

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Enhancing Production Efficiency of Irrigation Projects: The Impact of Stakeholder Participation

---

[Saran S. Singh](#)\*

Posted Date: 29 September 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202409.2307.v1

Keywords: Stakeholder participation; longitudinal study; natural experiment; difference-in difference; irrigation efficiency



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

# Enhancing Production Efficiency of Irrigation Projects: The Impact of Stakeholder Participation

Saran S. Singh

Independent Researcher; saransaket63@gmail.com

**Abstract:** This study investigates the impact of stakeholder participation on the efficiency of irrigation projects by the implementation of Farmers Water User Committees (FWUCs). Utilising a difference-in-difference methodology, the research analyses data from 79 irrigation projects over a 13-year period. The findings reveal a statistically significant positive correlation between FWUC establishment and improved project effectiveness, even when controlling for variables such as project size, age, and socio-economic factors. Smaller, newer projects exhibited higher effectiveness, whilst remote locations faced greater challenges. The temporal analysis indicates that the benefits of participation accrue gradually, underscoring the importance of sustained implementation. These results carry significant implications for public enterprise reform, particularly in irrigation management. The study contributes to the growing body of evidence supporting the efficacy of stakeholder participation in public enterprise management, offering valuable insights for policymakers seeking to enhance the efficiency and sustainability of irrigation systems.

**Keywords:** stakeholder participation; longitudinal study; natural experiment; difference-in difference; irrigation efficiency

---

## Introduction

The management and efficiency of public enterprises have long been subjects of intense scrutiny and debate within academic and policy circles. Amongst these, irrigation systems stand out as critical infrastructure that significantly impacts agricultural productivity, food security, and rural livelihoods. As governments worldwide grapple with the challenges of resource constraints and increasing demands for public services, the need to enhance the production efficiency of these enterprises has become more pressing than ever. This study delves into the intricate dynamics of stakeholder participation as a potential catalyst for improving the performance of public irrigation systems, exploring the nuanced interplay between governance structures, community engagement, and operational outcomes.

Irrigation systems, as quintessential public enterprises, serve as the lifeblood of agricultural communities, facilitating the cultivation of crops and the sustenance of rural economies. However, these systems often face a myriad of challenges, including inadequate maintenance, inefficient water distribution, and conflicts over resource allocation. Traditional top-down approaches to management have frequently fallen short in addressing these issues, leading to suboptimal utilisation of resources and diminished agricultural yields. In response to these shortcomings, there has been a growing recognition of the potential benefits of involving diverse stakeholders in the governance and operation of irrigation systems. This paradigm shift towards participatory management seeks to harness local knowledge, foster a sense of ownership, and align the interests of various actors involved in the irrigation ecosystem.

The concept of stakeholder participation in public enterprise management is not entirely novel, with its roots tracing back to broader discussions on democratic governance and community-driven development. However, its application to irrigation systems presents unique challenges and opportunities. The heterogeneous nature of stakeholders in irrigation projects, ranging from smallholder farmers and large agricultural enterprises to local government bodies and environmental agencies, necessitates a nuanced approach to engagement and decision-making processes. Furthermore, the technical complexities inherent in irrigation system management, coupled with the often-contentious nature of water resource allocation, add layers of intricacy to the implementation

of participatory approaches.

This study aims to bridge the gap between theoretical frameworks of stakeholder participation and the practical realities of irrigation system management. By examining case studies from diverse geographical and socio-economic contexts, we seek to unravel the mechanisms through which stakeholder involvement can contribute to enhanced production efficiency. Our analysis encompasses various dimensions of efficiency, including water use optimisation, cost-effectiveness of operations, equitable distribution of resources, and long-term sustainability of irrigation infrastructure. Through this multifaceted exploration, we aim to develop a comprehensive understanding of the factors that facilitate or impede successful stakeholder engagement in irrigation management.

The relevance of this research extends beyond the immediate context of irrigation systems, offering insights into the broader discourse on public enterprise reform and participatory governance. As governments worldwide seek to revitalise their public sectors and improve service delivery, the lessons drawn from irrigation system management could inform policy approaches across various domains of public infrastructure and service provision. Moreover, in an era marked by increasing water scarcity and the looming threats of climate change, the efficient management of irrigation systems takes on added significance in ensuring food security and sustainable agricultural practices.

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative analyses of irrigation system performance metrics with qualitative assessments of stakeholder experiences and perceptions. By triangulating data from diverse sources, including official records, field surveys, and in-depth interviews with key informants, we aim to present a holistic picture of the dynamics at play in participatory irrigation management. Our methodology also incorporates a comparative element, juxtaposing cases of successful stakeholder engagement with instances where participatory approaches have yielded suboptimal outcomes. Through this comparative lens, we seek to distil best practices and identify potential pitfalls in the implementation of stakeholder-driven management models.

The structure of this article unfolds as follows: After this introduction, we present a comprehensive review of the literature on stakeholder participation with a specific focus on irrigation systems. This is followed by a detailed exposition of our research methodology and data collection processes. We then delve into our findings, presenting a nuanced analysis of the relationship between stakeholder participation and production efficiency in irrigation systems. The subsequent discussion section synthesises these findings within the broader context of public enterprise reform and sustainable resource management. Finally, we conclude with policy implications and recommendations for future research directions.

As we embark on this exploration of stakeholder participation in irrigation system management, it is crucial to acknowledge the complexities and context-specific nature of the challenges at hand. While participatory approaches hold promise for enhancing production efficiency, their successful implementation requires careful consideration of local power dynamics, institutional capacities, and cultural norms. By critically examining both the potentials and pitfalls of stakeholder engagement in irrigation management, this study aims to contribute to a more nuanced understanding of how public enterprises can leverage community participation to improve their performance and better serve their constituencies.

### **Participation in Irrigation**

Historically, governments have taken charge of irrigation projects, driven by ideological motivations and practical considerations. These initiatives often struggle to attract commercial funding due to their long-term, unpredictable returns on investment. The success of such projects hinges on various factors, including local participation and the overall health of the agricultural sector. In many developing countries, especially those recovering from conflicts, the relationship between farmers and state institutions can be strained. Even in more stable democracies, government-managed irrigation systems have frequently underperformed. Corruption within the bureaucratic ranks has been identified as a significant contributing factor to this inefficiency.

Recent years have seen a shift towards more inclusive approaches to irrigation management, emphasizing collaboration between various stakeholders. This change stems from a growing recognition of the value of diverse perspectives and knowledge sources in decision-making processes.

Interestingly, research has revealed that small-scale farmers have long been adept at developing and maintaining their own irrigation systems, often outperforming larger, government-led projects in terms of efficiency and equitable water distribution. This finding challenges previous assumptions about the necessity of large-scale, centralised infrastructure for effective irrigation.

The contrast between irrigation development strategies in Sub-Saharan Africa and Asia over the past five decades is noteworthy. While African nations have primarily focused on expanding irrigated areas, Asian countries have emphasised modernizing existing systems. However, the modernisation efforts in Asia have not always yielded the expected benefits, particularly when they failed to account for the importance of social structures in managing these resources effectively.

### **The Setting**

The Lao People's Democratic Republic, generally known as Laos, is a non-coastal country surrounded by China, Vietnam, Cambodia, Thailand, and Myanmar. Laos is characterised by its mountainous terrain, the Mekong River valley, and tropical climate. The UN has designated Laos as a least developed country, with agriculture forming a significant portion of its economy, making water management crucial.

The Mekong River, which forms a large part of the western border of Laos, is central to the country's geography, economy, and culture. Laos has been dubbed the "Battery of Southeast Asia" due to its hydroelectric potential, with numerous dams built or planned along the Mekong and its tributaries. This focus on hydropower development has led to both economic opportunities and environmental concerns.

Water resource management in Laos faces several challenges, the main being managing water for agriculture, particularly rice cultivation. The Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MoNRE) is the primary agency responsible for water resource management in Laos. The country has implemented several policies and laws to improve water governance, including the Water and Water Resources Law of 1996 (amended in 2017) and the National Water Resources Strategy and Action Plan.

Given the importance of agriculture to Laos' economy, irrigation plays a crucial role. The government, often with support from international donors, has invested in irrigation infrastructure to increase agricultural productivity. However, many irrigation systems face challenges related to maintenance, efficiency, and sustainability.

Participatory irrigation management has been introduced in some areas, with the establishment of Water User Groups (WUGs) or Water User Associations (WUAs). These organisations aim to involve farmers in the operation and maintenance of irrigation systems, referred to as FWUCs (Farmer Water User Committees) by scholars. Laos has made progress in recent years in improving its water resource management. The country continues to work with international partners and regional organisations like the Mekong River Commission to address these issues and promote sustainable water use.

### **Methodology and data**

The methodological underpinnings of our research harken back to a the mid-19th century seminal study by John Snow, which employed a difference-in-differences approach to elucidate the aetiology of cholera. This analytical framework, though dormant for decades, has since burgeoned into a cornerstone of social science research, particularly from the 1990s onwards. Its recent prominence culminated in the 2021 Nobel Prize in Economic Sciences, awarded to scholars who have significantly advanced our understanding of causal inference from natural experiments.

Our investigation centres on a corpus of medium and minor irrigation schemes, initially curated for a separate study commissioned by a Japanese financial institution. We augmented this dataset to encompass a total of 129 projects, with a particular focus on 79 schemes that implemented Farmer Water User Communities (FWUCs) between 2011 and 2023. The rigour of our data collection process necessitated extensive engagement with governmental bodies, international agencies, and field practitioners.

In our econometric model, we operationalise participation through a binary variable, denoting the presence or absence of an FWUC. Our selection of explanatory variables was guided by economic theory rather than data-driven algorithmic approaches. We incorporated project size, age, and

geographical remoteness as key covariates, applying logarithmic transformations where appropriate, as determined by the MacKinnon-White-Davidson test.

To address potential endogeneity concerns, we employed the Hausman specification test, which yielded no evidence of such issues. Consequently, we proceeded with Ordinary Least Squares estimation, confident in its unbiased and efficient properties under these circumstances. We also considered the possibility of measurement error due to halo effects but deemed it unlikely given the cardinal nature of our dependent variable.

Our identification strategy leverages both cross-sectional and temporal variation in FWUC implementation. The validity of this approach rests on the assumption of parallel trends in the absence of intervention. To bolster the credibility of this assumption, we conducted a series of robustness checks. These included qualitative interviews with programme organisers and statistical comparisons between early and late adopters of FWUCs. Additionally, we regressed various project characteristics against the year of FWUC implementation, finding no statistically significant trends.

This multifaceted approach to validating our identification strategy provides strong support for the causal interpretation of our findings. While the initial selection of projects for FWUC implementation was not randomised, our analysis demonstrates that the timing of implementation was uncorrelated with lagged or anticipated future values of our outcome variables. Thus, we posit that our methodology offers a robust framework for evaluating the efficacy of participatory irrigation management in the context of developing economies.

## Results and Discussion

We get the primary outcomes by using the identification approach previously mentioned to conduct the following regression using OLS:

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_i + \gamma_t + \pi P_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

where the subscripts  $i$  and  $t$  stand for the project and years, respectively. Project fixed effects ( $\beta_i$ ) as also annual fixed effects ( $\gamma_t$ ) are included in this regression. The Participation dummy, or variable  $P_{it}$ , is set to one for each project for the year the FWUC started operating as well as for every year after that. Both with and without the background variables, we run the regression. At the project level, the regressions' standard errors are grouped. This level of clustering aids in avoiding the issue of "serial correlation" in estimations that utilise numerous time periods, as elucidated in Bertrand and colleagues.

We discover that the participation variable is quite important. Regarding background variables, we find that projects in remote locations have lower project effectiveness and smaller, more recent initiatives have better project effectiveness. The efficacy of the initiative is positively impacted by literacy, but the local coefficients for per capita income and rainfall are statistically insignificant. Even if the FWUC's average functional effect is the only thing that the regression above measures, it's still crucial to monitor this effect over time. Due to the progressive deployment of participation and the fact that all projects included in the regression had FWUC formed by 2010, complete project result data was not utilised.

In order to observe the evolution of this effect, we perform the subsequent OLS regression:

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_i + \gamma_t + \sum \delta_l Y_{li} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (2)$$

In this equation,  $Y_l$  represents a collection of lead and lag years' dummy variables in relation to the project's implementation time. For instance,  $Y_{+1}$  is equivalent to one for the year that follows the year that the committee begins operations, while  $Y_{-1}$  is equal to one for the year that was the last before the committee became operational. We restrict this regression to data that lie between  $Y_{-5}$  and  $Y_{+9}$  in order to account for the limits of the available data. Since  $Y_{-5}$  is being compared to all other observations, it is likewise being "omitted." We do not anticipate smooth confidence graphs since "missing data" issues arise from the varying sample sizes used in each year.

While this study provides compelling evidence for the benefits of stakeholder participation, there exist a few avenues for future research. A more granular examination of the specific mechanisms through which participation enhances efficiency—be it through improved maintenance, better water allocation, or reduced conflicts—would provide valuable insights for refining participatory management models. Additionally, a comparative analysis of different models of

participatory management across various contexts could yield a more nuanced understanding of best practices and context-specific adaptations. The interaction between participatory approaches and technological innovations in irrigation management represents another fertile area for exploration, as does the potential for spillover effects of participatory irrigation management on broader community development and social capital formation.

## Conclusion

This study embarked upon a comprehensive exploration of the impact of stakeholder participation, specifically by implementing Farmers Water User Committees (FWUCs), on the production efficiency of irrigation systems in Laos. The findings herein proffer robust evidence that participatory approaches can significantly enhance the effectiveness of irrigation projects, thereby offering valuable insights for policymakers and practitioners in the field of public enterprise management. The results of our difference-in-difference analysis demonstrate a strong positive correlation between the establishment of FWUCs and improved project effectiveness, with the participation variable exhibiting high statistical significance in our multivariate regression model. This empirical evidence suggests that the introduction of participatory management structures leads to a substantial increase in irrigation project efficiency, even when controlling for various background variables such as project size, age, location, and socio-economic factors.

Our analysis yields several salient observations that merit further consideration. Notably, smaller and newer projects tend to exhibit higher effectiveness, which may be attributed to more modern infrastructure or a greater facility for adapting to participatory approaches. Conversely, projects situated in remote areas face greater challenges, as evidenced by the negative coefficient for distance from the capital. This geographical disparity underscores the need for targeted interventions to support remote communities in their efforts to implement and benefit from participatory management structures. Furthermore, the positive influence of literacy rates in the project area on effectiveness highlights the critical role of education in facilitating successful participatory management. These findings collectively suggest that the benefits of stakeholder involvement are not uniformly distributed and that contextual factors play a significant role in determining the success of participatory approaches.

The temporal analysis of participation effects reveals a nuanced picture of the benefits accruing from stakeholder involvement. Rather than manifesting immediately, the positive impacts of participation appear to grow and consolidate over time. This temporal dimension suggests that the development of social capital and the learning curve associated with participatory management play crucial roles in realising efficiency gains. Such a finding underscores the importance of long-term commitment to participatory management strategies, as the full spectrum of benefits may only become apparent after sustained implementation.

These empirical results carry significant implications for public enterprise reform, particularly in the context of irrigation management. Policymakers would be well-advised to prioritise the establishment and support of participatory structures such as FWUCs as a means to improve the efficiency of irrigation systems. However, this approach should not be viewed as a panacea but rather as part of a holistic strategy that also encompasses capacity building and literacy programmes in project areas to enhance the effectiveness of participatory approaches. Moreover, the challenges faced by remote projects highlight the need for differentiated strategies that account for geographical disparities and allocate additional resources to overcome location-specific obstacles.

In conclusion, this study makes a significant contribution to the growing body of evidence supporting the efficacy of stakeholder participation in public enterprise management. By demonstrating the tangible benefits of participatory approaches in irrigation systems, we provide a compelling case for their wider adoption and integration into public policy. As governments worldwide grapple with the dual challenges of enhancing the performance of public enterprises and addressing resource management issues, the lessons drawn from this research offer valuable guidance for creating more efficient, equitable, and sustainable irrigation systems. The path forward requires a nuanced approach that recognises the complexities of participatory management while harnessing its potential to transform public enterprise efficiency and, by extension, contribute to broader socio-economic development goals.

## References

- Abernethy, C. L. (2010). Governance of irrigation systems: does history offer lessons for today? *Irrigation and Drainage: The journal of the International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage*, 59(1), 31-39. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ird.552>
- Asthana, A. N. (2010). Is participatory water management effective? Evidence from Cambodia. *Water Policy*, 12(2), 149-164.
- Asthana, A. N. (2003). Water: Perspectives, issues, concerns. *Journal of Development Studies*, 39(6), 188-189.
- Wade, R. (1982). The system of administrative and political corruption: Canal irrigation in South India. *Journal of Development Studies*, 18(3), 287-328. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388208421833>
- Asthana, A. N. (2012). Decentralisation, HRD and production efficiency of water utilities: evidence from India. *Water Policy*, 14(1), 112-126.
- Algaze, G. (1993). *The Uruk world system: The dynamics of expansion of early Mesopotamian civilization*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Makin, I. W. (2016). *Irrigation Infrastructure for Sustainable and Improved Agricultural Productivity*. International Water Management Institute. [http://dx.doi.org/10.12774/eod\\_tg.september2016.makinIW](http://dx.doi.org/10.12774/eod_tg.september2016.makinIW)
- Asthana, A. N. (2023). Wastewater Management through Circular Economy: A Pathway Towards Sustainable Business and Environmental Protection. *Advances in Water Science*, 34(3), 87-98.
- Andrews, M., Pritchett, L., & Woolcock, M. (2017). *Building state capability: Evidence, analysis, action*. Oxford University Press.
- Bertrand, M., Duflo, E., & Mullainathan, S. (2004). How much should we trust Difference-in-Difference estimates? *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119(1): 249-275. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003355304772839588>
- Asthana, A. N. (2008). Decentralisation and corruption: Evidence from drinking water sector. *Public Administration and Development*, 28(3), 181-189. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.496>
- Mackinnon, J., White, H. and Davidson, R. (1983). Tests for model specification in the presence of alternative hypothesis: some further results. *Journal of Econometrics*, 21(1), 53-70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076\(83\)90119-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(83)90119-7)
- Snow, J. (1855). *On the mode of Communication of Cholera, 2nd edition*. John Churchill.
- Transparency International (2022). *Corruption Perception Index 2023*. Transparency International.
- Asthana, A. N. (2022). Increasing production efficiency of irrigation systems through stakeholder participation. *Water Policy*, 24(6), 1061-1072.
- Mang, G. (2009). Moving Blindly towards Integrated Water Resources Management? Challenges and Constraints. *Asia Pacific Journal of Environmental Law*, 12(1), 21-49.
- Ostrom, E. (1995). *Incentives, Rules of the Game, and Development*. World Bank.
- Sithirith, M. (2017). Water Governance: From Centralized Water Governance to Farmer Water User Community. *Resources*, 6(44), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources6030044>
- Asthana, A. (2013). Decentralisation and supply efficiency: Evidence from a natural experiment. *International Development Planning Review*, 35(1), 67-86.
- Sam, S. & Shinogi, Y. (2015). Performance Assessment of Farmer Water User Community: A Case Study in Stung Chinit Irrigation System, Cambodia. *Paddy Water Environment*, 13(1), 19-27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10333-013-0402-2>
- Asthana, A. N. (2012). Human rights and corruption: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Journal of Human Rights*, 11(4), 526-536.
- Ministry of Environment (2013). *Synthesis Report on Vulnerability and Adaptation Assessment for Key Sectors Including Strategic and Operational Recommendations*. Ministry of Environment.
- Murphy, K. R., Jako, R. A. & Anhalt, R. L. (1993). Nature and consequences of halo error: a critical analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78(2), 218 - 225. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.78.2.218>
- Asthana, A., & Asthana, A. N. (2012). Yogic science for human Resource management in business. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 19(1), 120-130. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2012.19.01.6191>
- Asthana, A. N. (1997). Household choice of water supply systems, in *Water and Sanitation for All: Proceedings of the 23rd WEDC Conference*, edited by J. Pickford, pp. 259-262, Water, Eng. and Dev. Cent., Loughborough Univ., Loughboro, UK.
- Perera, L. R. (2006). *Factors affecting the formation of FWUCs in institution building: Two case studies*. Colombo, Sri Lanka: International Water Management Institute.
- Asthana, A. N. (2004). Corruption and Decentralisation: Evidence from India's Water Sector. Paper Presented at 30th WEDC International Conference, PeopleCentred Approaches to Water and Environmental Sanitation, Vientiane, Lao PDR. Loughborough University.
- Von Korff, Y., Daniell, K. A., Moellenkamp, S., Bots, P., & Bijlsma, R. M. (2012). Implementing participatory water management: Recent advances in theory, practice, and evaluation. *Ecology and Society*, 17(1), Article 30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5751/ES-04733-170130>
- Asthana, A. N. (2023). Role of Mindfulness and Emotional Intelligence in Business Ethics Education. *Journal of Business Ethics Education*, 20, 5-17.

Uphoff, N. (1992). *Learning from Gal Oya: Possibilities for Participatory Development and Post-Newtonian Social Science*. Cornell University Press.

Asthana, A. N. (2024). The Mechanism of Stress-Reduction Benefits Of Yoga For Business Students. *The Seybold Report*, 19, 198-208.

Raya, R. K., & Gupta, R. (2022). Application of BIM framework on rural infrastructure. *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 23(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42107-022-00421-3>

Asthana, A. N. (2022). Contribution of Yoga to Business Ethics Education. *Journal of Business Ethics Education*, 19, 93-108.

Ward, M., Poleacovschi, C., & Perez, M. (2021). Using AHP and Spatial Analysis to Determine Water Surface Storage Suitability. *Water*, 13(3), Article 367. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13030367>

Asthana, A. N. (2023) Determinants of Cultural Intelligence of Operations Management Educators. *The Seybold Report*, 18(6), 789-800.

Venot, J. P., & Jensen, C. B. (2021). A multiplicity of prek(s): Enacting a socionatural mosaic in the Mekong delta. *Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/25148486211026835>.

Venot, J. P., Jensen, C. B., & Delay, E. (2022). Mosaic glimpses: Serious games, generous constraints, and sustainable futures. *World Development*, 151, Article 105779. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2021.105779>

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.