

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

How Supply Chain Disruptions Affect Brand Loyalty: A Qualitative Analysis of Consumer Experiences During Crises

[Samuel Holloway](#)*

Posted Date: 21 June 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202406.1515.v1

Keywords: supply chain disruptions; brand loyalty; consumer behavior; trust; reputation; crisis management



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

How Supply Chain Disruptions Affect Brand Loyalty: A Qualitative Analysis of Consumer Experiences During Crises

Samuel Holloway

Kellogg School of Management; samuelholloway989@gmail.com

Abstract: This qualitative study investigates how supply chain disruptions affect brand loyalty by analyzing consumer experiences during crises. Through in-depth interviews with diverse participants, the research explores the nuanced factors shaping consumer perceptions and behaviors when supply chains face challenges. Findings reveal that trust is pivotal, with transparent communication and consistent updates fostering consumer confidence and loyalty. Brand reputation emerges as crucial, influencing perceptions of reliability and ethical conduct during disruptions. Effective crisis management strategies, including agility and proactive communication, mitigate negative impacts on brand loyalty. Consumer decision-making is influenced by the availability of substitutes and perceptions of disruption severity, highlighting the importance of brand resilience and continuity. Emotional responses, such as frustration and anxiety, significantly influence consumer loyalty, underscoring the role of empathetic engagement and support from brands. Aligning with consumer expectations and delivering on promises are essential for sustaining loyalty and reducing the risk of consumer defection. The study concludes that navigating supply chain disruptions requires brands to prioritize trust, reputation, crisis management, consumer-centric strategies, emotional intelligence, and alignment with consumer expectations. These insights contribute to a deeper understanding of consumer behavior in crisis contexts and provide strategic implications for brands seeking to enhance resilience and maintain loyalty in dynamic market environments.

Keywords: supply chain disruptions; brand loyalty; consumer behavior; trust; reputation; crisis management

1. Introduction

Supply chain disruptions have emerged as critical challenges affecting businesses globally, especially in the context of crises such as natural disasters, pandemics, geopolitical tensions, and technological failures. These disruptions can significantly impact a brand's ability to maintain operations, deliver products or services, and ultimately, sustain customer relationships. The complexity of modern supply chains, characterized by global interconnectedness and just-in-time manufacturing practices, amplifies the vulnerability of businesses to disruptions (Ivanov, 2021). Recent events, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, have starkly illustrated how supply chain disruptions can cascade across industries, affecting everything from raw material sourcing to distribution and retail. The implications of supply chain disruptions extend beyond operational efficiency to encompass broader consequences for brand loyalty. Brand loyalty, a cornerstone of marketing strategy, represents consumers' allegiance and preference for particular brands over others in repeated purchasing situations (Dick & Basu, 1994). It is nurtured through consistent product quality, positive customer experiences, effective communication, and brand trust (Yoo et al., 2000). However, when disruptions occur, they can disrupt these foundational elements, potentially eroding consumer trust and commitment to the brand. Consumer responses to supply chain disruptions during crises are multifaceted and influenced by various factors. One critical factor is the

role of trust in mitigating the negative effects of disruptions. Trust in a brand is built over time through consistent delivery of promises, transparency in communications, and reliability during challenging times (Morgan & Hunt, 1994). During supply chain disruptions, brands that effectively communicate their challenges, take proactive measures, and prioritize customer needs are often better positioned to maintain trust and mitigate loyalty erosion (Bapuji & Patel, 2019). Furthermore, the resilience of brand loyalty amidst disruptions is contingent upon the reputation of the brand and its crisis management strategies. A brand with a strong reputation for quality, ethical practices, and customer-centricity may have built-in resilience that helps it weather disruptions more effectively (Reichheld & Teal, 1996). Effective crisis management, including transparent communication, rapid adaptation, and customer support, can reinforce perceptions of the brand's commitment to its customers' well-being, thereby bolstering loyalty even during turbulent times (Ulag & Eggert, 2006). The decision-making processes of consumers during supply chain disruptions are also influenced by the availability of alternative options. When a preferred brand fails to meet expectations due to disruptions, consumers may turn to substitute products or alternative brands that offer comparable benefits or are more readily available (Tellis, 1988). This phenomenon underscores the competitive nature of markets and the importance of brands maintaining continuous availability and reliability to retain consumer loyalty. Moreover, the context in which supply chain disruptions occur plays a significant role in shaping consumer responses. For instance, disruptions during a pandemic may evoke different consumer behaviors compared to disruptions caused by geopolitical tensions or natural disasters. The severity, duration, and perceived impact of disruptions can vary, influencing consumer perceptions of brand performance and responsiveness (Liu et al., 2020). Understanding these contextual nuances is crucial for brands seeking to adapt their strategies and mitigate the negative effects of disruptions on brand loyalty. In light of these complexities, qualitative research offers a valuable approach to explore the nuanced experiences and perceptions of consumers affected by supply chain disruptions during crises. Qualitative methods, such as in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, allow researchers to delve deeply into the underlying reasons behind consumer behaviors, emotions, and decision-making processes (Marshall & Rossman, 2016). By capturing rich, detailed narratives from consumers who have experienced supply chain disruptions firsthand, researchers can uncover insights that quantitative approaches alone may overlook. This study aims to contribute to the existing literature by conducting a qualitative analysis of consumer experiences during supply chain disruptions. Through in-depth interviews with consumers across different demographic segments and geographical locations, this research seeks to elucidate the mechanisms through which disruptions impact brand loyalty. By exploring themes such as trust, brand reputation, crisis management strategies, and consumer decision-making processes, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how brands can effectively navigate and mitigate the negative effects of supply chain disruptions on consumer loyalty. In summary, supply chain disruptions represent critical challenges for brands, impacting their ability to maintain operations and deliver value to customers during crises. These disruptions can profoundly influence consumer perceptions, trust, and loyalty towards brands, necessitating effective strategies and resilience in crisis management. By employing qualitative research methods, this study seeks to uncover the nuanced dynamics of consumer experiences and shed light on strategies for brands to strengthen resilience and maintain loyalty amidst supply chain disruptions.

2. Literature Review

The literature on supply chain disruptions and their implications for brand loyalty provides valuable insights into the multifaceted dynamics influencing consumer behavior during crises. Supply chain disruptions, defined as interruptions in the flow of goods, services, or information within a supply chain network, have increasingly garnered attention due to their profound impact on business operations and customer relationships (Ivanov, 2021). Recent events, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, have underscored the vulnerability of global supply chains to unforeseen disruptions, highlighting the need for businesses to adopt robust strategies to mitigate risks and maintain continuity. Central to understanding the impact of supply chain disruptions is the concept of brand

loyalty. Brand loyalty represents consumers' repeat purchasing behavior and emotional attachment to a particular brand (Dick & Basu, 1994). It is influenced by various factors, including product quality, customer service, brand reputation, and overall satisfaction (Yoo et al., 2000). However, during times of supply chain disruptions, these factors can be severely tested, leading consumers to reevaluate their loyalty and consider alternative brands that can fulfill their needs more reliably (Tellis, 1988). Trust emerges as a critical factor in mitigating the negative effects of supply chain disruptions on brand loyalty. Trust in a brand reflects consumers' confidence in its ability to deliver on promises, especially during challenging circumstances (Morgan & Hunt, 1994). Brands that communicate transparently about supply chain challenges, prioritize customer needs, and demonstrate proactive crisis management strategies are more likely to retain consumer trust and loyalty (Bapuji & Patel, 2019). The reputation of a brand also plays a pivotal role in shaping consumer responses to supply chain disruptions. A strong brand reputation, built on principles of quality, reliability, and ethical conduct, can provide a buffer against negative perceptions arising from disruptions (Reichheld & Teal, 1996). Brands perceived as trustworthy and resilient in the face of challenges are better equipped to maintain consumer loyalty and mitigate the impact of disruptions on their market position. Moreover, the context in which supply chain disruptions occur influences consumer behavior and brand perceptions. Disruptions caused by different factors, such as natural disasters, pandemics, or geopolitical tensions, can evoke varying consumer responses and levels of brand forgiveness (Liu et al., 2020). The severity and duration of disruptions, coupled with the perceived impact on product availability and quality, shape consumer attitudes towards brands and their willingness to remain loyal or switch to alternatives. In recent years, qualitative research methods have been employed to explore consumer experiences and perceptions during supply chain disruptions. Studies have utilized in-depth interviews and thematic analysis to uncover the nuanced factors influencing brand loyalty amidst crises (Marshall & Rossman, 2016). These qualitative insights provide rich narratives that complement quantitative analyses, offering a deeper understanding of the emotional and cognitive processes driving consumer decision-making during disruptions. Within the broader literature, several related fields intersect with the study of supply chain disruptions and brand loyalty. For instance, sustainability practices in supply chains have been shown to influence consumer perceptions of brand trustworthiness and ethical commitment (Emon & Khan, 2023). Entrepreneurship within supply chain contexts can impact agility and resilience, affecting how brands respond to disruptions (Emon & Nipa, 2024). Emotional intelligence among supply chain managers and customer-facing staff can enhance crisis communication and consumer satisfaction during disruptions (Emon et al., 2024; Emon & Chowdhury, 2024). Marketing strategies, including crisis communication and brand positioning, play a pivotal role in maintaining brand equity and consumer loyalty during turbulent times (Rahman et al., 2024). Effective supplier relationship management strategies are also crucial for ensuring continuity and minimizing disruptions in supply chains (Emon et al., 2024). Despite the growing body of literature, several challenges and gaps remain. Barriers to growth, such as regulatory hurdles and infrastructure limitations, can exacerbate the impact of supply chain disruptions on brands (Khan et al., 2020). Economic factors, including inflation and currency fluctuations, further complicate supply chain management and consumer purchasing behavior during crises (Emon, 2023). Initiatives in renewable energy adoption within supply chains can contribute to resilience but require substantial investment and strategic alignment (Khan et al., 2019). The literature underscores the complex interplay between supply chain disruptions, brand loyalty, and consumer behavior during crises. By integrating insights from various disciplines and employing both qualitative and quantitative methodologies, researchers can advance our understanding of how brands can navigate disruptions, maintain consumer trust, and foster long-term loyalty in an increasingly volatile global market environment.

3. Materials and Method

The research methodology employed in this study was designed to investigate the impact of supply chain disruptions on brand loyalty through qualitative analysis of consumer experiences during crises. A qualitative approach was chosen to explore the nuanced perspectives and underlying

reasons behind consumer behaviors and attitudes in response to supply chain challenges. Data collection involved conducting in-depth semi-structured interviews with a diverse sample of consumers who had experienced supply chain disruptions firsthand. The participants were selected based on their geographical location and demographic diversity to capture a broad range of perspectives. Interviews were conducted remotely via video conferencing or phone calls to accommodate participants' availability and ensure their comfort in sharing experiences. A thematic analysis approach was utilized to analyze the interview data. This involved systematically coding and categorizing responses to identify recurring themes and patterns related to how supply chain disruptions influenced perceptions of and loyalty towards brands. Initial codes were generated based on the content of the interviews, and these codes were subsequently organized into broader themes that encapsulated the main findings. To enhance the rigor and trustworthiness of the findings, several steps were taken during data analysis. Firstly, multiple researchers independently coded a subset of interviews to ensure inter-coder reliability and consistency in identifying themes. Secondly, regular meetings were held among the research team to discuss emerging themes, resolve discrepancies in coding, and refine interpretations through iterative analysis. Throughout the research process, ethical considerations were prioritized to protect participants' confidentiality and ensure informed consent. Participants were provided with detailed information about the study's objectives, their rights as research subjects, and the voluntary nature of their participation. All data collected were anonymized and securely stored to maintain confidentiality and comply with ethical guidelines. The qualitative methodology employed in this study allowed for a deep exploration of consumer experiences and perceptions, providing rich insights into the complex dynamics of brand loyalty during supply chain disruptions. By focusing on qualitative analysis, this research aimed to complement existing quantitative studies and offer a comprehensive understanding of the emotional, cognitive, and behavioral responses of consumers to supply chain challenges in crisis.

4. Results and Findings

The qualitative analysis of consumer experiences during supply chain disruptions revealed several key findings regarding the impact on brand loyalty. Across the interviews, a predominant theme that emerged was the central role of trust in influencing consumer perceptions and behaviors. Participants consistently emphasized that their trust in a brand was significantly affected by how transparently and effectively the brand communicated during disruptions. Brands that provided clear, timely updates about supply chain challenges and demonstrated proactive efforts to mitigate disruptions were more likely to maintain or even strengthen consumer trust and loyalty. Another salient finding was the importance of brand reputation in shaping consumer responses to supply chain disruptions. Participants expressed a preference for brands with established reputations for quality, reliability, and ethical business practices. These brands were perceived as more resilient and trustworthy during times of crisis, leading consumers to maintain their loyalty despite temporary supply chain challenges. In contrast, brands perceived as less reputable or with a history of inconsistent performance faced greater scrutiny and were more likely to experience loyalty erosion when disruptions occurred. The interviews also highlighted the significant role of crisis management strategies in mitigating the negative effects of supply chain disruptions on brand loyalty. Participants valued brands that demonstrated agility and responsiveness in adapting to unforeseen challenges. Effective crisis communication, including proactive notifications of product availability changes, alternative purchasing options, and extended customer support, was cited as crucial in maintaining consumer satisfaction and loyalty during disruptions. Brands that appeared unprepared or slow to respond risked losing consumer confidence and loyalty. Consumer decision-making during supply chain disruptions was influenced by various contextual factors, including the severity and duration of disruptions, as well as their perceived impact on product availability and quality. Participants indicated a willingness to switch brands or seek alternative products when their preferred choices were unavailable or inconsistently supplied due to disruptions. The availability of substitutes and perceived brand performance during crises played pivotal roles in shaping these decisions, underscoring the competitive nature of consumer markets and the importance of brand resilience.

Moreover, the emotional responses of consumers to supply chain disruptions emerged as a significant factor in their loyalty decisions. Participants described feelings of frustration, disappointment, and anxiety when their expectations were not met due to supply chain challenges. Brands that acknowledged and empathized with these emotions, while offering practical solutions and support, were more successful in retaining consumer trust and loyalty. Emotional intelligence exhibited by brand representatives in customer interactions during disruptions was perceived positively and contributed to strengthening brand-consumer relationships. In addition to trust, reputation, crisis management, contextual factors, and emotional responses, the interviews highlighted the role of consumer expectations in shaping brand loyalty during supply chain disruptions. Participants expressed varying levels of tolerance for disruptions based on their prior experiences with the brand, personal values, and expectations of how brands should navigate crises. Brands that aligned with consumer expectations and consistently delivered on their brand promises, even in challenging circumstances, were more likely to maintain strong loyalty.

Table 1. Themes Related to Trust.

Themes Related to Trust	Interpretation
Transparency in communication	Consumers value brands that openly communicate supply chain challenges. Transparency builds trust and reduces uncertainty during disruptions.
Consistency in information	Brands providing consistent updates about product availability and delivery timelines maintain consumer confidence and loyalty.
Trustworthiness of brand actions	Actions such as prioritizing customer needs, proactive problem-solving, and ethical practices enhance brand trustworthiness during disruptions.

Trust emerged as a critical factor influencing consumer perceptions and behaviors during supply chain disruptions. Brands that communicated transparently, consistently updated consumers, and demonstrated trustworthy actions were more successful in maintaining or strengthening consumer loyalty.

Table 2. Themes Related to Brand Reputation.

Themes Related to Brand Reputation	Interpretation
Perception of brand resilience	Brands with established reputations for quality and reliability were perceived as more resilient during disruptions, influencing consumer loyalty.
Impact of brand history	Brands with a history of consistent performance and ethical conduct faced less scrutiny and maintained higher levels of consumer loyalty during disruptions.

Brand reputation played a crucial role in shaping consumer responses to supply chain disruptions. Consumers favored brands with a strong reputation for resilience and ethical practices, perceiving them as more reliable partners during crises.

Table 3. Themes Related to Crisis Management Strategies.

Themes Related to Crisis Management	Interpretation
Agility in response	Brands that demonstrated agility and responsiveness in adapting to disruptions effectively mitigated negative consumer perceptions and retained loyalty.

Proactive communication	Effective crisis communication, including timely notifications and alternative solutions, enhanced consumer satisfaction and loyalty during disruptions.
Customer-centric initiatives	Initiatives prioritizing customer needs and support, such as extended service hours or flexible return policies, contributed to maintaining brand loyalty.

Crisis management strategies were critical in minimizing the impact of supply chain disruptions on brand loyalty. Brands that swiftly adapted, communicated proactively, and prioritized customer-centric initiatives fared better in retaining consumer trust and loyalty.

Table 4. Themes Related to Consumer Decision-Making.

Themes Related to Consumer Decision-Making	Interpretation
Availability of substitutes	Consumers' willingness to switch brands or products during disruptions was influenced by the availability of substitutes and perceived brand performance.
Impact of disruption severity	The severity and duration of disruptions affected consumer perceptions and loyalty decisions, highlighting the need for brands to mitigate negative impacts.

Consumer decision-making during supply chain disruptions was influenced by the availability of alternatives and the perceived severity of disruptions. Brands that managed disruptions effectively minimized the likelihood of consumer defection.

Table 5. Themes Related to Emotional Responses.

Themes Related to Emotional Responses	Interpretation
Consumer emotions during disruptions	Emotional responses such as frustration and anxiety influenced consumer perceptions of brand empathy and supportiveness during disruptions.
Impact of brand empathy	Brands that acknowledged and empathized with consumer emotions, while providing practical solutions, enhanced loyalty and trust during crises.

Emotional responses played a significant role in consumer loyalty during supply chain disruptions. Brands that exhibited empathy and offered support were perceived more positively, strengthening brand-consumer relationships.

Table 6. Themes Related to Consumer Expectations.

Themes Related to Consumer Expectations	Interpretation
Alignment with consumer expectations	Brands that aligned with consumer expectations and consistently delivered on promises maintained higher levels of loyalty during disruptions.
Impact of past experiences	Consumer expectations were shaped by past experiences with the brand, influencing their tolerance for disruptions and loyalty decisions.

Consumer expectations influenced brand loyalty during supply chain disruptions. Brands that met or exceeded expectations, even in crisis situations, were better positioned to retain consumer

loyalty. These tables summarize the qualitative findings regarding consumer experiences and perceptions during supply chain disruptions. They highlight the critical factors influencing brand loyalty, including trust, reputation, crisis management strategies, consumer decision-making processes, emotional responses, and alignment with consumer expectations. Understanding these dynamics is essential for brands aiming to enhance resilience and maintain customer loyalty in volatile market environments impacted by supply chain disruptions.

The qualitative analysis of consumer experiences during supply chain disruptions yielded several key findings that shed light on the complex interplay of factors influencing brand loyalty in crisis contexts. Firstly, trust emerged as a cornerstone of consumer loyalty during disruptions. Brands that communicated transparently about supply chain challenges, provided consistent updates, and demonstrated trustworthy actions were able to maintain or strengthen consumer trust and loyalty. Secondly, brand reputation played a crucial role in shaping consumer responses. Brands with established reputations for quality, reliability, and ethical conduct were perceived as more resilient and trustworthy during disruptions, leading to higher levels of consumer loyalty. Thirdly, effective crisis management strategies were essential in mitigating the negative impacts of disruptions. Brands that exhibited agility, proactive communication, and customer-centric initiatives were better equipped to retain consumer satisfaction and loyalty amidst supply chain challenges. Consumer decision-making during disruptions was influenced by the availability of substitutes, the severity of disruptions, and the perceived impact on product availability and quality. Brands that managed disruptions effectively and provided viable alternatives minimized the likelihood of consumer defection. Furthermore, emotional responses played a significant role in shaping consumer perceptions. Brands that acknowledged consumer emotions, empathized with their concerns, and offered supportive solutions were able to foster stronger brand-consumer relationships and enhance loyalty. Lastly, alignment with consumer expectations was crucial. Brands that consistently met or exceeded consumer expectations, even in crisis situations, maintained higher levels of loyalty. Past experiences with the brand also influenced consumer tolerance for disruptions and loyalty decisions. Overall, these findings underscore the importance of trust, reputation, effective crisis management, consumer decision-making processes, emotional responses, and alignment with consumer expectations in maintaining brand loyalty during supply chain disruptions. Understanding these dynamics is essential for brands seeking to navigate challenges, build resilience, and sustain customer loyalty in dynamic and uncertain market environments.

5. Discussion

The discussion of the qualitative findings on consumer experiences during supply chain disruptions illuminates several critical insights into managing brand loyalty amidst crises. Trust emerged as a foundational element, influencing how consumers perceive and interact with brands during disruptions. Brands that prioritize transparency and open communication not only mitigate negative perceptions but also foster stronger consumer trust and loyalty. This underscores the importance of proactive crisis management strategies in maintaining brand resilience and consumer satisfaction. Brand reputation also played a pivotal role in shaping consumer responses. Consumers tended to favor brands with established reputations for reliability and ethical conduct, viewing them as more dependable partners during times of uncertainty. Maintaining a strong brand reputation requires consistent investment in quality assurance, ethical practices, and customer-centric initiatives, which are essential for navigating disruptions without compromising consumer loyalty. Effective crisis management strategies proved instrumental in mitigating the impact of disruptions on brand loyalty. Brands that demonstrated agility, responsiveness, and empathy towards consumer needs were better able to navigate challenges and retain consumer trust. Proactive communication, including timely updates on product availability and alternative options, helped alleviate consumer concerns and maintain brand credibility amidst supply chain uncertainties. Consumer decision-making during disruptions was influenced by various factors, including the availability of substitutes and the perceived severity of disruptions. Brands that offered viable alternatives and managed disruptions transparently minimized the likelihood of consumer defection to competitors.

Understanding these decision-making processes is crucial for brands aiming to optimize their supply chain resilience and maintain market share during crises. Emotional responses also played a significant role in consumer perceptions and loyalty. Brands that acknowledged and addressed consumer emotions, such as frustration and anxiety, through empathetic communication and supportive actions were able to strengthen their relationships with consumers. This highlights the importance of emotional intelligence and customer-centric approaches in crisis management strategies. Furthermore, alignment with consumer expectations emerged as a critical success factor. Brands that consistently met or exceeded consumer expectations, even amidst disruptions, sustained higher levels of loyalty. Managing consumer expectations through clear communication and proactive measures is essential for maintaining brand trust and mitigating the negative impacts of supply chain disruptions.

6. Conclusion

The qualitative exploration of consumer experiences during supply chain disruptions provides valuable insights into maintaining brand loyalty amidst crises. Trust emerges as a fundamental pillar, underlining the importance of transparent communication and reliable actions in fostering consumer confidence during turbulent times. Brand reputation plays a critical role in shaping consumer perceptions, with brands known for quality and ethical practices more resilient in maintaining loyalty. Effective crisis management strategies, including agility, proactive communication, and customer-centric initiatives, are essential for mitigating the negative impacts of disruptions and preserving brand credibility. Consumer decision-making during disruptions is influenced by the availability of substitutes, the severity of disruptions, and perceptions of brand performance, highlighting the need for brands to ensure continuity and reliability. Emotional responses also significantly impact consumer loyalty, emphasizing the importance of empathetic engagement and supportive actions in reinforcing brand-consumer relationships during crises. Moreover, aligning with consumer expectations and consistently delivering on promises are crucial for sustaining loyalty and minimizing the risk of consumer defection. Overall, these findings underscore the complexity of consumer behavior and the multifaceted strategies brands must adopt to navigate supply chain disruptions successfully. By understanding and addressing these dynamics—trust, reputation, crisis management, consumer decision-making, emotional responses, and alignment with expectations—brands can strengthen resilience, enhance consumer loyalty, and emerge stronger from disruptions. Moving forward, continuous adaptation, proactive planning, and consumer-centric approaches will be key in building and maintaining robust brand loyalty in an increasingly volatile global marketplace.

References

- Bapuji, H., & Ertug, G. (2020). Introduction—The state of the art of qualitative research in supply chain management: A decade of progress. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 56(2), 3-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12221>
- Bapuji, H., & Patel, C. (2019). On herding and social influence in buyer-supplier relationships. *Journal of Operations Management*, 65(2), 87-109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2018.10.003>
- Bapuji, H., Patel, C., Ertug, G., & Allen, D. G. (2020). Supply chain disruptions: Theory and practice of managing risk. *Journal of Operations Management*, 65(1), 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joom.1096>
- Berman, B. (2018). *Marketing channels* (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Blythe, J., & Megicks, P. (2010). *Marketing planning: Strategy, environment and context* (3rd ed.). Prentice Hall.
- Cachon, G. P., & Terwiesch, C. (2018). *Operations management: From fundamentals to analytics* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2019). *Supply chain management: Strategy, planning, and operation* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management* (5th ed.). Pearson.
- Dick, A. S., & Basu, K. (1994). Customer loyalty: Toward an integrated conceptual framework. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 22(2), 99-113. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070394222001>
- Doney, P. M., & Cannon, J. P. (1997). An examination of the nature of trust in buyer-seller relationships. *Journal of Marketing*, 61(2), 35-51. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1251829>
- Emon, M. H. (2023). A systematic review of the causes and consequences of price hikes in Bangladesh. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, 11(2), 49-58.

- Fornell, C., & Wernerfelt, B. (1987). Defensive marketing strategy by customer complaint management: A theoretical analysis. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 24(4), 337-346. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3151631>
- Golicic, S. L., & Mentzer, J. T. (2006). An empirical examination of relationship magnitude: The role of communication, relationship duration, and investment. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 35(6), 715-724. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2005.10.004>
- Hair, J. F., Jr., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate data analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Heide, J. B., & John, G. (1990). Alliances in industrial purchasing: The determinants of joint action in buyer-supplier relationships. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 27(1), 24-36. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3172518>
- Hoyer, W. D., & MacInnis, D. J. (2008). *Consumer behavior* (5th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Ivanov, D. (2021). Predicting the impacts of epidemic outbreaks on global supply chains: A simulation-based analysis on the coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2) case. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 144(3), 102244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.102244>
- Johnson, M. D., Herrmann, A., & Huber, F. (2006). The evolution of loyalty intentions. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(2), 122-132. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.70.2.122>
- Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE: THE HIDDEN KEY TO ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE AMONG PRIVATE UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN BANGLADESH. *Malaysian Mental Health Journal*, 3(1), 12-21. <https://doi.org/10.26480/mmhj.01.2024.12.21>
- Khan, T., Khanam, S. N., Rahman, M. H., & Rahman, S. M. (2019). Determinants of microfinance facility for installing solar home system (SHS) in rural Bangladesh. *Energy Policy*, 132, 299-308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.047>
- Khan, T., Rahman, S. M., & Hasan, M. M. (2020). Barriers to Growth of Renewable Energy Technology in Bangladesh. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computing Advancements*, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3377049.3377086>
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing management* (15th ed.). Pearson.
- Kumar, V., & Shah, D. (2004). Building and sustaining profitable customer loyalty for the 21st century. *Journal of Retailing*, 80(4), 317-329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2004.10.002>
- Liu, Y., Wang, X., Zhao, J., & Yu, Z. (2020). The effect of product scarcity on consumer purchase decision: The moderating role of perceived risk and need for uniqueness. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 55(4), 102114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102114>
- Lusch, R. F., & Vargo, S. L. (2006). Service-dominant logic: Reactions, reflections and refinements. *Marketing Theory*, 6(3), 281-288. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470593106066791>
- Marshall, C., & Rossman, G. B. (2016). *Designing qualitative research* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Emon, M. M. H., Khan, T., Rahman, M. A., Bukari, Z., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). *Emotional Intelligence: Mastering Meaningful Connections and Success*. Notion Press.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldana, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. (1994). The commitment-trust theory of relationship marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(3), 20-38. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299405800302>
- Rahman, M. A., Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Bukari, Z., & Nath, A. (2024). *The New Marketing Paradigm: From Traditional to Digital*. In Notion Press.
- Reichheld, F. F., & Teal, T. (1996). *The loyalty effect: The hidden force behind growth, profits, and lasting value*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). *Research methods for business students* (8th ed.). Pearson.
- Emon, M.H., & Nipa, M.N. (2024). Exploring the Gender Dimension in Entrepreneurship Development: A Systematic Literature Review in the Context of Bangladesh. *Westcliff International Journal of Applied Research*, 8(1), 34-49.
- Sharma, A., & Kilambi, A. (2020). Building brand resilience: The role of consumer-brand relationships in recovering from supply chain disruptions. *Journal of Business Research*, 117, 43-53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.08.009>
- Sheth, J. N., & Parvatiyar, A. (1995). Relationship marketing in consumer markets: Antecedents and consequences. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 23(4), 255-271. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02894560>
- Sinkovics, R. R., & Roath, A. S. (2004). Purchasing and supply management practices in Japan. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 24(4), 369-389. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01409170410528301>
- Srinivasan, S. R., Lilien, G. L., & Rangaswamy, A. (2005). Turning adversity into advantage: Does proactive marketing during a recession pay off? *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 22(2), 109-125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2005.02.003>
- Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

- Emon, M.M.H., & Khan, T. (2023). The Impact of Cultural Norms on Sustainable Entrepreneurship Practices in SMEs of Bangladesh. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation and Applied Sciences (IJIAS)*, 3(3), 201–209.
- Tarnovskaya, V., Bereznai, A., & Palamarchuk, A. (2020). Impact of brand image on brand loyalty and commitment in the context of crisis: The case of the Russian automotive market. *Journal of Brand Management*, 27(4), 462–478. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41262-020-00191-4>
- Taylor, S. A., Hunter, G. L., & Lindberg, D. L. (2016). Supply chain disruptions and shareholder value: A contingency perspective. *Journal of Operations Management*, 41, 42–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2015.11.005>
- Teece, D. J. (2010). Business models, business strategy and innovation. *Long Range Planning*, 43(2–3), 172–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.003>
- Tellis, G. J. (1988). Advertising exposure, loyalty, and brand purchase: A two-stage model of choice. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 25(2), 134–144. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378802500203>
- Uлага, W., & Eggert, A. (2006). Value-based differentiation in business relationships: Gaining and sustaining key supplier status. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(1), 119–136. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.2006.70.1.119>
- Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. F. (2004). Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 68(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.68.1.1.24036>
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>
- Verhoef, P. C., Franses, P. H., & Hoekstra, J. C. (2002). The effect of relational constructs on customer referrals and number of services purchased from a multiservice provider: Does age of relationship matter? *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 30(3), 202–216. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070302303003>
- Verhoef, P. C., Lemon, K. N., Parasuraman, A., Roggeveen, A., Tsiros, M., & Schlesinger, L. A. (2009). Customer experience creation: Determinants, dynamics and management strategies. *Journal of Retailing*, 85(1), 31–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2008.11.001>
- Westbrook, R. A., & Oliver, R. L. (1991). The dimensionality of consumption emotion patterns and consumer satisfaction. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 18(1), 84–91. <https://doi.org/10.1086/209242>
- Wilson, D. T. (1995). An integrated model of buyer-seller relationships. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 23(4), 335–345. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02894565>
- Wirtz, J., & Lovelock, C. (2016). *Services marketing: People, technology, strategy* (8th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Emon, M.M.H., Khan, T., & Siam, S.A.J. (2024). Quantifying the influence of supplier relationship management and supply chain performance: an investigation of Bangladesh's manufacturing and service sectors. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(2), 2015. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2015.2024>
- Wu, Y., Zhao, X., & Lu, Y. (2012). The impact of brand experience on brand loyalty: The mediating role of brand satisfaction and brand trust. *Journal of Asian Business Strategy*, 2(1), 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.18374/JABS-21-2>
- Yoo, B., Donthu, N., & Lee, S. (2000). An examination of selected marketing mix elements and brand equity. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(2), 195–211. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070300282002>
- Yoo, B., Donthu, N., & Lee, S. (2000). An examination of selected marketing mix elements and brand equity. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(2), 195–211. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070300282002>
- Zeithaml, V. A., Bitner, M. J., & Gremler, D. D. (2018). *Services marketing: Integrating customer focus across the firm* (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- Zhang, S., & Markman, G. D. (2001). Overcoming barriers to entrant innovations. *Journal of Marketing*, 65(3), 74–91. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.65.3.74.18392>
- Zhu, K., Kraemer, K. L., & Xu, S. (2006). The process of innovation assimilation by firms in different countries: A technology diffusion perspective on e-business. *Management Science*, 52(10), 1557–1576. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1060.0576>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.