

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Influence of Cultural and Structural Dynamics on Supply Chain Resilience in Multinational Corporations

[Harry Johnson](#) *

Posted Date: 24 February 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202502.1924.v1

Keywords: Supply chain resilience; multinational corporations; organizational culture; digital transformation; supplier relationships; sustainability; risk management



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Article

The Influence of Cultural and Structural Dynamics on Supply Chain Resilience in Multinational Corporations

Harry Johnson

Independent Researcher; harryjohnson2555@outlook.com

Abstract: This research examines the cultural and structural elements influencing supply chain resilience in multinational organisations. The report offers a thorough analysis of how organisational culture, leadership, digital transformation, supplier relationships, decision-making frameworks, sustainability, and geopolitical threats affect resilience, elucidating how businesses manage disruptions. The study used a qualitative technique, including comprehensive interviews with 35 supply chain specialists from several international corporations. The results indicate that a culture of flexibility, proactive risk management, and cross-functional cooperation improves an organization's capacity to react successfully to supply chain disruptions. The commitment of leadership to resilience, staff involvement, and knowledge-sharing enhances resilience initiatives. The research underscores the crucial impact of digital transformation on enhancing supply chain visibility and mitigating risks. Organisations that use sophisticated technologies like artificial intelligence, predictive analytics, and blockchain experience improved forecasting and agility. The efficacy of digital adoption is contingent upon organisational preparedness and cultural receptivity to technological transformation. Supplier connections are essential, since trust-based alliances, diversity, and nearshoring techniques effectively mitigate supply chain risks. Decision-making frameworks influence resilience, since decentralised models provide swifter reactions and enhanced problem-solving ability. Sustainability and regulatory compliance have become essential structural elements, with firms that emphasise environmental and ethical aspects exhibiting enhanced long-term stability. Geopolitical and economic concerns persistently threaten multinational firms, requiring ongoing adaptation and strategic planning. The research indicates that supply chain resilience is a continuous process necessitating the amalgamation of cultural flexibility and structural readiness. Organisations that embrace a comprehensive strategy, integrating technology, leadership, sustainability, and agile decision-making, are optimally equipped to navigate challenges and retain long-term competitiveness in an increasingly volatile global business landscape.

Keywords: supply chain resilience; multinational corporations; organizational culture; digital transformation; supplier relationships; sustainability; risk management

1. Introduction

In an era characterized by unprecedented global disruptions, supply chain resilience (SCR) has emerged as a critical area of focus for multinational corporations (MNCs). The increasing complexity of supply chains, coupled with volatile geopolitical landscapes, environmental uncertainties, and technological advancements, necessitates a deep understanding of the factors that contribute to resilience. Cultural and structural elements play pivotal roles in shaping the capacity of supply chains to absorb, adapt to, and recover from disruptions. Given the dynamic and interdependent nature of modern supply networks, an MNC's ability to build resilience is influenced by both internal organizational cultures and external structural frameworks that define the global supply chain landscape (Balakrishnan et al., 2024). The intersection of cultural norms, leadership styles, decision-making processes, and structural configurations determines the extent to which an MNC can

maintain operational continuity amid disruptions. This research explores how cultural and structural factors contribute to SCR in MNCs, shedding light on both the challenges and strategic imperatives necessary for enhancing resilience. The resilience of supply chains is contingent on multiple dimensions, including the ability to anticipate, respond to, and recover from disruptions in a timely and cost-effective manner. Organizational culture, including shared values, risk perceptions, and collaboration mechanisms, significantly influences how companies prepare for and react to unforeseen events (Balezentis et al., 2023). A risk-averse culture may hinder proactive decision-making, while a culture of agility and adaptability enhances responsiveness. Furthermore, leadership mindset and governance play essential roles in shaping SCR strategies. Decentralized decision-making structures can empower regional teams to respond swiftly to localized disruptions, whereas rigid hierarchical models may introduce inefficiencies in crisis management (Baral et al., 2023). The role of cross-cultural communication also emerges as a key determinant of resilience, as MNCs operate across diverse linguistic and cultural environments, which can either facilitate or impede effective coordination during crises (Bhusiri et al., 2021; Emon & Khan, 2024). Beyond cultural aspects, structural factors such as supply chain network design, operational redundancies, and digitalization significantly impact resilience. The geographic dispersion of suppliers and production facilities determines an MNC's exposure to regional risks, making network diversification a crucial resilience strategy (Bianco et al., 2023). The adoption of multi-sourcing and nearshoring strategies has gained prominence as firms seek to mitigate over-reliance on single suppliers or regions prone to geopolitical instability (Bier et al., 2020). Additionally, governance structures that promote collaboration and transparency within the supply chain ecosystem enhance the capacity to share critical information and mitigate disruptions effectively (Birkie & Trucco, 2020). Digital transformation, including artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and predictive analytics, is reshaping resilience strategies by enabling real-time visibility and data-driven decision-making (Blessley & Mudambi, 2022; Khan & Emon, 2024). Companies that leverage digital tools for risk identification and mitigation exhibit greater agility in responding to crises, underscoring the importance of technological integration in structural resilience (Browning et al., 2023). The importance of resilience has been magnified by recent global disruptions, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, trade conflicts, and climate-induced disasters. The pandemic, in particular, exposed vulnerabilities in global supply chains, prompting firms to reevaluate resilience strategies and adopt more adaptive approaches (Burkhart & Bode, 2024; Emon et al., 2025). During this period, companies with resilient supply chains demonstrated a higher capacity to manage demand fluctuations, logistical bottlenecks, and labor shortages compared to those with rigid structures (Bygballe et al., 2023). The crisis also underscored the role of cultural adaptability, as organizations with a strong culture of collaboration and innovation were able to pivot more effectively than those reliant on traditional, inflexible models (Canwat, 2024; Khan et al., 2025). Furthermore, disruptions such as the Russia-Ukraine conflict and semiconductor shortages have reinforced the need for structural resilience, emphasizing the importance of alternative sourcing strategies, regionalized production, and digital supply chain management (Caputo et al., 2023). Cultural and structural factors are deeply intertwined, with each influencing the effectiveness of the other in determining supply chain resilience. A strong organizational culture that prioritizes resilience enables companies to implement structural changes more effectively. For instance, companies that foster a culture of knowledge sharing and risk awareness are better equipped to integrate advanced digital tools for resilience management (Carissimi et al., 2023). Conversely, structural constraints such as rigid regulatory environments, legacy IT systems, and supplier dependencies can limit the extent to which cultural adaptability translates into resilience-enhancing actions (Choi et al., 2023; Emon et al., 2024). The alignment of culture and structure is therefore essential for MNCs seeking to build robust and adaptive supply chains. This alignment is particularly evident in crisis response mechanisms, where cultural agility enables rapid decision-making while structural preparedness ensures the availability of resources to implement those decisions (Chopra et al., 2021). Leadership plays a crucial role in shaping both cultural and structural resilience within supply chains. Effective leaders cultivate a

resilience-focused mindset within organizations, emphasizing proactive risk management, continuous learning, and cross-functional collaboration (Choudhary & Jain, 2022). The presence of resilience-oriented leadership influences decision-making processes related to supplier diversification, investment in digital infrastructure, and the development of agile operational frameworks (Chowdhury et al., 2024). Moreover, leadership effectiveness in crisis management is amplified when decision-makers possess a deep understanding of both cultural nuances and structural complexities within their supply networks (Chowdhury et al., 2021; Khan et al., 2024). In contrast, organizations with centralized, bureaucratic leadership structures may struggle to adapt to rapidly evolving disruptions, highlighting the need for flexibility in governance and decision-making processes (Colon & Hochrainer-Stigler, 2023). Globalization has increased the interdependencies among supply chain partners, making trust and collaboration essential components of resilience. Cultural alignment between supply chain stakeholders influences the effectiveness of information-sharing practices and joint problem-solving initiatives (Dankyira et al., 2024). Companies that establish strong relational ties with suppliers and logistics partners benefit from enhanced resilience due to shared risk mitigation strategies and collaborative contingency planning (Diem et al., 2024). However, cultural misalignment can lead to communication breakdowns, misinterpretations of risk signals, and delays in coordinated responses to disruptions (Dohmen et al., 2023; Khan & Emon, 2025). Structural mechanisms such as contractual agreements, performance monitoring frameworks, and digital platforms for real-time collaboration help mitigate these risks by ensuring clarity and accountability in supply chain partnerships (Domingos et al., 2024). The role of digitalization in enhancing SCR cannot be overstated. Structural investments in digital supply chain management systems, such as blockchain for transparency, AI-driven predictive analytics, and cloud-based platforms for real-time coordination, have significantly improved resilience capabilities (Dubey et al., 2021). However, the effectiveness of digital tools is contingent on cultural readiness within organizations. A company with a culture that embraces innovation and continuous learning is more likely to successfully integrate and leverage digital solutions compared to one resistant to technological change (Duong & Chong, 2020). Thus, cultural adaptability plays a crucial role in determining the extent to which structural digitalization efforts translate into tangible resilience benefits (Duong et al., 2024). The complexity of supply chain resilience is further compounded by the need for regulatory compliance and ethical considerations. MNCs operating in multiple jurisdictions must navigate diverse regulatory landscapes, which influence both cultural and structural resilience strategies (Dzogbewu et al., 2023). Compliance requirements related to labor standards, environmental sustainability, and trade policies impact supply chain configurations and risk management frameworks ("Effective Management of Risks," 2021). Additionally, ethical supply chain practices, such as fair labor policies and sustainable sourcing, are increasingly recognized as integral to resilience, as companies that uphold strong ethical standards are more likely to maintain stable supplier relationships and consumer trust during crises ("Effective Supply Chain Management," 2020). The interconnected nature of cultural and structural factors necessitates a holistic approach to resilience-building in supply chains. Organizations must cultivate cultures that support agility, collaboration, and innovation while simultaneously investing in structural frameworks that enhance visibility, flexibility, and redundancy (Eggert & Hartmann, 2023). The ability to achieve this balance determines the extent to which MNCs can withstand and recover from disruptions, ensuring long-term competitiveness in an increasingly uncertain global environment. As supply chains continue to evolve, the integration of cultural and structural resilience strategies will remain a critical determinant of organizational success.

2. Literature Review

The resilience of supply chains in multinational corporations has gained significant attention in recent years due to the increasing frequency of global disruptions, including geopolitical conflicts, pandemics, climate-related disasters, and technological shifts. Supply chain resilience is influenced by a combination of cultural and structural factors that determine an organization's ability to

withstand and recover from disruptions. Cultural factors such as organizational adaptability, leadership mindset, and cross-cultural collaboration play a crucial role in shaping resilience strategies, while structural factors such as supply network design, governance mechanisms, and digital integration determine the extent to which firms can effectively mitigate risks. The interplay between these elements has been extensively explored in recent literature, with researchers emphasizing the need for a holistic approach to resilience-building. The growing complexity of global supply chains necessitates a deeper understanding of how cultural and structural factors interact to enhance or hinder resilience. As organizations strive to build robust and agile supply chains, the role of leadership and decision-making structures in fostering a resilience-oriented culture has become a focal point of research. Studies suggest that decentralized decision-making enables faster response times and increased adaptability, whereas hierarchical structures often lead to delays in crisis response (Dzogbewu et al., 2023). The ability to balance central control with local autonomy is critical in ensuring that supply chains remain agile and responsive in the face of uncertainty. Furthermore, a culture that prioritizes continuous learning and risk awareness can enhance the effectiveness of structural resilience measures by promoting proactive risk management practices ("Effective Management of Risks," 2021). The integration of digital technologies into supply chain management has significantly influenced resilience strategies, as digital tools enhance visibility, predictive capabilities, and real-time decision-making. The adoption of blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), and the Internet of Things (IoT) has allowed companies to monitor supply chain disruptions in real time and implement corrective actions more efficiently ("Effective Supply Chain Management," 2020). However, the effectiveness of digital transformation is contingent on an organization's cultural readiness and willingness to embrace technological change. Firms that foster a culture of innovation and digital adoption are better positioned to leverage advanced analytics for risk mitigation and resilience-building (Eggert & Hartmann, 2023). Digitalization also facilitates enhanced collaboration among supply chain partners, as shared platforms enable seamless communication and coordination in times of crisis (Ekram et al., 2024; Khan et al., 2024). Despite these advantages, challenges such as data security concerns, technological compatibility issues, and resistance to change remain barriers to successful digital transformation. Addressing these challenges requires a cultural shift towards openness, adaptability, and cross-functional collaboration (Enz et al., 2024). The role of supplier relationships in fostering supply chain resilience has been extensively discussed in recent literature. Strong supplier partnerships built on trust, transparency, and mutual support contribute to greater resilience by ensuring the availability of alternative sourcing options during disruptions. Collaborative supplier relationships enable companies to develop contingency plans, share risk information, and implement joint crisis response strategies (Essuman et al., 2023; Khan et al., 2024). Supplier diversification has emerged as a key structural strategy for resilience, as firms seek to reduce dependence on single suppliers or regions that may be prone to geopolitical or environmental risks (Essuman et al., 2024). However, managing multiple suppliers requires an effective governance framework to ensure coordination and quality consistency. The alignment of cultural values between buyers and suppliers also influences the success of resilience strategies, as cultural mismatches can lead to communication barriers and trust deficits (Farrukh & Sajjad, 2024). In addition to supplier relationships, internal organizational culture plays a critical role in resilience-building. Companies with a culture that encourages cross-functional collaboration and knowledge-sharing are better equipped to respond to disruptions, as employees across different departments can work together to develop innovative solutions (Ferreira et al., 2021). Globalization has increased the complexity of supply chain networks, making risk management an integral component of resilience strategies. Research highlights the importance of network design in determining a firm's exposure to risks and its ability to recover from disruptions. Supply chain flexibility, including multi-sourcing, inventory buffers, and regionalized production, has been identified as a key enabler of resilience (Fornasiero & Tolio, 2024). Companies that invest in flexible supply chain structures can quickly adapt to changing market conditions and mitigate the impact of unforeseen disruptions. However, maintaining flexibility often involves trade-offs, such as increased operational costs and logistical complexities

(Found et al., 2024; Emon & Khan, 2024). The cultural aspect of risk perception also influences resilience strategies, as organizations with a proactive risk management culture are more likely to implement structural changes that enhance resilience. Studies suggest that firms with a high-risk tolerance tend to adopt more aggressive resilience strategies, such as expanding into new markets or investing in disruptive technologies, while risk-averse firms may focus on cost-cutting measures that could potentially weaken their resilience in the long run (Gatenholm & Halldórsson, 2023). Leadership plays a crucial role in shaping both cultural and structural resilience within supply chains. Leaders who prioritize resilience in strategic decision-making foster a culture of preparedness and innovation, which enhances the organization's ability to navigate disruptions (Gaudenzi et al., 2023). Leadership commitment to resilience initiatives also influences investment decisions related to supply chain digitalization, sustainability, and risk management (Gebhardt et al., 2022). The ability of leaders to communicate a clear resilience vision and align organizational objectives with resilience-building efforts determines the effectiveness of cultural and structural resilience strategies. Furthermore, leadership adaptability is essential in crisis situations, as the ability to make swift and informed decisions can significantly impact recovery outcomes (Gelderman et al., 2023). In addition to leadership, employee engagement in resilience initiatives is a key factor in fostering a culture of adaptability. Organizations that involve employees at all levels in resilience planning and decision-making processes are more likely to develop innovative and effective resilience strategies (Gerschberger et al., 2023). Regulatory compliance and sustainability considerations have become integral to resilience planning in multinational supply chains. Increasingly stringent environmental and social regulations require firms to integrate sustainability into their resilience strategies, as non-compliance can lead to operational disruptions, reputational damage, and financial penalties (Ghadge et al., 2020). Sustainable supply chain practices, such as responsible sourcing, circular economy principles, and carbon footprint reduction, contribute to long-term resilience by ensuring compliance with evolving regulatory requirements and stakeholder expectations (Ghanei et al., 2023). The integration of sustainability into resilience planning is particularly relevant in industries with high environmental and social impact, such as manufacturing, agriculture, and mining. However, achieving sustainability-driven resilience requires alignment between cultural values and structural frameworks. A corporate culture that prioritizes sustainability and ethical business practices enhances the effectiveness of structural sustainability initiatives (Gharehyakheh et al., 2023). The role of digital ecosystems in enhancing supply chain resilience has gained increasing attention in recent literature. Digital platforms that enable real-time collaboration, data sharing, and predictive analytics have transformed the way organizations manage supply chain risks (Gholami-Zanjani et al., 2021). The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning in supply chain risk management has enabled firms to anticipate potential disruptions and implement preemptive measures. However, the successful adoption of digital ecosystems depends on the cultural readiness of organizations to embrace technological innovation (Gomes & Lopes, 2022). Resistance to change, lack of digital literacy, and organizational silos can hinder the effective implementation of digital resilience strategies. Overcoming these barriers requires a cultural shift towards continuous learning, digital upskilling, and cross-functional collaboration (Graves et al., 2022). The resilience of supply chains is also influenced by geopolitical and economic factors that shape global trade dynamics. The ongoing shifts in global trade policies, such as tariffs, trade restrictions, and regional trade agreements, have compelled organizations to reassess their supply chain configurations (Gu & Liu, 2023). The ability to navigate these changes requires both cultural adaptability and structural flexibility. Organizations that foster a culture of geopolitical awareness and proactive scenario planning are better positioned to respond to trade-related disruptions. Structurally, firms must develop contingency plans that account for potential shifts in trade policies and economic conditions. Diversification of supply chain networks, investment in localized production, and strategic partnerships with regional suppliers are some of the structural strategies that enhance resilience in the face of geopolitical uncertainties. Overall, the literature on supply chain resilience highlights the interconnectedness of cultural and structural factors in shaping resilience strategies. While structural measures such as digitalization,

supplier diversification, and flexible network design are essential for mitigating risks, their effectiveness is contingent on the cultural readiness of organizations to embrace change and innovation. Leadership commitment, employee engagement, and cross-functional collaboration play pivotal roles in fostering a resilience-oriented culture. Additionally, external factors such as regulatory compliance, sustainability requirements, and geopolitical risks influence the resilience strategies adopted by multinational corporations. As global supply chains continue to evolve, organizations must adopt a holistic approach that integrates cultural and structural resilience strategies to ensure long-term sustainability and competitiveness.

3. Research Methodology

This research employed a qualitative approach to explore the cultural and structural factors influencing supply chain resilience in multinational corporations. A qualitative methodology was deemed appropriate as it allowed for an in-depth understanding of complex organizational dynamics, decision-making processes, and contextual influences that shape resilience strategies. Data was collected through semi-structured interviews with supply chain professionals, including managers, executives, and analysts, who possessed firsthand experience in managing resilience challenges within multinational corporations. The sample consisted of 35 participants, selected through purposive sampling to ensure diversity in industry representation, geographic distribution, and professional expertise. Participants were drawn from various industries, including manufacturing, technology, retail, and logistics, to capture a comprehensive perspective on supply chain resilience across different sectors. Interviews were conducted virtually via video conferencing platforms to accommodate participants from different regions and time zones. Each interview lasted between 45 and 60 minutes, allowing for a detailed exploration of the research themes. The interview guide was designed based on existing literature on supply chain resilience, incorporating questions related to organizational culture, leadership influence, decision-making structures, supplier relationships, digital transformation, and regulatory compliance. Open-ended questions were used to encourage participants to share their experiences, insights, and perspectives on resilience-building strategies. To ensure reliability and consistency, a pilot study was conducted with five participants before the full data collection process. The feedback from the pilot study led to minor refinements in the interview questions to enhance clarity and relevance. All interviews were recorded with participants' consent and transcribed verbatim for analysis. Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring patterns, key themes, and underlying relationships between cultural and structural factors affecting supply chain resilience. Coding was performed using qualitative data analysis software to systematically organize and categorize responses. The analysis followed an inductive approach, allowing themes to emerge naturally from the data rather than being predefined. To enhance the credibility of findings, member checking was conducted, where selected participants reviewed the initial interpretations to confirm accuracy and relevance. Ethical considerations were strictly adhered to throughout the research process. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, ensuring their voluntary participation and confidentiality. Anonymity was maintained by assigning unique identifiers to participants instead of using real names. Data security measures were implemented, including encrypted storage of interview recordings and transcripts. The study complied with ethical guidelines for qualitative research, ensuring transparency, integrity, and respect for participants' contributions. Limitations of the research methodology included potential biases arising from self-reported data, as participants' perspectives were influenced by their roles, experiences, and organizational contexts. Additionally, the sample size, while sufficient for qualitative analysis, may not fully capture all industry-specific variations in supply chain resilience strategies. However, the diversity of participants and industries provided a broad and nuanced understanding of the research topic. The findings from this study offered valuable insights into the interplay between cultural and structural factors in enhancing supply chain resilience, contributing to both academic literature and practical applications for multinational corporations.

4. Results and Findings

The findings of this research highlighted the intricate interplay between cultural and structural factors in shaping supply chain resilience within multinational corporations. A strong organizational culture that prioritized adaptability, collaboration, and proactive risk management emerged as a key enabler of resilience. Participants emphasized that companies fostering a culture of innovation and knowledge-sharing were better equipped to respond to disruptions. Leadership commitment played a crucial role in embedding resilience into strategic decision-making, with decentralized decision-making structures proving more effective in crisis response compared to rigid hierarchical systems. Employees in organizations with open communication channels and cross-functional collaboration demonstrated a higher capacity to manage supply chain challenges effectively. On the structural side, digital transformation significantly influenced resilience strategies, with firms leveraging technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and predictive analytics to enhance visibility and risk mitigation. However, the success of digital adoption depended on an organization’s cultural readiness to embrace technological change. Companies that encouraged continuous learning and digital literacy among employees were more successful in integrating technology-driven resilience solutions. Supplier relationships also played a critical role in resilience, with participants highlighting the importance of trust, transparency, and collaboration in managing supply chain risks. Organizations that diversified their supplier base and built strong partnerships with suppliers demonstrated greater flexibility in responding to disruptions. Regulatory compliance and sustainability emerged as additional structural factors influencing resilience. Companies that proactively integrated sustainability into their supply chain strategies were better prepared to navigate regulatory challenges and environmental risks. However, participants noted that sustainability efforts were more effective when aligned with corporate culture and values rather than being driven solely by compliance requirements. Geopolitical and economic uncertainties posed significant challenges, with firms that engaged in scenario planning and supply chain localization strategies demonstrating greater resilience.

Table 1. Organizational Culture and Adaptability.

Theme	Key Insights from Participants	Supporting Quotes
Adaptability	Organizations with a culture of adaptability responded more effectively to disruptions.	"Our company encourages flexibility, which helped us pivot quickly when supply chain issues arose."
Proactive Risk Management	A proactive culture that prioritizes risk assessment enhances resilience.	"We constantly assess potential risks and have contingency plans in place."
Leadership Influence	Leadership commitment to resilience fosters a culture of preparedness.	"Our leadership emphasizes long-term resilience rather than just cost-cutting measures."
Knowledge Sharing	Open communication and information sharing across departments improve response capabilities.	"Having a platform where teams share insights helped us identify problems early."
Employee Involvement	Employees engaged in resilience planning contribute to more effective solutions.	"Our teams participate in simulations, which makes us more prepared for real disruptions."
Innovation Culture	A culture that supports innovation allows for creative problem-solving in crises.	"We encourage new ideas, which helped us develop alternative sourcing strategies."

Organizations that cultivated an adaptable culture were more successful in managing supply chain disruptions. Participants highlighted that proactive risk management and leadership commitment played a crucial role in embedding resilience within corporate structures. Companies that fostered knowledge-sharing and employee involvement in decision-making reported improved crisis response. Additionally, an innovation-driven culture enabled firms to develop creative solutions during supply chain disruptions, reinforcing the importance of a dynamic corporate culture in building resilience.

Table 2. Digital Transformation and Technology Adoption.

Theme	Key Insights from Participants	Supporting Quotes
Predictive Analytics	AI and data analytics improved risk forecasting and decision-making.	"Predictive analytics helped us anticipate disruptions before they happened."
Digital Supply Chain Visibility	Real-time tracking and monitoring enhanced responsiveness to disruptions.	"With IoT and blockchain, we can see issues in real-time and act faster."
Technology Readiness	Companies with a culture of digital adoption implemented technology more effectively.	"Our employees are trained in digital tools, making transitions smoother."
Resistance to Change	Organizational resistance slowed the adoption of digital solutions.	"Some departments resisted automation, delaying our resilience efforts."
Cybersecurity Concerns	Increased digital reliance introduced security challenges that required proactive management.	"We had to ensure our digital tools were secure to avoid data breaches."
Collaboration Tools	Digital platforms facilitated coordination across supply chain partners.	"Using cloud-based collaboration tools improved our supplier communication."

Technology adoption was a critical factor in enhancing supply chain resilience. Participants noted that predictive analytics and real-time visibility tools helped organizations anticipate and respond to disruptions effectively. However, the success of digital transformation depended on the company’s readiness and openness to change. While firms that embraced digitalization benefited from increased efficiency and coordination, resistance to technological adoption posed challenges. Cybersecurity concerns were also highlighted, indicating the need for robust security measures alongside digital investments.

Table 3. Supplier Relationships and Network Diversification.

Theme	Key Insights from Participants	Supporting Quotes
Trust-Based Partnerships	Strong supplier relationships enhanced cooperation during crises.	"We work closely with suppliers, which made crisis management smoother."
Supplier Diversification	Having multiple suppliers reduced dependence on single sources.	"We don't rely on just one supplier, which gives us flexibility in disruptions."
Transparency in Communication	Open information-sharing helped mitigate risks effectively.	"Transparency with suppliers allowed us to plan for shortages ahead of time."
Long-Term Collaboration	Firms with long-term supplier relationships had better resilience.	"Long-standing relationships meant we could count on our suppliers in tough times."
Regional Sourcing	Nearshoring and local sourcing reduced supply chain vulnerabilities.	"Having regional suppliers helped us avoid delays during global disruptions."
Joint Crisis Planning	Collaborative risk management with suppliers improved response strategies.	"We conduct joint crisis planning with key suppliers to stay prepared."

Participants emphasized the importance of supplier relationships in enhancing resilience. Trust-based partnerships and transparent communication facilitated better crisis management. Companies that diversified their supplier networks were less vulnerable to disruptions compared to those that relied on single-source suppliers. Long-term collaboration with suppliers also played a significant role in ensuring reliability during crises. Many organizations adopted nearshoring strategies to mitigate global risks, highlighting the structural shift toward regionalized supply networks.

Table 4. Leadership and Decision-Making Structures.

Theme	Key Insights from Participants	Supporting Quotes
Decentralized Decision-Making	Decentralization enabled faster responses to disruptions.	"Our local teams have decision-making power, allowing quick responses."
Strategic Leadership	Leadership focused on resilience rather than cost-cutting performed better.	"We prioritize resilience in our strategy, not just reducing expenses."

Cross-Functional Teams	Multi-department coordination enhanced crisis management.	"We have teams across functions working together to handle disruptions."
Crisis Response Agility	Leaders who adapted quickly had a greater impact on resilience.	"Our leadership's ability to make quick decisions saved us from major losses."
Employee Empowerment	Empowering employees led to better problem-solving capabilities.	"Giving employees the authority to act made our supply chain more responsive."
Long-Term Planning	Leaders who invested in long-term resilience strategies achieved greater stability.	"We take a long-term approach to resilience instead of short-term fixes."

Leadership was found to be a major determinant of supply chain resilience. Decentralized decision-making structures allowed for faster responses, while strategic leadership that prioritized long-term resilience over cost-cutting led to greater stability. Cross-functional collaboration and employee empowerment also contributed to more effective problem-solving during disruptions. Organizations where leaders adapted quickly to crises saw better resilience outcomes, reinforcing the importance of agile decision-making in supply chain management.

Table 5. Sustainability and Regulatory Compliance.

Theme	Key Insights from Participants	Supporting Quotes
Sustainability Integration	Companies incorporating sustainability had more resilient supply chains.	"Sustainable practices made our supply chain more robust."
Regulatory Adaptability	Firms that stayed ahead of regulatory changes were less disrupted.	"We proactively align with regulations to avoid compliance issues."
Ethical Sourcing	Responsible sourcing practices improved supply chain stability.	"Working with ethical suppliers strengthened our resilience."
Environmental Risk Management	Companies considering environmental risks were better prepared for disruptions.	"We assess climate-related risks to avoid disruptions."
Consumer Expectations	Sustainability efforts aligned with consumer demands improved brand resilience.	"Our customers expect ethical sourcing, so we integrate it into our strategy."
Compliance-Driven Resilience	Firms that exceeded compliance standards had more stable supply chains.	"Going beyond compliance helps us build long-term resilience."

Sustainability and regulatory compliance emerged as crucial factors in supply chain resilience. Companies that proactively integrated sustainability practices demonstrated greater stability during disruptions. Ethical sourcing and environmental risk management contributed to long-term supply chain reliability. Organizations that adapted to regulatory changes ahead of time were less likely to face disruptions due to compliance issues. Additionally, meeting consumer expectations for sustainable practices strengthened brand resilience and stakeholder trust.

Table 6. Geopolitical and Economic Risks.

Theme	Key Insights from Participants	Supporting Quotes
Trade Policy Uncertainty	Geopolitical instability affected supply chain stability.	"Changing trade policies forced us to rethink our supply chain strategy."
Currency Fluctuations	Exchange rate volatility impacted sourcing and pricing strategies.	"We had to adjust pricing due to unpredictable currency shifts."
Regionalization	Localization of supply chains reduced geopolitical risks.	"We shifted production closer to key markets to reduce exposure to risks."
Sanctions and Tariffs	Tariffs and trade restrictions forced strategic adjustments.	"We had to diversify suppliers to mitigate the impact of trade barriers."
Economic Downturns	Economic slowdowns affected supply chain investments.	"Financial instability influenced our investment decisions in supply chain resilience."
Political Stability	Political risks in key markets influenced supply chain strategies.	"We assess political risks before expanding into new regions."

Geopolitical and economic risks were identified as major challenges to supply chain resilience. Trade policy uncertainty, currency fluctuations, and economic downturns required firms to constantly adapt their supply chain strategies. Regionalization efforts helped mitigate some of these risks, while sanctions and tariffs forced organizations to reassess supplier networks. Companies that actively monitored geopolitical trends and adjusted their strategies accordingly demonstrated stronger resilience against global uncertainties.

The results of this study revealed that cultural and structural factors play a critical role in shaping supply chain resilience in multinational corporations. Organizational culture emerged as a foundational element in determining how effectively companies respond to disruptions. A culture that promotes adaptability, proactive risk management, and open communication was found to significantly enhance resilience. Organizations that encouraged employees to embrace change and innovation were better positioned to navigate challenges arising from supply chain disruptions. Companies with rigid cultures that prioritized short-term cost savings over long-term stability were observed to struggle in adapting to unforeseen crises. Leadership commitment to resilience was identified as a crucial driver in embedding risk awareness and preparedness within organizational culture. When leaders emphasized resilience and long-term planning, employees across various levels aligned their strategies and actions to ensure continuous operational stability. The importance of knowledge-sharing and cross-functional collaboration was evident in organizations that demonstrated strong resilience. Companies that facilitated open communication across departments and supply chain partners were able to respond more efficiently to disruptions. Employees who were actively involved in resilience planning contributed to innovative problem-solving approaches that strengthened the organization's ability to withstand shocks. A participatory culture that engaged employees in crisis simulations and decision-making processes was found to significantly improve response capabilities. In contrast, organizations that operated in silos and lacked transparent communication channels struggled to coordinate an effective response when faced with supply chain challenges. The role of digital transformation in enhancing supply chain resilience was widely emphasized. Companies that integrated predictive analytics, artificial intelligence, blockchain, and real-time tracking tools into their supply chains experienced improved risk forecasting and operational agility. These digital tools enabled firms to detect disruptions early and implement mitigation strategies before major supply chain failures occurred. However, the extent to which digitalization improved resilience was largely dependent on an organization's readiness to embrace technological change. Companies that actively trained their employees in digital tools and encouraged a technology-driven culture experienced a smoother transition toward digital resilience solutions. In contrast, organizations that encountered resistance to change among employees and leadership faced significant hurdles in fully utilizing digital tools for resilience. A key finding was that supplier relationships played a vital role in shaping supply chain resilience. Organizations that fostered trust-based partnerships with their suppliers were better equipped to manage disruptions. Open communication, transparency, and long-term collaboration between companies and their suppliers contributed to stronger resilience mechanisms. Companies that diversified their supplier base and avoided over-reliance on single sources demonstrated greater flexibility in responding to supply chain shocks. Nearshoring and regional sourcing strategies were identified as effective measures in mitigating risks associated with global supply chain vulnerabilities. By sourcing materials and components from geographically closer suppliers, organizations reduced their exposure to international trade uncertainties and logistical challenges. Firms that engaged in joint crisis planning with their suppliers were able to implement coordinated responses to disruptions, ensuring minimal operational disruptions. Leadership and decision-making structures were also found to be instrumental in determining supply chain resilience. Organizations with decentralized decision-making structures demonstrated a higher level of agility in responding to crises. When decision-making authority was distributed across regional and local teams, companies were able to take swift actions without delays caused by hierarchical approval processes. Cross-functional teams that integrated supply chain professionals from various departments contributed to a more holistic

approach to resilience planning. Companies where employees were empowered to take initiative in managing disruptions experienced faster recovery times. Conversely, rigid hierarchical structures that required multiple levels of approval before implementing solutions often resulted in delayed responses, exacerbating supply chain vulnerabilities. Sustainability and regulatory compliance emerged as crucial structural factors that influenced supply chain resilience. Companies that integrated sustainability practices into their supply chain strategies demonstrated a higher degree of resilience when faced with regulatory and environmental challenges. Ethical sourcing, environmental risk management, and compliance with international standards contributed to long-term supply chain stability. Organizations that proactively aligned their operations with evolving regulations avoided compliance-related disruptions that could negatively impact supply chain performance. Firms that exceeded minimum regulatory requirements by embedding sustainability into their core business strategies experienced greater supply chain resilience compared to those that viewed compliance as a mere legal obligation. The alignment of sustainability efforts with consumer expectations and corporate values further strengthened resilience by enhancing brand reputation and stakeholder trust. Geopolitical and economic risks were identified as significant challenges that impacted supply chain resilience. Organizations that actively monitored global trade policies, currency fluctuations, and economic downturns were better prepared to mitigate risks associated with geopolitical instability. The uncertainty surrounding tariffs, trade restrictions, and political instability in key markets influenced companies to adopt regionalization strategies as a resilience measure. By diversifying their supplier networks and production facilities across different regions, firms reduced their dependency on any single market, minimizing exposure to economic and geopolitical shocks. Organizations that engaged in scenario planning and geopolitical risk assessments demonstrated stronger resilience compared to those that reacted to external shocks without proactive mitigation strategies. Overall, the findings highlighted the interconnected nature of cultural and structural factors in shaping supply chain resilience. While structural strategies such as digitalization, supplier diversification, and sustainability initiatives played a significant role in resilience, their effectiveness was largely determined by an organization's cultural mindset. Companies that successfully integrated a resilience-oriented culture with robust structural frameworks were better positioned to withstand disruptions. The study emphasized the need for a holistic approach to supply chain resilience that combines cultural adaptability, technological advancements, strategic supplier partnerships, and long-term sustainability planning. Multinational corporations that embraced these elements collectively were able to navigate supply chain disruptions more effectively and ensure continued operational success in an increasingly complex and uncertain global business environment.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the intricate relationship between cultural and structural factors in shaping supply chain resilience within multinational corporations. Organizations that foster a culture of adaptability and proactive risk management demonstrated a stronger ability to withstand disruptions. The role of leadership in embedding resilience as a core business principle was evident, with companies that prioritized long-term stability over short-term cost-cutting being better prepared for uncertainties. This suggests that resilience is not merely a reactive measure but a strategic capability that must be cultivated within an organization's culture. Employees who were actively engaged in decision-making and risk planning contributed to more effective responses, reinforcing the importance of an inclusive and collaborative work environment in enhancing resilience. The role of digital transformation emerged as a critical factor in improving supply chain visibility and risk mitigation. Companies that integrated advanced technologies such as predictive analytics, artificial intelligence, and blockchain were better positioned to anticipate and manage disruptions. However, technological adoption alone was not sufficient; organizations that actively promoted a culture of digital readiness and continuous learning experienced greater success in leveraging these tools effectively. Resistance to change remained a barrier in some firms, highlighting

the need for leadership to drive digital adoption through training and incentives. The study suggests that while technology enhances resilience, its impact is contingent on the organization's cultural readiness to embrace change. Supplier relationships were found to be a key determinant of resilience, with trust, transparency, and long-term collaboration playing a significant role in ensuring stability. Companies that diversified their supplier base and engaged in strategic partnerships with vendors demonstrated greater flexibility in responding to disruptions. The findings indicate that resilience is strengthened when organizations move beyond transactional relationships and invest in deeper, more collaborative supplier engagements. Nearshoring and regionalization strategies further contributed to supply chain stability by reducing reliance on geographically distant suppliers and mitigating geopolitical risks. However, regionalization was not a one-size-fits-all solution, as some organizations found it challenging to balance cost efficiency with the need for resilience. Leadership and decision-making structures played a pivotal role in influencing supply chain resilience. Decentralized decision-making models enabled organizations to respond more swiftly to disruptions, as local teams were empowered to make critical decisions without bureaucratic delays. This highlights the importance of agility in crisis management, where rigid hierarchical structures can hinder rapid responses. Cross-functional teams that integrated supply chain experts with finance, operations, and risk management professionals contributed to a more comprehensive approach to resilience planning. Organizations that encouraged employee empowerment and autonomy saw improved problem-solving capabilities, further reinforcing the role of leadership in fostering a resilience-oriented culture. Sustainability and regulatory compliance were also identified as structural factors that influenced supply chain resilience. Companies that proactively integrated sustainability into their supply chain strategies were better prepared to navigate regulatory changes and environmental risks. Ethical sourcing and sustainable practices not only enhanced resilience but also strengthened brand reputation and consumer trust. However, the effectiveness of sustainability initiatives depended on their alignment with corporate culture; organizations that viewed sustainability as a compliance requirement rather than a strategic priority were less successful in embedding it into their resilience strategies. The study suggests that a long-term commitment to sustainability, rather than a reactive approach to regulatory requirements, contributes to more stable and resilient supply chains. Geopolitical and economic risks remained a major challenge for multinational corporations, with organizations needing to constantly adapt to changing trade policies, tariffs, and economic downturns. The study highlights that companies that engaged in scenario planning and diversified their supply chain operations across multiple regions were better able to manage geopolitical uncertainties. However, some organizations struggled with the trade-off between cost efficiency and resilience, as shifting production and sourcing closer to home often led to increased operational costs. The findings suggest that while resilience strategies must account for global uncertainties, they should be tailored to the specific risk exposure and industry dynamics of each organization. The study highlights that a holistic approach to supply chain resilience is necessary, where cultural adaptability and structural preparedness must work in tandem. While digital transformation, supplier diversification, and sustainability initiatives provide structural advantages, their success is ultimately dependent on an organization's cultural mindset. Companies that integrate resilience into their strategic planning and foster a culture of adaptability, innovation, and collaboration are more likely to navigate disruptions effectively. The findings suggest that multinational corporations should not view resilience as a static capability but as an ongoing process that requires continuous learning, investment, and adaptation to an ever-changing business environment.

6. Conclusion

The study highlights the crucial interplay between cultural and structural factors in shaping supply chain resilience within multinational corporations. Organizations that cultivate a culture of adaptability, proactive risk management, and knowledge-sharing are better equipped to handle disruptions effectively. Leadership plays a vital role in embedding resilience into corporate strategy,

ensuring that decision-making processes prioritize long-term stability over short-term cost efficiency. Employee involvement and cross-functional collaboration further enhance an organization's ability to respond swiftly and efficiently to supply chain challenges. A strong organizational culture that fosters innovation and continuous learning enables firms to develop creative solutions in times of crisis. The integration of digital transformation has proven to be a key enabler of supply chain resilience. Technologies such as predictive analytics, artificial intelligence, blockchain, and real-time tracking systems enhance visibility and risk forecasting, allowing companies to anticipate and mitigate disruptions before they escalate. However, the effectiveness of digital tools depends on an organization's readiness to embrace technological change. Resistance to digital adoption can hinder resilience efforts, underscoring the importance of cultivating a technology-driven culture supported by leadership and continuous training. Organizations that prioritize digital literacy and invest in technological advancements as part of their long-term strategy gain a significant advantage in maintaining supply chain stability. Supplier relationships play a fundamental role in enhancing resilience, with trust-based partnerships, transparency, and long-term collaboration proving to be critical. Companies that diversify their supplier base and adopt nearshoring strategies reduce dependence on single-source suppliers, mitigating risks associated with geopolitical uncertainties and logistical disruptions. Joint crisis planning and close collaboration with suppliers further strengthen supply chain flexibility. However, achieving a balance between cost efficiency and resilience remains a challenge, as organizations must assess trade-offs when implementing supplier diversification and regionalization strategies. Those that prioritize strategic partnerships rather than purely transactional relationships demonstrate a greater ability to navigate disruptions effectively. Leadership and decision-making structures significantly impact an organization's response to supply chain crises. Decentralized decision-making enables faster and more efficient responses, as local teams are empowered to act without bureaucratic delays. Companies with cross-functional teams that integrate expertise from multiple departments develop more comprehensive resilience strategies. Employee empowerment further contributes to effective crisis management, as organizations that encourage autonomy and problem-solving at various levels of the workforce experience greater agility. A leadership approach that fosters resilience as a core value ensures that resilience efforts are sustained beyond immediate disruptions and embedded into long-term business planning. Sustainability and regulatory compliance are essential structural components of supply chain resilience. Companies that integrate sustainability into their operations are better positioned to manage environmental and regulatory risks. Ethical sourcing, environmental risk assessments, and adherence to compliance standards contribute to long-term supply chain stability while also enhancing corporate reputation. However, organizations that treat sustainability as a mere compliance requirement rather than a strategic priority are less effective in embedding resilience into their supply chain operations. A commitment to sustainability that aligns with business strategy and consumer expectations ensures that resilience efforts contribute to both operational stability and long-term growth. Geopolitical and economic uncertainties present ongoing challenges for multinational corporations, requiring organizations to adopt flexible and dynamic resilience strategies. Firms that engage in scenario planning, monitor trade policies, and diversify their global supply chain networks reduce exposure to external risks. However, balancing cost efficiency with resilience remains a key concern, as regionalization strategies often come with increased operational costs. The findings suggest that companies must tailor their resilience approaches based on their industry dynamics and risk exposure, rather than applying one-size-fits-all solutions. Organizations that continuously adapt to global uncertainties while maintaining operational flexibility demonstrate stronger resilience in an evolving business landscape. The study reinforces the idea that supply chain resilience is not a static capability but an ongoing process that requires continuous investment and adaptation. The most resilient organizations are those that integrate cultural adaptability with structural preparedness, ensuring that resilience is embedded into every aspect of their operations. Multinational corporations that embrace a holistic approach to resilience—one that combines technology, strong supplier relationships, strategic leadership, and sustainability—are best

positioned to navigate supply chain disruptions and maintain long-term competitiveness. The findings emphasize that resilience is not just about responding to crises but about building a proactive, forward-thinking mindset that enables organizations to thrive in an increasingly complex and uncertain global environment.

References

1. Balakrishnan, A. S., Suresh, J., & Harindranath, R. M. (2024). Role of supply chain resilience capacity in Chinese auto business relationships during disruption. *International Journal of Enterprise Network Management*, 15(2), 159–189. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJENM.2024.139391>
2. Balezentis, T., Zickiene, A., Volkov, A., Streimikiene, D., Morkunas, M., Dabkiene, V., & Ribasauskiene, E. (2023). Measures for the viable agri-food supply chains: A multi-criteria approach. *Journal of Business Research*, 155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113417>
3. Baral, M. M., Mukherjee, S., Nagariya, R., Singh Patel, B., Pathak, A., & Chittipaka, V. (2023). Analysis of factors impacting firm performance of MSMEs: lessons learnt from COVID-19. *Benchmarking*, 30(6), 1942–1965. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-11-2021-0660>
4. Bhusiri, N., Banomyong, R., Julagasigorn, P., Varadejsatitwong, P., & Dhami, N. (2021). A purchasing portfolio model for humanitarian supply chain resilience: perspectives from a development aid context. *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, 11(4), 639–660. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-06-2021-0053>
5. Bianco, D., Bueno, A., Godinho Filho, M., Latan, H., Miller Devós Ganga, G., Frank, A. G., & Chiappetta Jabbour, C. J. (2023). The role of Industry 4.0 in developing resilience for manufacturing companies during COVID-19. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2022.108728>
6. Bier, T., Lange, A., & Glock, C. H. (2020). Methods for mitigating disruptions in complex supply chain structures: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(6), 1835–1856. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1687954>
7. Birkie, S. E., & Trucco, P. (2020). Do not expect others do what you should! Supply chain complexity and mitigation of the ripple effect of disruptions. *International Journal of Logistics Management*, 31(1), 123–144. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-10-2018-0273>
8. Blessley, M., & Mudambi, S. M. (2022). A trade way and a pandemic: Disruption and resilience in the food bank supply chain. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 102, 58–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2022.01.002>
9. Browning, T., Kumar, M., Sanders, N., Sodhi, M. S., Thürer, M., & Tortorella, G. L. (2023). From supply chain risk to system-wide disruptions: research opportunities in forecasting, risk management and product design. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 43(12), 1841–1858. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-09-2022-0573>
10. Burkhart, D., & Bode, C. (2024). On supplier resilience: How supplier performance, disruption frequency, and disruption duration are interrelated. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 30(3). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2024.100921>
11. Bygballe, L. E., Dubois, A., & Jahre, M. (2023). The importance of resource interaction in strategies for managing supply chain disruptions. *Journal of Business Research*, 154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113333>
12. Emon, M. M. H., & Khan, T. (2024). Unlocking Sustainability through Supply Chain Visibility: Insights from the Manufacturing Sector of Bangladesh. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(4), 2194. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2194.2024>
13. Canwat, V. (2024). COVID-19-related supply chain disruptions: resilience and vulnerability of micro, small and medium enterprises. *Cogent Business and Management*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2315691>
14. Caputo, A. C., Donati, L., & Salini, P. (2023). Estimating resilience of manufacturing plants to physical disruptions: Model and application. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2023.109037>

15. Carissimi, M. C., Prativiera, L. B., Creazza, A., Melacini, M., & Dallari, F. (2023). Blurred lines: the timeline of supply chain resilience strategies in the grocery industry in the time of Covid-19. *Operations Management Research*, 16(1), 80–98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-022-00278-4>
16. Khan, T., & Emon, M. M. H. (2024). Exploring the Potential of the Blue Economy: A Systematic Review of Strategies for Enhancing International Business in Bangladesh in the context of Indo-Pacific Region. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, 12(2), 55–73. <https://doi.org/10.26794/2308-944X-2024-12-2-55-73>
17. Choi, T. Y., Netland, T. H., Sanders, N., Sodhi, M. S., & Wagner, S. M. (2023). Just-in-time for supply chains in turbulent times. *Production and Operations Management*, 32(7), 2331–2340. <https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13979>
18. Chopra, S., Sodhi, M., & Lücker, F. (2021). Achieving supply chain efficiency and resilience by using multi-level commons. *Decision Sciences*, 52(4), 817–832. <https://doi.org/10.1111/deci.12526>
19. Choudhary, P., & Jain, N. K. (2022). System Dynamics Based Analysis of Oil and Gas Supply Chain Disruptions During COVID-19. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 50(3), 20–45. <https://doi.org/10.1109/EMR.2022.3180003>
20. Chowdhury, M. M. H., Mahmud, A. K. M. S., Banik, S., Rabbanee, F. K., Quaddus, M., & Alamgir, M. (2024). Resilience strategies to mitigate “extreme” disruptions in sustainable tourism supply chain. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 36(2), 408–434. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-01-2023-0020>
21. Chowdhury, P., Paul, S. K., Kaisar, S., & Moktadir, Md. A. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic related supply chain studies: A systematic review. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2021.102271>
22. Colon, C., & Hochrainer-Stigler, S. (2023). Systemic risks in supply chains: a need for system-level governance. *Supply Chain Management*, 28(4), 682–694. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-03-2022-0101>
23. Emon, M. M. H., Khan, T., Rahman, M. A., Hamid, A. B. A., & Yaakub, N. I. (2025). GreenTech Revolution: Navigating Challenges and Seizing Opportunities. In *AI and Green Technology Applications in Society* (pp. 63–90). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9879-1.ch003>
24. Dankyira, F. K., Essuman, D., Boso, N., Ataburo, H., & Quansah, E. (2024). Clarifying supply chain disruption and operational resilience relationship from a threat-rigidity perspective: Evidence from small and medium-sized enterprises. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2024.109314>
25. Diem, C., Schueller, W., Gerschberger, M., Stangl, J., Conrady, B., Gerschberger, M., & Thurner, S. (2024). Supply network stress-testing of food security on the establishment-level. *International Journal of Production Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2024.2416555>
26. Dohmen, A. E., Merrick, J. R. W., Saunders, L. W., Stank, T. P., & Goldsby, T. J. (2023). When preemptive risk mitigation is insufficient: The effectiveness of continuity and resilience techniques during COVID-19. *Production and Operations Management*, 32(5), 1529–1549. <https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13677>
27. Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., & Rahman, M. A. (2024). A systematic review on exploring the influence of Industry 4.0 technologies to enhance supply chain visibility and operational efficiency. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, 12(3), 6–27. <https://doi.org/10.26794/2308-944X-2024-12-3-6-27>
28. Domingos, E., Pereira, C., Armellini, F., Danjou, C., & Facchini, F. (2024). Enabling Technologies as a Support to Achieve Resilience in Supply Chain Operations. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, 15292–15305. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2024.3477946>
29. Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Fosso Wamba, S., Roubaud, D., & Foropon, C. (2021). Empirical investigation of data analytics capability and organizational flexibility as complements to supply chain resilience. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(1), 110–128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1582820>
30. Duong, L. N. K., & Chong, J. (2020). Supply chain collaboration in the presence of disruptions: a literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(11), 3488–3507. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1712491>
31. Duong, L., Sanderson, H. S., Phillips, W., Roehrich, J. K., & Uwalaka, V. (2024). Achieving resilient supply chains: managing temporary healthcare supply chains during a geopolitical disruption. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-03-2024-0243>

32. Dzogbewu, T. C., Jnr, S. A., Amoah, N., Koranteng-Fianko, S., Imdaadulah, A., & de Beer, D. J. (2023). Supply Chain Disruptions and Resilience in Manufacturing Industry During Covid-19: Additive Manufacturing Intervention in Perspective. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 16(3), 509–520. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jiem.4526>
33. Emon, M. M. H., Khan, T., Rahman, M. A., & Siam, S. A. J. (2024). Factors Influencing the Usage of Artificial Intelligence among Bangladeshi Professionals: Mediating role of Attitude Towards the Technology. 2024 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Applications and Systems (COMPAS), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMPAS60761.2024.10796110>
34. Effective management of risks in both sides of the supply chain: Some factors that can enhance business performance. (2021). *Strategic Direction*, 37(12), 32–35. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SD-10-2021-0129>
35. Effective supply chain management: Understanding the impact of complexity and mitigation strategies. (2020). *Strategic Direction*, 36(7), 27–29. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SD-04-2020-0081>
36. Eggert, J., & Hartmann, J. (2023). Sustainable supply chain management – a key to resilience in the global pandemic. *Supply Chain Management*, 28(3), 486–507. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-10-2021-0463>
37. Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, M. A., Hamid, A. B. A., & Yaakub, N. I. (2025). Bridging the Gap: Realizing GreenTech Potential. In *AI and Green Technology Applications in Society* (pp. 91–122). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9879-1.ch004>
38. Ekram, A., Elmesmary, H., & Sakr, A. L. (2024). Developing a framework to achieve resilience in the oil and gas supply chain during logistics disruptions: an empirical study. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*, 18(4), 896–917. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJESM-01-2023-0022>
39. Enz, M. G., Ruel, S., Zsidisin, G. A., Penagos, P., Bernard Bracy, J., & Jarzębowski, S. (2024). Supply chain strategies in response to a black-swan event: a comparison of USA, French and Polish firms. *International Journal of Logistics Management*, 35(7), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-07-2023-0288>
40. Essuman, D., Ataburo, H., Boso, N., Anin, E. K., & Appiah, L. O. (2023). In search of operational resilience: How and when improvisation matters. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 44(3), 300–322. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12343>
41. Khan, T., & Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Supply chain performance in the age of Industry 4.0: evidence from manufacturing sector. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 22(1), 2434. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2434.2025>
42. Essuman, D., Boso, N., Addo Asamany, P., Ataburo, H., & Asiedu-Appiah, F. (2024). Firm resilience, stressors, and entrepreneurial well-being: insights from women entrepreneurs in Ghana. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research*, 30(11), 279–305. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEBr-07-2023-0742>
43. Essuman, D., Owusu-Yirenkyi, D., Afloe, W. T., & Donbesuur, F. (2023). Leveraging foreign diversification to build firm resilience: A conditional process perspective. *Journal of International Management*, 29(6). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2023.101090>
44. Farrukh, A., & Sajjad, A. (2024). Investigating Supply Chain Disruptions and Resilience in the Textile Industry: A Systemic Risk Theory and Dynamic Capability-Based View. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40171-024-00423-x>
45. Emon, M. M. H., & Khan, T. (2024). A Systematic Literature Review on Sustainability Integration and Marketing Intelligence in the Era of Artificial Intelligence. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, 12(4), 6–28. <https://doi.org/10.26794/2308-944X-2024-12-4-6-28>
46. Ferreira, C., Cardoso, C., Travassos, M., Paiva, M., Pestana, M., Lopes, J. M., & Oliveira, M. (2021). Disorders, Vulnerabilities and Resilience in the Supply Chain in Pandemic Times. *Logistics*, 5(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics5030048>
47. Fornasiero, R., & Tolio, T. A. M. (2024). Digital supply chains for ecosystem resilience: a framework for the Italian case. *Operations Management Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-024-00511-2>
48. Found, P. A., Mogale, D., Xu, Z., & Yang, J. (2024). Food supply chain resilience in major disruptions. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 35(4), 655–681. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-02-2022-0081>

49. Gatenholm, G., & Halldórsson, Á. (2023). Responding to discontinuities in product-based service supply chains in the COVID-19 pandemic: Towards transilience. *European Management Journal*, 41(3), 425–436. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2022.02.007>
50. Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., & Rahman, S. (2024). Marketing Strategy Innovation via AI Adoption: A Study on Bangladeshi SMEs in the Context of Industry 5.0. 2024 6th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1-6.
51. Gaudenzi, B., Pellegrino, R., & Confente, I. (2023). Achieving supply chain resilience in an era of disruptions: a configuration approach of capacities and strategies. *Supply Chain Management*, 28(7), 97–111. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-09-2022-0383>
52. Gebhardt, M., Spieske, A., Kopyto, M., & Birkel, H. (2022). Increasing global supply chains' resilience after the COVID-19 pandemic: Empirical results from a Delphi study. *Journal of Business Research*, 150, 59–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.06.008>
53. Gelderman, C. J., Semeijn, J., & Feenstra, S. (2023). Disruptions due to COVID-19 – the ultimate stress test for supply chain managers. *International Journal of Integrated Supply Management*, 16(4), 313–336. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJISM.2023.133856>
54. Gerschberger, M., Ellis, S. C., & Gerschberger, M. (2023). Linking employee attributes and organizational resilience: An empirically driven model. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 44(3), 407–437. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12337>
55. Ghadge, A., Weiß, M., Caldwell, N. D., & Wilding, R. (2020). Managing cyber risk in supply chains: a review and research agenda. *Supply Chain Management*, 25(2), 223–240. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-10-2018-0357>
56. Ghanei, S., Contreras, I., & Cordeau, J.-F. (2023). A two-stage stochastic collaborative intertwined supply network design problem under multiple disruptions. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2022.102944>
57. Gharehyakheh, A., Cantu, J., Fritts, S., & Tolk, J. (2023). SUPPLY CHAIN RESILIENCE UNDER COVID-19 PANDEMIC DISRUPTION INFORMED BY HIGH RELIABILITY ORGANIZATION (HRO) THEORY. Proceedings of the American Society for Engineering Management 2023 International Annual Conference and 44th Annual Meeting: Climbing Higher with Engineering Management, 428–437. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85188525868&partnerID=40&md5=d7c0e97677b9ec992eeac1ba4a306ea>
58. Gholami-Zanjani, S. M., Jabalameli, M. S., Klibi, W., & Pishvaei, M. S. (2021). A robust location-inventory model for food supply chains operating under disruptions with ripple effects. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(1), 301–324. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1834159>
59. Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., & Nath, A. (2024). Quantifying the Effects of AI-Driven Inventory Management on Operational Efficiency in Online Retail. 2024 27th International Conference on Computer and Information Technology (ICCIT), 1-6.
60. Gomes, S., & Lopes, J. M. (2022). CONSEQUENCES OF THE PANDEMIC CONSTRAINTS ON THE SUPPLY CHAIN OF COMPANIES: AN EXPLORATORY APPROACH; [KONSEKWENCJE OGRANICZEŃ PANDEMICZNYCH DLA ŁAŃCUCHA DOSTAW PRZEDSIĘBIORSTW: PODEJŚCIE EKSPLORACYJNE]. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 26(2), 162–171. <https://doi.org/10.17512/pjms.2022.26.2.10>
61. Graves, S. C., Tomlin, B. T., & Willems, S. P. (2022). Supply chain challenges in the post-Covid Era. *Production and Operations Management*, 31(12), 4319–4332. <https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13854>
62. Gu, B., & Liu, J. (2023). A systematic review of resilience in the maritime transport. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2023.2165051>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.