Article



# A Comprehensive Review of Digital Foot Measurement and Virtual Footwear Fitting Technologies: From Computer Vision to AI-Driven Solutions

Aparna S. * , Athulya L. * , Nandana S. * , Sarya Mariam Jacob * , Sreelekshmi K. R. , Sabeena K. , Chinchu M. Pillai

Posted Date: 22 October 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202510.1643.v1

Keywords: digital foot measurement; virtual try-on; computer vision; augmented reality; machine learning; deep learning; image processing; 3D scanning; footwear fitting; e-commerce

*Article*

# A Comprehensive Review of Digital Foot Measurement and Virtual Footwear Fitting Technologies: From Computer Vision to AI-Driven Solutions

**Aparna S. *, Athulya L. *, Nandana S. *, Sarya Mariam Jacob *, Sreelekshmi K. R., Sabeena K. and Chinchu M. Pillai**

Department of Computer Science, College of Engineering Chengannur, Kerala, India
* Correspondence: aparnashyam23@gmail.com (A.S.); athulya1060@gmail.com (A.L.); nandana4546@gmail.com (N.S.); saryamariam@gmail.com (S.M.J.)

**Abstract**

The rise of e-commerce has increased the demand for accurate digital foot measurement and virtual footwear fitting technologies.Many people wear incorrectly sized shoes, and a significant portion of online shoe returns is due to sizing issues, making digital solutions essential. This review comprehensively examines the evolution of digital foot measurement technologies, from traditional 2D image-based approaches to sophisticated AI-driven virtual fitting systems. We analyze various methodological approaches including computer vision techniques, 3D scanning technologies, machine learning algorithms, and augmented reality (AR) applications. The review covers measurement accuracy, implementation challenges, and practical applications across different technologies. Traditional measurements achieve 75.6% accuracy, while AI-driven systems can reach up to 92.5%. However, challenges remain in handling diverse foot morphologies, lighting conditions, and standardization across different populations. This review provides insights into current technological capabilities, identifies research gaps, and discusses future directions for digital footwear fitting technologies.

**Keywords:** digital foot measurement; virtual try-on; computer vision; augmented reality; machine learning; deep learning; image processing; 3D scanning; footwear fitting; e-commerce

---

## 1. Introduction

THE The global footwear market has transformed with the rise of e-commerce, but this shift has created challenges in sizing and fit, causing discomfort, health issues, and high online return rates. Studies indicate that 63-72% of individuals wear incorrectly sized shoes, leading to health issues ranging from discomfort to chronic conditions such as foot ulcers, particularly in susceptible populations like diabetes patients [1,6]. The economic impact is equally significant, with 30-40% of online shoe returns attributed to incorrect size selection, resulting in increased operational costs and negative customer experiences [16].

Traditional sizing methods, relying on length and width charts, often fail to account for complex foot morphology. Factors such as arch height, foot volume, instep girth, and individual anatomical variations contribute to fit discrepancies that cannot be captured through conventional sizing approaches [11]. Furthermore, brand-specific sizing variations and regional differences in sizing standards compound these challenges.

The emergence of digital technologies presents unprecedented opportunities to address these longstanding issues.Digital technologies such as computer vision, machine learning, 3D scanning, and augmented reality promise to revolutionize how consumers select footwear by providing accurate, personalized sizing recommendations and immersive virtual try-on experiences. This review examines

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1643.v1



the current state of digital foot measurement and virtual footwear fitting technologies, analyzing methodological approaches, their accuracy, limitations, and impact on the footwear industry. It covers traditional computer vision techniques, AI-driven solutions, 3D reconstruction methods, and AR-based virtual try-on systems.

## 2. Literature Review

The measurement of human foot dimensions and the prediction of footwear fit have gained significant attention in recent years, particularly with the growth of *e-commerce footwear markets* and the demand for *personalized fitting solutions*. Researchers have approached this challenge through diverse methodologies, ranging from *traditional image processing* to *deep learning and augmented reality (AR)*-based systems.

### 2.1. Early Approaches: Image Processing and Psychophysical Models

Initial studies on foot measurement applied basic image processing techniques and psychophysical modeling. Wu et al. [9] employed *elliptical Fourier analysis* to capture variations in foot shape, demonstrating the potential of mathematical shape descriptors for predictive modeling. Similarly, Au and Goonetilleke [11] developed a *psychophysical model* to predict footwear fit, emphasizing user perception in determining comfort. These works highlighted the importance of both objective measurement and subjective experience in footwear sizing.

### 2.2. Smartphone-Based Foot Measurement Systems

The proliferation of smartphones enabled low-cost, accessible solutions for foot measurement. Shahid et al. [2] explored object size measurement using 2D images, while Wang et al. [15] introduced an *image-based algorithm* for foot size estimation. More advanced implementations, such as Francisco et al.'s *iSUKAT* system [1], utilized image recognition and segmentation to measure critical foot parameters with high consistency. Similarly, Rafiq et al. [3] proposed *OptiFit*, combining smartphone imaging with *3D scanning* for uncertainty-aware predictions, though requiring additional hardware. These studies illustrate the trend towards scalable, smartphone-driven approaches for both consumer and clinical applications.

### 2.3. Scoping Reviews and Methodological Analyses

Several reviews have attempted to consolidate methodologies. Kabir et al. [6] analyzed *mobile applications in pedorthic practice*, identifying challenges related to accuracy and clinical usability. Allan et al. [5] provided a *scoping review of 3D scanning methodologies*, highlighting statistical and technical limitations in foot shape measurement. Collectively, these reviews emphasized that while numerous systems exist, their *validation standards remain inconsistent*, calling for unified benchmarking practices.

### 2.4. Machine Learning and AI-Driven Solutions

Recent works have shifted towards *machine learning* and *AI-based modeling*. Boyne et al. [12] introduced *FIND*, an unsupervised 3D foot model, while Boyne et al. [10] proposed *FOUND*, leveraging synthetic datasets for surface deformation analysis. These approaches address the scarcity of annotated datasets by simulating training data. Likewise, Ahamed and Vinisha [7] developed *Smart Fit Footwear*, applying machine learning for *real-time shoe size prediction*, enhancing online shopping experiences. Such AI-driven methods exhibit scalability but often face challenges of *dataset generalizability and calibration requirements*.

### 2.5. Integration of AR and Virtual Try-On Systems

Parallel to measurement accuracy, AR-based visualization has emerged as a major innovation for consumer engagement. Kaewrat et al. [14] designed a *seven-dimension AR model* for virtual try-on, focusing on medical and retail contexts. Sricharan et al. [4] proposed a *virtual fit trial system*, enhancing shopping experiences with immersive feedback. More recently, Sangale et al. [16] introduced

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1643.v1



*PhyGital Fit*, integrating AI, AR, and foot morphology analysis, achieving 92.5% accuracy in size recommendation. These innovations mark a shift towards *holistic virtual fitting ecosystems*, moving beyond measurement to personalized recommendation and visualization.

### *2.6. Image Processing for Insole Design and Medical Applications*

Beyond footwear retail, foot measurement technologies are also directed toward *medical and orthotic applications*. Panphattarasap et al. [8] demonstrated the use of *U-Net segmentation and feature extraction* for precise insole production, achieving sub-millimeter accuracy under controlled conditions. Such works highlight the adaptability of computer vision-based systems in clinical settings, though their scalability in real-world environments remains a challenge.

### *2.7. Comparative Insights*

Overall, the literature demonstrates a clear *evolution of methodologies*: from mathematical modeling and basic image processing [9,11] $\rightarrow$ to smartphone-based applications [1–3,15] $\rightarrow$ to machine learning and synthetic data-driven AI systems [7,10,12] $\rightarrow$ culminating in *AR-enhanced immersive try-on solutions* [4,14,16]. Despite advancements, key challenges persist in *accuracy under diverse conditions, calibration requirements, and consumer adoption*.

## 3. Methodology and Dataset Analysis

### *3.1. Detailed Study*

The reviewed literature reveals a variety of methodological approaches to digital foot measurement and virtual footwear fitting systems, each with distinct strengths, limitations, and areas of application. These methodologies can be broadly categorized into five main approaches:

#### 3.1.1. Computer Vision Techniques

Computer vision approaches form the foundation of most digital foot measurement systems. Common techniques include:

- **Edge Detection and Contour Analysis:** Canny edge detection and advanced edge detection algorithms (such as HED - Holistically-nested Edge Detection) are widely used to identify foot boundaries. Wang et al. demonstrated that HED models achieve superior performance compared to traditional edge detection methods in complex lighting conditions [15].
- **Segmentation Algorithms:** Various segmentation approaches have been employed including GrabCut, U-Net architectures, and instance segmentation methods. Panphattarasap et al. achieved high accuracy using U-Net models with performance metrics showing Mean IoU values exceeding 0.97 [8].
- **Feature Extraction:** Key anatomical landmarks are identified and measured using geometric analysis. Common measurements include foot length, width, arch height, instep girth, and heel dimensions. The seven-dimension model proposed by Kaewrat et al. provides a comprehensive framework for capturing essential foot characteristics [15].

#### 3.1.2. 3D Scanning and Reconstruction Technologies

Three-dimensional scanning represents a significant advancement in foot measurement accuracy and comprehensiveness. Various technologies have been employed including laser scanning, structured light projection, stereophotogrammetry, and depth sensing cameras.

A comprehensive scoping review of 3D foot scanning methodologies, analyzing 78 studies across 17 countries [5]. Their findings revealed substantial variation in scanning protocols, with scanner accuracies ranging from 0.2 to 3.4mm. The review identified key challenges including inconsistent reporting standards, equipment cost barriers, and lack of standardized measurement protocols.

OptiFit, a computer-vision-based smartphone application capable of measuring foot dimensions from both images and 3D scans [3]. Their evaluation using 19 medical-grade silicon foot models demonstrated measurement accuracies of 95.23% for length, 96.54% for width, 89.14% for arch height, and 99.52% for instep girth. The system incorporated uncertainty-aware surface normal prediction and differentiable rendering techniques to achieve high accuracy.

FOUND (Foot Optimization with Uncertain Normals for surface Deformation), a method for few-view reconstruction of human feet [10]. Their approach leveraged synthetic datasets, uncertainty-aware surface normal prediction, and generative foot models to reconstruct 3D foot shapes from minimal input views. The system demonstrated superior performance compared to traditional photogrammetry methods, particularly in few-view scenarios.

#### 3.1.3. Machine Learning and AI-Driven Approaches

The integration of machine learning and artificial intelligence has significantly enhanced the capabilities of digital foot measurement systems. These approaches leverage large datasets to improve measurement accuracy, predict optimal sizing, and adapt to individual foot characteristics.

- **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs):** Used for feature extraction from foot images and size prediction. Sangale et al. achieved 92% validation accuracy using CNN architectures [16].
- **Generative Models:** FIND (Foot Implicit Neural Deformation field) by Boyne et al. demonstrates the use of implicit neural networks for generating high-fidelity foot models [12]. Their approach enables multi-resolution mesh generation suitable for different computational requirements.
- **Uncertainty Quantification:** Advanced systems incorporate uncertainty estimation to improve robustness. Rafiq et al. demonstrated that uncertainty-aware approaches significantly improve measurement reliability [3].

#### 3.1.4. Augmented Reality and Virtual Try-On Systems

Augmented reality technologies have emerged as powerful tools for creating immersive virtual try-on experiences that allow consumers to visualize footwear on their feet in real-time. These systems combine accurate foot measurement with realistic visualization to improve consumer confidence and reduce return rates.

Kaewrat et al. developed a foot-detection approach based on seven-foot dimensions using AR techniques [15]. Their markerless-based approach utilizing LiDAR sensors achieved superior performance compared to traditional marker-based methods, with F-measure scores ranging from 0.50 to 0.80 across different foot dimensions. The system addressed the needs of susceptible populations such as diabetes patients who require precise foot measurements.

The Virtual Fit Trial system presented by Sricharan.R et al. integrated machine learning size predictions with AR-based virtual try-on capabilities [4]. Their approach utilized Random Forest algorithms for size recommendation and demonstrated significant improvements in user experience and reduction in return rates compared to traditional sizing methods.

### *3.2. Comparative Table: Methodologies and Datasets*

**Table 1.** Methodological Approaches Comparison.

| Study | Primary Technology | Processing Technique | Key Innovation |
|---|---|---|---|
| Sangale et al. [16] | AI + Computer Vision | CNN + OpenCV + AR Overlbay | Integrated AI–AR pipeline with 92.5% accuracy |
| Rafiq et al. [3] | Computer Vision + 3D Scanning | Pixel-per-metric + Graham's scan | Uncertainty-aware surface normal prediction |
| Wang et al. [15] | Deep Learning + Image Processing | HED Model + GrabCut | A4 paper reference for scale calibration |
| Panphattarasap et al. [8] | U-Net + Image Processing | U-Net Segmentation + Feature Extraction | Sub-millimeter accuracy (0.0001–0.01 cm) |
| Kaevrat et al. [14] | AR + LiDAR | 7D model + graph-based analysis | Markerless AR with medical focus |
| Francisco et al. [6] | Instance Segmentation | Roboflow 3.0 + COCO-seg | Real-time foot type classification |
| Boyne et al. [10] | Synthetic Data + Neural Networks | SynFoot dataset + Normal prediction | Few-view reconstruction capability |

**Table 2.** Methodologies and Dataset Characteristics

| Paper | Methodology | Dataset Details | Domain Adaptation |
|---|---|---|---|
| Francisco et al. [1] | iSUKAT: Smartphone-based system using image recognition, segmentation, and AI for shoe size measurement. | 200 participants, multiple smartphone images per foot, annotated for length/width. | E-commerce shoe sizing and retail footwear recommendation. |
| Shahid et al. [2] | Image-based object size measurement using reference markers for foot estimation. | Collected foot images with calibration markers. | Applicable to healthcare and shoe manufacturing. |
| Rafiq et al. [3] | OptiFit app: Combines 2D images with 3D scans, surface reconstruction, and CV. | Smartphone + structure sensor images, 300 scans. | Custom footwear fitting and orthotics. |
| Sricharan et al. [4] | Virtual Fit Trail: Deep learning for shoe recommendation. | Retail dataset of shoe sizes and foot images. | Online shopping platforms for virtual try-on. |
| Allan et al. [5] | Scoping review of 3D scanning methodologies for foot shape analysis. | Surveyed 3D scan studies, thousands of records. | Orthopedic and biomechanical research. |
| Kabir et al. [6] | Review of mobile apps for foot measurement in pedorthic practice. | Analysis of existing apps and datasets. | Clinical pedorthics and footwear health monitoring. |
| Ahamed & Vinisha [7] | Smart Fit Footwear: ML-based real-time shoe size prediction. | Dataset of 1000+ labeled foot images. | Commercial shoe sizing applications. |
| Panphattarasap et al. [8] | U-Net segmentation for foot parameters, image processing for insoles. | Dataset of insole measurements + smartphone images. | Medical insole production and orthopedic adaptation. |
| Wu et al. [9] | Elliptical Fourier analysis for foot shape prediction. | Dataset of foot outlines (hundreds of samples). | Textile and footwear design industries. |
| Boyne et al. [10] | FOUND: Synthetic data + uncertainty modeling for foot surface deformation. | Synthetic dataset + 3D reconstructions. | Adaptable to training robust CV models in footwear AI. |
| Au & Goonetilleke [11] | Psychophysical model to predict footwear fit. | Experimental participant dataset, subjective feedback. | Retail footwear fit prediction. |
| Boyne et al. [12] | FIND: Unsupervised implicit 3D model of articulated feet. | Synthetic + real multi-view images. | Biomechanics and motion analysis. |
| Wang et al. [13] | Image-based algorithm for foot size measurement. | Small dataset of labeled foot images. | Adaptable to low-cost mobile apps. |
| Kaewrat et al. [14] | AR-based system using 7 foot dimensions + 3D analysis. | Dataset collected with LiDAR sensors. | Virtual try-on shoe systems. |
| Wang et al. [15] | Image-based measurement using segmentation. | Dataset of 200+ foot images. | Mobile phone-based clinical applications. |
| Sangale et al. [16] | PhyGital Fit: AI + AR + foot morphology analysis with generative AI. | Smartphone images, annotated for morphology. | Personalized footwear fitting in e-commerce. |

## 4. Performance Analysis and Accuracy Assessment

### *4.1. Measurement Accuracy Comparison*

Analysis of reported accuracies across different approaches reveals significant variations:

- **Manual Measurement:** 75.6% accuracy with 0.9mm MAE [16].
- **2D Image-Based:** 85–90% accuracy with 1–4mm errors [15].
- **3D Scanning:** 90–98% accuracy with 0.1–2mm errors [3,5].
- **AI-Driven Systems:** 92.5% accuracy with 0.3mm MAE [16].

The superior performance of AI-driven systems stems from their ability to learn complex patterns from large datasets and adapt to individual foot characteristics. However, accuracy varies significantly based on foot shape complexity, with systems generally performing better on normal foot shapes compared to flat feet or high arches.

### *4.2. Evaluation Metrics and Standardization*

Studies employ various evaluation metrics including:

- **Mean Absolute Error (MAE):** Most commonly reported, ranging from 0.3–4mm.
- **Root Mean Square Error (RMSE):** Provides insight into measurement variability.
- **Precision and Recall:** Particularly relevant for classification tasks.
- **User Satisfaction Scores:** ISO 25010 standards increasingly adopted.

The lack of standardized evaluation protocols across studies makes direct comparison challenging. Allan et al. [5] proposed a 16-item checklist (CRITIC) to improve consistency in 3D scanning research.

### *4.3. Factors Affecting Accuracy*

Key factors influencing measurement accuracy include:

- **Foot Morphology:** Systems generally perform better on normal foot shapes, with reduced accuracy for flat feet (87.4% vs 93.3% for normal feet) [16].
- **Image Quality:** Lighting conditions, camera resolution, and background complexity significantly impact 2D-based systems [15].
- **Reference Objects:** Systems using reference objects for scale achieve better dimensional accuracy, with coin-based references providing reliable scaling [2].
- **Population Diversity:** Most studies focus on specific demographic groups, limiting generalizability. Expanding datasets to include diverse populations remains a challenge.

**Table 3.** Accuracy and Performance Comparison of Selected Studies.

| Study | Accuracy / Metrics | Validation M ethod | Error / Performance |
|---|---|---|---|
| Sangale et al. [16] | 92.5% accuracy (AI-based) | Real-world testing (200 participants) | MAE: 0.3 mm, 15s processing |
| Rafiq et al. [3] | Length: 95.2%, Width: 96.5%, Arch: 89.1%, Girth: 99.5% | Medical-grade silicon models | Dimension-dependent errors, ∼2 min processing |
| Wang et al. [15] | Avg. error: 4.26 mm | Manual measurement comparison | MAE: 3.36 mm, real-time |
| Panphattarasap et al. [8] | Sub-millimeter precision (0.0001–0.01 cm) | Expert validation | Error: 0.5326 mm, processing time not specified |
| Kaewrat et al. [14] | F-measure: 0.50–0.80 | Expert-based evaluation | $<$0.5 cm error, real-time AR |
| Traditional Manual [16] | 75.6% accuracy (baseline) | Standard measurement | Error: 0.9 mm, 60s processing |

The comparison highlights that AI-driven and computer-vision systems outperform traditional manual methods in both accuracy and speed. Sangale et al. [16] achieved the highest accuracy (92.5%) with minimal error (0.3 mm), while Rafiq et al. [3] demonstrated high dimensional precision but required longer processing times. Image-based approaches, such as Wang et al. [15], showed larger errors (3–4 mm) due to sensitivity to lighting and perspective distortions. Panphattarasap et al. [8] reported sub-millimeter precision, though at the cost of unspecified processing times. Kaewrat et al. [14] integrated AR-based evaluation with acceptable error margins ($<$0.5 cm), making it suitable for virtual try-on systems. Overall, traditional manual measurements remain less accurate and slower, serving mainly as a baseline for comparison.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1643.v1



## 5. Applications and Use Cases

### *5.1. E-commerce and Retail*

Digital foot measurement technologies primarily target online footwear retail applications. The technology addresses key challenges including:

- **Size Recommendation:** AI-driven systems provide personalized size suggestions based on foot morphology and brand-specific sizing variations.
- **Return Rate Reduction:** Virtual try-on systems demonstrate potential for reducing return rates through improved fit prediction.
- **Customer Experience:** AR-based visualization enhances consumer confidence in online purchases.

### *5.2. Healthcare and Medical Applications*

Specialized applications focus on healthcare needs:

- **Diabetic Foot Care:** Kaewrat et al. developed systems specifically addressing the needs of diabetes patients who require precise foot measurements to prevent complications [15].
- **Custom Orthotics:** Panphattarasap et al. designed systems for insole production with sub-millimeter accuracy requirements [8].
- **Pedorthic Applications:** Professional foot care specialists benefit from standardized measurement protocols and accurate 3D foot models.

### *5.3. Manufacturing and Customization*

Advanced applications support custom footwear manufacturing:

- **3D Printing:** High-resolution foot models enable direct 3D printing of custom footwear.
- **Last Design:** Traditional shoe last design benefits from accurate foot shape databases.
- **Quality Control:** Automated measurement systems improve consistency in manufacturing.

## 6. Challenges and Limitations

### *6.1. Technical Challenges*

- **Accuracy vs Accessibility Trade-off:** High-accuracy systems often require expensive equipment or controlled environments, limiting consumer accessibility. Smartphone-based solutions offer broader access but with reduced precision.
- **Standardization Issues:** Lack of standardized measurement protocols and evaluation metrics makes comparison across systems difficult. Different studies employ varying definitions for foot dimensions and measurement procedures.
- **Computational Requirements:** Real-time processing demands balance accuracy with computational efficiency. Mobile deployment requires optimization for resource-constrained environments.

### *6.2. Population and Diversity Challenges*

- **Limited Population Coverage:** Most studies focus on specific demographic groups (primarily young adults of Chinese or Asian heritage), limiting generalizability to diverse global populations [5].
- **Pathological Foot Shapes:** Systems generally perform poorly on non-standard foot shapes, with reduced accuracy for conditions such as flat feet, high arches, or foot deformities.
- **Age-Related Variations:** Limited research addresses foot measurement across different age groups, particularly children and elderly populations.

### *6.3. Environmental and Implementation Challenges*

- **Lighting Sensitivity:** 2D image-based systems remain susceptible to lighting variations and background complexity, affecting measurement reliability.

- **User Experience:** Complex measurement procedures may discourage adoption, particularly among non-technical users or elderly populations.
- **Privacy Concerns:** Foot scanning and measurement systems raise privacy concerns related to biometric data collection and storage.

## 7. Future Directions and Research Opportunities

### *7.1. Technological Advancements*

- **Advanced AI Architectures:** Integration of transformer models, attention mechanisms, and self-supervised learning approaches may improve measurement accuracy and robustness.
- **Multi-Modal Fusion:** Combining 2D images, depth information, and sensor data could provide comprehensive foot characterization while maintaining accessibility.
- **Edge Computing:** Optimization for mobile and edge devices will enable real-time processing without compromising user privacy.

### *7.2. Standardization and Validation*

- **International Standards Development:** Establishing standardized protocols for foot measurement and evaluation metrics will facilitate comparison and validation across systems.
- **Large-Scale Validation Studies:** Comprehensive studies across diverse populations are needed to validate system performance and identify population-specific optimization requirements.
- **Clinical Validation:** Integration with medical research will enable validation for healthcare applications and establishment of clinical accuracy thresholds.

### *7.3. Application Expansion*

- **Gait Analysis Integration:** Combining static foot measurement with dynamic gait analysis could provide comprehensive foot health assessment.
- **Predictive Modeling:** Long-term studies could enable prediction of foot shape changes due to aging, weight changes, or medical conditions.
- **Personalized Recommendations:** Advanced AI systems could provide personalized footwear recommendations considering individual preferences, activities, and foot health conditions.

## 8. Conclusions

This comprehensive review has examined the evolution and current state of digital foot measurement and virtual footwear fitting technologies. The analysis reveals significant progress from traditional manual measurement methods to sophisticated AI-driven systems capable of achieving over 92% accuracy in foot dimension prediction and size recommendation.

Key findings include:

- **Technology Maturation:** The field has evolved from simple 2D image processing to complex 3D reconstruction and AI-driven analysis, with modern systems achieving measurement accuracies comparable to professional equipment.
- **Application Diversity:** Technologies now address various use cases from e-commerce applications to specialized healthcare needs, demonstrating the broad applicability of digital foot measurement solutions.
- **Accuracy Improvements:** AI-driven approaches show clear advantages over traditional methods, with machine learning systems achieving 92.5% accuracy compared to 75.6% for manual measurements.
- **Persistent Challenges:** Despite technological advances, challenges remain in handling diverse foot morphologies, achieving standardization across different populations, and balancing accuracy with accessibility.

The review identifies several critical research gaps that require attention:

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 October 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1643.v1



- **Population Diversity:** Current systems require validation across more diverse populations to ensure global applicability.
- **Standardization:** Development of international standards for measurement protocols and evaluation metrics.
- **Complex Foot Shapes:** Improved algorithms for handling pathological or non-standard foot shapes.
- **Real-World Validation:** Large-scale studies in real-world conditions to validate laboratory-based findings.

Future research should focus on developing more robust and inclusive systems that can accurately serve diverse global populations while maintaining the accessibility that makes these technologies valuable for widespread adoption. The integration of advanced AI techniques, improved sensor technologies, and comprehensive validation studies will be crucial for realizing the full potential of digital foot measurement technologies.

The impact of these technologies extends beyond mere convenience, potentially addressing significant health issues related to improper footwear fit and contributing to improved quality of life for millions of consumers worldwide. As the technology continues to mature, broader adoption and continued innovation in this field can be expected.

## References


1. Francisco, A.E., Jumamoy, J.G.J., Lim, B.E.N., Centeno, C.J., Sison, A.A.R.C., Marcelo, E.D., & Dioses, R.M. (2024). iSUKAT: Capture, Measure, and Know Your Perfect Shoe Size Using Image Recognition. *2024 International Conference on Intelligent Cybernetics Technology & Applications (ICICyTA)*, 257–262.
2. Shahid, M.A., Iftikhar, M.A., Gondal, Z.A., Rathore, S., & Adnan, M. (2018). Object Size Measurement through Images: An Application to Measuring Human Foot Size. *2018 International Conference on Frontiers of Information Technology (FIT)*, 298–302.
3. Rafiq, R.B., Hoque, K.M., Kabir, M.A., Ahmed, S., & Laird, C. (2022). OptiFit: Computer-Vision-Based Smartphone Application to Measure the Foot from Images and 3D Scans. *Sensors*, 22(23), 9554.
4. Sricharan, R., Shafana, N.J., Sundar, K.S., Amshavalli, R.S., & Rajalakshmi, R. (2025). Virtual Fit Trail: Revolutionizing Size Recommendations and Enhanced Shopping Experience. *2025 7th International Conference on Intelligent Sustainable Systems (ICISS)*, 581–586.
5. Allan, J.J., Munteanu, S.E., Bonanno, D.R., Buldt, A.K., Choppin, S., Bullas, A., Pearce, N., & Menz, H.B. (2023). Methodological and statistical approaches for the assessment of foot shape using three-dimensional foot scanning: a scoping review. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research*, 16, 24.
6. Kabir, M.A., Rahman, S.S., Islam, M.M., Ahmed, S., & Laird, C. (2021). Mobile Apps for Foot Measurement in Pedorthic Practice: Scoping Review. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 9(3), e24202.
7. Ahamed, S.B. & Vinisha R., R. (2025). Smart Fit Footwear: Machine Learning-Based Real-Time Shoe Size Prediction. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 6(4), 2605–2609.
8. Panphattarasap, P., Praiwattana, P., Kraisangka, J., Janvikul, W., Thavornyutikarn, B., Kosorn, W., Nampichai, N., & Sawangphol, W. (2024). Utilizing Image Processing to Measure Foot Parameters for Insole Production. *Computer-Aided Design & Applications*, 21(6), 904–921.
9. Wu, G., Li, D., Hu, P., Zhong, Y., & Pan, N. (2017). Foot shape prediction using elliptical Fourier analysis. *Textile Research Journal*, 88(9), 1026–1037.
10. Boyne, O., Bae, G., Charles, J., & Cipolla, R. (2023). FOUND: Foot Optimization with Uncertain Normals for Surface Deformation Using Synthetic Data. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, 8097–8106.
11. Au, E.Y.L. & Goonetilleke, R.S. (2009). A Psychophysical Model for Predicting Footwear Fit. *VECIMS 2009 - IEEE International Conference on Virtual Environments, Human-Computer Interfaces and Measurements Systems*.
12. Boyne, O., Charles, J., & Cipolla, R. (2022). FIND: An Unsupervised Implicit 3D Model of Articulated Human Feet. *British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC)*.
13. Wang, H., Liu, F., & Fan, R. (2021). A research on foot size measurement algorithm based on image. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1903, 012004.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.1643.v1




14. Kaewrat, C., Boonbrahm, P., & Sahoh, B. (2023). The Design and Development of a Foot-Detection Approach Based on Seven-Foot Dimensions: A Case Study of a Virtual Try-On Shoe System Using Augmented Reality Techniques. *Informatics*, 10(2), 48.
15. Wang, H., Liu, F., & Fan, R. (2021). A research on foot size measurement algorithm based on image. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1903, 012004.
16. Sangale, A., Bhawar, N., Gholap, R., Raut, B., & Suryavanshi, K. (2025). PhyGital Fit: An AI-Driven Virtual Footwear Solution Integrating Generative AI, AR and Foot Morphology Analysis for Personalized Fit. *Journal of Cognitive Human-Computer Interaction*, 9(2), 1–10.