

Essay

Not peer-reviewed version

From Cadaver to Console: Integrating Sonographic Anatomy Into the Core Medical Curriculum

[Daniel John Doyle](#) *

Posted Date: 30 March 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202603.2307.v1

Keywords: ultrasound; medical education; anatomy; point-of-care ultrasound; curriculum development; sonographic anatomy



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Essay

From Cadaver to Console: Integrating Sonographic Anatomy Into the Core Medical Curriculum

Daniel John Doyle

Professor Emeritus, Case Western Reserve University; danieljohndoyle.com; djdoyle@hotmail.com

Abstract

The teaching of human anatomy has historically relied on cadaveric dissection, atlases, and static imaging. While these approaches remain foundational, they insufficiently reflect the dynamic, bedside-oriented realities of modern clinical practice. Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) enables real-time visualization of living anatomy and physiology and is increasingly integral to clinical decision-making across specialties. I argue that sonographic anatomy should be incorporated longitudinally into all undergraduate medical curricula. Such integration enhances spatial understanding, reinforces clinical relevance, and accelerates the development of diagnostic reasoning. I propose a scalable, phased implementation model and address common barriers, including faculty training and resource constraints. The integration of ultrasound into anatomy education represents not an addition, but a necessary evolution.

Keywords: ultrasound; medical education; anatomy; point-of-care ultrasound; curriculum development; sonographic anatomy

The Problem: Static Anatomy in a Dynamic Clinical World

For more than a century, the teaching of anatomy has centered on cadaveric dissection supplemented by two-dimensional representations. These methods cultivate respect for structure and variability, yet they present anatomy as fixed and inert. In contrast, clinical medicine increasingly depends on the interpretation of dynamic physiologic signals—cardiac motion, pleural sliding, vascular flow—often assessed at the bedside using ultrasound.

This mismatch creates a pedagogical gap. Students learn anatomy in one domain and encounter it clinically in another. The transition from atlas to patient is unnecessarily abrupt, requiring learners to reconstruct three-dimensional, time-varying anatomy from static foundations. The result is delayed clinical fluency and, in some cases, persistent uncertainty in spatial reasoning.

The Opportunity: Ultrasound as a Bridge

Point-of-care ultrasound has emerged as a portable, relatively low-cost modality capable of rendering living anatomy in real time. Handheld devices such as the Butterfly iQ+ and Philips Lumify have reduced barriers to access, enabling use in classrooms, wards, and outpatient settings.

Educationally, ultrasound offers three unique advantages:

- Dynamic Visualization**
Structures are observed in motion—valves opening, lungs sliding, vessels collapsing—transforming anatomy from a static subject into a physiologic narrative.
- Spatial Integration**
Real-time scanning requires learners to mentally reconstruct three-dimensional relationships, strengthening visuospatial cognition.
- Immediate Clinical Context**
Even novice learners can appreciate clinically relevant distinctions (e.g., normal vs. reduced

cardiac contractility, presence vs. absence of lung sliding), linking foundational science to decision-making.

Early studies suggest that ultrasound-enhanced anatomy instruction improves learner engagement and may enhance knowledge retention and diagnostic reasoning, though further rigorous evaluation is warranted [1–5].

A Model for Longitudinal Integration

I propose a three-phase framework that embeds sonographic anatomy within existing curricular structures rather than adding discrete, time-intensive modules.

Phase 1: Foundational Exposure (Year 1)

- Basic probe handling and image acquisition
- Identification of normal structures: heart (parasternal long axis), major vessels, abdominal organs
- Integration with cadaveric dissection (scan → dissect → correlate)

Phase 2: Systems-Based Reinforcement (Year 2)

- Cardiovascular block: basic echocardiographic views and contractility assessment
- Pulmonary block: lung sliding, A-lines, B-lines
- Gastrointestinal block: focused abdominal scanning (e.g., free fluid, full stomach)

Phase 3: Clinical Application (Clerkships)

- Problem-oriented scanning (e.g., dyspnea, hypotension)
- Procedural guidance (vascular access)
- Emphasis on appropriate use, limitations, and clinical integration

This model aligns with recommendations from organizations such as the American Medical Association and the Society of Point of Care Ultrasound, which have endorsed expanded ultrasound education in undergraduate medical training.

Addressing Implementation Challenges

Faculty Expertise

A commonly cited barrier is limited faculty proficiency in ultrasound. This can be mitigated through targeted faculty development programs, online modules, and collaboration with experienced clinicians in emergency medicine, anesthesiology, and critical care.

Curricular Time

Rather than expanding curricula, ultrasound should be embedded within existing anatomy and systems-based sessions. Short, focused scanning exercises (15–30 minutes) can reinforce key concepts without displacing core content.

Equipment Costs

The declining cost of handheld devices allows institutions to maintain shared equipment pools. Partnerships with industry and philanthropic support may further offset initial investments.

Risk of Overreliance

Importantly, ultrasound should be framed as an adjunct to—not a replacement for—comprehensive imaging and clinical assessment. Early education should emphasize limitations, sources of error, and the importance of clinical correlation.

Broader Implications

The integration of sonographic anatomy reflects a broader shift in medicine toward **bedside diagnostics, portability, and real-time decision-making**. Just as the stethoscope once extended the clinician's senses, ultrasound now augments both vision and understanding.

Failure to incorporate ultrasound into foundational training risks producing graduates who are less prepared for contemporary practice. Conversely, early exposure may accelerate the development of clinical intuition and improve patient care.

Conclusions

The teaching of anatomy must evolve to reflect the realities of modern medicine. Integrating sonographic anatomy into undergraduate medical education offers a practical, scalable, and pedagogically sound approach to bridging the gap between foundational science and clinical practice. The question is no longer whether ultrasound belongs in the anatomy curriculum, but how quickly and effectively it can be implemented.

References

1. Bahner DP, Goldman E, Way D, Royall NA, Liu YT. The state of ultrasound education in U.S. medical schools: results of a national survey. *Acad Med.* 2014;89(12):1681–1686. PMID: 25354069
2. Dinh VA, Dukes WS, Prigge J, Avila M. Ultrasound integration in undergraduate medical education: comparison of ultrasound proficiency between trained and untrained medical students. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2015;34(10):1819–1824. PMID: 26276191
3. Kondrashov P, Johnson JC, Boehm K, Rice D, Kondrashova T. Impact of the clinical ultrasound elective course on retention of anatomical knowledge by second-year medical students. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2015;34(1):21–32. PMID: 25542938
4. Moore CL, Copel JA. Point-of-care ultrasonography. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364(8):749–757. PMID: 21345104
5. Hoppmann RA, Rao VV, Bell F, et al. The evolution of an integrated ultrasound curriculum (iUSC) for medical students: 9-year experience. *Crit Ultrasound J.* 2015;7(1):18. PMID: 26430478

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.