

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Optical Study of Structural/Electronic Property Change in Thin Polyethylene Terephthalate Film by Stretching

[Gianfranco Carotenuto](#)*

Posted Date: 16 April 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202604.1171.v1

Keywords: Mylar; polyethylene terephthalate; ultrathin film; interferometry; optical bandgap; Tauc plot; Urbach energy



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Optical Study of Structural/Electronic Property Change in Thin Polyethylene Terephthalate Film by Stretching

Gianfranco Carotenuto

Institute for Polymers, Composites and Biomaterials (IPCB-CNR), National Research Council; Piazzale E. Fermi, 1 - 80055 Portici (NA), Italy; gianfranco.carotenuto@cnr.it

Abstract

Optical spectroscopy provides several useful information about polymeric thin films by combining interferometric and optical absorption data contained in the UV-Vis-NIR spectra. In particular, the UV-Vis-NIR spectrum of a thin polymeric film contains information about the film thickness, structural disorder, bandgap energy, type of electron transition model (direct/indirect, allowed/forbidden), cut-on wavelength (i.e., the opaque/transparent switching wavelength), etc. Here, these properties have been determined for a model semi-crystalline polymer (polyethylene terephthalate, PET) in form of ultrathin film before and after a mild mechanical deformation treatment (manual stretching). It has been found that E_U and E_g parameters are not strictly depending on mechanical deformation due to their main dependence on chemical composition/constitution of the polymer.

Keywords: Mylar; polyethylene terephthalate; ultrathin film; interferometry; optical bandgap; Tauc plot; Urbach energy

1. Introduction

Absorption optical spectroscopy (UV-Vis-NIR spectroscopy) provides important physical information about dielectric polymers at solid state [1,2] and can allow their identification. The electronic structure of amorphous or semi-crystalline dielectric polymers is approximately described by a model of band-structure characterized by a high content of interstitial levels (i.e., localized tail states usually named trap states) [3–5]. Bandgap energy (E_g) is the fundamental feature of band-structures (it is equivalent to Lewis shell excitation energies in atoms) and it can be determined with high accuracy by using absorption optical spectroscopy data [6]. Yet, Urbach energy, E_U , which measures electronic disorder in solids with a band-structure can also be measured by the same optical spectroscopy approach. Determining polymer behaviors can be understood on the basis of these two physical quantities deriving from optical spectra. For example, E_g is correlated to relevant polymer characteristics like photostability, thermal stability, dielectric strength, electrical polarizability [7] and various optical parameters like spectral transparency (cutoff wavelength, λ_g), etc. On the other hand, E_U measures structural disorder in polymers (e.g., crystallinity degree, level of chain entanglement in the amorphous phase, impurity content, porosity, surface roughness, etc.). Both parameters are obtained by analyzing the behavior of the polymer absorption coefficient with photon energy in the fundamental absorption edge spectral region. This absorption coefficient is a determining parameter for optical material applications (e.g., optical limiters) and has a key role in the optical analysis of polymers and other optical-grade solid media (e.g., silicon glass, semiconductor oxides), since it measures how strongly a material absorbs photons of a specific energy. According to the Lambert exponential law for homogeneous optical solids (i.e., $I(d) = I_0 \cdot \exp(-\alpha \cdot d) = I_{inc} \cdot (1-R)^2 \cdot \exp(-\alpha \cdot d)$, where I_{inc} is the incident light intensity, R the reflectance (Fresnel loss), $I(d)$ is the light intensity for a d -thick film, and α is the absorption coefficient [6,8]), the absorption coefficient quantifies the fraction of light

intensity lost per distance unit, as radiation propagates through the material. Since the beam positioning inside an UV-Vis spectrophotometer always is perpendicular to the sample (thin film) surface, the reflectance R is given by the Fresnel equation $R=(n_1-n_2/n_1+n_2)^2$, that provides for example for an air-PET interface a Fresnel loss value of 0.04 (with $n_{air}=1$ and $n_{PET}=1.575$). Therefore, the quantity, $1-R$, is close to one ($1-R=0.95$) and the Lambert law can be simplified. In particular, introducing a physical parameter named total absorbance, A_{tot} , defined as $A_{tot}=\text{Log}(I_{inc}/I)$, the absorption coefficient at a specific wavelength is given by the following logarithmic relationship: $\alpha(\lambda)=(\ln 10) \cdot [A_{tot}/d]$. Both A_{tot} and d can be obtained from optical data. Indeed, total absorbance data are directly provided in the UV-Vis spectra or calculated from the transmittance values (i.e., $A=\log(T\%/100)$, where $T\%$ is the transmittance percentage) also provided by the spectrophotometer and interferometric analysis of spectral oscillations contained in the transparency region of the spectrum allows very accurate film thickness (d) calculation (in the case the polymer refractive index is known). These optical data are combined together and photon wavelength is converted to energy by the modified Planck equation (i.e., $E(\text{eV})=1,240/\lambda(\text{nm})$, where λ is the wavelength of the radiation) to achieve the $\alpha(E)$ graph. In the E_U and E_g determination, the behavior of $\alpha(E)$ is analyzed by using some special types of graphs named Urbach and Tauc plots.

Here, as an example of this general analysis method, a model system of ultrathin polymeric film consisting in optical-grade Mylar (polyethylene terephthalate, PET) has been investigated. Bi-axially oriented ultrathin PET films allows to fabricate highly transparent and mechanically robust plastic devices with very low X-ray fluorescence, that are widely used as windows in disposable sample cups for X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF), substrates for electrodes/sensors in wearable electronics, insulator tapes, packaging material for optoelectronic devices, etc. This type of polymer has quite high refractive index (1.575) and Mylar films for XRD applications have quite flat/smooth surfaces, which increases the visibility of spectral oscillations. The stretching of these PET films generates thinner layers of non-uniform thickness. The optical characterization of these thin films by UV-Vis-NIR absorbance spectroscopy before and after the stretching treatment allows obtaining useful information on the possible film changes in geometric, electronic and structural properties as a consequence of this stretching operation.

2. Materials and Methods

XRF grade Mylar films (PANalytical B.V., X-Ray film Polyesterpetp, 943050007191) have been investigated as a model of ultrathin polymer film. This type of films are made of biaxially oriented polyethylene terephthalate (PET) and are used as windows in disposable sample cups for X-Ray Fluorescence Spectroscopy (XRF), since they allow maximum transmission of low energy X-rays, high mechanical strength, low X-ray fluorescence, etc. The optical spectra were acquired at room temperature using a double beam UV/Visible spectrophotometer (VWR, UV-6300PC Spectrophotometer, VWR International Europe bvba, Leuven, Belgium). Spectra were recorded in the 190-1100 nm wavelength range, using a low scan speed and a scan step (resolution) of 0.5 nm (2mm slit). The optical spectra were processed by a devoted analysis software (UV-Vis Analyst, Version 5.44), which allowed automatic reading of peaks and valley wavelengths of interferometric fringes (spectral oscillations) present in the spectrum (high transparency region) and the corresponding full width at half-maximum (FLHM) values. The software also allowed smoothing operations for cleaning the spectrum from these interference fringes and measure the average absorbance value in such part of the optical spectrum. In particular, the PET film was optically characterized without blanking and reflectance (Fresnel loss) was assumed as negligible because of the normal incidence of the spectrophotometer beam on the film surface. In particular, in order to have a perfectly perpendicular incidence of the spectrophotometer light beam, the films were accurately fixed to the instrument cuvette holder in a planar manner by using adhesive tape.

The ultrathin PET film surface and cross-section have been morphologically characterized by using a scanning electron microscope (FEI Quanta 200 FEG). According to the SEM characterization of ultrathin Mylar films, they showed uniform thickness and the presence of surface defects probably

caused by post processing treatments like rolling and cutting (see Figure 1a,b). The semi-crystalline nature of ultrathin PET film has been established by X-ray diffraction (X'Expert PRO, PANalytical, Oxford, UK) and differential scanning calorimetry (Discovery DSC 2500, Waters TA Instrument).




Figure 1. SEM micrographs of ultrathin PET film showing the cross-section (a) and the surface (b).

3. Results

Owing to the slight reflectance of ultrathin Mylar windows, the interference phenomenon can be clearly detected in the high transparent region of the UV-Vis-NIR spectrum. This physical phenomenon can be used for accurately measuring the film thickness (d is of the same order as the light wavelength). In particular, the following equation, derived from the interference maxima condition (i.e., $2n \cdot d = m \cdot \lambda_m$) [9] in the case of a normally incident light beam, can be adopted [10]:

$$d = \Delta m / 2n(1/\lambda_1 - 1/\lambda_2) \quad (1)$$

where d is the film thickness (in nm), Δm is the number of fringes (oscillations maxima) contained in the measurement interval, n is the average polymer refractive index, and λ_1 , λ_2 are the wavelength limits of the measurement interval. According to the spectral oscillations in the ultrathin PET film optical spectrum shown in Figure 2, the film thickness obtained by using the above equation is $3.665 \mu\text{m}$ (an average refractive index value of 1.575 has been used for calculation [11]).

Since the PET films have a regular shape (i.e., thin circular dishes), this optically measured thickness value has been confirmed by a simple geometrical calculation based on density [12]. In particular, the following expression has been used: $d = m / (\pi \cdot R^2 \cdot \rho)$, where m is the film weight, R the dish radius and ρ the PET density. A value of $3.59 \mu\text{m}$ has been found by using a PET density value of 1.3 g/cm^3 [13]. This thickness value perfectly agrees with value obtained by the interferometric measurement.




Figure 2. – Optical spectrum of PET thin film expressed as absorbance (a) and in comparison with transmittance (b).

The interferometrically measured film thickness has been used for calculating the absorption coefficient values. It must be pointed out that the absorbance data in the fundamental absorption edge (required for both Urbach and Tauc analysis) are readily accessible for the absorption coefficient calculation because spectral oscillations are present only in the high transparency region of the UV-Vis spectrum. In addition, the UV-Vis Analyst software allows also the application of spectral smoothing and such operation can be conveniently used to reduce fringes in the spectrum and obtain the average absorbance in this spectral region [14]. A graph of $\ln(\alpha)$ vs. the photon energy is named Urbach plot (see Figure 3a) and this special graph can be used to know the amount of structural disorder in this thin PET film [15]. Structural disorder is proportional to the Urbach energy, E_U , which is given by the inverse of the slope of the linear part of curve in the Urbach plot. In particular, this quantity can be obtained by linear regression analysis of this straight part of the graph (see Figure 3b). In general, the structural disorder obtained by the Urbach plot depends on all types of defects contained in this thin PET film like for example air bubbles (porosity), surface roughness, chemical impurities (molecular additives like plasticizers) dissolved in the polymer, percentage of the amorphous phase, etc. However, in the case of perfectly processed films, most contributions can be neglected and the measured structural disorder corresponds exactly to the content of amorphous phase and to the degree of chain entanglement characterizing this amorphous phase. In the case of the thin PET film such calculation led to a value of 45.0 meV, which is a quite low value, probably due to the semi-crystalline nature of this polymer.




Figure 3. – PET thin film Urbach plot (a) and best fitting of the linear section of the curve (b).

The small value of E_U that has been found by applying the Urbach approach to the spectral data of PET films can be well justified on the basis of the semi-crystalline nature of this thermoplastic polymer. Indeed, according to the XRD diffractogram shown in Figure 4a, the polymer contains an amorphous fraction, which causes the presence of a broad diffuse halo in the diffractogram, and a crystalline part, which causes the presence of three main peaks overlapped to the diffuse halo. These peaks are located at 2θ values of 16.5° , 22.4° , and 25.8° ; this diffraction peak positioning is in good accordance with literature information [16]. The FWHM of diffraction peaks is inversely proportional to the crystallite size (Scherrer equation); consequently, the observed broad diffraction peaks suggest the presence of very small sized crystallites in the polymer, which justifies to observed high optical transparency in the visible spectral region.

The semi-crystalline nature of the ultrathin PET films has been further confirmed by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC). According to the DSC-thermogram shown in Figure 4b, the polymer presents an endothermic signal due to the melting of the crystalline phase and such melting signal appears above the glass transition temperature, which is located at ca. 80.91°C . The PET crystallites melting signal has an onset temperature at ca. 240°C and minimum at 255.59°C . The DSC characterization of the polymer allows to measure the melting enthalpy, which resulted of ca. 40.30J/g . The calorimetric crystallinity degree, X_c , of the polymeric sample can be determined by comparing the specimen melting enthalpy with the theoretical melting enthalpy of an hypothetical fully crystalline PET sample (ca. 140J/g [17]) and such ratio resulted of ca. 28.8%. This crystallinity value agrees with the value characteristic of bi-axially oriented PET films [18]. Therefore, the small

E_U value experimentally found for the PET sample by the Urbach approach seems to be completely justified by its semi-crystalline nature.




Figure 4. – XRD diffractogram (a) and DSC thermogram (b) of the ultrathin PET film.

The same type of analysis (Urbach plot) has been applied to a slightly mechanically deformed (manually stretched) ultrathin PET film (see Figure 5a,b). Although, mechanical deformation had the effect to modify the film thickness, the resulting Urbach energy value remained practically unchanged (see Figure 3b). Therefore, structural disorder did not increase appreciably in this system as a result of the applied stress.




Figure 5. – Urbach plot (a) and Urbach energy evaluation by linear regression analysis (b) for uniaxially stretched ultrathin PET film.

Ultraviolet radiation interacts with polymers causing photoexcitation (i.e., optical absorption due to electronic transitions). For some polymers like Kapton-H and polyetherimide, even visible photons may cause photoexcitations. The cut-off wavelength has been observed for these thin PET films at ca. 300nm. Consequently, photons with a wavelength lower than 300nm are completely absorbed by the film, while transparency is close to 87.4% at wavelengths higher than 300nm. The type of photoexcitation model and bandgap energy value (E_g) can be accurately established optically by using the Tauc plot method. E_g is an important parameter for polymers since it is related to other physical properties like for example the permittivity (E_g is inversely proportional to material permittivity, which is the capability of electric field instauration in a material and corresponds to the material polarizability [7]). In addition, accurate E_g determination allows dielectric polymer identifying (however, identification is not possible with conjugated polymers like polyacetylene because of the E_g dependence on the conjugation extension). The bandgap energy can be easily and accurately calculated by using the Tauc plot (see Figure 6a,b), which is a special graphical representation obtained by graphing the quantity $(\alpha \cdot E)^n$ as a function of the photon energy, E . The n value must be select to allow the linearization of the $(\alpha \cdot E)^n$ function and n is 0.5 for an indirect electron transition model and 2 for a direct electron transition model (both models are for allowed electron

transitions). Depending on the most convenient value found for n (the model with best correlation factor), the type of electron transition model can be established. According to the following equation:

$$(\alpha \cdot E)^n = B \cdot (E - E_g) \quad (2)$$

the value of the intercept with the energy axis corresponds to E_g (indeed, for $(\alpha \cdot E)^n = 0$, it results $E = E_g$). The intercept value is obtained from the equation of the linear portion of curve by using the following expression: $-\text{intercept}/\text{slope}$. In our case a value of E_g of 3.96 eV has been found for an indirect allowed electron transition model ($n=0.5$), which perfectly agrees with information provided in the literature [19,20].




Figure 6. – PET thin film Tauc plot (a) and best fitting of the linear section of the curve (b).

Manual stretching allowed simulating mild uniaxial mechanical stresses that polymeric films typically suffer in service or during industrial uses. This investigation has shown that E_g is a polymer physical characteristic, which remains practically unchanged after mild mechanical stresses undergone by this material (see Figure 7a,b). Indeed, E_g depends mainly on atom types (composition) and the way atoms are chemically bonded together (constitution) in the repeating unit.




Figure 7. – Tauc plot (a) and bandgap energy estimation by linear regression analysis (b) for a stretched ultrathin PET film.

According to the achieved experimental results, dielectric polymers at solid-state have a band structure principally depending on the polymer chemical composition/constitution and only marginally on crystallinity, molecular weight and texture (i.e., fibrous/spherulitic morphology). Such behavior allows using the characteristic bandgap energy value to establish polymer nature. This approach can be conveniently adopted in fields like microplastics since these types of waste belong to only few, very different plastic classes that can be easily and with safety distinguished on the basis of the E_g parameter (identifying microplastics is an important aspect in this field, since it allows adequate selection of suitable recycling/elimination processes). Usually UV-Vis spectrophotometers have a very small beam spot and therefore sampling requires only little polymer amounts.

4. Conclusions

The optical absorption coefficient of dielectric polymers quantifies how much light these synthesis materials absorb per unit distance. This important optical parameter allows analyzing band

structure and structural disorder in dielectric polymers and provides many other important physical insights on these materials (e.g., cutoff wavelength, transparency %, spectral opacity range, etc.). In particular, the behavior of absorption coefficient with photon energy, $E=h\nu$, allows measuring the optical bandgap energy, E_g , and the Urbach energy, E_U , by using the Tauc method and Urbach plot, respectively. The behavior of the $\alpha(E)$ function can be obtained by combining interferometric measurements based on the analysis of spectral oscillations present in the high transparency region of the UV-Vis-NIR spectra with absorbance data contained in the fundamental absorption edge in the same spectra. This approach is quite general since spectral oscillations are of low intensity but can be always detected in the UV-Vis spectra of ultrathin films. Owing to the convenient refractive index value and high surface flatness/smoothness, ultrathin Mylar (PET) films have been selected as model polymeric material for this type of analysis and the changes in the optical bandgap and Urbach energy with stretching operation have been investigated showing a scarce dependence of these parameters on mechanical damage of films.

References

1. H.A. Mohammed, P.A. Mohammed, S.B. Aziz, "Investigation of optical band gap in PEO-based polymer composites doped with green-synthesized metal complexes using various models", *RSC Adv.* **15**(2025)23319-23341.
2. M.D. Migahed, H.M. Zidan, "Influence of UV-irradiation on the structure and optical properties of polycarbonate films", *Current Applied Physics* **6**(2006)91-96.
3. L. Chen, R. Batra, R. Ranganathan, G. Sotzing, Y. Cao, R. Ramprasad, "Electronic structure of polymer dielectrics: the role of chemical and morphological complexity", *Chem. Mater.* **30**(2018)7699-7706.
4. L. Chen, T.D. Huan, R. Ramprasad, "Electronic structure of polyethylene: role of chemical, morphological and interfacial complexity", *Sci. Rep.* **7**(2017)6128.
5. R. Hoffmann, "How chemistry and physics meet in the solid states", *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **26**(1987)846-878.
6. A.R. Zanatta, "Revisiting the optical bandgap of semiconductors and the proposal of a unified methodology to its determination", *Sci. Rep.* **9**(2019)11225.
7. A. Lamichhane, "Absorption in narrow and wide gap materials". *Heliyon* **9**(2023)e21507.
8. O. Wada, D. Ramachari, C.-S. Yang, C.-L. Pan, "Interrelationship among dielectric constant, energy band parameters and ionicity in multi-component oxide glasses revealed by optical- and THz-band spectroscopy", *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids* **573**(2021)121135.
9. A. Dahshan, K.A. Aly, "Determination of the thickness and optical constants of amorphous Ge-Se-Bi thin films", *Philosophical Magazine* **89**(12)(2009)1005-1016.
10. R. Swanepoel, "Determination of the thickness and optical constant of amorphous silicon", *J. Phys. E: Sci. Instrum.*, **16**(1983)1214-1222.
11. X. Zhang, J. Qiu, X. Li, J. Zhao, L. Liu, "Complex refractive indices measurements of polymers in visible and near-infrared bands", *Applied Optics* **59**(8)(2020)2337-2344.
12. P.D.T. Hulbers, D.O. Shah, "Multispectral determination of soap film thickness", *Langmuir* **13**(1997)5995-5998.
13. A.B. Thompson, D.W. Woods, "Density of amorphous polyethylene terephthalate", *Nature* **176**(1955)78-79.
14. U. Schade, E. Ritter, A.D.O. Bawagan, J. Beckmann, E.F. Aziz, "Far-infrared absorption measurements on thin polymer films", 2014 39th International Conference on Infrared Millimeter, and Terahertz waves (IRMMW-THz). IEEE, 2014.
15. M. Okutan, "The effect of grinding on optical band gap and Urbach energy of polypyrrole/graphene composites", *Eskisehir Technical University Journal of Science and Technology A-Applied Sciences and Engineering* **24**(4)(2023)309-323.
16. J.E. Johnson, "X-ray diffraction studies of the crystallinity in polyethylene terephthalate", *J. Appl. Sci.* **2**(5)(1959)205-209.

17. L. Viora, M. Combeau, M.F. Pucci, D. Perrin, P.-J. Liotier, J.-L. Bouvard, C. Combeaud, "A comparative study on crystallization for virgin and recycled polyethylene terephthalate (PET), multiscale effects on physico-mechanical properties", *Polymers* **15**(2023)4613.
18. S. Bandla, M. Allahkarami, J.C. Hanan, "Out-of-plane orientation and crystallinity of biaxially stretched polyethylene terephthalate", *Powder Diffraction* **29**(2)(2014)123-126.
19. M. Musiatowicz, M. Turek, A. Drozdziel, K. Pyszniak, W. Grudzinski, "Modification of optical, electronic and microstructural properties of PET by 150 keV Cs⁺ irradiation", *Advances in Science and Technology Research Journal* **16**(5)(2022)11-19.
20. A. Sadoh, S. Hossain, S. Ferreira, N.M. Ravindra, "Optical properties of low-refractive index polymers", *Material. Sci. & Eng.* **6**(2)(2022)68-76.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.