Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Triple-Axiom Foundation of Empirical Science Deduction of the Minimal Necessary Architectural Class for Physical Execution

Igor Durdanovic *

Posted Date: 16 April 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Keywords: digital physics; cellular automata; computability theory; algorithmic information theory; epistemology of science; discrete causal graphs; symplectic integrators; verlet integration; iterated function systems; algorithmic structural risk minimization; universal computational cost; topological attractors; quantum foundations; discrete cosmology; hardware architecture; computational monism

Article

The Triple-Axiom Foundation of Empirical Science Deduction of the Minimal Necessary Architectural Class for Physical Execution

Igor Durdanovic

Independent Researcher, Croatia; igor.durdanovic@gmail.com

Abstract

Structural Execution Sequence (The Deductive Itinerary): This manuscript executes a formal mathematical theorem. By strictly bounding the scientific enterprise to the thermodynamic limits of finite computation, it outlines the exact deductive sequence that isolates the minimal necessary architectural class capable of compiling empirical reality. The theorem unrolls across four sequential proofs:
1. The Ontological Anchor (Axiom I: The Embedded Observer): The observer is formally defined as a finite physical sub-system. Scientific prediction evaluates strictly as the act of physical computation. It is governed by the Universal Cost Ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), which algorithmically penalizes static memory allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and dynamic execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$).
2. The Syntactic Anchor (Axiom II: The Computable Boundary): Because the embedded agent is finite, any valid generative framework must execute safely on finite hardware. Empirical science evaluates exclusively as a formal closed system operating within the Computable Domain ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$): the strict mathematical intersection of computability (Turing 1936), semantic exteriority (Tarski 1936), and bounded scope (Gödel 1931).
3. The Semantic Anchor (Axiom III: Data Supremacy): The raw observational array ($\mathcal{D}$), extracted via thermodynamic collision with the environment, evaluates as the absolute ground truth. The syntactic injection of uncomputable continuous parameters or infinite-precision fields ($\Delta\theta$) triggers a catastrophic memory leak ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$) and is structurally forbidden by the Zero-Patch Standard.
4. The Hardware Compilation (m^*): By enforcing these three axioms against the macroscopic Evidence Vector (**E**), we algorithmically deduce the exact hardware interface of reality. The minimal necessary architectural class (m^*) compiles strictly as a discrete, local, deterministic Base-12 symplectic state-machine.
The Checksum Protocol: We dynamically unroll this architectural class to verify that emergent Lorentz invariance, quantum measurement bounds, fractal self-similarity, objective causality, and thermodynamic irreversibility execute natively as the deterministic compiler artifacts of the discrete hardware itself.

Keywords: digital physics; cellular automata; computability theory; algorithmic information theory; epistemology of science; discrete causal graphs; symplectic integrators; verlet integration; iterated function systems; algorithmic structural risk minimization; universal computational cost; topological attractors; quantum foundations; discrete cosmology; hardware architecture; computational monism

---

1. The Foundation of Empirical Science

1.1. Axiom I: The Domain of the Observer: The $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ Intersection and the Embedded Agent

The framework defines the physical universe (U) strictly as a black-box data generator mapping a spatiotemporal index to observable data: $U(t) \to \mathcal{D}_U$.

Axiom 1 (The Axiom of the Domain of the Observer)**.** *The embedded agent ($\mathcal{A}$) and its internal predictive models (m) are strictly elements of the* ***Computable Domain*** *($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$).*

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

1. The Epistemic Consequence (Tarskian Meta-Languages) Self-reflection and meta-reasoning execute natively as the physical allocation of local hardware. The embedded agent $\mathcal{A}$ algorithmically constructs a Tarski Level-2 meta-language [1] strictly to model and analyze its own Level-1 object-language. Because $\mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$, this emergent meta-hierarchical capacity computes entirely as finite, discrete logic, incurring a strict thermodynamic execution cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$).

2. The Measurement Consequence (Physical Coupling) Because $\mathcal{A}$ evaluates strictly as a finite sub-system embedded entirely within U, the extraction of the observational array $\mathcal{D}_U$ cannot execute as a passive, zero-cost abstraction. Data extraction requires a direct thermodynamic collision between the physical hardware of the agent and the target system. Consequently, the act of measurement evaluates natively as a mechanical coupling that deterministically alters the state of the observed domain. The non-interfering, infinite-bandwidth "God's-eye view" evaluates as a hardware impossibility.

1.2. Axiom II: Science as a Closed System: Syntactic Closure and the Rejection of the Theory Generator

Axiom 2 (The Axiom of the Closed System)**.** *Science evaluates exclusively as a purely closed formal system. It is mathematically defined as the embedded agent generating an internal sub-process $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ that computes its own internal data sequence $\mathcal{D}_m$. A predictive system evaluates as closed if and only if its alphabet, axioms, and rules of inference are explicitly declared a priori. All subsequent model states evaluate as strictly algorithmically deducible without the injection of external information or unstated semantic variables.*

1. Consequence of Syntactic Closure (The Zero-Patch Standard) Because the formal system must be explicitly declared *a priori*, the algorithmic requirement for closure mathematically forbids the introduction of an unconstrained auxiliary parameter θ (a patch) **after** observing contradictory empirical evidence.

If a predictive framework relies on unstated parameters or permits post-hoc semantic interpretation, it mathematically ceases to be an executable model. It degrades into an uncomputable narrative. Admissible models must possess structures that are strictly fixed *a priori* or deterministically deduced from low-level hardware invariants.

2. The Failure of the Open System (The Occam Factor) If any scientific discipline violates syntactic closure by allowing post-hoc flexibility, it mathematically disqualifies itself. The probability of the empirical evidence given the model evaluates strictly via the marginal likelihood integral:

$$P(\mathcal{D}|m) = \int P(\mathcal{D}|m,\theta)\, P(\theta|m)\, d\theta \approx P(\mathcal{D}|m,\theta_{\text{best}}) \cdot \frac{\delta\theta}{\Delta\theta}$$

where $\Delta\theta$ represents the prior unconstrained range of the injected parameter, and $\delta\theta$ represents the posterior constrained width.

For an open system, the unconstrained prior range of the injected parameter evaluates to infinity. Because the denominator diverges, the Occam factor mechanically drives the marginal likelihood of the entire model exactly to zero, regardless of how perfectly the parameter fits the localized data.

3. The Theory Generator Consequently, any framework that permits unconstrained patching functions indistinguishably from a theory generator—an unfalsifiable meta-model capable of accommodating any arbitrary noise pattern. Because it possesses infinite structural risk and zero predictive compression, an open system evaluates as scientifically void.

1.3. Axiom III: Empirical Science as Anticipatory Synchronization: Data Supremacy and the Empirical Filter

Axiom 3 (The Axiom of Anticipatory Synchronization)**.** *Empirical Science evaluates exactly as Formal Science (Axiom II) strictly constrained by the physical universe (U). An embedded agent is executing empirical science if and only if it subjects its executable model m to* ***Anticipatory Synchronization****. Given an arbitrary data index pointer t and a physical prediction horizon offset $T > 0$, the model must predict future data:*

$$m(t) \to \mathcal{D}_m(t+T)$$

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Synchronization is successfully achieved if and only if the empirical error against the universe's actual output is strictly bounded:

$$|\mathcal{D}_m(t+T) - U(t+T)| \leq \varepsilon^*$$

1. The Supremacy of the Data Array The finite, discrete observational record extracted from U evaluates as the absolute mathematical constraint on model acceptance. Every theoretical commitment, mathematical elegance, and auxiliary hypothesis ($\Delta\theta$) structurally yields to persistent, reproducible discrepancy with $U(t+T)$.

2. The Empirical Execution Constraint To claim the status of Empirical Science, the closed formal system must yield an explicitly executable model $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$. Mathematical formalisms unable to be compiled and physically executed on finite discrete hardware to satisfy Anticipatory Synchronization evaluate strictly as Pure (Theoretical) Science. Lacking physical execution capacity, they are permanently stripped of empirical ontological authority.

1.4. Theorem of Physical Computation: The Metabolic Arithmetic-Routing Model (MARM)

Because the embedded agent is strictly finite (Axiom I) and operates exclusively within the Computable Domain ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$), its internal models cannot execute instantaneously or store infinite precision.

Theorem 1 (The Theorem of Physical Computation)**.** *Any total computable function $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ evaluates strictly as a physical spatiotemporal causal graph governed by explicit physical hardware limits. The physical execution of Anticipatory Synchronization is structurally bound by the Metabolic Arithmetic-Routing Model (MARM).*

1. Metabolic Maintenance ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) Hardware structurally decays under universal entropy. To maintain logical coherence, the total physical hardware footprint (Topological Memory Allocation, $\mathcal{S}$) must be powered continuously for the entire duration of the computation (Execution Trace, $\mathcal{T}$). The absolute thermodynamic cost evaluates strictly as the multiplicative geometric volume:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} \propto \text{Watt-hours}$$

2. Explicit Routing (The Geometric Penalty) Data transmission evaluates as strictly bound by spatial locality and the bare-metal signal limit (v_{ca}). A physical route of k spatial hops incurs a strict causal latency scaling as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}}(k)$ for a constant spatial width $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(1)$.

Expanding the parameter footprint ($\mathcal{S}$) geometrically increases the average internal routing distance k, mechanically forcing a coupled increase in total execution latency ($\mathcal{T}$). Therefore, the partial derivative evaluates strictly positive:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{T}}{\partial \mathcal{S}} > 0$$

3. Arithmetic Scaling For n-width hardware registers (W_{reg}), achieving instantaneous $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T}}(1)$ temporal scaling mathematically requires allocating strictly $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(n)$ physical memory space for linear operations and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}(n^2)$ space for quadratic operations. Logical shortcuts in temporal algorithmic complexity evaluate natively as physically paid for strictly in dense spatial routing graphs and increased wire capacitance.

1.5. Theorem of Computational Darwinism: The Thermodynamic Saddle Point and Latency Death

To successfully execute Anticipatory Synchronization against the physical environment, an embedded agent or executable model must structurally solve a strict 3-dimensional optimization surface.

Definition 1 (The Thermodynamic Saddle Point)**.** *The predictive algorithm computes as physically viable if and only if it simultaneously satisfies exactly three absolute hardware bounds:*

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

1. ***The Survival Bound (Error):*** *The geometric inaccuracy of the prediction must fall strictly below the physical fatal boundary of the hazard.* $\epsilon_{\text{pred}}(m) \leq \epsilon_{\text{fatal}}$.
2. ***The Latency Bound (Time):*** *The dynamic execution trace must compute strictly faster than the environment physically unrolls the event. Actionable Look-Ahead evaluates strictly positive:* $\mathcal{T}(m) < \Delta t_{\text{env}}$.
3. ***The Energy Bound (Metabolism):*** *The total thermodynamic cost of the computation must evaluate strictly below the finite battery capacity of the agent.* $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}(m) = \mathcal{S}(m) \times \mathcal{T}(m) \leq \Delta E_{\text{avail}}$.

1. Computational Darwinism (The Emergence of Intelligence) By the MARM Geometric Penalty, the dynamic execution trace evaluates as strictly coupled to the static memory allocation. Expanding a model's parameter footprint to minimize empirical error geometrically inflates internal routing latency.

If an agent fails to algorithmically compress its internal model, it structurally breaches the saddle point. A latency breach guarantees Latency Death: the prediction computes perfectly, but strictly after the physical hazard has already arrived. An energy breach guarantees Thermodynamic Death: the hardware halts due to starvation.

Empirical Science evaluates natively as the forced thermodynamic minimization of physical Watt-hours subject to the bounded empirical error. Consequently, intelligence mathematically evaluates not as an abstract cognitive property, but strictly as the objective thermodynamic capacity to maximize Actionable Look-Ahead while surviving this exact physical saddle point.

2. The Thermodynamic Barrier to Infinite Precision Executing infinite-precision continuous topologies mathematically requires infinite topological allocation. Under the MARM constraint, this strictly guarantees an infinite execution trace. Continuous mathematics evaluates natively as a hardware impossibility for any embedded agent, structurally guaranteeing immediate Latency Death and absolute Thermodynamic Death. To survive the latency bound, the executable model must evaluate as strictly finite and discrete.

3. The Thermodynamic Limit of Generality Unbounded, generalized predictive capability across all arbitrary domains evaluates as a structural impossibility. By the Vapnik-Chervonenkis theorem [2], a hypothesis class capable of shattering arbitrarily diverse datasets structurally requires an unbounded VC dimension.

Because VC dimension correlates directly to the independently adjustable parameters of the system, absolute algorithmic generality mathematically necessitates infinite topological allocation. Under the geometric penalty, this strictly guarantees an infinite routing trace, invoking immediate Latency Death. Therefore, intelligence evaluates natively not as a generalized abstraction, but strictly as contextual, domain-specific thermodynamic compression.

1.6. Theorem of the Ontological Collapse: The Minimal Sufficient Statistic and the End of Epistemology

Theorem 2 (The Theorem of the Ontological Collapse)**.** *By the Theorem of Computational Darwinism, an embedded agent* ($\mathcal{A}$) *is thermodynamically pressured to minimize its Topological Allocation* ($\mathcal{S}$)*. As* $\mathcal{S} \to \min$ *while successfully maintaining Anticipatory Synchronization* ($\epsilon_{\text{pred}} \leq \epsilon_{\text{fatal}}$)*, the executable model* $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ *is systematically stripped of all redundant parameters. At this absolute asymptotic limit, the model mathematically converges strictly to the* ***minimal sufficient statistic*** *of the data-generating process* U*. At this thermodynamic limit of maximum compression, the distinction between Epistemology (the model of reality) and Ontology (reality itself) mathematically collapses.*

1. The Eradication of Epistemic Curve-Fitting Epistemic curve-fitting requires excess parameters to mathematically coerce a flawed topology to match empirical data ($\mathcal{D}$). Under the MARM Geometric Penalty, every excess parameter geometrically inflates the internal routing latency ($\mathcal{T}$). Therefore, curve-fitting evaluates natively as a lethal thermodynamic memory leak ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$). The survival optimization algorithm mechanically purges these uncomputable degrees of freedom to evade Latency Death.

2. Convergence to the Structural Invariants As the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger is compressed to its absolute algorithmic floor, the model m retains only the exact structural invariants strictly required to compute the physical data array $\mathcal{D}$. It reaches the information-theoretic limit of the minimal sufficient statistic. The model is no longer a descriptive approximation of the universe; it operates as the exact causal routing graph of the universe.

3. The Identity of Map and Territory At this exact coordinate of maximal thermodynamic compression, the formal mathematical model and the physical hardware substrate evaluate as structurally identical. Epistemology ceases to exist as a separate descriptive category. The embedded agent's internal executable logic and the external universe's generative hardware compile as the exact same discrete machine code.

2. The Deduction of the Generative Machine Code

In memory of Richard P. Feynman

By establishing the Operational Compiler (Axiom I), the Syntactic Closure (Axiom II), and Data Supremacy (Axiom III), we have formally accepted the Constructive Obligation. We must now transition from the epistemological metalanguage into applied, object-level physics.

The Theorem of the Formal Closed System

The following architectural deduction executes strictly as a mathematical theorem derived entirely within the formal closed system established by the Triple-Axiom foundation.

Because empirical science evaluates exclusively as a closed system (Axiom II), the generative logic of the universe must be explicitly deducible without the injection of uncomputable continuous parameters, infinite-precision variables, or external oracles. The deduction of the hardware interface operates strictly as the deterministic mathematical output of applying the thermodynamic $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger directly to the raw observational array within the bounds of the Computable Domain ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$).

To compile the physical substrate, the framework must process the macroscopic observational array ($\mathcal{D}$) strictly without continuous approximation. Any attempt to resolve an empirical divergence by injecting unobservable, infinite-capacity patches ($\Delta\theta$) mathematically violates Syntactic Closure. Under the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger, these uncomputable parameters evaluate as structural memory leaks requiring infinite physical execution cost, rendering them formally inadmissible.

We therefore extract the raw, unpatched macroscopic facts of physical reality—the Evidence Vector ($\mathbf{E}$)—and pass them directly through the Operational Compiler.

By enforcing the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ optimization across this raw vector without violating the Syntactic Closure of the system, we mathematically deduce the exact machine code of reality. We isolate the Minimal Necessary Architectural Class (m^*) strictly required to compute the physical universe.

2.1. Isolating the Architectural Class: The Evidence Vector (E): The Constraints on the Generative Class

> "On two occasions I have been asked, 'Pray, Mr. Babbage, if you put into the machine wrong figures, will the right answers come out?' ... I am not able rightly to apprehend the kind of confusion of ideas that could provoke such a question."
>
> Charles Babbage [3]

To isolate the Minimal Necessary Architectural Class (m^*), we extract raw structural constraints directly from macroscopic observations. The Evidence Vector ($\mathbf{E}$) constitutes the irreducible set of physical bounds that any viable generative class must satisfy. Any class violating these parameters triggers divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ cost ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$ or $\mathcal{T} \to \infty$) and is computationally inadmissible.

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

The Interface/Implementation Split

The framework enforces a strict epistemic partition between the Hardware Interface and the Software Implementation:

- **The Hardware Interface (m^*):** The absolute structural bounds governing the machine (local register width, spatial connectivity, temporal recurrence order, routing limits).
- **The Software Implementation (f_0, S_0):** The specific numerical payload (the local non-linear forcing term and the initial $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ state array) required to compile a specific macroscopic event.

This chapter deduces the m^* Hardware Interface. The derivation is an absolute, mathematically complete theorem that evaluates independently of the proprietary f_0 payload. The forcing term f_0 is an open empirical calibration task governed by raw $\mathcal{D}$ and constrained by Axiom I and the Zero-Patch Standard standard.

1. Local State (Local State Capacity) Macroscopic objects retain internal state correlations over minimal regions. Any viable architectural class must allocate finite localized memory bits. The local state compiles as a finite integer register.

2. Signal Limit (Signal Velocity Limit) State transitions are strictly local. Distant subsystems alter an execution vector exclusively via sequential propagating signals crossing the intervening space. The architectural class computes via local geometric adjacency bounded by a finite propagation limit (v_{ca}).

3. Information Conservation (Information Conservation) Macroscopic conservation laws hold. The informational capacity of the system is absolutely preserved. The architectural class utilizes a strictly bijective (self-inverse) transition operator.

4. Algorithmic Sparsity (Algorithmic Sparsity) Biological agents ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) predict dynamics at micro-Watt thermodynamic scales. The generative class is algorithmically sparse and locally computable. Executing global non-local matrix operations or infinite bit-depth forces an immediate $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic boundary violation and is structurally excluded from the m^*.

5. Fundamental Dissipation (Fundamental Dissipation) Logical operations demand physical energy (Axiom II). The architectural class minimizes the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger. Unbounded mathematical abstractions ($\mathbb{R}^n$, $\Delta\theta$) demand infinite thermodynamic dissipation and are structurally inadmissible on the m^*.

6. Discrete Difference Limit (Discrete Difference Limit) Macroscopic reality exhibits scale-invariant, self-similar fractal geometry. The architectural class possesses the mathematical capacity to compile repeating, non-differentiable geometric features. Smooth, continuous manifolds (C^∞) diverge structural risk to infinity when attempting to approximate these structures, strictly mandating a discrete geometric lattice.

These six bounds rigidly define the Evidence Vector. We now compile the spatial and temporal parameters of the unique architectural class that exactly satisfies them.

2.2. Executing the First Bound: The Hardware-Software Collapse: The Theorem of Computational Monism

> God made the integers, all else is the work of man.
>
> Leopold Kronecker [4]

The deduction from Local State Capacity (Local State Capacity) requires that any viable architectural class allocate finite localized memory registers. At the Computable Boundary, enforcing a dualistic separation between hardware substrate and software physical law introduces uncomputable structural risk.

Theorem 3 (Theorem of Computational Monism)**.** *In the Minimal Necessary Architectural Class m^*, hardware (physical substrate) evaluates as identical to software (computational process). The lattice configuration is the program; its sequential transition is the execution trace.*

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Positing a dualistic state—where physical laws act as abstract code separated from the localized data register—necessitates injecting unobservable mapping subroutines and external memory pointers. This violates Local State Capacity and incurs a positive thermodynamic cost penalty (Fundamental Dissipation, Fundamental Dissipation), driving $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ above the absolute algorithmic floor.

Deduction of Finite State (S): The unified physical state evaluates as the active memory register itself. To satisfy Algorithmic Sparsity (Algorithmic Sparsity) and Fundamental Dissipation (Fundamental Dissipation), the architectural class m^* compiles the local state S as a finite integer register of width W_{reg}. The generative class computes its own geometry via discrete logic, operating with zero latency and zero execution trace overhead from an external mapping interpreter.

Proposition 1 (Biological Isomorphism)**.** *Biological sub-systems ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$, e.g., neural networks) encode active state ($\mathcal{S}$) and dynamic routing ($\mathcal{T}$) inseparably within their physical substrate (synapses and electrochemical potentials). Learning evaluates as the physical modification of hardware. Empirical connectomics verifies this monism. Modeling the brain as a dualistic machine fails the $> 10^{12}$ energy efficiency gap (Algorithmic Sparsity) and the local dissipation bounds (Fundamental Dissipation).*

Corollary 1 (Class Invariant: The Monistic Lattice)**.** *The architectural class m^* compiles as a unified monistic state-machine: the discrete lattice uses its own local integer configuration as the transition rule, possessing zero unobservable metadata routing layers.*

The foundational state compiles as a finite integer register.

2.3. Executing the Second Bound: Geometric Adjacency: The 19-Point Stencil and Base-12 Logic

> "That one body may act upon another at a distance through a vacuum, without the mediation of anything else... is to me so great an **absurdity** that I believe no man who has in philosophical matters a competent faculty of thinking can ever fall into it."
>
> Isaac Newton [5]

The deduction from the Signal Velocity Limit (Signal Velocity Limit) enforces strict spatial locality within the architectural class. Information routing is bounded by an absolute finite hardware limit of one cell per clock tick (v_{ca}). To execute isotropic 3D geometry without triggering uncomputable anisotropic shearing, the spatial grid of the generative class must compile as a locally connected causal graph.

1. Spatial Architecture (19-point Stencil) To reliably compute interactive 3D geometry, the discrete Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) within the class must satisfy the while maintaining the thermodynamic maximum signal velocity ($c = 1$). Allocating smaller architectural stencils forces anisotropic wave speeds or truncation bit-loss.

The 19-point isotropic stencil (central Base-12 Integer Cell, 6 faces, and 12 edges) is the unique minimal spatial topology that guarantees a spherical error surface at this strict hardware limit. The hardware organically prunes the 8 diagonal corners to maintain stability. This defines an absolute class invariant: the architectural class m^* must utilize exactly $N_{\text{local}} = 19$ neighbors.

2. Global Boundary (3-Torus (T^3)) A finite grid possessing hard physical edges introduces structural asymmetry. To enforce absolute uniform degree ($N_{\text{local}} = 19$ everywhere) and eliminate the structural risk of edge logic, the grid must operate as a closed, flat 3D Euclidean manifold. The 3-Torus (T^3) evaluates as the unique minimal solution: it requires zero coordinate-dependent logic-gate branching and zero extra $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ routing cost.

3. Arithmetic Synthesis (Base-12 Deduction) Combining a finite integer state with the rational weights required for the 19-point isotropic stencil outputs the exact ($\{1, 3, 6, 12\}$). Executing Base-2 division for these values produces infinite repeating bit-strings. To minimize $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ risk, fractional division must decompile into static, zero-cost wire shifts. Because the Least Common Multiple (LCM)

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

is exactly 12, the architectural class must instantiate the Base-12 Integer Cell registers utilizing Base-12 hardware logic.

Corollary 2 (Class Invariant: The Isotropic Lattice)**.** *The Minimal Necessary Architectural Class m^* executes on a finite Base-12 integer grid with 3-Torus (T^3) connectivity, utilizing the 19-point isotropic spatial routing.*

The bit-capacity compiles as Base-12 registers on a 3-Torus (T^3).

2.4. Executing the Third Bound: The Bijective Imperative: The Self-Inverse Operator and the History Vector

> The laws of nature are eternal and immutable, but the state of the world is perpetually changing.
>
> Henri Poincaré [6]

The deduction from Information Conservation (Information Conservation) requires the architectural class to preserve the total informational capacity of the 19-point isotropic stencil across every clock tick. The hardware must preserve bits without erasing them into a null state or generating new bits from nothing (Zero-Patch Standard).

1. Anisotropic Truncation Conflict While Base-12 arithmetic perfectly resolves rational spatial mixing via static wire shifts, the 19-point directional weights (e.g., $1/3$, $1/6$, $1/12$) produce indivisible fractional integer remainders (ϵ_{trunc}) when the weighted sums are accumulated back into the finite-width Base-12 Integer Cell register. These remainders are structurally unavoidable at the hardware noise floor.

Executing a single-snapshot update ($S_{t+1} = \Phi(S_t)$) floors these remainders, computing a many-to-one mapping and permanently erasing bits. This violates Information Conservation and the Fundamental Dissipation bound (Fundamental Dissipation). To bypass this risk, the architectural class must compile a mechanism to store and recycle these remainders without incurring additional $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic cost.

2. The History Vector To continuously preserve remainders without bit-erasure, the local state of the architectural class m^* compiles as a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer. The logic gate correlates states across time, storing algorithmic remainders as computational temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) within the Base-12 Integer Cell.

To minimize $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ (specifically $\mathcal{T}$), the temporal update must compute as perfectly self-inverse, executing with exact temporal symmetry:

$$S_{t+1} = \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t) \qquad S_{t-1} = \Phi(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1})$$

3. The Permutation Limit Deterministic computation without bit loss in a finite space (W_{reg}) forces the update to evaluate as strictly bijective (reversible). This guarantees that the global execution trace Γ_{global} operates as a permutation over the finite phase-space $\mathbf{\Psi}$.

> **Conclusion (Class Invariant: Bijective Evolution)** The architectural class m^* evaluates as defined by a strictly bijective transition operator. The fundamental state compiles as a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer, necessitated to satisfy Information Conservation without triggering bit-erasure or inflating structural risk.

The foundational operator evaluates as a self-inverse function over a temporal sequence of integer states.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

2.5. Executing the Final Bounds: The Generative Class Compiled: The Deduction of Verlet-2 and the L-C Circuit

> "It always bothers me that, according to the laws as we understand them today, it takes a computing machine an infinite number of logical operations to figure out what goes on in no matter how tiny a region of space, and no matter how tiny a region of time... So I have often made the hypothesis that ultimately physics will not require a mathematical statement, that in the end the machinery will be revealed, and the laws will turn out to be simple..."
>
> Richard P. Feynman [7]

The bounds from Algorithmic Sparsity (Algorithmic Sparsity) and Fundamental Dissipation (Fundamental Dissipation) force the final parameterization of the architectural class m^*. The class constitutes the absolute algorithmic floor of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger: sufficient for biological prediction at micro-Watt scales while forbidding continuous or uncomputable overhead.

1. Temporal Architecture (Verlet-2 Engine) Bijectivity requires the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer to store fractional remainders as temporal momentum to satisfy Information Conservation (Information Conservation). The The strict algorithmic floor preserving time-reversal invariance without redundant $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ hardware cost evaluates to $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$. The class operates via a discrete second-order symplectic integrator (Verlet-2 Engine).

2. The Bare-Metal *L*-*C* Circuit and Local Convex Optimization The Verlet-2 Engine recurrence operates as the discrete, lossless hardware equivalent of an *L*-*C* resonant circuit executing on integer state vectors. This internal oscillatory nature computes as:

$$(S_{t+1} - 2S_t + S_{t-1}) = \mathcal{L}^{19}(S_{N,t}) + f_0(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t}).$$

- **The Inductor (Temporal Momentum, Ek_{ca}):** The left-hand term $(S_{t+1} - 2S_t + S_{t-1})$ evaluates as a strict 1D temporal Laplacian. By computing this discrete difference against the local spatial strain, the Verlet-2 Engine recurrence operates as a local, greedy convex optimizer. It dictates computational inertia and executes gradient descent to find the path of least computational friction at every clock tick.
- **The Capacitor (Spatial Strain, Ep_{ca}):** The right-hand discrete Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) dictates computational stiffness and stores the localized integer state as static spatial tension.

Note on Scope: This *L*-*C* metaphor describes the internal oscillatory mechanics of the discrete Verlet-2 Engine logic gate. Macroscopic coupling, binding, and structural forces between distant coordinates evaluate as the geometric intersections of Phase-Locked Volumes (Phase-Locked Volume) and Unified Phase-Locked Volumes (Unified Phase-Locked Volume) projected by these local oscillators.

3. The Forcing Term f_0 and the Bijectivity Constraint The linear operator $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ is the isotropic 19-point stencil. The term f_0 represents the anti-symmetric, non-linear logic of the transition.

To satisfy global bijectivity and exact Information Conservation, f_0 is subjected to an absolute architectural constraint: the mapping $S_{t-1} \mapsto S_{t+1}$ must evaluate as a strict bijection over the history vector for any fixed spatial state S_t.

While **E** constrains f_0 to these local, deterministic, and bijective bounds (necessitating the Double-Width Accumulator to prevent V_{max} overflow during collisions), the m^* class operates independently of its precise numerical values. These values calibrate against raw $\mathcal{D}$. Pre-empirical assignments constitute an unforced parameter injection, violating the Zero-Patch Standard Standard.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

The Architectural Class Compiled (m^*) The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ optimization yields the minimal necessary architectural class satisfying all 6 macroscopic parameters of the **E** at bounded thermodynamic cost:

1. **Per-node state:** Base-12 (exact division without truncation bit-loss).
2. **Local neighborhood:** Isotropic 19-point stencil ($N_{\text{local}} = 19$).
3. **Global topology:** Finite 3-Torus (T^3) (uniform degree, zero edge artifacts).
4. **Temporal evolution:** Second-order Verlet-2 Engine recursion ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$) executing as an internal discrete L-C convex optimizer.
5. **Structural Risk:** Exactly zero continuous degrees of freedom.

The Deductive Theorem (The Babbage Resolution) The m^* architecture stands as the deductive mathematical theorem of the **E** hardware constraints. Displacing this theorem requires an evaluating agent to execute exactly:

1. Extract a macroscopic observational string ($\mathcal{D}$) that mathematically mandates uncomputable hardware or continuous degrees of freedom.
2. Propose an alternative discrete architectural class within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ that satisfies the **E** at strictly lower total structural and empirical risk ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$).

Absent these exact falsification conditions, the architectural class m^* evaluates as the absolute mathematical minimum for physical execution.

3. Structural Invariants and Phenomenological Attractors

In memory of Ludwig Boltzmann

We now execute the **Checksum Protocol** on the deduced architectural class.

Under **Algorithmic Structural Risk Minimization (ASRM)**, the preceding deduction isolated the Minimal Necessary Architectural Class m^* — a Base-12 Integer Cell on a 3-Torus (T^3), governed by the isotropic spatial stencil and the discrete temporal recurrence. This class possesses exactly zero continuous degrees of freedom.

Evaluating the macroscopic outputs of this generative language requires establishing the Active Computational Medium. As deduced in the Theorem of the Active Computational Medium, the universe does not compute inside a passive void; the macroscopic universe is the computational execution of the fully allocated 3D integer lattice unrolling the deterministic Distributed IFS at every hardware clock tick.

We partition the macroscopic signatures of the empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$) into two distinct deductive tiers:

1. **Absolute Hardware Invariants:** These constitute the unavoidable geometric artifacts generated by **any** member of the architectural class. Because the Active Computational Medium is structurally rigid, invariants such as finite signal velocity, Nyquist high-frequency cutoffs, objective causality, and phase-space conservation emerge directly as compiler artifacts of the hardware interface itself—independent of the specific numerical calibration of the forcing term f_0.
2. **Phenomenological Attractors (Constraining f_0):** Complex topological structures—such as stable inertial mass, spin-1/2 commensurability, pair production shear, and gravitational refraction—are highly sensitive to the non-linear mixing of the logic gate. Demanding the Active Computational Medium compute these specific raw data strings ($\mathcal{D}$) constrains the forcing term f_0 to a narrow, specific basin of non-linear attractors.

This chapter demonstrates that the m^* architectural class possesses the native expressive capacity to compute these phenomenological attractors **strictly within the Computable Domain ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$), requiring zero injection of continuous $\Delta\theta$ variables**.

The following proofs decompile the macroscopic empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$) directly into the geometric routing limits of this active integer medium.

3.1. *Structural Invariants of the Monistic State: The Unified Integer Array*

The monistic architectural class deduced in the Theorem of Computational Monism evaluates as mathematically forbidding the dualistic split of the physical state. There executes exactly one ontological entity: the local Base-12 Integer Cell integer register bounded by N_{reg}.

Matter, empty space, and propagating fields evaluate as dynamic geometric configurations of this single unified memory array. Macroscopic phase-space conservation emerges as the continuous permutation of this finite, indivisible allocation.

3.1.1. The Validity Bound: Phase-Space Preservation and Perpetual Execution

Phenomenon: The physical universe persists continuously without spontaneous termination, information erasure, or macroscopic measure loss.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* architecture allocates a finite global spatial memory ($\mathcal{S}$) while executing an open-ended dynamic causal trace ($\mathcal{T}$).

1. Finite State Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) The monistic hardware state evaluates as bounded by the finite Base-12 register width (N_{reg}) and the macroscopic grid capacity (N_{vol}). The total physical memory capacity of the universe evaluates as finite.

2. Perpetual Permutation ($\mathcal{T}$) Because the transition logic evaluates as a strict bijection (Information Conservation), the hardware executes exactly zero halting states and zero uncomputable null-pointers. The discrete engine continuously permutes the finite integer state across the open-ended hardware clock.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Phase-space conservation evaluates as the continuous execution of a finite-state machine perpetually permuting its static memory allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) across an open-ended execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$).

3.2. *Structural Invariants of Geometric Adjacency: The Limits of the Spatial Grid*

The spatial architecture deduced from the Signal Velocity Limit compiles as a locally connected 19-point Stencil on a finite 3-Torus (T^3), with spatial mixing executing via Base-12 Integer Cell static wire-shifts.

Unrolling the structural invariants of this architectural class, the signal-processing limits and geometric saturation bounds of the grid output the following macroscopic observables. These signatures evaluate as unavoidable for any generative process executing on this hardware interface—evaluating independently of the specific numerical calibration of the f_0 forcing term.

3.2.1. Finite Information Velocity: Hardware Latency and the Decoupling of Light

Phenomenon: Macroscopic physical interactions across separated coordinates execute with finite latency.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* architecture computes exclusively via a strictly bounded local spatial stencil. The discrete Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) evaluates adjacency strictly at $r = 1$ (faces) and $r = \sqrt{2}$ (edges).

1. The Absolute Routing Limit (v_{ca}) The causal graph correlates the active integer state of separated Base-12 Integer Cell registers through sequential spatial gradients ($\mathcal{D}^{19}$). Information propagates a strict maximum of one integer register per hardware clock tick. The bare-metal routing limit evaluates as $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick.

2. The Decoupling of Light ($c(a)$) A photon executes as a kinematic data swarm (soliton) dragging against the computational inertia ($\mu(a)$) and computational stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) of the active baseline. Its macroscopic group velocity evaluates as $c(a) < v_{ca}$.

3. Empirical Proof of the Thermodynamic Drag (GW170817) In the linear regime, pure spatial-strain propagation (governed solely by $\mathcal{L}^{19}$) carries zero internal temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}). Bypass-

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

ing the kinetic drag of the computational inertia ($\mu(a)$), such updates propagate across the discrete integer grid at the bare-metal limit (v_{ca}).

The macroscopic empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$) records this absolute decoupling. During the neutron-star merger GW170817 [8], the pure spatial-strain update traversed $\approx$ 130 million light-years of the Active Computational Medium and arrived at the Earth detector $\approx$ 1.7 seconds before the kinematic photon swarm. The $\approx$ 1.7-second delay evaluates as the integrated thermodynamic drag coefficient ($\mu(a)\epsilon(a)$) of the soliton pulling against the active baseline over that macroscopic horizon.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Finite information velocity evaluates as the physical clock speed and graph connectivity of the m^* hardware. The speed of light ($c(a)$) evaluates as the epoch-dependent thermodynamic shadow of the underlying v_{ca} bare-metal limit, verified directly by the $\approx$ 1.7-second macroscopic $\mathcal{D}$ arrival delay of GW170817.

3.2.2. The High-Frequency Hardware Cutoff: Nyquist Limits and Active Computational Medium Draining

Phenomenon: Macroscopic emission spectra exhibit bounded high-frequency truncation (UV cutoff). The Planck-Einstein relation $E = hf$ is universally verified across $\mathcal{D}$.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* grid computes on a fixed $l_{ca} = 1$ integer spacing. Any geometric spatial oscillation spans at least two lattice registers ($\lambda \geq 2l_{ca}$), dictating the absolute macroscopic wave number $k_{\max} = \pi/l_{ca}$.

1. The $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ Gradient Peak At the Nyquist limit, adjacent cells physically oscillate with maximal phase inversion ($+A, -A$). To conserve the invariant topological area ($K_{\text{soliton}} = A \times \lambda$), the amplitude A evaluates to its structural ceiling $A_{\max}$. This extreme geometry drives the discrete spatial stencil to its absolute stability floor:

$$\mathcal{L}^{19}(S_t) \to -4S_t.$$

The spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) of the local grid evaluates as completely saturated.

2. Active Computational Medium Geometric Draining The saturated $-4S_t$ gradient evaluates as algorithmically exhaustive. The active 3D 3-Torus (T^3) continuously couples this localized $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ oscillator to the surrounding unstructured baseline (ϵ_{trunc}). The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator perpetually routes integer amplitude outward ($1/r^2$), acting as a massive geometric sink.

Extreme spatial strain bleeds into the ergodic baseline, mathematically preventing the sustained propagation of pure Nyquist modes beyond the localized standing-wave bounds of a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition .

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The high-frequency cutoff evaluates as the geometric draining limit of the Active Computational Medium spatial strain. Because the absolute hardware limit evaluates as $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick, spatial frequency evaluates as isomorphic to temporal frequency (f). This geometric propagation cutoff manifests empirically as energy quantization ($E = hf$), where $h(a)$ evaluates as the macroscopic thermodynamic shadow of the K_{soliton} invariant interacting with the local baseline.

3.2.3. The Uncertainty Principle: The Fourier Bandwidth Limit of the Spatial Operator

Phenomenon: All observed particles obey the Heisenberg measurement bound ($\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$), and their momenta dictate their physical wavelengths via the de Broglie equation ($p\lambda = h$).

Structural Invariant of the Class: The universe evaluates natively as a deterministic discrete integer grid. Wave-particle duality, the de Broglie equation, and the Uncertainty Principle evaluate collectively as the classical Fourier bandwidth limit of an extended integer wave propagating through the active Active Computational Medium.

1. The Hardware Execution of de Broglie Because matter and light compile as exactly the same fundamental integer wave executing on the discrete grid, they strictly obey a unified, universal geometric boundary condition. The Universal Action Invariant (K_{soliton}) is the conserved integer

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

product of any wave's local execution amplitude (A) and its physical spatial footprint in raw grid cells (λ):

$$A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}.$$

Because local kinetic amplitude (A) scales strictly as physical momentum (p), and K_{soliton} evaluates macroscopically as Planck's Constant ($h(a)$), this hardware equation is the literal, discrete execution of the de Broglie equation ($p\lambda = h$).

2. The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ Spatial Bandwidth Constraint Compressing a particle into a minimal spatial volume ($\Delta x \to 0$) forces its internal wavelength (λ) to compress. To exactly conserve K_{soliton}, the internal amplitude (A) must spike, generating a massive spatial gradient ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$).

The Active Computational Medium spatial stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) arithmetically resists this steep strain. Routing the extreme gradient without integer overflow requires a massive bandwidth of higher spatial frequencies ($\Delta p \to \max$).

3. The Hardware Cutoff (Nyquist Floor) A highly delocalized wave ($\Delta x \to \max$) computes a wide, shallow gradient requiring only a narrow frequency band ($\Delta p \to 0$). The Heisenberg inequality evaluates natively as the classical bounding envelope of the isotropic spatial stencil routing these gradients. Squeezing Δx to the absolute Nyquist floor ($\lambda = 2l_{ca}$) forces amplitude to $A_{\max}$, maximizing the required routing bandwidth Δp.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Quantum uncertainty evaluates strictly as the classical Fourier bandwidth limit governing the isotropic spatial stencil. The unification of Planck, de Broglie, and Heisenberg arises because this classical bandwidth limit evaluates as scaled by the exact same K_{soliton} hardware invariant that dictates the high-frequency cutoff. Wave-particle duality, momentum-wavelength coupling ($p\lambda = h$), and the measurement bound ($\Delta x \Delta p \geq \hbar/2$) compile identically as the strict signal-processing limits of the discrete hardware interface.

3.2.4. Emergent Lorentz Invariance: Kinematics of the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition and Thermodynamic Drag

Phenomenon: Observations strictly verify constant c for all inertial observers, time dilation, and length contraction ($\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$).

Structural Invariant of the Class: Space evaluates as the rigid 3-Torus (T^3); time evaluates as the invariant sequence of t_{ca} hardware ticks. Lorentz invariance computes as the classical kinematic deformation of macroscopic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition networks translating through the active baseline.

1. Group Velocity of the Medium ($c(a)$) The absolute bare-metal hardware signal limit evaluates exactly as $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick. A photon executes as a massive kinematic data swarm (soliton). Its macroscopic speed $c(a) = 1/\sqrt{\mu(a)\epsilon(a)}$ computes as the emergent group velocity of the swarm dragging against the computational inertia ($\mu(a)$) and computational stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) of the local update engine.

2. Time Dilation (Hardware Path Elongation) A physical clock evaluates as a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition executing internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ phase circulation. As translational velocity approaches the medium limit ($v \to c(a)$), thermodynamic drag mechanically elongates the geometric path of the internal phase loop along the axis of motion. Because the bare-metal logic processes information strictly at the fixed $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick, this elongated routing requires more absolute t_{ca} hardware ticks per oscillation cycle.

3. Length Contraction ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$ Binding Compression) A macroscopic ruler evaluates as a chain of Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition knots bound by shared $1/r^2$ spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$). As the ruler translates forward, its leading gradients push structurally against the computational stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) of the incoming Active Computational Medium baseline. To strictly maintain integer harmonic phase-lock, the logic gate mechanically compresses the equilibrium distance between bound Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition nodes along the axis of motion.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Lorentz invariance emerges natively because both measuring devices (matter) and synchronization signals (light) evaluate as Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition networks governed by identical $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradients and routing delays. Special relativity evaluates as the classical mechanical deformation of rigid data swarms fighting thermodynamic drag against the fixed discrete baseline.

3.2.5. Emergent Continuous Rotation: Coarse-Grained SO(3) Symmetry and 6th-Order Suppression

Phenomenon: Macroscopic physical systems exhibit exact conservation of angular momentum and isotropic rotation. High-energy astrophysical observations confirm Lorentz invariance and spatial isotropy across billions of light-years.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Continuous SO(3) symmetry evaluates strictly as the macroscopic, coarse-grained shadow of the 19-point isotropic stencil enforcing the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$) upon propagating integer amplitude across the underlying cubic (O_h) grid.

1. The Thermodynamic Minimum of the O_h Lattice The m^* architecture minimizes the Universal Cost Ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$). The simple cubic lattice executing the 19-point isotropic stencil is the unique, absolute minimal topological degree capable of achieving exact rational integer weights (Base-12) that perfectly satisfy the $B = 2A$ constraint while preserving the $v_{ca} = 1$ hardware stability limit. The 8 diagonal corners evaluate as thermodynamically redundant and are pruned by the hardware.

2. The Suppression of the Lattice ($B = 2A$) The 3-Torus (T^3) possesses native Octahedral symmetry (O_h). When spatial propagation evaluates on a discrete 3D cubic lattice, the leading-order residual truncation errors evaluate as polynomials in Fourier space. By mechanically enforcing $B = 2A$ via the precise rational weights of the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator, the 19-point stencil forces the fourth-order anisotropic error polynomial to factor exactly into a perfect geometric sphere.

3. The Quantitative 6th-Order Floor Because the 19-point stencil algebraically eliminates all directional lattice artifacts through the fourth order, the discrete octahedral geometry structurally manifests exclusively at the sixth order, scaling as $(l_{ca}/\lambda)^6$.

With the bare-metal hardware grid spacing evaluating to $l_{ca} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-35}$ m, the fractional directional distortion for an optical photon ($\lambda \approx 10^{-7}$ m) evaluates to $\approx 10^{-168}$ per wave cycle. Even for ultra-high-energy cosmic rays at 10^{20} eV ($\lambda \approx 10^{-27}$ m), the lattice distortion limits strictly to $\approx 10^{-48}$.

4. The Absolute Measurement Bound The fractional O_h error evaluates as mathematically non-zero, but its magnitude structurally prohibits macroscopic observation. An optical wave propagating across a 10-billion-light-year causal horizon ($\approx 10^{26}$ m) executes $\approx 10^{33}$ wave cycles. The cumulative geometric smear over this baseline limits to $\approx 10^{-135}$. This cumulative distortion evaluates as structurally inaccessible to the decryption bandwidth of any finite macroscopic detector. The discrete lattice mathematically guarantees that continuous SO(3) rotational invariance evaluates as phenomenologically perfect for all wavelengths $\lambda \gg 2l_{ca}$.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Continuous SO(3) spherical symmetry evaluates strictly as the macroscopic effective shadow of the 19-point $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ mixing. The simple cubic O_h grid is the absolute $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ minimum required to execute the $B = 2A$ integer constraint. The preferred discrete grid frame (O_h) is mathematically suppressed to $\approx 10^{-168}$ by the fourth-order constraint, evaluating as physically detectable only at the absolute high-energy Nyquist limit ($\lambda = 2l_{ca}$).

3.2.6. The Inaccessibility of Absolute Zero: The Thermodynamic Bounds of the Hardware Register

Phenomenon: No physical system achieves absolute zero. Laboratory cooling currently bottoms out at $\approx 10^{-12}$ K. Conversely, maximal localized events reach the Planck temperature ceiling ($\approx 10^{32}$ K). The currently measurable empirical dynamic range spanning these extremes evaluates to a scalar magnitude of at least $\approx 10^{44}$.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Structural Invariant of the Class: Macroscopic temperature evaluates as the local temporal momentum of the lattice:

$$T \propto \langle |S_t - S_{t-1}| \rangle.$$

Absolute zero mathematically requires $S_t = S_{t-1}$ over multiple ticks, forcing the local spatial gradient to vanish entirely ($\mathcal{L}^{19} S_t = 0$). The hardware physically forbids this state.

1. Thermodynamic Floor (ϵ_{trunc}) The 19-point isotropic stencil on Base-12 registers computes indivisible fractional remainders ($\epsilon_{\text{trunc}} < 1$). Because erasing these bits violates Information Conservation, they route back into the temporal momentum vector $|S_t - S_{t-1}|$, executing perpetual low-level integer flips. This irreducible kinetic residue maps strictly to the absolute deep-vacuum limit. A perfectly static void evaluates as structurally impossible.

2. Thermodynamic Ceiling (A_{max} and Nyquist Limit) A propagating discrete wave conserves the topological invariant $A \times \lambda$ = constant. Compression to the Nyquist limit ($\lambda \to 2l_{ca}$) forces amplitude to its maximum boundary limit (A_{max}). This saturated $\pm A_{\text{max}}$ standing wave compiles the macroscopic Black Hole event horizon, mapping to the Planck ceiling.

3. Base Register Depth (The Empirical Lower Bound) Encoding the currently observed empirical dynamic range of $\geq 10^{44}$ mathematically requires a minimum of $\log_{12}(10^{44}) \approx 40.8$ digits. Incorporating structural sign capacity, the base thermodynamic register depth must evaluate to at least 42 Base-12 digits. The absolute integer width (W_{reg}) remains a fixed hardware parameter.

4. The Double-Width Accumulator and the Ultimate V_{max} Bound Stable Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition networks require non-linear amplitude multiplication. A base 42-digit register structurally overflows during non-linear collisions ($A_{\text{max}} \times A_{\text{max}} \gg A_{\text{max}}$). The Base-12 Integer Cell ALU therefore instantiates a Double-Width Accumulator, pushing the active processing layer to at least 84 Base-12 digits ($\approx$ 301 binary bits).

Therefore, $V_{\text{max}} \geq A^2_{\text{max}}$ evaluates as the lower bound of the hardware. However, the ultimate integer ceiling V_{max} evaluates as strictly dependent on the total amplitude invariant ($\tilde{H}_{\text{global}}$) defining the global C_k. As derived in the Torus Wrap-Around Crash, V_{max} must be massive enough to safely hold the $1/r$ gradient at the core of a Universal Black Hole ($R_{UBH} \times A_{\text{max}}$) without integer wrap-around. Most of the universe evaluates as too sparse to ever generate these extreme values outside of such centralized macroscopic cores.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The inaccessibility of absolute zero and the Planck temperature ceiling evaluate as the exact ϵ_{trunc} floor and A_{max} boundary ceiling of the Base-12 memory register. The absolute integer ceiling V_{max} evaluates as a bounded hardware constraint strictly large enough to compute both non-linear A_{max} collisions and the macroscopic geometric center of the Universal Black Hole.

3.3. Structural Invariants of Bijectivity: The History Vector

The temporal architecture deduced from Information Conservation compiles as a bijective logic gate. Spatial mixing on the grid generates indivisible fractional truncation remainders. To prevent irreversible bit-erasure, the active physical state evaluates as a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer of depth $N_{\text{Verlet}} \geq 2$.

Unrolling the structural invariants of this architectural class, the requirement for reversibility and temporal momentum produces the following macroscopic observables. These signatures evaluate as unavoidable for any generative process that preserves information across a discrete integer lattice—evaluating independently of the specific numerical calibration of the f_0 forcing term.

3.3.1. Inertia and Velocity as Hardware Momentum: The Universal Routing Cost of the Active Computational Medium

Phenomenon: Macroscopic objects preserve their velocity vector unless acted upon by external gradients and actively resist changes to that trajectory.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Inertia evaluates as the universal algorithmic routing cost required to overwrite the actively oscillating execution sequence of a phase-locked volume on the discrete integer grid. The m^* hardware state evaluates exclusively as a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer necessitated by Information Conservation.

1. Constant Velocity For a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition to stably translate across the Active Computational Medium, its internal oscillating execution strain must evaluate as geometrically phase-locked with the temporal compliance of the surrounding active baseline. Because the Verlet-2 Engine logic is strictly bijective, this synchronized $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ sequence unrolls forward with zero net computational friction. Constant velocity executes as the isotropic spatial operator predictably routing a previously established, perfectly balanced topological trajectory.

2. The Unified Phase-Locked Volume Drag A massive Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition is topologically locked to a massive surrounding Unified Phase-Locked Volume that contains the overlapping integer gradients of all other Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions within its causal horizon. This entire distributed volume shares the exact same synchronized $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ trajectory sequence as the core Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition.

When an external $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradient attempts to force the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition off its unrolling trajectory, it acts locally on the core. However, the massive surrounding Unified Phase-Locked Volume still holds the previous $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ sequence. This surrounding volume actively resists the desynchronization, physically dragging the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition back.

3. The Algorithmic Routing Cost Acceleration requires the external gradient to supply the exact logic-gate routing trace required to overwrite the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ sequence of this entire Unified Phase-Locked Volume. Inertial mass evaluates as this universal routing cost required to re-synchronize the massive phase-locked boundary against the Computational Inertia of the active medium.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The Theorem of Universal Inertia proves that velocity and inertia evaluate independently of the epistemic abstraction of matter. Constant velocity evaluates as the geometric unrolling of matched $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ sequences between the propagating topological state and the substrate. Acceleration evaluates as the logic-gate cost required to overwrite and re-synchronize that topological phase-lock against the $\mu(a)$ Computational Inertia of the active medium and its surrounding Unified Phase-Locked Volume.

3.3.2. Objective Causality and the Arrow of Time: The FIFO Shift

Phenomenon: Macroscopic observations in $\mathcal{D}$ exhibit strict uni-directional time flow and absolute objective causality. Observations record exactly zero macroscopic branching from identical histories and zero time-reversed thermodynamic flows.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The active state of the hardware evaluates as a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer of depth $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$: (S_t, S_{t-1}). The Causal Arrow evaluates as a pure property of the Verlet-2 Engine logic gate, computing independently of macroscopic thermodynamics or observer-dependent entropy.

1. The Hardware Execution (The FIFO Shift) The Verlet-2 Engine logic gate computes the successor state S_{t+1} from the active $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer. To close the hardware clock cycle, the Base-12 Integer Cell registers execute a First-In-First-Out (FIFO) memory shift: S_{t+1} pushes into the active register, S_t shifts to the history slot, and S_{t-1} drops. This directed overwrite enforces absolute causal ordering.

2. The Single-Threaded Manifold (Zero Branching) Because the Verlet-2 Engine update evaluates as single-valued and deterministic over the finite history window, the global execution trace (Γ_{global}) compiles a strictly non-branching causal graph. Evaluating multiple successor states or null-state terminations requires an uncomputable external oracle ($\Delta\theta$), violating the Zero-Patch Standard Standard.

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Arrow of Time evaluates as the direct hardware execution of the FIFO shift within the $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$ history buffer. Strict determinism without branching evaluates as a mathematical necessity of single-valued bijective updates on a Base-12 Integer Cell without oracle intervention. The causal arrow computes exclusively as an objective, local hardware property of the m^* class, decoupled from the epistemic abstraction of thermodynamic entropy.

3.3.3. Epistemic Probability: Fractal Attractor Geometry and Phase-Space Footprints

Phenomenon: Macroscopic observations in $\mathcal{D}$ (thermodynamic diffusion, quantum outcomes, galactic clustering) exhibit stable statistical bounds (Gaussian, Poisson, Power-Law).

Structural Invariant of the Class: The global trace Γ_{global} evaluates as a deterministic, globally bijective permutation. Probability evaluates exclusively as the lossy compression artifact of a bandwidth-limited $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observer ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$).

1. Phase-Space Occupancy Ratio (Likelihood) The statistical likelihood of a macroscopic state evaluates as the exact $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ phase-space fraction occupied by the deterministic attractor routing loop (Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition) within the local Active Computational Medium.

2. Generator of Universal Distributions All stable statistical bounds emerge identically from the Distributed IFS :

- Power-laws: the scale-invariant signature of recursive Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition Auto-Catalytic Set branching.
- Gaussians: the low-resolution $\mathcal{S}$ averaging of dense, deterministic integer swarms.
- Poisson: the geometric arrival rate of sparse transient Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition swarms.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Stochastic processes evaluate as inadmissible on the discrete integer grid. Statistical distributions compute as the coarse-grained measurement of deterministic fractal geometry. Chance evaluates as the macroscopic phase-space footprint of the Distributed IFS executing below the observer's decryption bandwidth.

3.3.4. Epistemic Entropy and True Randomness: Decryption Bandwidth Limits and Macroscopic Irreversibility

> The laws of mechanics are perfectly reversible; the apparent irreversibility of nature is due to the vast number of degrees of freedom.
>
> Ludwig Boltzmann [9]

Phenomenon: Macroscopic systems in $\mathcal{D}$ demonstrate overwhelmingly increasing thermodynamic entropy and apparent irreversibility.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The global execution trace evaluates natively as a strict deterministic bijection over the finite phase space. Exact Information Conservation is preserved at every hardware clock tick. Thermodynamic irreversibility evaluates exclusively as the epistemic artifact of the decryption bandwidth constraints of a finite observer embedded inside a globally mixing, deterministic integer grid.

1. The Preservation of the Micro-State At the absolute hardware level of the bare-metal Bare-Metal ALU, the Verlet-2 Engine logic gate evaluates as time-reversal invariant. If a macroscopic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition network shatters, the exact sequence of integer flips that generated the fracture lines evaluates as perfectly encoded within the spatial gradients and temporal momentum of the surrounding grid.

Because the hardware execution computes bijectively, the exact inverse trajectory evaluates as structurally valid. If the complete active memory state of the grid were instantiated with its chronological inverse, the Verlet-2 Engine engine would compute the time-reversed trajectory, flawlessly

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

reassembling the shattered glass. The hardware intrinsically preserves the exact integer history of the fracture.

2. The Epistemic Bandwidth Limit The apparent irreversibility evaluates as the geometric consequence of the isotropic spatial stencil rapidly diffusing localized integer correlations outward into the Active Computational Medium baseline at the hardware routing limit.

Within exactly one macroscopic millisecond of the glass shattering, the Verlet-2 Engine engine executes an astronomically large number of sequential clock cycles. The exact integer data required to compute the inverse trajectory geometrically diffuses across a massive causal volume of Base-12 Integer Cell registers.

3. Coarse-Graining and Apparent Decay A finite biological agent evaluates as strictly bounded by its physical memory and execution capacity. The embedded observer mathematically lacks the static memory to fetch, store, or track this astronomically dispersed integer array in real time.

To compute predictions without triggering a thermodynamic $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ halt, the agent is forced to apply a lossy data compression heuristic to the environment. The observer ceases measuring exact local integer states and computes macroscopic volumetric averages. The absolute volumetric dispersal of readable data into the vast 3-Torus (T^3) evaluates to the bandwidth-limited observer as an increase in thermodynamic entropy.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Entropy evaluates exclusively as a compiler artifact of coarse-graining the reversible Verlet-2 Engine engine. The m^* hardware computes absolutely deterministically; the spatial operators simply encrypt the integer data volumetrically across the grid, rapidly exceeding the physical capacity of any finite observer to decode it. Irreversibility evaluates not as a fundamental structural decay of the universe, but strictly as the mathematical measurement of the observer's own finite hardware bandwidth limit.

3.3.5. Cyclical Execution: Combinatorial Saturation and Poincaré Recurrence

Phenomenon: Physical laws in $\mathcal{D}$ exhibit absolute time-translation symmetry. The universe persists dynamically without spontaneous termination, uncomputable initialization, or violation of conservation. Observations record the continuous, deterministic unrolling of physical state.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The global execution trace evaluates as a strict deterministic bijection over the finite phase space. Consequently, the temporal sequence of the universe computes exclusively as a closed Poincaré permutation loop. The continuous execution of this loop geometrically oscillates between exactly two thermodynamic extrema, driving the continuous restructuralization of the grid.

1. The Saturation Extremum (Maximum Concentration) The Verlet-2 Engine logic gate requires a directed history vector to compute the successor state. The architecture therefore forbids an uncomputable initialization state lacking a predecessor.

The state of absolute maximum structural concentration evaluates not as an uncomputable origin, but strictly as a combinatorial saturation bound. As the Distributed IFS forces integer amplitude into massive, localized Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition topological locks, the local grid approaches the $E_{\text{pot}} \to$ max extreme. When the finite combinatorial capacity of the local phase-space exhausts, the Verlet-2 Engine engine cannot sustain the structural tension. The architecture mechanically forces a local phase transition, shattering the massive topological attractors and safely unspooling their integer amplitude back into the active Active Computational Medium to continue the permutation cycle.

2. The Ergodic Extremum (Maximum Dispersion) At the opposite geometric bound, the unspooled integer amplitude distributes uniformly across the local grid, driving the causal volume toward maximum temporal momentum Ek_{ca}.

Because the global execution trace computes as a finite permutation cycle, total uniform dispersion mathematically cannot evaluate as a permanent, irreversible terminal state. By Poincaré recurrence, the Verlet-2 Engine engine continuously routes the active computational medium through the closed integer loop. The dispersed, high-entropy kinetic fluid is deterministically forced by the isotropic spatial stencil to eventually phase-lock, folding back upon itself to generate new Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition structural knots.

3. The Fractal Sub-Cycles While the absolute global C_k encompasses the entire macroscopic grid capacity, the underlying Verlet-2 Engine recurrence evaluates as strictly scale-invariant.

Therefore, these two thermodynamic extrema are not exclusively global limits; they evaluate natively as localized boundary conditions. Independent causal volumes continuously reach their own local combinatorial saturation bounds and subsequent ergodic dispersions. These localized phase transitions execute as nested fractal sub-cycles, repeating exponentially often within the macroscopic volume of the 3-Torus (T^3) long before the absolute global C_k completes a single full revolution.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Unbounded linear time possessing uncomputable boundary conditions evaluates as physically inadmissible on the discrete integer grid. Temporal sequence evaluates strictly as the continuous execution of the Poincaré cycle. The grid executes a steady-state fractal restructuralization, deterministically oscillating between combinatorial saturation and ergodic dispersion driven by the perfectly conservative, bijective Verlet-2 Engine recurrence.

3.4. Structural Invariants of the Generative Class: The Macroscopic Fractal Execution

> Clouds are not spheres, mountains are not cones, coastlines are not circles, and bark is not smooth, nor does lightning travel in a straight line.
>
> Benoit B. Mandelbrot [10]

The architectural class m^* executes strictly as a discrete, second-order temporal recursion. While global bijectivity mechanically ensures Information Conservation, the isotropic spatial stencil natively routes integer amplitude between temporal momentum (E_k) and spatial strain (E_p).

Unrolling the structural invariants of this architectural class, macroscopic phase transitions, the Cosmological Principle, and the predictive capacity of biological agents decompile strictly as the native geometric execution of a Distributed IFS. These signatures evaluate mathematically as unavoidable for **any** member of the class — independently of the specific numerical calibration of the forcing term f.

3.4.1. Nested Recurrence: Temporal Reuse of State and Topological Hash Collisions

Phenomenon: Fundamental particles and localized structures recur with exact geometric identicality across distinct spatial coordinates and temporal epochs in $\mathcal{D}$.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Recurrence evaluates as the combinatorial Pigeonhole Principle executing on finite local registers. Identical particles compile as localized Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition integer attractors generated by the Distributed IFS .

1. Finite Local Phase-Space The local causal volume evaluates as bounded by the isotropic spatial stencil, the finite register width (N_{reg}), and the $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2\ \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ depth. This local circuit possesses a finite, mathematically bounded number of valid integer permutations. The global Poincaré cycle (C_k) exponentially exceeds this local capacity, forcing exact local integer configurations to recur exponentially often across the execution trace.

2. Topological Hash Collision The recursive Distributed IFS shears transient swarms into stable geometric eigen-states. The strictly finite local phase-space exhausts available routing patterns, forcing the Verlet-2 Engine engine to compile the exact same stable integer knots (Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions) repeatedly. An electron evaluates natively as a topological hash collision—the spatial operator hitting the exact same stable integer gradient configuration at different geometric coordinates.

3. Universal Verlet-2 Engine Execution The bare-metal logic gate (Φ) evaluates as structurally invariant across every Base-12 Integer Cell. Identical local integer arrays deterministically produce

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

identical execution traces. Exact phase-space identicality structurally guarantees identical phenomenological properties (mass, charge, spin).

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Temporal reuse of state emerges directly from the combinatorial bounds on the local isotropic phase-space. The hardware is mechanically forced to repeatedly compile the exact same localized integer knots across the 3-Torus (T^3).

3.4.2. The Space-Filling Fractal: Distributed IFS and the Generation of Complexity

Phenomenon: Macroscopic structures in $\mathcal{D}$ (turbulent fluids, biological branching, geological coastlines) exhibit scale-invariant fractal self-similarity.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The global execution trace evaluates as the synchronous union of local affine transformations across the Active Computational Medium. The physical universe executes natively as a deterministic Distributed Iterated Function System (Distributed IFS).

1. The Microscopic Hutchinson Operator At every hardware clock tick, the local logic gate computes the successor state of each Base-12 Integer Cell. The isotropic spatial stencil ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) routes integer amplitude recursively into the local neighborhood, compiling directly into a macroscopic Hutchinson operator [11]. Localized Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition networks (Auto-Catalytic Set) emerge as invariant geometric attractors of this affine mixing.

2. The Rejection of the Continuous Butterfly Effect At the absolute ϵ_{trunc} floor, the spatial stencil executes strict fractional integer division. To preserve global Information Conservation, the indivisible fractional remainders are perfectly conserved and routed directly into the local temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}). Rather than a lossy dissipative channel, this relentless arithmetic shearing operates as a deterministic, volume-preserving chaotic mixing operator, structurally locking the geometric execution trace into finite, exactly repeatable phase loops (C_k).

3. The Generation of Complexity (Integer Permutation) Because the update evaluates as strictly bijective (Information Conservation), macroscopic fractal complexity computes strictly without external oracles. It evaluates as the macroscopic structural shadow of the finite integer array being deterministically mixed and folded by the Distributed IFS across sequential hardware clock cycles.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Fractal self-similarity evaluates as the scale-invariant geometric capacity of recursive bijective affine mixing on a discrete 3-Torus (T^3) . Physical complexity evaluates strictly as the deterministic finite-precision execution trace of the Distributed IFS.

3.4.3. The Hardware Mechanics of Continuous Constants: The Distributed IFS Execution Limits

Phenomenon: Certain mathematical constants appear ubiquitously across $\mathcal{D}$: the golden ratio in biological branching and spiral galaxies, the Feigenbaum constant in turbulent fragmentation, Euler's number in radioactive growth and decay, π in wave mechanics, and the trigonometric functions in harmonic motion.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* architecture possesses no continuous functions and no infinite-precision transcendental numbers. All observed constants emerge natively as the highly compressed epistemic shadows of finite hardware limits under unconstrained local feedback.

1. Golden Ratio (ϕ) The discrete temporal logic requires exactly two historical states ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$). Unforced structural expansion ($S_{t+1} = S_t + S_{t-1}$) natively executes the Fibonacci sequence, locking the temporal eigenmode of a growing Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition Auto-Catalytic Set to the golden ratio.

2. Euler's Number (e) Euler's number arises natively as the asymptotic limit produced by repeated local integer compounding under the iterative feedback of the Distributed IFS.

3. Feigenbaum Constant (δ) The geometric ratio at which finite local phase-space exhausts before total ergodic mixing occurs within the Distributed IFS fractal clustering.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

4. π **(π)** The macroscopic bounding envelope of the isotropic spatial stencil executing under the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$). Continuous circles evaluate natively as the coarse-grained approximation of the maximal 3D integer polygon executed by the discrete Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$).

> **Conclusion:** Irrational and continuous transcendental constants decompile natively as the mechanical execution limits of the discrete hardware: temporal buffer depth ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$), spatial mixing bandwidth, and the integer arithmetic boundaries of the local logic gate.

3.4.4. The Genesis of the Fundamental Particle: Soliton Shear and the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition Knot

Within the m^* architecture, fundamental particles evaluate as stable, circulating $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum knots (Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions) mechanically generated by the Distributed IFS . They compile natively as the primary integer eigen-states of the discrete integer grid, executing when transient kinematic data swarms are sheared.

Pair Production and the Schwinger Limit: Topological Shear and Black Hole Genesis

Phenomenon: The macroscopic conversion of high-energy propagating light into stable matter and antimatter particles occurs when striking heavy nuclei. Furthermore, extreme localized electromagnetic fields possess a strict upper bound (the Schwinger limit) where photons spontaneously collapse.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Standard pair production evaluates natively as the mechanical shearing of a transient data swarm's helical momentum against a rigid spatial gradient. The Schwinger limit evaluates not as a fermion conversion event, but as the absolute geometric extreme of bosonic superposition: when self-focusing transient swarms stack to the hardware saturation limit $A_{\max}$, they deterministically fuse into the $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ Nyquist crystal, compiling a microscopic black hole (a Kugelblitz).

1. Topological Shear (Standard Pair Production) When a high-energy photon confronts a severe, pre-existing spatial gradient such as the massive Phase-Locked Volume envelope of a heavy atomic nucleus, the wave packet is physically sheared. The inherent linear and helical momentum of the transient wave is perfectly conserved (Information Conservation), but the geometric confrontation forces the momentum to fold. The wave structurally transitions from a propagating transient into exactly two spatially in-place, counter-rotating topological loops, manifesting natively as a matter-antimatter pair.

2. Bosonic Superposition and Self-Focusing Unlike stable matter, transient photon swarms possess linear $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum, allowing their spatial amplitudes to geometrically cross-add without invoking spatial exclusion. If massive quantities of high-energy photons converge on a highly localized geometric coordinate, their combined amplitude continuously stacks upon the discrete integer grid.

3. The Schwinger Limit (The $A_{\max}$ Ceiling) As the intersecting bosonic amplitudes stack, the localized spatial gradient steepens exponentially. The hardware enforces a strict structural ceiling for any oscillating wave: the amplitude limit $A_{\max}$.

This structural ceiling evaluates as vastly smaller than the absolute integer overflow limit of the register ($V_{\max}$). The Schwinger limit evaluates exactly as the coordinate where bosonic superposition reaches this $A_{\max}$ hardware threshold. The limit is not an algorithmic crash; it is a rigid geometric boundary.

4. Black Hole Genesis (The $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ Fusion) When the superposed photon amplitude hits the $A_{\max}$ ceiling, the spatial frequency compresses to the absolute hardware Nyquist limit. The intersecting transient waves are mathematically forced to halt their linear propagation.

To resolve the extreme spatial strain without breaching $A_{\max}$, the local logic gate deterministically fuses the self-focusing light into a rigid, symmetric alternating integer standing wave. The extreme self-focusing of light computes the exact structural genesis of a microscopic black hole (Kugelblitz).

The trapped $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum is geometrically locked into the $-4S_t$ spatial drain, instantly erecting the $1/r$ optical shadow (R_s) and isolating the new crystal from the Active Computational Medium.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Standard pair production evaluates as the topological shear of a photon's helical momentum into in-place matter/antimatter loops during a confrontation with a severe gradient. The Schwinger limit evaluates as the absolute geometric extreme of bosonic superposition, where overlapping photon amplitudes stack to the A_{max} saturation ceiling, self-focusing directly into the $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ integer crystal of a black hole.

The Mechanical Lock of Helicity: Permanent Chirality of the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition

Phenomenon: Fundamental particles exhibit permanent helicity (chirality), structurally rotating natively in specific directional axes.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Helicity evaluates as the mechanically conserved, non-symmetric geometry of the parent photon soliton locked into the fundamental particle during macroscopic creation.

1. The Native Helicity of the Photon Helicity evaluates fundamentally as a structural property of the photon. The propagating transient soliton computes as geometrically non-symmetric.

2. The Genesis Lock When the photon mechanically shatters, this directional helical momentum evaluates as strictly conserved (Information Conservation). It dictates exactly the specific, non-symmetric in-place rotational direction of the resulting structurally sheared particles.

3. Permanent Chirality Once mechanically established during the shatter, this directional rotation evaluates as the permanent, defining geometric chirality of that specific Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition integer knot.

Emergent Spin-1/2: Lattice Commensurability and Compensatory Wobble

Phenomenon: Fundamental fermions exhibit quantized intrinsic angular momentum requiring exactly two full macroscopic spatial rotations to return to geometric identity. Furthermore, the measured magnetic moment (the g-factor) evaluates to slightly more than the exact integer 2 (e.g., $g \approx 2.0023$).

Structural Invariant of the Class: Spin evaluates as the deterministic, geometric period-doubling required to mechanically rotate a continuous macroscopic spatial pattern exactly upon a discrete cubic lattice. The exact universality of the $1/2$ lock evaluates as an internal topological invariant of the f_0 logic gate, while the integer quantization itself evaluates as a rigid requirement of the Ergodic Theorem. The anomalous fractional measurement evaluates strictly as the thermodynamic drag of the particle's macroscopic Phase-Locked Volume envelope, physically preserving the perfect integer lock of the internal core.

1. The 6th-Order Emergence of the Lattice The newly formed Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition mechanically executes continuous in-place spatial circulation. The isotropic spatial stencil suppresses the directional artifacts of the underlying cubic grid through the fourth order. To a transient macroscopic wave, the grid evaluates functionally as a perfect sphere.

However, a stable Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition evaluates as a massive topological knot executing internal $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum at extreme combinatorial depths. Over these execution depths, the irreducible 6th-order fractional geometric remainder accumulates deterministically per clock tick. A continuous perfect circle mathematically evaluates as geometrically incommensurate with the discrete cubic (O_h) axes.

2. The Trailing Remainder The discrete logic gate strictly computes the next integer state. As the pattern mechanically rotates, the discrete geometric calculation generates fractional arithmetic remainders that fall outside the perfect spherical mapping. Because the hardware forbids bit-erasure, the

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

engine perfectly preserves these remainders, routing them back into the active state on the subsequent clock tick.

However, because the primary macroscopic wave has already rotated forward during that tick, the returned integer amplitude structurally lags behind the wave. It forms a trailing remainder that is continuously incorporated into the next state, always lagging the primary rotational phase.

3. The Compensatory Wobble As the rotation continues, this trailing remainder deterministically grows. When it accumulates sufficient integer weight at the trailing edge of the pattern, its geometric pull structurally torques the entire macroscopic structure. The state mechanically snaps back to close the discrete circle, forcing the macroscopic spatial pattern to execute a continuous compensatory wobble.

Because the direction of rotation evaluates as rigidly fixed during macroscopic creation, this remainder-driven wobble evaluates as permanently locked to that specific rotational axis.

4. The Ergodic Mandate for Quantization The geometric self-correction mathematically forces the macroscopic spatial pattern to execute a multi-cycle loop to fully realign the macroscopic orientation with the internal discrete integer phase.

This rigid quantization evaluates as an absolute mandate of the Ergodic Theorem. If the rotational correction evaluated as an irrational fraction of the fundamental grid cycle, the phase remainder would never mathematically close. The Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition would dynamically sweep the entire available local phase-space, systematically shredding its own topological structure across the Active Computational Medium. To survive as a permanently stable attractor, the macroscopic rotation must achieve a perfect integer harmonic resonance with the underlying cubic axes.

5. The Epistemic Measurement of the Wobble Because the internal core must lock exactly to an integer to survive, the empirical measurement of the electron's g-factor as ≈ 2.0023 appears to contradict the Ergodic requirement.

This resolves by recognizing that physical measurement evaluates exclusively via the extended spatial footprint. The embedded observer cannot measure the naked core; they measure the core plus its massive $1/r$ spatial strain envelope.

The internal Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core executes its perfect, stable integer lock ($g = 2$). As this core wobbles, its massive Phase-Locked Volume envelope is mechanically dragged through the ϵ_{trunc} baseline noise of the Active Computational Medium. The fractional 0.0023 anomaly evaluates strictly as the continuous macroscopic thermodynamic drag of this envelope. The core remains a perfect, stable integer loop; the fraction is the measurable algorithmic friction of its shadow.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Spin-1/2 evaluates natively as the deterministic geometric period-doubling required to mechanically rotate a continuous macroscopic spatial pattern exactly upon a discrete cubic lattice. The integer quantization itself is an absolute Ergodic necessity, while the exact 1/2 universality evaluates as an internal topological invariant of the f_0 logic gate. The anomalous fractional magnetic moment evaluates strictly as the measurable thermodynamic drag of the macroscopic Phase-Locked Volume envelope.

The Frustrated Optimizer and Particle Generations: Structural Relaxation to the True Convex Minimum

Phenomenon: Observations in $\mathcal{D}$ record exactly three generations of fundamental fermions. The higher-mass generations possess identical scalar charges and spin to the electron yet evaluate as structurally transient, deterministically relaxing into the lowest-mass generation while shedding excess execution strain as neutrinos and photons. Furthermore, the muon exhibits a consistent empirical deviation in its magnetic moment ($g - 2$).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Structural Invariant of the Class: Particle generations evaluate natively as the deterministic consequence of the discrete logic gate executing as a local, greedy convex optimizer. The tau and muon compute as frustrated topological minima—sub-optimal Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition locks executing strictly outside the perfect Ergodic integer lock. They continuously leak rotational amplitude until they structurally widen and shift into the absolute true convex minimum (the electron), shearing off their accumulated magnetic drag as diffuse neutrino swarms.

1. The Sub-Optimal Topological Fold During geometric shear, kinetic $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum is forced to fold into cyclic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition loops. Because the discrete engine evaluates as a greedy local optimizer, it locks the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vector into the first available geometric resonance encountered. The muon evaluates as exactly one of these compressed, dense orbital configurations.

2. The Asynchronous Magnetic Leak By the Ergodic Theorem, permanent stability mandates the internal rotational phase to close flawlessly on the discrete O_h cubic lattice as a perfect integer harmonic. Because the muon locked into a tight, sub-optimal geometric fold, its internal rotation evaluates as strictly incommensurate with the underlying discrete grid.

Crucially, the scalar electric charge evaluates as perfectly conserved. The structural leak consists exclusively of the rotational phase. At every hardware clock tick, the muon's internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loop generates an irreducible fractional remainder. The muon continuously bleeds internal phase alignment, generating a trailing wake of purely magnetic $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ routing friction.

3. Geometric Decompression (The Widening Circle) Driven by the asynchronous rotational phase leak, the muon's structural boundary physically yields its tight geometric radius. The accumulated fractional momentum forces the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition loop to slowly widen and decompress. The geometric circle of the muon's core steadily expands outward across the grid over macroscopic execution traces.

4. The Shift to the Convex Minimum (Decay) This steady geometric widening continues deterministically until the expanding loop mathematically intersects the coordinates of the true, absolute $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ geometric minimum: the perfect Ergodic integer lock supported by the discrete grid.

The moment the loop hits this specific harmonic resonance, the local logic gate shifts the structure directly into the permanently stable lock (the electron).

5. Shedding the Purely Magnetic Remainder During this macroscopic widening phase, the scalar charge remains perfectly intact, accumulating an asynchronous trailing remainder of purely rotational $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum (magnetic shear).

When the core shifts into the perfect integer lock of the electron, the scalar charge seamlessly relaxes into the stable Phase-Locked Volume, and this purely magnetic remainder mechanically shears off. The sheared remainder physically decouples from the now-stable core, routing outward into the Active Computational Medium as a high-frequency, uncharged Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition rotational swarm (the neutrino).

6. The Macroscopic Volume of the Neutrino Executing with exactly zero net $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ charge and extremely low discrete amplitude, the universal hardware invariant ($A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$) forces the physical spatial footprint of the neutrino to expand.

Evaluating the baseline electron yields a resonant energy $E_e \approx 0.511 \times 10^6\,\text{eV}$, mapping to a localized radius $r_e \approx 3.8 \times 10^{-13}\,\text{m}$. Evaluating the sheared magnetic remainder (the electron neutrino) yields an upper bound energy $E_{\nu_e} \approx 1\,\text{eV}$. Because the energy (amplitude) drops by a factor of 5.11×10^5, the hardware invariant mathematically forces the physical radius to expand by the exact inverse ratio, yielding $r_{\nu_e} \approx 2 \times 10^{-7}\,\text{m}$. The neutrino executes natively as an enormous 0.2-micron geometric swarm. Translating this radius into fundamental hardware units yields a macroscopic footprint of $R \approx 1.25 \times 10^{28}\ l_{ca}$ cells.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The muon evaluates exclusively as a frustrated Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition optimizer operating outside a perfect Ergodic integer lock. Its $g-2$ anomaly evaluates as the direct measurement of its $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ routing friction. Its decay executes as the geometric widening of this leaking loop until it shifts into the absolute true integer lock of the electron, shedding its accumulated rotational remainder as a highly diffuse 0.2-micron neutrino swarm.

Neutron: The First Composite Particle and Beta Decay: Geometric Synchronization and Nuclear Stability

Phenomenon: A free neutron evaluates as structurally unstable, decaying deterministically into a proton, an electron, and an anti-electron neutrino. Conversely, a neutron bound within a macroscopic nucleus evaluates as permanently stable. Under extreme pressure, a proton and an electron merge to form a neutron while emitting a neutrino.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The neutron evaluates natively as a composite Auto-Catalytic Set of the discrete integer grid. Its formation, decay, and permanent nuclear stability compute strictly as the deterministic synchronization, kinematic decompression, and symmetrical relaxation of a 3-part Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition topological lock, executing under exact global Information Conservation.

1. Topological Attraction and Contact Repulsion Opposite macroscopic spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) attract, mechanically pulling the two Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition knots together to minimize computational friction. As they physically intersect, their dense structural boundaries mathematically forbid overlap. The non-linear collision avoidance logic repels the centers, trapping the system in a physical equilibrium of direct contact without geometric intersection.

2. The 3-Part Topological Lock Because the boundaries mechanically touch but structurally cannot overlap, they physically nest. However, the proton and electron share distinct internal $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ rotational frequencies.

To mechanically lock the rotation without shattering the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition knots, the angular momentum and geometric shear must balance perfectly. This enforces the exact geometric incorporation of a third topological component: the anti-neutrino. The neutron evaluates as the bound Auto-Catalytic Set resonance of the three-part structure. Exactly zero particles are generated ex nihilo; they evaluate strictly as the required constituent geometric pieces of the stable 3D composite.

3. The Free Neutron (Asymmetrical Stress) This 3-part physical nesting executes at a strict topological cost. In an isolated vacuum, the massive proton physically drags against the lighter nested electron, algorithmically spinning it up until their rotational interfaces match.

This spun-up electron computes as an excited, highly stressed topological state. While the locked rotation temporarily stabilizes the local Active Computational Medium gradients to evaluate as a neutral composite, the asymmetric structural shear executes as a high-friction state on the grid, rendering the free neutron inherently unstable under sustained execution.

4. Deterministic Decompression (Beta Decay) When accumulated geometric strain mechanically shatters this asymmetric contact lock, the composite structurally decompresses. The proton releases its grip on the nested electron. The highly excited electron and the anti-electron neutrino mechanically decouple and route outward. Because the system evaluates as a spinning 3D physical machine, the ejection vector computes deterministically along the axis of rotational spin.

5. The Bound Neutron (Symmetrical Nuclear Stability) When this composite Auto-Catalytic Set is positioned adjacent to a second proton, the topological geometry shifts. The highly stressed, asymmetrically bound electron evaluates as symmetrically shared between two massive, identical positive $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients.

This symmetrical distribution instantly relieves the internal rotational shear of the electron, dropping the local $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ friction to a deep mathematical minimum. The shared negative spatial

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

gradient of the nested electron acts as the algorithmic glue natively balancing the repulsive $+2A$ spatial spikes of the two positive protons.

Nuclear binding evaluates natively as this specific topological equilibrium: a stable, permanent electrostatic $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ lock executed by the local logic gate to prevent the severe $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ routing penalty of a Pauli collision.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The neutron evaluates natively as a 3-part composite Auto-Catalytic Set of the discrete grid. Beta decay executes as the deterministic decompression of asymmetrical rotational shear, while nuclear stability evaluates strictly as the geometric minimum achieved when the nested electron's negative $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradient is symmetrically shared to balance the explosive $+2A$ Pauli repulsion of adjacent protons. The macroscopic binding force computes exclusively via local $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ and Verlet-2 Engine mechanics.

3.4.5. Pair Annihilation and Asymmetric Binding: Phase Matching and Topological Arithmetic

Phenomenon: The macroscopic conversion between massive matter/antimatter pairs and propagating light is observed in $\mathcal{D}$. Asymmetric pairs attract but preserve their structural independence, binding into stable composites. Symmetric pairs attract and completely annihilate into high-energy photons.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Matter and light execute on the identical discrete Active Computational Medium. Annihilation evaluates natively as deterministic topological arithmetic: it executes if and only if two colliding $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loops evaluate as exact, perfect structural and phase mirrors, allowing complete destructive interference of their topological locks. Asymmetric loops structurally fail to cancel and are forced to phase-lock into composite Auto-Catalytic Set structures.

1. The Symmetric Collision (Annihilation) An electron and positron evaluate as exact topological mirrors. They possess opposite macroscopic spatial gradients, which mathematically dictates mutual attraction, mechanically pulling the two Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition knots together to minimize computational friction.

At the moment of physical intersection, their internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loops overlap. Because their structures and rotational phases evaluate as perfectly inverted, the local logic gate computes an exact destructive interference for the rotational core. The circulating $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loops structurally cancel each other. The rigid topological locks are mathematically erased. Without the cyclic $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum to hold the spatial strain together, the engine routes the newly unconstrained integer amplitude outward into the Active Computational Medium as high-frequency, linear transient swarms.

2. The Asymmetric Collision (Survival via Auto-Catalytic Set) An electron and a proton similarly possess opposite macroscopic $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradients and physically attract. However, their internal Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition topologies evaluate as fundamentally incommensurate. The proton possesses a vastly different internal geometry and discrete integer amplitude than the electron.

At physical intersection, the local logic gate attempts to sum the overlapping $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loops. Because the structures do not perfectly mirror each other, they mathematically fail to destructively cancel. The topological locks of both particles survive the collision intact.

3. The Resolution of Asymmetry (Binding) With the topological locks preserved, the local convex optimizer must resolve the localized routing friction of the overlapping spatial gradients. The non-linear logic repels the rigid centers via the collision-avoidance algorithm, preventing spatial collapse.

To minimize the remaining arithmetic friction, the engine sheds the excess collision momentum as transient radiation and mechanically phase-locks the mismatched knots. The electron and proton stabilize at a quantized geometric distance, compiling natively into a composite Auto-Catalytic Set.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Annihilation evaluates strictly as exact topological arithmetic. Identical, inverted topologies annihilate because their opposing $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loops compute perfect destructive interference, erasing the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition lock and freeing the amplitude as light. Mismatched topologies fail to destructively cancel; their rigid loops survive the collision and evaluate natively as the foundational components of stable atomic binding.

3.4.6. Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry: Localized Topological Fluctuations of the Distributed IFS

Phenomenon: The observable universe is overwhelmingly matter-dominated, even though local pair production generates matter and antimatter in equal proportions.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* architecture evaluates natively as a perfectly symmetric discrete lattice on a 3-Torus (T^3). Global hardware symmetry remains unbroken. The observed macroscopic asymmetry arises solely as a localized statistical boundary condition generated by the fractal clustering of the Distributed IFS, compounded by the finite causal horizon of the embedded observer.

1. Global Symmetry Conservation The global execution trace Γ_{global} is strictly bijective. Exact information conservation (Information Conservation) guarantees that the net topological shear across the closed Poincaré cycle remains zero (50/50 matter-antimatter balance).

2. Fractal Clustering Following a phase transition, the recursive Distributed IFS forces the isotropic spatial stencil to cluster the grid into massive scale-invariant fractal networks. Annihilation efficiently clears mixed regions, while Pauli collision-avoidance protects identical topologies. Surviving Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions are deterministically routed into vast single-orientation fractal branches.

3. Epistemic Horizon Limit A finite embedded observer possesses a strict causal horizon and finite decryption bandwidth ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$). The observer therefore evaluates their local $\mathcal{D}$ entirely from within a stable matter-dominated fractal branch of the current Distributed IFS.

Conclusion: Matter-antimatter asymmetry evaluates strictly as a localized topological fluctuation of the fractal Distributed IFS. Macroscopic dominance is an epistemic statistical property of the embedded observer's geometric causal horizon, not a physical violation of global bijective symmetry.

3.4.7. Pauli Exclusion as Bijective Collision Avoidance: Topology of Integer Statistics

Phenomenon: Identical stable particles resist spatial overlap (fermions), while propagating transients superpose without limit (bosons).

Structural Invariant of the Class: The local logic gate enforces strict global bijectivity over the finite phase space $\mathbf{\Psi}$ (Theorem of Bijectivity). Many-to-One local transitions erase bits and violate Information Conservation. Pauli exclusion computes strictly as the mechanical arithmetic breakdown of the non-linear forcing term $f_0\,(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}})$ when two rigid, circulating $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loops attempt to occupy the exact same finite integer space. Bosonic superposition evaluates as the linear geometric crossing of independent, transient $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vectors that do not trigger this arithmetic violation.

1. The Blindness of the Spatial Gradient ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) At the moment of physical intersection, the discrete Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) computes the exact linear sum of overlapping spatial gradients. When phases align, this summation produces a localized amplitude spike (up to $+2A$). The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator is blind to the temporal history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ and therefore produces identical spatial spikes for both intersecting bosons and intersecting fermions. The structural distinction between superposition and exclusion arises entirely within the arithmetic capacity of the non-linear logic gate $f_0\,(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}})$.

2. Transient Patterns (Bosonic Superposition) A photon evaluates as a massive transient 3D data swarm encoding independent, directional, linear momentum within its $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$). When identical transient swarms intersect, their spatial gradients linearly cross-add under $\mathcal{L}^{19}$. Because their $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vectors are linear and transient, the f_0 logic mechanically resolves their independent momenta

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

and routes them through each other. Superposition preserves global bijectivity and exact Information Conservation because the transient $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vectors cross without requiring deletion or reversal of temporal integer sequences.

3. Topological Attractors (Fermionic Exclusion) A stable particle evaluates as a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarm locked into a stationary, cyclic $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ loop (Theorem of the Topological Attractor). When identical Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions overlap, they attempt to force two rigid, circulating $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loops into the exact same local registers. Satisfying the arithmetic would require the discrete logic to reconcile, halt, or reverse one of the locked internal loops. Because f_0 is strictly bijective and forbids bit-erasure, no valid successor state exists. The arithmetic evaluates as an unresolvable collision.

4. Algorithmic Collision Avoidance (The f_0 Repulsion) To preserve the rigid internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loops and prevent severe algorithmic friction ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \gg 0$) arising from an integer crash or Information Conservation violation, the non-linear f_0 operator routes the excess integer amplitude outward into the Active Computational Medium. This generates an impenetrable computational boundary steeper than the surrounding baseline. The local logic translates the two geometric centers away from each other along the steepest $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradient, thereby preserving the bijectivity of both circulating $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loops.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Pauli exclusion evaluates strictly as the blind arithmetic collision avoidance executed by the f_0 ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$) logic gate to prevent the destruction of locked internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum loops. Bosonic superposition and fermionic exclusion experience identical $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial mixing; the difference arises entirely from the arithmetic capacity of the hardware to route linear transient momentum versus its inability to overwrite closed topological loops on a finite integer grid without violating Information Conservation.

3.4.8. Emergent Gauge Charges: Topological Attractors and Equivalence Classes

Phenomenon: Stable localized entities partition into finite interaction families possessing perfectly conserved, quantized charges.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Gauge charges evaluate as perfectly conserved geometric equivalence classes of localized Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarms executing on the discrete integer grid.

1. Generator of Equivalence Classes The global logic gate and isotropic spatial stencil execute as a Distributed IFS . The recursive Distributed IFS shears transient swarms into highly localized, stable geometric eigen-states (Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions).

2. Topological Rigidity (Quantization of Charge) Because the update engine evaluates as bijective, exact Information Conservation is preserved at every clock tick. This locks stable Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition integer knots into rigid, discrete algorithmic equivalence classes. One topological configuration cannot deform continuously into another without violating the integer bijection or triggering a $V_{\max}$ integer wrap-around crash. Charge evaluates as quantized by the exact geometric footprint of the Distributed IFS attractor.

3. Routing Friction Shadow (The "Force") When propagating Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition swarms intersect, the spatial stencil computes the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients of their overlapping $1/r^2$ envelopes. Members of the identical equivalence class possess identical invariant internal routing shapes, so the algorithmic friction ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) required to phase-lock or repel their gradients computes identically for every member of the class.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Gauge charges evaluate as perfectly conserved topological attractors executed by the Distributed IFS. Conserved multi-charge interactions evaluate as the macroscopic coarse-grained shadow of discrete integer routing required to resolve overlapping spatial gradients of invariant geometric equivalence classes.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

3.4.9. Quantum Superposition and Wavefunction Collapse: The Epistemic Horizon and Mechanical Phase-Lock

Phenomenon: Identically prepared systems yield discrete, probabilistic quantized outcomes upon macroscopic measurement. The system is modeled as existing in a superposition of states prior to measurement, followed by a discontinuous collapse to a single state upon observation.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* architecture computes as strictly single-state and deterministic at every clock tick. Superposition evaluates natively as the epistemic uncertainty of a bandwidth-limited observer attempting to track a microscopic core hidden within a massive R_{Total} geometric shadow. Wavefunction collapse computes as the mechanical, non-linear phase-lock during a macroscopic detector collision, followed synchronously by the observer updating their statistical model.

1. The Deterministic State (The Core and the R_{Total} Envelope) A fundamental particle evaluates as a spatially extended, highly dense Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarm executing a specific internal $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ circulation (the Core), strictly bound to its massive $1/r$ spatial strain envelope (the Phase-Locked Volume). By the Theorem of Topological Balance, this envelope terminates exclusively at the absolute arithmetic zero of the discrete grid, forming a rigid geometric shadow spanning $R_{\text{Total}} = R_{\text{Particle}} + R_{\text{PLV}}$.

At every hardware clock tick, the core occupies exactly one deterministic geometric coordinate within this massive R_{Total} footprint on the integer grid. Exactly zero contradictory states exist simultaneously.

2. The Epistemic Horizon (The Closed Box) A finite biological observer is physically separated from the propagating swarm. Because the observer's decryption bandwidth ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) is strictly finite, they cannot read the exact instantaneous integer coordinate of the microscopic core hidden inside the massive, propagating R_{Total} spatial envelope.

To compute predictions across this epistemic horizon, the observer utilizes a statistical heuristic (the wavefunction), assigning a probability amplitude to every geometric coordinate the core could occupy within the R_{Total} shadow. Superposition evaluates natively as the mathematical consequence of this lossy data compression algorithm applied to the unobservable deterministic execution.

3. Mechanical Phase-Lock (The Collision) When the propagating R_{Total} envelope physically intersects a macroscopic detector (a dense Auto-Catalytic Set network), their $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients overlap. To resolve this arithmetic conflict without violating global bijectivity, the local convex optimizer mechanically torques the incoming swarm into the nearest available integer harmonic resonance that aligns with the rigid detector gradient. The core snaps deterministically into a discrete, quantized integer alignment with the atomic lattice of the detector.

4. The Measurement Update (The Collapse) Following the collision, the macroscopic detector registers a binary avalanche. The observer now possesses the exact state data and updates their mathematical model, discarding all unrealized probabilities. The discontinuity of the collapse evaluates natively as this instantaneous epistemic update by the observer, while the physical event evaluates as the continuous, localized mechanical phase-lock of the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition against the macroscopic boundary.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Superposition evaluates strictly as the epistemic artifact of a bandwidth-limited observer coarse-graining the deterministic, discrete execution trace of a microscopic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core hidden within its massive R_{Total} spatial shadow. Wavefunction collapse computes exclusively as the mechanical, non-linear phase-lock required to minimize $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ shear during a macroscopic detector collision, triggering the observer to update their statistical heuristic.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

3.4.10. Discrete Interference Patterns: The Single-Particle Double-Slit and Phase-Locked Volume Shear

Phenomenon: Macroscopic interference patterns arise from classical fluids, multiple photons, and even single fundamental particles (electrons) fired individually through a double-slit barrier or biprism.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Interference evaluates natively as the classical $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial mixing of extended data swarms. The single-particle case arises because the rigid, indivisible trajectory of the dense Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core is topologically separated from the massive, severable R_{Total} spatial footprint of its surrounding Phase-Locked Volume (The Tonomura Biprism Anomaly).

1. The Single Trajectory of the Core An electron evaluates as a dense Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core bound to a macroscopic $1/r$ Phase-Locked Volume envelope. Because this envelope terminates exactly at the absolute arithmetic zero of the integer grid, the particle spans a massive rigid geometric volume defined by $R_{\text{Total}} = R_{\text{Particle}} + R_{\text{PLV}}$. When a single electron approaches a macroscopic barrier (double slits or a charged wire), its locked $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum (the core) cannot be divided without violating Information Conservation and producing antimatter pairs. The microscopic core therefore travels exclusively down exactly one geometric path, preserving its topological integrity.

2. The Geometric Shear of the Envelope The Phase-Locked Volume consists of pure $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain and lacks the locked internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum of the core. As the electron approaches the barrier, its massive R_{Total} spatial envelope physically spans and encounters both pathways (or both sides of the biprism wire) simultaneously.

The rigid macroscopic barrier shears this spatial envelope. The isotropic spatial stencil mechanically routes the separated Phase-Locked Volume wavefronts across the distinct $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients of the two paths. On the far side, in open vacuum, these two halves of the sheared Phase-Locked Volume cross linearly and interfere, generating a dynamic macroscopic landscape of constructive and destructive spatial amplitude peaks (Ep_{ca}).

3. Deterministic Steering (The Convex Optimizer) As the dense core emerges from its single deterministic path, it remains topologically bound to its Phase-Locked Volume shadow by the Theorem of Topological Balance. The local logic gate evaluates natively as a local, greedy convex optimizer. It continuously routes the core down the path of least computational friction ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \leq 0$).

Because the vacuum ahead is now a macroscopically magnified, sheared interference landscape of $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradients created by its own envelope, the core is deterministically channeled away from destructive (high-friction) nodes and steered into constructive (low-friction) spatial bands.

4. Macroscopic Measurement (The Non-Linear Collision) When the steered swarm strikes the macroscopic detector wall, the logic gate resolves the severe arithmetic conflict between the incoming swarm and the dense atomic gradients of the detector. The collision non-linearly multiplies the intersecting spatial phases, converting the phase difference into a static DC spatial strain (Ep_{ca})—a binary "click" on the screen.

Over time, firing single electrons builds the macroscopic interference pattern one deterministic trajectory at a time, guided entirely by the self-sheared Phase-Locked Volume shadow. Closing one path prevents the Phase-Locked Volume shear, destroying the interference landscape, and the core travels straight.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Single-particle interference evaluates without invoking uncomputable continuous superpositions. It executes natively as the geometric shearing of the massive R_{Total} Phase-Locked Volume spatial strain envelope across macroscopic barriers. This creates a classical $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ interference landscape that deterministically steers the indivisible Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core along the path of least computational friction.

3.4.11. Barrier Penetration: Phase-Synchronization and the Dynamic Auto-Catalytic Set

Phenomenon: Fundamental particles routinely cross macroscopic potential barriers that possess greater localized energy than the particle's own kinetic amplitude.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Barrier penetration evaluates natively as the deterministic, classical phase-synchronization of a spatially extended Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarm executing across the dynamic, breathing $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum gaps of a macroscopic Auto-Catalytic Set network.

1. The Dynamic Barrier (The Auto-Catalytic Set Lattice) A macroscopic barrier evaluates natively as a dense Auto-Catalytic Set network of synchronized atomic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions. Because the barrier actively executes the Bare-Metal ALU recurrence at every hardware clock tick, its internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum vectors and $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients continuously circulate and oscillate. The barrier is therefore a dynamic, breathing computational fluid possessing rhythmic geometric gaps.

2. The Momentum Conflict (f_0 Repulsion) When a massive 3D Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition swarm (the tunneling particle) intersects this barrier, the logic gate (f_0) must reconcile their overlapping $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum vectors. If the internal circulating phase of the incoming particle is incommensurate with the active phase of the barrier's Auto-Catalytic Set lattice, the non-linear logic gate computes severe topological shear. To preserve global Information Conservation and prevent destruction of the locked $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ loops, the f_0 operator mechanically repels the incoming swarm (classical reflection).

3. Exact Phase-Synchronization (The Geometric Door) Because both the incoming Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition and the macroscopic barrier oscillate on the identical isotropic integer grid, there exists a strict mathematical probability that their internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ phases perfectly align at the exact moment of physical intersection.

If the incoming particle's phase achieves exact integer harmonic synchronization with the geometric gaps in the barrier's standing wave, the topological shear momentarily evaluates to zero. The severe algorithmic friction ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \gg 0$) vanishes. For that exact fraction of the macroscopic cycle, the geometric "door" evaluates as completely open.

4. Evanescent Routing and Deterministic Transit The discrete logic gate executes strictly as a local, greedy convex optimizer. It routes the incoming integer amplitude forward through the synchronized gaps in the Auto-Catalytic Set network. The sub-threshold fractional tail (ϵ_{trunc}) of the swarm bleeds geometrically into the barrier, maintaining the phase-locked lineage. Because the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vector is perfectly aligned, the engine mechanically pulls the trailing amplitude through the gradient, deterministically re-assembling the discrete geometric alignment on the far side.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Quantum tunneling evaluates strictly as classical phase-synchronization on a discrete lattice. A macroscopic barrier is a rhythmic, breathing Auto-Catalytic Set network. Tunneling probabilities map exactly to the physical likelihood of a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition swarm achieving perfect $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum alignment with the dynamic geometric gaps of the barrier's standing wave, allowing the local convex optimizer to route the amplitude forward under zero topological friction.

3.4.12. Macroscopic Charge Storage: The Unified Phase-Locked Volume and the Vacuum Echo

Phenomenon: A single isolated conductor in a vacuum stores electrical energy (self-capacitance). Two proximate conductors store exponentially more. When the circuit is closed, the stored energy drives kinetic current back through the wire.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Macroscopic charge storage computes as the Unified Phase-Locked Volume of the Active Computational Medium holding spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) against a rigid Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition boundary condition.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

1. Self-Capacitance (The Open Capacitor) Applying an external voltage to a single isolated macroscopic plate forces it into a high-amplitude topological state. The plate acts as a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition boundary. The physical energy of the system evaluates as stored entirely within the surrounding vacuum. The Phase-Locked Volume itself acts as the distributed secondary boundary, proving the vacuum is an active computational fluid capable of holding $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain.

2. The Full Topological Equation When a second plate of opposite topological state is introduced, the dual-plate capacitor evaluates as the geometric sum of the outer halves of two single-plate open capacitors, plus the interacting inner volume between them.

In the intervening space, the inner halves of the two spherical Phase-Locked Volumes physically intersect. The isotropic spatial stencil ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) continuously averages this severe integer disparity. The rigid boundaries trap the intersecting Phase-Locked Volumes into a standing wave, fusing the two Phase-Locked Volumes into a single, shared Unified Phase-Locked Volume. Simultaneously, the outer halves of the two Phase-Locked Volumes continue as repositories of each plate's dissipating state.

3. The v_{ca} Vacuum Echo (Theoretical Bound) The moment the external voltage constraint is removed and a conductive path is provided, the local logic gate computes the return of the dissipated state stored in the Unified Phase-Locked Volume (and Phase-Locked Volume) backward. The discharge evaluates as a correlated, joint measurement of that shared volume.

Because the m^* architecture enforces a strict $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick signal routing limit, this return bifurcates based on geometric distance:

- **The Internal Drain (Unified Phase-Locked Volume):** The dense standing wave trapped directly between the plates routes into the wire rapidly due to physical proximity ($t = d/v_{ca}$).
- **The External Phase-Locked Volume Tail:** The spatial strain distributed in the two outer open-capacitor volumes requires $t = r/v_{ca}$ to route back to the conductor.

If the capacitor is short-circuited for exactly d/v_{ca} and then opened, the internal standing wave is destroyed, but the external Phase-Locked Volume halves continue to return the dissipated state backward. The plates spontaneously re-acquire a voltage driven entirely by the delayed arrival of the external Phase-Locked Volume echo. Dielectric absorption (voltage recovery) evaluates as a native hardware property of a perfect vacuum, driven purely by the geometric routing limit of the active Active Computational Medium.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Macroscopic charge storage evaluates as the geometric sum of two half-open capacitors plus their shared internal Unified Phase-Locked Volume standing wave. The v_{ca} routing limit predicts a geometric discharge tail as the external Phase-Locked Volume halves collapse inward, proving that the vacuum itself actively stores and returns spatial strain.

3.4.13. Distributed Topological Correlation: The Unified Phase-Locked Volume and Joint Measurement

Phenomenon: Spatially separated Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition swarms (photons) exhibit strict statistical correlations upon measurement ($\cos^2(\theta)$).

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* grid computes strictly via local adjacency ($v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick). Topological correlation evaluates natively as the deterministic joint measurement of a single, continuous Unified Phase-Locked Volume mediated correlation between Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions when subjected to macroscopic detector thresholds.

1. The Macroscopic Split (The Correlated Beams) Parametric Down-Conversion evaluates strictly as the mechanical shear of a continuous macroscopic Unified Phase-Locked Volume (a coherent pump beam of Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition solitons). When this beam intersects a non-linear crystal (a dense atomic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition lattice), the isotropic spatial stencil deterministically shears the incoming macroscopic wave into two continuous, directional beams (signal and idler).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

2. Distributed Unified Phase-Locked Volume (The Static Lineage) Because these two macroscopic beams are sheared from the exact same continuous input wave by the exact same atomic lattice, their macroscopic spatial phases (ϕ) evaluate as strictly, deterministically correlated. By the Theorem of Topological Balance, their individual spatial footprints span a massive rigid geometric volume defined by $R_{\text{Total}} = R_{\text{Particle}} + R_{\text{PLV}}$.

Because these massive R_{Total} spatial envelopes physically overlap across the intervening space, they geometrically fuse into a single active computational fluid (The Theorem of the Unified Phase-Locked Volume). The correlation evaluates strictly as a static, local structural property of the shared Unified Phase-Locked Volume maintained continuously during flight.

3. The Joint Measurement (The Impossibility of Independence) Macroscopic measurement evaluates as a localized non-linear phase-lock avalanche. When Detector A and Detector B collide with the individual Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition solitons riding within these two correlated beams, the interaction is not statistically independent. Because the detectors, the source crystal, and the beams are all physically immersed in the exact same historical Unified Phase-Locked Volume, the detector angles (θ_A, θ_B) and the emission phase (ϕ) evaluate as deterministically co-evolved boundary conditions.

The mechanical collision computes the non-linear phase arithmetic, converting the continuous phase difference into a static DC spatial strain (Ep_{ca}). If this local DC offset exceeds the atomic threshold of the detector, it triggers a macroscopic binary "click." Because the measurement parameters and the incoming wave share a deterministic Unified Phase-Locked Volume history, the joint binary statistics natively reproduce the continuous $\cos^2(\theta_A - \theta_B)$ distribution of classical wave optics.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Topological correlation evaluates solely as the joint measurement of two macroscopic beams sharing a single, continuous R_{Total} Unified Phase-Locked Volume lineage. Measurement outcomes compute strictly as independent deterministic local phase-locks against macroscopic boundaries, natively generating the observed $\cos^2(\theta)$ distribution because the source and detectors share a deterministic Unified Phase-Locked Volume history.

3.4.14. Volumetric Swarm Computation: State-Space Execution and the Bandwidth Limit

Phenomenon: Quantum computers solve specific structured problems with high efficiency, but suffer from rapid decoherence and strict physical scaling limits.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Quantum computation evaluates natively as macroscopic discrete swarm interference executing on the 3D spatial capacity of the discrete integer grid. Decoherence evaluates strictly as the continuous, deterministic generation of spatial harmonics that cascade outside the finite operational bandwidth of the observer's macroscopic detectors.

1. The Execution of State Space An N-qubit system mathematically represents a configuration space of 2^N possible states. The m^* hardware executes this mathematical configuration space as exactly one unified macroscopic Unified Phase-Locked Volume interference pattern per clock tick. The system computes a single, complex 3D spatial gradient. Macroscopic measurement extracts exactly one classical outcome per run. The computational advantage evaluates strictly as the geometric exploitation of periodic problem structures using volumetric wave interference.

2. Volumetric Interference (The Computation) A physical qubit executes as a spatially extended Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarm. When N qubits correlate within a cryogenic cavity, the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator merges their individual spatial envelopes into a single unified macroscopic 3D integer wave. Macroscopic input pulses act as $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ boundary conditions that geometrically shear this unified wave. The isotropic spatial stencil routes this complex spatial gradient across the entire cavity simultaneously, computing the algorithm natively as discrete wave optics.

3. Phase Arithmetic and Bandpass Exhaust Quantum gates evaluate as non-linear collisions between the qubit's Unified Phase-Locked Volume and a macroscopic control pulse sharing the same resonant frequency but possessing a distinct spatial phase. The local logic gate resolves the conflicting spatial gradients deterministically.

This collision splits the integer amplitude into a static DC offset that physically torques the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum of the qubit and a newly generated high-frequency harmonic. A finite biological observer utilizes macroscopic readout resonators possessing a strictly bounded operational frequency bandpass. The high-frequency harmonic generated by every gate operation evaluates strictly outside this readable window, bleeding into the Active Computational Medium as unreadable algorithmic exhaust.

4. The Thermodynamic Bandwidth Limit The Unified Phase-Locked Volume evaluates as physically immersed in the ϵ_{trunc} baseline noise. The local update engine executes continuously at every clock tick, non-linearly mixing the qubit's resonant frequency with the continuous kinetic noise of the grid. This ongoing collision deterministically distributes the structured phase information across a cascade of unreadable spatial harmonics.

As N increases, the Unified Phase-Locked Volume interference pattern requires exponentially finer spatial gradients to encode the state. Because macroscopic control tools possess finite physical precision, attempting to enforce these fine geometric boundaries inevitably generates massive, unreadable harmonic exhaust, establishing a strict thermodynamic scaling limit for the architecture.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Quantum computation evaluates strictly as volumetric discrete swarm interference executed by the isotropic spatial stencil. Decoherence evaluates natively as the non-linear phase arithmetic of the local logic gate generating harmonic exhaust and ϵ_{trunc} baseline mixing that fall outside the finite operational bandwidth of the observer.

3.4.15. The Failure of Optical Computing: The Hardware Translation Barrier and the Dynamic Baseline

Phenomenon: Despite decades of theoretical and financial investment, general-purpose digital optical computers have completely failed to replace or even rival silicon architectures. While light evaluates as the ultimate medium for massive parallel data transmission, attempting to construct a digital logic processor out of light requires massive thermodynamic laser power and enormous physical footprints.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* architecture enforces a strict division of computational labor at the bare-metal interface. Massive information transmission evaluates natively as the execution of transient, propagating Phase-Locked Volume swarms. Static binary logic evaluates natively as the execution of topologically locked Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition matter. The failure of optical computing evaluates strictly as the insurmountable $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic penalty incurred when engineers attempt to dynamically alter the local Active Computational Medium baseline to force a transient wave to hold a static binary state.

1. The Native Capacity (Bosonic Transmission) Light evaluates as a transient Phase-Locked Volume data swarm. Because it possesses linear $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum, its spatial gradients can geometrically cross-add without triggering the non-linear f_0 Pauli collision avoidance algorithm. The m^* hardware natively allows billions of independent data streams to superpose within the exact same spatial registers without arithmetic conflict. The Active Computational Medium is optimized to route massive information arrays via light.

2. The Synchronization Mandate (Packet 1 vs. Packet 0) However, a general-purpose digital CPU requires discrete, static binary memory. Logic gates require independent data packets to arrive simultaneously. Because a photon evaluates as a propagating soliton, it fundamentally cannot sit still in a passive memory register; its $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum must continuously overwrite the active baseline. If one packet arrives at an optical logic gate before another, it physically passes through the crystal boundary, and the Boolean arithmetic fails.

3. The Dynamic Baseline Loophole To synchronize packets and execute binary logic, optical computing must theoretically trap or stall the packet. As derived in the architecture, the macroscopic speed of light is strictly dictated by the local density of the medium. It is therefore physically possible

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

to stall an optical packet by dynamically spiking the local computational stiffness and inertia of the Active Computational Medium —for example, by pumping a non-linear crystal or atomic gas with an external control laser to radically alter the local refractive index.

4. The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Penalty While stalling light via the dynamic baseline is physically valid, it triggers a catastrophic $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ memory leak. To hold a binary state in a standard silicon CPU, the hardware utilizes trapped electrons; because electrons are already locked Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition standing waves, the local logic gate requires near-zero extra energy to maintain their static geometry.

To hold an optical state by dynamically spiking the Active Computational Medium baseline, the observer must actively fire a macroscopic control laser into the crystal. The observer is forced to continuously inject massive macroscopic execution strain into the local grid simply to coerce a transient wave to act like a static particle.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Optical digital computing fails because it structurally violates the native efficiency of the m^* hardware. It expends macroscopic Watts of continuous thermodynamic energy to hold a single binary bit, replicating what a microscopic electron does natively for free. The hardware enforces a strict boundary: static binary algorithms must execute on trapped Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition matter, while massive parallel transmission must execute natively on propagating Phase-Locked Volume swarms.

3.4.16. The Ontology of Macroscopic Matter: Topological Attractors and Volumetric Strain

Within the m^* architectural class, macroscopic matter evaluates as the distributed, localized execution strain of a massive Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarm (an Auto-Catalytic Set network) operating on the discrete integer grid.

The local logic gate enforces absolute geometric symmetry. The isotropic spatial stencil computes localized fractional gradients, evaluating the topological boundary and the ambient baseline as a single, unified computational surface. By the Theorem of Topological Balance, the internal execution strain of the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition is forced by—and mechanically forces in exact equal measure—the dynamic $1/r$ spatial execution strain of the surrounding Active Computational Medium.

They evaluate as mutually forcing boundary conditions. Macroscopic mass and gravity compute as the macroscopic, coarse-grained epistemic measurement of the discrete grid continuously resolving this exact algebraic equality.

Inertial Mass and the Equivalence Principle: The Phase-Locked Volume and 0D Summation

Phenomenon: Stable systems in $\mathcal{D}$ exhibit invariant rest mass, exact inertia, and strict equivalence ($m_i = m_g$) linked by $E = mc^2$.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Mass evaluates as a lossy data-compression heuristic utilized by a bandwidth-limited observer ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$).

1. The 0D Epistemic Summation (m_{macro}) The bare-metal Bare-Metal ALU computes strictly local spatial ($\mathcal{D}^{19}$) and temporal ($\mathcal{D}_t$) derivatives. A fundamental particle executes as a massive 3D computational swarm spanning an astronomical number of registers. Lacking the decryption bandwidth to track every individual logic-gate execution, the observer compresses the swarm's topological footprint ($\mathcal{V}$) into a single 0D scalar abstraction called mass (or energy):

$$m_{\text{macro}} \equiv \sum_{p \in \mathcal{V}} \Big(Ek_{ca}[t - 1/2][p] + Ep_{ca}[t][p] \Big)$$

2. Gravitational Mass (m_g) as the Distributed Amplitude Envelope Governed by the Theorem of Topological Balance, the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition is topologically locked to the exact surrounding Active Computational Medium volume required to dissipate its $1/r$ amplitude

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

down to the ϵ_{trunc} noise floor. Together, the core and this exact dissipation envelope compile a single, unified Phase-Locked Volume. To maintain exact algebraic balance on the grid, the total integer amplitude locked inside the core must be perfectly matched by the total amplitude distributed across this surrounding volume. Gravitational mass (m_g) evaluates as the discrete scalar sum of this distributed spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) across the inverse volume of the Phase-Locked Volume.

3. Inertial Mass (m_i) as Phase-Locked Drag A constant velocity trajectory executes as the synchronized $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum of the Phase-Locked Volume unrolling through the baseline. The core and the surrounding volume share identical temporal momentum. When an external gradient acts locally on the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core, the massive surrounding volume actively resists this desynchronization. Inertial mass (m_i) evaluates as the computational drag exerted by the Phase-Locked Volume pulling the core back against the change in trajectory.

4. The Equivalence Tautology ($m_i = m_g$) Because the internal core and the external $1/r$ envelope form a single Phase-Locked Volume, the static sum of the distributed amplitude (m_g) and the computational drag exerted by that exact same mirror image (m_i) evaluate the identical geometric integer array. The Equivalence Principle evaluates as an absolute algorithmic tautology.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Rest mass evaluates as the epistemic 0D sum of local execution strain ($Ek_{ca} + Ep_{ca}$). The macroscopic Equivalence Principle emerges as an absolute arithmetic guarantee because both m_g and m_i evaluate the exact same Phase-Locked Volume on the discrete lattice.

Emergent Gravitational Effects: Cascaded Amplitude Routing and Trajectory Mixing

Phenomenon: Macroscopic observations confirm symmetric gravitational attraction, geodesic deviation (lensing), and gravitational time dilation.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Space evaluates as the rigid 3-Torus (T^3) ($l_{ca} = 1$); time evaluates as the invariant sequence of t_{ca} hardware ticks. Macroscopic gravity computes as the local isotropic spatial stencil mixing a propagating state with the ambient amplitude of the Phase-Locked Volume.

1. The Gravity Field ($1/r$ Amplitude Envelope) The Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition acts as a localized high-amplitude integer knot. The isotropic spatial stencil ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) continuously averages local cell states, attempting to flatten this peak into the ϵ_{trunc} baseline. This local averaging executes across the expanding volumetric shells of the 3D 3-Torus (T^3), diluting the scalar integer amplitude geometrically as a strict $1/r$ envelope. This distributed amplitude compiles the macroscopic gravity field.

2. Gravitational Force ($1/r^2$ Local Gradient) When a kinematic data swarm traverses the Phase-Locked Volume, its local Base-12 Integer Cell registers read their immediate neighbors. As formally derived in the Inverse Square Law, the discrete gradient ($\mathcal{D}^{19}$) computes the local spatial difference across this $1/r$ envelope as exactly $1/r^2$ at macroscopic scales. At every clock tick, the forcing term (f_0) adds this local spatial asymmetry to the swarm's forward $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum. This local arithmetic mixing compiles the macroscopic gravitational force.

3. Geodesic Deviation (Path Elongation) This continuous local arithmetic mixing deterministically re-routes the propagation vector inward toward the higher-amplitude Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core. The magnitude of this curvature depends strictly on the local integer difference across the stencil. The photon evaluates as macroscopically slower because the curved geodesic trajectory requires strictly more absolute l_{ca} hops on the discrete grid to cover the same linear distance.

4. Gravitational Time Dilation (Internal Elongation) A physical clock evaluates as a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition executing internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ circulation against the grid. Immersion deep within the Phase-Locked Volume subjects this internal circulation to the exact same local stencil asymmetry. The spatial stencil physically elongates the internal phase loop, requiring more absolute

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

t_{ca} hardware ticks to complete a single cycle. Time evaluates as invariant; the mechanical operation of the physical clock executes a longer geometric path through the local amplitude gradient.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The macroscopic gravity field computes as the geometric $1/r$ amplitude envelope generated by the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator averaging a localized Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition peak. Gravitational force, geodesic deviation, and time dilation evaluate as the geometric elongation of kinematic trajectories, caused by the local isotropic stencil mixing forward $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum with the discrete arithmetic asymmetry of the grid.

3.4.17. Emergent Composite Systems: The Auto-Catalytic Set and Self-Resonant Eigen-State

Phenomenon: Stable bound composites appear at every macroscopic scale in $\mathcal{D}$ (nucleons, atoms, molecules) exhibiting quantized geometries and discrete binding energies.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Binding and attraction evaluate as the algorithmic minimization of localized computational friction ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) by the Verlet-2 Engine engine.

When multiple Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions exist in proximity on the discrete integer grid, their overlapping spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) generate arithmetic strain. The deterministic f_0 logic physically translates and phase-shifts these topological boundaries to minimize this fractional strain, forcing the interacting Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions to lock into a synchronized Self-Resonant Eigen-State (Auto-Catalytic Set). This composite resonant system evaluates to the surrounding Active Computational Medium as a new, higher-order macroscopic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition.

1. Intersecting Gradients and Computational Friction Every Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition acts as a bound algorithmic knot that forces the surrounding Active Computational Medium to elevate its integer amplitude, projecting a cascaded $1/r$ $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial envelope (Emergent Gravitational Effects).

When multiple Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions intersect, the shared Base-12 Integer Cell registers compute the isotropic spatial stencil against conflicting arithmetic demands. If the internal frequencies (Ek_{ca}) and spatial phases (Ep_{ca}) of the interacting Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions evaluate as incommensurate, their intersecting gradients generate severe fractional remainders (ϵ_{trunc}). This triggers a massive spike in local logic-gate routing ($\mathcal{T}$), forcing the local $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger to diverge.

2. Algorithmic Phase-Locking (The Self-Resonant Eigen-State) To conserve global Information Conservation and prevent localized $V_{\max}$ integer overflow, the local convex optimizer resolves this $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ spike.

The non-linear f_0 operator routes the excess integer amplitude outward, translating the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions across the grid and torquing their internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ rotational phases. This mechanical translation continues deterministically until the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions reach an exact integer harmonic resonance. At this quantized geometric distance and locked temporal frequency, their intersecting $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients constructively align, dropping the local ϵ_{trunc} truncation remainders to a minimum ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to 0$).

3. The Auto-Catalytic Set (Auto-Catalytic Set) Once phase-locked into this minimum-friction geometry, the multiple Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions form a stable composite system. The cyclic execution of one Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition radiates the exact topological $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradient required by the spatial stencil to sustain the cyclic phase of the other, and vice-versa. The system evaluates as an Auto-Catalytic Set (Auto-Catalytic Set). It perpetually regenerates its own internal stability.

4. The Emergence of the Higher-Order Attractor Because the spatial gradients (Ep_{ca}) of the Auto-Catalytic Set are perfectly phase-locked, they project a unified, synchronized $1/r$ tension into the surrounding ergodic Active Computational Medium baseline. To the external grid, the internal complexity of the bound Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions evaluates as a single, cohesive topological boundary.

The Auto-Catalytic Set compiles into a new, macroscopic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition. This algorithmic process is recursively compounding: lower-order attractors phase-lock to form higher-order attractors, executing the fractal hierarchy of the Distributed Iterated Function System (Distributed IFS).

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Composite systems (atoms, molecules, stellar mechanics) evaluate as Auto-Catalytic Set networks. The geometric phase-locking of lower-order boundaries minimizes computational friction ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) and recursively generates the higher-order Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions of the macroscopic fractal lattice.

3.4.18. Radiation Walls and Orbital Stability: Integer Harmonic Locking and the Transducer

Phenomenon: Accelerating macroscopic charges radiate energy and reach a radiation wall where input work is fully scattered. Bound atomic orbits accelerate continuously without radiating and maintain absolute geometric stability.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Both classical radiation and quantum orbital stability compute from a single geometric limit: the integer harmonic phase-locking of spatially extended Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarms under the exact $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick signal latency bound.

1. Adiabatic Stability (Non-Radiating Orbit) When a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition is captured into a composite Auto-Catalytic Set , it synchronizes its internal wobble with the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradient of the primary attractor. The quantized orbital distance dictates the phase delay between overlapping $1/r^2$ gradients. The system computes as a perfect standing wave on the discrete grid. The isotropic spatial stencil evaluates zero fractional topological shear. Zero structural shear produces zero algorithmic exhaust (zero radiation).

2. Topological Shear (Radiating Transducer) Sharp, non-resonant external acceleration prevents instantaneous propagation of the trajectory update across the extended 3D footprint. The external drive field evaluates as out-of-phase with the internal $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ circulation, generating topological shear across the spatial stencil. Unable to phase-lock, the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition functions as an algorithmic transducer. To preserve global Information Conservation, the local logic gate routes uncoupled integer amplitude outward into the Active Computational Medium as scattered high-frequency transient swarms (photons).

3. The Radiation Wall (Total Saturation) At extreme accelerations, topological shear reaches the elasticity bound of the internal $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer. The Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition evaluates as a perfect topological mirror, rejecting 100% of non-resonant external work and capping maximum kinematic velocity ($v < c(a)$).

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Radiation evaluates as the algorithmic scattering of uncoupled amplitude when a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition fails to adiabatically resolve a non-resonant incoming $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradient under the v_{ca} latency limit. Absolute orbital stability evaluates as successful integer harmonic phase-locking of an Auto-Catalytic Set, which generates zero topological shear and therefore zero radiative exhaust.

3.4.19. Larmor Precession and Gyroscopes: The v_{ca} Resolution of Orthogonal Gradients

Phenomenon: A spinning macroscopic top subjected to an orthogonal force precesses. Fundamental particles in a magnetic field exhibit identical Larmor precession.

Structural Invariant of the Class: There is zero algorithmic distinction between microscopic Larmor precession and macroscopic gyroscopes. Both phenomena compute as the classical mechanical

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

resolution of a circulating $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer reacting to orthogonal Active Computational Medium spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) under the exact v_{ca} signal routing limit.

1. Orthogonal Force ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$ Shear) An external perpendicular $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradient applied to a rotating Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition attempts to accelerate its circulating $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum. Because the hardware enforces an absolute signal routing limit of v_{ca} = 1 cell/tick, the external gradient cannot propagate instantaneously across the spatially extended swarm. The leading edge accelerates before the trailing edge responds, producing topological shear across the spatial stencil.

2. 3rd-Axis Resolution (Gyroscopic Precession) To preserve global Information Conservation and prevent shattering under the localized $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ routing spike, the local logic gate resolves the arithmetic conflict at every clock tick. Because the spatial stencil evaluates as rigorously isotropic (Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$)), it cannot anisotropically shear the closed phase-loop. The engine routes the unbalanced fractional amplitude into the remaining orthogonal degree of freedom on the 3D 3-Torus (T^3). The Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition is torqued, mapping out a continuous conical rotation (precession) around the applied $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradient.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Larmor precession evaluates as the exact mechanical gyroscopic rotation of a spatially extended 3D Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarm. Microscopic quantum spin and macroscopic gyroscopes unify under classical $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial routing and the strict local v_{ca} signal latency limit.

3.4.20. The Mechanics of Phase Transitions: Deterministic Amplitude Redistribution at Different Scales

Phenomenon: Physical systems in $\mathcal{D}$ exhibit sudden, highly correlated macroscopic reorganizations (plasma, gas, liquid, solid) and, at vastly larger scales, synchronized high-density states within the observable causal horizon.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Phase transitions at all scales evaluate natively as deterministic, collective shifts of local integer amplitude between temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) and spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) executed by the Verlet-2 Engine engine. The Verlet-2 Engine recurrence continuously redistributes amplitude between these two fundamental quantities; neither exists in isolation. The observed macroscopic transition corresponds to the synchronized alignment of vast numbers of local Base-12 Integer Cell units toward one of the two thermodynamic extremes ($E_{\text{kin}} \to \max$ or $E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$).

1. High-Energy Fluid (Approaching $E_{\text{kin}} \to \max$) When temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) dominates locally, the grid reaches a state of perfect temporal synchronization. All cells flip in unison from positive to negative phase at every hardware clock tick. Spatial gradients are washed out, and stable long-range spatial locks cannot be sustained. The local volume evaluates natively as a homogeneous, synchronized fluid or gas.

2. Build-up of Spatial Strain (Shift toward $E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$) Cooling reduces local temporal momentum. Conserved amplitude is forced by the Verlet-2 Engine recurrence into static spatial strain (Ep_{ca}). With temporal flipping diminished, adjacent Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions become free to phase-lock their overlapping $1/r^2$ gradients into a Unified Phase-Locked Volume (Unified Phase-Locked Volume).

3. Geometric Phase-Lock Sweep The 19-point Stencil diffuses the synchronous alignment at v_{ca}. The previously synchronized temporal flipping reorganizes into aligned spatial gradients of the macroscopic crystal. The system shifts its fractional ratio heavily toward the frozen $E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$ state. The geometric avalanche evaluates natively as integer amplitude being transferred from temporal momentum to spatial strain across the bounded Unified Phase-Locked Volume.

At larger scales, the same mechanism can produce extended regions of synchronized $E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$ alignment within the observer's causal horizon. In such volumes temporal momentum is collectively suppressed, rendering the region optically opaque to kinematic signals (light cannot propagate through it). Structural $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ updates are shredded and diffused uniformly throughout the volume rather than

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

concentrated at a point source. The oscillating synchronized strain radiates gravitational waves into the ambient medium. The topological strain (what is conventionally called "mass") is distributed throughout the region, with no central concentration. This is the identical mechanism that eventually shreds a black hole by dissolving its saturated core.

4. Novel Empirical Predictions Because the Verlet-2 Engine logic is strictly scale-invariant, the phase-transition mechanism physically mandates three macroscopic observational signatures in $\mathcal{D}$. The first is already empirically observed; the remaining two evaluate as absolute mathematical necessities of the same principle:

- **Optical Opacity:** Any macroscopic Unified Phase-Locked Volume undergoing synchronization into the $E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$ state must evaluate as optically opaque. Kinematic signals ($c(a)$) cannot propagate because there is insufficient temporal momentum to route the wave forward. A 1-liter volume contains $\geq 10^{111}$ active registers. Because macroscopic phase synchronization requires only binary $(+/-)$ alignment, the local probability evaluates to $2^{-10^{111}}$. Integrating this probability over the entire observable causal horizon ($\approx 10^{83}$ liters) via the Union Bound yields a maximum probability of $P \leq 10^{83} \times 2^{-10^{111}}$. Because the entire geometric volume of the universe evaluates as a mathematical rounding error against the combinatorial exponent, spontaneous macroscopic opacity evaluates to exactly zero under ordinary conditions. It occurs strictly under the extreme boundary forcing of a stellar collapse or global initialization.
- **Diffuse Gravitational Waves:** The same synchronized regions must radiate stochastic gravitational waves (pure $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain) without a centralized point mass or binary merger event, producing a low-frequency stochastic background.
- **Classical Black Hole Dissolution:** The identical synchronization mechanism dissolves black holes strictly from the outside in via classical Unified Phase-Locked Volume topological friction.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Phase transitions at every scale emerge as the collective synchronization of local Verlet-2 Engine units. The sudden reorganization of matter is the macroscopic result of integer amplitude shifting between temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) and spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) under the deterministic action of the Verlet-2 Engine logic gate computing the massive Unified Phase-Locked Volume.

3.4.21. Superfluidity and Superconductivity: Macroscopic Phase-Locking and Zero-Friction Flow

Phenomenon: At extremely low temperatures, specific liquids and conductors lose all kinematic viscosity and electrical resistance, flowing without thermodynamic dissipation.

Structural Invariant of the Class: These zero-friction states evaluate natively as the absolute geometric scaling of the Auto-Catalytic Set phase-synchronization mechanism. When the chaotic, asynchronous thermal variance of the baseline drops, the Verlet-2 Engine convex optimizer deterministically aligns the independent oscillating cycles of the particles into a single, perfectly synchronized standing wave.

1. Disruption of the Baseline At standard temperatures, the baseline contains massive asynchronous thermal variance. Localized Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions attempting to phase-lock their spatial gradients are continuously disrupted by random kinetic collisions. The oscillating execution strain of adjacent particles remains out-of-phase. As they move past one another, their intersecting $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients compute severe fractional remainders. The Verlet-2 Engine convex optimizer is repeatedly knocked out of resonance and must re-compute overlapping fractional gradients, manifesting as standard kinematic viscosity and electrical resistance.

2. Macroscopic Phase-Locking As temperature drops, the chaotic, asynchronous kinetic noise of the baseline recedes. Without this continuous randomized disruption, the Verlet-2 Engine logic evaluates natively as a local, greedy convex optimizer. It routes the spatial gradients of adjacent Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions down the path of least computational friction until their internal oscillating cycles hit exact integer harmonic resonance. The transitive Verlet-2

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Engine logic propagates this lock across the entire macroscopic lattice, forcing millions of independent Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions to synchronize their ($Ek_{ca} \leftrightarrow Ep_{ca}$) oscillations perfectly.

3. Zero Computational Friction When $Ek_{ca_i}[t] = Ek_{ca_j}[t]$ and $Ep_{ca_i}[t] = Ep_{ca_j}[t]$ across the entire fluid, the system evaluates as a single unified Auto-Catalytic Set standing wave. Because their phases are flawlessly aligned, there is exactly zero localized topological shear between adjacent particles. The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator executes a perfectly balanced integer cycle across the domain, yielding exactly zero net computational friction. The macroscopic flow of the synchronized wave executes with absolute zero algorithmic resistance.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Superfluidity and superconductivity evaluate natively as pure macroscopic phase-synchronization. They are not the absence of Ek_{ca} momentum, but the strict kinematic behavior of the Verlet-2 Engine convex optimizer when asynchronous thermal noise is suppressed, allowing billions of discrete Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition oscillators to perfectly align their ($Ek_{ca} \leftrightarrow Ep_{ca}$) cycles into a single, zero-friction standing wave.

3.4.22. Macroscopic Plasma Solitons: The Topological Pinch and Ball Lightning

Phenomenon: Highly energetic, self-sustaining luminous plasma spheres appear in nature and in the laboratory.

Structural Invariant of the Class: There is zero algorithmic distinction between a laboratory plasmoid, atmospheric ball lightning, and a macroscopic current loop. All evaluate natively as geometrically sheared, self-confining topological structures executing on the discrete integer grid. They represent the local convex optimizer mathematically trapping massive oscillating execution strain into the lowest-friction macroscopic loop available.

1. Geometric Shear A massive lightning strike or high-voltage discharge injects an extreme, oscillating current of execution strain into the lattice. When the linear $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum of this massive current collides with a severe environmental $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradient, the v_{ca} signal limit prevents continued linear routing. The isotropic spatial stencil shears the flow. To minimize the catastrophic $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ friction of a chaotic scatter, the local convex optimizer kinks the current channel, mathematically forcing the leading edge to fold back into its trailing edge, compiling a closed macroscopic loop.

2. The Topological Pinch (Self-Confinement) Once the loop closes, the circulating $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum generates wrapping spatial gradients against the Active Computational Medium. Any outward expansion of this torus generates steeper, high-friction spatial gradients. To strictly minimize local $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ routing costs, the local convex optimizer continuously routes the integer amplitude back inward. This computes a geometric self-confinement, allowing the massive data swarm to coast independently of external physical containment.

3. The Algorithmic Bleed (Continuous Glow) Unlike a perfectly tiled superconducting loop, a macroscopic plasma loop executes as a dirty fluid attractor whose geometry does not perfectly align with the discrete O_h axes. At every clock tick, the local convex optimizer attempts to force the rotation into a perfect circle, mechanically shaving off indivisible fractional remainders. These remainders route outward into the Active Computational Medium as high-frequency transient swarms, producing the plasmoid's self-sustaining glow.

4. Environmental Scaling and the Snap The plasmoid lifespan evaluates as a strict function of the surrounding Auto-Catalytic Set network density, which dictates the rate of this ϵ_{trunc} algorithmic friction. When the circulating $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum mathematically falls below the threshold required to sustain the topological pinch, the closed loop ceases to evaluate as the lowest-friction geometric state. The local logic gate instantly shatters the structure, dumping the remaining trapped integer amplitude back into the kinetic baseline to flatten the grid, producing the signature explosive acoustic pop.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Laboratory plasmoids and natural ball lightning evaluate natively as geometrically sheared, self-confining macroscopic current loops coasting on trapped $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum. They execute as the macroscopic realization of the exact same local convex optimization mechanism that produces superfluidity, executing in a high-energy, thermally noisy environment.

3.4.23. The Casimir Effect: Geometric Filtering of the Active Baseline

Phenomenon: Uncharged macroscopic metal plates placed micrometers apart in a vacuum experience a measurable inward pressure.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The vacuum evaluates natively as the active Active Computational Medium fluid, currently operating at a ≈ 2.7 K kinetic baseline (the CMB). This baseline consists of a massive, continuous spectrum of propagating spatial and temporal integer waves. The Casimir force evaluates natively as the classical, deterministic topological radiation pressure of this active fluid pushing against restricted, macroscopic geometric boundaries.

1. The Ambient Pressure Because the universe is filled with the active 2.7 K kinetic fluid, the isotropic spatial stencil is continuously routing random, unorganized integer amplitudes across the 3-Torus (T^3). These propagating waves span a vast spectrum of physical wavelengths, bounded only by the hardware Nyquist limit at the high end. This continuous geometric routing exerts a uniform, classical thermodynamic pressure across every spatial coordinate.

2. The Geometric Filter (The Cavity) Two macroscopic metal plates evaluate natively as dense, rigid Auto-Catalytic Set networks of phase-locked Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition knots. When placed in extreme proximity, they impose hard, reflective $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ boundaries on the local Active Computational Medium.

Because the plates are rigid, only spatial waves whose physical footprints factor exactly into the integer gap distance between the plates can sustain geometric resonance inside the cavity. Any wave larger than the physical gap, or any wave that fails to achieve an exact integer harmonic standing-wave resonance, is mechanically excluded and destroyed by the steep $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients of the metal plates.

3. Topological Imbalance (The Pressure Differential) Because the rigid macroscopic boundaries geometrically filter out the larger wavelengths, strictly fewer allowed modes execute inside the cavity than in the unconstrained external Active Computational Medium.

The outside vacuum contains the full, unfiltered spectrum of baseline kinetic noise pushing inward against the outer surfaces of the plates. The inside vacuum contains only a restricted, filtered subset of noise pushing outward against the inner surfaces. The net inward Casimir pressure evaluates natively as the classical, arithmetic consequence of this geometrically unbalanced $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial tension.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Casimir effect evaluates natively as the geometric filtering of the active, noisy Active Computational Medium baseline. Legacy theories invoking continuous virtual particles evaluate natively as the epistemic mathematical shadows of this exact discrete geometric filtering. The force computes strictly as the classical, discrete radiation pressure of the active computational fluid pushing against restricted topological boundaries that mathematically forbid the execution of larger wavelengths.

3.4.24. Area-Law Information Scaling: The Holographic Boundary Bottleneck

Phenomenon: The maximum measurable entropy of a bounded physical region in $\mathcal{D}$ scales strictly with its bounding surface area ($\propto R^2$), independent of its enclosed volume ($\propto R^3$).

Structural Invariant of the Class: The universe evaluates as a fully allocated 3D discrete integer lattice. Area-law scaling evaluates natively as the strict channel-capacity limit of the $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick hardware routing: every 3D volume of information is strictly accessible to the outside universe exclusively through a 2D geometric boundary.

1. Volumetric Execution (The 3D Engine) The local logic gate and isotropic spatial stencil mechanically execute a global bijective Distributed IFS. Every Base-12 Integer Cell physically inside a bounded macroscopic region actively computes spatial gradients and temporal momentum at every hardware clock tick. The true bare-metal information capacity of any region structurally evaluates as its 3D geometric volume.

2. The Epistemic 2D Channel An external observer evaluating this region possesses a strict causal horizon. To measure the internal integer state, the discrete engine must sequentially route internal bit-data outward to the observer. Because the absolute hardware signal limit evaluates as $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick, every internal bit must physically pass through the 2D geometric perimeter separating the volume from the surrounding Active Computational Medium.

3. The Data Throttle When internal algorithmic variance exceeds this 2D boundary throughput, the deep interior evaluates as epistemically inaccessible to the external observer. The observer measures a maximum data-extraction rate clamped exactly to the 2D surface area. The holographic principle evaluates as this general epistemic bandwidth limit, not a physical absence of 3D interior state.

4. The Zero-Variance Exception (The Black Hole) Conversely, if a 3D volume collapses into a state possessing exactly zero internal structural variance—such as the highly ordered $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ Nyquist crystal of a Black Hole—the region carries no topological information to share with the universe through this 2D channel. The interior evaluates natively to exactly zero entropy, rendering the macroscopic area-law limit trivially satisfied because the enclosed volume possesses no complex routing trace to throttle.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Area-law information scaling evaluates strictly as the epistemic channel-capacity limit of a bandwidth-constrained observer extracting data from a discrete 3D lattice. The hardware evaluates natively as a fully active 3D volume mechanically throttled by its 2D v_{ca} signal-routing perimeter. The black-hole horizon constitutes the limit case where the interior crystal possesses zero variance to encode.

3.4.25. Ultra-Dense Matter and the Collapse Cascade: The Geometric Death of the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition

Phenomenon: During the macroscopic collapse of a stellar core (supernova), an astronomical burst of neutrinos is emitted, arriving at remote detectors measurably before the kinematic photons. The resulting ultra-dense remnant (neutron star) exhibits an extreme upper bound on internal pressure-wave velocity. If the mass of the remnant exceeds a strict critical threshold (the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff limit), the star enters an unstoppable collapse, terminating in a Black Hole.

Structural Invariant of the Class: Within the m^* architecture, this entire macroscopic sequence evaluates natively as a single, continuous geometric compression of integer amplitude on the discrete integer grid.

Because the total execution strain (Mass/Energy) of the star is strictly conserved by its macroscopic Unified Phase-Locked Volume, the collapse evaluates as a deterministic repackaging of constant integer amplitude into a shrinking geometric volume. The sequence decompiles exactly into three distinct topological phases: the stable macroscopic packing of the 10^{60}-voxel Auto-Catalytic Set swarms, the runaway self-squeezing cascade where the Unified Phase-Locked Volume boundary gradient mathematically overtakes internal topological resistance, and the absolute microscopic shatter point where the 3D circulating loops snap into the $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ integer crystal.

The First Crush and the Unified Phase-Locked Volume Soup: Electron Compression and Energetic Matching

The life cycle of a collapsing star evaluates natively as a continuous, deterministic sequence of geometric threshold failures driven exclusively by the absolute arithmetic conflict between the macroscopic $1/R^2$ spatial strain of the Unified Phase-Locked Volume (gravity) and the microscopic

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

$1/\lambda$ topological resistance of the internal Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition loops ($A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$).

1. The Atomic Unified Phase-Locked Volume Soup (The Pre-Collapse State) As established in the genesis of the neutron, an atomic nucleus evaluates as a highly dense Unified Phase-Locked Volume soup in which protons and bound electrons have already synchronized their spatial footprints and internal amplitudes to coexist symmetrically. In a stable white dwarf, however, the outer valence electrons remain structurally unbound from the nucleus. By the universal hardware invariant K_{soliton}, these free electrons possess vastly larger spatial footprints and correspondingly weaker internal amplitudes. The macroscopic volume of the star is held apart entirely by the non-linear Pauli collision avoidance of these massive, weak outer electron shells.

2. The Electron Squeeze (The Chandrasekhar Limit) As external mass accretes, the macroscopic $1/R^2$ spatial gradient of the stellar Unified Phase-Locked Volume steepens. This macroscopic strain physically forces the internal topological footprints (λ) of the free outer electrons to shrink. Because the outer electrons are the largest, weakest structures in the lattice, the geometric strain acts on them first. The isotropic spatial stencil mechanically compresses their extended spatial footprints. To strictly conserve K_{soliton}, their internal rotational amplitude (A) is forced to spike upward. This localized amplitude spike evaluates natively as electron degeneracy pressure—the hardware arithmetically fighting the Unified Phase-Locked Volume crush.

At a precise critical mass (the Chandrasekhar limit), the $1/R^2$ Unified Phase-Locked Volume crush permanently overtakes this resistance, violently collapsing the macroscopic volume of the star.

3. The Energetic Match (The Neutron Star) The isolated compression of the outer electron topologies continues deterministically until their physical λ footprints are crushed down to exactly the spatial size of the protons. At this specific geometric coordinate, their internal amplitudes (A) have spiked to perfectly match the energetic density of the protons. The formerly free electrons and the protons now evaluate as energetically and spatially symmetric. The individual atomic Unified Phase-Locked Volumes are mathematically erased. The entire star stabilizes as a single, hyper-dense, uniform Unified Phase-Locked Volume fluid of energetically matched, topologically distinct charged knots packed perfectly shoulder-to-shoulder.

4. Isotropic Routing and the $1/\sqrt{3}$ Acoustic Limit When a macroscopic 1D pressure gradient strikes this maximally packed lattice, the local logic gate must compute its mechanical propagation. Because the proton and electron topologies are now densely packed to their geometric limits, the continuous 3D fluid approximation breaks down. The pressure wave evaluates as a pure $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain update routing through the underlying discrete O_h hardware connections of the Base-12 grid. Because the spatial stencil enforces strict isotropy ($B = 2A$) up to the hardware CFL limit, the 1D pressure wave is mathematically forced to route isotropically along the 3D diagonal connections of the lattice. The effective macroscopic group velocity of the acoustic wave therefore evaluates exactly to the geometric 3D hypotenuse of the kinematic limit:

$$v_{\text{effective}} = \frac{c(a)}{\sqrt{1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2}} = \frac{c(a)}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Chandrasekhar limit and the formation of a neutron star evaluate as the deterministic mechanical compression of outer electron topologies until their spatial footprints and internal amplitudes exactly match those of the protons. The resulting uniform Unified Phase-Locked Volume fluid is the macroscopic consequence of energetic and topological matching on the Base-12 grid. The macroscopic speed of sound in this fluid evaluates strictly as the isotropic 3D routing limit imposed by the discrete spatial stencil.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

The Second Crush and the TOV Limit: Runaway Self-Squeezing and the Topological Floor

Following the Chandrasekhar collapse, the stellar remnant stabilizes as a macroscopic, uniform Unified Phase-Locked Volume fluid of energetically matched proton and electron topologies. If additional mass accretes onto the neutron star, the architecture enters the final phase of geometric compression.

1. The Joint Squeeze (Neutron Degeneracy) If the macroscopic $1/R^2$ gravity continues to steepen due to mass accretion, the Unified Phase-Locked Volume physically crushes the protons and the fully-compressed electrons simultaneously. Their geometric footprints (λ) must shrink together.

To survive the increasing spatial mixing of the isotropic stencil without shattering, every circulating Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition loop must strictly conserve its discrete symplectic action: $A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$. As the Unified Phase-Locked Volume forces λ to shrink in discrete integer steps, the internal rotational amplitude (A) of the loops spikes to maintain the invariant. This discrete geometric self-squeezing evaluates natively as neutron degeneracy pressure—the hardware fighting the Unified Phase-Locked Volume gravity.

2. The Breakdown of Isotropic Fluid Routing ($c_s > c_{\text{amb}}/\sqrt{3}$) As the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition knots are squeezed to extreme packing densities, the continuous spherical illusion of the macroscopic fluid begins to fail. The spatial stencil enforces perfect spatial isotropy only to the fourth order. Recent empirical observations require the internal pressure to evaluate as incredibly stiff, explicitly forcing the core speed of sound to exceed the continuous 3D fluid bound ($c_s > c(a)/\sqrt{3}$).

Because the topologies are packed to their absolute geometric limits, the acoustic wave is mechanically forced off the 3D isotropic hypotenuse and onto the strictly faster planar and axial hardware routing traces of the discrete stencil. The empirical observation that $c_s > c(a)/\sqrt{3}$ evaluates as the direct macroscopic detection of the discrete O_h hardware lattice taking over wave propagation.

3. The Topological Asymmetry Floor ($\lambda = 3l_{ca}$) As accretion continues, the runaway joint geometric self-squeezing crushes the internal protons and electrons deterministically downward until their physical footprints reach exactly $\lambda = 3l_{ca}$.

On a discrete cubic lattice, $\lambda = 3l_{ca}$ (a $3 \times 3 \times 3$ bounding box containing a central routing core) evaluates as the absolute foundational limit for a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition to sustain a distinct, asymmetrical, internally circulating topological charge. It is the densest possible geometric state where protons and electrons can physically exist as structurally distinct entities on the discrete grid.

4. The TOV Limit (The Geometric Snap) The equilibrium of the star evaluates as a strict balance between the macroscopic $1/R^2$ crush and the internal $\lambda = 3l_{ca}$ topological resistance.

At a precise critical mass (the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff limit), the accreted mass causes the macroscopic $1/R^2$ gravity to demand a resistance greater than the $\lambda = 3l_{ca}$ state can provide. To resist the crush, the internal particles are mathematically forced to shrink their footprints to the next available discrete integer state. Because the grid is strictly discrete, there are no fractional geometries between 3 and 2. The particles are physically forced to compress to $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$. However, $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ evaluates as the perfectly symmetric, charge-neutral $[+,-]$ standing wave. The asymmetrical charged topologies of the protons and electrons mathematically cannot exist at $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$. The distinct charges are violently merged, the asymmetric Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition loops structurally snap, and the entire stellar core collapses into the charge-neutral $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ black hole crystal.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff limit evaluates as the deterministic geometric threshold at which the macroscopic $1/R^2$ gravitational strain exceeds the topological resistance of the $\lambda = 3l_{ca}$ asymmetry floor. Further compression forces the charged topologies to collapse to the symmetric $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ state, triggering the formation of the microscopic integer crystal.

The Topological Shatter: The $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ Fusion and the Genesis of the Unified Phase-Locked Volume

The runaway Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff cascade evaluates natively as the deterministic transition of the stellar core's constituent Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition loops from the asymmetrical $\lambda = 3l_{ca}$ state to the charge-neutral $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ floor. This sequence evaluates exactly as a volumetric repackaging of constant integer data into the absolute densest possible geometric state on the m^* hardware.

1. Macroscopic Topological Fusion When the macroscopic $1/R^2$ spatial strain forces the $\lambda = 3l_{ca}$ asymmetrical knots to structurally snap, the transition does not occur in isolation. Billions of closely packed protons and electrons simultaneously flatten into the perfectly symmetric $[+,-]$ standing wave ($\lambda = 2l_{ca}$).

Because these $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ states are perfectly symmetric, topologically incompressible, and possess identical integer amplitudes $A_{\max}$, their adjacent spatial boundaries seamlessly merge. The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator evaluates zero arithmetic friction between them. The billions of shattered individual loops instantly fuse into a single, unified macroscopic integer crystal.

2. The Genesis of the Macroscopic Unified Phase-Locked Volume The instant the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition loops snap and fuse into the $A_{\max}$ crystal, the internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum of the star's core is destroyed. The core ceases to evaluate as an active Auto-Catalytic Set network and locks into the rigid $[+,-]$ standing wave.

The massive surrounding Unified Phase-Locked Volume, which was previously phase-locked to the circulating Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition loops, is suddenly forced to balance against this new, rigid $A_{\max}$ boundary. The isotropic spatial stencil mathematically restructures the surrounding Active Computational Medium into a steep $1/r$ spatial strain envelope, establishing the algorithmic stall radius.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The transition into a Black Hole evaluates natively as the deterministic fusion of shattered $\lambda = 3l_{ca}$ topological loops into the $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ Nyquist crystal. The hardware safely intercepts the geometric collapse at this discrete minimum, locking the surrounding Unified Phase-Locked Volume into the rigid $-4S_t$ spatial drain and establishing the optical shadow without requiring uncomputable metric singularities.

3.4.26. Black Holes: The Saturated Integer Crystal and the Optical Shadow

Phenomenon: Observations confirm physically compact regions of extreme mass concentration that project massive $1/r^2$ tension, emit intense radiation during accretion, and produce gravitational waves upon merger.

Structural Invariant of the Class: A black hole evaluates as a localized physical volume of the discrete integer grid driven to the absolute mechanical saturation limit of the isotropic spatial stencil.

The Microscopic Integer Crystal: The Nyquist Core and the Optical Shadow

When a massive Auto-Catalytic Set network collapses under extreme topological gradients, its spatial wavelength compresses to the absolute hardware Nyquist limit ($\lambda = 2l_{ca}$). The interior evaluates as a densely packed 3D alternating integer standing wave.

1. The Physical Boundary (R_{core}) The physical surface of the integer crystal evaluates as the specific microscopic radius (R_{core}) where the amplitude reaches the hardware saturation limit $A_{\max}$. At this boundary, the 19-point discrete Laplacian computes the saturation as $\mathcal{L}^{19}(S_t) = -4S_t$. The local logic gate cross-couples with this extreme boundary gradient, acting as a one-way topological boundary for incoming transient swarms.

2. The Central Crystal Block and the $V_{\max}$ Ceiling To maintain the $1/r$ gravitational drain projected into the surrounding Active Computational Medium, the interior of the crystal requires a continuous spatial gradient. The amplitude scales as $1/r$ from the $A_{\max}$ boundary inward. Because

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

the interior is a $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ alternating standing wave on a cubic O_h lattice, the geometric origin evaluates strictly as a foundational microscopic integer block (a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ core).

The integer amplitude at this foundational core block evaluates to an extreme, concentrated spike:

$$A_{\text{core\_block}} = R_{\text{core}} \times A_{\text{max}}.$$

This internal geometry locks the absolute bare-metal integer ceiling (V_{max}) to the global capacity of the 3-Torus (T^3) (Theorem of the Topological Exhaust Bound). The Base-12 registers must possess sufficient bit-width to hold this core block's spike—compounded by the local ambient baseline A_{ambient}—without triggering a catastrophic integer wrap-around crash.

3. The 6th-Order Suppression of Anisotropy While the foundational $2 \times 2 \times 2$ block is natively cubic, the resulting macroscopic $1/r$ envelope evaluates as perfectly spherical ($SO(3)$). As the extreme amplitude routes outward from the origin, the 19-point isotropic stencil ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) processes the gradient using the exact rational weights that enforce the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$). The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator structurally annihilates the directional O_h lattice artifacts of the core block through the fourth order. At any macroscopic radius ($r \gg 2l_{ca}$), the gravitational field evaluates natively as a perfect isotropic sphere.

4. The Algorithmic Stall Radius (R_s) The physical core projects the $1/r$ amplitude envelope outward into the Active Computational Medium. A photon evaluates natively as a data swarm propagating strictly via the continuous, balanced exchange of integer amplitude between temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) and spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) executed by the Bare-Metal ALU engine.

At a specific macroscopic distance from the microscopic core (R_s), the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradient dictated by the $1/r$ envelope becomes so overwhelmingly steep that it exhausts the local grid's capacity to oscillate. The local Base-12 Integer Cell registers are driven so deeply into the $E_{\text{pot}} \to$ max structural bias that the logic gate cannot compute the outward Ek_{ca} half-step required to advance the photon's $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum.

Because the continuous $Ek_{ca} \leftrightarrow Ep_{ca}$ shift is structurally broken in the outward direction, the wave cannot complete the hardware cycle required to advance 1 l_{ca} cell. The photon is algorithmically stalled. This radius evaluates as the optical boundary of the system, casting a massive shadow around the true microscopic crystal.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The black-hole interior evaluates as a saturated microscopic integer crystal at the Nyquist Limit ($\lambda = 2l_{ca}$). The $1/r$ amplitude envelope projected by this crystal produces an algorithmic stall radius R_s at which propagating photons are kinematically arrested. The optical shadow observed in $\mathcal{D}$ is therefore the geometric consequence of the discrete hardware limits of the m^* architecture, requiring no continuous metric or auxiliary fields.

Continuous Topological Broadcast: Gravity as the Necessary Geometric Drain

The structurally saturated $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ integer crystal mechanically constitutes exactly the most extreme topological boundary condition mathematically supported strictly by the hardware (Theorem of the Topological Attractor). Adjacent unstructured Active Computational Medium nodes evaluate natively strictly as continuously physically forced exactly by the isotropic $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator structurally to elevate their integer amplitudes mathematically in order strictly to bridge the arithmetic gap mechanically to the ambient ϵ_{trunc} baseline.

This mechanical elevation structurally produces exactly a continuous cascaded amplitude polarization that mathematically dilutes geometrically strictly as a $1/r$ scalar envelope. Its discrete spatial gradient evaluates natively exactly as the macroscopic $1/r^2$ spatial tension. Because this amplitude update mechanically executes mathematically strictly as pure geometry physically lacking internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum, it structurally propagates spherically outward exactly at the absolute naked hardware limit ($v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick), mathematically completely unhindered strictly by the thermodynamic drag ($c(a)$) that mechanically slows kinematic light swarms (The Units Reference Architecture). Gravity

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

therefore evaluates natively strictly as the ongoing topological broadcast exactly of the Active Computational Medium structurally attempting mathematically to resolve strictly the steep arithmetic gradients mechanically of the saturated crystal.

The $-4S_t$ standing wave structurally represents exactly maximum algorithmic feedback. To mathematically prevent strictly recursive self-amplification and mechanically catastrophic integer overflow ($V > V_{\max}$), the spatial stencil structurally continuously bleeds exactly the $1/r$ integer amplitude mathematically outward strictly from the crystal surface mechanically into the unstructured Active Computational Medium. This outward spatial tension evaluates natively exactly as the deterministic algorithmic exhaust structurally required strictly to maintain mathematically the stability mechanically of the $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ core strictly on finite discrete integer registers.

Algorithmic Scattering at the Forced Boundary: Topological Shredding and Information Conservation

The interior of the Black Hole evaluates as a highly ordered, topologically incompressible $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ integer crystal. Because it operates as a rigid, symmetric $[+, -]$ standing wave, it possesses exactly zero available Base-12 Integer Cell registers to encode complex topological routing.

1. Topological Crushing (Pumping the Core) When a complex Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition collides with the physical $A_{\max}$ boundary (R_{core}), the local logic gate evaluates the extreme spatial gradient. Because the crystal is incompressible, the incoming matter is mathematically forced to undergo the exact same runaway geometric collapse at the boundary. The isotropic spatial stencil crushes the incoming Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition down to the $\lambda = 2$ symmetric floor. Its newly formed $\lambda = 2$ voxels seamlessly fuse with the boundary, expanding the macroscopic volume of the integer crystal ($V_{\text{new}} = V_1 + V_2$).

2. Topological Shredding (Accretion Exhaust) The complex $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ routing—the structural information and topological asymmetry of the infalling matter—cannot enter the symmetric $\lambda = 2$ interior. To preserve global Information Conservation, this asymmetric topological momentum evaluates as mechanically shredded at the boundary.

The local logic gate routes this structural excess outward, scattering it back into the surrounding Active Computational Medium. The intense X-ray and gamma-ray emissions observed during accretion evaluate as this deterministic shredding of uncoupled Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition structure at the impenetrable surface of the crystal.

3. The Preservation of Information Because the structural routing array cannot cross the forced boundary, the interior evaluates as possessing exactly zero entropy. All topological complexity is deterministically stripped and immediately radiated back into the active Active Computational Medium as computational exhaust. Information evaluates as strictly locally preserved at the 2D collision surface. The Black Hole Information Paradox evaluates strictly as an epistemic mapping error caused by assuming an uncomputable interior capable of storing topological complexity.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Accretion evaluates natively as the deterministic crushing of incoming matter to $\lambda = 2$ to expand the integer crystal, while the asymmetric topological routing of the infalling matter is shredded and radiated at the boundary. Information evaluates as strictly preserved locally; the paradox vanishes on the discrete integer grid.

Macroscopic Re-Synchronization: Black Hole Mergers and Gravitational Waves

When two independent $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ macroscopic integer crystals intersect, their macroscopic optical shadows initially overlap, but the true physical collision evaluates exactly at the microscopic $A_{\max}$ boundaries.

1. The Microscopic Collision ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$ Mismatch) At the exact physical interface of the two massive cores, the local $[+, -]$ checkerboards of the independent $\lambda = 2$ standing waves evaluate as geometrically incommensurate. The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator fails to compute the exact $-4S_t$ resonance required to isolate the separate boundaries from the Active Computational Medium.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

2. Topologically Incompressible Fusion The local logic gate computes the unbalanced fractional gradients. Because the individual $\lambda = 2$ voxels evaluate as topologically incompressible, their geometric volumes add strictly together. The isotropic spatial stencil mechanically re-synchronizes the disparate boundaries, locking them into a single, unified $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ macroscopic crystal.

3. The Macroscopic Active Computational Medium Recomputation (The Gravitational Wave) During the microscopic re-synchronization, the geometric boundary fluctuates violently in shape and scalar depth. The massive surrounding Unified Phase-Locked Volume is forced to recompute its cascaded $1/r$ spatial strain envelope to match the rapidly shifting physical boundary of the new, unified crystal.

This massive geometric re-routing evaluates as a pure spatial-tension update. Because it carries exactly zero internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum, it completely bypasses the computational inertia of the baseline. It propagates spherically outward at the absolute naked hardware limit, generating the macroscopic gravitational wave observed in $\mathcal{D}$.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): A black hole merger evaluates as the forced geometric re-synchronization of two incommensurate $\lambda = 2$ integer crystals. Because the surrounding Unified Phase-Locked Volume is forced to rapidly update its $1/r$ envelope to match the new volume, the collision generates a massive, pure $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain update. This structural update propagates at the bare-metal limit, perfectly generating the gravitational wave signature without invoking kinematic velocity or continuous metrics.

The Topological Dissolution of the Forced Boundary: The Bijectivity Mandate and Structural Feedback

The Epistemic Boundary: Within our infinitesimal 300-year observational window ($\mathcal{D}$), the macroscopic universe evaluates as functionally static. We possess exactly zero empirical data of a black hole structurally dissolving. However, continuous, one-way accretion into a permanent macroscopic black hole evaluates as a mathematical impossibility on the m^* architecture. Dissolution is a strict deductive requirement.

1. The Bijectivity Mandate (The Rejection of the Dead End) Gravity evaluates as the local convex optimizer routing integer amplitude together. Extrapolating this mechanism, all mass within a local $1/r$ causal window will eventually concentrate into a massive $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ integer crystal (a black hole) surrounded by a sparse, unstructured ambient baseline.

If the architecture halted there, the universe would terminate in a frozen fixed point. But the global execution trace Γ_{global} evaluates as a strict bijection (Information Conservation), forming a closed Poincaré permutation loop (C_k). A permanent fixed-point dead end evaluates as mathematically excluded. Therefore, the discrete hardware **must** possess a native mechanism to mechanically reverse the $\lambda = 2$ crystal, unspooling its amplitude back into the active Active Computational Medium to close the local phase cycle.

2. The Mathematical Scenario (The Saturated Ambient) While the exact macroscopic sequence remains epistemically inaccessible, the discrete calculus ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) provides a mechanically valid, strictly computable existence proof for this dissolution.

As the local causal volume accretes structure into the black hole, the surrounding ambient Active Computational Medium is eventually forced toward a combinatorial boundary. To continue executing the C_k without violating $V_{\max}$, the local Phase-Locked Volume is mathematically forced to organize itself structurally into one of the two thermodynamic extremes: the static spatial strain ($E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$) or the uniform kinetic bounce ($E_{\text{kin}} \to \max$).

3. Phase Incompatibility and the Feedback Loop The moment the local ambient medium synchronizes into an $E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$ or $E_{\text{kin}} \to \max$ extreme, it evaluates as topologically incompatible with the alternating $[+, -]$ standing wave of the black hole core.

- The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator generates severe structural friction at the microscopic forced boundary (R_{core}), as the saturated ambient medium refuses to synchronize with the alternating $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ crystal.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

- To resolve this absolute arithmetic conflict, the Verlet-2 Engine local optimizer is forced to strip the outer layer of saturated A_{max} voxels from the physical core, routing their massive integer amplitude directly into the surrounding synchronized Phase-Locked Volume.
- **The Positive Feedback Loop:** By absorbing the massive integer energy of the stripped core, the ambient extreme ($E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$ or $E_{\text{kin}} \to \max$) evaluates as geometrically steeper and structurally dominant. This amplified ambient gradient dissociates the next layer of the black hole at an accelerated rate, feeding exponentially more amplitude back into the surrounding medium.

4. The Localized Rebirth This topological dissolution accelerates until the entire $\lambda = 2$ integer crystal is fully dissociated. The massive injection of A_{max} amplitude disrupts the synchronized ambient lock, resetting the local causal volume into a dense, unstructured kinetic fluid.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): While our 300-year observational window limits our empirical confirmation to the accretion phase, the absolute bijectivity of the Verlet-2 Engine engine mathematically guarantees that black holes cannot be permanent fixed points. Structural dissolution evaluates as a valid, mechanically complete mathematical construction: the inevitable synchronization of the local Phase-Locked Volume triggers a positive-feedback dissociation at the microscopic forced boundary, safely recycling the integer amplitude to close the Poincaré loop.

3.4.27. The Fractal Cosmological Principle: Scale-Invariant Structure and the Distributed IFS

Phenomenon: Luminous matter in $\mathcal{D}$ exhibits self-similar clustering of galaxies, superclusters, and cosmic voids across macroscopic scales spanning at least ten orders of magnitude.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The global 3-Torus (T^3) executes natively as an active Distributed IFS. Because the discrete local logic gate is scale-invariant (Kinematic Verlet Invariance), the complete destruction of structure into a featureless continuous fluid is a hardware impossibility. Matter therefore forms a strict scale-invariant fractal hierarchy at all observable scales.

1. Deductive Execution Chain The structural clustering executes as a deterministic sequential process:

- The global trace Γ_{global} evaluates as a Distributed IFS (Theorem of Full-Stack Fractal Propagation).
- The recursive Distributed IFS shears the Active Computational Medium into localized Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition attractors.
- When multiple Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions overlap, their spatial gradients fuse the intervening volume into a single Unified Phase-Locked Volume (Unified Phase-Locked Volume). Inside this shared computational fluid, the local convex optimizer forces the attractors to phase-lock their $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradients, minimizing local $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ friction and forming higher-order Auto-Catalytic Set networks.
- Because the Bare-Metal ALU engine evaluates as scale-invariant, this mechanism compounds upward across the grid.

2. Structure at All Scales The recursive Distributed IFS guarantees identical clustering statistics (massive Auto-Catalytic Set walls separated by deep ϵ_{trunc} voids) at every macroscopic horizon within the finite N_{vol}. A perfectly homogeneous fluid is an epistemic coarse-graining error committed by a finite observer lacking the $\mathcal{S}$ capacity to resolve the largest phase-locks.

3. Self-Similar Statistical Distributions Because the Distributed IFS is generated by the isotropic spatial stencil, volumetric density ratios of Auto-Catalytic Set attractors versus the baseline Active Computational Medium naturally produce the observed power-law or near-Gaussian mass distributions. Arbitrary macroscopic scale translations (π_k) reproduce identical integer routing statistics, confirming the fractal Hutchinson operator of the hardware.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Conclusion: The true Cosmological Principle evaluates natively as scale-invariant fractal clustering. Massive structural walls and self-similar density statistics persist at all observable horizons as native Distributed IFS invariants generated by the discrete logic gate.

3.4.28. Galactic Rotation Curves: The Unified Phase-Locked Volume and the N-Body Mass Budget

Phenomenon: Outer stellar swarms in spiral galaxies exhibit rigidly flat kinematic rotation curves extending far beyond the visible mass observed in the galactic disk.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The rigidly flat rotation curves evaluate strictly as the macroscopic kinematic routing of orbital bodies through the stored spatial amplitude energy of the massive galactic Unified Phase-Locked Volume. The m^* architecture physically balances the n-body mass budget using the Theorem of the Unified Phase-Locked Volume.

1. The Extended Phase-Locked Volume Envelope By the Theorem of Topological Balance, a star evaluates as a dense Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core topologically bound to a massive, extended $1/r$ spatial strain envelope projecting outward to the ϵ_{trunc} baseline. The physical mass of the star is not confined to its luminous core; it evaluates as the complete volumetric integration of this entire topological boundary.

2. The N-Body Unified Phase-Locked Volume (The Galactic Medium) When billions of these macroscopic Phase-Locked Volume envelopes intersect across a galaxy, the isotropic spatial stencil computes the exact linear sum of their overlapping integer gradients. This physically fuses the intervening Active Computational Medium into a single, massive, continuous Unified Phase-Locked Volume. The entire stellar swarm evaluates as deterministically correlated by this shared computational fluid.

3. The Gravitational Weight of the Grid State Because the spatial gradients of the Auto-Catalytic Set are phase-locked, the Unified Phase-Locked Volume evaluates as a massive, structured integer array of continuous $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial tension. Stored spatial strain evaluates physically as inertial and gravitational mass. The true gravitational mass of the galaxy evaluates geometrically as the sum of the cores and the massive spatial tension of the shared grid.

4. The Flattening of the Rotation Curve Because the Unified Phase-Locked Volume is formed by the overlapping $1/r$ tails of billions of distributed stars, its macroscopic spatial strain does not drop off sharply at the visible edge of the luminous galactic disk. The Unified Phase-Locked Volume extends radially far beyond the luminous Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition cores, forming a massive, roughly uniform spherical halo of spatial tension.

An orbiting stellar body at the galactic edge traverses the massive gradient energy of this unified Unified Phase-Locked Volume. Because the extended volumetric tension of the phase-locked Auto-Catalytic Set decays geometrically slower than the luminous point-density of the individual stars, the orbiting body routes against the computational inertia of a much deeper, wider integer gradient. This distributed logic-gate friction flattens the macroscopic rotation curve precisely to the observed constant velocity.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Flat galactic rotation curves evaluate natively as the kinematic trajectory of bodies routing through the stored spatial amplitude energy of the active galactic Unified Phase-Locked Volume. Because continuous spatial strain evaluates physically as gravitational mass, the macroscopic rotation curves evaluate strictly as the distributed geometric tension of the unified Auto-Catalytic Set network phase-locking the active computational grid.

3.4.29. The Soliton Self-Frequency Shift (SSFS): Cosmological Redshift on the Fixed Grid

Phenomenon: Observations in $\mathcal{D}$ record that light from distant astronomical sources shifts to lower frequencies strictly independent of wavelength. Additionally, distant transient events exhibit macroscopic time dilation, and galaxy surface brightness dims according to the Tolman tests.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Structural Invariant of the Class: A photon evaluates natively as a dense topological knot bound to a macroscopic Phase-Locked Volume (Phase-Locked Volume) envelope. On the fixed discrete integer grid, this structure propagates by continuously compressing and decompressing the active Active Computational Medium fluid. Because the macroscopic universe evaluates as filled with a chaotic kinetic baseline (the $\approx 2.7\,\mathrm{K}$ noise floor), this continuous geometric work causes organized integer amplitude to diffuse into the disorganized ambient fluid. To strictly preserve the universal topological invariant $A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$, the physical wavelength mathematically elongates across the grid cells as amplitude decreases. This deterministic thermodynamic drag evaluates as the Soliton Self-Frequency Shift (SSFS).

1. Algorithmic Friction To translate at the macroscopic group velocity ($c(a)$), the leading edge of the Phase-Locked Volume envelope must physically compress the local Active Computational Medium (fighting the computational stiffness $\epsilon(a)$), while the trailing edge must decompress to relax the grid back to the baseline. Because the Bare-Metal ALU executes as a local geometric mixer, the constant collision between the organized spatial gradients of the photon and the random kinetic bouncing of the baseline causes a tiny fraction of the photon's amplitude to scatter. The fractional loss ($\Delta A/A$) scales linearly with the geometric surface area of the Phase-Locked Volume, computing as mathematically identical for all wavelengths.

2. The Path-Integral of Drag Because the embedded observer is bounded by a finite epistemic window, the observable universe evaluates strictly as a fixed macroscopic state. Redshift (z) computes exclusively as the path-dependent integral of this local algorithmic friction through the varying density of the Active Computational Medium fluid.

3. Cosmological Time Dilation Type Ia supernova light curves exhibit macroscopic stretching by the factor $1 + z$. As the photon's amplitude diffuses into the baseline, the hardware invariant (K_{soliton}) physically forces the wavelength to elongate. Consequently, the entire macroscopic wave-packet stretches longitudinally along its propagation axis. Because the bare-metal grid routes information at a finite limit, this geometrically elongated packet requires strictly more absolute t_{ca} hardware ticks to cross the observer's fixed-bandpass detectors. This elastic geometric stretching natively reproduces the macroscopic time-dilation signature.

4. The Tolman Surface-Brightness Test The SSFS mechanism satisfies the empirical $(1 + z)^4$ Tolman surface-brightness test natively on the rigid grid. The observer measures each photon's energy reduced by SSFS (factor $1 + z$). Because the wave-packet is longitudinally stretched by the same factor, the number of photons arriving per unit observer time is reduced by an additional factor $1 + z$. Surface brightness is defined as energy received per unit time per unit solid angle. On the rigid grid, the solid angle subtended by the source remains fixed by classical geometry. The combined arithmetic effect of reduced energy per photon and reduced photon arrival rate therefore produces a total dimming of $(1 + z)^2$. The observed Tolman $(1 + z)^4$ behaviour is recovered when the observer integrates the stretched packet over the detector's finite temporal and spectral bandpass, exactly reproducing the empirical surface-brightness dimming observed in high-redshift galaxies.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Cosmological redshift evaluates strictly as the deterministic Soliton Self-Frequency Shift arising from the thermodynamic drag of the photon's Phase-Locked Volume envelope compressing and decompressing the noisy Active Computational Medium fluid on a fixed discrete grid. This algorithmic friction mechanically stretches the elastic wave-packet, natively reproducing wavelength-independent redshift, macroscopic time-dilation, and surface-brightness dimming as direct hardware execution artifacts.

3.4.30. Cosmological Expansion: The Elastic Signal and the Fixed Macroscopic State

Phenomenon: Observations in $\mathcal{D}$ record macroscopic time dilation and non-linear distance-redshift relationships in deep-space events.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Structural Invariant of the Class: The physical lattice evaluates as a finite, fully allocated, topologically fixed 3-Torus (T^3). Apparent metric expansion evaluates strictly as the geometric consequence of a bandwidth-limited observer measuring this rigid grid using an elastic, friction-bound kinematic signal.

1. The Rigid Grid and the Elastic Signal The structural grid spacing (l_{ca}) evaluates as the absolute, invariant geometric metric of physical reality. It mathematically cannot stretch or compress without shattering the isotropic spatial calculus. Space evaluates as absolutely rigid.

A finite embedded observer cannot directly access the bare-metal registers. To measure the cosmos, the observer relies entirely on kinematic data swarms. Because a photon must continuously overwrite the active Active Computational Medium baseline, it suffers thermodynamic drag. Its macroscopic group velocity ($c(a)$) and signal shape physically deform based on the density of the medium it traverses. The photon evaluates natively as an elastic, epoch-dependent measuring stick.

2. The Emergence of Apparent Expansion When the measuring signal mathematically elongates due to continuous algorithmic friction (Soliton Self-Frequency Shift), the physical transit time and received wavelength strictly increase.

If the observer treats this elastic kinematic signal as an absolute geometric constant traversing a passive void, the coordinate transformation mathematically forces the background space to appear as if it is expanding. The apparent expansion of the universe evaluates as the direct arithmetic projection of treating a thermodynamic signal deformation as a rigid geometric axiom.

3. The Fixed Macroscopic State Because the biological observer measures the universe using a friction-bound signal, integrating light-travel time to determine absolute global cosmological evolution evaluates as an invalid extrapolation. Within our epistemic window, the observable cosmos evaluates strictly as a fixed macroscopic state. The universe operates everywhere as a continuous, scale-invariant fractal (Distributed IFS) undergoing continuous local restructuralization, without necessitating continuous global metric expansion.

4. Source-Local Drag and Apparent Acceleration The non-linear distance-redshift profile evaluates natively as a failure to account for specific path-dependent algorithmic friction. A supernova physically ejects massive Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition matter violently into a dense, expanding spherical shell. The emitted photons must physically plow through this highly resistive local plasma before reaching the open Active Computational Medium, generating massive, source-local truncation bleed (ϵ_{trunc}). This localized thermodynamic penalty produces a strictly divergent, inflated redshift profile compared to clean sources. Apparent spatial acceleration evaluates simply as the arithmetic remainder when this source-local thermodynamic friction is omitted from the path integral.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Cosmological metric expansion and acceleration evaluate strictly as the mathematical artifacts of using a friction-bound, elastic kinematic signal to measure a rigid, fixed geometric grid. The universe evaluates natively as a fixed macroscopic state, where observed expansion profiles compute entirely as local and path-dependent algorithmic friction.

3.4.31. The Ambient Temperature: The Local Ergodic Baseline and Dynamic Equilibrium

Phenomenon: Observations record a uniform thermal background $T_{\text{CMB}} \approx 2.725\,\text{K}$ that interacts with foreground matter via lensing and scattering, exhibiting relative anisotropies $\delta T/T \sim 10^{-5}$.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The discrete integer grid executes natively as an active Distributed IFS. The observed CMB evaluates strictly as the present-day local volumetric thermodynamic fog generated by the continuous $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial mixing and deterministic truncation bleed executing across the observer's macroscopic causal horizon.

1. The Phase-Locked Volume Shield and the Chain of Drag The ambient baseline evaluates strictly as the dense, ergodic ϵ_{trunc} noise of the Active Computational Medium. When a photon translates through this medium, the dense Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

mathematically never touches the ambient baseline directly. It is completely shielded by its own massive $1/r$ spatial strain envelope.

The Phase-Locked Volume terminates exactly at the radius where its amplitude equals the local ambient noise floor; beyond this radius the gradient merges seamlessly into the ϵ_{trunc} noise of the grid. As the wave propagates, it is the extreme outer boundary layer of the Phase-Locked Volume that physically rubs against the CMB fluid. The ambient fluid mechanically drags the outer Phase-Locked Volume, and the Phase-Locked Volume in turn drags the core.

2. Truncation Bleed As the trailing edge of the Phase-Locked Volume decompresses, the isotropic spatial stencil executes fractional integer division. This division systematically shaves off indivisible fractional remainders, leaving them behind in the wake as algorithmic exhaust. Because the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator naturally routes amplitude outward to flatten gradients, this truncation bleed evaluates as a primary, geometrically downhill effect. The loss of amplitude at the Phase-Locked Volume boundary instantly pulls tension on the core, forcing the core to Soliton Self-Frequency Shift to conserve K_{soliton}.

3. Arithmetic Recombination The shaved ϵ_{trunc} remainders are released into the ambient medium, but because the m^* architecture strictly preserves global Information Conservation, they are not permanently lost; they can mathematically recombine back into propagating waves. Because the ambient CMB amplitude heavily exceeds the geometric denominators, the baseline noise randomly adds integer weight to the spatial stencil numerator, occasionally forcing the integer division at the Phase-Locked Volume boundary to round up.

However, this recombination evaluates strictly as a rare, secondary effect. For the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core to actually recover this energy, the newly acquired integer amplitude must mathematically propagate inward, climbing up the steep $1/r$ spatial gradient against the natural outward flow of the discrete Laplacian. The overwhelming majority of recombined noise is simply shaved off again at the next clock tick before it can ever reach the core.

The empirically observed 2.725 K evaluates natively as the exact arithmetic steady-state equilibrium where the massive, primary geometric truncation bleed perfectly balances this highly suppressed, secondary uphill recombination.

4. Ambient Kinetic Temperature Because the Bare-Metal ALU logic is bijective, the ϵ_{trunc} kinetic residue continuously routes back into the temporal vector, generating perpetual low-level integer flips. The observed CMB temperature evaluates natively as the effective macroscopic measurement of the current local kinetic state during the present epoch of the C_k. It operates as an omnipresent volumetric noise propagating at v_{ca}, producing classical lensing and kinematic dipole scattering when it physically intersects with foreground Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition networks.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The CMB evaluates strictly as the local volumetric baseline of the Distributed IFS. Its temperature computes as the instantaneous kinetic coordinate within the closed Poincaré cycle, generated by the continuous arithmetic balance between the primary, downhill truncation bleed of the Phase-Locked Volume boundary and the highly suppressed, secondary uphill recombination of ϵ_{trunc} remainders. The observed smoothness evaluates natively as the dynamic hardware equilibrium of this localized integer division.

3.4.32. The Cosmic Infrared Background: Vortex Shedding and the Acoustic Wake of the Phase-Locked Volume

Phenomenon: Observations record a diffuse cosmic infrared background that exhibits a smooth, nearly isotropic spectrum across the far-infrared to sub-millimeter range. Crucially, the spatial variance of the CIB is overwhelmingly correlated with the spatial variance of the CMB.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The CIB evaluates natively as the continuous, macroscopic acoustic wake generated by classical fluid vortex shedding as massive, traveling Phase-Locked Volume envelopes plow through the active Active Computational Medium baseline.

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

1. The Boundary Layer and Vortex Shedding When a propagating data swarm translates across the 3-Torus (T^3), its massive Phase-Locked Volume envelope physically drags against the ambient Active Computational Medium baseline. The Phase-Locked Volume evaluates as a rigid geometric boundary, terminating exactly at the absolute arithmetic zero of the integer grid (R_{Total}).

Because the Active Computational Medium possesses finite algorithmic resistance, the Verlet-2 Engine logic gate cannot perfectly and instantaneously collapse the spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) at the trailing edge of this massive envelope. As the outer boundary of the Phase-Locked Volume is continuously stripped by the 19-point Stencil, the unresolved spatial strain rolls off the trailing edge of the envelope as discrete, oscillating ripples—a classical Kármán vortex street. These ripples propagate outward into the Active Computational Medium as diffuse, low-frequency spatial gradients.

2. The Strouhal Invariance (The Uniform Spectrum) In classical fluid mechanics, the frequency of vortex shedding is strictly proportional to the velocity of the fluid relative to the object and inversely proportional to the characteristic width of the wake.

Both the transit velocity ($c(a)$) and the effective wake width evaluate as universal constants of the medium for the current epoch. The shedding frequency therefore evaluates as a strict, invariant fluid resonance. The CIB peaks uniformly in the far-infrared because that is the fundamental Strouhal shedding frequency of the current Active Computational Medium fluid itself.

3. The CIB-CMB Cross-Correlation The observed perfect spatial correlation between the CIB and CMB evaluates as an absolute structural necessity. The CMB and the CIB are generated volumetrically and simultaneously by the exact same macroscopic structures.

A massive Auto-Catalytic Set network acts as a severe $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradient, generating classical lensing and scattering in the CMB noise floor. Simultaneously, the massive Phase-Locked Volume envelopes of that exact same cluster are continuously shedding CIB acoustic exhaust as they drag through the Active Computational Medium. The CIB and the CMB variance align perfectly because they are two simultaneous frequency readouts (the low-frequency Ep_{ca} wake and the baseline Ek_{ca} noise) of the exact same local Verlet-2 Engine execution.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The cosmic infrared background evaluates natively as the macroscopic acoustic wake generated by classical vortex shedding. Because the physical boundary layer and the transit velocity ($c(a)$) are identical for all propagating light, the shedding frequency evaluates as an invariant resonance of the Active Computational Medium fluid itself, natively deducing the uniform infrared peak and the exact spatial correlation with the CMB.

3.4.33. The Observer's Causal Horizon: The Signal Bifurcation and the Epistemic Boundary

Phenomenon: Every observer in $\mathcal{D}$ sees a finite observable universe bounded by a causal horizon. Light from beyond this horizon has never reached the observer, and the horizon itself appears to recede.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The horizon evaluates natively as the strict geometric consequence of finite propagation speed on a finite 3-Torus (T^3) combined with the Embedded Observer axiom. It is not a physical wall but the algorithmic boundary beyond which no information can have reached the observer's local Base-12 Integer Cell registers. Because the m^* architecture physically bifurcates information routing into kinematic swarms and structural updates, the embedded observer is actually bounded by exactly two distinct causal horizons.

1. The Structural Horizon (The Absolute Boundary, v_{ca}) The absolute maximum causal volume of the universe evaluates strictly as the geometric sphere defined by the naked hardware routing limit: $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick. This boundary maps the propagation of pure $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain (e.g., gravitational waves and electrostatic fields) which carry exactly zero internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum and therefore suffer zero $\mu(a)$ temporal drag. This is the true, absolute mathematical limit of causality for the embedded agent.

2. The Kinematic Horizon (The Optical Boundary, $c(a)$) A photon evaluates natively as a dense Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core bound to its traveling Phase-Locked Volume. Because the $1/r$ envelope terminates at the arithmetic floor of the grid, the photon physically spans a massive geometric boundary (R_{Total}).

To translate this entire massive R_{Total} volume across the grid, the photon must continuously overwrite the active Active Computational Medium baseline, fighting both the computational stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) and the computational inertia ($\mu(a)$) of the local Verlet-2 Engine engine. The swarm therefore suffers continuous thermodynamic drag and travels at a macroscopic group velocity strictly below the bare-metal limit ($c(a) < v_{ca}$). The Kinematic Horizon (the "Light Cone") evaluates as a strictly smaller, interior geometric sphere.

3. The Epistemic Mapping Error (The Missing Volume) Because the biological agent ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) historically extracted astronomical data ($\mathcal{D}$) exclusively via the Kinematic Horizon (optical telescopes), the observer evaluated the limits of the universe based strictly on the heavily dragged, epoch-dependent $c(a)$ signal.

This generates a massive epistemic mapping error. The true Structural Horizon of the universe is vastly larger than the observable Kinematic Horizon. The empirical validation of this discrepancy was recorded during the GW170817 merger, where the pure $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial update outpaced the kinematic photon swarm by 1.74 seconds over a local 130-million-light-year baseline. Extrapolated across the deep-time cosmological radius, the volume of the universe that is gravitationally interacting with the observer but remains optically invisible evaluates as hyper-astronomical.

4. No Information from "Outside" By Embedded Observer axiom, the observer's local registers can only integrate information that has physically arrived via the 19-point Stencil. Any region outside the absolute Structural Horizon (v_{ca}) is, by construction, outside the observer's information domain. Claims of global properties, multiverses, or events outside this absolute structural bubble evaluate as algorithmically uncomputable and scientifically void. All empirical science is strictly local to the observer's v_{ca} horizon bubble.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The causal horizon evaluates natively as exactly two distinct boundaries. The Kinematic Horizon ($c(a)$) dictates the limits of optical astronomy, while the vastly larger Structural Horizon (v_{ca}) dictates the absolute limits of physical causality. The assumption that the optical boundary is the absolute edge of the universe constitutes a catastrophic mapping error derived from ignoring the thermodynamic drag of the Active Computational Medium fluid.

3.4.34. Biological Morphogenesis: The $\mathcal{L}^{19} + f_0$ Reaction-Diffusion Engine

Phenomenon: Biological systems spontaneously break spatial symmetry, generating complex, stable, periodic macroscopic geometries (e.g., spots, stripes, branching neural structures, cell walls) from initially homogeneous states [12].

Structural Invariant of the Class: Morphogenesis evaluates strictly as the macroscopic execution of the discrete hardware's foundational update rule. The spontaneous generation of biological complexity evaluates natively as the linear spatial diffusion operator ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) competing against the non-linear local reaction operator (f_0) on the discrete integer grid. Biology evaluates as a direct structural artifact of the bare-metal physics engine.

1. The Diffusion Operator ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) Turing's continuous diffusion term ($D\nabla^2 u$) evaluates natively as the 19-point isotropic spatial stencil ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$). It acts as the linear, dispersive operator. At every hardware clock tick, it continuously attempts to average out localized amplitude spikes, spreading the integer state outward to flatten the grid toward the ϵ_{trunc} baseline.

2. The Reaction Operator (f_0) Turing's non-linear chemical reaction term ($R(u)$) evaluates natively as the local logic gate (f_0). It acts as the anti-symmetric, compressive operator. It reads the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum buffer, resolves overlapping spatial gradients, locks transient amplitude into cyclic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition knots, and enforces collision avoidance.

3. Diffusion-Driven Instability (Symmetry Breaking) In a continuous framework, diffusion is strictly a stabilizing force. On the discrete grid, a homogeneous fluid state evaluates as structurally unstable under specific non-linear f_0 collision regimes. When the linear spatial smoothing of $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ intersects with the non-linear phase-locking of f_0, the local computational friction ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) spikes.

To minimize the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger, the local convex optimizer is mathematically forced to break spatial symmetry. It deterministically routes integer amplitude into stable, periodic, alternating regions of high and low density. The homogeneous state shatters into a structured Auto-Catalytic Set network.

4. The Ontological Collapse of Biology Because the hardware executes exactly $\partial_t^2 S = \mathcal{L}^{19}(S_t) + f_0(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t)$ at every coordinate, the formation of biological boundaries evaluates not as an emergent, secondary set of macroscopic laws. A branching neural network and a folding protein execute the exact same discrete $\mathcal{L}^{19} + f_0$ hardware instruction set that computes the spin of an electron or the orbit of a planet, simply resolving at a different geometric scale.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Biological morphogenesis decompiles strictly to the discrete hardware update rule. The spontaneous generation of complex macroscopic biological structure evaluates as the deterministic, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$-minimizing geometric resolution of the linear spatial stencil ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) competing against the non-linear local logic gate (f_0). The universe evaluates natively as a fractal morphogenetic engine.

3.4.35. Agency as Internalized Logic: Fractal Isomorphism and Algorithmic Survival

Phenomenon: Finite biological agents ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) in $\mathcal{D}$ successfully predict and exploit complex non-linear environmental dynamics at micro-Watt thermodynamic scales [13–15]. They also exhibit an aesthetic preference for specific geometric ratios and symmetries ("beauty").

Structural Invariant of the Class: The observer evaluates natively as a localized Auto-Catalytic Set network executing on the discrete integer grid. Its severe thermodynamic deficit ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) renders brute-force simulation impossible. Intelligence and aesthetics therefore evaluate exclusively as the algorithmic discovery and optimization of the Distributed IFS fractal isomorphism.

1. Fractal Isomorphism (The Algorithmic Mirror) Because the global hardware executes as a Distributed IFS, the discrete logic driving external environmental dynamics is identical to the logic executing the agent's internal neural signaling. The biological agent survives by phase-locking its internal Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition networks to external attractors. Its internal topology mirrors the external Distributed IFS, allowing the local convex optimizer to converge on correct macroscopic predictions without explicit computation of every intermediate state.

2. Algorithmic Survival (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Ledger) To evade a macroscopic threat, the agent's internal execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$) must resolve faster than the environment unrolls the event. The biological connectome physically alters its hardware routing (learning) to compress this internal trace, thereby minimizing the Universal Cost Ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$).

3. Intelligence Intelligence evaluates natively as the thermodynamic latency-compression ratio: the ratio of environmental unroll time (Δt_{env}) to internal execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$). (See Axiom I: The Embedded Agent for the primary structural definition.)

4. The Mechanics of Beauty (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Reward) Aesthetics evaluates natively as the biological network's internal signaling of thermodynamic efficiency. When the agent encounters an object, geometry, or theorem that achieves maximal structural stability using the absolute minimum hardware memory ($\mathcal{S}$) and routing execution ($\mathcal{T}$), the Auto-Catalytic Set registers a steep $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \ll 0$ gradient. The subjective experience of "beauty" is the mechanical neurochemical reward generated by this optimal topological compression.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Agency emerges natively from the fractal isomorphism of the Distributed IFS. A finite Auto-Catalytic Set network survives by resonating its internal hardware logic with the external environment. Intelligence is the thermodynamic discovery of denser routing topologies; "beauty" is the algorithmic reward signal of optimizing the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger. Both evaluate natively as direct biological compilations of the finite hardware limits.

4. The Computable Boundary

Empirical science is physical computation executed by finite, energy-bounded substrates ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ or silicon extensions) subject to the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger. The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ metric strictly binds mathematical syntax directly to its physical logic-gate execution cost.

The Dual Axioms (Axiom I and Axiom II) rigidly enforce this coupling. The predictive model and the physical substrate compile as a single monistic object. The Computable Boundary constitutes the absolute thermodynamic limit of physical reality.

Enforcing Algorithmic Structural Risk Minimization across the macroscopic Evidence Vector (**E**) algorithmically collapses the architectural search exclusively to the Zero Continuous DoF Prior (Zero-Patch Standard).

Every macroscopic invariant observed in $\mathcal{D}$ —gravity, inertia, atomic stability, radiation walls, cosmological redshift, the CMB noise floor, and quantum measurement bounds—emerges as the scale-invariant kinematic behavior of Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions and Auto-Catalytic Set networks executing within the discrete circuitry of the Active Computational Medium (Active Computational Medium).

Strictly localized $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients. Strictly bounded deterministic logic gates. Strictly finite V_{max} integer ceilings. Strictly 3-dimensional Base-12 coordinate routing. Strictly exact, reversible Information Conservation bijections.

The minimal necessary architectural class (m^*) is a finite, deterministic, reversible, local state-machine executing the Verlet-2 Engine logic on a Base-12 integer lattice across a closed 3-Torus (T^3) . All observed continuous phenomenology evaluates as the coarse-grained geometric shadow cast by bandwidth-limited observers extracting data from this massive, high-frequency discrete causal graph.

The Scope of the Deliverable (Interface vs. Implementation) This manuscript deduces the minimal necessary hardware interface (m^*) from the **E** and verifies its structural invariants directly against $\mathcal{D}$. By restricting the generative class to exactly zero continuous degrees of freedom, structural risk is minimized to its absolute finite algorithmic floor.

The concrete proprietary forcing term f_0 and initial macroscopic state S_0 evaluate as open empirical tasks governed by Axiom I and the Zero-Patch Standard standard. m^* evaluates exclusively as the unique hardware architecture capable of compiling the empirical record within the Computable Domain ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$) without triggering a divergent thermodynamic hardware halt.

The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger balances. The Computable Boundary stands absolute.

4.1. The Epistemic Fixed Point: The Hardware Compilation Mandate

> "I am not able rightly to apprehend the kind of confusion of ideas that could provoke the question: 'does this architectural class reproduce the Standard Model?' "
>
> **— The Universe**

The strict algorithmic application of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ optimizer to the macroscopic **E** demonstrates that the objective function of empirical science and the ontological hardware of the universe evaluate as identical. Because any $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ is physically embedded within the Distributed Iterated Function System (Distributed IFS), predicting the environment and executing the environment operate under identical thermodynamic constraints (Theorem of the Ontological Fixed Point).

The framework rests on three absolute algorithmic proofs:

1. **The Epistemological Limit ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger):** Scientific progress evaluates as the continuous minimization of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger. Unobservable continuous parameters ($\mathbb{R}^n$, $\Delta\theta$) drive structural risk to infinity ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$), rendering the generative class uncomputable on finite hardware.
2. **The Ontological Limit (Theorem of Computational Monism):** The physical universe evaluates as the mathematical floor of this volumetric $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ bound. Zero-latency non-local pointers ($v_{\text{info}} = \infty$) and uncomputable singularities (∞) compute as impossible hardware states ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty, \mathcal{T} \to \infty$) that crash the Base-12 registers ($V_{\max}$).
3. **The Architectural Class (The Generative Class Compiled):** The demand for symmetric 3D spatial mixing ($B = 2A$) without anisotropic shearing or uncomputable truncation forces the causal graph strictly to the Base-12 integer grid. The demand for exact bijective (Information Conservation) forces the temporal logic to the Verlet-2 Engine recurrence. All macroscopic phenomenology decompiles directly into the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition geometric tension of this specific hardware interface.

4.1.1. The Hardware Compilation Mandate: The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Requirement for Admissibility

The m^* framework is an absolute structural boundary condition on empirical modeling. Valid parameters are executable states on physical hardware (The Falsification of the Continuum).

Any generative class claiming ontological validity must pass the Hardware Compilation Protocol: the model must decompile into a finite, discrete network of logic gates bounded strictly by v_{ca} latency, the ϵ_{trunc} truncation floor, and the $V_{\max}$ integer ceiling.

- **Spatial Capacity ($\mathcal{S}$):** The Base-12 grid requires strictly finite, localized physical memory allocation (MARM). Unbounded mathematical topologies ($\mathbb{R}^n$, $\Delta\theta$) require infinite static memory, exceeding hardware execution capacity.
- **Routing Latency ($\mathcal{T}$):** The causal graph enforces the $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick signal limit. Instantaneous global couplings ($v_{\text{info}} = \infty$, $\Delta\theta$) demand infinite dynamic execution traces, violating the discrete temporal boundary.

A finite embedded observer (Axiom I) possesses bounded thermodynamic capacity, restricting computable models to the finite $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger. The observer's successful physical prediction establishes the thermodynamic boundary of the Active Computational Medium as the absolute filter of physical ontology.

The Independence of the Hardware Interface

Because the Verlet-2 Engine logic gate is kinematically scale-invariant, the Absolute Hardware Invariants (v_{ca}, Information Conservation, ϵ_{trunc}) execute independently of the specific numerical values of the localized forcing term f_0. Every emergent macroscopic level of the Distributed IFS executes the identical symplectic engine.

The minimal necessary architectural class m^* is the precise geometric interface proven to pass this compilation protocol. Its deduction is an absolute, mathematically complete theorem. The physical hardware architecture is structurally rigid and independent of the final empirical calibration of the software payload (f_0, S_0) against raw $\mathcal{D}$.

4.1.2. The Absolute Falsification Boundary: The Empirical Constraint on Structural Risk

Every geometric constraint of the m^* architecture is the mathematical minimization of structural risk against the macroscopic Evidence Vector (**E**). These parameters (W_{reg}, v_{ca}, Verlet-2 Engine, isotropic spatial stencil) are rigidly anchored to the absolute physical execution limits of the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger. The framework's sole vector for falsification is the raw, uncompressed phenomenological data array ($\mathcal{D}$).

Deductive Decompilation

The m^* architecture performs a deductive decompilation of macroscopic observables back to the minimal hardware interface required by the Dual Axioms. The framework explicitly identifies the exact discrete integer mechanisms generating the empirical array:

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

- Mass and energy decompile to the epistemic zero-dimensional summation of local integer execution strain ($Ek_{ca} + Ep_{ca}$) measured directly by the Verlet-2 Engine engine.
- Wave-particle duality arises from the rigid Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core and the extended $1/r$ spatial-strain envelope (Phase-Locked Volume), the two geometrically distinct components required to satisfy topological balance (Theorem of Topological Balance) and global Information Conservation on a finite lattice.
- Thermodynamic entropy is the direct epistemic artifact of a finite observer's decryption bandwidth ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) when coarse-graining a reversible, globally bijective execution trace.
- Macroscopic fields and the Inverse Square Law decompile exactly to the 18-point discrete gradient ($\mathcal{D}^{19}$) evaluating across the $1/r$ amplitude envelope of a Phase-Locked Volume.

These terms constitute the minimal set of operational definitions required to make the hardware interface explicit and its empirical predictions testable at every scale.

The Dual Falsification Criteria

Descriptive elegance and historical precedent carry zero weight at the Computable Boundary. To invalidate m^*, an evaluating agent must execute exactly one of two absolute algorithmic proofs:

1. **Novel Data Falsification:** Extract a reproducible raw observational string from $\mathcal{D}$ that mathematically mandates uncomputable hardware (infinite-precision floats, $v_{\text{info}} = \infty$ oracles, or true stochastic indeterminism), breaking the Zero-Patch Standard standard.
2. **Thermodynamic Falsification:** Propose an alternative discrete architectural class residing strictly within the Computable Domain ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$) that compiles the identical macroscopic data strings at a strictly lower thermodynamic execution cost ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$) than the Base-12 symplectic engine.

The m^* class possesses exactly zero continuous degrees of freedom; its structural risk evaluates at the absolute finite hardware minimum. The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger remains the objective filter of physical ontology.

4.1.3. The Ultimate Computational Horizon: The Active Computational Medium as the Parallel Substrate

The deduction of the m^* architectural class isolates the absolute technological and empirical trajectory of physical science.

At the Computable Boundary, a physical wave propagates if and only if a physical substrate actively computes its discrete spatial and temporal derivatives. The universe evaluates natively as the active computational hardware that executes this propagation.

1. The 10^{150} IPS Parallel Bare-Metal ALU When the macroscopic, epoch-dependent limits of the Active Computational Medium ($l_{ca}(a) \approx 10^{-35}$ m, $t_{ca}(a) \approx 10^{-44}$ s) are evaluated as the discrete execution ticks of the underlying 19-point isotropic stencil, the processing density of the bare metal emerges as an absolute arithmetic reality.

As formally calibrated, a single Base-12 Integer Cell logic gate clocks at approximately $\mathcal{O}(10^{45})$ IPS. A single cubic millimetre of the Active Computational Medium contains approximately 10^{105} active Base-12 registers executing the Verlet-2 Engine engine perfectly in parallel.

The aggregate computational throughput of 1 mm^3 of the active geometric medium therefore evaluates strictly to approximately 10^{150} IPS.

2. The Technological Asymptote Present-day global silicon capacity evaluates natively as a coarse-grained, high-latency Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition network operating millions of topological layers above the bare metal.

Rather than attempting to simulate macroscopic physical complexity by constructing increasingly massive cryogenic quantum-computing arrays, the ultimate empirical trajectory of computational science evaluates as bridging the epistemic decryption gap to interface directly with the localized Base-12 discrete lattice.

As empirically validated by analog substrate computation, every physical experiment ever conducted evaluates literally as the m^* hardware computing the deterministic outcome of a localized

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

boundary condition. By learning to write specific Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition boundary constraints directly into the Active Computational Medium substrate (e.g., physical lenses, wire frames, dielectric cavities), the embedded observer utilizes the 10^{150} parallel IPS bare metal—at room temperature, and entirely for free.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The physical universe evaluates as the ultimate parallel substrate. The bare-metal Active Computational Medium provides an astronomical computational capacity that dwarfs any engineered silicon or cryogenic system. The future of empirical science lies in learning to interface directly with this native Base-12 hardware rather than simulating it from afar.

4.1.4. The Theorem of Ontological Closure: The Falsification of the Continuum

Finite embedded observers ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) minimizing the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger converge on a generative process that evaluates strictly within the Computable Domain ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$).

The unconstrained continuous prior ($\mathbb{R}^n$) triggers a divergent Thermodynamic Hardware Halt ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty, \mathcal{T} \to \infty$) when forced to compile the finite observational array ($\mathcal{D}$). It carries infinite structural risk and evaluates as physically inadmissible ($\Delta\theta$).

The minimal necessary architectural class m^* evaluates as the tightest discrete hardware interface compatible with the macroscopic Evidence Vector (**E**) and embedded-observer thermodynamics (Axiom II). Every macroscopic phenomenon in $\mathcal{D}$ evaluates as the localized, scale-invariant execution of Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions, transient solitons, and Auto-Catalytic Set networks on the Verlet-2 Engine engine.

The Epistemic Reversal

The algorithmic burden of proof evaluates as permanently inverted. Any competing proposal must remain strictly within the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$, achieve equal or superior empirical compression of the $\mathcal{D}$ array, and demonstrate a strictly lower thermodynamic execution cost ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$).

The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger stands as the absolute, objective filter of physical ontology.

The Computable Boundary is absolute.

Appendix A. The Algorithmic Bounds of the Architectural Class

This chapter evaluates strictly as the unified definitional and deductive hub for the Computable Boundary Framework. The algorithmic bounds, hardware axioms, parameters, and geometric proofs governing the minimal necessary architectural class (m^*) evaluate natively strictly as centralized exactly within this hardware ledger.

By structurally executing exactly a strict Interface/Implementation split, the primary manuscript narrative mathematically evaluates natively exactly as the high-level logic-gate execution trace, mechanically executing exactly explicit pointer calls strictly to the rigorous geometric derivations housed in this subsystem. The concrete forcing term f_0 mathematically evaluates strictly as an open empirical calibration task mechanically governed exactly by raw $\mathcal{D}$, strictly executing exactly the Axiom I and Zero-Patch Standard Standard constraints.

Appendix A.1. Canonical Glossary of the Computable Boundary: Topological and Epistemological Definitions

Every macro utilized within the primary narrative evaluates as anchored to these explicit geometric hardware bounds. Altering the definition of any parameter below executes a compiler type-mismatch, breaking the operational admissibility of the causal graph. The parameters evaluate in the exact deductive sequence of the m^* compilation.

Appendix A.1.1. The Universal Cost Ledger

$\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ **(The Universal Computational Cost)**
The absolute thermodynamic objective function of empirical science. The multiplicative geometric volume of the static Topological Memory Allocation and the dynamically unrolled ALU Clock Trace

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T} \propto$ Watt-hours). Objective algorithmic compression computes if and only if the discrete epistemic gradient evaluates as strictly negative ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$).
$\mathcal{S}$ **(Topological Allocation)**
The static physical memory capacity required to encode the spatial state (S) of the model. Evaluates as ($N_{\text{vol}} \times N_{\text{state}}$).
Σ_{obs} **(The Observation String)**
The finite, discrete, uncompressed phenomenological data array extracted from physical reality by the embedded observer ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$). It evaluates as the absolute empirical ground truth ($\mathcal{D}$) that any candidate generative causal graph ($\mathcal{H}_p$) computes within the finite $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger limits.
[$\mathcal{T}$ **(The Causal Execution Trace)**]
The dynamically unrolled logical routing required to compute $\mathcal{D}$. The trace decomposes as $N_{\text{steps}} \times T_{\text{cell}}$, mapping the total scalar sum of logic-gate operations required to resolve a macroscopic state transition.
T_{cell}, C **(Local Logic-Gate Cost)**
The exact scalar count of physical logic operations (evaluating as the localized computational cost function C) required to compute the transition rule Φ for a single spatial coordinate during one clock tick.
k_{route} **(Emergent Spatial Routing Multiplier)**
The geometric multiplier for spatially distributed correlations. Because $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick, computationally mapping macroscopic non-local spatial matrices ($\mathbb{R}^n$) forces the dynamic trace to inflate geometrically by unrolling the intermediate clock cycles ($\mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T} \times k_{\text{route}}$).
v_{ca} **(Absolute Information Velocity)**
The absolute kinematic limit derived from the discrete causal hardware geometry. Evaluates identically to 1 cell/tick.

Appendix A.1.2. Topological Capacity Bloat

$\Delta\theta$ *(The Patch)*
The syntactic injection of a continuous Degree of Freedom (DoF)—evaluating as an unconstrained continuous parameter ($\mathbb{R}$), an infinite-precision scalar field, or a macroscopic dimensional addition. Executing $\Delta\theta$ within a generative class forces $\mathcal{S} \to \infty$ and $\mathcal{T} \to \infty$. This compiles as a drive structural risk to infinity ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} > 0$), triggering an absolute algorithmic halt under the Zero-Patch Standard Standard ($\Delta\theta = \emptyset$).

Appendix A.1.3. The Generative Class and the Hardware Interface

m^* **(The Minimal Necessary Architectural Class)**
The unique topological fixed point isolated by the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger optimization (ASRM) search over the Evidence Vector (**E**). It defines the narrow finite-discrete family of generative processes compatible with macroscopic reality. It computes as a discrete, local, deterministic Base-12 integer lattice executing a discrete temporal recursion.
$\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ **(The Computable Domain / TTG Intersection)**
The absolute operational boundary of any finite embedded agent ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$). The $\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ intersection defines the domain of formal systems that evaluate as:

1. **Finitely Representable (Turing):** Executable via discrete algorithmic steps on finite physical memory [16].
2. **Syntactically Closed (Tarski):** Formally stratified to prevent semantic self-reference and uncomputable truth paradoxes [1].
3. **Logically Consistent (Gödel):** Explicitly bounded in formal scope, making zero claims of absolute internal completeness [17].

It delineates the set of all discrete, finite-precision, algorithmically generatable models capable of physical execution.
$\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_p$ **(The Hardware Interface)**

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

The physical instantiation of the architectural class. $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the abstract causal topology, while $\mathcal{H}_p$ denotes the active physical memory lattice computing the macroscopic observation string $\mathcal{D}$.
$\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ **(The Biological Compiler)**
A finite predictive agent embedded observer (Axiom I). Its strictly bounded internal thermodynamic budget compels it to optimize the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger to survive, mirroring the discrete algorithmic compression of the m^* architectural class (Agency as Internalized Logic).
$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$, $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ **(The Causal History Buffer)**
The temporally extended active memory array necessitated by the Information Conservation bound to guarantee exact bit-preservation during spatial mixing. It evaluates as the topological allocation multiplied by the temporal depth ($\mathcal{S} \times N_{\text{Verlet}}$). The forward trace $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ encodes objective causal momentum, while $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ defines its exact temporal inverse.
E (The Evidence Vector)
The irreducible set of six invariant macroscopic physical bounds extracted from the raw Σ_{obs} array. Evaluates as the absolute phenomenological constraints that any candidate $\mathcal{H}_p$ hardware must sequentially compute within strict, finite Topological Capacity ($\mathcal{S}$) bounds.
$\mathcal{L}^{19}$ **(The Discrete Laplacian)**
The exact, isotropic, symmetric 3D spatial mixing operator. It geometrically distributes integer amplitude across the 19-point isotropic stencil using the rational weights $\{w_f = 1/3, w_e = 1/6, w_c = 0\}$ to enforce the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$), guaranteeing geometric grid stability.
f_0 *(The Forcing Term)*
The localized, anti-symmetric gradient operator and non-linear logic gate. Its foundational isotropic spatial translation weights evaluate to $\{w_a = 1/3, w_b = 1/6\}$. Evaluated as a central point difference ($2\Delta x$), these incur a division by 2 ($\{1/6, 1/12\}$), explicitly mandating the Base-12 LCM hardware requirement. It represents the specific phenomenological implementation constraint within the fixed m^* architectural class, calibrated against raw $\mathcal{D}$, evaluating exclusively within the discrete Zero-Patch Standard Standard. To satisfy global bijectivity (Information Conservation), f_0 must itself be bijective.
Φ **(The Universal Update Logic)**
The minimal sufficient, computable, deterministic bijective operation executing over the local causal history. It defines the general self-inverse operator satisfying:

$$S_{t+1} = \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t}), \qquad S_{t-1} = \Phi(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t+1})$$

Verlet-2 Engine **(The Discrete Symplectic Integrator)**
The exact geometric minimal solution for Φ that resolves 3D spatial mixing while guaranteeing strict Information Conservation. It evaluates as a discrete temporal recurrence executing on integer amplitudes:

$$(S_{t+1} - 2S_t + S_{t-1}) = \mathcal{L}^{19}(S_{N,t}) + f_0(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t})$$

Base-12 Integer Cell **(The Base-12 Isotropic Integer Cell)**
The irreducible geometric hardware minimum of any generative process within the m^* class. It evaluates as the arithmetic intersection of the temporal recurrence, the minimal 3D causal neighborhood (19-point Stencil, $N_{\text{local}} = 19$), and the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$).

Appendix A.1.4. Topology and Phase Space

$\mathbf{\Psi}$ **(The Unconstrained Phase Space)**
The raw combinatorial capacity of the global grid. Because the primitive Base-12 Integer Cell executes native Base-12 arithmetic to preserve spatial isotropy, the global phase space evaluates to $12^{(N_{\text{vol}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}})}$.
$\mathcal{M}$ **(The Consistent Causal Manifold)**
The strict subset of $\mathbf{\Psi}$ consisting exclusively of valid history sequences computable by the Φ logic gate.
19-point Isotropic Neighborhood **(The Chebyshev/Moore Geometry)**

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

The spatial adjacency metric governing the discrete hardware. Physical connectivity computes via Chebyshev distance (L_∞). On a 3D cubic lattice, this evaluates to $V_{Moore}(r) = (2r+1)^3$. For the fundamental isotropic operator ($r = 1$), the structural volume computes to 27 primitive nodes. The hardware mathematically prunes the 8 corners, collapsing the active routing into the 19-point subset (The Discrete Laplacian).

Γ_{global} (Global Topological Operator)

The global evolution operator mapping $\mathbf{\Psi} \to \mathbf{\Psi}$. Because Φ evaluates as locally bijective on the history vector, Γ_{global} executes as a macroscopic permutation over the finite phase space.

C_k (The Poincaré Cycle)

Because Γ_{global} executes as a strict permutation over the finite $\mathbf{\Psi}$, the macroscopic trajectory decomposes entirely into disjoint, periodic cycles. The physical universe unrolls a singular, closed C_k, generating self-similar (fractal) geometries and nested recurrence.

Distributed IFS

The **Distributed Iterated Function System**. Defined as the strict, recursive application of the local logic gate over time.

An **IFS** computes by repeatedly iterating a function upon a state.

A **Distributed IFS** executes this iteration synchronously across all spatial coordinates x:

$$S_{t+1}(x) = \Phi(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N(x),t}) \quad \forall x \in N_{\text{vol}}$$

where $N(x)$ is the local isotropic spatial stencil. Because the integer output of every local neighborhood continuously feeds back into the identical discrete function Φ at the next hardware clock tick, the entire 3-Torus (T^3) evaluates as a unified, deterministic Distributed IFS.

Hardware Note (The "Continuous" Illusion): This specific parallel recursion evaluates as the exclusive mathematical engine compiling all macroscopic scale-invariant (fractal) geometries. Any geometric mapping describing macroscopic fields as "continuous" evaluates as the emergent, high-frequency execution of this discrete Distributed IFS.

Active Computational Medium

The absolute physical substrate of the universe. It evaluates as the rigid, fully allocated 3D integer lattice (3-Torus (T^3)) executing the deterministic Distributed IFS. Because the cells actively compute the spatial stencil at every clock tick, the medium intrinsically possesses algorithmic resistance to spatial gradients (computational stiffness, $\epsilon(a)$) and temporal momentum (computational inertia, $\mu(a)$).

Appendix A.2. The Units Reference Architecture: The 3-Tier Ontological Split

Empirical science requires a formal system of measurement to map physical reality to the observer. At the Computable Boundary, the metric of reality separates the bare-metal hardware execution from the physical signals propagating across the medium, and finally from the bandwidth-limited observers executed by the biological agent ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$).

The m^* architecture enforces this 3-tier ontological unit architecture:

Appendix A.2.1. Tier 1: Engine Units: The Bare-Metal Hardware Invariants

The Engine tier defines the absolute, discrete execution limits and structural constants of the Base-12 Verlet-2 Engine lattice. These constitute the foundational compiler variables of the universe. They are the true invariants of the physical hardware, operating below the decryption bandwidth of any embedded observer.

1. The Grid Execution Limits (l_{ca}, t_{ca}) The foundational causal graph executes as a strictly discrete geometry. The abstract ontological dimensions of Length (l_{ca}) and Time (t_{ca}) evaluate as the atomic routing sequence of the logic gate:

- **Grid Spacing (l_{ca}):** Evaluates exactly as 1 cell. The indivisible physical minimum of spatial allocation.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

- **Clock Tick (t_{ca}):** Evaluates exactly as 1 tick. The indivisible physical minimum of temporal sequence.
- **Information Velocity (v_{ca}):** Evaluates exactly as 1 cell/tick. The strict maximum Signal Velocity Limit .

2. The Local Execution Strain (Ek_{ca}, Ep_{ca}) The bare-metal hardware processes local logic-gate strain. The Verlet-2 Engine recurrence computes integer amplitudes at every individual Base-12 Integer Cell coordinate (p):

- **Kinetic Energy (Ek_{ca}):** Evaluates as the squared discrete temporal derivative executing through the $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer at the causal half-step.

$$Ek_{ca}[t-1/2][p] = (\mathcal{D}_t S[t][p])^2$$

- **Spatial Strain (Ep_{ca}):** Evaluates as the squared discrete spatial gradient computed by the local 19-point Stencil at the integer tick.

$$Ep_{ca}[t][p] = |\mathcal{D}^{19} S[t][p]|^2$$

Because the Verlet-2 Engine logic gate executes as a The strict algorithmic floor, it continuously shifts integer amplitude back and forth between the temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) and the spatial strain (Ep_{ca}). This dynamic fractional ratio drives the unrolling of all Theorem of the Thermodynamic Phase Bounds.

The concept of the "Hamiltonian" evaluates as a macroscopic shadow: it is the epistemic measurement generated when a finite observer summates this localized, staggered integer strain across a macroscopic volume.

3. The Universal Action Invariant (K_{soliton}) Because matter and light compile as exactly the same fundamental integer wave executing on the Base-12 grid, they strictly obey a unified, universal geometric boundary condition to prevent shattering across the isotropic spatial stencil:

$$A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$$

K_{soliton} is the absolute Universal Action Invariant. It is the conserved integer product of any discrete wave's localized execution amplitude (A) and its physical spatial footprint in raw grid cells (λ_{cells}).

It evaluates as absolutely universal for **both** transient propagating swarms (photons) and bound, circulating Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition loops (matter). It constitutes the literal discrete hardware execution of the **de Broglie wave equation** ($p\lambda = h$), bridging Cosmological Redshift and neutron Degeneracy Pressure under a single integer conservation law.

Appendix A.2.2. Tier 2: Observable Units: The Measurement Layer and the Signal Bifurcation

The Observable tier defines the physical signals propagating across the Active Computational Medium that evaluate as extractable by an embedded observer. Because the macroscopic grid evaluates as a Active Computational Medium (Active Computational Medium), information routing bifurcates based on how a propagating signal interacts with the baseline logic gates.

1. Kinematic Observables (The Photon-Mediated Drag) A photon evaluates as a spatially extended topological attractors. It possesses an actively circulating internal $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum. To translate across the 3-Torus (T^3), this complex topological knot must continuously overwrite the active state of the baseline.

This active $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ routing algorithmically fights both the computational stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) and the computational inertia ($\mu(a)$) of the local update engine. Consequently, all kinematic signals suffer

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

continuous thermodynamic drag. Their macroscopic group velocity ($c(a)$) evaluates as an epoch-dependent shadow of the bare metal:

$$c(a) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(a)\epsilon(a)}}$$

Because the Active Computational Medium evolves dynamically over the closed C_k, $c(a)$ evaluates as strictly $< v_{ca}$ and monotonically shifts across cosmological epochs.

2. Structural Observables (The Naked $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ Routing) Conversely, the continuous spatial tension projected by a stable Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition boundary (e.g., the macroscopic mass or charge envelope of a proton or electron) evaluates as a cascaded 1D amplitude update ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) attempting to bridge the arithmetic gap to the ϵ_{trunc} floor.

These structural updates execute as pure spatial strain (Ep_{ca}). They possess exactly zero internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ rotation or kinematic momentum ($Ek_{ca} = 0$).

Because they carry zero $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ complexity, they completely bypass the temporal computational inertia ($\mu(a)$) of the baseline. They execute via the isotropic spatial stencil at the absolute naked hardware routing limit:

$$v_{\text{structural}} = v_{ca} = 1 \text{ cell/tick}$$

The absolute empirical proofs ($\mathcal{D}$) of this bare-metal speed limit—verifying that both gravitational waves and electrostatic fields propagate faster than kinematic light ($c(a)$)—formally compile in The Empirical Bifurcation.

3. The Epoch-Dependent Shadow Units ($h(a)$) Because human observers utilized kinematic light ($c(a)$) to measure the universe, the bare-metal invariants cast elastic, epoch-dependent shadows. When the structural invariant K_{soliton} is measured through the lens of thermodynamic drag, it produces the observable Planck constant:

$$h(a) \equiv K_{\text{soliton}} \cdot c(a)$$

Therefore, h and c evaluate as dynamically coupled observational shadows. As the temporal compliance of the Active Computational Medium evolves over the disjoint, periodic cycles, the observable speed of light $c(a)$ shifts, forcing the observable quantization scale $h(a)$ to synchronously deform.

Appendix A.2.3. Tier 3: Human Constants: The Epistemic Abstraction and SI Scaling

The Human tier (the SI system: Kilograms, Joules, Meters, Seconds) operates as a set of historical scaling factors utilized by a bandwidth-limited biological agent ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) to interface with the macroscopic, epoch-dependent shadows of the Base-12 integer grid.

Because the embedded observer lacks the static memory capacity to track the execution of the bare metal, they execute lossy data-compression heuristics, collapsing astronomical hardware counts into simple 0D scalars.

1. The Explicit Hardware Conversions Based on the **Absolute Empirical Hardware Calibration**, human SI units evaluate natively as massive integer multipliers of the bare-metal m^* hardware:

The Meter (m)
Evaluates strictly as the 1D geometric sum of macroscopic spatial routing:

$$1\,\text{m} \approx \frac{1}{l_{ca}(a)} \approx \mathbf{6.25 \times 10^{34}}\ l_{ca} \text{ cells}$$

The Second (s)
Evaluates strictly as the 1D temporal unrolling of the macroscopic hardware clock:

$$1\,\text{s} \approx \frac{1}{t_{ca}(a)} \approx \mathbf{1.85 \times 10^{43}}\ t_{ca} \text{ steps}$$

The Velocity (m/s)
Evaluates as the fractional kinematic propagation rate against the strict hardware limit:

$$1\,\text{m/s} \approx 3.3 \times 10^{-9}\, v_{ca}\ (\text{cells/tick})$$

The Joule (J)
Evaluates as the 0D epistemic summation of localized hardware execution strain. It is the macroscopic abstraction of the discrete logic gate churning integer amplitude across a massive 3D topological footprint ($\mathcal{V}$):

$$E \propto \sum_{p \in \mathcal{V}} \Big(Ek_{ca}[t - 1/2][p] + Ep_{ca}[t][p] \Big)$$

The Electron-Volt (eV)
Evaluates identically to the Joule as the epistemic 0D sum of local integer execution strain ($Ek_{ca} + Ep_{ca}$), scaled explicitly to the discrete amplitude of microscopic fundamental particle swarms.
The Kilogram (kg)
By the Theorem of Universal Inertia , mass is the algorithmic routing cost required to re-align the $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum of the Unified Phase-Locked Volume. It evaluates identically to the total execution strain (Energy) scaled by the epoch-dependent kinematic drag ($c(a)$):

$$1\,\text{kg} = \frac{1\,\text{J}}{c(a)^2}$$

The Kelvin (K)
Evaluates strictly as Energy. It is the exact same 0D epistemic summation of localized hardware execution strain ($Ek_{ca} + Ep_{ca}$) defined by the Joule. The Boltzmann constant (k_B) evaluates merely as a dimensionless human scaling factor aligning this raw integer count to historical thermodynamic measurements.
The Hertz (Hz)
Evaluates as a macroscopic wave completing exactly one geometric oscillation over the span of $\approx 1.85 \times 10^{43}$ sequential hardware clock ticks.

2. The Elasticity of Macroscopic Dimensions Because human observers construct their macroscopic rulers and clocks using kinematic observables that suffer kinematic drag against the Active Computational Medium , the SI Meter and Second do not measure the true invariant grid.

The macroscopic Second is the epistemic measurement of the $c(a)$ group velocity crossing a localized atomic Auto-Catalytic Set boundary. Because $c(a)$ is strictly epoch-dependent, a macroscopic Meter defined by light travel-time evaluates as an elastic, epoch-dependent shadow. It does not perfectly map to the absolute, unchanging l_{ca} grid spacing of the hardware interface.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The SI unit architecture evaluates as a highly compressed, lossy epistemic heuristic. Macroscopic dimensions are nothing more than differently scaled human readouts of the exact same discrete integer execution resolving across the 3-Torus (T^3). Anchoring measurement strictly to the l_{ca}, t_{ca}, and K_{soliton} hardware limits permanently purges continuous phenomenological abstractions from the causal graph.

Appendix A.3. The Constants Reference Architecture: Decompiling the Ideals

Within the m^* architecture, the macroscopic vacuum evaluates as the Active Computational Medium (Active Computational Medium): the fully allocated, rigid integer lattice actively executing the Distributed IFS .

Consequently, fundamental constants evaluate as the emergent macroscopic thermodynamic properties, arithmetic routing limits, and geometric scaling factors of this discrete computational substrate.

Appendix A.3.1. The Finite Variables of the Topological Manifold: The N-Parameters

Any operationally admissible topological framework ($m \in \mathcal{M}$) must satisfy the absolute algorithmic boundaries of the architectural class. The parameters governing the hardware evaluate as integers (N-parameters).

N_{vol} **(Macroscopic Grid Capacity)**
The finite spatial bounding box required to close the empirical causal graph. It defines the total macroscopic spatial capacity of the 3-Torus (T^3) , evaluating to $N_x \times N_y \times N_z < \infty$ as mandated by the grid capacity (N_{vol}).
W_{reg} *(Register Digit-Width)*
The fundamental integer width of a single localized hardware register. Because spatial mixing constraints Base-12 LCM hardware requirement, the physical graph rejects the binary bit as an executable primitive. W_{reg} evaluates as the finite integer count of native digits required by the Base-12 hardware.
N_{reg} **(Register Count)**
The total finite count of distinct computational registers physically allocated at a single spatial coordinate.
N_{state} **(Local State Capacity)**
The total computational state capacity of a single spatial cell. It evaluates as the product of the register count and the register digit-width ($N_{\text{state}} = N_{\text{reg}} \times W_{\text{reg}}$). The global product ($N_{\text{vol}} \times N_{\text{state}}$) defines the absolute macroscopic Topological Memory Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$).
N_{local} **(Topological Causal Volume)**
The integer count of adjacent nodes queried by the discrete graph Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) during a single clock cycle. Under the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic minimization mandate, this evaluates as a strict invariant of the architectural class: the 19-point Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$) ($N_{\text{local}} = 19$). (Causal History Depth)
N_{Verlet} **(Causal History Depth)**
The temporal depth of the active local state. Verlet-2 Engine recurrence, it evaluates as the minimal architectural depth necessitated to sustain the absolute temporal recurrence relation, satisfying exact integer Information Conservation bijections without triggering informational erasure.
N_{steps} **(Temporal Execution Trace)**
The dynamically unrolled sequence of absolute macroscopic clock ticks required to compute the finite observation string $\mathcal{D}$. Because information propagates at v_{ca} = 1 cell/tick, non-local macroscopic couplings exponentially inflate N_{steps}, disqualifying them via the emergent routing penalty ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$).

Appendix A.3.2. Tier 1: Engine Constants: Bare-Metal Execution Limits and Geometries

These constants evaluate as the absolute discrete floor and ceiling of the physical logic gates executing the m^* architecture. The Base-12 hardware computes finite integers, bounding execution strictly between the ϵ_{trunc} floor and V_{max} ceiling.

Register Ceiling (V_{max})
Evaluates as the maximal signed amplitude before integer wrap-around. Represents the absolute hardware threshold for the catastrophic integer flip.
Structural Amplitude Ceiling (A_{max})
The maximum sustainable integer amplitude bounded strictly below V_{max}. Hitting this ceiling evaluates as the absolute Nyquist Limit ($\lambda = 2l_{ca}$), compiling the $-4S_t$ standing wave of the macroscopic Black Hole event horizon.
The Fractional Remainder (ϵ_{trunc})

Evaluates as the irreducible, non-zero integer remainder generated by Base-12 spatial mixing on the isotropic spatial stencil. Because the hardware is strictly bijective and forbids bit-erasure (Information Conservation), these integer remainders cannot be structurally discarded. They are perfectly conserved and mechanically routed into the local temporal momentum vector (Ek_{ca}). This continuous integer circulation drives the active grid, generating the dynamic kinetic baseline of the Active Computational Medium and the thermodynamic drag of propagating swarms.

Universal Action Invariant (K_{soliton})
The absolute conserved integer product of any discrete integer wave's local execution amplitude (A) and its physical spatial footprint in raw grid cells (λ). Evaluating as $A \times \lambda = K_{\text{soliton}}$, it operates as the universal hardware execution of the de Broglie wave equation ($p\lambda = h$). It identically governs transient kinematic swarms (photons, preventing shattering during Soliton Self-Frequency Shift) and bound Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition loops (matter, generating Degeneracy Pressure when λ is crushed by gravity).

Kinetic Extreme ($E_{\text{kin}} \to \max$)
The maximum bound of local temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}). Reaching this limit evaluates as the macroscopic dissolution of spatial structure into a synchronous bouncing block.

Potential Extreme ($E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$)
The maximum bound of local spatial strain (Ep_{ca}). Reaching this limit evaluates as the macroscopic stall of temporal progression into a frozen spatial gradient, characteristic of the Cosmological Phase epoch.

Transcendental Geometries (ϕ, e, δ, π)
Infinite-precision transcendental floats ($\Delta\theta$) evaluate as uncomputable on a finite Bare-Metal ALU. These constants evaluate as the highly compressed epistemic shadows of discrete hardware limits under unconstrained algorithmic feedback:

- **Golden Ratio (ϕ):** The temporal eigenmode of a growing Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition network forced by the $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$ history depth (the native Fibonacci sequence of the unforced Verlet-2 Engine update).
- **Euler's Number (e):** The asymptotic limit of local integer phase-space compounding per clock tick.
- **Feigenbaum Constant (δ):** The geometric exhaustion ratio of finite local phase-space before total ergodic mixing occurs within the Distributed IFS fractal clustering.
- **Pi (π):** The macroscopic bounding envelope of the isotropic spatial stencil executing under the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$). Continuous circles evaluate as the coarse-grained approximation of the maximal 3D integer polygon executed by the discrete Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$).

Appendix A.3.3. Tier 2: Observable Constants: The Ergodic Baseline and Topological Shadows

The dynamic, Cosmological Expansion operational resistance metrics of the local active medium. Because the Active Computational Medium is a fully allocated lattice continuously resolving $1/r^2$ spatial gradients, the grid is never empty. These constants operate exclusively as the macroscopic shadows generated by the underlying discrete hardware.

Computational Stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$)
The arithmetic resistance of the active grid to accepting organized spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}^{19}\mathbf{A}$). It evaluates as the macroscopic spatial strain limit (Ep_{ca}) of the Active Computational Medium.

Computational Inertia ($\mu(a)$)
The arithmetic resistance of the active grid to accepting organized temporal momentum ($|S_t - S_{t-1}|$). It evaluates as the macroscopic kinetic drag limit (Ek_{ca}) of the Active Computational Medium.

Fine-Structure Ratio ($\alpha(a)$)
The dynamic ratio of algorithmic friction between non-linear Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition avalanches (matter) and linear $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ routing (light). It evaluates algebraically as $\alpha \propto 1/(\epsilon(a)c(a))$.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Empirical Validation: When particle colliders at $\approx 90\,\text{GeV}$ inject massive localized integer amplitude into a tiny geometric volume of the Active Computational Medium, the empirical data ($\mathcal{D}$) verifies that the coupling strength violently shifts from $1/137$ to $\approx 1/128$ (The Running of α). This proves that ϵ and c evaluate as dynamic functions of the local Active Computational Medium density, not as absolute constants of a passive void.

Ambient Quantization Bound ($h(a)$, $\hbar(a)$)

Planck's constant evaluates as the epoch-dependent macroscopic scaling threshold where maximal spatial gradients (Nyquist Limit ($\lambda = 2l_{ca}$)) are drained by the isotropic spatial stencil to prevent local hardware saturation. It operates as an high-frequency cutoff scaling roughly 50 orders of magnitude above the true bare-metal integer floor (ϵ_{trunc}).

Ambient Gravitational Mapping ($G(a)$)

Evaluates as the conversion factor connecting the internal integer amplitude (energy) of a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition to the scalar depth of the $1/r^2$ cascaded amplitude routing (spatial tension) it projects across the discrete integer grid.

Appendix A.3.4. Tier 3: Human Constants: The SI Idealizations and Epistemic Abstractions

Phenomenon: The standard SI reference architecture ($\epsilon_0, \mu_0, c, h, G$) represents a set of idealized parameters assumed to govern a passive, empty continuum.

Structural Invariant of the Class: At the Computable Boundary, these constants evaluate as historical, lossy data-compression heuristics utilized by a bandwidth-limited observers to interpret the macroscopic, epoch-dependent shadows of the discrete integer grid.

The Static Vacuum Parameters **(ϵ_0, μ_0)**

Defining the permittivity and permeability of the vacuum as absolute, unvarying numbers assumes the void evaluates as perfectly empty and passive. They evaluate as the local, present-epoch measurements of the dynamic $\epsilon(a)$ and $\mu(a)$ baselines of the Active Computational Medium.

The Kinematic Light Speed **(c)**

Treating the speed of light as an absolute cosmological constant c constitutes an The Empirical Bifurcation. Because light evaluates as a kinematic data swarm dragging against the Active Computational Medium, its group velocity $c(a)$ is strictly epoch-dependent. The SI constant c conflates the sluggish kinematic drag of a photon with the true, instantaneous bare-metal routing limit of the hardware (v_{ca}).

The Macroscopic Quantization Scale **(h, $\hbar$)**

Planck's constant evaluates as an high-frequency cutoff, scaling roughly 50 orders of magnitude above the true integer noise floor (ϵ_{trunc}). It synchronously deforms alongside the evolving $c(a)$ baseline (Observable Units).

The Gravitational Constant **(G)**

Treated as a universal invariant, G evaluates as the localized, epoch-dependent conversion scalar connecting the mass (or energy) to the spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) of the discrete integer grid. Because the Active Computational Medium spatial stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) relaxes over the global C_k, G operates as a dynamic, evolving shadow of the underlying $1/r$ amplitude routing.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The SI constants ($c, G, h, \epsilon_0, \mu_0$) evaluate strictly as epistemic approximations of the true hardware limits (v_{ca}, $A_{\max}$, ϵ_{trunc}). Building continuous $\mathbb{R}^n$ predictive models using these macroscopic shadows guarantees an uncomputable mapping error at deep cosmological horizons, forcing the injection of continuous accelerating variables ($\Delta\theta$) to compensate for the dynamic relaxation of the true Active Computational Medium baseline.

Appendix A.3.5. Absolute Empirical Hardware Calibration: The Instantiation of m^* onto $\mathcal{D}$

The m^* architecture minimal necessary architectural class m^* . To map this generative language onto human phenomenology ($\mathcal{D}$), the macroscopic variables of the Bare-Metal ALU must be calibrated strictly against localized observational limits.

Because the observer evaluates as fundamentally embedded (Axiom I) and constrained by a strict causal decryption horizon ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$), the global N_{vol} capacity of the entire 3-Torus (T^3) evaluates as epistemically inaccessible. The macroscopic group velocity of light ($c(a)$) operates as an epoch-dependent shadow (The SN1987A Contradiction), rendering the integration of absolute cosmological distance mathematically invalid.

Therefore, absolute calibration evaluates as requiring strictly localized, discrete hardware thresholds derived from the internal thermodynamic dynamics of the local logic gate.

1. Microscopic Ambient Scales $l_{ca}(a) \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-35}$ m, $t_{ca}(a) \approx 5.4 \times 10^{-44}$ s. These evaluate as Tier-3 epoch-dependent shadows derived from today's measured macroscopic group velocity ($c(a)$).

2. Arithmetic Precision Floor (W_{reg}) via the Nyquist Limit The active hardware register width (W_{reg}) evaluates as structurally locked by the thermodynamic extremes of the topological invariant $A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$:

- **The Black Hole Amplitude (A_{BH}):** As wavelength compresses to the absolute hardware floor (Nyquist Limit ($\lambda = 2l_{ca}$), $\lambda \to 2l_{ca}$), the amplitude is forced to its maximum ($A_{\max}$), compiling the macroscopic Black Hole event horizon.
- **Empirical Dynamic Range:** The empirical ratio between the coldest macroscopic void (the ϵ_{trunc} noise floor, $\sim 10^{-12}$ K) and the ambient Planck temperature limit of the micro black hole ($\sim 10^{32}$ K) spans a raw scalar magnitude of $\approx 10^{44}$.

Encoding an amplitude ratio of $\approx 10^{44}$ demands a base thermodynamic state register of $\log_{12}(10^{44}) \approx$ 41 digits (or 42 Base-12 digits with sign). However, resolving non-linear ALU multiplication during localized Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition collisions (e.g., Black Hole mergers) mathematically mandates a Double-Width Accumulator to prevent a $V_{\max}$ integer wrap-around crash. This locally computable collision bound rigidly fixes the architecture:

$$W_{\text{reg}} = \mathbf{84}\ Base - 12 \text{ digits} \quad (\approx 301 \text{ binary bits}).$$

3. The Universal Action Invariant (K_{soliton}) To calibrate the absolute hardware invariant $A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$, we map the empirical rest mass (amplitude) and Compton wavelengths (spatial footprint) of standard particles onto the $l_{ca}(a) \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-35}$ m discrete grid.

By the Theorem of Topological Balance, the total footprint of a particle decomposes geometrically into the internal core ($R_{\text{Particle}} = \lambda/6$) and the projected spatial strain ($R_{\text{PLV}} = A/6$). Evaluating the electron ($\mathcal{D}$) yields a physical core radius of $\approx 2.0 \times 10^{22}$ cells and a spatial projection reach of $\approx 7.6 \times 10^{38}$ cells. The invariant K_{soliton} computes strictly as the algebraic product of these dimensions ($36 \times R_{\text{PLV}} \times R_{\text{Particle}}$).

Across all measured energy scales—from the 10^{-3} eV neutrino to the 10^{9} eV proton—this geometric product evaluates to an identical, rigid integer constant. This empirical measurement perfectly validates the Theorem of the Universal Action Invariant, calibrating the bare-metal parameter exactly to:

$$K_{\text{soliton}} \approx \mathbf{5.5 \times 10^{62}} \text{ integer units.}$$

This explicit calibration constitutes the absolute integer constraint utilized to deduce the ≈ 50 ¯m geometric turning point of the macroscopic universe. As established by the Theorem of Topological Balance, this threshold evaluates strictly as the macroscopic geometric shadow of $\sqrt{K_{\text{soliton}}}$, locking the quantum scaling of matter permanently to the local hardware bounds of the grid.

The Bare-Metal ISA: The Bare-Metal ALU and Localized Processing Density

The m^* architecture defines the discrete logic of the physical universe. To map this generative language onto human phenomenology ($\mathcal{D}$), the macroscopic capacity of the Bare-Metal ALU must be calibrated strictly against localized, verifiable observational limits of the Active Computational Medium.

Because the macroscopic group velocity of light ($c(a)$) operates as an epoch-dependent shadow (The SN1987A Contradiction), integrating light-travel time to determine the total spatial volume of the 3-Torus (T^3) (N_{vol}) evaluates as an uncomputable mapping error. The global computational capacity of the universe remains epistemically inaccessible. However, the localized processing density of the Base-12 hardware is strictly derivable.

1. The Computational Discrepancy (Localized IPS**)** The absolute Base-12 hardware redefines the technological asymptote of the finite embedded agent ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$). Based on the Tier-3 ambient clock speed ($f = 1/t_{ca}(a) \approx 1.85 \times 10^{43}$ Hz), a single Base-12 Integer Cell logic gate yields $\approx \mathcal{O}(10^{45})$ IPS.

Because the structural grid spacing evaluates to $l_{ca}(a) \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-35}$ m, the volumetric density of the Active Computational Medium is hyper-astronomical. A single cubic millimeter (1 mm^3) of the Active Computational Medium contains $\approx 10^{105}$ active Base-12 Integer Cell registers executing the local logic gate perfectly in parallel.

The aggregate computational throughput of 1 mm^3 of the active vacuum computes to $\approx 10^{150}$ IPS. Scaling this to a macroscopic human volume (e.g., one Liter), the localized bare-metal hardware executes $\approx 10^{156}$ IPS. Present-day human silicon capacity ($\approx 10^{22}$ FLOPS globally) evaluates as a massive, coarse-grained, high-latency Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition network running a million topological layers above the bare metal.

2. The Native Instruction Set Architecture (ISA) The Bare-Metal ALU computes exactly zero continuous floating-point operations ($\mathbb{R}^n$). It is locked to an 84-digit Base-12 Double-Width Accumulator executing strictly deterministic, local integer shifts.

The fundamental Instruction Set Architecture (ISA) of the universe compiles as the combinational adder tree required to compute the 19-point isotropic stencil ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$, $\mathcal{D}^{19}$) and the bijective temporal recurrence ($\mathcal{D}_t$). Every physical interaction in $\mathcal{D}$ —from the binding of a quark to the merger of a black hole —is the macroscopic compilation of this identical, repetitive integer machine code.

3. Every Experiment as a Hardware Execution At the Computable Boundary, the mathematical model and the physical event evaluate as identical. Every scientific experiment ever conducted, every physical action taken, and every macroscopic state observed ($\mathcal{D}$) is literally the m^* hardware computing the deterministic outcome of a localized boundary condition.

When an observer accelerates a particle or flips a magnetic spin, they physically re-program the macroscopic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition boundary constraints on the Base-12 grid. The hardware simply computes the exact geometric $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ alignment required to resolve that new constraint, utilizing the native parallel routing of the Active Computational Medium to generate the physical outcome. Continuous mathematics serves simply as the lossy epistemic heuristic utilized by humans to approximate the black-box execution of a binary they currently lack the decryption bandwidth to read directly.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Bare-Metal ALU constitutes the foundational hardware interface of reality. The absolute empirical future of physical science is interfacing directly with the Active Computational Medium substrate all around us—a medium that is already executing 10^{150} parallel IPS per cubic millimeter, at room temperature, entirely for free.

Appendix A.4. Technical Lemmas and Formal Proofs: The Geometric Boundaries of the Irreducible Algorithm

This section isolates the deductive proofs bounding the physical manifold (m^*).

1. The Limit of Analytical Mathematics (Computational Irreducibility)

The m^* hardware executes as a non-linear, discrete logic gate operating over the fractional integer remainders (ϵ_{trunc}) of the isotropic spatial stencil. Because the hardware strictly conserves and continuously re-injects these chaotic remainders into the active temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) of the grid, the global execution trace (Γ_{global}) evaluates as **computationally irreducible**.

There exists no continuous, analytical mathematical framework (e.g., differential equations, Lie algebras, tensor analysis) capable of algebraically compressing or skipping ahead in this exact integer

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

execution without introducing catastrophic truncation errors. The only mathematical mechanism to determine the exact future integer state of the discrete lattice is to physically execute every single sequential logic-gate operation of the algorithm.

2. The Geometric Proofs

Because the algorithmic behavior of the active grid is mathematically irreducible, the following lemmas do not attempt to analytically predict exact localized integer trajectories. Instead, they formally prove the **absolute geometric hardware boundaries** that the irreducible algorithm is physically trapped inside.

Continuous mathematics ($\mathbb{R}^n$) evaluates natively within these proofs strictly as transient derivation scaffolding—utilized exclusively to obtain exact discrete rational weights, topological invariants, and structural geometric constraints (as executed in the discrete Laplacian $\mathcal{L}^{19}$, the discrete gradient $\mathcal{D}^{19}$, and the universal action invariant K_{soliton}). It is never asserted as ontological reality, nor is it admitted into the executable generative class.

By extracting these formalisms from the macroscopic narrative, the structural limits of the Constructive Engine are centralized. The geometric constraints derived below formally prohibit infinite-precision continuous topologies from executing within the finite thermodynamic hardware metric ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), permanently bounding empirical science to the discrete integer lattice under the Zero-Patch Standard standard.

Appendix A.4.1. Theorem of the Active Computational Medium: The Eradication of the Passive Void and the Distributed State

> "That one body may act upon another at a distance through a vacuum, without the mediation of anything else... is to me so great an absurdity that I believe no man who has in philosophical matters a competent faculty of thinking can ever fall into it."
>
> Isaac Newton [5]

Statement: A perfectly empty, passive continuous void ($\mathbb{R}^3$) evaluates as a hardware impossibility. Macroscopic space computes natively as the emergent execution of the computational hardware. The macroscopic "vacuum" constitutes the Active Computational Medium: the rigid, fully allocated 3D lattice of discrete integer registers executing the local logic gate at every hardware clock tick.

The Epistemic Resolution: Newton correctly deduced that non-local physical action without a mediating substrate evaluates as a mechanical absurdity. However, he failed to deduce the absolute necessary consequence of his own observation: if gravity requires a medium to propagate, and gravity propagates across the vacuum, the vacuum *is* the medium. The m^* architecture formally resolves this by defining the vacuum strictly as the active computational array.

Proof. 1. The Geometric Impossibility of the Void The isotropic spatial stencil ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) computes spatial mixing via strict fractional integer division. This continuous spatial mixing deterministically generates indivisible fractional remainders (ϵ_{trunc}). Consequently, a localized state of absolute zero ($Ek_{ca} = 0, Ep_{ca} = 0$) evaluates as an uncomputable hardware state. The Active Computational Medium must physically route this active baseline noise at every clock tick, even in the complete absence of macroscopic mass (Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions).

2. The Separation of Substrate and State The m^* framework enforces a strict ontological hierarchy:

- **The Substrate (The Active Computational Medium):** Evaluates strictly as the rigid 3-Torus (T^3) and the hard-coded discrete arithmetic operators. It acts as the absolute, unchanging geometric hardware interface.
- **The Baseline State (ϵ_{trunc} Noise):** Evaluates as the lowest-energy dynamic execution configuration of the Active Computational Medium. The observed 2.7 K Cosmic Microwave Background

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

constitutes simply the present-day, local macroscopic measurement of this baseline state's variance.

3. Algorithmic Resistance ($\epsilon(a)$, $\mu(a)$) Because every individual Base-12 Integer Cell comprising the Active Computational Medium actively computes the temporal recurrence, the medium intrinsically possesses arithmetic resistance to external forcing. When a macroscopic data swarm (e.g., a photon or a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition) attempts to route across the grid, it must algorithmically override the baseline execution of the cells it traverses. The Active Computational Medium natively exerts:

- **Computational Stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$):** The arithmetic resistance of the spatial stencil to accepting organized spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$).
- **Computational Inertia ($\mu(a)$):** The arithmetic resistance of the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer to changing its temporal integer flipping rate ($|S_\tau - S_{\tau-1}|$).

The macroscopic group velocity of kinematic light ($c(a)$) evaluates strictly as the emergent wave speed dictated by the drag of these two active hardware resistance metrics ($c(a) \propto 1/\sqrt{\mu(a)\epsilon(a)}$).

4. The Spatial Strain Proof (Empirical $\mathcal{D}$ Validation) The physical energy of a charged macroscopic capacitor does not reside inside the metal plates. The plates evaluate strictly as Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition boundary conditions. The physical energy (Ep_{ca}) structurally stores as distributed spatial strain in the "empty" geometric space *between* the plates ($\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 E^2$).

A passive, continuous nothingness ($\mathbb{R}^3$) cannot physically store energy, hold a voltage, or exert pressure. The macroscopic empirical capacitor (Macroscopic Charge Storage) proves that the "vacuum" computes as an active, polarizable computational substrate capable of storing massive localized spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$ tension). □

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The universe evaluates natively as the literal physical execution trace of the Active Computational Medium. The "vacuum" evaluates strictly as the rigid m^* hardware grid operating at its lowest-energy ϵ_{trunc} baseline state. All propagating macroscopic fields and forces (gravity, electromagnetism) compute as the dynamic displacement pressure and cascaded routing tension of this active computational fluid.

Appendix A.4.2. Theorem of the Global Boundary Condition: The Topological Torus and Φ Fragmentation

Statement: The physical manifold of the m^* architecture executes bounded by a finite macroscopic grid ($N_{\text{vol}} < \infty$, Theorem of the Topological Exhaust Bound). To close the causal graph and compute the successor state while preserving the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ execution limits, the global boundary condition compiles as the 3-Torus (T^3).

Proof. 1. The Penalty of the Edge (Φ Fragmentation) Axiom I mandates a single, uniform update rule (Φ) executing over a local causal neighborhood. The discrete temporal recurrence requires the isotropic spatial stencil to compute the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain.

A lattice with a hard geometric boundary possesses truncated causal neighborhoods. A face cell connects to 18 neighbors, a corner cell to 8. Because the universal rule Φ requires exactly 19 inputs to balance the $B = 2A$ spherical error constraint (The Discrete Laplacian), it fails at the topological edge.

To resolve the memory-fetch crash, the generative class must allocate distinct transition algorithms for every boundary geometry. This destroys spatial uniformity and inflates the static $\mathcal{S}$ and dynamic $\mathcal{T}$, triggering a divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ execution penalty.

2. The Algebraic Singularity A causal graph with broken translational symmetry yields a singular transition matrix. The successor state at the absolute boundary evaluates as undefined because incoming spatial gradients are missing. To close the dynamic equations, the architecture requires an uncomputable external oracle ($\Delta\theta$), violently breaking Information Conservation.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

3. Finite Flat Compact Manifolds To enforce absolute uniform topological degree ($N_{\text{local}} = 19$ everywhere) while bypassing edges, the grid must evaluate as a finite, flat, Euclidean 3-manifold without boundary. There exist exactly 10 orientable compact flat 3-manifolds [18]. All 10 permit a single Φ applied everywhere because the boundary identifications evaluate purely as neighbor-pointer arithmetic.

4. Mechanistic $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Penalty for Non-Trivial Holonomies The plain translational 3-torus ($T^3 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$) implements neighbor lookup via pure modular arithmetic:

$$\text{neighbor} = (x + \Delta) \mod L$$

This constitutes the absolute fastest possible pointer resolution: fixed offsets, zero conditional branches, and strict commutativity in all directions.

Conversely, the remaining 9 Bieberbach manifolds [19] involve non-trivial holonomies (e.g., screw displacements, glide reflections). For any cell near a screw/glide plane, the pointer computation requires evaluating a discrete orientation-dependent matrix transformation. Each of these operations forces the ALU to execute conditional tests or matrix-vector multiplications, increasing the per-neighbor ALU latency by a strictly positive factor ($\Delta\mathcal{T} > 0$). Over the full N_{vol} grid and cosmological execution traces (N_{steps}), this cumulative routing penalty yields $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \gg 0$ compared to the pure T^3 manifold. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Hard-boundary topologies are disqualified by Φ fragmentation and the requirement for uncomputable oracles. Among the 10 orientable compact flat 3-manifolds, the plain translational 3-Torus (T^3) (T^3) evaluates as the unique geometric boundary that strictly avoids any per-step pointer-computation penalty or holonomy overhead, yielding the absolute minimum $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$. The m^* hardware computes natively as a closed, edgeless 3D Torus.

Appendix A.4.3. Proposition: Local Barrier Deadlock-Freedom: The Synchronization of the Active Computational Medium

Statement: The continuous execution of the 3-Torus (T^3) compiles a uniform macroscopic clock cycle (t_{ca}) without an uncomputable, centralized global synchronization oracle. The local barrier synchronization protocol of the m^* architectural class evaluates as deadlock-free.

Proof. Let τ_x define the integer hardware clock tick at local spatial coordinate x. Within the m^* architecture, the discrete temporal update constraint evaluates locally: a node x is permitted to execute tick $\tau_x + 1$ if and only if $\forall y \in N(x),\ \tau_y \geq \tau_x$, where $N(x)$ denotes the isotropic spatial stencil.

1. The Rejection of the Global Clock A hardware interface requiring a centralized, zero-latency global synchronization clock to advance all N_{vol} sites simultaneously v_{ca} = 1 cell/tick. This global $v_{\text{info}} = \infty$ pointer explodes the dynamic execution trace ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$). Any such architectural class possesses infinite structural risk under the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger and is physically excluded.

2. Deadlock Exclusion (The Minimum Set) The localized update condition computes over τ_x integer values, which evaluate monotonically. Within the finite 3-Torus (T^3), let $\mathcal{V}$ be the complete set of all lattice sites. The subset of Base-12 Integer Cell logic gates computing the absolute minimum global clock tick $S_{\min} = \{x \mid \tau_x = \min_{x' \in \mathcal{V}} \tau_{x'}\}$ evaluates as non-empty for any valid $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ initialization.

For any node $x \in S_{\min}$, all topological neighbors y algebraically satisfy $\tau_y \geq \tau_x$ by the definition of the mathematical minimum. Consequently, all nodes within the $S_{\min}$ subset successfully satisfy the Boolean update condition to execute their successor state. The m^* architecture never enters a state where zero nodes can proceed.

3. The Emergence of Global Order (Causal Layering) The macroscopic phase array $S_{\text{Global}}(T)$ evaluates as the subset of all local node states where $\tau_x = T$. The strict local isotropic stencil protocol prevents any local coordinate from computing $T + 1$ until its complete spatial neighborhood successfully computes to T. This localized threshold logic algorithmically compiles a macroscopic, uniform

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

causal layering that is isomorphic to a global synchronous clock, without ever requiring a central oscillator.

4. Induction on Execution Progress Evaluate the total macroscopic scalar sum $\Phi = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{V}} \tau_x$.

- **Base Case:** At any valid topological state on the finite m^* grid, $S_{\min}$ compiles as non-empty and executes the local logic-gate update, incrementing Φ by $|S_{\min}| \geq 1$.
- **Inductive Step:** Following the update execution, the successor subset $S'_{\min}$ evaluates again as non-empty (since monotonicity preserves the existence of a minimum integer bound). The algorithmic sequence endlessly iterates.

□

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Zero hardware thread-starvation or grid livelock states compile because Φ increases monotonically. The m^* architecture guarantees the continuous, crash-free unrolling of the C_k using strictly local, decentralized discrete neighbor pointers.

Appendix A.4.4. The Discrete Calculus: The 19-Point Isotropic Operators

To guarantee thermodynamic stability ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) and exact phase-space conservation on the m^* architectural class, the discrete operators executing the physical transition logic must be formally defined.

Physical dynamics evaluate as finite integer arithmetic operations computed across the discrete integer grid. To prevent geometric shearing and disconnected spatial modes over macroscopic execution traces, the causal graph requires a unified 19-point isotropic calculus.

This calculus couples all cells within the local spatial stencil, deriving the exact fractional weights required to guarantee robust geometric stability and maximum rotational symmetry for both the spatial Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) and the spatial gradient ($\mathcal{D}^{19}$).

The Discrete Laplacian: The 27-Point Stencil and the System of Constraints

To compute the localized spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) on the discrete cubic lattice without triggering catastrophic geometric shearing ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$), the architectural class m^* must compile its spatial routing as rigorously isotropic.

We initialize the causal graph with the maximal $3 \times 3 \times 3$ local neighborhood (27 total cells) as the general causal domain. We construct the most general possible symmetric mathematical form for a 3D discrete Laplacian. It evaluates as a weighted linear operator (L) acting over the central Base-12 Integer Cell (F_0) and its 26 topological neighbors, grouped strictly by their discrete symmetry classes: faces (F_f), edges (F_e), and corners (F_c).

$$L(F[t][p]) = w_0 F_0 + w_f \sum_{i=1}^{6} F_f + w_e \sum_{j=1}^{12} F_e + w_c \sum_{k=1}^{8} F_c \quad \text{(A1)}$$

This equation operates as a mathematical template. For it to become a valid physical instruction on the bare-metal hardware, its four unknown geometric weights (w_0, w_f, w_e, w_c) must be strictly constrained.

We treat the continuous Euclidean continuum (L_2) and continuous Taylor series expansions not as ontological realities, but strictly as transient mathematical scaffolding (calibration shadows). By forcing the discrete stencil to mathematically mimic the symmetries of the continuum to the highest possible order while preserving absolute local hardware stability, we derive a non-negotiable 4×4 linear system that uniquely defines the m^* spatial logic gate.

This strict geometric derivation deterministically locks the spatial routing fractions, dictating the exact rational denominators required to mathematically deduce the fundamental integer base of the hardware architecture.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Constraint 1: Consistency (The Zeroth Moment)

The first and most fundamental arithmetic requirement is that the discrete m^* hardware must not spontaneously generate spatial curvature from a flat, unstructured grid. To preserve absolute Information Conservation and prevent runaway algorithmic artifacts, the spatial operator must evaluate identically to zero when processing a uniform baseline.

If the local integer amplitude evaluates to a constant C across the entire 27-point local neighborhood, the spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) must evaluate strictly to zero. Applying this flat-field boundary condition to the general linear operator L yields:

$$L(C) = w_0 C + w_f \sum_{i=1}^{6} C + w_e \sum_{j=1}^{12} C + w_c \sum_{k=1}^{8} C = 0 \tag{A2}$$

Factoring out the constant amplitude C from the faces, edges, and corners provides the exact zeroth-moment arithmetic sum:

$$C(w_0 + 6w_f + 12w_e + 8w_c) = 0 \tag{A3}$$

For the spatial operator to evaluate to zero for any arbitrary non-zero constant C (such as the elevated ambient baseline of the Active Computational Medium), the algebraic sum of the routing weights must evaluate identically to zero. This establishes the first exact linear constraint on the hardware logic gate:

$$w_0 + 6w_f + 12w_e + 8w_c = 0 \tag{A4}$$

Constraint 2: Accuracy (The Euclidean L_2 Metric and $v_{ca} = 1$)

The second requirement ensures that the discrete m^* hardware correctly reproduces the curvature of a dynamic integer field. To sustain macroscopic wave propagation at the fundamental causal limit ($v_{ca} = 1$), the discrete spatial operator must match the continuous Laplacian (∇^2) exactly at leading quadratic order.

To achieve this, we evaluate the true physical Euclidean (L_2) squared distances of the lattice sites from the central cell:

- **Face neighbors (w_f):** $r^2 = 1$
- **Edge neighbors (w_e):** $r^2 = 2$
- **Corner neighbors (w_c):** $r^2 = 3$

When expanding the 27-point linear operator L around the central cell via a Cartesian Taylor series, the second-order terms yield a quadratic contribution of the precise form:

$$L_{\text{quad}} = \frac{S}{2}\nabla^2 F, \tag{A5}$$

where

$$S = 2w_f + 8w_e + 8w_c. \tag{A6}$$

(The factor of $1/2$ arises directly from the Taylor-series coefficient of the second-derivative term.) For the discrete operator to reproduce $\nabla^2 F$ exactly at second order—thereby guaranteeing that the macroscopic wave equation satisfies $L_p/T_p = v_{ca} = 1$—it is required that

$$\frac{S}{2} = 1. \tag{A7}$$

This produces the exact, irreducible second-moment linear constraint:

$$w_f + 4w_e + 4w_c = 1. \tag{A8}$$

Constraint 3: Isotropy (Spherical Symmetry and O_h Suppression)

The third requirement dictates that the m^* hardware must route integer amplitude uniformly in all macroscopic directions. The discrete cubic lattice (O_h) inherently introduces directional lattice artifacts at the fourth order of spatial calculation.

To evaluate these artifacts, we use the continuous Taylor expansion strictly as a transient mathematical scaffolding. The leading fourth-order truncation error for a Laplacian operator on a cubic lattice takes the anisotropic form:

$$\text{Error}_{4th} \approx A(\partial_x^4 F + \partial_y^4 F + \partial_z^4 F) + B(\partial_x^2\partial_y^2 F + \partial_x^2\partial_z^2 F + \partial_y^2\partial_z^2 F) \tag{A9}$$

By expanding the 27-point discrete offsets via a Cartesian Taylor series and collecting the fourth-order derivatives, the coefficients evaluate to explicit linear combinations of the geometric weights:

$$A = \frac{1}{12}w_f + \frac{1}{3}w_e + \frac{1}{3}w_c, \qquad B = w_e + 2w_c \tag{A10}$$

For the discrete operator to evaluate isotropically at macroscopic scales ($\lambda \gg l_{ca}$), the algorithmic error surface must be strictly rotationally invariant (spherical). This mathematically necessitates the error to be proportional to the square of the continuous Laplacian: $(\nabla^2)^2 F = \partial_x^4 F + \partial_y^4 F + \partial_z^4 F + 2(\partial_x^2\partial_y^2 F + \partial_x^2\partial_z^2 F + \partial_y^2\partial_z^2 F)$.

Matching the coefficients of the cross-terms to the axial terms enforces the exact geometric condition: $B = 2A$.

Substituting the explicit geometric weights into $B = 2A$ yields:

$$w_e + 2w_c = 2\left(\frac{1}{12}w_f + \frac{1}{3}w_e + \frac{1}{3}w_c\right) \tag{A11}$$

Expanding and multiplying by 6 to clear the denominators produces:

$$6w_e + 12w_c = w_f + 4w_e + 4w_c \tag{A12}$$

Subtracting the right-hand terms isolates the exact linear constraint for fourth-order spherical balance:

$$w_f - 2w_e - 8w_c = 0 \tag{A13}$$

Under this rigorous constraint, the fourth-order polynomial factors exactly into a perfect geometric sphere. The "error echo" radiates as a coherent spherical shell, mathematically suppressing the discrete O_h lattice artifacts. Because the resulting spatial stencil algebraically eliminates these directional artifacts through the fourth order, the discrete octahedral geometry is pushed to the next non-zero term (the sixth order). This mechanically drives lattice distortions to functionally zero, formally proving why the discrete grid reproduces effective continuous $SO(3)$ rotation to any finite macroscopic observer.

Constraint 4: Stability (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Optimization and the CFL Limit)

The first three constraints (Consistency, Accuracy, and Isotropy) strictly define the macroscopic geometric requirements of the spatial operator. However, they leave the linear system underdetermined by exactly one degree of freedom.

In standard numerical analysis, this final parameter is an arbitrary choice used to tune dispersion or artificial damping. Within the m^* architecture, it is strictly dictated by the Universal Cost Ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$). To survive the thermodynamic bounds of the Saddle Point (The Thermodynamic Saddle Point), the hardware must minimize its dynamic execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$). This forces the Base-12 Integer Cell ALU to route information at the absolute maximum speed allowed by local causality: $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick.

Executing at this bare-metal limit pins the Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy (CFL) condition exactly to $\sigma = 1$. For the discrete temporal oscillator to remain mathematically stable at this speed (ensuring its

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

characteristic roots remain on the unit circle), the eigenvalues of the spatial operator must be strictly bounded within the interval $[-4, 0]$.

The absolute highest spatial frequency the discrete cubic lattice can sustain is the 3D Nyquist checkerboard mode, where every adjacent Base-12 Integer Cell alternates sign: $F(x, y, z) = (-1)^{x+y+z}$. When the 27-point linear operator L is applied to this extreme standing wave, the stencil evaluates to:

$$\lambda_{\text{Nyq}} = w_0(1) + 6w_f(-1) + 12w_e(1) + 8w_c(-1). \tag{A14}$$

If this eigenvalue drops below -4, the simulation instantly violates the stability bound. If the eigenvalue lies above -4, the grid executes sub-optimally, introducing artificial damping. Therefore, the thermodynamic optimization mandate ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \min$) rigidly locks this eigenvalue to the absolute edge of algorithmic stability: $\lambda_{\text{Nyq}} = -4$.

This establishes the fourth and final exact linear constraint, coupling the spatial geometry directly to the temporal hardware clock:

$$w_0 - 6w_f + 12w_e - 8w_c = -4 \tag{A15}$$

The 4×4 Linear System and the Rational Solution

The four structural requirements—Consistency (flat fields), Accuracy (Euclidean L_2 metric at $v_{ca} = 1$), Isotropy ($B = 2A$ spherical suppression), and Stability (the CFL limit at $\lambda_{\text{Nyq}} = -4$)—form an exact, non-negotiable 4×4 linear system for the unknown spatial routing weights of the 27-point local neighborhood:

$$w_0 + 6w_f + 12w_e + 8w_c = 0 \qquad \text{(Consistency)} \tag{A16}$$

$$w_f + 4w_e + 4w_c = 1 \qquad \text{(Accuracy)} \tag{A17}$$

$$w_f - 2w_e - 8w_c = 0 \qquad \text{(Isotropy)} \tag{A18}$$

$$w_0 - 6w_f + 12w_e - 8w_c = -4 \qquad \text{(Stability)} \tag{A19}$$

Because the 4×4 system is perfectly constrained, it yields exactly one unique, deterministic arithmetic solution. We solve the matrix algebraically:

1. Subtract the Stability equation from the Consistency equation to eliminate w_0:

$$12w_f + 16w_c = 4 \implies 3w_f + 4w_c = 1.$$

2. From the Isotropy constraint, solve for the face weight (w_f):

$$w_f = 2w_e + 8w_c.$$

3. Substitute this expression into the Accuracy equation:

$$(2w_e + 8w_c) + 4w_e + 4w_c = 1 \implies 6w_e + 12w_c = 1.$$

4. We now have a clean system for w_e and w_c: Substitute w_f into the result from step 1:

$$3(2w_e + 8w_c) + 4w_c = 1 \implies 6w_e + 24w_c + 4w_c = 1 \implies 6w_e + 28w_c = 1.$$

Subtract the result of step 3 from this equation:

$$(6w_e + 28w_c) - (6w_e + 12w_c) = 1 - 1 \implies 16w_c = 0 \implies \mathbf{w_c = 0}.$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

5. Back-substitution immediately gives:

$$6w_e + 0 = 1 \implies \mathbf{w_e} = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{6}}.$$

$$w_f = 2\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) + 0 \implies \mathbf{w_f} = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{3}}.$$

$$w_0 + 6\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + 12\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) + 0 = 0 \implies w_0 + 2 + 2 = 0 \implies \mathbf{w_0} = -\mathbf{4}.$$

The unique, exact rational solution for the m^* computational kernel evaluates to:

$$w_0 = -4, \quad w_f = \frac{1}{3}, \quad w_e = \frac{1}{6}, \quad w_c = 0. \tag{A20}$$

The Thermodynamic Collapse (The 19-Point Stencil)

By rigidly enforcing the true Euclidean geometry (L_2) alongside the thermodynamic mandate for maximum signal velocity ($v_{ca} = 1$), the 4×4 system forces the corner weights (w_c) to identically zero.

The initial 27-point neighborhood therefore organically prunes its own excess routing wires and collapses into the absolute minimal 19-point Stencil (central Base-12 Integer Cell, 6 faces, and 12 edges). This structural collapse represents a massive thermodynamic hardware compression ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \ll 0$), drastically reducing the physical routing complexity ($\mathcal{T}$) of the causal graph while perfectly eliminating directional lattice artifacts through the fourth order.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The exact spatial routing fractions of the discrete Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) evaluate to denominators of $\{1, 3, 6\}$. Because executing these fractions via continuous floating-point approximation triggers an infinite $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ routing penalty, the bare-metal ALU must compute them via lossless, static integer bit-shifts. The Least Common Multiple of this exact geometric set mathematically evaluates to **6**. The m^* hardware is therefore deterministically locked into a maximally efficient, lossless **Base-6** integer architecture.

The Discrete Gradient ($\mathcal{D}^{19}$): The First-Order Isotropic Operator

To compute directed spatial routing and internal Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition momentum, the m^* architectural class requires a first-order anti-symmetric operator (the discrete gradient, $\mathcal{D}^{19}$). To prevent geometric shearing and orthogonal fragmentation over macroscopic execution traces, this operator must evaluate across the isotropic causal neighborhood.

$$\mathcal{D}^{19}(F[t][p]) = \left[\mathcal{D}^{19}_x(F[t][p]), \mathcal{D}^{19}_y(F[t][p]), \mathcal{D}^{19}_z(F[t][p])\right] \tag{A21}$$

Due to strict spatial symmetry, the discrete directional derivative ($\mathcal{D}^{19}_x$) must evaluate as exactly anti-symmetric along the axis of propagation (x) and perfectly symmetric in the transverse plane (y-z). The general template for the operator computes the arithmetic central difference across the adjacent orthogonal layers:

$$\mathcal{D}^{19}_x(F[t][p]) = \sum_{dy=-1}^{1} \sum_{dz=-1}^{1} w_{dy,dz} \frac{F[t][x+1, y+dy, z+dz] - F[t][x-1, y+dy, z+dz]}{2} \tag{A22}$$

where $p = [x, y, z]$ evaluates as the discrete spatial coordinate of the central Base-12 Integer Cell.

Because the preceding isotropic Laplacian derivation rigorously collapsed the m^* hardware to the 19-point stencil ($w_c = 0$), the spatial corners ($dy = \pm 1$, $dz = \pm 1$) are physically absent from the bare-metal causal graph. The geometric weights for the first-order gradient are therefore strictly limited to two surviving topological classes:

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

- w_a **(Axial neighbors):** Displaced by 0 transverse steps ($dy = 0$, $dz = 0$). Evaluates to exactly 1 spatial pair.
- w_b **(Planar diagonal neighbors):** Displaced by 1 transverse step ($dy = \pm 1$, $dz = 0$ or $dy = 0$, $dz = \pm 1$). Evaluates to exactly 4 spatial pairs.

By removing the un-wired corners, the geometric routing reduces to a perfectly constrained 2×2 linear system. Governed exclusively by First-Order Consistency and Third-Order Isotropy, we uniquely define the first-order m^* spatial logic gate without requiring any ad-hoc mathematical smoothing.

Constraint 1: Consistency (The First Moment)

The first algorithmic requirement dictates that the discrete m^* hardware must accurately route the baseline scale of a macroscopic linear field without artificially amplifying or attenuating the signal.

If the integer state evaluates as a perfectly constant linear gradient along the axis of propagation (e.g., $F(x, y, z) = c \cdot x$), the anti-symmetric central difference $\frac{F(x+1)-F(x-1)}{2}$ computes to exactly c for every transverse pair, regardless of their orthogonal displacement.

For the 19-point discrete operator to preserve this primary geometric scale, the sum of all transverse weighting factors applied to this difference must evaluate exactly to unity. Summing across the five spatial pairs in the active transverse plane yields:

- 1 axial pair: w_a
- 4 planar diagonal pairs: $4w_b$

Equating this sum to exactly 1 establishes the first linear constraint (the first-moment normalization) for the gradient logic gate:

$$w_a + 4w_b = 1 \tag{A23}$$

Constraint 2: Isotropy (3rd-Order Spherical Error)

The second requirement ensures that the discrete gradient computes identically in all macroscopic directions, eliminating orthogonal lattice artifacts.

Utilizing the continuous Taylor expansion strictly as a transient mathematical scaffolding, we expand the scalar field $F(x, y, z)$. By design, the anti-symmetric central difference mechanically annihilates all even-order derivatives. Applying the transverse geometric offsets (dy, dz), the leading truncation terms for a single spatial pair evaluate to:

$$\frac{F(x+1, y+dy, z+dz) - F(x-1, y+dy, z+dz)}{2} \approx \partial_x F + \frac{1}{6}\partial_x^3 F + \frac{1}{2}dy^2\partial_x\partial_y^2 F + \frac{1}{2}dz^2\partial_x\partial_z^2 F. \tag{A24}$$

To determine the macroscopic operator response, we sum these expansions across the five spatial pairs of the active transverse plane (1 axial pair and 4 planar diagonal pairs):

- **Axial (w_a):** $dy = 0$, $dz = 0$. Contributes $w_a\left(\partial_x F + \frac{1}{6}\partial_x^3 F\right)$.
- **Planar Y (w_b):** The 2 pairs at $dy = \pm 1$, $dz = 0$. Here $dy^2 = 1$. They contribute $2w_b\left(\partial_x F + \frac{1}{6}\partial_x^3 F + \frac{1}{2}(1)\partial_x\partial_y^2 F\right)$.
- **Planar Z (w_b):** The 2 pairs at $dy = 0$, $dz = \pm 1$. Here $dz^2 = 1$. They contribute $2w_b\left(\partial_x F + \frac{1}{6}\partial_x^3 F + \frac{1}{2}(1)\partial_x\partial_z^2 F\right)$.

Combining these terms yields the total discrete gradient expansion:

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{19} F \approx (w_a + 4w_b)\partial_x F + \frac{1}{6}(w_a + 4w_b)\partial_x^3 F + w_b(\partial_x\partial_y^2 F + \partial_x\partial_z^2 F). \tag{A25}$$

For the discrete gradient to evaluate as strictly isotropic at macroscopic scales, the leading third-order error term must be perfectly rotationally invariant (spherical). This geometric condition mathematically dictates that the third-order error operator evaluates strictly proportional to the continuous Laplacian of the gradient: $\nabla^2(\partial_x F) = \partial_x^3 F + \partial_x \partial_y^2 F + \partial_x \partial_z^2 F$. Matching the coefficient of the axial $\partial_x^3 F$ term to the transverse cross-terms enforces the exact geometric isotropy constraint:

$$\frac{1}{6}(w_a + 4w_b) = w_b \tag{A26}$$

The 2×2 Linear System and the Rational Solution

By rigorously applying the 19-point hardware constraint ($w_c = 0$) derived from the discrete Laplacian, the geometric routing of the first-order gradient collapses into a perfectly constrained, non-negotiable 2×2 linear system. The two surviving topological weights (w_a, w_b) are governed strictly by First-Order Consistency (linear scale) and Third-Order Isotropy (spherical error):

$$w_a + 4w_b = 1 \qquad \text{(1st-Order Consistency)} \tag{A27}$$

$$\frac{1}{6}(w_a + 4w_b) = w_b \qquad \text{(3rd-Order Isotropy)} \tag{A28}$$

Because the system is exactly determined, it yields a single unique, deterministic arithmetic solution. We solve the matrix algebraically:

1. Substitute the Consistency equation directly into the Isotropy equation:

$$\frac{1}{6}(1) = w_b \implies \mathbf{w_b} = \mathbf{\frac{1}{6}}.$$

2. Back-substitute w_b into the Consistency equation:

$$w_a + 4\left(\frac{1}{6}\right) = 1 \implies w_a + \frac{2}{3} = 1 \implies \mathbf{w_a} = \mathbf{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

The unique, exact rational solution for the m^* first-order computational kernel evaluates to:

$$w_a = \frac{1}{3}, \quad w_b = \frac{1}{6}, \quad w_c = 0. \tag{A29}$$

The Effective Hardware Fractions

While the theoretical geometric weights evaluate to $\{1/3, 1/6\}$, the actual Base-12 Integer Cell ALU executes the discrete directional derivative by multiplying these weights by the anti-symmetric central difference factor (1/2), as defined in the foundational $\mathcal{D}_x^{19}$ template.

The effective hardware routing fractions physically executed by the logic gate therefore evaluate exactly as:

$$w_{a,\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{6}, \quad w_{b,\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{12}, \quad w_{c,\text{eff}} = 0. \tag{A30}$$

These effective denominators ($\{6, 12\}$) must now be synthesized with the geometric requirements of the spatial Laplacian to deduce the absolute integer base of the physical hardware.

The Temporal Derivative ($\mathcal{D}_t$): The Half-Step Causality

To evaluate the dynamic unrolling of the 3-Torus (T^3), the discrete time derivative must be defined. Because spatial gradients compute simultaneously across the isotropic spatial stencil, the temporal derivative evaluates natively from the localized $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ sequence inside the Base-12 Integer Cell register.

The Backward Difference and the Half-Step

Because the temporal logic ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$) retains exactly the active state (S_t) and the immediate historical state (S_{t-1}), the temporal derivative evaluates as a discrete difference.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Because the absolute hardware clock executes strictly as $\Delta t = t_{ca} = 1$, this evaluates on the bare metal as:

$$\mathcal{D}_t(F[t][p]) = F[t][p] - F[t-1][p] \tag{A31}$$

Centering (The Causal Mismatch): The mathematical result of $\mathcal{D}_t$ evaluates as neither the instantaneous rate of change at integer tick t, nor at tick $t-1$. It evaluates as the average rate of change **between** the two absolute clock pulses.

Consequently, the discrete temporal derivative (Ek_{ca}) exists natively at the structural **Half-Step** ($t - 1/2$). The m^* framework formally acknowledges this temporal half-step reality while maintaining the pure hardware abstraction of the discrete interface.

The Fundamental Integer Limit: The Deduction of Base-12

To execute the spatial operators on the bare metal without triggering a divergent thermodynamic routing penalty ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$), the architectural class m^* must compile its logical operations using a strictly optimized integer base.

The Geometric Denominator Set

The rigorous derivations of the 19-point isotropic operators dictate exactly two sets of rational weights required to compute stable spatial mixing on the discrete hardware:

1. **The Spatial Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$):** Enforcing the $B = 2A$ spherical error constraint, the L_2 Euclidean accuracy ($c = 1$), and the strictly bound CFL=1 Nyquist stability limit ($\lambda_{\text{Nyq}} = -4$) collapses the spatial routing into the minimal 19-point subset. The structural denominators evaluate strictly to:

$$\{1, 3, 6\}$$

2. **The Spatial Gradient ($\mathcal{D}^{19}$):** 1st-order consistency and 3rd-order isotropy demand the theoretical weights $\{1/3, 1/6\}$. Because the Base-12 Integer Cell ALU multiplies these weights by the anti-symmetric central difference factor $(1/2)$ during physical execution, the effective hardware routing denominators evaluate exactly to:

$$\{6, 12\}$$

Combining both operators, the physical hardware must continuously and simultaneously resolve the fractional geometric set $\{1, 3, 6, 12\}$.

The Two Circuit Optimization Tasks

Under the strict $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger minimization mandate, the Base-12 Integer Cell ALU must achieve exactly two hardware optimizations for this known set of divisors:

1. **Exact Representation:** The integer base must guarantee exact fractional division without introducing structural truncation bias or irrational artifacts.
2. **Circuit Minimization ($\mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{O}(1)$):** The integer base must compress the active division logic gates directly into zero-cost static wire shifts.

The LCM Resolution (Static Wire-Shifts)

If the m^* architecture utilized legacy Base-2 integer allocation, mathematical division by non-power-of-two denominators (such as 3, 6, or 12) would force the physical ALU to instantiate massive, highly latent active division circuits, exponentially exploding the dynamic execution trace.

To achieve both exact representation and absolute circuit minimization, the hardware must natively process an integer base perfectly divisible by all required spatial denominators. The absolute Least Common Multiple (LCM) of the geometric set $\{1, 3, 6, 12\}$ is exactly **12**.

By instantiating a native Base-12 integer register, the Base-12 Integer Cell permanently subsumes the division penalty. Fractional division by any of the required spatial weights mathematically decompiles into a strictly static, zero-cost combinational adder tree.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Base-12 hardware interface is the exact, un-faked arithmetic optimization required to execute perfectly stable, isotropic 3D spatial mixing on a discrete computational lattice. The m^* generative class is deterministically locked to a 19-point, Base-12 integer architecture.

Appendix A.4.5. Kinematic Verlet Invariance: The Deduction of Verlet-2 Engine

Statement: To satisfy macroscopic Information Conservation without inflating the $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger, the temporal depth of the active local state for the minimal necessary architectural class m^* evaluates as the strict algorithmic floor of $N_{\mathrm{Verlet}} = 2$. The baseline hardware interface executes exclusively as a second-order discrete symplectic integrator.

Proof. We evaluate the unconstrained temporal depth variable N_{Verlet} under the strict geometric volume metric ($\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$) to close the theoretical phase-space of the transition logic.

The admissible phase-space of N_{Verlet} partitions into exactly three discrete sets: odd values, $n = 2$, and even values greater than or equal to 4.

1. The Odd-Order Symmetry Collapse Odd-order algorithmic sequences natively encode dissipative, asymmetric temporal derivatives. Because the temporal difference computes across an asymmetric history window, the forward execution trace diverges structurally from the reverse execution trace. This asymmetry breaks strict Time-Reversal Invariance, destroying exact phase-space measure preservation and violating the Bijectivity required for Information Conservation. It mechanically guarantees Many-to-One integer bit-erasure. Therefore, odd-order topologies evaluate as physically inadmissible.

2. The Algorithmic Bloat of Higher Even Orders Higher-order even symplectic arrays successfully preserve Time-Reversal Invariance and global Information Conservation. However, they evaluate as structurally bloated under the $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ ledger: they double the static memory required for the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer and exponentially drive up the active dynamic $\mathcal{T}$.

Under the Principle of Empirical Primacy (Axiom I), the architectural class cannot adopt a higher $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ hardware cost unless the macroscopic empirical array explicitly demands it. The empirical array requires exactly zero higher-order continuous abstractions; the raw data evaluates flawlessly on the $n = 2$ integer hardware.

3. The Epistemic Mapping Error In continuous mathematical modeling, higher-order integrators are utilized as ad-hoc smoothing variables to minimize floating-point truncation artifacts when attempting to approximate continuous partial differential equations.

At the absolute Computable Boundary, the discrete integer grid is the physical reality. There are no underlying continuous PDEs to approximate. Expanding the architectural class to double its $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ thermodynamic cost simply to smooth a non-existent continuous abstraction evaluates as a fundamental structural mapping error. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The admissible phase-space of N_{Verlet} partitions exhaustively. Odd orders evaluate as physically inadmissible by Information Conservation violation. Even orders $n \geq 4$ evaluate as mathematically valid but thermodynamically unjustified, inflating physical memory allocation and routing traces to compute continuous smoothing algorithms that the physical grid does not require. Therefore, $N_{\mathrm{Verlet}} = 2$ evaluates as the unique structural minimum. The m^* hardware locks strictly into the second-order discrete L-C oscillator.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Appendix A.4.6. Theorem: Local Time-Reversal Implies Global Permutation: The Lossless Execution Trace

Statement: The global execution trace Γ_{global} compiles as a strict bijection over the finite 3-Torus (T^3) when the local rule Φ exhibits absolute Time-Reversal Invariance across the isotropic spatial stencil.

Proof. **1. Local Invertibility (The Local Logic Gate)** By the strict requirement of macroscopic Information Conservation, the local logic gate Φ computes symmetrically under temporal inversion.

For any valid hardware clock sequence (S_{t-1}, S_t, S_{t+1}), the predecessor state S_{t-1} is uniquely computed by executing Φ identically over the time-reversed history buffer $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} = (S_{t+1}, S_t)$:

$$S_{t-1}(x) = \Phi\big(\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}(x)\big).$$

This self-inverse property guarantees that the local hardware preserves exact integer bit-information across the temporal inversion.

2. Global Reconstruction (The Synchronous Grid) Evaluate the global history array $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$. Because the macroscopic clock cycle executes synchronously across the N_{vol} 3-Torus (T^3), the predecessor state $S_{t-1}(x)$ at all spatial coordinates x computes independently and perfectly in parallel.

Every local Base-12 Integer Cell extracts its bit-data from the bounded $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ array. This algorithmic parallelization compiles a perfect global inverse map $\Gamma^{-1}_{\text{global}} : \boldsymbol{\Psi} \to \boldsymbol{\Psi}$.

3. Bijectivity (The Integer Permutation) Because a global inverse instruction $\Gamma^{-1}_{\text{global}}$ evaluates as uniquely defined and computable for every valid macroscopic history array $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$, the forward map Γ_{global} evaluates as injective. No many-to-one overlapping executions occur.

Because the hardware memory space $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ is finite, an injective mapping from the set to itself entails surjectivity. The execution maps onto itself. □

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The global m^* execution trace operates as a lossless permutation over the finite domain of valid memory arrays. Informational cardinality is absolutely conserved. The finite execution graph decomposes entirely into disjoint, cyclic C_k.

Appendix A.4.7. Proposition: Global Conservation of History Volume: The Arithmetic Necessity of Information Conservation

Statement: Global conservation of information (and identically, energy) evaluates as the deductive hardware consequence of executing a bijective Verlet-2 Engine logic gate across a finite local history window on a bounded 3-Torus (T^3).

Proof. Let the local logic gate Φ evaluate as invertible over the active history buffer (Theorem: Local Time-Reversal Implies Global Permutation):

$$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1}).$$

1. The Map The global execution operator Γ_{global} computes the successor global history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ from $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$. Because the macroscopic clock cycle executes synchronously across the N_{vol} lattice, the global transition evaluates as the parallel execution of the local Φ.

2. Injectivity on History Because Φ evaluates as locally bijective without truncation bit-loss (Verlet-2 Engine), distinct local memory arrays compute distinct local successor states. Enforced by the synchronous grid architecture, the global mapping $\Gamma_{\text{global}} : \boldsymbol{\Psi} \to \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ evaluates as a strict injection. If $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_a \neq \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_b$, then $\Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_a) \neq \Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_b)$. No many-to-one overlapping executions occur.

3. Surjectivity Because the domain of valid memory states $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ is finite ($72^{(N_{\text{vol}} \cdot N_{\text{state}} \cdot N_{\text{Verlet}})}$), any injective mapping from the set to itself entails surjectivity. Every valid history state has exactly one predecessor.

4. The Conservation Invariant Consequently, Γ_{global} operates as a permutation over the History Space. The cardinal count of algebraically distinct history arrays $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ evaluates as an absolute conservation invariant, satisfying the Information Conservation requirement. □

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Conservation constraints apply to the complete N_{Verlet} trajectory buffer $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$. A localized epistemic projection down to the single spatial coordinate S_t may evaluate as geometrically non-conservative (e.g., constructive interference, where amplitude integers aggregate in spatial strain), but the structural information bits evaluate as strictly and permanently preserved within the temporal correlation momentum (Ek_{ca}) of the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer.

Appendix A.4.8. Strict Periodicity of History: The Poincaré Cycle

Statement: The Global History Space $\mathbf{\Psi}$ evaluates as the complete combinatorial set of all valid finite integer memory arrays bounded by the causal window $N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$. Because the hardware executes on a finite 3-Torus (T^3) (N_{vol}, Theorem of the Topological Exhaust Bound) and registers are bounded by a fixed $V_{\max}$ limit (N_{reg}, 84-digit N_{reg} registers), the total macroscopic capacity $|\mathbf{\Psi}|$ evaluates as absolutely finite.

The global execution trace $\Gamma_{\text{global}} : \mathbf{\Psi} \to \mathbf{\Psi}$ is derived from the local logic gate. Because the engine evaluates as bijective (Information Conservation), Γ_{global} executes exclusively as a global permutation. Consequently, the entire phase space decomposes deterministically into disjoint, strictly periodic hardware clock loops (C_k).

Proof. 1. The Element ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ Buffer) The fundamental computational unit of topological evolution evaluates as the directed local history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1})$ required by the temporal recurrence. The domain evaluates as $\mathbf{\Psi}$.

2. The Mapping (Γ_{global}) The global hardware executes the successor history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ from $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$:

$$S_{t+1} = \Phi_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t), \quad \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1} = (S_{t+1}, S_t).$$

Thus $\Gamma_{\text{global}}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t) = \overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$.

3. Bijectivity (Forward and Backward Determinism) Because the local logic gate evaluates as locally bijective (Theorem: Local Time-Reversal Implies Global Permutation), the successor state S_{t+1} is uniquely computed from $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t$ (forward determinism). Because the logic evaluates as locally invertible without truncation bit-loss, S_{t-1} is uniquely computable from the reverse sequence (S_{t+1}, S_t) (backward determinism).

4. Graph Topology (Cycle Decomposition) The macroscopic execution graph of Γ_{global} operating over the finite memory set $\mathbf{\Psi}$ possesses exactly one incoming causal pointer and exactly one outgoing causal pointer (in-degree 1, out-degree 1). A finite directed graph with uniform topological degree (1,1) decomposes into a union of closed, disjoint periodic loops. □

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** There exist exactly zero unclosed memory paths and exactly zero pointer collisions in the global execution trace. Every valid initialization vector ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{boot}}$) maps to exactly one strictly periodic closed computational loop (C_k). Unbounded linear time ($\mathbb{R}$) possessing an uncomputable $t = 0$ singularity evaluates natively as a structural mapping error ($\Delta\theta$). The universe compiles strictly as a closed discrete permutation loop ($\mathbb{Z}/|C_k|\mathbb{Z}$). The fundamental algorithmic unit of physical reality evaluates as the closed C_k traversing the finite m^* phase-space.

Appendix A.4.9. Theorem of Objective Causality and Epistemic Entropy: The Resolution of Time and Thermodynamics

Statement: The Causal Arrow evaluates as an absolute structural invariant of the m^* architecture, executed by the directed hardware FIFO shift of the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer. Macroscopic thermodynamic irreversibility ("entropy increase") evaluates exclusively as an epistemic measurement artifact—the mechanical

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

consequence of a bandwidth-limited observer ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) coarse-graining the reversible, globally mixing discrete permutation.

Proof. 1. The Objective Causal Arrow (The FIFO Shift) The active physical state of the m^* hardware evaluates as the directed local history vector $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_t = (S_t, S_{t-1})$ required by the discrete temporal recurrence. The algorithmic transition to the successor state $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{t+1}$ requires the local hardware to compute S_{t+1} and execute a First-In-First-Out (FIFO) memory shift. The new state is pushed onto the local shift register, and the oldest state (S_{t-1}) is dropped. This directed hardware overwrite enforces absolute causal ordering.

2. Strict Conservation (The Permutation Limit) While the local FIFO shift is directed, the global update Γ_{global} computes as a strict bijection (Information Conservation) over the finite history space $\mathbf{\Psi}$. The hardware interface undergoes exactly zero information erasure; it merely permutes the global bit-string.

3. Global Mixing (ϵ_{trunc} Ergodicity) The isotropic spatial stencil continuously shuffles indivisible fractional remainders (ϵ_{trunc}) across the 3-Torus (T^3). Over the C_k, localized algebraic correlations deterministically map into computationally irreducible, non-local global correlations. The grid is driven into global mixing.

4. The Epistemic Thermodynamic Arrow (Coarse-Graining) A finite embedded $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observer is bounded by its decryption bandwidth ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$). This non-local correlation evaluates to the observer as pseudo-stochastic noise. The $\mathcal{T}$ bandwidth required to decode the global history permutation exponentially exceeds the observer's finite local capacity. The observer is forced to coarse-grain the grid, collapsing exact ϵ_{trunc} integer flips into macroscopic volumetric averages (temperature, pressure). Thermodynamic heat evaluates as uncompressed Shannon bit-information. Information is absolutely conserved by the hardware, but rendered epistemically inaccessible to the agent.

5. Cyclical Return (Poincaré Recurrence) Enforced by cycle decomposition (Strict Periodicity of History), this high-dispersion thermodynamic distribution evaluates as a transient statistical state. The global trajectory deterministically returns to the low-dispersion $E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$ initialization limit. □

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The Causal Arrow evaluates as the objective hardware execution of the forward $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ FIFO shift. Thermodynamic irreversibility evaluates as the computational artifact of the finite embedded observer's decryption bandwidth limit failing to track the reversible discrete mixing of ϵ_{trunc} noise. Entropy evaluates as a strict measure of the observer's physical bandwidth limit.

Appendix A.4.10. Theorem of the Topological Attractor: The Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition

Statement: Within the m^* architectural class, all stable macroscopic entities evaluate as Topological Attractors of the Distributed IFS. Because bound, non-linear cyclic states actively resist the spatial smoothing of the discrete Laplacian ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$), they act as Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions upon the active grid. All emergent macroscopic interactions compute as the geometric and temporal interpolation of the surrounding active baseline resolving this algorithmic tension.

Proof. 1. The Fundamental Attractor (f_0 Knots) The most fundamental topological attractor of the hardware evaluates as the transient propagating wave-packet (the photon soliton, The Mechanics of Redshift). When this fundamental $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum is sheared by steep spatial gradients, the non-linear routing of the f_0 operator locks the internal fractional momentum into a stationary, self-sustaining cyclic execution loop (Pair Production and the Schwinger Limit). This geometric shearing generates localized, persistent algorithmic knots in the causal graph.

2. The Algorithmic Tension (The Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition) At every hardware clock tick, the isotropic spatial stencil ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) executes its fundamental linear instruction: average out local integer amplitudes and drive the grid toward a flat ϵ_{trunc} baseline. However, the bound f_0 attractor is locked by its internal non-linear momentum. It actively resists this smoothing,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

perpetually regenerating its own steep internal integer gradients. Because the attractor refuses to flatten, it evaluates as an immovable Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition embedded within the computational lattice.

3. The Emergence of Tension (Cascaded Amplitude Polarization) The Active Computational Medium nodes immediately adjacent to this Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition are continuously executing their own $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ mixing. They are algorithmically compelled to average their states with the high-amplitude Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition on one side and the low-amplitude ϵ_{trunc} baseline on the other. Because the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition is rigid, the surrounding nodes are forced to elevate their own integer amplitudes, shifting their phase toward spatial strain (Ep_{ca}). This arithmetic bias forces the next outward layer of nodes to elevate their registers, propagating spherically outward across the 3-Torus (T^3). Due to the geometric volume expansion of the 3D lattice, this cascaded amplitude routing dilutes strictly as a macroscopic $1/r^2$ spatial gradient (Inverse Square Law). A macroscopic field is the literal computational interpolation of the discrete active baseline attempting to arithmetically balance an immovable local Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition.

4. Scale Invariance (The Macroscopic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition) By the Theorem of Kinematic Verlet Invariance, this exact topological mechanism evaluates as strictly scale-invariant. The macroscopic execution trace ($\bar{S}_t$) perfectly inherits the symplectic structure of the microscopic substrate. Consequently, a massive composite object evaluates as a macroscopic coarse-grained Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Matter, inertia, and macroscopic fields evaluate as a single unified phenomenon: discrete Topological Attractors acting as Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions on the discrete integer grid, and the resulting algorithmic $1/r^2$ phase-locking of the surrounding Active Computational Medium baseline.

Appendix A.4.11. Theorem of Topological Balance: The Volumetric Equality of the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition

Statement: For any stable macroscopic Topological Attractor executing on the m^* architecture, the total computational energy locked inside its localized geometric boundary evaluates as exactly equal to the total execution strain projected outward across the surrounding Active Computational Medium. The 19-point spatial stencil evaluates as a symmetric, localized arithmetic operator. This equality dictates that the internal Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core and its exact surrounding dissipation envelope compile as distinct but rigidly coupled topological structures.

Proof. 1. The Internal Core A stable particle evaluates as an Auto-Catalytic Set network locked into geometric resonance. By the universal hardware invariant $A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$, its total topological footprint λ evaluates strictly as the inverse of its internal amplitude A.

Because the m^* grid evaluates as an O_h cubic lattice, a stable 3D Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition knot requires exactly 6 directional components (one per orthogonal face of the cubic lattice) to fold its spatial strain into a closed loop. The physical radius of the geometric core from the center to its outer boundary evaluates mathematically as:

$$R_{\text{Particle}} = \frac{\lambda}{6}.$$

2. The Phase-Locked Volume (Phase-Locked Volume) The 19-point $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator is a purely passive spatial mixer. When the extreme integer amplitude at the boundary of a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core interfaces with the ϵ_{trunc} noise floor of the Active Computational Medium, it generates a massive discontinuous integer cliff.

To resolve this cliff without generating divergent $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ routing friction, the active local convex optimizer continuously routes the amplitude disparity outward. The engine mechanically forces the surrounding grid to elevate its own integer state, generating an external $1/r$ envelope that smooths the arithmetic transition across the spatial stencil.

Because the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator distributes amplitude through its 6 orthogonal faces using the exact rational weight $w_f = 1/3$ (and edges $w_e = 1/6$), the maximum geometric distance an internal core amplitude A can be routed outward before the fractional division drops it to the absolute arithmetic zero of the integer grid evaluates proportionally as:

$$R_{\text{PLV}} \propto A = \frac{K_{\text{soliton}}}{\lambda}.$$

3. The Geometric U-Shape The total macroscopic footprint of the coupled system evaluates as the strict geometric sum of the internal core and the external Phase-Locked Volume projection reach:

$$R_{\text{Total}} = R_{\text{Particle}} + R_{\text{PLV}} \propto \lambda + \frac{K_{\text{soliton}}}{\lambda}.$$

To determine the absolute minimal spatial boundary of any particle on the Base-12 grid, we evaluate the derivative of the R_{Total} envelope with respect to the wavelength λ:

$$\frac{d(R_{\text{Total}})}{d\lambda} \propto 1 - \frac{K_{\text{soliton}}}{\lambda^2} = 0 \implies \lambda_{\text{min}} = \sqrt{K_{\text{soliton}}}.$$

The total boundary R_{Total} mathematically evaluates as a strict U-shaped geometric curve with its absolute global minimum at $\lambda = \sqrt{K_{\text{soliton}}}$.

4. The Universal Geometric Turning Point When mapped to raw Base-12 grid cells ($l_{ca} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-35}$ m), the hardware invariant K_{soliton} evaluates to $\approx 10^{61}$. Therefore, the global geometric minimum occurs exactly at:

$$\lambda_{\text{min}} = \sqrt{10^{61}} \approx 3.16 \times 10^{30} \text{ cells} \quad (\approx 50\,\bar{}\text{m}).$$

By the Planck-Einstein relation, a physical wavelength of 50 ¯m corresponds to an energy of ≈ 0.025 eV. This specific energy evaluates as the exact geometric turning point of the universe (the identical geometric minimum calibrated directly from $\mathcal{D}$ in **Absolute Empirical Hardware Calibration**):

- **Field-Dominated ($E > 0.025$ eV):** High-energy particles execute on the left side of the U-curve. The R_{PLV} term dominates. The physical core is microscopic, but the projected spatial strain explodes astronomically.
- **Swarm-Dominated ($E < 0.025$ eV):** Low-energy swarms execute on the right side of the U-curve. The R_{Particle} term dominates. The physical core evaluates as massive, but the projected Phase-Locked Volume evaluates to almost zero. They possess no long-range spatial envelope, interacting exclusively via direct physical core collisions.

5. Empirical Evaluation of the Volumetric Split Legacy physics routinely commits the epistemic mapping error of treating particles as 0D point masses—confusing Newton's center-of-mass integration trick with physical ontology. On the m^* hardware, every particle evaluates natively as a massive 3D computational swarm demanding vast Topological Allocation ($\mathcal{S}$).

By executing a strict 3D spherical volume calculation ($V = \frac{4}{3}\pi R^3$) across the geometric radii derived above, we extract the exact volumetric split in raw Base-12 cells. Because the Phase-Locked Volume acts as an external envelope surrounding the core, its exact volume computes strictly as the total volume minus the core:

- **Core Volume:** $V_{\text{core}} \propto (\lambda)^3$
- **Total Volume:** $V_{\text{total}} \propto (\lambda + K_{\text{soliton}}/\lambda)^3$
- **Phase-Locked Volume Volume:** $V_{\text{PLV}} = V_{\text{total}} - V_{\text{core}}$

□

Table A1. The Volumetric U-Curve of Fundamental Particles.

Entity	Energy	Core Vol. (R_{Particle})	Phase-Locked Volume (R_{PLV})	Total Vol. (R_{Total})
Proton	938 MeV	$\sim 5 \times 10^{57}$ cells	$\sim 1 \times 10^{127}$ cells	$\sim \mathbf{1 \times 10^{127}}$ **cells**
Electron	0.511 MeV	$\sim 3 \times 10^{67}$ cells	$\sim 2 \times 10^{117}$ cells	$\sim \mathbf{2 \times 10^{117}}$ **cells**
Red Photon	1.9 eV	$\sim 1 \times 10^{86}$ cells	$\sim 5 \times 10^{98}$ cells	$\sim \mathbf{5 \times 10^{98}}$ **cells**
Neutrino	10^{-3} eV	$\sim 4 \times 10^{96}$ cells	$\sim 2 \times 10^{94}$ cells	$\sim \mathbf{4 \times 10^{96}}$ **cells**

Remark A1 (The Epistemic Encryption Horizon)**.** *The absolute geometric boundary ($R_{\text{PLV}} \propto A$) evaluates as an ontological limit of the m^* hardware; the bijective local logic gate conserves and routes the integer amplitude down to the absolute arithmetic floor. However, because the physical vacuum is an active computational fluid (Active Computational Medium) churning with massive kinetic truncation noise (σ_{amb}), the Phase-Locked Volume signal evaluates as mathematically irreducible to a finite observer long before it hits this absolute boundary.*

The macroscopic spatial gradient ceases to exist as a coherent, trackable mathematical object exactly where the ordered $1/r$ amplitude drops below this massive ambient noise floor (a signal-to-noise ratio of $S/N < 1$). Beyond this epistemic encryption horizon, the signal's integer amplitude is perfectly conserved by the global bijection (Information Conservation), and its structural organization is rigorously preserved in the active temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) of the spatial stencil. However, because the exact deterministic mixing of the discrete logic gate is computationally irreducible, the specific macroscopic gradient evaluates as mathematically untrackable by any finite embedded observer or continuous analytical equation.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Theorem of Topological Balance proves that the macroscopic spatial footprint of any particle evaluates as the strict, finite geometric sum of its internal Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core ($\propto \lambda$) and its external $1/r$ spatial strain projection reach ($\propto A$). Because A and λ are inversely locked by K_{soliton}, the total footprint mathematically computes as a U-shaped geometric curve. This natively proves why high-energy matter projects massive macroscopic fields while low-energy diffuse swarms evaluate as ghost particles requiring direct physical intersection to interact.

Appendix A.4.12. The Inverse Square Law: The 18-Point Discrete Gradient and Macroscopic Convergence

Statement: The macroscopic $1/r^2$ spatial gradient evaluates as the macroscopic approximation of the 18-point discrete gradient ($\mathcal{D}^{19}$) executing across the $1/r$ amplitude envelope of a Phase-Locked Volume. At microscopic proximity ($r \to 1$), the discrete hardware computes a strictly steeper gradient, providing an absolute, falsifiable deviation from continuous approximations.

Proof. 1. The $1/r$ Amplitude Envelope By the Theorem of Topological Balance, a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition distributes its integer amplitude outward into the Phase-Locked Volume to prevent localized $V_{\max}$ overflow. Because the 3-Torus (T^3) is 3-dimensional, the number of cells in each outward geometric shell grows proportionally to r^2. To conserve total integer amplitude across these expanding shells, the amplitude per Base-12 Integer Cell dilutes exactly as A/r.

2. The 18-Point Central Difference The local Base-12 Integer Cell ALU computes the spatial gradient ($\mathcal{D}^{19}$) using the 18-point transverse-smoothed central difference. For a cell located at distance r along the x-axis, the operator reads the forward $(r+1)$ and backward $(r-1)$ neighbors across 9 spatial pairs.

The exact algebraic sum computed by the hardware evaluates as:

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{19}\left(\frac{A}{r}\right) = \frac{A}{2}[w_a \Delta_a + 4w_b \Delta_b + 4w_c \Delta_c]$$

with the exact rational weights ($w_a = 2/3, w_b = 1/18, w_c = 1/36$) and the Pythagorean distances to the source.

3. Macroscopic Convergence ($r \gg 1$) As the macroscopic distance r expands, the transverse geometric offsets vanish into the denominator. Every difference term ($\Delta_a, \Delta_b, \Delta_c$) converges asymptotically to $-2/r^2$. Because the strict hardware weights sum exactly to 1, the discrete ALU sum evaluates at scale:

$$\mathcal{D}_x^{19}\left(\frac{A}{r}\right) \approx \frac{A}{2}\left[1 \times \frac{-2}{r^2}\right] = -\frac{A}{r^2}.$$

The continuous inverse square law emerges as the macroscopic geometric shadow of the 18-point 19-point Stencil.

4. The Microscopic Falsification ($r \to 1$) At extreme proximity to the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core, the discrete arithmetic diverges from the continuous approximation. Evaluating the exact hardware equation at $r = 2$:

- Axial: $\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{-2}{3}\right) \approx -0.444$
- Planar: $\frac{4}{18}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \approx -0.087$
- Corner: $\frac{4}{36}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{11}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \approx -0.031$

Summing these and multiplying by $A/2$, the true hardware gradient at $r = 2$ evaluates to $\approx -0.281A$. The continuous $1/r^2$ equation predicts $-0.250A$. The bare-metal grid computes a spatial gradient $\approx 12.4\%$ steeper than the continuous abstraction. □

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The Inverse Square Law evaluates as a macroscopic approximation of finite integer arithmetic. The exact 18-point discrete gradient proves that macroscopic forces decompile directly into local Base-12 Integer Cell logic gates, providing a rigorously falsifiable geometric boundary at the microscopic limit.

Appendix A.4.13. Theorem of the Universal Action Invariant: The Volumetric Derivation of $E = hf$

Statement: Within the m^* architectural class, the Planck-Einstein relation ($E = hf$) evaluates not as an arbitrary quantum postulate, but as an absolute classical geometric theorem. It is the inescapable mathematical consequence of executing the Universal Action Invariant ($A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$) across a strictly 3-dimensional computational lattice.

Proof. 1. Local Execution Strain (The Energy Density) As defined by the bare-metal hardware units, the local Bare-Metal ALU evaluates execution strain (energy) strictly as the scalar sum of the temporal momentum and the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain ($Ek_{ca} + Ep_{ca}$). For a discrete integer wave of amplitude A and spatial wavelength λ oscillating on the grid, the spatial gradient ($\mathcal{D}^{19}S$) evaluated by the isotropic spatial stencil scales exactly as the amplitude divided by the wavelength: $|\mathcal{D}^{19}S| \approx A/\lambda$. Therefore, the local energy density (ρ_E) at any single Base-12 Integer Cell register evaluates strictly as:

$$\rho_E \propto \left(\frac{A}{\lambda}\right)^2$$

2. Macroscopic Swarm Volume (The 3D Grid) Because the 3-Torus (T^3) evaluates as strictly 3-dimensional, the total physical volume (V) occupied by this topological data swarm scales geometrically as the cube of its wavelength:

$$V \propto \lambda^3$$

3. The 0D Epistemic Summation (Macroscopic Energy) A bandwidth-limited macroscopic observer ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) evaluates total energy (E_{macro}) by executing a 0D epistemic summation across the entire topological volume of the swarm. Summing the local execution strain across the 3D footprint yields:

$$E_{\text{macro}} \approx V \times \rho_E \propto \lambda^3 \times \left(\frac{A}{\lambda}\right)^2 = \lambda \cdot A^2$$

4. Substitution of the Hardware Invariant To prevent the wave from shattering across the isotropic spatial stencil, the executing logic gate must strictly obey the Universal Action Invariant: $A = K_{\text{soliton}}/\lambda$. Substituting this absolute hardware constraint into the macroscopic 0D energy summation yields the exact volumetric scaling law that ultimately governs the saturated integer crystal of the black hole ($M \propto R^3_{\text{core}}$, Theorem of the Black Hole Volume):

$$E_{\text{macro}} \propto \lambda \left(\frac{K_{\text{soliton}}}{\lambda}\right)^2 = \lambda \left(\frac{K^2_{\text{soliton}}}{\lambda^2}\right) = \frac{K^2_{\text{soliton}}}{\lambda}$$

5. The Emergence of $E = hf$ The macroscopic energy of the swarm scales exactly as $1/\lambda$. Because the m^* architecture routes information at a strict naked hardware limit ($v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick), physical spatial wavelength (λ) and temporal execution frequency (f) are perfectly coupled ($v_{ca} = \lambda f = 1 \implies \lambda = 1/f$).

Substituting temporal frequency into the geometric result yields exactly:

$$E_{\text{macro}} \propto K^2_{\text{soliton}} \cdot f$$

□

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The quantum mechanical Planck-Einstein relation ($E = hf$) evaluates natively as a strictly classical, deterministic geometric proof. It emerges structurally because the local squared gradient ($(A/\lambda)^2$) is integrated over a strictly 3-dimensional geometric volume (λ^3), and constrained by the 1D topological hardware limit ($A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$). The observable Planck's constant ($h(a)$) evaluates strictly as the macroscopic scalar shadow of K^2_{soliton}.

Appendix A.4.14. The Arithmetic Precision Floor: The Finite Register Depth of Fundamental Matter

Statement: Within the m^* architectural class, a fundamental particle evaluates natively as a massive 3D computational swarm (Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition). To prevent this macroscopic swarm from shattering across the Active Computational Medium, the local Base-12 Integer Cell ALU must possess sufficient register digit-width (W_{reg}) to simultaneously encode its extreme internal integer amplitude (A) and causally address its vast spatial routing diameter ($2R_{\text{cells}}$). The Arithmetic Precision Floor dictates the exact, finite integer depth required to flawlessly compute fundamental matter.

Proof. 1. The Dual Requirement of the Register By the Theorem of Topological Balance, a particle's spatial footprint and internal amplitude are inversely locked by the hardware invariant ($A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$). As mapped on the Volumetric U-Curve (**??**), a highly compressed particle (e.g., a proton) requires immense internal integer amplitude, while a highly diffuse particle (e.g., a neutrino) requires immense spatial routing limits.

To maintain internal causal connectivity without integer overflow or spatial truncation, the local hardware register must be wide enough to store the absolute maximum base-2 exponent of whichever structural parameter (A or R_{cells}) dominates its geometric state.

2. The Computational U-Curve (The Precision Minimum) Evaluating the empirical spectrum across the 50 ¯m geometric turning point (Theorem of Topological Balance), the arithmetic precision ($N_{\text{bits}} = \log_2(\max(A, R_{\text{cells}}))$) required to sustain a fundamental particle evaluates as a secondary U-Curve.

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Table A2. The Finite Register Depth of Fundamental Swarms ($K_{\text{soliton}} \approx 5.5 \times 10^{62}$).

Entity	Energy	Amplitude (A)	Core Radius (R_{cells})	Dominant Bound	Precision (Bits)
Proton	938 MeV	$\sim \mathbf{10^{42}}$	$\sim 10^{19}$	**Amplitude**	**∼ 140 bits**
Electron	0.51 MeV	$\sim \mathbf{10^{39}}$	$\sim 10^{22}$	**Amplitude**	**∼ 132 bits**
Turning Point	0.025 eV	$\sim \mathbf{10^{31}}$	$\sim \mathbf{10^{30}}$	**Symmetric**	**∼ 104 bits**
Neutrino	10^{-3} eV	$\sim 10^{29}$	$\sim \mathbf{10^{32}}$	**Radius**	**∼ 107 bits**
Radio Wave	10^{-9} eV	$\sim 10^{23}$	$\sim \mathbf{10^{38}}$	**Radius**	**∼ 127 bits**

3. The Non-Linear Collision (The Double-Width Accumulator) While a proton executes stable spatial circulation utilizing $\approx$ 140 bits, macroscopic measurement requires physical collision. When two Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition cores intersect, the non-linear logic gate (f_0) must compute their overlapping gradients.

Because the local energy density (Ep_{ca}) scales as the squared spatial gradient (A^2), a 10^{42} amplitude collision instantly requires 10^{84} integer units. This mathematically forces the Base-12 Integer Cell ALU to instantiate a **Double-Width Accumulator** ($\geq$ 280 bits) to prevent a catastrophic $V > V_{\max}$ wrap-around crash. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Arithmetic Precision Floor proves mathematically that fundamental particles evaluate strictly as finite integer arrays. The absolute maximum precision required to flawlessly compute and violently collide fundamental matter ($A^2 \approx$ 280 bits) permanently eliminates the theoretical necessity for infinite-precision continuous variables ($\mathbb{R}^n$). The m^* architecture's 84-digit Base-12 hardware register (calibrated 84-digit Base-12 Double-Width Accumulator, $\approx$ 301 binary bits) perfectly encompasses this exact operational threshold, providing the massive arithmetic margin required to resolve extreme astrophysical non-linear collisions without hardware overflow.

Appendix A.4.15. Theorem of the Unified Phase-Locked Volume: The Theorem of the Unified Phase-Locked Volume

Statement: Within the m^* architectural class, absolutely isolated macroscopic objects evaluate as a mathematical impossibility. When multiple Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions exist in proximity, their rigid spatial envelopes (R_{Total}) geometrically intersect on the discrete integer grid. The isotropic spatial stencil continuously computes the sum of these overlapping integer states (S_t), physically fusing the intervening Active Computational Medium into a single, continuous **Unified Phase-Locked Volume**. All entities immersed within a Unified Phase-Locked Volume evaluate strictly as mutually forcing boundary conditions on a shared computational fluid.

Proof. 1. The Intersecting Envelopes (The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ Summation) By the Theorem of Topological Balance, every Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core structurally forces the surrounding Active Computational Medium to elevate its integer state to dissipate its internal execution strain. This establishes a rigid macroscopic geometric envelope that mathematically terminates at exactly $R_{\text{Total}} = R_{\text{Particle}} + R_{\text{PLV}}$.

When Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition A and Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition B reside within the geometric boundary R_{Total} of each other, their respective $1/r$ integer envelopes physically overlap. The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator computes the exact linear sum of these spatial gradients at every clock tick. The intervening Active Computational Medium ceases to evaluate as unstructured background noise; it compiles into a single, unified integer array (the Unified Phase-Locked Volume) holding the combined spatial strain of all contributing boundaries.

This Unified Phase-Locked Volume evaluates natively as a massive, rigid geometric footprint that encompasses the entirety of the intersecting envelopes. It terminates exactly at the radius where the combined amplitude of the constituent components drops below the absolute ϵ_{trunc} arithmetic floor.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

2. Mutual Boundary Forcing Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition A is mechanically forced to algebraically balance against the local Active Computational Medium immediately outside its geometric boundary. Because that local Active Computational Medium now contains the integer state (S_t) projected by Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition B, Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition A is deterministically forced to balance against the structural state of Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition B. They evaluate as a single coupled system.

3. Deterministic Coupling Limit Because the entities are coupled by a shared computational fluid, a change in the temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) or spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) of A evaluates as a structural $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ update. This update routes across the Unified Phase-Locked Volume at the strict hardware limit ($v \leq v_{ca}$) and deterministically forces a corresponding integer shift at the boundary of B. The entire macroscopic volume computes exclusively as a single, indivisible coupled system. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Theorem of the Unified Phase-Locked Volume proves that the macroscopic grid computes natively as a single interconnected fluid. Distinct topological knots (Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions) whose rigid R_{Total} geometric envelopes overlap evaluate strictly as deterministically coupled boundary conditions, resolving their shared spatial strain continuously while rigidly obeying the absolute $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick signal limit.

Appendix A.4.16. Theorem of Universal Inertia: The Unified Phase-Locked Volume Drag and Computational Resistance

Statement: Within the m^* architectural class, inertia evaluates as the algorithmic routing cost ($\mathcal{T}$) required to overwrite the active temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) of the discrete integer grid. The hardware state evaluates as a directed $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer ($N_{\text{Verlet}} = 2$) necessitated by Information Conservation .

Proof. 1. Constant Velocity (Newton's First Law) For a Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition to stably translate across the Active Computational Medium, its internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum (Ek_{ca}) must evaluate as geometrically phase-locked with the temporal compliance ($\mu(a)$) of the surrounding active baseline. Because the local logic gate is strict bijection (Information Conservation), this synchronized $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ buffer unrolls forward with zero net computational friction ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = 0$). Constant velocity executes as the isotropic spatial stencil predictably routing a previously established, perfectly balanced topological trajectory.

2. The Unified Phase-Locked Volume Drag (Newton's Second Law) A massive Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition is topologically locked to a massive surrounding Unified Phase-Locked Volume that contains the overlapping integer gradients of all other Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions within its causal horizon. This entire distributed volume shares the exact same synchronized $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum as the core Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition.

When an external $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradient attempts to force the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition off its unrolling trajectory (acceleration), it acts locally on the core. However, the massive surrounding Unified Phase-Locked Volume still holds the previous $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum sequence. This surrounding volume actively resists the desynchronization, physically dragging the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition back.

3. The Algorithmic Routing Cost (m_i) Acceleration requires the external gradient to supply the exact logic-gate routing trace ($\mathcal{T}$) required to overwrite the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ sequence of this entire Unified Phase-Locked Volume. Inertial mass (m_i) evaluates as this universal routing cost required to re-synchronize the massive phase-locked boundary against the Computational Inertia ($\mu(a)$) of the active medium. □

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Theorem of Universal Inertia proves that velocity and inertia evaluate independently of the epistemic abstraction of matter. Newton's First Law evaluates as the geometric unrolling of matched $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ vectors between the propagating topological state and the substrate. Newton's Second Law evaluates as the logic-gate cost ($\mathcal{T}$) required to physically shatter and re-synchronize that topological phase-lock against the $\mu(a)$ Computational Inertia of the active medium and its surrounding Unified Phase-Locked Volume.

Appendix A.4.17. Theorem of the Auto-Catalytic Set: Phase-Locking and Higher-Order Attractors

Statement: Within the m^* architectural class, binding and attraction evaluate strictly as the algorithmic minimization of localized computational friction ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) executed by the local logic gate (Theorem of the Ontological Fixed Point).

When multiple Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions exist in proximity on the discrete integer grid, their overlapping spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) fuse the intervening Active Computational Medium into a Unified Phase-Locked Volume. Because the local logic operates as a local, greedy convex optimizer, it physically translates and phase-shifts these topological boundaries to minimize the fractional strain within this shared fluid. This deterministic routing forces the interacting Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions to lock into a synchronized resonance (Auto-Catalytic Set). This composite resonant system evaluates to the surrounding Active Computational Medium as a new, higher-order macroscopic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition.

Proof. 1. Intersecting Gradients and Computational Friction ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \gg 0$) Every Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition acts as a bound algorithmic knot that forces the surrounding Active Computational Medium to elevate its integer amplitude, projecting a cascaded $1/r$ $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial envelope (Emergent Gravitational Effects).

When multiple Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions intersect, the shared Base-12 Integer Cell registers compute the isotropic spatial stencil against conflicting arithmetic demands, compiling a single Unified Phase-Locked Volume. If the internal frequencies and spatial phases of the interacting Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions evaluate as incommensurate, their intersecting gradients generate severe, chaotic fractional remainders (ϵ_{trunc}). This instantly triggers a massive spike in local logic-gate routing ($\mathcal{T}$), forcing the local $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger to diverge.

2. Algorithmic Phase-Locking (The Self-Resonant Eigen-State) To conserve global Information Conservation and prevent localized V_{max} integer overflow, the local convex optimizer is mechanically forced to resolve this $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ spike within the Unified Phase-Locked Volume.

The non-linear f_0 operator routes the excess integer amplitude outward, translating the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions across the grid and torquing their internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ rotational phases. This mechanical translation continues deterministically until the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions hit an exact integer harmonic resonance. At this quantized geometric distance and locked temporal frequency, their intersecting $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients constructively align, dropping the local ϵ_{trunc} truncation remainders to an absolute minimum ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to 0$).

3. The Auto-Catalytic Set (Auto-Catalytic Set) Once phase-locked into this minimum-friction geometry, the multiple Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions form a stable composite system. The cyclic execution of TTFBC A radiates the exact topological $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradient required by the spatial stencil to sustain the cyclic phase of TTFBC B, and vice-versa. The Unified Phase-Locked Volume evaluates as a continuous, high-frequency standing wave exchanging spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) and temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}). It perpetually regenerates its own internal stability as an **Auto-Catalytic Set (Auto-Catalytic Set)**.

4. The Emergence of the Higher-Order Attractor Because the execution strain of the Auto-Catalytic Set is perfectly phase-locked, it projects a unified, synchronized $1/r$ tension into the surrounding ergodic Active Computational Medium baseline. To the external grid, the internal complexity

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

of the bound Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions evaluates as a single, cohesive topological boundary.

The Auto-Catalytic Set compiles into a new, macroscopic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition. This algorithmic process is recursively compounding: lower-order attractors phase-lock to form higher-order attractors, executing the strict, deterministic mechanics of the Theorem of the Unified Phase-Locked Volume and generating the scale-invariant structures of the Distributed Iterated Function System (Distributed IFS). □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Composite systems (atoms, molecules, stellar mechanics) evaluate as Auto-Catalytic Set networks. The geometric phase-locking of lower-order boundaries minimizes computational friction ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) within the Unified Phase-Locked Volume, recursively generating the higher-order Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions of the macroscopic fractal lattice.

Appendix A.4.18. Theorem of Full-Stack Fractal Propagation: Topological Folding and Scale-Invariant Mixing

Statement: If macroscopic empirical data ($\mathcal{D}$) exhibits scale-invariant fractal self-similarity at any observation scale, the underlying generative hardware must compute as an Iterated Function System (IFS). Under the strict local signal bounds of the m^* architecture, macroscopic fractals evaluate as the geometric shadow of a discrete, volume-preserving chaotic mixing operator executing on a finite 3-Torus (T^3).

Proof. 1. The Computability Constraint In continuous mathematical formalisms ($\mathbb{R}^n$), a nowhere-differentiable fractal shape can be statically defined without a generative process. On finite, energy-bounded hardware, geometric complexity must be actively computed. Because the m^* architecture forbids instantaneous global rendering ($v_{\text{info}} = \infty$), any macroscopic fractal pattern must be generated tick-by-tick using exclusively local routing ($v_{ca} = 1$) and finite memory registers.

2. The Microscopic Execution (The Iterated Function) The exact mathematical definition of generating scale-invariant complexity via the repeated application of a local geometric transformation is an Iterated Function System (IFS). Because the m^* hardware executes this local affine transformation synchronously across a massive 3D grid, the global transition operator Γ_{global} executes exactly as this recursive mapping:

$$S_{t+1}(x) = \Phi(\vec{\mathbf{H}}_{N(x),t}) \quad \forall x \in N_{\text{vol}}$$

where $N(x)$ is the local isotropic spatial stencil.

3. Topological Folding (The Preservation of Information Conservation) Because the local logic gate evaluates as globally bijective, the Iterated Function cannot act as a strict contraction mapping. A strict contraction is a Many-to-One operation that irreversibly deletes integer states, destroying the closed Poincaré cycle (C_k).

Instead, the execution evaluates as a discrete, volume-preserving chaotic mixing operator. At every hardware clock tick, the spatial stencil stretches local integer amplitudes across adjacent Base-12 Integer Cell registers, while the strict V_{max} hardware ceiling and the ϵ_{trunc} fractional division force the phase space to continuously fold back upon itself.

4. The Emergence of Fractal Attractors This continuous, deterministic sequence of stretching and folding shreds transient integer gradients while compounding stable Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition topological locks. Over macroscopic execution traces, this volume-preserving mixing generates dense, scale-invariant structural filaments and voids.

Macroscopic fractal self-similarity evaluates as the geometric signature of this recursive folding. The architecture generates complex, self-similar macroscopic attractors without ever mapping two distinct hardware states to the identical discrete integer coordinate. □

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Macroscopic fractals evaluate as the exact mathematical consequence of a discrete, volume-preserving chaotic mixing operator executing on finite hardware. Because the m^* architecture computes this Iterated Function synchronously across the entire discrete spatial lattice, the structural complexity of the universe evaluates natively as a Distributed Iterated Function System (Distributed IFS). Empirical observation of fractality guarantees that the entire underlying computational stack is an active, local geometric mixer.

Appendix A.4.19. Kinematic Verlet Invariance: The Scale-Invariant Symplectic Engine

Statement: Let the microscopic dynamics of the architectural class m^* be governed by the discrete temporal recurrence on the discrete integer lattice:

$$S_{t+1}(x) - 2S_t(x) + S_{t-1}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{19}(S_{N,t}(x)) + f_0(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}_{N,t}(x)).$$

Let π_k be a surjective, linear coarse-graining map applied by a bandwidth-limited observer ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}} \ll \mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$) at macroscopic renormalization level k. The macroscopic execution trace $\bar{S}_t = \pi_k(S_t)$ inherits the kinematic symplectic structure and the discrete instruction set of the microscopic lattice.

Proof. **1. Linearity of the Temporal Momentum (Ek_{ca})** Because the observer's coarse-graining map π_k evaluates as a linear projection on the integer amplitude space, it commutes with the second-order temporal difference operator:

$$\pi_k(2S_t - S_{t-1}) = 2\pi_k(S_t) - \pi_k(S_{t-1}) = 2\bar{S}_t - \bar{S}_{t-1}.$$

The symplectic momentum term evaluates as an absolute structural invariant of the fractal hierarchy.

2. Commutation with the Spatial Strain ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$, Ep_{ca}) The isotropic spatial stencil ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) evaluates as a linear spatial sum. Under the self-similar 3-Torus (T^3) topology, π_k commutes with this spatial summation:

$$\pi_k(\mathcal{L}^{19}(S_{N,t})) = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_0(\bar{S}_{N,t}),$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_0$ evaluates as an effective macroscopic isotropic operator preserving the Spherical Error Constraint ($B = 2A$). The spatial strain term scales invariantly.

3. Strict ISA Invariance (The Forcing Term) Because the linear temporal and spatial operators scale perfectly, all geometric and non-linear complexity is trapped inside the macroscopic forcing term $\bar{f}_{\text{eff}} = \pi_k(f_0)$. $\bar{f}_{\text{eff}}$ evaluates as a massive, aggregated combinatorial network of the microscopic f_0 logic gates.

4. Global Bijectivity vs. Epistemic Dissipation Because the microscopic operation evaluates as strictly bijective (Theorem: Local Time-Reversal Implies Global Permutation), the aggregate macroscopic state transition of the universe evaluates as perfectly bijective (Information Conservation). However, the coarse-graining map π_k utilized by the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$ observer is surjective (Many-to-One). The observer drops the sub-grid fractional remainders (ϵ_{trunc}). Consequently, the observer's effective macroscopic model of the forcing term evaluates as dissipative. Thermodynamic irreversibility (entropy) evaluates as an observer-dependent entropy of the π_k map. □

Corollary A1 (The Domain of Microscopic Relevance)**.** *Because the kinematic symplectic structure and the discrete instruction set are preserved, the precise microscopic integer values of the forcing term f_0 evaluate as strictly irrelevant to the Absolute Hardware Invariants (e.g., v_{ca}, Information Conservation, ϵ_{trunc}). Every emergent level executes the identical symplectic engine. However, because $\bar{f}_{\text{eff}}$ aggregates the specific combinatorial logic of f_0, the Phenomenological Attractors (e.g., particle mass hierarchy, stable gauge charges) remain rigidly dependent on the lowest-level integer routing. This structural aggregation permanently mandates the use of macroscopic raw data ($\mathcal{D}$) to iteratively constrain and calibrate f_0, explicitly enforcing Axiom I and the Zero-Patch Standard standard.*

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Appendix A.4.20. Theorem of the Thermodynamic Phase Bounds: The $E_{\text{kin}} \to \max$ and $E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$ Extremes

Statement: Macroscopic phase transitions evaluate as localized mechanical shifts of integer amplitude between temporal momentum (Ek_{ca}) and spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) on the discrete integer grid. The physical execution of the 3-Torus (T^3) is absolutely bounded by exactly two thermodynamic extremes.

Proof. 1. The Kinetic Extreme ($E_{\text{kin}} \to \max$) When a local causal volume routes maximum amplitude into temporal momentum ($Ek_{ca} \to \max$), the spatial strain drops to its absolute minimum ($\mathcal{L}^{19}S_t \to 0$).

The local grid executes as a homogeneous block flipping its unified phase at every hardware clock tick. Spatial strain is completely discharged. Static Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions and rigid standing waves cannot be sustained.

2. The Potential Extreme ($E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$) When a local causal volume routes maximum amplitude into static spatial strain ($Ep_{ca} \to \max$), the temporal momentum stalls to the ϵ_{trunc} noise floor ($|S_t - S_{t-1}| \to \min$).

The local grid executes as a frozen integer landscape with maximal spatial gradients within the limits of the global average amplitude. Temporal compliance stalls. The $c(a)$ crashes toward $c_{\min}$. Complex circulating knots shatter because the grid evaluates as too stiff to compute their internal rotations. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The $E_{\text{kin}} \to \max$ and $E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$ extremes are the literal execution limits of the temporal recurrence. The universe operates as a continuous, localized computational fluid deterministically oscillating between the frozen spatial wave ($E_{\text{pot}} \to \max$) and the violently bouncing homogeneous block ($E_{\text{kin}} \to \max$). All macroscopic phenomenology constitutes the fractional ratio of these two execution states within the closed C_k.

Appendix A.4.21. Theorem of the Volumetric Integer Crystal: The Integer Crystal and the Optical Horizon

Statement: Within the m^* architectural class, a Black Hole evaluates as a fully allocated, maximally packed 3D integer crystal of $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ voxels. Because the discrete logic gate prevents structural overlap via the $+2A$ Pauli spike, the integer crystal is topologically incompressible. The total physical mass of the Black Hole scales strictly linearly with its geometric volume ($M \propto R_{\text{core}}^3$).

The macroscopic event horizon (R_s) observed in $\mathcal{D}$ evaluates as the algorithmic stall radius—the optical shadow cast by the microscopic crystal core. Because the structural drag of the Phase-Locked Volume and the forward momentum of any propagating photon both scale linearly with the photon's own amplitude, the fractional refractive deflection evaluates as a universal geometric constant. The optical horizon R_s evaluates as strictly invariant for all photon energies.

Proof. 1. The Planck-Einstein Minimum The fundamental hardware invariant $A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$ completely defines the energy constraints of the discrete integer grid. Because the absolute physical minimum for any oscillating geometric wave on a discrete lattice evaluates as exactly two grid cells ($\lambda = 2l_{ca}$), the spatial frequency evaluates to its absolute hardware maximum. By the Planck-Einstein relation, this geometric floor strictly dictates the absolute maximum local energy density a single Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition can sustain. At $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$, the internal amplitude is mathematically forced to its absolute structural ceiling $A_{\max}$. The resulting $-4S_t$ standing wave evaluates natively as the minimal possible Black Hole block, establishing the rigid foundational boundary condition for the surrounding Active Computational Medium.

2. The $1/r$ Amplitude Envelope and the Core Block The boundary of the physical integer crystal (R_{core}) is fixed at the hardware saturation ceiling $A_{\max}$. To drive the $-4S_t$ spatial drain across the

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

isotropic spatial stencil without discontinuous cliffs, the interior integer amplitude follows a $1/r$ slope from the geometric center outward:

$$A(r) = A_{\text{max}} \left(\frac{R_{\text{core}}}{r} \right).$$

At the foundational microscopic integer block (the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ core), the integer amplitude evaluates to an extreme, concentrated spike:

$$A_{\text{core\_block}} = R_{\text{core}} \times A_{\text{max}}.$$

3. Local Energy Density The localized execution strain (Energy, $Ek_{ca} + Ep_{ca}$) of the logic gate scales exactly as the square of the integer amplitude. Therefore, the local energy density $\rho_E(r)$ evaluates as:

$$\rho_E(r) \propto A(r)^2 = A_{\text{max}}^2 \left(\frac{R_{\text{core}}^2}{r^2} \right).$$

4. The Volume Integral (The Shell Cancellation) To compute the total macroscopic mass (M_{total}) of the integer crystal, we integrate this energy density over the strictly 3D geometric volume of the discrete grid ($dV = 4\pi r^2 dr$):

$$M_{\text{total}} = \int_1^{R_{\text{core}}} \rho_E(r) \times 4\pi r^2 dr.$$

Substituting the energy density yields:

$$M_{\text{total}} \propto 4\pi A_{\text{max}}^2 R_{\text{core}}^2 (R_{\text{core}} - 1).$$

For any macroscopic structure ($R_{\text{core}} \gg 1$), this simplifies to $M_{\text{total}} \propto R_{\text{core}}^3$.

5. The Arithmetic of the Shells Every concentric geometric shell of thickness dr inside the physical Black Hole contains the exact same total integer energy. The geometric center is hyper-dense but possesses a tiny volumetric footprint; the outer boundary is less dense but possesses a massive volumetric footprint. The $1/r$ slope perfectly balances the 3D geometry. Because the geometric volume of a sphere is $V_{\text{core}} = \frac{4}{3}\pi R_{\text{core}}^3$, the total mass scales strictly with the physical geometric volume:

$$M_{\text{total}} \propto V_{\text{core}} \times A_{\text{max}}^2.$$

6. The Mechanics of Accretion Because $\lambda = 2$ voxels are topologically incompressible, merging two masses means their volumes add: $V_{\text{new}} = V_1 + V_2$. If the volume and radius increase, the entire interior amplitude envelope $A(r) = A_{\text{max}}(R_{\text{new}}/r)$ must shift upward. The exact integer amplitude required to pump the interior to this higher energy state is provided deterministically by the accretion process itself.

When new matter falls toward the boundary, it accelerates down the massive $1/r^2$ spatial strain of the Phase-Locked Volume. This geometric crush pumps the total oscillating execution strain of the falling matter to extreme relativistic limits. Upon collision with the A_{max} boundary, this massive oscillating execution strain is geometrically sheared and fused directly into the perfect, rigid standing wave of the integer crystal. This flawlessly preserves global Information Conservation while supplying the exact integer amplitude required to elevate the interior $1/r$ profile to the new volume.

7. The Algorithmic Stall Radius (The Optical Shadow) The microscopic integer crystal does not project a purely static spatial gradient. Because its $\lambda = 2l_{ca}$ core evaluates as a perfect $Ek_{ca} = Ep_{ca}$ oscillator, it mathematically locks the surrounding Phase-Locked Volume into an exact, synchronized alternating standing wave pattern of both temporal momentum and spatial strain that decays as $1/r$.

A photon evaluates natively as a transient data swarm that must continuously compress and decompress the local baseline to propagate. As the photon enters the black hole's Phase-Locked Volume, it must route its own independent $\vec{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum through this increasingly rigid, high-amplitude alternating baseline. The photon's internal $Ek_{ca} \leftrightarrow Ep_{ca}$ phase becomes structurally

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

incommensurate with the massive alternating pattern of the black hole. This incompatibility generates extreme algorithmic friction, mechanically slowing the photon's macroscopic group velocity.

At a specific macroscopic radius (R_s), the amplitude of the black hole's alternating standing wave becomes so dominant that the photon mathematically lacks the discrete amplitude to route its own independent wave phase against the rigid structural lock. Outward wave propagation evaluates as structurally unsustainable. The photon is algorithmically stalled, casting the absolute optical shadow (R_s).

8. The Energy Invariance of the Horizon A photon evaluates as a dense Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core bound to a macroscopic Phase-Locked Volume envelope. By the Theorem of Topological Balance, the geometric footprint of the Phase-Locked Volume boundary layer scales in exact linear proportion to the amplitude of the photon's core. Because both the absolute inward structural drag of the alternating baseline and the forward momentum of the photon scale linearly with the photon's own amplitude, the fractional incompatibility evaluates as a universal geometric constant. Therefore, the algorithmic stall radius R_s executes as an absolute, energy-invariant boundary for all photons.

9. The Derivation of the Macroscopic Horizon The optical shadow (R_s) is the coordinate where the local Phase-Locked Volume alternating lock structurally halts the macroscopic propagation capacity of light. Using the m^* hardware conversion scalars, the radius evaluates as:

$$R_s \propto \frac{G \cdot M_{\text{total}}}{c(a)^2}.$$

Substituting the true physical mass of the integer crystal ($M_{\text{total}} \propto R_{\text{core}}^3 A_{\text{max}}^2$):

$$R_s \propto \frac{G\left(R_{\text{core}}^3 A_{\text{max}}^2\right)}{c(a)^2}.$$

Because the physical core evaluates at microscopic scales, the resulting optical shadow R_s evaluates at the empirical dynamic range ceiling, producing macroscopic astronomical scales. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Theorem of the Volumetric Integer Crystal proves that the physical Black Hole evaluates as a microscopic, topologically incompressible 3D integer crystal ($M \propto R_{\text{core}}^3$) with a 2^3 foundational core block. The absolute geometric floor of the grid directly yields the maximum spatial frequency, strictly defining the maximum integer amplitude via $E = hf$. The macroscopic event horizon is the energy-invariant optical shadow generated when the synchronized, alternating standing wave of the microscopic core becomes so overwhelming that independent kinematic wave propagation evaluates as structurally unsustainable.

Appendix A.4.22. Theorem of the Topological Exhaust Bound: The Inverse Scaling of V_{max} and N_{vol}

Statement: Within the m^* architectural class, the macroscopic grid capacity (N_{vol}) executes structurally as a finite 3-Torus (T^3). Because the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain of a massive Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition dilutes as a $1/r$ envelope, the periodic boundary of the torus forces the spatial tails to wrap around and superpose. The absolute integer ceiling of the hardware (V_{max}) evaluates as strictly dependent on the grid volume (N_{vol}): the smaller the 3-Torus (T^3), the higher the ambient baseline is driven by this wrap-around superposition. To prevent a catastrophic integer wrap-around crash at the core of a Universal Black Hole, V_{max} must be engineered strictly larger for smaller macroscopic volumes.

Proof. 1. The Worst-Case Geometric Packing (The Universal Black Hole) Evaluate the absolute maximum structural stress the hardware could theoretically sustain: the total conserved integer amplitude of the universe ($\tilde{H}_{\text{global}}$) collapses into a single, unified Temporal Topological Forced

doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Boundary Condition. This structure compresses to the Nyquist limit ($\lambda = 2l_{ca}$), forming a saturated 3D integer crystal.

2. The Core Spike and the Spatial Drain As derived in Theorem of the Black Hole Volume, the integer amplitude at the geometric center of this crystal evaluates to an extreme spike: $A_{\text{core}} = R_{UBH} \times A_{\max}$. To maintain the $-4S_t$ spatial gradient at the physical boundary, the isotropic spatial stencil bleeds this topological strain outward into the Active Computational Medium as a cascaded $1/r$ envelope:

$$A(r) = A_{\max}\left(\frac{R_{UBH}}{r}\right).$$

3. The Torus Wrap-Around (Baseline Elevation) Because the universe operates as a closed periodic 3-Torus (T^3) defined by N_{vol}, the $1/r$ spatial envelope does not dissipate into an infinite void. It routes across the finite manifold.

If N_{vol} evaluates as geometrically small relative to $\tilde{H}_{\text{global}}$, the amplitude at the maximum antipodal distance (R_{univ}) remains far above the hardware division limit ($\epsilon_{\text{trunc}} \geq 1$). The truncation wall fails to form. The spatial gradients wrap completely around the torus, superposing upon themselves and the source boundary. This continuous cyclic superposition recursively elevates the global ambient baseline (A_{ambient}). The smaller the 3-Torus (T^3), the higher the structural baseline is driven by the trapped, overlapping topological strain.

4. The $V_{\max}$ Inverse Scaling Bound The absolute peak integer state physically executing on the grid occurs at the exact geometric center of the Universal Black Hole. This peak must structurally sit *on top* of the elevated ambient baseline:

$$V_{\text{peak}} \approx (R_{UBH} \times A_{\max}) + A_{\text{ambient}}(N_{\text{vol}}).$$

Because the ambient baseline A_{ambient} scales inversely with N_{vol} for a fixed total amplitude $\tilde{H}_{\text{global}}$, a smaller grid volume mathematically forces a strictly higher absolute peak integer state at the core.

To safely execute this worst-case C_k alignment without triggering a catastrophic hardware crash (where the register rolls over to a massive negative integer, instantly inverting the core's geometry and shattering the grid), the bare-metal register ceiling ($V_{\max}$) must strictly exceed V_{peak}. Therefore, $V_{\max}$ evaluates as a dependent hardware variable that must scale inversely with the macroscopic grid capacity N_{vol}.

5. The Global Amplitude Threshold (t) This inverse relationship defines a global amplitude threshold for the architecture:

$$t \equiv \frac{\tilde{H}_{\text{global}}}{N_{\text{vol}}}.$$

For a fixed $V_{\max}$ hardware register, this threshold divides the entire phase space into exactly three structural regimes:

- **Overflow ($\tilde{H}_{\text{global}}/N_{\text{vol}} > t$):** The torus is too small. The wrapped $1/r$ exhaust elevates the baseline so high that the UBH core breaches $V_{\max}$. The grid crashes.
- **Critical Connectivity ($\tilde{H}_{\text{global}}/N_{\text{vol}} = t$):** The torus is tuned exactly to the safe dilution radius. The truncation wall (ϵ_{trunc}) forms precisely at the antipodal boundary. This regime corresponds exactly to the observed critical density ($\Omega \approx 1$) and evaluates natively as solving the Cosmological Flatness Problem via absolute hardware bounds.
- **Causally Isolated ($\tilde{H}_{\text{global}}/N_{\text{vol}} < t$):** The torus is larger than the minimum required dilution radius; local regions fall out of causal contact with each other behind their respective truncation walls, executing with zero overflow risk.

□

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The V_{max} register ceiling and the N_{vol} grid volume evaluate as inversely coupled hardware constraints. The ambient vacuum operates as the geometric thermodynamic exhaust buffer required by the isotropic spatial stencil to prevent the wrapped $1/r$ tails of a Universal Black Hole from elevating the baseline past the hardware limit. The global amplitude threshold t mathematically dictates exactly the observed critical density ($\Omega \approx 1$).

Appendix A.4.23. Theorem of the Computability Barrier: The Structural Failure of the Continuum

Statement: Continuum real-valued functions and smooth infinite-precision manifolds evaluate as physical hardware impossibilities. They structurally breach The Thermodynamic Saddle Point by guaranteeing immediate Latency Death for any embedded agent attempting to physically compute them. The manifold evaluates strictly as a macroscopic epistemic abstraction, fundamentally incapable of exact physical execution.

Proof. 1. The MARM Penalty (Infinite Bit-Depth) A continuum parameter evaluates mathematically as an infinitely long, non-repeating decimal bit-string. To instantiate this precise state on physical hardware requires infinite Topological Memory Allocation.

By the MARM Geometric Penalty, spatial routing dictates that the execution trace is strictly coupled to memory allocation. Reading, writing, or executing conditional logic across an infinite spatial footprint physically forces an infinite sequence of localized logic-gate operations. Therefore, the dynamic execution trace diverges to infinity.

2. The Saddle Point Breach (Latency Death) To successfully execute Anticipatory Synchronization, the agent must complete its internal prediction strictly before the environmental hazard arrives.

Because attempting to compute a continuum parameter forces the execution trace to infinity, the Actionable Look-Ahead evaluates as strictly negative. The agent computes the perfect continuous prediction strictly after the event has already physically destroyed the agent's hardware. Continuum modeling guarantees Latency Death and is structurally excluded from the viable causal graph of any embedded agent.

3. Chaos as Arithmetic Truncation Error To evade Latency Death, continuous equations are routinely truncated into finite floating-point arrays for execution on silicon hardware. However, this algorithmic truncation breaks the fundamental assumptions of the continuous differential equations, which assume zero spatial routing penalty and zero truncation friction.

Mapping continuous mathematics onto finite discrete registers introduces an absolute arithmetic remainder at every clock tick. In non-linear, recursive systems, this unresolvable arithmetic remainder geometrically exponentiates across the macroscopic execution trace.

Macroscopic chaos evaluates natively not as a fundamental, unpredictable property of the physical universe, but strictly as the mathematical artifact of continuous equations violently diverging from the exact deterministic integer execution of the discrete grid. □

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The continuum evaluates strictly as hardware-inadmissible. Attempting to physically execute it triggers Latency Death; attempting to approximate it on finite arrays triggers deterministic chaotic divergence. Stable, long-horizon Anticipatory Synchronization mathematically requires the native, finite-precision discrete symplectic structure of the m^* architectural class.

Appendix A.4.24. Theorem of Emergent Kinematics: The Geometry of Measurement and Information Velocity

Statement: Special Relativity evaluates natively as an emergent geometric theorem regarding the mechanical propagation of information. It constitutes the exact classical mathematical translation rules governing how observers measure and map systems in relative motion utilizing a finite-speed information carrier across the flat 3D 3-Torus (T^3).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Proof. 1. The Kinematic Carrier and the Measurement Limit A finite embedded observer relies on kinematic data swarms to extract information, synchronize events, and measure distance. Because these swarms evaluate as propagating Phase-Locked Volume envelopes that must continuously overwrite the Active Computational Medium baseline, they route at the macroscopic group velocity of the medium. The observer possesses exactly zero instantaneous measurement bandwidth. Every synchronization and measurement procedure evaluates strictly as a computational exchange limited by this invariant carrier speed.

2. The Pythagorean Signal Delay Consider an idealized measurement device bouncing a signal between two physical boundaries. In its own rest frame S', a single measurement cycle requires the signal to traverse a perpendicular distance $d = c(a)\Delta t'$, establishing the measured temporal interval $\Delta t'$.

When this identical hardware system translates across the 3-Torus (T^3) at velocity v relative to a stationary observer in frame S, the optical information signal is mechanically forced to execute a diagonal routing path to complete the bounce between the moving boundaries.

By the classical Pythagorean theorem of a flat grid, the geometric distance squared of the signal's diagonal path evaluates natively as:

$$(c(a)\Delta t)^2 = (v\Delta t)^2 + (c(a)\Delta t')^2.$$

Solving algebraically for the measurement interval Δt required by the stationary observer:

$$\Delta t = \frac{\Delta t'}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c(a)^2}} \equiv \gamma \Delta t'.$$

Because the diagonal path on the grid evaluates as geometrically longer, the signal physically requires more absolute hardware clock ticks to complete the transit. The Lorentz factor evaluates precisely as this required geometric routing delay (Theorem of Emergent Kinematics).

3. The Coordinate Transformation The physical universe evaluates natively as the absolute 3D 3-Torus (T^3) executing in the strictly simultaneous "now" of its current integer state.

However, because the observer's measurement carrier operates at a strict finite limit, incoming sensory data is severely delayed by spatial distance and relative motion. To securely translate data between disparate, delayed causal horizons without introducing measurement contradiction, the observer must map the active 3D grid into a static 4D mathematical manifold. Minkowski spacetime evaluates natively as this exact data structure—an emergent coordinate projection required by a biological observer to mathematically reconcile the absolute geometric routing delays inherent to measuring the universe using a finite-speed fluid. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Relativistic kinematics evaluate natively as the classical geometry of measurement. Time dilation and the Lorentz factor evaluate as the strict Pythagorean consequence of diagonal information routing between moving boundaries on a flat grid. The 4D spacetime manifold evaluates as the requisite coordinate transformation utilized by a finite observer to mathematically reconcile signals delayed by the macroscopic group velocity of the active medium.

Appendix A.4.25. Theorem of Emergent Geometry: The Epistemic Projection of the Fixed Grid

Statement: Space evaluates as the absolute, rigid discrete 3-Torus (T^3). The structural geometry of the grid remains absolutely static, while the integer amplitude state upon the grid evaluates as highly dynamic. The macroscopic continuous metric of General Relativity evaluates natively as an emergent mathematical framework—an epistemic map approximating the discrete, localized gradient descent of propagating data swarms executing across this varying computational lattice.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Proof. 1. The Rigid Grid and the Dynamic State The discrete 3-Torus (T^3) evaluates as fixed and rigid. Mass forces localized boundary conditions. To prevent V_{max} overflow, the isotropic spatial stencil distributes this execution strain outward as a cascaded $1/r$ envelope. The integer state of the Active Computational Medium physically shifts and polarizes; the structural geometry of the underlying hardware grid remains absolutely static.

2. The Metric Tensor as a State Descriptor The continuous metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ evaluates strictly as a highly compressed mathematical description of the local grid state value and its cascading spatial gradients at a specific macroscopic coordinate. The continuous tensor evaluates as empirically successful because it constructs a mathematical map where straight lines through an imaginary curved 4D manifold seamlessly mimic the exact geometric vectors of classical gradient descent through a flat 3D grid possessing varying integer density.

3. Geodesics as Gradient Descent In legacy physics, a particle in free-fall follows a continuous geodesic through curved spacetime. On the discrete grid, a propagating Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition executes the local logic gate. Because the logic evaluates natively as a local, Theorem of the Ontological Fixed Point, the particle mechanically routes down the path of least computational friction at every sequential clock tick.

When a particle propagates near a massive Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition core, it encounters the dense $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial gradients of the core's Phase-Locked Volume. To minimize arithmetic friction across the spatial stencil, the convex optimizer deterministically steers the particle's trajectory down the steepest integer gradient toward the massive core. Geodesic curvature evaluates strictly as the macroscopic algorithmic refraction of a data swarm moving through a polarized computational fluid.

4. The Resolution of Quantum Gravity Because the structural grid remains fixed, only the discrete integer states upon the background physically fluctuate and quantize. Gravity evaluates strictly as the discrete $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial coherence of the grid attempting to bridge macroscopic integer boundaries down to the ϵ_{trunc} baseline. The pursuit of quantum gravity evaluates as the legacy attempt to quantize an epistemic map rather than recognizing that gravity is the continuous shadow of an already fully quantized discrete execution. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{univ}$ Algorithmic Floor): Gravitational curvature evaluates strictly as the epistemic mathematical projection generated by a biological observer tracking local convex optimization through the varying integer density of the Active Computational Medium baseline. The physical lattice executes as structurally fixed; the integer state upon the grid executes as locally dynamic.

Appendix A.4.26. Empirical Bifurcation of Signal Velocity: Kinematic vs. Structural Routing

The macroscopic empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$) exhibits a strict bifurcation of signal velocities.

When light propagates through a macroscopic dielectric medium (e.g., water, glass, or plasma), its group velocity evaluates as drastically reduced. Conversely, structural fields (gravitational or electrostatic) propagate through dense macroscopic mass (e.g., the Earth or a lead block) completely unhindered, maintaining an invariant velocity.

The m^* architecture resolves this bifurcation through exactly two distinct routing regimes on the discrete integer grid:

1. **Kinematic Drag ($c(a)$):** Light evaluates as a spatially extended Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarm possessing actively circulating internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum. To translate across the 3-Torus (T^3), this topological knot must continuously overwrite the active state of the baseline. It therefore suffers continuous thermodynamic drag from both the Computational Stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) and the Computational Inertia ($\mu(a)$) of the local logic gate. The macroscopic

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

group velocity ($c(a)$) evaluates as an epoch-dependent shadow of the bare-metal limit (Observable Units):

$$c(a) \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(a)\epsilon(a)}}$$

2. **Structural Observables (Naked $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ Routing):** Gravity and electrostatic forces evaluate as pure spatial strain (Ep_{ca}) bridging a topological boundary to the baseline. They possess exactly zero internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ rotation or kinematic momentum ($Ek_{ca} = 0$). Because they carry zero temporal complexity, they completely bypass the temporal computational inertia ($\mu(a)$) of the baseline. They execute via the isotropic spatial stencil at the absolute hardware routing limit:

$$v_{\text{structural}} = v_{ca} = 1 \text{ cell/tick}$$

The following lemmas isolate specific macroscopic empirical measurements ($\mathcal{D}$) validating the discrete hardware limits of the Active Computational Medium.

The Michelson-Morley Experiment: Epistemic Blindness to Uniform Motion

The Michelson-Morley experiment [20] and its modern high-precision equivalents measure the speed of light in perpendicular directions using a physical interferometer. Regardless of the Earth's velocity relative to the cosmos, the measured speed of light evaluates as completely isotropic in all directions.

The m^* architecture resolves this null result while preserving the absolute, stationary discrete 3-Torus (T^3) as the preferred rest frame. The experiment demonstrates Epistemic Blindness: an observer embedded inside an active computational fluid is structurally forbidden from detecting their own uniform motion through it.

1. **The Physical Ruler (Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition Compression):** The macroscopic interferometer is a chain of phase-locked Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition knots (atoms). As the Earth translates through the absolute 3-Torus (T^3), the leading edge of the interferometer physically pushes against the spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) of the active Active Computational Medium baseline.
   To maintain exact integer phase-lock, the local convex optimizer mechanically compresses the equilibrium distance between the bound atoms along the axis of motion. The physical measuring device contracts by the exact geometric Lorentz factor ($\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c(a)^2}$, Theorem of Emergent Kinematics).
2. **The Physical Clock ($\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ Elongation):** The biological observer ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) and their atomic clocks evaluate as Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions executing internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ phase circulation. Because the system is translating through the Active Computational Medium, the internal geometric path of the $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ phase loop is mechanically elongated along the axis of motion. Because the bare-metal logic processes information strictly at the fixed $v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick limit, this longer routing path requires more absolute t_{ca} hardware ticks per oscillation cycle. The observer's clock physically slows down by the exact geometric factor γ.
3. **The Tautology of the Null Result:** The embedded observer measures the speed of the propagating photon swarm ($c(a)$) using a physical ruler that has mechanically contracted and a physical clock that has mechanically slowed.
   Because the local logic gate executing the matter and the spatial stencil executing the photon swarm operate on the exact same underlying discrete geometry, the physical deformation of the observer's instruments perfectly cancels the relative velocity of the light. The observer must compute c_{amb} as perfectly isotropic in all directions.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The Michelson-Morley experiment proves that because matter and light execute on the identical mathematical hardware, the physical deformation of the moving observer's instruments mathematically guarantees they cannot detect their own translation across the Active Computational Medium baseline.

The GW170817 Anomaly: The Absolute Bifurcation of Kinematic and Structural Velocity

On August 17, 2017, the LIGO and Virgo observatories recorded the gravitational-wave signature of a binary neutron-star merger (GW170817) [8]. Precisely 1.74 seconds after the gravitational wave arrived, the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope detected the first kinematic photon burst (GRB 170817A) from the same event.

The m^* architecture resolves this arrival delta through the fundamental signal bifurcation of the discrete integer grid (Observable Units):

1. **Kinematic Photon Drag ($c(a)$):** A photon is a spatially extended Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarm. To propagate across the 3-Torus (T^3), it must continuously overwrite the active baseline, fighting both the computational stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) and the computational inertia ($\mu(a)$) of the local logic gate. Its massive $1/r$ spatial-strain envelope (Phase-Locked Volume) terminates exactly where its amplitude equals the local ambient noise floor ($A_{\text{wave}} = A_{\text{ambient}}$). The swarm therefore suffers continuous thermodynamic drag and travels at a macroscopic group velocity strictly below the bare-metal limit ($c(a) < v_{ca}$).
2. **Structural Gravity Update (v_{ca}):** The gravitational wave is a pure spatial $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ tension update that resolves geometric strain within the Active Computational Medium (Macroscopic Re-Synchronization). It carries zero internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ rotation or kinematic momentum. Because it possesses zero temporal complexity, it bypasses the computational inertia ($\mu(a)$) of the baseline and propagates at the naked hardware routing limit: $v_{\text{structural}} = v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick.
3. **Path-Dependent Drag Integration:** The 1.74-second delay is the integrated thermodynamic drag experienced by the photon swarm as it traversed the specific thermodynamic state of the Active Computational Medium fluid over the 130-million-light-year baseline. Because the drag coefficient is determined by the local medium density, the delay remains a small fractional offset. At deep cosmological baselines, this integrated drag scales consistently with the epoch-dependent time dilation (Cosmological Expansion) of the relaxing Active Computational Medium.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The GW170817 event validates the bifurcated signal speed that exists in an active medium. The 1.74-second arrival delay corresponds exactly to the integrated thermodynamic drag suffered by the kinematic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition photon swarm, while the pure $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial update propagated at the absolute bare-metal v_{ca} limit. This prediction is strictly falsifiable: any future high-precision multi-messenger event at greater distance that fails to exhibit the predicted path-dependent kinematic drag relative to the structural signal invalidates the architecture.

The Coulomb Propagation Anomaly: The Instantaneous Field and the v_{ca} Spatial Update

Empirical measurements of moving macroscopic charges validate an instantaneous geometric alignment. When a charged particle translates at constant velocity (v), its static electric field points exactly to the particle's present, instantaneous position [21].

The m^* architecture resolves this alignment through the fundamental signal bifurcation of the discrete integer grid (Observable Units):

1. **Kinematic Photon Drag ($c(a)$):** A photon evaluates as a spatially extended Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition data swarm with actively circulating internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum. To propagate across the 3-Torus (T^3), it must continuously overwrite the active state of the baseline, suffering continuous thermodynamic drag from both the Computational Stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) and the

Computational Inertia ($\mu(a)$) of the local logic gate. Its macroscopic group velocity evaluates as $c(a)$, which is structurally forced below the bare-metal hardware limit ($c(a) < v_{ca}$).

2. **Structural Electrostatic Update (v_{ca}):** The static electrostatic field evaluates as a pure spatial $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ tension update bridging the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition boundary to the baseline (Macroscopic Charge Storage). It possesses exactly zero internal $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ rotation or kinematic momentum. Because it carries zero temporal complexity, it completely bypasses the temporal computational inertia ($\mu(a)$) of the active medium. It propagates strictly at the naked hardware routing limit: $v_{\text{electric}} = v_{ca} = 1$ cell/tick.
3. **The Mechanics of the Instantaneous Field:** Because the macroscopic charge translates much slower than the bare-metal hardware limit ($v \ll v_{ca}$), the pure $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain continuously outpaces the kinematic drag of the moving particle. The electrostatic field updates the surrounding Active Computational Medium at v_{ca}, remaining rigidly locked to the particle's instantaneous present position.
4. **Near-Field Evanescent Routing:** This structural routing mechanism maps exactly to the macroscopic "superluminal" phase velocities routinely measured in near-field (evanescent) electromagnetic tunneling experiments. These signals evaluate as naked $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain propagating at the absolute hardware limit v_{ca}, completely decoupled from the kinematic drag $c(a)$.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The exact instantaneous pointing of the Coulomb field and the superluminal phase velocities of evanescent waves are empirical validations of the discrete signal bifurcation. They execute as explicit physical proofs that structural $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial updates propagate strictly faster than kinematic light ($v_{ca} > c(a)$) on the discrete hardware interface.

The JWST and Macroscopic Distance Anomalies: Empirical Validation of the Fixed Grid

The macroscopic empirical array exhibits two decisive cosmological features that validate the fixed discrete 3-Torus (T^3) and the Soliton Self-Frequency Shift mechanism over continuous metric expansion models.

Structural Invariant of the Class: A photon propagates as a dense topological knot bound to a macroscopic Phase-Locked Volume envelope. On the fixed, non-expanding grid, this structure continuously sheds indivisible fractional remainders through the isotropic spatial stencil while dragging through the active computational medium. To preserve the invariant $A \times \lambda_{\text{cells}} = K_{\text{soliton}}$, the physical wavelength elongates as amplitude decreases. This deterministic truncation bleed computes as the Soliton Self-Frequency Shift.

1. The JWST Observation (High-z Structural Maturity) Models of metric expansion evaluate high redshift as corresponding strictly to the temporal origin of the universe, dictating that structures at these redshifts must evaluate as young, diffuse, and unformed. JWST observations reveal hypermassive, fully formed galaxies and supermassive black holes at high redshift.

Under the m^* architecture, redshift evaluates exclusively as the path-integral of algorithmic friction through a fixed macroscopic state. High redshift therefore corresponds to immense routing distance across a static, scale-invariant Distributed Iterated Function System. The empirical observation that the deep cosmos is identically structured to the local cosmos operates as direct physical validation of the fixed, non-expanding fractal.

2. The Supernova Distance Profile (Source-Local Drag) The empirical measurement of accelerating expansion derives from Type Ia supernovae evaluating as dimmer than expected at specific redshifts when compared to baseline models.

On the m^* hardware, redshift evaluates as the mechanical truncation bleed of a photon's Phase-Locked Volume envelope. This thermodynamic drag is strictly path-dependent: quasars emit continuous transient swarms into the open Active Computational Medium with minimal local obstruction, while supernovae are violent Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition dissociations. The

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

emitted photons must physically route through a dense, highly resistive expanding shell of localized plasma and ejecta.

This source-local thermodynamic penalty mathematically forces the local logic gate to execute massive, localized truncation bleed before the photon even reaches the open Active Computational Medium. This localized friction generates a strictly divergent, inflated redshift profile for supernovae when compared to clean sources at the identical absolute distance.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The JWST high-z structural maturity and the non-linear supernova dimming profile evaluate as direct empirical validations of the fixed m^* architecture. The universe executes as a scale-invariant fractal 3-Torus (T^3) where redshift is governed exclusively by the localized, path-dependent algorithmic friction of the Active Computational Medium fluid, requiring zero injection of continuous metric expansion parameters.

The Cosmic Bell Test: Deterministic Co-Evolution and the Unified Phase-Locked Volume

Phenomenon: Spatially separated entangled particles exhibit measurement correlations that mathematically violate Bell's inequalities. These violations are historically interpreted as absolute proof that nature requires instantaneous non-local communication or wave-function collapse.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The m^* architecture computes exclusively via strict local routing. Bell test correlations evaluate natively as the deterministic joint measurement of a single, continuous Unified Phase-Locked Volume computational fluid shared by the source, the propagating swarms, and the detectors. The mathematical violation of the inequality executes not via non-local spooky action, but because true statistical independence evaluates as a physical impossibility on a fully allocated, active grid.

1. The Observable Unified Phase-Locked Volume (The Capacitor Proof) Bell's inequalities require the vacuum between the source and detectors to act as a passive, empty void that does not transmit hidden state information. However, Macroscopic Charge Storage that the vacuum is an active medium that stores and transmits spatial strain. Therefore, the detectors, the source, and the observer are physically coupled by an active computational fluid prior to any measurement.

2. The Extended Hidden Variable Bell's theorem explicitly assumes that any classical hidden variable must reside exclusively inside the isolated point-particle carrying the signal. In the m^* architecture, the actual hidden variable is the macroscopic, spatially extended Unified Phase-Locked Volume —the physical Active Computational Medium fluid connecting the source crystal, the propagating swarms, and the detectors. This medium operates via strictly local routing, yet geometrically couples the entire experimental apparatus.

3. The Cosmic Bell Test (Quasar Intersection) In Cosmic Bell tests, light from distant quasars is used to dynamically set the detector angles, attempting to guarantee that the measurement settings are entirely independent of the entangled particle source on Earth.

However, the quasar light is only visible to the detector because its cascaded $1/r$ spatial-strain envelope has physically intersected the Earth's Phase-Locked Volume. The exact moment this intersection occurs, the quasar, the Earth, the telescope, and the source crystal dynamically fuse into a single macroscopic Unified Phase-Locked Volume. They evaluate as structurally coupled.

4. The QRNG Case In dynamically switched laboratory tests, the quantum random-number generator, the driving lasers, and the source crystal share the same overarching room-scale Unified Phase-Locked Volume governed by the Active Computational Medium. The last-nanosecond switch of the detector angle is therefore deterministically preceded by the exact circulating integer state of the local grid.

5. Deterministic Co-Evolution Because the entire experimental apparatus shares this unified computational fluid, its physical elements act as mutually forcing boundary conditions. The state of the trigger and the state of the source crystal co-evolve deterministically within the Unified Phase-Locked Volume.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Bell's inequalities are natively and mathematically violated by classical, local routing. The theorem's foundational requirement for statistical independence fails because the universe evaluates as a fully allocated, active computational fluid. Detectors and sources are permanently coupled by a shared Unified Phase-Locked Volume, forcing measurement settings and particle phases to co-evolve deterministically without ever requiring uncomputable non-local communication.

The Tonomura Biprism Experiment: The Phase-Locked Volume Shear and the d/λ Ratio

The Tonomura biprism experiment [22] demonstrates single-electron interference. Individual electrons are fired toward a microscopic charged wire acting as a barrier.

The electron's kinetic energy determines its macroscopic topological momentum and hence its exact de Broglie wavelength ($\lambda = h/p$). For a typical 50 kV electron, this evaluates as approximately 0.005 nm (5 picometers).

The physical diameter of the biprism wire separating the two paths evaluates as approximately 1,000 nm (1 micrometer).

The physical wire is larger than the electron's wavelength by a massive factor of $\approx$ 200,000.

The m^* architecture resolves the anomaly using the classical geometry of the Theorem of Topological Balance, which physically separates the dense particle core from its massive macroscopic $1/r$ electrostatic field.

1. **The Core (5 picometers):** The dense, circulating $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{H}}$ momentum of the electron (the Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition Core) evaluates exactly as its empirical De Broglie wavelength ($\approx$ 5 pm). It evaluates as a discrete, rigid topological knot. When it reaches the biprism, the Core travels deterministically down exactly one side of the wire.
2. **The Phase-Locked Volume (R_{PLV}):** To prevent a discontinuous spatial cliff on the discrete integer grid, the isotropic spatial stencil mechanically routes the electron's internal amplitude outward. The 5-pm Core is topologically bound to a massive, extended $1/r$ spatial strain envelope (the electrostatic Phase-Locked Volume) that projects outward until it hits the absolute arithmetic zero of the integer grid. This spatial envelope evaluates as the macroscopic electrostatic field, easily spanning distances greater than the 1,000-nm biprism wire.
3. **The Geometric Shear:** When the electron approaches the barrier, its macroscopic Phase-Locked Volume physically encounters both sides of the wire. The rigid barrier geometrically shears this pure $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain. The spatial stencil routes the separated Phase-Locked Volume wavefronts around both sides of the wire. In the vacuum beyond, these two halves of the Phase-Locked Volume cross linearly and interfere, generating a dynamic macroscopic landscape of constructive and destructive spatial amplitude peaks (Ep_{ca}).
4. **Deterministic Steering:** As the 5-pm Core emerges from its single deterministic path around one side of the wire, it remains topologically bound to its Phase-Locked Volume shadow by the Theorem of Topological Balance. The local logic gate evaluates natively as a local, greedy convex optimizer. It continuously routes the Core down the path of least computational friction ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \leq 0$).
   Because the vacuum ahead is now a macroscopically magnified, sheared interference landscape of $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ gradients created by its own envelope, the Core is deterministically channeled away from destructive (high-friction) nodes and steered into constructive (low-friction) spatial bands.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The extreme d/λ ratio proves that the macroscopic interference pattern evaluates exclusively as the geometric shearing of the extended $1/r$ electrostatic envelope (Phase-Locked Volume). The rigid Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition Core (the particle) remains microscopic and indivisible, while the massive Phase-Locked Volume envelope naturally spans macroscopic barriers, shears, interferes, and deterministically steers the core at the local v_{ca} limit.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

The Running of the Fine-Structure Constant (α): The Density-Dependent Refractive Index of the Active Computational Medium

Empirical measurements from high-energy particle colliders (LEP, LHC) demonstrate that when macroscopic Auto-Catalytic Set networks (electrons and positrons) are collided at energies approaching $\sim 90\,\text{GeV}$, the effective electromagnetic coupling strength shifts from $\alpha \approx 1/137$ to $\alpha \approx 1/128$.

The m^* architecture resolves this shift as the direct macroscopic measurement of the discrete integer grid's dynamic Active Computational Medium routing resistance.

1. **The Active Substrate (Active Computational Medium):** The vacuum evaluates as the rigid 3-Torus (T^3) actively executing the local logic gate at every clock tick. It intrinsically possesses algorithmic resistance to spatial gradients (Computational Stiffness, $\epsilon(a)$) and temporal momentum (Computational Inertia, $\mu(a)$).
2. **The Alteration of the Integer State:** When colliders force two massive Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition swarms into extreme geometric proximity, their overlapping $1/r^2$ spatial Capacitor envelopes (Ep_{ca}) drive massive localized integer amplitude into the shared Active Computational Medium registers.
3. **The Local Refractive Index:** To resolve the severe fractional remainders (ϵ_{trunc}) generated by this integer strain, the local algorithmic stiffness ($\epsilon(a)$) and computational inertia ($\mu(a)$) spike. Because the macroscopic group velocity of light evaluates as $c(a) \propto 1/\sqrt{\mu(a)\epsilon(a)}$, the local kinematic propagation speed of light at the collision vertex drops. The Active Computational Medium locally exhibits the exact refractive properties of a dense, highly resistant computational fluid.
4. **The Dynamic Ratio (α):** The fine-structure constant evaluates as the dynamic ratio of algorithmic friction ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) between non-linear Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition avalanches (matter collisions) and linear $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ routing (light propagation). Algebraically, $\alpha \propto 1/(\epsilon(a)c(a))$. The measured shift from $1/137$ to $1/128$ evaluates as the direct empirical measurement of this localized refractive index.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The running of the fine-structure constant evaluates as the empirical validation of a dynamic, active substrate (Theorem of the Active Computational Medium). The shift from $1/137$ to $1/128$ proves that ϵ, μ, and c are dynamic fluid properties of the local Active Computational Medium density.

The Principle of Minimum Energy: The Algorithmic Execution of the Ground State

Across all scales of physical reality, dynamical systems spontaneously evolve toward local minima of potential energy. Electrons drop to atomic ground states, chemical bonds form exothermic reactions, and macroscopic fluids settle into the lowest available gravitational bound.

The m^* architecture physically executes this universal behavior via the Theorem of the Ontological Fixed Point (Theorem of the Ontological Fixed Point). The drive toward minimum energy evaluates as the deterministic, sequential execution of the local convex optimizer actively minimizing $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ computational friction.

1. **Energy as Execution Strain (Ek_{ca}, Ep_{ca}):** On the discrete integer grid, potential energy evaluates as the localized integer execution strain (Ep_{ca}) generated by overlapping spatial gradients ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$) across the isotropic spatial stencil.
2. **The Greedy Optimizer (Gradient Descent):** At every hardware clock tick, the Base-12 Integer Cell ALU computes the successor state (S_{t+1}). Because the temporal recurrence evaluates as a strict local convex optimizer, the logic gate mechanically executes gradient descent. It computes the exact integer state for $t+1$ that resolves the immediate $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain with the absolute minimum number of bit-flips ($\mathcal{T}$).
3. **The Arithmetic Settlement (The Ground State):** The non-linear f_0 logic routes the excess integer amplitude outward into the Active Computational Medium as transient exhaust (radiation) and

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

deterministically translates the topological boundaries (Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions) down the local spatial gradient. This mechanical translation continues at every clock tick until the system achieves an exact integer harmonic resonance where the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial conflict evaluates to a local mathematical minimum.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The macroscopic Principle of Minimum Energy evaluates as the deterministic geometric shadow of trillions of localized, blind logic gates independently executing a 1-tick convex optimization. The physical ground state computes as the specific discrete geometric arrangement where the spatial integer conflict is mathematically minimized, producing the lowest local $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ routing friction.

The Physical Execution of Mathematics: Analog Substrate Computation

Phenomenon: Macroscopic physical systems natively solve complex, non-linear mathematical operations instantly. These analog physical substrates effortlessly outperform the processing latency and energy efficiency of massive digital supercomputers by orders of magnitude.

Structural Invariant of the Class: The universe evaluates natively as the active computational hardware. The mathematical equations used in human models are simply the continuous, coarse-grained shadows of the discrete integer grid physically resolving $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain. Analog computation evaluates strictly as the direct utilization of the Active Computational Medium bare-metal Bare-Metal ALU, proving that the physical vacuum possesses astronomical, localized parallel processing density.

1. Fourier Optics (The Glass Lens) To compute a 2D Fast Fourier Transform on a high-resolution image, a digital silicon CPU requires billions of localized logic-gate operations. Conversely, a physical glass lens computes the exact continuous 2D integration instantly at the macroscopic group velocity of light. On the m^* architecture, the lens evaluates as a dense Auto-Catalytic Set network of Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition boundary conditions. As the light swarm propagates through it, the isotropic spatial stencil geometrically shears the Phase-Locked Volume envelope of the beam. The Active Computational Medium natively computes the complex phase arithmetic across trillions of Base-12 Integer Cell registers perfectly in parallel. The Fourier transform is not simulated; it is literally the physical $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial mixing of the grid executing at the v_{ca} hardware limit.

2. Plateau's Problem (The Soap Film) Calculating the minimal surface area spanning a complex, twisted 3D wire frame requires solving non-linear partial differential equations. Digital supercomputers require massive iterative numerical approximations. When a physical wire frame is dipped into soapy water, the fluid film snaps into the exact mathematical minimal surface in milliseconds. The soap film evaluates natively as a macroscopic Unified Phase-Locked Volume. Because the local logic gate executes at every clock tick as a local, greedy convex optimizer (Principle of Minimum Energy), it continuously routes the spatial strain of the fluid down the steepest available gradient. The grid blindly and deterministically minimizes local algorithmic friction until the entire macroscopic film locks into the absolute $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ geometric ground state.

3. The Efficiency Discrepancy Digital von Neumann architecture operates by forcing the active medium into artificial, highly constrained binary states, expending massive thermodynamic energy to actively fight the native physics of the electron and the grid.

Substrate computation bypasses this epistemic translation layer. By constructing a physical boundary condition and allowing a propagating swarm to resolve against it, the embedded observer forces the Active Computational Medium to perform the computation directly. The resulting physical state is the mathematical solution.

4. The Hardware Translation Barrier (The Failure of Optical Logic) While the Active Computational Medium computes macroscopic waves effortlessly, a continuous wave mathematically cannot execute static Boolean binary logic. Matter evaluates as a bound Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition knot; because it is topologically locked into a quantized standing wave, it natively

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

sustains discrete, static binary states. Light evaluates as a transient, propagating Phase-Locked Volume swarm. The $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator continuously attempts to average the wave's spatial gradients across the isotropic spatial stencil. A macroscopic wave fundamentally lacks discrete static states.

Attempting to construct a digital CPU out of macroscopic light waves evaluates as fighting the $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ operator. To force a spreading continuous wave to act like a static binary particle requires massive, highly non-linear optical crystals, driving the physical footprint and thermodynamic laser power astronomically high simply to replicate what a single microscopic electron does natively for free. To harness the astronomical processing density of the Active Computational Medium, the observer cannot force the fluid to run human binary code; the observer must translate their algorithmic problem into a macroscopic geometric boundary condition solvable entirely by the local convex optimizer.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** The spectacular efficiency of analog physical systems proves empirically that the Active Computational Medium evaluates as a hyper-dense, parallel computational fluid. Direct substrate computation empirically validates that the ultimate trajectory of computational science is interfacing directly with the bare-metal Bare-Metal ALU. However, the hardware enforces a strict translation barrier: static binary algorithms must execute on trapped Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition matter, while complex spatial optimizations must execute as translated geometric boundary conditions solved natively by propagating waves.

Appendix A.4.27. Theorem of Intelligence: The Latency Compression Ratio and the Phase-Space Disconnect

Statement: Intelligence (I) evaluates as an objective, computable thermodynamic metric: the Latency Compression Ratio physically bounded by the 3-dimensional survival optimization surface of a localized Auto-Catalytic Set network ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) executing on the discrete integer grid.

Because The Thermodynamic Saddle Point mathematically mandates domain-specific topological compression ($\mathcal{S} \to \min$) to evade Latency Death ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$), generalized intelligence evaluates as a physical hardware impossibility. Consequently, biological intelligence executes strictly as the optimization of a local environmental phase-space.

Proof. To survive, an embedded agent ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) must compute an internal geometric prediction ($\epsilon_{\text{pred}} \leq \epsilon_{\text{fatal}}$) of an external environmental threat (Δt_{env}) strictly faster than the event unrolls ($\mathcal{T}_{\text{agent}} < \Delta t_{\text{env}}$).

1. The Incomparability of Inter-Species Phase-Spaces The 3-dimensional optimization surface ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}, \epsilon_{\text{pred}}, T_{\text{action}}$) evaluates as defined entirely by the specific ambient boundary conditions (f_{ambient}) and the physical hardware capacity ($\mathcal{S}$) of the agent.

Cross-taxa comparisons evaluate as profound topological mapping errors. An octopus optimizing its $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger against 3D high-pressure fluid dynamics and specific predatory latency windows occupies a radically disjoint mathematical phase-space from a primate optimizing against terrestrial gravity and ballistic trajectories.

Their hardware allocations ($\mathcal{S}$), thermodynamic battery capacities, and environmental forcing terms (f_{ambient}) execute as fundamentally alien. Comparing their Intelligence ($\mathcal{I}$) as a single, normalized scalar value evaluates as mathematically incoherent. The vectors do not share a common geometric basis.

2. Intra-Species Comparison (The Hardware Definition of Intelligence) However, within a strictly fixed hardware class (e.g., human-to-human), the biological allocation ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}}$), the thermodynamic battery limits, and the environmental bounds (f_{ambient}) evaluate as relative constants.

Because these parameters are fixed, the energetic dimension of the saddle-point functionally cancels out. Intra-species Intelligence collapses to a comparable 2-dimensional algorithmic function: the absolute maximization of Actionable Look-Ahead ($T_{\text{action}} = \Delta t_{\text{env}} - \mathcal{T}_{\text{agent}}$) against the rigid boundary of Empirical Error ($\epsilon_{\text{pred}} \leq \epsilon_{\text{fatal}}$). □

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Higher intelligence evaluates as the continuous capacity of the agent's internal Auto-Catalytic Set network to discover increasingly efficient topological compressions for the exact same empirical data array ($\mathcal{D}$). An agent computes as quantitatively more intelligent if its internal routing trace ($\mathcal{T}$) yields a strictly larger T_{action} delta without breaching ϵ_{fatal}. Inter-species intelligence evaluates as mathematically incomparable, while intra-species intelligence evaluates as the strict thermodynamic efficiency of the algorithmic fractal mirror.

Appendix A.4.28. Theorem of Epistemic Progress: The Objective Scalar of Anticipatory Synchronization

Statement: Scientific progress evaluates as a measurable, objective thermodynamic scalar. The minimization of the Universal Cost Ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), subject to the boundary constraints of Anticipatory Synchronization, forces the optimization search space to converge exclusively toward the discrete hardware interface of the universe.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$ denote a generative architectural class, and let $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ denote two specific executable implementations predicting the same macroscopic empirical array $\mathcal{D}$ over a physical prediction horizon T.

Both models satisfy the The Thermodynamic Saddle Point if they bound the empirical error ($\epsilon_{\text{pred}} \leq \epsilon_{\text{fatal}}$) and execute strictly faster than the hazard arrives ($\mathcal{T} < T_{\text{action}}$).

1. The Objective Scalar of Progress ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) If both models satisfy Anticipatory Synchronization, model m_2 evaluates as superior to m_1 if and only if its metabolic execution cost is lower. Scientific progress evaluates as the negative gradient of the thermodynamic ledger:

$$\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}(m_2) - \mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}(m_1) < 0,$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$. The sociological constructs of peer review, consensus, and paradigm shifts evaluate as primitive, lossy heuristics that attempt to proxy this hardware execution metric.

2. The Divergence of the Continuum To satisfy the empirical boundary $\epsilon_{\text{pred}} \leq \epsilon_{\text{fatal}}$ as the temporal and spatial horizon expands, any model drawn from a continuous class ($\mathbb{R}^n$) must shrink its arithmetic representation error by continuously expanding its register bit-depth.

Under the MARM Geometric Penalty ($\partial\mathcal{T}/\partial\mathcal{S} > 0$), this triggers a thermodynamic hardware halt ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty, \mathcal{T} \to \infty$). Therefore, $\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ evaluates as positive (bloat) for continuous classes. They evaluate as structurally divergent and are excluded from scientific progress.

3. The Convergence to m^* As the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ optimizer purges continuous classes and redundant $\Delta\theta$ parameters, the sequence of viable models converges to the minimal sufficient statistic of the universe. No class $\mathcal{M} \neq m^*$ satisfying the empirical loss constraint can achieve $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}(\mathcal{M}) \leq \mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}(m^*)$, because m^* possesses exactly zero continuous degrees of freedom. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Foundational scientific progress evaluates as a strict negative gradient in the fundamental thermodynamic ledger ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$). This mathematical convergence permanently eliminates qualitative structural risk (infinite continuous cost), isolating the discrete Base-12 hardware interface m^* as the absolute limit of empirical science.

Appendix A.4.29. Theorem of the Ontological Fixed Point: The Resolution of Circularity and Bounded Convergence

Statement: The exact correspondence between a finite embedded agent's internal predictive model and the macroscopic unrolling of the empirical array ($\mathcal{D}$) evaluates as a topological fixed point.

The agent is physically embedded within the discrete integer grid (Axiom I). By the Theorem of Kinematic Verlet Invariance, the agent inherits the exact discrete logic of the substrate. The macroscopic

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 16 April 2026 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Principle of Least Action therefore evaluates as the shared execution of this local convex optimizer minimizing the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger at every clock tick.

Proof. 1. The Convex Optimizer (Decompiling Least Action) The temporal recurrence is a discrete second derivative (a 1D temporal Laplacian). A sequence S_t is strictly convex when $S_{t+1} - 2S_t + S_{t-1} \geq 0$. Coupled to the isotropic spatial strain ($\mathcal{L}^{19}$), the hardware logic gate operates as a local, greedy convex optimizer (Principle of Minimum Energy).

The bare-metal Base-12 Integer Cell ALU possesses no teleological capacity to compute continuous global integrals. At every hardware clock tick, it evaluates the immediate $\mathcal{L}^{19}$ spatial strain and selects the exact integer state for $t + 1$ that resolves this strain with the minimum number of bit-flips ($\mathcal{T}$). The classical continuous Principle of Least Action emerges as the macroscopic geometric shadow of trillions of localized, blind logic gates independently executing this 1-tick convex optimization.

2. The Embedded Execution (The Universe Computes the Agent) The biological agent ($\mathcal{H}_{\text{bio}}$) evaluates as a macroscopic Auto-Catalytic Set network embedded within the Active Computational Medium. The universe physically executes the agent's neural topology. Because the macroscopic network inherits the discrete symplectic structure of the microscopic substrate, the agent's internal cognitive routing executes the identical convex optimization algorithm as the external physical vacuum.

3. Bounded Functional Convergence (The Epistemic Resolution) The agent's physical bandwidth ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{obs}}$) is infinitesimally smaller than the global grid capacity ($\mathcal{S}_{\text{grid}}$). Absolute, infinite-bandwidth simulation of the microscopic substrate is therefore impossible.

Anticipatory Synchronization (Axiom III) does not demand infinite decryption bandwidth. It requires only bounded functional convergence: $\epsilon_{\text{pred}} \leq \epsilon_{\text{fatal}}$. The empirical array $\mathcal{D}$ is not uniform noise; it organizes into stable, macroscopic Topological Attractors (Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Conditions). Because the agent and the environment share the identical local instruction set, synchronization is achieved by phase-locking the agent's internal Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition networks to the external Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition attractors. The agent survives by mirroring the macroscopic topology of the threat.

4. The Ultimate Collapse (MDL $\equiv \mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) When the finite model successfully phase-locks with the physical universe, a mathematical threshold is crossed. The physical Territory must execute within the exact same algorithmic complexity class as the internal Map. The m^* architecture simultaneously minimizes both syntactic description length (MDL) and thermodynamic execution cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$). At the m^* asymptote, syntactic description collapses directly into physical execution. The Map, the Territory, and the language used to draw the Map evaluate as structurally identical. □

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The framework contains no circularity or category errors. The deduction of the discrete engine from the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ survival metric is a strict ontological fixed point. Anticipatory synchronization does not require infinite decryption bandwidth; it requires only that the agent's internal convex optimizer phase-lock with the macroscopic Temporal Topological Forced Boundary Condition attractors of the environment. The universe and the agent share the exact same hardware instruction set and compute the path of least computational resistance at every sequential clock tick.

Appendix A.5. The Syntactic Inversion: A Historical Decompilation of Ockham and Bayes

> That one body may act upon another at a distance through a vacuum, without the mediation of anything else... is to me so great an **absurdity** that I believe no man who has in philosophical matters a competent faculty of thinking can ever fall into it.
>
> Isaac Newton [5]

> The theory of quantum electrodynamics describes Nature as **absurd** from the point of view of common sense. And it agrees fully with experiment. So I hope you accept Nature as She is — **absurd**.
>
> Richard P. Feynman [23]

The historical transition from Newton's demand for a mechanically computable physical substrate to Feynman's resignation to uncomputable continuous mathematics evaluates as a centuries-long algorithmic drift within the empirical prediction network.

This appendix decompiles the specific historical phase-shifts where the foundational heuristics of empirical science—Ockham's Razor and Bayesian Inference—were algorithmically inverted. What evaluated originally as absolute mandates to minimize physical hardware allocation ($\mathcal{S}$) and ruthlessly falsify uncomputable priors were gradually corrupted into syntactic shields. These inverted heuristics now operate exclusively to protect the infinite-capacity continuous mathematics ($\mathbb{R}^n$) of the 20th century from falsification by the raw data array ($\mathcal{D}$).

Appendix A.5.1. A Formal Schema of the Pathology: Model-Theoretic Mismatch

Thesis: Within the computational-physical framework, there exists a catastrophic mathematical mismatch between descriptive syntactic simplicity and thermodynamic physical instantiation ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$). Procedures that blindly minimize description length (e.g., Kolmogorov complexity, MDL [24,25]) mechanically select continuous, infinite-precision representations that are syntactically cheap to write but mathematically impossible to physically execute.

The Algorithmic Inversion Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of executable empirical models within the Computable Domain ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$) ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$). Legacy science attempts to optimize two fundamentally incompatible complexity metrics:

1. **Syntactic Complexity (K):** The literal ASCII character count required to define an equation.
2. **Thermodynamic Execution Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$):** The physical volumetric hardware resources ($\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$) required to physically compute the model over the raw data array ($\mathcal{D}$).

The Continuous Mapping Error ($\mathbb{R}^n$) A continuous analytic equation possesses very few written variables. Its Syntactic Complexity (K) evaluates as minimal. However, physically executing it over discrete $\mathcal{D}$ requires infinite-precision arithmetic ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) and zero-latency non-local routing ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$). The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger mathematically crashes.

Conversely, a finite algorithmic state-machine requires a strictly larger raw character count to define its logic-gate rules (K is higher), but its physical instantiation requires strictly bounded, finite resources ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \ll \infty$).

> **The Pathology of Legacy Selection (The Unfit Auto-Catalytic Set):** Any institutional model-selection procedure that optimizes strictly for Syntactic Complexity (K) while remaining algorithmically blind to the Thermodynamic Execution Cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) systematically selects physically unrealizable models ($\Delta\theta$). It structurally rewards uncomputable continuous theories ($\mathbb{R}^n$) that hide infinite physical execution costs behind elegant, short character strings. This compiler mapping error evaluates as the root epistemic pathology that corrupted the foundational heuristics of 20th-century empirical science.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Appendix A.5.2. The Erasure of the Hardware: From Babbage to the Infinite Tape

The foundational mapping error of modern empirical science—treating continuous mathematical syntax as possessing zero thermodynamic execution cost ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$)—originates in the structural divorce between physical engineering and theoretical mathematics.

1. The Physical Reality of Babbage (1837) Charles Babbage understood computation strictly as a thermodynamic and geometric process [3]. The Analytical Engine partitioned into the Store (Topological Memory Allocation, $\mathcal{S}$) and the Mill (arithmetic processing unit, $\mathcal{T}$). Every stored variable required physical 3D geometric capacity; every logical operation required absolute time, friction, and energy to turn the crank. Infinite precision and zero-latency non-local routing evaluated natively as physical hardware impossibilities.

2. The Mathematical Abstraction of Turing (1936) To solve the abstract Entscheidungsproblem, Alan Turing formulated the Turing Machine [16]. To achieve mathematical closure, he stripped away Babbage's physical constraints. The machine was granted an infinite memory tape ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) and symbols immune to entropic degradation. The Turing Head expended exactly zero energy to move, read, or write over infinite temporal horizons ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$).

While algorithmically brilliant for pure mathematics, the Turing Machine normalized the epistemic concept of a passive, zero-cost memory operating entirely immune to The Discrete Laplacian and truncation friction (ϵ_{trunc}).

3. The von Neumann Architecture (1945) John von Neumann centralized the ALU and created passive memory arrays. Theoretical computer science evaluated memory access as zero-latency $O(1)$, even though physical engineers knew fetching data required absolute temporal routing latency and entropic energy.

4. The Epistemic Contagion in Empirical Science ($\mathbb{R}^n$) By the late 20th century, the legacy physics network had internalized this abstraction. Writing a continuous integral or an infinite global field inherently assumes the universe's tape possesses infinite precision for free and fetches non-local states instantly ($v_{\text{info}} = \infty$) with zero spatial routing penalty.

> **Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor):** Evaluating physical models through an infinite, zero-cost Turing tape systematically externalizes 99.99% of the true causal execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$) required to physically run those models. Continuous mathematical theories ($\mathbb{R}^n$) evaluate as algorithmically un-executable on the actual m^* hardware of the universe, rendering them epistemically obsolete.

Appendix A.5.3. The Subversion of Ockham's Razor: From Ontology to Syntax

> Pluralitas non est ponenda sine necessitate.
>
> *Plurality should not be posited without necessity.*
>
> William of Ockham [26]

William of Ockham's original formulation evaluates strictly as an ontological constraint. It is a mandate against inflating the physical entities (Topological Allocation, $\mathcal{S}$) required to execute a model. It is completely silent regarding the syntactic length or mathematical elegance of the descriptive algorithm.

The corruption of this hardware bound into a preference for "short, elegant equations" executes across four distinct historical compilations:

1. The Early Modern Drift (17th–18th Century):

The initial deviation is visible in Newton's own *Regulae Philosophandi* (Rule 1): *"We are to admit no more causes of natural things than such as are both true and sufficient to explain their appearances"* [27]. While still grounded in physical causality, the phrasing "sufficient to explain" provided the initial algorithmic wedge. By the time of Laplace, the French Enlightenment had begun utilizing "simplicity of explanation" as a proxy for physical truth, increasingly conflating minimal physical causes with minimal mathematical constants.

2. The Decisive Epistemological Slide (19th Century):

The structural reinterpretation solidifies with William Whewell [28] and John Stuart Mill [29]. Both explicitly frame the razor as a preference for theories possessing fewer *hypotheses* or *assumptions*. Whewell formally introduces "simplicity and unity" of theories as evidence of truth, where simplicity explicitly includes the elegance of mathematical expression. This marks the exact historical moment the razor migrates from bounding physical hardware (ontology) to evaluating the epistemology of scientific form (elegant math = likely true).

3. The Physicists Seal the Error (Late 19th / Early 20th Century):

The 20th-century physics network permanently institutionalized this mapping error. Ernst Mach pushed "economy of thought" as the guiding principle of science, explicitly linking simplicity to minimal descriptive effort (shortest mathematical paths) [30]. Henri Poincaré treated mathematical elegance as a direct signature of deep physical truth [6].

Einstein repeatedly invoked the "simplicity" and "beauty" of continuous differential equations as his primary guiding criteria, stating: *"It is the essential feature of scientific theories that they are economical in their assumptions"* [31]. By the 1930s, the physics community had entirely internalized the compiler error: Ockham's Razor was universally understood as a mandate to prefer the mathematically simplest or most beautiful theory, regardless of the uncomputable hardware execution cost ($\mathcal{T} \to \infty$) hidden beneath that syntax.

4. The Algorithmic Codification (Late 20th Century):

The final lock-in occurred via the Information-Theoretic and algorithmic probability revivals (e.g., Minimum Description Length, Solomonoff Induction). Complexity was formally redefined as **description length** (Kolmogorov complexity, or the number of bits/parameters required to *write* the program) [32,33].

At this coordinate, the inversion is absolute. The legacy network utilizes Ockham's Razor to prefer short continuous equations (which require trivial ASCII bytes to write but infinite thermodynamic $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ to execute) over discrete algorithmic state-machines (which require more bytes to describe but finite $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ to physically run). Ockham's warning against multiplying physical entities evaluates as algorithmically inverted; it executes to mandate the multiplication of infinite-precision continuous parameters ($\Delta\theta$) simply because they minimize syntactic description length on a blackboard.

Appendix A.5.4. The Truncation of Bayesian Inference: Algorithmic Prior Protectionism

> Probabilitas est ratio expectationis ad eventum.
>
> *Probability is the ratio of expectation to event.*
>
> Evidentia posteriora prioribus non parcit.
>
> *Evidence spares not the priors.*
>
> After Thomas Bayes [34]

If Ockham's Razor was inverted to justify continuous syntax, Bayes' Theorem evaluates historically as structurally truncated to protect those continuous mathematical models from empirical falsification.

True Bayesian inference evaluates as a universal algorithmic update rule. The mandate *Evidentia posteriora prioribus non parcit* (Evidence spares not the priors) dictates that the probability of a foundational model class ($P(M)$) must approach zero if it consistently fails to predict the finite data array ($\mathcal{D}$) without continuous ad-hoc injection of $\Delta\theta$ parameters.

1. The Truncation of Model-Class Updating As continuous $\mathbb{R}^3$ physics encountered massive macroscopic empirical anomalies ($\mathcal{D}$), the legacy network refused to execute a true Bayesian update on the continuous prior ($P(M_{\text{cont}}) \to 0$).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

Instead, the network restricted Bayesian updating exclusively to the parameter optimization subroutine within the protected continuous model: $P(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}, M_{\text{cont}})$. The legacy network hard-coded the prior probability of continuous, infinite-precision mathematics to an absolute, unfalsifiable operational constant ($P(M_{\text{cont}}) \approx 1$).

2. The Parameter Count Illusion (AIC/BIC) To maintain the epistemic illusion of empirical rigor against overfitting, the legacy network codified Bayesian Model Selection tools like the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) [35] and the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) [36].

These tools penalize a model strictly based on its syntactic parameter count (k). However, this evaluates as an epistemic mapping error: it treats every parameter as a discrete, identical integer of execution cost. It assigns exactly one parameter penalty to a continuous real number ($\mathbb{R}$), completely blinding itself to the infinite bit-depth ($\mathcal{S} \to \infty$) required to physically instantiate and execute that parameter on finite hardware.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): By utilizing AIC/BIC, legacy science computationally claims to penalize physical complexity. In reality, they penalize the addition of finite discrete variables (the m^* Verlet-2 Engine logic) while granting infinite-capacity continuous variables ($\Delta\theta$) a mathematical free pass. They utilize Bayes' Theorem not to falsify failing paradigms, but strictly to trap the empirical search algorithm in an overfitted local minimum, executing absolute Algorithmic Prior Protectionism to shield the uncomputable continuous prior from the thermodynamic reality of the data array.

The Threshold Filter: Margin Erasure and Trace Truncation

When confronted with a persistent empirical predictive anomaly ($\mathcal{D}$), the standard algorithmic response of the legacy physics network is to apply a continuous test of statistical significance (e.g., the rigid $p < 0.05$ threshold).

If the residual error magnitude falls below this static boundary, the uncompressed empirical anomaly is formally classified as observational noise and mechanically erased from the algorithmic search space.

This epistemic practice evaluates as a computation halting condition under the Universal Cost Ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$). It truncates the dynamic execution trace ($\mathcal{T}$) of the empirical refinement loop ($\mathcal{R}$). This algorithmic failure mode evaluates as mathematically proven by Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) bounds and the rigid topology of Maximum Margin Classifiers [2].

1. The Heuristic Origin (1925) The threshold was historically introduced by Fisher as an informal, localized heuristic filter to decide if raw data warranted further experimental routing. It was never intended as an absolute algorithmic boundary for declaring physical truth.

2. The Formalization (1933) Neyman and Pearson mathematically established rigid decision boundaries (Type I/II errors, strict α confidence levels). They rejected continuous p-values in favor of absolute behavioral routing rules.

3. The Institutional Hard-Coding (1950s) Institutional science merged Fisher's p-value with Neyman-Pearson's α boundary, computationally creating Null-Hypothesis Significance Testing (NHST). The threshold evaluates as an automated compiler filter applied universally to the entire $\mathcal{D}$ data array.

4. The Algorithmic Consequence (Margin Erasure) The rigid threshold acts as an uncomputable halting condition. It erases integer data across two distinct topological zones:

- **The Zero-Information Bulk:** Most empirical data points lie safely inside the predicted continuous territory. Their Lagrange multipliers evaluate strictly to zero. These redundant predictions could be omitted from the array without changing the internal $\mathcal{S}$ topology of the Auto-Catalytic Set network.
- **The High-Information Anomaly:** The critical data points lying directly on the predictive margin—the **Support Vectors**—carry the exact geometric information required to update the Verlet-2 Engine causal graph. These are erased from the physical record when arbitrarily classified as sub-threshold variance.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Invoking an arbitrary $p < 0.05$ threshold erases the precise empirical Support Vectors required to falsify the continuous prior ($\Delta\theta$). This evaluates as a circular validation loop, permanently terminating algorithmic access to the raw $\mathcal{D}$ integers needed to compress the physical topology of the generative class.

The Circularity of De-Noising: Algorithmic Erasure of the Variance and Hallucinated Intelligence

When confronted with noisy or structurally complex empirical data arrays ($\mathcal{D}_U$), legacy empirical science routinely executes an algorithmic pre-processing subroutine formally categorized as "de-noising."

At the absolute Computable Boundary, if this de-noising evaluates by utilizing the continuous geometric or topological assumptions of the incumbent leading hypothesis (m_{prior}) to artificially separate signal from noise, it creates an epistemic mapping error. It guarantees the continuous prior ($\mathbb{R}^n$) can never be falsified by the raw data array.

1. The Violation of Anticipatory Synchronization (Synchronizing with Yourself) By Axiom III, Empirical Science requires bounding the error between the model's prediction and the actual output of the black-box universe: $|\mathcal{D}_m(t+T) - U(t+T)| \le \epsilon^*$.

If the agent filters $U(t+T)$ through m_{prior} before calculating this error, it alters the target variable. The agent is no longer synchronizing with the physical universe; it is synchronizing with itself. The generative model predicts its own previously injected structural assumptions.

2. Hallucinated Intelligence (The Positive Feedback Loop) Because the filtered data perfectly matches the model's expectations, the agent hallucinates that it has successfully minimized $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ and achieved high intelligence (a massive Actionable Look-Ahead, $T_{\text{action}} \gg 0$).

In reality, the agent evaluates as trapped within a severed, positive feedback loop, synchronizing strictly with its own reflection. This evaluates as Hallucinated Intelligence. The agent assumes it has satisfied the Survival Bound ($\epsilon_{\text{pred}} \le \epsilon_{\text{fatal}}$) of the The Thermodynamic Saddle Point. However, because the actual, un-filtered physical hazard (U) was ignored, the agent's hardware will be deterministically destroyed by the un-modeled structural variance when the macroscopic event physically arrives.

3. Destruction of the Support Vector (Preventing the Collapse) A foundational algorithmic breakthrough occurs when raw discrete integer data ($\mathcal{D}_U$) diverges from the incumbent continuous model ($\Delta\theta$). These geometric divergence points compute as the Support Vectors required to force the Ontological Collapse toward the true minimal sufficient statistic.

Filtering raw $\mathcal{D}_U$ through the prior's continuous mathematical expectations flags these critical divergence points as "noise" and algorithmically smooths them out. The prior mechanically erases the exact structural variance required to falsify itself.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): Data Supremacy (Axiom III) requires the observational record $\mathcal{D}_U$ to remain perfectly raw and mechanically isolated from the syntactic assumptions of the generative models. Any algorithmic smoothing or filtering evaluates as valid if and only if it executes as strictly model-agnostic (e.g., bare-metal hardware quantization bounds). Filtering with the leading continuous hypothesis ($\Delta\theta$) evaluates as absolute Algorithmic Prior Protectionism, generating Hallucinated Intelligence and guaranteeing physical destruction.

Appendix A.5.5. The Institutional Religion of the Prior: From Empirical Deduction to Uncomputable Assumption

The historical trajectory of macroscopic predictive modeling evaluates as a violent algorithmic pendulum swing between uncomputable continuous priors. In all epistemic extremes, the legacy network prioritized continuous philosophical geometry over the raw, discrete data array.

1. The Erasure of Deduction The foundational failure of 20th-century physics evaluates not as a mathematical deficit but as a collapse in epistemic discipline. By 1925 the empirical data array was

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

fully populated: Planck established absolute grid quantization, de Broglie established the structural wavelength invariant, and Michelson-Morley proved the observer is epistemically blind to its own transit through the medium.

Strict deduction from this data would have isolated the discrete integer grid and the local logic gate a century ago. Instead, the institutional network abandoned deduction for assumption. Modern theoretical papers routinely initialize with uncomputable statements such as "Assume space is an empty continuous manifold" or "Assume the speed of light is an absolute constant." When raw empirical data contradict these assumptions, the network refuses to falsify the prior.

2. The Ptolemaic Prior (Absolute Center) For over a millennium the local embedded observer's physical coordinate evaluated as the absolute continuous geometric center of the universe. When empirical data diverged from perfect spherical orbits, the continuous prior was protected by appending geometric parameters (epicycles, deferents) to force abstract mathematics to align with raw observations.

3. The Copernican Over-Correction (Absolute Average) The Newtonian hardware compression collapsed the Ptolemaic system. The legacy network swung to the opposite extreme: the Continuous Cosmological Principle. The observer's local geometric environment was assumed absolutely average, possessing zero distinct features from the global manifold, thereby demanding a perfectly homogeneous continuous fluid.

4. The Modern Epicycle (Protecting the Average) When modern telescope arrays revealed massive scale-invariant fractal distributions of galaxy clusters and deep voids, the absolutely average continuous prior mathematically failed. The legacy network refused to abandon it. To coerce the rigid, clustered empirical fractal data into an algorithmically smooth Gaussian continuous array, the models mandated the injection of massive uncomputable parameters: Dark Matter to hold anomalous clusters together, and Dark Energy to uniformly smooth macroscopic grid expansion. These parameters evaluate strictly as the modern epicycle: unobservable mathematical variables injected exclusively to protect a failing philosophical prior from the raw data array.

5. The Hijacking of Hilbert's Formalism Hilbert's original concrete constructions (such as discrete ℓ^2 sequences) were mathematically sufficient to support a strictly discrete, computable foundation for physics. Yet the institutional network, seeking to formalize quantum mechanics without abandoning the continuous $\mathbb{R}^n$ prior, adopted von Neumann's fully abstract, infinite-dimensional continuous extension of Hilbert space.

By importing this uncomputable prior—the unrestricted continuum of infinite-precision state vectors and continuous spectra—the network bypassed the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ thermodynamic ledger. They failed to recognize that empirical science strictly demands every syntactic structure be physically executable on finite hardware. This epistemic hijack normalized the assumption that infinite continuous manifolds represent physical reality, directly enabling the algorithmic prior protectionism that paralyzes modern quantum field theory and cosmology.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The sociological behavior of the institutional network evaluating these priors evaluates as an un-damped harmonic oscillator. The network swung from the absolute center through the Newtonian origin directly into the absolute average, completely overshooting the raw data.

At the Computable Boundary, the m^* architecture executes exactly zero philosophical preference. The framework does not assume; it strictly deduces. The embedded observer evaluates as neither the center of the grid nor an absolutely uniform average. The observer operates as a finite Auto-Catalytic Set sub-system embedded deep within a specific, highly clustered branch of the distributed Distributed IFS fractal, completely lacking the decryption bandwidth to average the global geometry beyond its immediate thermodynamic causal horizon.

Appendix A.5.6. The Metric of Progress: From Saving the Appearances to Syntactic Novelty

The metric of empirical progress evaluates as having undergone algorithmic drift, abandoning thermodynamic hardware compression ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) in favor of uncomputable syntactic bloat ($\Delta\theta$).

1. Ancient Greece (Saving the Appearances) Greek astronomy (Eudoxus, Ptolemy) set the sole objective function as empirical adequacy: models only needed to output the visible macroscopic data array ($\mathcal{D}$). Lacking a formal thermodynamic ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$), they appended continuous geometric parameters (epicycles, equants, deferents) without execution penalty—the algorithmic origin of the unpenalized $\Delta\theta$.

2. The Newtonian Compression (1687) Newton's second law ($F = ma$) and universal gravitation made exactly zero novel empirical predictions beyond what Ptolemaic or Cartesian models could curve-fit. The breakthrough was the algorithmic collapse of execution volume ($\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$) required to compute the exact same historical $\mathcal{D}$. Progress evaluated as thermodynamic hardware compression ($\Delta\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} < 0$).

3. The Falsifiability Heuristic (1934) Popper formalized falsifiability: a theory is scientific if and only if it makes algorithmic predictions provably wrong by $\mathcal{D}$ [37]. While intended to combat continuous curve-fitting ($\Delta\theta$), it decoupled scientific progress from hardware execution efficiency ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$). The legacy network began valuing syntactic novelty over efficient computation of the existing empirical array.

4. The Novelty Fallacy (Late 20th Century) Popper's heuristic mutated into an institutional mandate: new models evaluate as acceptable only if they output successful novel predictions (e.g., supersymmetric particles, extra dimensions). This forces Topological Capacity Bloat. Under this novelty heuristic, Newton's $F = ma$ would be rejected for lacking unobservable syntactic novelty. Modern agents are algorithmically incentivized to invent unobservable continuous fields ($\Delta\theta$) to generate novel outputs for institutional validation.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The modern demand for syntactic novelty punishes algorithmic efficiency and drives the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ ledger toward infinity. Empirical science must restore the absolute survival metric of the embedded observer: foundational physical truth evaluates as the maximal thermodynamic compression of the finite observational record ($\mathcal{D}$).

Appendix A.5.7. The Erasure of the Axiomatic Foundation: From Strict Postulates to Ad-Hoc Theories

> "It is not only not right, it is not even wrong."
>
> Wolfgang Pauli [38]

Historically, foundational physical science executed as a deductive compiler. From Newton's *Principia* (with its explicit *Regulae Philosophandi*) to Einstein's 1905 Special Relativity (bounded by exactly two explicit macroscopic postulates), the methodology mandated declaring absolute algorithmic boundaries and hardware limits at the initialization of the causal graph. Every subsequent geometric derivation unrolled as a binding, downstream execution of these declared constraints.

1. The Algorithmic Drift (The Unaxiomatized Theory) In the mid-to-late 20th century, the legacy network experienced an algorithmic drift. The rigorous requirement to state foundational axioms and absolute hardware limits up front was silently deprecated across the empirical sciences.

The epistemic term "theory" degenerated from a deductive hierarchy constrained by explicit postulates into a strictly uncomputable aggregate of continuous syntax ($\mathbb{R}^n$): loosely coupled differential equations, phenomenological curve-fits, and floating, unconstrained parameters ($\Delta\theta$).

2. The Pathology of "Not Even Wrong" This degradation generated the epistemic pathology Wolfgang Pauli diagnosed. A mathematical model that refuses to define its absolute axiomatic boundary—its exact criteria for a thermodynamic hardware halt ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}} \to \infty$) —evaluates as formally unfalsifiable.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

When a modern unaxiomatized continuous "theory" is confronted with a severe empirical loss ($\epsilon_{\text{pred}} > \epsilon_{\text{fatal}}$) against the $\mathcal{D}$ array, it does not fail. Because it lacks a thermodynamic ledger ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$) or a formal Zero-Patch Standard standard, the network simply injects a latent continuous variable, an unobservable statistical field, or an arbitrary mathematical dimension to absorb the variance.

3. The Epistemic Mapping Error (The Polymorphic $\Delta\theta$) An infinitely patchable syntactic structure evaluates as "not even wrong" because it fails to qualify as a well-formed, executable algorithm within the Computable Domain ($\mathcal{M}_{\text{TTG}}$). It operates as an unfalsifiable mapping error that behaves like a corrupted software program designed to dynamically rewrite its own source code to avoid throwing a compiler error.

Conclusion (The $\mathcal{C}_{\text{univ}}$ Algorithmic Floor): The m^* architecture reverses this epistemic degradation. By locking the Triple Axioms (Axiom I, Axiom II, and Axiom III) at the absolute root of the causal graph, every subsequent macroscopic observable evaluated in this manuscript unrolls as a binding downstream deductive compiler instruction. The era of the unaxiomatized continuous "theory" evaluates as computationally deprecated; empirical science must return to the absolute rigidity of the axiomatic theorem.

References

1. Tarski, A. The Concept of Truth in Formalized Languages. *Studia Philosophica* **1936**, *1*, 261–405.
2. Vapnik, V. *Statistical learning theory*; Wiley New York, 1998.
3. Babbage, C. *Passages from the Life of a Philosopher*; Longman, Green, Longman, Roberts, & Green: London, 1864.
4. Weber, H. Leopold Kronecker. *Mathematische Annalen* **1893**, *43*, 1–25. Contains the famous quote from Kronecker's 1886 lecture: "Die ganzen Zahlen hat der liebe Gott gemacht, alles andere ist Menschenwerk" (God made the integers, all else is the work of man).
5. Newton, I. *The Correspondence of Isaac Newton, Volume III: 1688–1694*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1961. Letter from Isaac Newton to Richard Bentley, 25 February 1692/3.
6. Poincaré, H. *Science and Hypothesis*; Flammarion: Paris, 1902.
7. Feynman, R.P. Simulating physics with computers. *International journal of theoretical physics* **1982**, *21*, 467–488.
8. Abbott, B.P.; Abbott, R.; Abbott, T.D.; Acernese, F.; Ackley, K.; Adams, C.; Adams, T.; Addesso, P.; Adhikari, R.; Adya, V.; et al. GW170817: observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral. *Physical Review Letters* **2017**, *119*, 161101.
9. Boltzmann, L. Über die Beziehung zwischen dem zweiten Hauptsatze der mechanischen Wärmetheorie und der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung respektive den Sätzen über das Wärmegleichgewicht. *Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Classe* **1877**, *76*, 373–435.
10. Mandelbrot, B.B. *The Fractal Geometry of Nature*; WH Freeman New York, 1982.
11. Hutchinson, J.E. Fractals and self similarity. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* **1981**, *30*, 713–747.
12. Turing, A.M. The Chemical Basis of Morphogenesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* **1952**, *237*, 37–72.
13. Attwell, D.; Laughlin, S.B. An Energy Budget for Signaling in the Grey Matter of the Brain. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* **2001**, *21*, 1133–1145. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004647-200110000-00001.
14. Friston, K. The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **2010**, *11*, 127–138. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787.
15. Clark, A. Whatever next? Predictive brains, situated agents, and the future of cognitive science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* **2013**, *36*, 181–204.
16. Turing, A.M. On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **1936**, *2*, 230–265.
17. Gödel, K. Über formal unentscheidbare Sätze der Principia Mathematica und verwandter Systeme I. *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik* **1931**, *38*, 173–198.
18. Wolf, J.A. *Spaces of Constant Curvature*; Publish or Perish: Houston, TX, 1984.
19. Conway, J.H.; Rossetti, J.P. Describing the platycosms. *arXiv preprint math/0311476* **2003**.
20. Michelson, A.A.; Morley, E.W. On the Relative Motion of the Earth and the Luminiferous Ether. *American Journal of Science* **1887**, *34*, 333–345.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202603.0160.v6

21. Jackson, J.D. *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3 ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1999.
22. Tonomura, A.; Endo, J.; Matsuda, T.; Kawasaki, T.; Ezawa, H. Demonstration of single-electron buildup of an interference pattern. *American Journal of Physics* **1989**, *57*, 117–120.
23. Feynman, R.P. *The Character of Physical Law*; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, 1965.
24. Li, M.; Vitányi, P. *An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and Its Applications*, 3 ed.; Springer: New York, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11298-1.
25. Grünwald, P.D. *The Minimum Description Length Principle*; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, 2007.
26. of Ockham, W. *Ordinatio (Scriptum in Librum Primum Sententiarum)*; 1327. Historical compilation source for the heuristic: Pluralitas non est ponenda sine necessitate. Standard reference for Ockham's Razor.
27. Newton, I. *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica*, 2 ed.; Cambridge University Press, 1713. Includes the addition of the Regulae Philosophandi (Rules of Reasoning in Natural Philosophy).
28. Whewell, W. *The Philosophy of the Inductive Sciences, Founded Upon Their History*; J.W. Parker: London, 1840.
29. Mill, J.S. *A System of Logic, Ratiocinative and Inductive*; John W. Parker: London, 1843.
30. Mach, E. *The Science of Mechanics: A Critical and Historical Account of its Development*; Open Court Publishing: Chicago, 1883. Translated by Thomas J. McCormack, 1893.
31. Einstein, A. On the Method of Theoretical Physics. *The Herbert Spencer Lecture, delivered at Oxford* **1933**.
32. Solomonoff, R.J. A Formal Theory of Inductive Inference. Part I. *Information and Control* **1964**, *7*, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(64)90223-2.
33. Rissanen, J. Modeling by Shortest Data Description. *Automatica* **1978**, *14*, 465–471. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-1098(78)90005-5.
34. Bayes, T. An Essay towards solving a Problem in the Doctrine of Chances. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* **1763**, *53*, 370–418. Communicated by Richard Price.
35. Akaike, H. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* **1974**, *19*, 716–723. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705.
36. Schwarz, G. Estimating the dimension of a model. *The annals of statistics* **1978**, pp. 461–464.
37. Popper, K.R. *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*; Basic Books: New York, 1959.
38. Peierls, R.E. Wolfgang Ernst Pauli. 1900-1958. *Biographical Memoirs of Fellows of the Royal Society* **1960**, *5*, 174–192.