

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

SIRT1/3/6 Landscape of Human Longevity: A Sex- and Health-Stratified Pilot Study

[Ulduz Hashimova](#)*, [Igor Kvetnoy](#), [Aliya Gaisina](#), [Khatira Safikhanova](#), [Ekaterina Mironova](#), [Irina Galandarli](#), [Lala Hasanli](#)

Posted Date: 21 July 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints2025071635.v1

Keywords: sirtuins; protein-to-mRNA ratio; healthy ageing; gender; cardiovascular status



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

SIRT1/3/6 Landscape of Human Longevity: A Sex- and Health-Stratified Pilot Study

Ulduz Hashimova ^{1,*}, Igor Kvetnoy ^{2,3}, Aliya Gaisina ¹, Khatira Safikhanova ¹, Ekaterina Mironova ^{2,3}, Irana Galandarli ¹ and Lala Hasanli ⁴

¹ Institute of Physiology named after academician Abdulla Garaev, The Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Azerbaijan; azinphys@physiology.science.az

² Saint-Petersburg Institute of Phthisiopulmonology; igor.kvetnoy@yandex.ru

³ Medical Institute of Saint-Petersburg State University; katrine1994@mail.ru

⁴ Nakhchivan State University; lalealimli@gmail.com

* Correspondence: ulduz.hashimova@science.az, Tel.: +994-12-432-15-20

Simple Summary

Rapid demographic ageing is transforming economies and health systems, compelling WHO's Healthy Ageing framework to prioritize the preservation of older adults' functional autonomy and social engagement. Achieving this goal hinges on a deep understanding of the biological mechanisms of ageing—which reflect complex interactions between genetic predisposition, environment and lifestyle—since genetic factors play a modest role in reaching age 70 but become increasingly influential in extreme longevity. Identifying reliable molecular biomarkers is therefore critical for predicting health outcomes, guiding preventive strategies and developing targeted therapies. In this pilot study, we assayed the activity of sirtuin enzymes—master regulators of key aging processes: energy metabolism, genome stability and inflammatory homeostasis—to establish simple, predictive biomarkers and actionable intervention targets for sustaining functional autonomy across the lifespan.

Abstract

Sirtuins (SIRT1–SIRT7) are NAD⁺-dependent deacylases that link cellular energy status to chromatin maintenance, mitochondrial function and inflammatory signaling. While modulation of SIRT1, SIRT3 and SIRT6 extends lifespan in model organisms, evidence in extreme-age humans is scarce. We quantified protein and mRNA levels, and protein-to-mRNA ratios, of SIRT1, SIRT3 and SIRT6 in buccal epithelial cells from healthy young adults, middle-aged individuals and nonagenarians/centenarians in a longevity-enriched region of south-eastern Azerbaijan, stratifying participants by sex and cardiovascular disease (CVD) status. This design allows us to: (1) define a baseline “sirtuin profile” of healthy longevity, (2) evaluate the impact of CVD as a prevalent age-related pathology, and (3) explore potential sex-specific modulation. These findings establish an initial human framework linking sirtuin translational control to healthy ageing and cardiovascular health.

Keywords: sirtuins; protein-to-mRNA ratio; healthy ageing; gender; cardiovascular status

1. Introduction

Population ageing is one of the most significant social phenomena of the 21st century, fundamentally reshaping all determinants of the post-industrial societies. According to current projections, the global population of older adults will double by 2050, reaching 1.5 billion, with the proportion of individuals aged 65 and over rising from 6% in 1990 to 16% by mid-century [1].

As natural generational transition is underway, it is giving rise to a new cohort of longevity “champions,” shaped not by pre-industrial rural lifestyles, but post-war reconstruction, strong social cohesion, and a lifelong pursuit of education and self-betterment. Unlike predecessors, these individuals—predominantly born in the late 1920s and 1930s, as well as the following baby boomer generation born in the 1950s—are not merely passive survivors of historical challenges, but active participants in a transformative era, redefining aging as an active, purposeful phase of life [2–4].

Against this evolving demographic and cultural background, scientific interest increasingly focuses on the molecular and physiological mechanisms for not only extended lifespan, but healthy aging [3–6].

Azerbaijan has traditionally been regarded as one of the world’s longevity “hotspots”—particularly in its rural and mountainous regions, where ancestral lifeways, strong family bonds, and favorable environmental conditions have long prevailed [7]. Notably, despite the generational shifts from traditional to more industrial and urbanized lifestyles, the proportion of residents aged ≥ 90 years in Azerbaijan increased by 21%, indicating that genetic, socio-cultural and environmental factors continue to sustain exceptional longevity [8,9]. In this context, identifying molecular markers and regulatory pathways that reflect and potentially mediate these population-level aging dynamics has become a key scientific priority [10–12]. Among various candidate systems, sirtuin protein family—NAD⁺-dependent deacetylases involved in metabolic regulation, stress response, and genome stability—has attracted particular interest as actionable biomarkers for life- and healthspan (i.e., functional resilience) [13–17].

Although sirtuins have been widely implicated in animal models of lifespan extension, their role in human ‘blue zones’ remains poorly characterized, and the interplay between mRNA and protein levels—with respect to sex and cardiovascular status, two critical determinants of resilience—has yet to be explored.

This pilot study seeks to profile SIRT1, SIRT3, and SIRT6 expression in an Azerbaijani longevity cohort, examining sex and cardiovascular-health differences and evaluating mRNA–protein ratios as indicators of post-transcriptional regulation linked to functional resilience.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population

This cross-sectional study enrolled 23 verified very old adults (VO) and their first-degree relatives residing in the Lankaran region of Azerbaijan. Participants were assigned to three age cohorts:

- Very old adults (VO; ≥ 90 years; $n = 10$; mean \pm SD: 95.7 ± 3.7 years; 5 males, 5 females)
- Middle-aged family members (MA; 51–84 years; $n = 10$; mean \pm SD: 62.7 ± 9.8 years; 5 males, 5 females)
- Young-adult family members (YA; 18–29 years mean \pm SD: 24.0 ± 5.1 years; 1 male, 2 females)

All non-centenarian participants (MA and YA) were first-degree relatives of the centenarians. Within each age cohort, participants were classified both by sex (male vs. female) and by cardiovascular health status, defined as:

- Healthy (CVD -): no history of cardiovascular disease.
- CVD (CVD+): documented ischemic heart disease and/or stage I–II arterial hypertension.
- All participants provided written informed consent. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Physiology named after Academician Abdulla Garaev.

2.2. Sample Collection

Buccal epithelial cells were obtained by gently brushing the inner cheek mucosa with a sterile cytology brush. The collected cells were immediately rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove debris and then fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes at room temperature. Prior to

enrollment, all participants underwent a dental examination to confirm the absence of any dental or buccal pathology.

2.3. Immunofluorescent Staining

Fixed buccal-epithelial cells were permeabilized in 0.1 % Triton X-100 for 10 min and blocked in 5 % bovine serum albumin for 30 min. Samples were incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies against SIRT1 (1:100; Cambridge, MA, USA), SIRT3 (1:50; Cambridge, MA, USA), and SIRT6 (1:100; Cambridge, MA, USA). After three PBS washes, slides were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with Alexa Fluor–conjugated secondary antibodies (Alexa 488, Alexa 555, or Alexa 567; 1:1000; Cambridge, MA, USA) and then counterstained with Hoechst 33258 (1 µg/mL; St. Louis, MO, USA). Images were acquired on an inverted Olympus Fluoview FV300/IX70 confocal microscope (63× oil-immersion objective) under identical laser power, gain, and offset settings.

2.4. Image Quantification

Mean fluorescence intensity was measured in five randomly selected fields per sample; each field was analyzed in triplicate and the three measurements averaged to yield a single intensity value per sample.

2.5. Morphometric Analysis

Ten random fields per sample were captured and processed with Videotest-Morphology 5.2. Immunopositive area (%) was calculated as:

Percentage of immunopositive staining = (Area of immunopositive staining ÷ Total cellular area) × 100%

2.6. RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNA was subsequently isolated from buccal epithelial cell pellets using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) with on-column DNase digestion. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of RNA using the RevertAid First Strand Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Quantitative PCR was performed in triplicate on a QuantStudio 5 system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using TaqMan™ Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems) for SIRT1, SIRT3, SIRT6, and GAPDH, and in parallel on a CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) with the QuantiFast SYBR Green PCR Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) employing primers spanning exon–exon junctions. Relative gene expression was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method, using GAPDH as the endogenous control.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics v29.0.2.0. Given the pilot nature of the study (n = 23; 5–10 participants per subgroup), nonparametric methods were applied throughout with a two-sided significance threshold of $\alpha = 0.05$. Descriptive statistics for protein levels, mRNA expression, and protein-to-RNA ratios (PTRs) across the three age cohorts are presented as medians and interquartile ranges (Q1–Q3). Relative changes (%) calculated as $\Delta\% = (\text{value}_2 - \text{value}_1) / \text{value}_1 \times 100$. Pairwise comparisons between two groups were performed using exact Mann–Whitney U tests, with effect sizes calculated as $r = |Z|/\sqrt{(N_1 + N_2)}$; $r \geq 0.50$ denotes a large effect. Overall differences among three or more groups were evaluated by Kruskal–Wallis tests, followed by Dunn’s post hoc procedure for multiple comparisons. Relationships between continuous variables were assessed via Spearman’s rank-order correlation (ρ), with 95 % confidence intervals derived from 5 000 bootstrap samples; partial correlations controlling for age, cardiovascular disease status, or sex were also computed.

3. Results

3.1. Age-Dependent Dynamics of Expression and Translational Efficiency of SIRT1, SIRT3, and SIRT6

Studies have shown a clear age-dependent decline in protein expression and mRNA levels for SIRT6, SIRT3, and SIRT1 (Tables 1 and 2). Protein abundances decrease monotonically from young adulthood (YA) through middle age (MA) to very old age (VO) in the hierarchy SIRT6 > SIRT3 > SIRT1, with overall YA→VO declines of -63.8%, -57.2%, and -56.1%, respectively. mRNA dynamics follow the same sequence (YA→VO: -69.6%, -54.8%, -42.1%), with the steepest transcriptional drop in SIRT6 (-51.7%) and the smallest in SIRT1 (-24.0%) during YA→MA; in MA→VO, SIRT6 mRNA continues to fall more sharply (-36.4%) than SIRT3 (-20.0%) and SIRT1 (-24.7%). PTR ratios reveal unexpected age-related translation efficiency patterns: for SIRT3, PTR shows only a modest decline across age groups (1.85→1.79→1.70), indicating proportional regulation of protein and transcript; SIRT1 PTR decreases more sharply in middle age (1.98 → 1.36 → 1.33), indicating progressively reduced translational efficiency; for SIRT6, PTR first rises to a peak at MA (1.81→2.57) despite mRNA reduction, then falls to 2.08 in VO, indicating a robust mid-life translational compensatory response that partially wanes in the oldest cohort. Thus, our data demonstrate that PTR preservation in the VO versus YA group follows the hierarchy SIRT6 (118.2 %) > SIRT3 (91.9 %) > SIRT1 (67.2 %), underscoring that SIRT6 translation efficiency is most resilient to aging.

Table 1. Sirtuin 1/3/6 Profiles of Aging Cohorts.

| Marker | YA | MA | VO |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Protein levels (S-) | | | |
| S-SIRT1 | 2.23 [2.21; 2.31] | 1.41 [0.80; 2.02] | 0.98 [0.41; 1.58] |
| S-SIRT3 | 2.90 [2.88; 2.97] | 1.79 [1.34; 2.11] | 1.24 [0.54; 2.00] |
| S-SIRT6 | 3.70 [3.65; 3.75] | 2.52 [2.00; 2.69] | 1.34 [0.61; 2.11] |
| mRNA levels | | | |
| RNA-SIRT1 | 1.21 [1.13; 1.26] | 0.93 [0.88; 1.07] | 0.70 [0.51; 0.83] |
| RNA-SIRT3 | 1.59 [1.57; 1.60] | 0.90 [0.78; 1.35] | 0.72 [0.59; 0.81] |
| RNA-SIRT6 | 2.07 [2.04; 2.09] | 0.99 [0.92; 1.07] | 0.63 [0.30; 0.96] |
| Protein-to-mRNA ratio (PTR) | | | |
| SIRT1 / RNA | 1.98 [1.84; 2.09] | 1.36 [0.91; 1.73] | 1.33 [0.81; 1.90] |
| SIRT3 / RNA | 1.85 [1.83; 1.88] | 1.79 [1.06; 2.18] | 1.70 [0.90; 2.47] |
| SIRT6 / RNA | 1.76 [1.76; 1.80] | 2.57 [2.36; 2.64] | 2.08 [1.94; 2.22] |

Values are presented as median [Q1; Q3] for each marker in three age groups: young adults (YA), middle-aged (MA) and very old (VO).

Table 2. Age-Related Percentage Change (reference= median of YA).

| Marker | MA vs YA (%) | VO vs MA (%) | VO remaining vs YA (%) |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Protein levels (S-) | | | |
| S-SIRT1 | -36.8 | -30.5 | 43.9 % |
| S-SIRT3 | -38.3 | -30.8 | 42.8 % |
| S-SIRT6 | -31.9 | -46.8 | 36.2 % |
| mRNA levels | | | |
| RNA-SIRT1 | -23.2 | -24.7 | 57.9% |
| RNA-SIRT3 | -43.4 | -20.0 | 45.3 % |
| RNA-SIRT6 | -51.2 | -36.4 | 30.4 % |
| Protein-to-mRNA ratio (PTR) | | | |
| SIRT1 / RNA | -31.3 | -2.2 | 67.2 % |

| | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------|--------|
| SIRT3 / RNA | -3.2 | -5.0 | 91.9% |
| SIRT6 / RNA | +46.0 | -19.1 | 118.2% |

- Value calculated as
- MA vs YA = (MA-YA)/YA*100
 - VO vs MA = (VO – MA)/MA*100
 - VO remaining vs YA = VO / YA * 100

3.2. Gender-Dependent Dynamics of Expression and Translational Efficiency of SIRT1, SIRT3, and SIRT6

Age stratification revealed a sex-related divergence in SIRT activity trajectories (Tables 3–4). Both sexes exhibited age-dependent declines, but with markedly different kinetics and amplitudes. In men, S-SIRT3 and S-SIRT6 levels declined gradually from the young-adult (YA) to middle-aged (MA) stages, while S-SIRT1 exhibited a more pronounced drop. From MA to very-old (VO), all six markers—S-SIRT1, S-SIRT3, S-SIRT6 and their corresponding mRNA levels—fell by several fold (Kruskal–Wallis $p < 0.01$, ϵ^2 more than 0.60, indicating a large effect size). Post-hoc Dunn’s tests with Bonferroni correction confirmed that most markers showed their largest median difference between the YA and VO groups (adjusted $p = 0.017$). Protein-to-mRNA ratios for SIRT1 mirrored this downward trajectory from YA through MA to VO, whereas PTR for SIRT3 and SIRT6 rose in MA and only slightly declined at VO (Table 4).

In contrast, women showed a pronounced decline from young adulthood to middle age —likely driven by hormonal shifts—followed by marker stabilization: median S-SIRT3 and S-SIRT6 in very old remained > 2.0 (only 20–30 % below YA), and RNA-SIRT6 at 95–102 years matched MA levels. No marker achieved statistical significance across age groups ($p > 0.05$), and effect sizes were modest ($\epsilon^2 = 0.12\text{--}0.18$), reflecting a smoother, more gradual trajectory. Women also exhibited divergent post-transcriptional regulation: PTR-SIRT1 follows a biphasic trajectory with attenuation in midlife and recovery in the very old, whereas PTR-SIRT3 and PTR-SIRT6 rises steadily with age and remains elevated into extreme old age.

Across all pairwise compare, women consistently exhibited higher marker levels than men, with the greatest differences in the very-old group: median values were roughly 1.3- to 1.5-fold higher, and every Mann–Whitney comparison produced a large, standardized effect calculated as $r = Z / \sqrt{(n_1 + n_2)}$ ($0.53 \leq r \leq 0.68$). Nevertheless, the small sample size ($n_1 = n_2 = 5$) kept the corresponding p -values just above the conventional $\alpha = 0.05$ threshold ($0.09 \leq p \leq 0.10$), indicating limited statistical power rather than a lack of biological divergence.

Table 3. Sirtuin 1/3/6 Expression Profiles by Age Cohort and Sex.

| Marker | YA (♂ + ♀) | MA ♂ | MA ♀ | VO ♂ | VO ♀ |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Protein levels (S-) | | | | | |
| S-SIRT1 | 2.23 [2.21; 2.31] | 0.81 [0.77; 2.02] | 1.99 [0.83; 2.10] | 0.41 [0.41; 0.45] | 1.60 [1.52; 1.67] |
| S-SIRT3 | 2.90 [2.88; 2.97] | 1.34 [1.34; 2.04] | 2.00 [1.57; 2.13] | 0.58 [0.50; 0.60] | 2.01 [1.67; 2.10] |
| S-SIRT6 | 3.70 [3.65; 3.75] | 2.55 [2.34; 2.69] | 2.50 [1.89; 2.67] | 0.65 [0.59; 0.67] | 2.11 [2.10; 2.14] |
| mRNA levels | | | | | |
| RNA-SIRT1 | 1.21 [1.13; 1.26] | 0.97 [0.90; 1.10] | 0.91 [0.87; 0.94] | 0.51 [0.49; 0.59] | 0.83 [0.80; 0.83] |
| RNA-SIRT3 | 1.59 [1.57; 1.60] | 1.22 [0.88; 1.40] | 0.83 [0.75; 0.92] | 0.60 [0.56; 0.65] | 0.82 [0.79; 0.83] |

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| RNA-SIRT6 | 2.07 [2.04; 2.09] | 0.99 [0.99; 1.00] | 1.01 [0.80; 1.07] | 0.33 [0.29; 0.34] | 0.96 [0.92; 0.96] |
| Protein-to-mRNA ratio (PTR) | | | | | |
| SIRT1 / RNA | 1.88 [1.81; 1.98] | 0.99 [0.90; 1.81] | 1.73 [0.95; 2.23] | 0.82 [0.80; 0.92] | 1.90 [1.88; 2.01] |
| SIRT3 / RNA | 1.87 [1.85; 1.88] | 1.10 [0.96; 1.81] | 2.09 [1.76; 2.17] | 0.92 [0.85; 1.04] | 2.45 [2.37; 2.47] |
| SIRT6 / RNA | 1.78 [1.77; 1.80] | 2.58 [2.56; 2.69] | 2.43 [2.36; 2.64] | 2.03 [1.91; 2.03] | 2.20 [2.16; 2.23] |

Values are presented as median [Q1; Q3]. YA are pooled across sexes; MA and VO are shown separately for males (♂) and females (♀).

Table 4. Relative Changes (%) in Sirtuin Markers by Age Transition and Sex.

| Marker | Δ MA vs YA % in ♂ | Δ VO vs MA % in ♂ | Δ MA vs YA % in ♀ | Δ VO vs MA % in ♀ |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Protein levels (S-) | | | | |
| sSIRT1 | -63.7 | -49.4 | -10.7 | -19.6 |
| sSIRT3 | -53.8 | -56.7 | -31.0 | +0.5 |
| sSIRT6 | -31.1 | -74.5 | -32.4 | -15.6 |
| mRNA levels | | | | |
| RNA-SIRT1 | -19.8 | -48.5 | -24.8 | -8.8 |
| RNA-SIRT3 | -23.3 | -50.8 | -47.8 | -1.2 |
| RNA-SIRT6 | -52.2 | -66.7 | -51.2 | -5.0 |
| Protein-to-mRNA ratio (PTR) | | | | |
| SIRT1/RNA | -47.3 | -8.9 | -7.9 | +9.8 |
| SIRT3/RNA | -41.2 | -16.4 | +11.8 | +13.4 |
| SIRT6/RNA | +44.9 | -21.3 | +36.0 | -9.5 |

Δ % = ((value₂ – value₁)/value₁) × 100. MA vs YA compares middle-aged (31–84 y) with young adults (≤ 30 y). VO vs MA compares very-old (≥ 90 y) with middle-aged.

3.3. Health Status Correlation

All data are presented in Tables 5 and 6.

Comparison of the CVD– and CVD+ groups showed that all three soluble sirtuin proteins were markedly lower in CVD+, with the steepest depletion in S-SIRT1 (-78 %), followed by S-SIRT6 (-73 %) and S-SIRT3 (-71 %). The Mann–Whitney U test yielded $p = 6.3 \times 10^{-5}$ and a rank-biserial effect size $r = 0.84$, i.e. a large effect by conventional criteria.

On the transcript level the order was reversed (SIRT6 > SIRT1 > SIRT3): RNA-SIRT6 showed the largest deficit (-66 %), followed by RNA-SIRT1 (-42 %) and RNA-SIRT3 (-27 %). Nevertheless, all three mRNAs remained strongly associated with CVD ($0.001 \leq p \leq 0.003$; $r \approx 0.63\text{--}0.69$). Translational efficiency tracked these shifts: PTR-SIRT1 and PTR-SIRT3 collapsed by roughly half (-55 % and -59 %; $p = 6.3 \times 10^{-5}$ and 2.2×10^{-4} ; $r = 0.84$ and 0.77), mirroring their protein losses, whereas PTR-SIRT6 declined only slightly and non-significantly (-9 %, $p = 0.56$, $r = 0.11$).

Spearman correlation analysis confirmed the pattern ($\rho = -0.86$ for S-SIRT1/3 and $\rho = -0.67$ for S-SIRT6, $p < 0.001$). After adjustment for age and sex, the correlations stayed virtually unchanged (partial $\rho \approx -0.98$, -0.90 and -0.74 ; $p < 0.001$), underscoring their independence from sex and only a moderate linkage to chronological age.

Table 5. Comparison of Sirtuin Markers in CVD– vs. CVD+ Participants.

| Marker | CVD (–) median [Q1; Q3] | CVD (+) median [Q1; Q3] | Δ CVD (+) vs CVD (–) (%) | p (M-W) | Effect size r |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Protein levels (S-) | | | | | |
| S-SIRT1 | 2.01[1.67; 2.12] | 0.44 [0.41; 0.78] | 78% | 6.3×10^{-5} | 0.84 |
| S-SIRT3 | 2.12 [2.01; 2.86] | 0.62 [0.54; 1.18] | 71% | 6.3×10^{-5} | 0.84 |
| S-SIRT6 | 2.55[2.14; 3.49] | 0.70 [0.60; 1.65] | 73% | 1.7×10^{-3} | 0.69 |
| mRNA levels | | | | | |
| RNA–SIRT1 | 0.94 [0.85; 1.12] | 0.55[0.49; 0.84] | 42% | 2.9×10^{-3} | 0.63 |
| RNA–SIRT3 | 0.88 [0.83; 1.59] | 0.64 [0.59; 0.76] | 27% | 2.6×10^{-3} | 0.63 |
| RNA–SIRT6 | 1.01 [0.96; 1.12] | 0.34 [0.29; 0.85] | 66% | 1.0×10^{-3} | 0.69 |
| Protein-to-mRNA ratio (PTR) | | | | | |
| SIRT1 / RNA | 1.90 [1.81; 2.09] | 0.86 [0.81; 0.91] | 55% | 6.3×10^{-5} | 0.84 |
| SIRT3 / RNA | 2.32[1.85; 2.47] | 0.95[0.90; 1.05] | 59% | 2.2×10^{-4} | 0.77 |
| SIRT6 / RNA | 2.23[2.12; 2.56] | 2.03 [1.87; 2.42] | 9% | 5.6×10^{-1} | 0.11 |

Δ % = (CVD+ – CVD–) / CVD– × 100; negative values denote decreases in CVD patients.
Effect size r = |Z| / √(n₁ + n₂); r ≥ 0.50 is interpreted as a large effect.

Table 6. Preservation of Sirtuin Markers in Very Old vs Young Adults.

| Marker | VO vs YA, CVD-free (%) | VO vs YA, All 23 (%) | p (CVD-free) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Protein levels (S-) | | | |
| S-SIRT1 | 71.7 | 43.9 | 0.036 |
| S-SIRT3 | 69.3 | 42.8 | 0.036 |
| S-SIRT6 | 57.0 | 36.2 | 0.036 |
| mRNA levels | | | |
| RNA–SIRT1 | 68.6 | 57.9 | 0.036 |
| RNA–SIRT3 | 51.6 | 45.3 | 0.036 |
| RNA–SIRT6 | 46.4 | 30.4 | 0.036 |
| Protein-to-mRNA ratio (PTR) | | | |
| SIRT1 / RNA | 95.8 | 67.2 | 0.053 |
| SIRT3 / RNA | 133.6 | 91.9 | 0.036 |
| SIRT6 / RNA | 124.5 | 118.2 | 0.036 |

Remaining % = (median VO / median YA) × 100.

Stratifying the cohort by age- and cardiovascular status further revealed “healthy-ageing” profile: CVD-negative very-old adults preserve roughly 70 % of youthful SIRT1/3, about 60 % of SIRT6, and display 25–30 % higher SIRT3 and SIRT6 protein-to-RNA ratios—an internal benchmark of molecular resilience that contrasts sharply with the deficits observed in their CVD-positive peers (Table 6).

4. Discussion

Longevity is a multi-factorial phenomenon shaped by the complex interplay between genotype and environment, with genetic influence seemingly becoming more decisive at advanced ages [18,19]. Rapid progress in preventive medicine, ever-more sophisticated population-risk management and

unprecedented improvements in hygiene, living conditions and workplace standards have undoubtedly pushed life expectancy upward. Yet a paradox persists: modern technology does not eliminate stressors, it merely reconfigures them, so that reaching extreme ages **is still the exception rather than the rule** and is achieved while carrying an ever-growing, cross-generational load of external and internal challenges [3]. This reality highlights the strategic need to identify the core biological mechanisms that can offset cumulative organismal wear and thereby preserve functional autonomy at the limits of the human lifespan.

Against this backdrop, the NAD⁺-dependent sirtuin family—most prominently SIRT1, SIRT3 and SIRT6—emerge as an evolutionarily conserved yet readily actionable control node of cellular health [15–17,20,21]

Their strong association with lifespan extension in multiple species, coupled with their near-ubiquitous expression and broad spectrum of regulatory functions, makes them especially compelling targets for interventions aimed at prolonging healthy human life [13]. In brief, SIRT1 acts as a nuclear–cytoplasmic energy sensor that coordinates vascular tone and restrains pro-inflammatory signaling [22]; SIRT3, the predominant mitochondrial deacetylase, maintains organelle integrity by fine-tuning oxidative phosphorylation, detoxifying reactive oxygen species and promoting mitophagic turnover [23]; and SIRT6 serves as a chromatin gatekeeper, orchestrating base-excision DNA repair, telomere maintenance and suppression of NF- κ B–driven transcriptional programs [24].

Profiling all three sirtuins in parallel enabled our pilot study to define a composite “sirtuin phenotype” of ageing, that provides insight into how the nuclear (SIRT1), mitochondrial (SIRT3) and chromatin (SIRT6) branches of the pathway co-adjust across sex and baseline health status in ways that favour longevity.

Our study have shown that although SIRT1, SIRT3 and SIRT6 levels predictably fell with age, the magnitude of these declines was significantly influenced by both sex and baseline cardiovascular health.

Women definitely retained higher absolute pools of S-SIRT1 and S-SIRT3 and exhibited a smaller loss of S-SIRT6 than men; their protein-to-mRNA ratios—our proxy for translational efficiency—rose by $\approx 30\%$ for SIRT3 and SIRT6, whereas the male increase was modest. This pattern is consistent with hormone-dependent regulation: estrogens acting through ER- α/β up-regulate SIRT1 transcription in endothelial and cardiac cells [25], via E₂-ER α boost SIRT3 expression and mitochondrial targeting, enhancing oxidative phosphorylation, antioxidant defenses, and mitophagy for improved mitochondrial health [26,27] and enhance SIRT6 activity by shielding critical acetyl-lysine residues, whereas androgens are neutral or even suppressive [28,29]. Moreover, a pre-clinical study demonstrated that female mice retain markedly higher renal and circulating SIRT6 after ischaemia–reperfusion injury than males; ovariectomy abolished this advantage, whereas 17 β -oestradiol supplementation restored it—direct evidence of an estrogen-dependent protective axis [30]. Taken together, these hormone-driven divergences provide a mechanistic context for the sex-specific maintenance of sirtuin translational efficiency—and thus mitochondrial and chromatin resilience—observed in our female cohort and may further account for their higher life-expectancy and common predominance among the oldest-old [31].

Our findings likewise showed that the presence of cardiovascular disease (CVD) reshapes the sirtuin axis far more dramatically than chronological aging and gender. We observed a decline in SIRT1, SIRT3, and SIRT6 levels, broadly consistent with a $\sim 50\%$ reduction in SIRT1 reported in ischemic heart disease cohorts [32–34] and a $\sim 35\%$ decline in SIRT3 under pressure-overload conditions [35]. Partial Spearman correlation analysis—adjusted for age and sex—confirmed a direct association between lower sirtuin levels and CVD ($\rho \approx -0.98$ for SIRT1, -0.90 for SIRT3, and -0.74 for SIRT6), echoing meta-analytic data that position low circulating SIRT1 among the strongest molecular correlates of adverse cardiac events [36]. Thus, SIRT1 emerges as the principal “culprit” node, with SIRT3 exhibiting an intermediate response.

In contrast, SIRT6 behaves differently: although its absolute protein level fell by ~73 %, the protein-to-mRNA ratio remained virtually unchanged (–9 %, $p = 0.56$). This pattern exemplifies translational buffering—specifically the “offsetting” mode—whereby cells upregulate translation of selected proteins to maintain critical functions despite drops in mRNA levels; in this case, ensuring essential chromatin maintenance when transcription falters [37]. Consequently, the so-called SIRT6 “paradox” is more accurately framed as an emergency protective buffer, rather than a pathological driver.

Finally, stratification of our cohort by CVD status revealed a probabilistic profile of successful ageing: in CVD-free participants, approximately 70 % of youthful circulating SIRT1 and SIRT3—and about 60 % of SIRT6—protein levels were preserved, echoing experimental and clinical evidence that maintained SIRT1/SIRT3 activity and enhanced SIRT6 translation mark healthy longevity. Moreover, instead of the protein–mRNA decoupling often described in ageing tissues, these individuals exhibited a ~30 % increase in PTR for SIRT3 and ~25 % for SIRT6. This pattern mirrors murine models in which enhanced SIRT6 translational efficiency prolongs median lifespan by ~15 % [38]; and human genetic analyses linking SIRT3 variability to exceptional survival [12,39], positioning boosted SIRT3 and SIRT6 translation not merely as a compensatory response but as a fundamental mechanism of functional longevity. Overall, our data support the concept that preserved translational compensation, rather than absolute abundance alone, underpins healthy aging [37].

This pilot study is the first to profile SIRT1, SIRT3 and SIRT6 across sex, age and cardiovascular health, defining a unified “sirtuin phenotype” that integrates nuclear energy sensing, mitochondrial integrity and chromatin maintenance as axes of cellular resilience. Although based on a small, cross-sectional cohort, the large and internally consistent effect sizes pave the way for longitudinal studies to validate sirtuin translational efficiency as a predictive biomarker of healthy ageing and cardiovascular resilience across sexes and as a target for sirtuin-modulating interventions aimed at extending healthspan.

5. Conclusions

This pilot investigation demonstrates that preserved translational compensation of SIRT1, SIRT3 and SIRT6—evidenced by maintained protein-to-mRNA ratios despite declining absolute levels—may serve as a hallmark of functional longevity. By quantifying protein and mRNA across age groups, sexes and cardiovascular health status, we revealed robust effect sizes and distinct translational efficiency signatures for each subgroup. Although limited by its small, cross-sectional design, our study highlights sirtuin translational control as both a predictive biomarker of healthy ageing and cardiovascular resilience and a viable intervention target. These findings warrant validation in larger, longitudinal cohorts and mechanistic trials to assess whether boosting sirtuin translation can preserve autonomy and extend healthspan [37].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, U.H.; Methodology development, I.K.; Sample collection, I.G. and L.H.; Laboratory analyses, E.M.; Data curation, A.G. and Kh.S.; Writing—original draft, A.G. and Kh.S.; Writing—review & editing, U.H. and I.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: N/a.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Institute of Physiology, Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Azerbaijan.

Informed Consent Statement: All participants provided written informed consent.

Data Availability Statement: All data used in this article are available within the main text. Further inquiries should be directed to the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. *World Population Ageing 2019: Highlights* (ST/ESA/SER.A/430). United Nations: New York, 2019
2. Gilleard, C.; Higgs, P. The Third Age and the Baby Boomers: Two Approaches to the Social Structuring of Later Life. *Int. J. Ageing Later Life* **2007**, *2*(2), 5–25. <https://doi.org/10.3384/ijal.1652-8670.07225>.
3. North, R.M.; Winters, M.; Clarke, P.J. Cohort effects on self-rated health in the Lausanne Cohort 65+ Study. *Age Ageing* **2018**, *47*(4), 564–571.
4. World Health Organization. *Decade of Healthy Ageing 2021–2030*. World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2020. Available online: <https://www.who.int/initiatives/decade-of-healthy-ageing>
5. Kennedy, B.K.; Berger, S.L.; Brunet, A.; Campisi, J.; Cuervo, A.M.; Epel, E.S.; Franceschi, C.; Lithgow, G.J.; Morimoto, R.I.; Pessin, J.E.; Rando, T.A.; Richardson, A.; Schadt, E.E.; Wyss-Coray, T.; Sierra, F. Geroscience: Linking Aging to Chronic Disease. *Cell* **2014**, *159*, 709–713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.039>
6. Ramirez C.; Smith P. Climbing the longevity pyramid: overview of evidence-driven healthcare prevention strategies for human longevity. *Front. Aging* **2024**, *5*, 1495029. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fragi.2024.1495029>.
7. Kuznetsova, S.M.; Kamilova, N.M.; Aliev, R.; Hashimova, U.F. Mechanisms of Longevity Phenomenon in Azerbaijan. *J. Gerontol. Geriatr. Med.* **2016**, *2*, 011. <https://doi.org/10.24966/GGM-8662/100011>
8. State Statistical Committee of the Republic of Azerbaijan. *Population by Age Group, 2020 and 2024*; State Statistical Committee of the Republic of Azerbaijan: Baku, Azerbaijan, 2025. Available online: <https://www.stat.gov.az/source/demography/ap/>;
9. Rashidova, A.M.; Hashimova, U.F.; Gadimova, Z.M. Study of Energy-Metabolism Enzymes and the State of the Cardiovascular System in Elderly and Senile-Aged Patients. *Adv Gerontol* **2020**, *10*, 86–93. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S2079057020010130>
10. Liang, W.; You, Y.; et al. Aging and aging-related diseases: from molecular mechanisms to therapeutic strategies. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2022**, *7*, 112. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-022-01251-0>;
11. Li, Y.; Tian, X.; Luo, J. et al. Molecular mechanisms of aging and anti-aging strategies. *Cell Commun Signal* **2024**, *22*, 285. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12964-024-01663-1>;
12. Frankowska, N.; Bryl, E.; Fulop, T.; Witkowski, J.M. Longevity, Centenarians and Modified Cellular Proteodynamics. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 2888. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24032888>].
13. Rajendran, R.; Garva, R.; Krstic-Demonacos, M.; Demonacos, C. Sirtuins: molecular traffic lights in the crossroad of oxidative stress, chromatin remodeling, and transcription. *J Biomed Biotechnol.* **2011**, 368276. doi: 10.1155/2011/368276. Epub 2011 Sep 7. PMID: 21912480; PMCID: PMC3168296.
14. Hall, J.A.; Dominy J.E.; Lee Y.; Puigserver P. The sirtuin family's role in aging and age-associated pathologies. *J Clin Invest.* **2013**, *123*(3), 973–9. doi: 10.1172/JCI64094. Epub 2013 Mar 1. PMID: 23454760; PMCID: PMC3582122
15. Bonkowski, M.S.; Sinclair, D.A. Slowing ageing by design: the rise of NAD⁺ and sirtuin-activating compounds. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2016**, *17*, 679–690. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2016.93>;
16. Wu, Q.J.; Zhang, T.N.; Chen, H.H. et al. The sirtuin family in health and disease. *Sig Transduct Target Ther* **2022**, *7*, 402. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-022-01257-8>;
17. Zhao, L.; Cao, J.; Hu, K.; He, X.; Yun, D.; Tong, T.; Han, L. Sirtuins and their Biological Relevance in Aging and Age-Related Diseases. *Aging Dis.* **2020**, *11*(4), 927–945. doi: 10.14336/AD.2019.0820. PMID: 32765955; PMCID: PMC7390530;
18. Argentieri, M.A.; Amin, N.; Nevado-Holgado, A.J. et al. Integrating the environmental and genetic architectures of aging and mortality. *Nat Med* **2025**, *31*, 1016–1025. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-024-03483-9>;
19. Willcox, D.C.; Willcox, B.J.; Hsueh, W.C.; Suzuki, M. Genetic determinants of exceptional human longevity: insights from the Okinawa Centenarian Study. *Age (Dordr).* **2006**, *28*(4), 313–32. doi: 10.1007/s11357-006-9020-x. Epub 2006 Dec 8. PMID: 22253498; PMCID: PMC3259160]

20. You, Y.; Liang, W. SIRT1 and SIRT6: The role in aging-related diseases. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* **2023**, 1869, 166815. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbdis.2023.166815>;
21. Brown, K.; Xie, S.; Qiu, X.; Mohrin, M.; Shin, J.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, D.; Scadden, D.T.; Chen, D. SIRT3 reverses aging-associated degeneration. *Cell Rep.* **2013**, 3(2), 319–327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.01.005>
22. Rogina, B.; Tissenbaum, H.A. SIRT1, resveratrol and aging. *Front. Genet.* **2024**, 15, 1393181. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2024.1393181>.
23. Indo, H.P.; Chatatikun, M.; Nakanishi, I.; Matsumoto, K.-i.; Imai, M.; Kawakami, F.; Kubo, M.; Abe, H.; Ichikawa, H.; Yonei, Y.; et al. The Roles of Mitochondria in Human Being's Life and Aging. *Biomolecules* **2024**, 14, 1317. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom14101317>
24. Jia, G.; Su, L.; Singhal, S.; Liu, X. Emerging roles of SIRT6 on telomere maintenance, DNA repair, metabolism and mammalian aging. *Mol Cell Biochem.* **2012**, 364(1-2), 345–350. doi: 10.1007/s11010-012-1236-8. PMID: 22286818.
25. Khan, M.; Ullah, R.; Rehman, S.U.; Shah, S.A.; Saeed, K.; Muhammad, T.; Park, H.Y.; Jo, M.H.; Choe, K.; Rutten, B.P.F.; Kim, M.O. 17 β -Estradiol Modulates SIRT1 and Halts Oxidative Stress-Mediated Cognitive Impairment in a Male Aging Mouse Model. *Cells.* **2019**, 8(8), 928. doi: 10.3390/cells8080928. PMID: 31430865; PMCID: PMC6721687
26. Klinge, C.M. Estrogenic control of mitochondrial function and biogenesis. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **2008**, 105, 1342–1351. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.21936>;
27. Germain, D. Sirtuins and the Estrogen Receptor as Regulators of the Mammalian Mitochondrial UPR in Cancer and Aging. In *Advances in Cancer Research*; Tew, K.D., Fisher, P.B., Eds.; Academic Press: London, UK, 2016; Volume 130, pp. 211–256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acr.2016.01.004>
28. Moore, R.L.; Dai, Y.; Faller, D.V. Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) and steroid hormone receptor activity in cancer. *J Endocrinol.* **2012**, 213(1), 37–48. doi: 10.1530/JOE-11-0217. Epub 2011 Dec 12. PMID: 22159506; PMCID: PMC3804056;
29. Dai, Y.; Ngo, D.; Forman, L.W.; Qin, D.C.; Jacob, J.; Faller, D.V. Sirtuin 1 is required for antagonist-induced transcriptional repression of androgen-responsive genes by the androgen receptor. *Mol Endocrinol.* **2007**, 21(8), 1807–1821. doi: 10.1210/me.2006-0467. Epub 2007 May 15. PMID: 17505061; PMCID: PMC3839341
30. Miao, J.; Huang, J.; Liang, Y. et al. Sirtuin 6 is a key contributor to gender differences in acute kidney injury. *Cell Death Discov.* **2023**, 9, 134. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-023-01432-y>
31. Sampathkumar, N.K.; Bravo, J.I.; Chen, Y.; Danthi, P.S.; Donahue, E.K.; Lai, R.W.; Lu, R.; Randall, L.T.; Vinson, N.; Benayoun, B.A. Widespread sex dimorphism in aging and age-related diseases. *Hum Genet.* **2020**, 139(3), 333–356. doi: 10.1007/s00439-019-02082-w. Epub 2019 Nov 1. PMID: 31677133; PMCID: PMC7031050.
32. Keremidarska-Markova, M.; Sazdova, I.; Mladenov, M.; Pilicheva, B.; Zagorchev, P.; Gagov, H. Sirtuin 1 and Hormonal Regulations in Aging. *Appl. Sci.* **2024**, 14, 12051. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app142412051>;
33. Barcena de Arellano, M.L.; Pozdniakova, S.; Kühn, A.A.; Baczko, I.; Ladilov, Y.; Regitz-Zagrosek, V. Sex differences in the aging human heart: decreased sirtuins, pro-inflammatory shift and reduced anti-oxidative defense. *Aging (Albany NY).* **2019**, 11(7), 1918–1933. doi: 10.18632/aging.101881. PMID: 30964749; PMCID: PMC6503880
34. Breitenstein, A.; Wyss, C.A.; Spescha, R.D.; Franzeck, F.C.; Hof, D.; Riwanto, M. et al. Peripheral Blood Monocyte Sirt1 Expression Is Reduced in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, 8(1), e53106. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0053106>
35. Kong, B.; Zheng, X.; Hu, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Hai, J.; Ti, Y.; Bu, P. Sirtuin3 attenuates pressure overload-induced pathological myocardial remodeling by inhibiting cardiomyocyte cuproptosis. *Pharmacol Res.* **2025**, 216, 107739. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2025.107739. Epub 2025 Apr 16. PMID: 40250507.
36. Liu, Y.P.; Wen, R.; Liu, C.F.; Zhang, T.N.; Yang, N. Cellular and molecular biology of sirtuins in cardiovascular disease. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2023**, 164, 114931. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2023.114931>
37. Kusnadi, E.P.; Timpone, C.; Topisirovic, I.; Larsson, O.; Furic, L. Regulation of gene expression via translational buffering. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* **2022**, 1869, 119140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2021.119140>

38. Kanfi, Y.; Naiman, S.; Amir, G.; Peshti, V.; Zinman, G.; Nahum, L.; Bar-Joseph, Z.; Cohen, H.Y. The sirtuin SIRT6 regulates lifespan in male mice. *Nature*. **2012**, 483(7388), 218-221. doi: 10.1038/nature10815. PMID: 22367546
39. Rose, G.; Dato, S.; Altomare, K.; Bellizzi, D.; Garasto, S.; Greco, V.; Passarino, G.; Feraco, E.; Mari, V.; Barbi, C.; BonaFe, M.; Franceschi, C. ; Tan, Q.; Boiko, S.; Yashin, A.I.; De Benedictis, G. Variability of the SIRT3 gene, human silent information regulator Sir2 homologue, and survivorship in the elderly. *Exp Gerontol*. **2003**, 38(10), 1065-70. doi: 10.1016/s0531-5565(03)00209-2. PMID: 14580859

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.