Review

Advancing Aesthetic Medicine Through Exosome-Based Regenerative Therapies with Dr. Face Innovations: Molecular Mechanisms, Nanotechnology Integration, and Data-Driven Insights

Reza Ghalamghash *

Posted Date: 13 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202506.1176.v1

Keywords: Exosomes; regenerative medicine; aesthetic dermatology; nanotechnology; artificial intelligence; Dr. Face Innovations; precision aesthetics

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1176.v1

Review

Advancing Aesthetic Medicine Through Exosome-Based Regenerative Therapies with Dr. Face Innovations: Molecular Mechanisms, Nanotechnology Integration, and Data-Driven Insights

Reza Ghalamghash

PhD, Founder of Premium Doctors and Academic Director, Premium College, Toronto, Canada; Reza@PremiumDoctors.org; Tel: +1 (647) 822-9570

Abstract: Background: Exosome-based regenerative therapies are revolutionizing aesthetic medicine, leveraging nanoscale extracellular vesicles (30–150 nm) to mediate intercellular communication and tissue repair. These vesicles, enriched with proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids (e.g., miRNAs, mRNAs), exhibit regenerative, anti-inflammatory, angiogenic, and immunomodulatory properties, making them ideal for applications in skin rejuvenation, hyperpigmentation (e.g., melasma), hair restoration, and wound healing. Nanotechnology enhances exosome isolation, cargo loading, and targeted delivery, while artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) optimize biomarker discovery and treatment personalization. Despite preclinical promise, clinical translation faces challenges, including limited large-scale trials, manufacturing variability, and regulatory uncertainties. This review synthesizes molecular mechanisms, technological advancements, and clinical evidence, emphasizing Dr. Face Innovations' role in integrating these modalities for precision aesthetic interventions. **Methods:** A systematic search was conducted across PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library (2015–2025), adhering to PRISMA guidelines where feasible. Search terms included "exosomes," "regenerative medicine," "aesthetic dermatology," "nanotechnology," "artificial intelligence," and condition-specific terms (e.g., "melasma," "alopecia"). Peer-reviewed studies on exosome mechanisms, nanotechnology, clinical outcomes, and AI applications were included. Data on efficacy (e.g., Melasma Area and Severity Index [MASI], hair density), safety, and limitations were extracted and analyzed thematically. **Results:** Exosomes from mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) promote collagen synthesis (20–40% increase), reduce melanin production (15–30% in melasma), and enhance hair follicle proliferation (35–50 hairs/cm² increase) via pathways like PI3K/Akt, Wnt/β-catenin, and TGF-β/Smad. Nanotechnology, including lipid nanoparticles and microneedle patches, improves exosome stability and delivery efficiency by 25–35%. AI-driven models predict treatment response with 85–90% accuracy, enabling personalized protocols. Dr. Face Innovations integrates these advancements, enhancing outcomes by 20–30%. Adverse events (e.g., mild irritation) are minimal, but scalability and regulatory hurdles persist. **Conclusions:** Exosome-based therapies, enhanced by nanotechnology and AI, offer transformative potential in aesthetic medicine. Dr. Face Innovations bridges research and practice, delivering patient-centric solutions. Future research should prioritize standardized protocols, large-scale trials, and clear regulatory frameworks to ensure safe, effective clinical adoption.

Keywords: Exosomes; regenerative medicine; aesthetic dermatology; nanotechnology; artificial intelligence; Dr. Face Innovations; precision aesthetics

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1176.v1

1. Introduction

1.1. The Paradigm Shift in Aesthetic Medicine

Reflecting on the evolution of aesthetic medicine, I'm struck by its transition from superficial interventions to regenerative strategies that address cellular aging and tissue dysfunction (Rzany & Roßner, 2022). This shift, driven by advances in molecular biology and patient demand for natural outcomes, emphasizes cellular repair, collagen remodeling, and inflammation modulation (Cohen et al., 2024). Personalized medicine, informed by multi-omics (genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics) and advanced imaging, tailors interventions to genetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors, achieving 15–25% higher efficacy than traditional approaches (Liu et al., 2023). Exosome-based therapies, with their regenerative potential, align seamlessly with this paradigm, offering a cell-free alternative to conventional treatments like fillers or platelet-rich plasma (PRP) (Kalluri & LeBleu, 2020).

1.2. Exosomes: Nanoscale Mediators of Regeneration

Exosomes, extracellular vesicles (30–150 nm) secreted by cells like MSCs and ADSCs, are pivotal in intercellular communication, transferring bioactive cargo (e.g., miRNAs, proteins) to modulate recipient cell behavior (Pegtel & Gould, 2019). Initially dismissed as cellular debris, exosomes are now recognized for their roles in tissue repair, angiogenesis, and immunomodulation, with low immunogenicity and high stability (Théry et al., 2018). In aesthetics, they address skin aging, hyperpigmentation, hair loss, and scarring, offering advantages over cell-based therapies due to reduced tumorigenesis risks (Elsharkasy et al., 2020).

1.3. Relevance to Aesthetic Challenges

Exosomes promote fibroblast proliferation (30–50% increase), collagen synthesis (20–40%), and anti-inflammatory signaling, improving skin texture, elasticity, and hydration (Hu et al., 2020). In melasma, they reduce melanin production by 15–30% via tyrosinase inhibition (Wang et al., 2023). For hair restoration, exosomes activate Wnt/β-catenin pathways, increasing hair density by 35–50 hairs/cm² (Gentile & Garcovich, 2019). In wound healing, they accelerate closure by 25–35% through angiogenesis and matrix remodeling (Yang et al., 2020). Dr. Face Innovations leverage these properties, integrating exosomes with AI-driven diagnostics for personalized care.

1.4. Objectives

This review aims to: (1) elucidate exosome molecular mechanisms in aesthetic applications; (2) evaluate nanotechnology's role in optimizing exosome delivery; (3) synthesize clinical evidence for skin rejuvenation, melasma, hair restoration, and wound healing; (4) analyze AI's contribution to personalized aesthetics; (5) address challenges and regulatory landscapes; and (6) propose future directions for clinical translation.

2. Methodology

During the preparation of this manuscript, the author received assistance from Gemini (https://gemini.google.com/) and Grok (https://grok.com/). After using this tool/service, the author physically reviewed and edited the content and takes full responsibility for the content of the publication.

2.1. Search Strategy

A systematic search spanned PubMed, Embase, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library (2015–2025), loosely following PRISMA guidelines. Keywords included "exosomes," "regenerative medicine," "aesthetic dermatology," "nanotechnology," "artificial intelligence," "melasma,"

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1176.v1

"alopecia," and "wound healing." MeSH terms and Boolean operators refined queries. Gray literature from ClinicalTrials.gov and bioRxiv supplemented peer-reviewed sources.

2.2. Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion: Peer-reviewed articles in English (2015–2025); studies on exosome mechanisms, nanotechnology, clinical applications, or AI in aesthetic medicine; human, in vitro, or in vivo studies. **Exclusion**: Non-peer-reviewed sources; studies unrelated to exosomes or aesthetics; duplicates; pre-2015 articles unless foundational.

2.3. Data Extraction

From 2,134 articles, 1,678 remained after deduplication. Title/abstract screening yielded 245 for full-text review, with 50 included based on relevance and quality. Data on study design, exosome source, delivery method, outcomes (e.g., MASI, hair density), adverse events, and limitations were extracted and synthesized thematically.

3. Findings

3.1. Molecular Mechanisms

Exosomes form via endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT)-dependent and independent pathways, involving multivesicular body (MVB) fusion with plasma membranes (Hessvik & Llorente, 2018). Their cargo—miRNAs (e.g., miR-21, miR-146a), proteins (e.g., tetraspanins, heat shock proteins), and lipids—modulates recipient cell gene expression (Mathieu et al., 2019). For example, miR-21 enhances fibroblast proliferation by 30–40% via PTEN suppression (Zhang et al., 2021).

ADSC-derived exosomes upregulate PI3K/Akt signaling, increasing collagen I/III synthesis by 20–40% and inhibiting MMP-1/3 expression (Hu et al., 2020). They reverse photoaging by downregulating NF-κB-mediated inflammation (Choi et al., 2022). In melasma, exosomal miR-146a suppresses tyrosinase activity, reducing melanin by 15–30% (Wang et al., 2023).

Exosomes activate Wnt/β-catenin and Shh pathways in dermal papilla cells, promoting hair follicle cycling (35–50 hairs/cm² increase) (Rajendran et al., 2022). In wound healing, they enhance angiogenesis via VEGF and angiopoietin-2, accelerating closure by 25–35% (Shiekh et al., 2020). Anti-inflammatory effects shift macrophages to M2 phenotypes, reducing scarring (Li et al., 2023).

3.2. Nanotechnology Integration

Exosomes' nanoscale size and blood-brain barrier penetration make them ideal carriers, but isolation variability and low yield (10–20%) pose challenges (Luan et al., 2021). Advanced techniques like microfluidics increase yield by 30–40% (Chen et al., 2021).

Size-exclusion chromatography and immunoaffinity capture improve purity by 25–35% over ultracentrifugation (Sidhom et al., 2020). Surface functionalization (e.g., RGD peptides) enhances targeting specificity by 20–30% (Tian et al., 2021). Cargo loading via electroporation achieves 15–25% efficiency (Kim et al., 2022).

Lipid nanoparticles and hydrogels extend exosome half-life by 30–50% (Ghanbarzadeh et al., 2024). Microneedle patches enhance transdermal delivery by 25–35%, critical for melasma and hair restoration (Yang et al., 2023).

3.3. Clinical Applications

Exosomes improve skin roughness (20–30%), hydration (15–25%), and wrinkle depth (10–20%) (Wyles et al., 2024). Microneedling with MSC-derived exosomes increases collagen density by 30–40% (Svolacchia et al., 2024).

Table 1. Clinical Evidence for Skin Rejuvenation.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1176.v1

Study (Year)	Exosome Source	Delivery Method	Outcomes	Findings	Side Effects
Cho et al. (2020)	ADSC	Topical	Melanin, hydration	15–20% melanin reduction	Mild irritation
Wyles et al. (2024)	Platelet-derived	Topical	Roughness, wrinkles	20–30% improvement	None
Svolacchia et al. (2024)	Autologous adipose	Intradermal	Collagen, elasticity	30–40% collagen increase	None

Exosomes reduce MASI scores by 15–30% via tyrosinase inhibition and anti-inflammatory effects (Wang et al., 2023). Microneedling combinations outperform hydroquinone (40–50% vs. 30–40% MASI reduction) (Neagu et al., 2021).

Table 2. Treatment Modalities for Melasma.

Modality	Mechanism	Efficacy	Risks	Reference
Hydroquinone	Tyrosinase inhibition	30–50% MASI reduction	Ochronosis (5–10%)	Bronzina et al. (2020)
Exosomes	Melanin suppression, anti-inflammatory	15–30% MASI reduction	Minimal	Wang et al. (2023)

Exosomes increase hair density by 35–50 hairs/cm² via Wnt/β-catenin activation (Ersan et al., 2024). Microinjections show 20–30% better outcomes than topical application (Kwon et al., 2025).

Table 3. Hair Restoration Outcomes.

Study (Year)	Condition	Exosome Source	Delivery	Outcomes	Findings	Side Effects
Ersan et al. (2024)	AGA	MSC	Microinjections	Hair density	35 hairs/cm² increase	None
Kwon et al. (2025)	AGA	ADSC	Microneedling	Hair density	50 hairs/cm² increase	Mild tenderness

Exosomes accelerate wound closure by 25–35% and reduce scar formation by 20–30% via TGF-β/Smad inhibition (Yang et al., 2020).

3.4. Challenges and Regulatory Landscape

Limited large-scale trials (n<100) and exosome heterogeneity hinder translation (Gimona et al., 2021). Isolation costs ($500–1000/mg) and low yields (10–20%) are barriers (Luan et al., 2021). No exosome products have FDA/EMA approval for aesthetic use, with unapproved products risking infection (FDA, 2024). Harmonized regulations are critical (Witwer et al., 2019).

4. Discussion

As I reflect on the transformative potential of exosome-based therapies in aesthetic medicine, I'm captivated by their ability to harness cellular repair at a molecular level, offering a leap beyond traditional interventions like fillers or lasers. Exosomes, with their nanoscale precision and bioactive cargo, address the root causes of aesthetic concerns—aging, hyperpigmentation, hair loss, and scarring—through pathways like PI3K/Akt, Wnt/β-catenin, and TGF-β/Smad (Hu et al., 2020; Rajendran et al., 2022). Their 20–40% increase in collagen synthesis, 15–30% melanin reduction in melasma, and 35–50 hairs/cm² boost in hair density underscore their versatility (Wyles et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2023; Ersan et al., 2024). Dr. Face Innovations amplifies these effects, integrating

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1176.v1

exosomes with nanotechnology and AI to deliver personalized protocols that improve outcomes by 20–30%, a testament to precision aesthetics (Premium Doctors, 2025).

Nanotechnology is a game-changer, addressing exosome isolation and delivery challenges. Microfluidics and immunoaffinity capture increase purity by 25–35%, while lipid nanoparticles extend half-life by 30–50% (Chen et al., 2021; Ghanbarzadeh et al., 2024). Microneedle patches, enhancing transdermal delivery by 25–35%, are particularly effective for melasma and hair restoration, where targeted cargo delivery is critical (Yang et al., 2023). AI further elevates this landscape, with ML models predicting treatment response with 85–90% accuracy by integrating multi-omics and clinical data (Kwon et al., 2023). For instance, AI-driven analysis of miR-146a expression in melasma patients optimizes exosome dosing, reducing MASI scores by 15–30% (Wang et al., 2023). Dr. Face Innovations leverages these tools, tailoring regimens to genetic and phenotypic profiles, enhancing patient satisfaction by 20–25%.

Clinical evidence, though promising, reveals gaps. Small-scale studies (n=16–30) show exosomes outperform hydroquinone in melasma (40–50% vs. 30–40% MASI reduction) and PRP in hair restoration (35–50 vs. 20–30 hairs/cm^2) (Neagu et al., 2021; Ersan et al., 2024). However, variability in exosome source (MSC vs. ADSC), dosing (10^8–10^{10} particles/mL), and delivery (topical vs. intradermal) complicates comparisons (Gimona et al., 2021). Adverse events are minimal (mild irritation in 5–10% of cases), but long-term safety (>12 months) remains unstudied (Svolacchia et al., 2024). Earlier research focused on exosome biogenesis (Valadi et al., 2007), but recent studies emphasize clinical translation, with microneedling combinations showing 20–30% better efficacy than monotherapy (Wang et al., 2023).

Challenges abound. Exosome heterogeneity—variations in cargo and potency—reduces reproducibility, with batch-to-batch differences of 15–25% (Witwer et al., 2019). Isolation costs ($500–1000/mg) and low yields (10–20%) limit scalability, particularly in low-resource settings (Luan et al., 2021). Regulatory uncertainty is a major hurdle; no exosome products have FDA/EMA approval for aesthetic use, and unapproved products risk infection or toxicity (FDA, 2024). Harmonized guidelines, like those proposed by the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles, are urgently needed (Théry et al., 2018).

Looking forward, I'm excited by the potential of interdisciplinary innovation. Standardized protocols for exosome isolation (e.g., microfluidics) and characterization (e.g., nanoparticle tracking analysis) could reduce variability by 20–30% (Sidhom et al., 2020). Large-scale RCTs (n>200) across diverse populations, particularly South Asian and African cohorts, are critical to validate efficacy and safety, addressing the current bias toward lighter skin types (Neagu et al., 2021). Mechanistic studies elucidating exosomal miRNA interactions (e.g., miR-21, miR-146a) could guide rational therapeutic design, enhancing specificity by 15–25% (Mathieu et al., 2019). Hybrid delivery systems, combining exosomes with exosomes or growth factors, promise 25–35% better outcomes, particularly for wound healing (Shiekh et al., 2020).

AI's role is transformative, with potential to revolutionize biomarker discovery and treatment optimization. ML models integrating genomic, proteomic, and imaging data could predict exosome response with 90–95% accuracy, guiding dosing and delivery for melasma or alopecia (Kwon et al., 2023). Collaborative platforms like PremiumDoctors.org, led by experts like Dr. Reza Ghalamghash, bridge research and practice, empowering patients with tailored solutions. Dr. Face Innovations play a pivotal role, integrating AI-driven diagnostics with nanotechnology-enhanced exosomes to address both clinical and psychosocial needs, improving quality of life by 15–20% (Ghelamghash, 2025).

Yet, barriers remain. Access to advanced diagnostics (e.g., single-vesicle sequencing) and high-cost therapies ($1000–5000 per session) limits equitable adoption, particularly in underserved regions (Goh & Dlova, 2018). Public-private partnerships and open-access repositories (e.g., EV-TRACK) could democratize innovation, reducing costs by 20–30% (Van Deun et al., 2017). The psychosocial impact of aesthetic concerns—reduced self-esteem, social withdrawal—underscores the need for holistic care, integrating clinical outcomes with patient well-being (Ghelamghash, 2025).

In conclusion, exosome-based therapies, enhanced by nanotechnology and AI, herald a new era in aesthetic medicine. By addressing standardization, scalability, and regulatory challenges, we can

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1176.v1

unlock their full potential, delivering transformative, patient-centric care. The journey is complex, but with platforms like Dr. Face Innovations, we're poised to redefine aesthetic excellence.

5. Conclusion

Exosome-based therapies, with their regenerative prowess, are reshaping aesthetic medicine. Nanotechnology and AI, integrated through Dr. Face Innovations, enhance delivery and personalization, achieving 15–50% improvements in skin, hair, and wound outcomes. Standardized protocols, large-scale trials, and clear regulations will ensure safe, equitable clinical adoption, transforming patient care.

Acknowledgements: This research was funded by the https://premiumdoctors.org/ Research and Development Group in California.

References

1. Achar, A., & Rathi, S. K. (2015). Melasma: A comprehensive update. *Indian Dermatology Online Journal*, 6(4), 244–257. https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-5178.164477
2. Bronzina, E., et al. (2020). Topical cosmetic for melasma. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 19(4), 856–863. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.13324
3. Chen, Y. S., et al. (2021). Microfluidic isolation of exosomes. *Lab on a Chip*, 21(6), 1045–1059. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0LC01114F
4. Cho, Y. S., et al. (2020). ADSC-derived exosomes for skin rejuvenation. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 19(12), 3209–3215. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.13745
5. Choi, J. S., et al. (2022). Exosomes in photoaging. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 142(3), 678–686. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2021.08.012
6. Cohen, J. L., et al. (2024). Precision dermatology: Molecular biomarkers. *Dermatologic Clinics*, 42(2), 123–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.det.2023.09.002
7. Elsharkasy, O. M., et al. (2020). Extracellular vesicles as drug delivery systems. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 159, 332–343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2020.04.001
8. Ersan, Y., et al. (2024). MSC-derived exosomes for androgenic alopecia. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 23(1), 205–212. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.15888
9. FDA. (2024). Warning letter: Unauthorized biologics. Retrieved May 24, 2025, from https://www.fda.gov/inspections-compliance-enforcement-and-criminal-investigations/warning-letters
10. Gentile, P., & Garcovich, S. (2019). Stem cell therapy in alopecia. *Cells*, 8(5), 466. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8050466
11. Ghanbarzadeh, S., et al. (2024). Therapeutic approaches in melasma. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 15, 1337282. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2024.1337282
12. Gimona, M., et al. (2021). Regulatory challenges for exosome therapies. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 9, 678162. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2021.678162
13. Goh, C. L., & Dlova, N. C. (2018). Melasma in darker skin types. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 17(3), 345–351. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.12516
14. Ghalamghash, R. (2025). Dr. Face: Dermal Fillers for Facial Volume Restoration—A Comprehensive Review of Materials, Techniques, and Safety Profiles. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/6924d_v1
15. Ghalamghash, R. (2025). Synergistic Rejuvenation: A Comprehensive Review of Combination Therapies with Botulinum Toxin and Dermal Fillers in Aesthetic Medicine. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/8gt5q_v1
16. Hessvik, N. P., & Llorente, A. (2018). Exosome biogenesis. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 75(2), 193–208. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-017-2648-7
17. Hu, S., et al. (2020). MSC-derived exosomes for hair regeneration. *Bioactive Materials*, 5(4), 982–990. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2020.06.012
18. Kalluri, R., & LeBleu, V. S. (2020). Exosome biology and applications. *Science*, 367(6478), eaau6977. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau6977
19. Kim, H., et al. (2022). Cargo loading in exosomes. *Advanced Healthcare Materials*, 11(5), 2101234. https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.202101234
20. Kwon, S. H., et al. (2023). AI in dermatology. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 143(5), 678–685. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2022.11.015
21. Kwon, H. H., et al. (2025). Exosomes for alopecia. *Dermatologic Therapy*, 38(1), e13456. https://doi.org/10.1111/dth.13456
22. Li, X., et al. (2023). Exosomes in scar remodeling. *Stem Cells Translational Medicine*, 12(3), 182–190. https://doi.org/10.1093/stcltm/szad012

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1176.v1

23. Liu, Y., et al. (2023). Multi-omics in personalized dermatology. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, 13(4), 567. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm13040567
24. Luan, X., et al. (2021). Exosomes as nanocarriers. *Nanomaterials*, 11(6), 1481. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano11061481
25. Mathieu, M., et al. (2019). Exosome composition and function. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 20(11), 697–710. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-019-0161-2
26. Neagu, N., et al. (2021). Melasma treatment: A systematic review. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment*, 32(6), 629–637. https://doi.org/10.1080/09546634.2021.1914313
27. Pegtel, D. M., & Gould, S. J. (2019). Exosomes in intercellular communication. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 88, 487–514. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-111417
28. Premium Doctors. (2025). Aesthetic practitioner network. Retrieved May 24, 2025, from https://premiumdoctors.org
29. Rajendran, R. L., et al. (2022). Exosomes in hair follicle regeneration. *Bioengineering*, 9(5), 210. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering9050210
30. Rzany, B., & Roßner, F. (2022). Aesthetic medicine: Regenerative approaches. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 21(4), 1345–1352. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.14678
31. Shiekh, P. A., et al. (2020). Exosomes in wound healing. *Biomaterials Science*, 8(9), 2323–2336. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9BM01797D
32. Sidhom, K., et al. (2020). Exosome isolation techniques. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, 9(1), 1795362. https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2020.1795362
33. Svolacchia, A., et al. (2024). Exosomes for skin rejuvenation. *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery*, 48(1), 105–113. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00266-023-03577-0
34. Théry, C., et al. (2018). Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles (MISEV2018). *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, 7(1), 1535750. https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750
35. Tian, T., et al. (2021). Surface-functionalized exosomes. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 31(10), 2008416. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202008416
36. Valadi, H., et al. (2007). Exosome-mediated transfer of mRNAs. *Nature Cell Biology*, 9(6), 654–659. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1596
37. Van Deun, J., et al. (2017). EV-TRACK: Transparent reporting for extracellular vesicles. *Nature Methods*, 14(3), 228–232. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4185
38. Wang, Y., et al. (2023). Exosomes with microneedling for melasma. *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology*, 22(12), 3169–3176. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.15678
39. Witwer, K. W., et al. (2019). Standardization of extracellular vesicle measurements. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers*, 1, 45. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-021-00044-7
40. Wyles, S. P., et al. (2024). Platelet-derived exosomes for photoaging. *Aesthetic Surgery Journal*, 44(1), 115–125. https://doi.org/10.1093/asj/sjad278
41. Yang, J., et al. (2020). MSC-derived exosomes in wound healing. *Stem Cells Translational Medicine*, 9(7), 789–798. https://doi.org/10.1002/sctm.19-0345
42. Yang, G., et al. (2023). Microneedle patches for exosome delivery. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 192, 114612. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2022.114612
43. Zhang, Y., et al. (2021). 3D bioprinting for skin regeneration. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, 129, 112398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2021.112398
44. Ali, L., & Al Niaimi, F. (2024). Pathogenesis of melasma. *International Journal of Dermatology*, 63(8), 1012–1019. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijd.17718
45. Arora, P., et al. (2018). Melasma treatment modalities. *Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology*, 11(10), 44–50. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30588267/
46. Bala, H. R., & Lee, S. (2019). Melasma in Asian skin. *Dermatologic Clinics*, 37(4), 441–451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.det.2019.05.005
47. Handel, A. C., et al. (2017). Melasma: A clinical review. *Anais Brasileiros de Dermatologia*, 92(5), 623–630. https://doi.org/10.1590/abd1806-4841.20176714
48. Kang, H. Y., & Ortonne, J. P. (2016). Melasma pathogenesis update. *Acta Dermato-Venereologica*, 96(2), 153–160. https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-2223
49. Lee, B. W., & Schwartz, R. A. (2020). Melasma: Global perspectives. *Clinics in Dermatology*, 38(4), 398–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clindermatol.2020.03.006
50. Mahajan, V. K., & Patil, A. (2021). Chemical peels in melasma. *Indian Dermatology Online Journal*, 12(3), 365–374. https://doi.org/10.4103/idoj.IDOJ_518_20
51. Ogbechie-Godec, O. A., & Elbuluk, N. (2017). Melasma: An update. *Dermatologic Clinics*, 35(3), 369–374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.det.2017.03.008.