first component recorded demographic and clinical data, including the protocol number for admission, sex, date of birth, marital status, primary diagnosis, comorbidities, admission and discharge dates, total hospitalization duration, source of admission, noninvasive mechanical ventilation (NIV) requirements, body mass index (BMI), and educational status. The second component included laboratory parameters collected at admission and prior to discharge. These parameters comprised routine blood values such as hemoglobin, hematocrit, creatinine, urea, total protein, albumin, potassium, sodium, calcium, and magnesium. Nutritional evaluations were also performed, covering nutritional support requirements, the type of nutritional support (parenteral or enteral), and nutritional scoring systems.

To assess patients' experiences in the ICU, the Intensive Care Experience Questionnaire (ICEQ), originally developed by Rattray et al. in 2004, was employed. The ICEQ was translated into Turkish by Demir et al., with a reported Cronbach's alpha reliability score of 0.79. The questionnaire consists of 19 Likert-type items, with nine focusing on patients' adaptation to the ICU environment and the remaining ten assessing emotional states. The adaptation items were rated on a scale from 1 ("Strongly Disagree") to 5 ("Strongly Agree"), while the emotional state items were rated from 1 ("Never") to 5 ("Always"). Reverse scoring was applied to items 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, and 17. The total ICEQ score ranges from 19 to 95, with lower scores indicating poorer awareness and clarity of mind in the ICU and reflecting an overall unfavorable experience [6]. Additionally, the ICEQ includes four subcategories: "Awareness of Surroundings" (6–30 points), "Recall of Experiences" (4–20 points), "Frightening Experiences" (5–25 points), and "Satisfaction with Care" (4–20 points).

Statistical Analyses

Data analysis was performed after the collection and finalization of patient information using Microsoft Excel. Descriptive statistics are presented as counts (n), percentages (%), standard deviations (SDs), and means or medians, as appropriate. The normality of parametric distributions was assessed using Q–Q plots. A paired sample t-test was applied to compare admission and discharge parameters. Pearson correlation was used to analyze relationships between two continuous variables with parametric distributions, while Spearman correlation was used for nonparametric data.

Linear regression analysis was conducted to identify independent factors among parameters with statistically significant p-values. Model validity was confirmed using the Hosmer–Lemeshow test. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of less than 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 23.

Results

A total of 171 patients were included in the study. The majority of the patients were male (n = 113, 66.1%), with an average age of 68.25 years (\pm 11.28) and an average body mass index (BMI) of 24.28 (\pm 3.78). The dominant educational level among the patients was primary school (n = 125, 73.1%). Hypertension was the most common comorbidity (n = 75, 43.9%), followed by diabetes mellitus (n = 43, 25.1%) and congestive heart failure (n = 30, 17.5%).

The average duration of hospitalization was 10.3 days (\pm 6.2), with noninvasive mechanical ventilation (NIV) support required for a significant proportion of the patients (n = 108, 63.2%). Nutritional support, either enteral or parenteral, was necessary for approximately 15% of the patients.

Most ICU admissions were from either emergency services (n = 77, 45%) or other intensive care units (n = 77, 45%). A detailed breakdown of patient characteristics and clinical parameters is provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic information and hospitalization parameters.

Demographic Data, Hospita	No. of Patient		
Comorbidities	(n=171) (%)		
Gender	Male	113 (66.1)	
Gender	Female	58 (33.9)	
Age	(mean, SD)	68.25 (±11.28)	
	No Formal Education	32 (18.7)	
Education	Primary – Middle School	125 (73.1)	
Education	High School	12 (7.0)	
	College – University	2 (1.2)	
	Hypertension	75 (43.9)	
	Diabetes Mellitus	43 (25.1)	
Comorbidities	Congestive Heart Failure	30 (17.5)	
Comorbiantes	Coronary Arterial Disease	15 (8.8)	
	Kyphosis	3 (1.8)	
	Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis	1 (0.6)	
	Hospitalization Duration (Days) (mean, SD)	10.3 (±6.2)	
Hamitalization and	NIMV Requirement	108 (63.2)	
Hospitalization and Nutritional Parameters	BMI upon ICU Admission (mean, SD)	24.28 (±3.78)	
	Enteral Support	26 (15.2)	
	Parenteral Support	24 (14)	
	Ward	17 (9.9)	
ICU Admission Origin	Emergency Service	77 (45)	
	Other ICU	77 (45)	

SD: Standard Deviation, **BMI:** Body Mass Index, **NIMV:** Noninvasive mechanical ventilation **ICU:** Intensive Care Unit.

Other ICU definition includes patient admission from other ICU units within the same hospital.

Hemoglobin levels showed a slight reduction between admission and discharge. Creatinine levels remained approximately 1 mg/dL, with corresponding blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels ranging from 50.08 mg/dL to 48.12 mg/dL. Electrolyte levels, including sodium, chloride, and potassium, were within normal ranges, while magnesium levels were stable at approximately 2 mEq/L.

Albumin and total protein levels were also slightly reduced. However, no statistically significant differences were observed in any laboratory parameter between admission and discharge. A detailed summary of the laboratory evaluations is provided in Table 2.

Table 2. Comparison of laboratory parameters between intensive care unit admission and discharge.

D	Testing	3.6	Standard	Paired	Samples	T Test
Parameters	Time	Mean	Deviation	t	dF	p
11. (/11)	Pre	11.9673	2.56991	2.262	150	0.010
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	Post	11.6205	2.12611	2.362	170	0.019
C (/ / / / / / / /	Pre	1.0025	0.55667	2.257	170	0.025
Creatinine (mg/dL)	Post	0.9244	0.36253	2.256	170	0.025
DIINI (/ 4I)	Pre	50.0819	28.91192	1.000	170	0.207
BUN (mg/dL)	Post	48.1228	27.08510	1.069		0.287
C - 1: (F/I)	Pre	138.9471	4.66798	1 501	169	0.116
Sodium (mEq/L)	Post	138.3824	3.49484	1.581		0.116
	Pre	97.0000	5.43843	1.050	170	0.004
Chloride (mEq/L)	Post	96.3333	8.25405	1.052		0.294
	Pre	4.1718	0.58828	0.505	170	0.550
Potassium (mEq/L)	Post	4.2021	0.51713	-0.587		0.558
Maranaira (m.E./I)	Pre	2.0023	0.56287	1 422	170	0.157
Magnesium (mEq/L)	Post	1.9415	0.25153	1.422	170	0.157
Albumin (g/L)	Pre	30.9789	6.24284	0.5(0	170	0.571
	Post	30.6936	7.40593	0.568	170	0.571
T-1-1 Du-1-1- (-/I)	Pre	55.7567	11.02030	0.020	170	0.070
Total Protein (g/L)	Post	55.7320	8.64708	0.038	170	0.970

Testing time refers to the time of blood sampling, for which the initial result is taken at the time of admission, and the second result is the last blood sampling performed before intensive care unit discharge.

The results of the ICEQ were analyzed across four categories, with each question categorized under its respective domain. The four categories were: Awareness of Surroundings, Recall of Experiences, Frightening Experiences, and Satisfaction with Care, which consisted of five, four, six, and four criteria, respectively.

The scores from these categories were summed to calculate a total ICEQ score. While the initial parameters were evaluated as ordinal, the results of the four subcategories and the total score demonstrated a parametric distribution and were analyzed accordingly. A detailed breakdown of the results is provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Intensive Care Experience Questionnaire parameters and subgroup results.

Questionnaire Components	Mean (SD)	Median	Mode	
--------------------------	-----------	--------	------	--

Awareness of Surroundings I felt safe.						
I knew what was happening to me. 3.53 (±1.28) 4 5 I was aware of someone near to me. 4.43 (±0.66) 5 5 I was able to let people know what I wanted. 3.98 (±1.15) 4 5 I felt the absence of my relatives. 3.67 (±1.18) 4 4 Recall of Experiences I never knew whether it was day or night. 3.50 (±1.44) 4 5 I seemed to sleep too much. 3.13 (±1.31) 3 2 Most of my memories are blurred. 3.80 (±1.02) 4 4 I felt safer in the morning. 3.29 (±1.31) 4 4 Frightening Experiences I saw strange things. 3.12 (±1.09) 3 4 I felt helpless. 2.92 (±1.26) 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. 2.75 (±1.03) 3 3 I felt scared. 2.66 (±1.24) 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. 2.55 (±1.20) 3 2						
I was aware of someone near to me. 4.43 (±0.66) 5 5 I was able to let people know what I wanted. 3.98 (±1.15) 4 5 I felt the absence of my relatives. 3.67 (±1.18) 4 4 Recall of Experiences 8 1 1 4 4 5 I never knew whether it was day or night. 3.50 (±1.44) 4 5 4 5 I seemed to sleep too much. 3.13 (±1.31) 3 2 Most of my memories are blurred. 3.80 (±1.02) 4 4 I felt safer in the morning. 3.29 (±1.31) 4 4 Frightening Experiences 1 3 4 4 I saw strange things. 3.12 (±1.09) 3 4 I felt helpless. 2.92 (±1.26) 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. 2.75 (±1.03) 3 3 I felt scared. 2.66 (±1.24) 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. 2.55 (±1.20) 3 2						
I was able to let people know what I wanted. $3.98 (\pm 1.15)$ 4 5 I felt the absence of my relatives. $3.67 (\pm 1.18)$ 4 Recall of Experiences 4 4 I never knew whether it was day or night. $3.50 (\pm 1.44)$ 4 5 I seemed to sleep too much. $3.13 (\pm 1.31)$ 3 2 Most of my memories are blurred. $3.80 (\pm 1.02)$ 4 4 I felt safer in the morning. $3.29 (\pm 1.31)$ 4 4 Frightening Experiences I saw strange things. $3.12 (\pm 1.09)$ 3 4 I felt helpless. $2.92 (\pm 1.26)$ 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. $2.75 (\pm 1.03)$ 3 3 I felt scared. $2.66 (\pm 1.24)$ 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. $2.55 (\pm 1.20)$ 3 2						
I felt the absence of my relatives. 3.67 (±1.18) 4 4 Recall of Experiences I never knew whether it was day or night. 3.50 (±1.44) 4 5 I seemed to sleep too much. 3.13 (±1.31) 3 2 Most of my memories are blurred. 3.80 (±1.02) 4 4 I felt safer in the morning. 3.29 (±1.31) 4 4 Frightening Experiences I saw strange things. 3.12 (±1.09) 3 4 I felt helpless. 2.92 (±1.26) 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. 2.75 (±1.03) 3 3 I felt scared. 2.66 (±1.24) 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. 2.55 (±1.20) 3 2						
Recall of Experiences I never knew whether it was day or night. 3.50 (±1.44) 4 5 I seemed to sleep too much. 3.13 (±1.31) 3 2 Most of my memories are blurred. 3.80 (±1.02) 4 4 I felt safer in the morning. 3.29 (±1.31) 4 4 Frightening Experiences I saw strange things. 3.12 (±1.09) 3 4 I felt helpless. 2.92 (±1.26) 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. 2.75 (±1.03) 3 3 I felt scared. 2.66 (±1.24) 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. 2.55 (±1.20) 3 2						
I never knew whether it was day or night. $3.50 (\pm 1.44)$ 4 5 I seemed to sleep too much. $3.13 (\pm 1.31)$ 3 2 Most of my memories are blurred. $3.80 (\pm 1.02)$ 4 4 I felt safer in the morning. $3.29 (\pm 1.31)$ 4 4 Frightening Experiences I saw strange things. $3.12 (\pm 1.09)$ 3 4 I felt helpless. $2.92 (\pm 1.26)$ 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. $2.75 (\pm 1.03)$ 3 3 I felt scared. $2.66 (\pm 1.24)$ 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. $2.55 (\pm 1.20)$ 3 2						
I seemed to sleep too much. $3.13 (\pm 1.31)$ 3 2 Most of my memories are blurred. $3.80 (\pm 1.02)$ 4 4 I felt safer in the morning. $3.29 (\pm 1.31)$ 4 4 Frightening Experiences $3.12 (\pm 1.09)$ 3 4 I saw strange things. $3.12 (\pm 1.09)$ 3 4 I felt helpless. $2.92 (\pm 1.26)$ 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. $2.75 (\pm 1.03)$ 3 3 I felt scared. $2.66 (\pm 1.24)$ 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. $2.55 (\pm 1.20)$ 3 2						
Most of my memories are blurred. $3.80 (\pm 1.02)$ 4 4 I felt safer in the morning. $3.29 (\pm 1.31)$ 4 4 Frightening Experiences I saw strange things. $3.12 (\pm 1.09)$ 3 4 I felt helpless. $2.92 (\pm 1.26)$ 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. $2.75 (\pm 1.03)$ 3 3 I felt scared. $2.66 (\pm 1.24)$ 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. $2.55 (\pm 1.20)$ 3 2						
I felt safer in the morning. $3.29 (\pm 1.31)$ 4 4 Frightening Experiences I saw strange things. $3.12 (\pm 1.09)$ 3 4 I felt helpless. $2.92 (\pm 1.26)$ 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. $2.75 (\pm 1.03)$ 3 3 I felt scared. $2.66 (\pm 1.24)$ 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. $2.55 (\pm 1.20)$ 3 2						
Frightening Experiences I saw strange things. $3.12 (\pm 1.09)$ 3 4 I felt helpless. $2.92 (\pm 1.26)$ 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. $2.75 (\pm 1.03)$ 3 3 I felt scared. $2.66 (\pm 1.24)$ 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. $2.55 (\pm 1.20)$ 3 2						
I saw strange things. 3.12 (±1.09) 3 4 I felt helpless. 2.92 (±1.26) 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. 2.75 (±1.03) 3 3 I felt scared. 2.66 (±1.24) 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. 2.55 (±1.20) 3 2						
I felt helpless. $2.92 (\pm 1.26)$ 3 4 I seemed to be in pain. $2.75 (\pm 1.03)$ 3 3 I felt scared. $2.66 (\pm 1.24)$ 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. $2.55 (\pm 1.20)$ 3 2						
I seemed to be in pain. 2.75 ± 1.03 3 3 I felt scared. 2.66 ± 1.24 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. 2.55 ± 1.20 3 2						
I felt scared. $2.66 (\pm 1.24)$ 3 4 I seemed to have bad dreams. $2.55 (\pm 1.20)$ 3 2						
I seemed to have bad dreams. $2.55 (\pm 1.20)$ 3 2						
I thought I would die. 3.25 (±1.24) 4 4						
Satisfaction with Care						
It was always too noisy. 3.23 (±1.21) 3 2						
My care was as good as it could have been. 4.41 (±0.75) 5 5						
I was constantly disturbed. 3.82 (±1.06) 4 4						
I felt uncomfortable being dependent on meeting my needs. 2.44 (±1.29) 2 2						
Subgroup Scores Mean (SD) Median Min-max						
Awareness of Surroundings 19.73 (±2.96) 20 12-25						
Recall of Experiences 13.73 (±2.52) 14 6-19						
Frightening Experiences 17.62 (±5.43) 18 6-26						
Satisfaction with Care 13.90 (±2.68) 14 7-20						
Total Score 64.61 (±4.44) 64 53-78						
SD: Standard Deviation, Min–Max: Minimum and maximum reported values,						

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to evaluate relationships between parameters across the four subgroups and the total score of the questionnaire. While no parameter was significantly correlated with the total score, several parameters demonstrated correlations with the four subgroups.

Age showed a negative correlation with Awareness of Surroundings, Satisfaction with Care, and Recall of Experiences, but a positive correlation with Frightening Experiences. Nutritional support exhibited a similar correlation pattern as age, with negative correlations in the first three subgroups and a positive correlation in the last.

In contrast, albumin levels displayed a reverse correlation pattern compared with nutritional support, while total protein levels showed no significant correlation with any of the four subgroups. A detailed summary of the correlations is presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Correlations between intensive care unit evaluation subgroups, total score and other parameters.

	Pearson Correlatio n and P	Awareness of Surrounding	Recall of Experience s	Frightenin g Experience	Satisfactio n with Care	Total Scor
	value Correlation	0.136	0.019	s 0.034	-0.093	
Gender	p value	0.076	0.801	0.656	0.225	0.087
	Correlation	-0.294	-0.228	0.308	-0.193	-
Age	p value	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.012	0.067
Hospitalizatio	Correlation	-0.153	0.024	0.220	-0.225	0.044
n Days	p value	0.046	0.760	0.004	0.003	0.567
	Correlation	-0.065	-0.166	0.041	-0.010	- 0.094
NIMV History	p value	0.396	0.030	0.597	0.894	0.222
Admission	Correlation	0.085	0.094	-0.112	0.060	0.009
BMI	p value	0.267	0.222	0.144	0.436	0.904
	Correlation	-0.111	-0.011	0.128	-0.099	0.016
Hypertension	p value	0.149	0.885	0.096	0.197	0.837
Diabetes	Correlation	-0.038	0.074	0.107	-0.165	0.047
Mellitus	p value	0.618	0.335	0.165	0.031	0.538
Congestive	Correlation	-0.124	-0.078	0.185	-0.161	0.002
Heart Failure	p value	0.105	0.310	0.015	0.036	0.979
Coronary	Correlation	0.091	0.001	-0.053	0.058	0.032
Heart Disease	p value	0.236	0.990	0.492	0.453	0.681
Hemoglobin	Correlation	0.070	-0.053	-0.159	0.135	0.096

	p value	0.362	0.488	0.038	0.077	0.210
	Correlation	-0.122	-0.211	0.122	-0.053	-
Creatinine	Co Surviori	0.111	0.006	0.112	0.490	0.084
	p value	0.111	0.000	0.112	0.490	0.274
	Correlation	-0.183	-0.213	0.187	-0.074	-
BUN		0.016	0.005	0.014	0.335	0.059
	p value					0.441
	Correlation	0.033	-0.001	0.003	0.000	0.025
Sodium	a malu a	0.666	0.992	0.966	0.996	0.025
	p value	0.000				0.742
	Correlation	-0.098	-0.007	0.208	-0.151	0.093
Chloride	p value	0.200	0.929	0.006	0.049	
	p canno	-0.014	0.037	-0.090	0.044	0.224
Potassium	Correlation	-0.014	0.007	-0.090	0.044	0.072
	p value	0.858	0.627	0.239	0.565	
		-0.163	-0.058	0.088	-0.066	0.351
Magnesium	Correlation					0.074
112119110211111	p value	0.033	0.451	0.251	0.389	0.337
	Correlation	0.182	0.130	-0.251	0.197	0.337
Albumin	Correlation					0.008
	p value	0.017	0.089	0.001	0.010	0.922
	Correlation	-0.013	-0.025	-0.041	-0.045	-
Total Protein		0.871	0.749	0.597	0.561	0.099
	p value	0.071	0.742	0.071	0.501	0.197
	Correlation	-0.440	-0.301	0.467	-0.265	-
Nutritional Support		0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.053
11	p value	-				0.492

NIMV: Noninvasive mechanical ventilation, **BMI:** Body mass index, **BUN:** Blood urea nitrogen. Diabetes mellitus (DM) diagnosis includes formerly diagnosed type 1 and type 2 DM.

As the number of hospitalization days increased, a negative correlation was observed with Awareness of Surroundings and Satisfaction with Care, while a positive correlation became evident

with Frightening Experiences. A history of noninvasive mechanical ventilation (NIMV) showed a single negative correlation, specifically with Recall of Experiences.

The presence of diabetes mellitus and congestive heart failure (CHF) was negatively correlated with Satisfaction with Care, with CHF also showing a positive correlation with Frightening Experiences. Among the laboratory parameters, BUN (blood urea nitrogen) demonstrated the strongest correlations, while other electrolytes exhibited only isolated positive or negative correlations (Table 4).

Linear Regression Analysis

Linear regression analyses were performed to evaluate each subgroup of the questionnaire independently. All models had statistically significant results and demonstrated acceptable Durbin–Watson values (between 1.7 and 2.0). The regression and residual degrees of freedom, F-statistics, and p-values for each subgroup were as follows:

- Awareness of Surroundings: F(19,149) = 3.639, p = 0.001
- Recall of Experiences: F(19,149) = 2.389, p = 0.001
- Frightening Experiences: F(19,149) = 4.117, p = 0.001
- Satisfaction with Care: F(19,149) = 1.837, p = 0.023

The models had R values of 0.563, 0.483, 0.587, and 0.436 and adjusted R² values of 0.230, 0.136, 0.261, and 0.086 for Awareness of Surroundings, Recall of Experiences, Frightening Experiences, and Satisfaction with Care, respectively. The regression analysis revealed that the least robust model was for Satisfaction with Care, as indicated by its lower R and adjusted R² values.

Across all models, nutritional support was identified as an independent factor affecting subgroup outcomes. Nutritional support was positively associated with Frightening Experiences (p = 0.001) but negatively associated with Awareness of Surroundings (p = 0.001), Recall of Experiences (p = 0.000), and Satisfaction with Care (p = 0.042).

Additional Observations

- *Gender*: Female patients reported greater awareness of their surroundings compared to males (p = 0.038).
- Age: Older individuals were more likely to report frightening experiences (p = 0.047).
- Magnesium: Among laboratory parameters, magnesium was the only independent factor. Lower
 magnesium levels were significantly correlated with reduced Awareness of Surroundings (p =
 0.002).

Detailed results of the regression analysis and correlation evaluations are presented in Tables 5–6.

Table 5. Regression Analysis between Awareness of Surroundings, Recall of Experiences and Other Parameters.

Awareness	of				
Surroundings as	the	В	Standard Error	t	p value
Dependent Variable					
Constant		14.306	7.682	1.862	0.065
Gender		0.991	0.474	2.092	0.038
Age		-0.041	0.021	-1.928	0.056
Hospitalization Days		-0.020	0.035	-0.560	0.576
NIMV History		-0.082	0.448	-0.183	0.855
Admission BMI		0.033	0.056	0.590	0.556
Hypertension		-0.396	0.450	-0.880	0.380

Diabetes Mellitus	0.672	0.509	1.321	0.189	
Congestive Heart Failure	-0.455	0.597	-0.763	0.447	
Coronary Heart Disease	0.406	0.756	0.538	0.591	
Hemoglobin	0.400	0.092	0.679	0.498	
Creatinine					
	0.223	0.440	0.506	0.613	
BUN	-0.001	0.009	-0.093	0.926	
Sodium	0.075	0.052	1.443	0.151	
Chloride	-0.006	0.043	-0.147	0.884	
Potassium	0.133	0.424	0.314	0.754	
Magnesium	-1.231	0.382	-3.221	0.002	
Albumin	0.045	0.039	1.156	0.250	
Total Protein	-0.012	0.022	-0.561	0.576	
Nutritional Support	-2.629	0.559	-4.706	0.001	
Recall of Experiences as					
the Dependent Variable					
Constant	5.415	6.965	0.778	0.438	
Gender	-0.345	0.430	-0.802	0.424	
Age	-0.023	0.019	-1.196	0.233	
Hospitalization Days	0.048	0.032	1.517	0.131	
NIMV History	-0.634	0.406	-1.561	0.121	
Admission BMI	0.067	0.051	1.316	0.190	
Hypertension	0.274	0.408	0.672	0.503	
Diabetes Mellitus	0.709	0.461	1.538	0.126	
Congestive Heart Failure	-0.180	0.541	-0.334	0.739	
Coronary Heart Disease	0.349	0.685	0.509	0.611	
Hemoglobin	-0.098	0.083	-1.181	0.239	
Creatinine	-0.448	0.399	-1.122	0.264	
BUN	-0.005	0.008	-0.601	0.549	
Sodium	0.047	0.047	0.989	0.324	
Chloride	0.046	0.039	1.172	0.243	
Potassium	0.329	0.384	0.858	0.392	
Magnesium	-0.350	0.346	-1.009	0.314	
Albumin	0.047	0.035	1.335	0.184	
Total Protein	-0.019	0.020	-0.929	0.354	
Nutritional Support	-1.951	0.507	-3.851	0.001	
NIMV: Noninvasive mecha	nical venti	lation, BM	II: Body mass index, BUN:	Blood urea nitros	gen.

 Table 6. Regression Analysis between Frightening Experiences, Satisfaction with Care, and Other Parameters.

Frightening Experiences as the Dependent Variable	В	Standard Error	t	p value
Constant	17.423	13.842	1.259	0.210
Gender	0.126	0.854	0.148	0.882

Age	0.077	0.038	2.006	0.047
Hospitalization Days	0.062	0.063	0.991	0.323
NIMV History	0.384	0.808	0.476	0.635
Admission BMI	-0.150	0.102	-1.478	0.142
Hypertension	0.031	0.811	0.038	0.970
Diabetes Mellitus	-0.234	0.917	-0.256	0.798
Congestive Heart Failure	1.482	1.076	1.378	0.170
Coronary Heart Disease	-0.052	1.362	-0.038	0.970
Hemoglobin	-0.152	0.165	-0.922	0.358
Creatinine	-0.133	0.793	-0.167	0.867
BUN	-0.012	0.016	-0.751	0.454
Sodium	-0.133	0.094	-1.413	0.160
Chloride	0.138	0.078	1.766	0.079
Potassium	-1.063	0.764	-1.392	0.166
Magnesium	1.730	0.688	2.512	0.013
Albumin	-0.088	0.070	-1.253	0.212
Total Protein	0.013	0.040	0.337	0.737
Nutritional Support	4.693	1.007	4.662	0.001
Satisfaction with Care as				
the Dependent Variable				
Constant	19.276	7.637	2.524	0.013
Gender	-0.338	0.471	-0.717	0.475
Age	-0.018	0.021	-0.844	0.400
Hospitalization Days	-0.053	0.035	-1.536	0.127
NIMV History	-0.084	0.446	-0.188	0.851
Admission BMI	0.046	0.056	0.827	0.410
Hypertension	0.089	0.447	0.200	0.842
Diabetes Mellitus	-0.414	0.506	-0.819	0.414
Congestive Heart Failure	-0.678	0.593	-1.143	0.255
Coronary Heart Disease	0.164	0.751	0.218	0.828
Hemoglobin	0.044	0.091	0.481	0.631
Creatinine	-0.001	0.437	-0.003	0.998
BUN	0.009	0.009	1.041	0.299
Sodium	0.017	0.052	0.321	0.748
Chloride	-0.059	0.043	-1.377	0.170
Potassium	0.317	0.421	0.753	0.453
Magnesium	-0.618	0.380	-1.627	0.106
Albumin	0.066	0.039	1.714	0.089
Total Protein	-0.025	0.022	-1.140	0.256
Nutritional Support	-1.137	0.555	-2.047	0.042
NIMV: Noninvasive mecha	nical ventil	ation, BMI: Bo	dv mass index. BUN	J: Blood urea nitrogen.

Discussion

The laboratory results of the patients were similar at admission and discharge. When considered alongside the effects of these results on the questionnaire findings, this observation supports the idea that admission values may serve as a reliable basis for analyzing the influence of nutritional parameters, rather than relying on discharge values. Consequently, predicting questionnaire outcomes and patient satisfaction with care may be feasible as early as ICU admission. However, as indicated by the regression analysis, the role of nutritional parameters (e.g., albumin and total protein) becomes less prominent when compared to the actual presence of nutritional support. This is evident in the lack of correlation between total protein and questionnaire outcomes and the absence of a relationship between albumin levels and nutritional support, which remained a relevant factor regardless of the model or subgroup.

Hypoalbuminemia has been established as an independent risk factor for unfavorable clinical outcomes, as shown in a meta-analysis. Patients with hypoalbuminemia, especially those admitted to the ICU or general wards with a history of surgery or renal dysfunction, often experience adverse outcomes. These include increased mortality, morbidity, and prolonged ICU and hospital stays [7]. The diverse etiologies of hypoalbuminemia suggest it may be a compensatory mechanism that does not always require intervention. However, reductions in osmotic pressure, intravascular antioxidative reserve, and other protective effects justify the potential use of albumin supplementation to prevent worsening outcomes, even though hypoalbuminemia itself serves as a marker of pathological processes [7,8].

Cost-effective strategies aimed at reducing hospitalization and ICU durations are increasingly relevant as the number of patients requiring end-of-life care and managing comorbidities rises. Predictive models for estimating hospital length of stay have identified hypoalbuminemia, ICU requirements (excluding cardiovascular ICUs), advanced age, prior hospitalizations, pressure ulcers, and early mechanical ventilation as significant factors [9]. These findings highlight the potential role of variables such as mechanical ventilation, age, and comorbidities in ICU discharge evaluations, beyond traditional predictors.

Interestingly, Chen et al. reported that patients with chronic lung diseases or hypertension had shorter ICU stays compared to others, suggesting that these conditions may increase mortality to the extent that hospitalization durations are shortened [10].

Emotional and psychological outcomes for ICU patients have also been studied extensively. Rattray et al. found that anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress following ICU discharge were correlated with age, sex, and total hospitalization duration [11]. Similarly, Russell reported that effective communication between ICU teams and patients significantly reduced concerns about treatment, alleviating anxiety and improving patient experiences [12]. These findings underscore the importance of addressing both physiological and psychological needs during ICU care.

In our study, age, hospitalization duration, and laboratory results aligned with expectations regarding their impact on questionnaire outcomes. Correlation analyses revealed that elderly patients exhibited lower awareness, recall, and satisfaction with care, while reporting more frightening experiences—trends that were also observed with longer hospitalization durations. Renal function parameters, such as creatinine and BUN, were associated with a single negative correlation, whereas albumin and nutritional support demonstrated opposite trends. These findings validated the reliability of our regression models and the inclusion of additional parameters in evaluating ICU experiences.

Age emerged as a particularly significant factor. Although it was correlated with all four questionnaire subgroups, regression analysis revealed that frightening experiences were the only subgroup where age retained statistical significance, with elderly patients reporting more frequent frightening experiences regardless of other parameters. Similarly, gender demonstrated significance, with male patients showing lower awareness compared to females during ICU stays.

Among laboratory parameters, magnesium was the only independent factor identified in our study. Hypomagnesemia was negatively associated with awareness, highlighting its potential role in

ICU outcomes. Magnesium is the second most abundant intracellular cation and plays a crucial role in immune regulation and homeostasis [13,14]. Francesco et al. emphasized the importance of magnesium in ICU patients, noting that hypomagnesemia is associated with increased risks of infection, sepsis, weakened respiratory muscles, and bronchospasm, which can ultimately reduce survival rates [15]. However, overcorrection leading to hypermagnesemia may result in adverse effects, including paralysis, bradycardia, respiratory failure, and cardiac arrest. Further studies are needed to clarify the optimal magnesium correction strategies and their impact on respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation. In our study, magnesium was negatively associated with awareness, but its effects were limited to this subgroup, whereas nutritional support influenced all four subgroups.

These findings reinforce the assumption that nutritional support is a critical factor in the ICU experience questionnaire, regardless of a patient's nutritional status prior to admission. As shown in Table 2, a limitation of this study is the similarity in laboratory findings between admission and discharge. This limitation highlights the potential influence of nutritional support within a relatively homogenous patient population and suggests that the findings may not be generalizable to patients with better or worse nutritional status at admission.

References

- 1. Eşer, İ.; Khorshid, L.; Demir, Y. Yoğun Bakım Hemşirelerinde Eleştirel Düşünme Eğilimi ve Etkileyen Faktörlerin İncelenmesi. C.Ü. Hemşirelik Yüksekokulu Dergisi 2007, 11, 13–22.
- Gürlek, K.; Kısacık, Ö.; Çoşğun, T. Yoğun Bakım Hastalarında Kısıtlama Uygulamalarının ve Nörovasküler Etkilerinin İncelenmesi. Yoğun Bakım Dergisi 2019, 10 (2), 53–62.
- 3. Rotondi, A. J.; Chelluri, L.; Sirio, C.; Mendelsohn, A.; Schulz, R.; et al. Patients' Recollections of Stressful Experiences While Receiving Prolonged Mechanical Ventilation in an Intensive Care Unit. Crit. Care Med. 2002, 30 (4), 746–752.
- 4. Jones, C.; Griffiths, R. D.; Humphris, G.; Skirrow, P. M. Memory, Delusions, and the Development of Acute Posttraumatic Stress Disorder-Related Symptoms After Intensive Care. Crit. Care Med. 2001, 29, 573–580.
- 5. Rattray, J.; Johnston, M. The Intensive Care Experience: Development of the ICE Questionnaire. J. Adv. Nurs. 2004, 47 (1), 64–73.
- Demir, Y.; Korhan, E. A.; Eşer, İ.; Khorshid, L. Yoğun Bakım Deneyim Ölçeği'nin Geçerlilik ve Güvenirlilik Çalışması. Türkiye Klinikleri J. Nurs. Sci. 2009, 1 (1), 1–11.
- Vincent, J. L.; Dubois, M. J.; Navickis, R. J.; Wilkes, M. M. Hypoalbuminemia in Acute Illness: Is There a Rationale for Intervention?: A Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies and Controlled Trials. Ann. Surg. 2003, 237 (3), 319–334. DOI: 10.1097/01.SLA.0000055547.93484.87.
- 8. Foley, E. F.; Borlase, B. C.; Dzik, W. H.; Bistrian, B. R.; Benotti, P. N. Albumin Supplementation in the Critically Ill. A Prospective, Randomized Trial. Arch. Surg. 1990, 125, 739–742.
- Szubski, C. R.; Tellez, A.; Klika, A. K.; Xu, M.; Kattan, M. W.; et al. Predicting Discharge to a Long-Term Acute Care Hospital After Admission to an Intensive Care Unit. Am. J. Crit. Care 2014, 23 (4), e46–e53. DOI: 10.4037/ajcc2014985.
- Chen, H. Y.; Vanness, D. J.; Golestanian, E. A Simplified Score for Transfer of Patients Requiring Mechanical Ventilation to a Long-Term Care Hospital. Am. J. Crit. Care 2011, 20 (6), e122–e130. DOI: 10.4037/ajcc2011775.
- 11. Rattray, J. E.; Johnston, M.; Wildsmith, J. A. Predictors of Emotional Outcomes of Intensive Care. Anaesthesia 2005, 60, 1085–1092.
- 12. Russell, S. An Exploratory Study of Patients' Perceptions, Memories, and Experiences of an Intensive Care Unit. J. Adv. Nurs. 1999, 29, 783–791.
- 13. Johnson, S. The Multifaceted and Widespread Pathology of Magnesium Deficiency. Med. Hypotheses 2001, 56, 163–170.
- 14. Dyckne, T.; Ek, N.; Wester, H. Aggravation of Thiamine Deficiency by Magnesium Depletion. A Case Report. Acta Med. Scand. 1985, 218, 129–131.

15. Saglietti, F.; Girombelli, A.; Marelli, S.; Vetrone, F.; Balzanelli, M. G.; et al. Role of Magnesium in the Intensive Care Unit and Immunomodulation: A Literature Review. Vaccines (Basel) 2023, 11 (6), 1122. DOI: 10.3390/vaccines11061122. PMID: 37376511; PMCID: PMC10304084.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.