Review

Exploring the Future of Artificial Intelligence-Enhanced Wearable Electronics for Real-Time Arrhythmia Detection

Pratyusha Sharma , Praneel Sharma , Kamal Sharma * , Prakash Sojitra

Posted Date: 7 August 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

Keywords: sensors; wearable devices; artificial intelligence; algorithms

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

Review

Exploring the Future of Artificial Intelligence-Enhanced Wearable Electronics for Real-Time Arrhythmia Detection

Pratyusha Sharma [1], Praneel Sharma [2], Kamal Sharma [3,*] and Prakash Sojitra [4]

[1] Tech, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Ahmedabad University, Ahmedabad 380009, Gujarat, India

[2] Tech, Department of Information and Communication Technology, Dhirubhai Ambani Institute of Information and Communication Technology (DAIICT), Gandhinagar 382007, Gujarat, India

[3] Cardiologist, Department of Cardiology, SAL Hospital, Ahmedabad 380054, Gujarat, India

[4] Owner, 13782247 Canada Inc. (IP Surface), Ancaster, Canada L9K1L4

* Correspondence: kamalcardiodoc@gmail.com

Abstract

The field of arrhythmia detection and cardiovascular health monitoring is rapidly changing due to wearable technology. With a focus on developments in flexible materials, sensor integration, and electronic design for ongoing arrhythmia monitoring, this review offers a thorough examination of both established and new wearable sensor technologies. The article describes both commercial and experimental devices, such as textile-based, patch, and wrist-worn platforms, emphasizing their performance in clinical and real-world settings as well as their sensing modalities, including bioelectrical, optoelectrical, and mechanoelectrical techniques. The integration of machine learning (ML) and artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms is given special attention because it greatly improves wearable monitors' clinical utility, predictive power, and diagnostic accuracy. There is discussion of case studies, such as the use of deep learning to analyze photoplethysmography (PPG) and electrocardiogram (ECG) data, and their effects on earlier detection and improved management of atrial fibrillation and other arrhythmias. Critically analyzed are issues with data security, regulatory approval, signal fidelity, user adherence, and sensor ergonomics. In order to enhance long-term wearability and user comfort, the review also looks at the market environment, legal frameworks, and advancements in material science, including textile-integrated graphene electrodes and epidermal electronics. The importance of interoperable device architectures, strong privacy protection that complies with international standards, and the ongoing development of AI-driven analytics for real-time decision support in healthcare are highlighted as future research directions. The purpose of the synthesis is to direct researchers, engineers, and clinicians toward the upcoming generation of patient-centered, intelligent wearable technologies for arrhythmia detection.

Keywords: sensors; wearable devices; artificial intelligence; algorithms

1. Introduction

The term 'arrhythmia' refers to any deviation from normal heart rate or rhythm, whether it means faster or slower than normal, or irregular in nature. Many arrhythmias do not have discernible symptoms and do not require special attention, but others have symptoms such as palpitations, chest and breathing discomfort, or even fainting, and can be dangerous clinically. Wearable devices with artificial intelligence (AI) technology show promise in the continuous monitoring and detection of arrhythmias. AI can use the data that devices collect, such as heart rate, rhythm, activity levels, etc [1]. The use of AI has advanced the detection of arrhythmia using wearable devices like photoplethysmography, single-lead electrocardiography, and machine learning algorithms [2].

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

This feature discusses the present and future of wearable sensors in arrhythmia detection focusing on flexible and advanced materials and their electronic devices and provides examples to address recent advances in arrhythmia detection using advanced portable tools use bioelectrical, optoelectrical, mechanoelectric, or ultrasonic sensing techniques to monitor various physiological signals relating to arrhythmia. Device type, fundamental concept, performance, and analysis results are detailed, followed by a discussion of ambient personality, conductive skin scenario effects, and privacy implications.

It is also essential to look at the important developments, performances, clinical uses, and the future. The application of AI in wearable devices for arrhythmia detection has significantly advanced the field of cardiovascular health monitoring. These technologies leverages machine learning and deep learning algorithms to enhance the accuracy and timeliness of arrhythmia diagnosis, ultimately improving patient outcomes by early treatment.

To identify the kind of arrhythmia, the clinician currently prescribes tests like EKG, or Holter monitoring. Portable Holter devices often comes with track changes in health runs a 12 lead ECG that records the electrical activity of patient's heart continuously for 24, 48 hours or up to a week as per the physician's recommendation, or a slot-machine-sized portable monitor with a 2–3-year duration that automatically records each of the heart's heartbeat. The analyzing physician can distinguish normal and unusual patterns of rhythm history from the several recorded rhythms and investigate the strange ones [3].

2. Overview of Arrhythmia

Although much attention has been paid to flexible devices for data monitoring or diagnostics with wrist- wearable, growing awareness of body diversity and personal comfort preferences means attention must be turned to patch-like devices conforming to the body's surface. This has resulted in the development of upper arm patch-like devices that consist of compact flexible electronics mounted on soft material strips and are capable of measuring finger touching and wrist pulse signals to control the operation of consumer electronics or to classify the type of user's physical activity. [4)Detection of fibrillation (AF) is a challenging task but important for routine ECG monitoring as its prevalence has been increasing due to the aging population. The efficiency of the developed systems is validated with similarity, correlation, mean square error, positive predictive, and F1 score metrics evaluated from the collected ECG activities under various body report configurations [5].

3. Review of Current Technologies in Wearable Devices

Nowadays wearables such as watch, ring of finger scanning devices are available with large population. Off-the-shelf devices are widely used due to their ease of use, but obtaining regulatory approval for clinical applications remains a significant challenge for manufacturers. This research specifically discusses a regulated healthcare monitoring system based on UHF RFID technology that is used to continuously monitor the electrocardiography (ECG) of a patient over long periods of time away from the hospital. The system can provide a good trade-off between wearability and diagnostic usefulness and does not require any wired connections with the patient, i.e., the ECG data is extracted from electrodes incorporated into the t-shirt and sent wirelessly by the RFID headband worn by the person under observation. Immediately following an arrhythmia event diagnosis, an event-based communication strategy is used, providing an accurate reconstruction of the data right before the episode to save system power and network resources. Finally, a simple fixed ternary threshold selection is provided as a valuable tool for tuning a trade-off between false positives and false negatives.

3.1. Key Components and Functions

Three key components underpin the widespread adoption of wearable devices for automatic arrhythmia detection. Firstly, consumer-grade heart rate sensors are easier to wear and less costly

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

compared to traditional Holter electrocardiogram (ECG) devices [6]. Secondly, they enable long-term continuous monitoring, which is the only valid approach for unobserved, sporadic cardiologic diseases. The advent and spread of modern smartphones enhance these characteristics, as they can be used as a bridge to merge multichannel heart rate data from additional wearable sensors [7]. Finally, recent commercially available heart rate sensors process raw data and ECG on-device, and eventually to acquire high-quality single-lead ECG measurements. A commercially available cardiac monitoring system was validated for snap ECG investigation and continuous monitoring over 2-min in Earth and microgravity [8].

3.2. Market Trends and Innovations

Long-term, real-time, continuous monitoring is essential in arrhythmia detection, particularly with the increasing prevalence of asymptomatic arrhythmias [4]. Currently available sensor hardware platforms such as watches and smartphones predominately consist of using rigid sensors to measure ECG and PPG.

Recently, an epidermal electronics breakthrough has effectively minimized the bulkiness of wearable devices. These sensors are deposited on an ultrathin substrate resulting in only 3% of the modulus of the stainlesssteel backing, facilitating circulation and mechanical flexibility. Moreover, the sensors consist of pyramid structures that permit up to 45% stretchability; the high aspect ratio allows adhesion to the skin using only van der Waals forces. It is observed that the 13 μm thick sensor can be manufactured for <$100 each and is powered by an external battery via wireless communication. It is unveiled that the cost of operation is only $4.8 per year, making it the most economically viable. Few groundbreaking technology eyes on future arrythmia detection are based on AI-driven wearables, nose reduction and data clarity, and real-time patient monitoring. AI-powered wearable devices, including smartwatches and ECG patches, enable real-time heart monitoring and early arrhythmia detection [9]. Some machine learning models, including decision trees and convolutional neural networks, have been employed to analyze heart rate and ECG data, achieving high accuracy rates in arrhythmia recognition [10]. To have most effective ML applicability on wearables, data clarity and noise reduction help to interpret data and monitor patients effectively, Advanced models, such as those using generative adversarial networks (GANs), effectively remove noise from ECG signals, enhancing the clarity of data for accurate arrhythmia classification. The integration of hierarchical deep learning approaches has shown promising results in classifying various arrhythmia types, achieving an F1-score of 99.10% on noise-free data [11]. With all state-of-the art technology real-time patient monitoring and signal detection warning are fundamental aspect of device. AI-enabled wearables provide immediate notifications to patients upon detecting arrhythmias, allowing for timely medical intervention. The proactive nature of these devices has been linked to a reduction in hospitalization rates and improved adherence to treatment plans [9].

4. Artificial Intelligence Applications

Despite advancements in PPG technology in smartwatches, smart bands, and smartphones, early-stage detection of AF remains underutilized. Thus far, taking into account those challenges and observation, AI and ML offer promising solutions to address these challenges. Consequently, whether using AI or ML is more relevant for this task, if wearable gadgets like smart-bands, smartphones, and smart-watches have additional capabilities to recognize AF, thus allowing consumers early alerts before symptoms are arising. One of the critical requests will be the production of wearable gadgets installed with evolving technologies of AI/ML.

4.1. AI Algorithms for Arrhythmia Detection

At present, AI has demonstrated to considerably amplify the performance of different diagnostic methods. Since 2020, 22 AF diagnosis models based on wearable devices have been developed utilizing AI and have achieved substantial positive outcomes [12]. AI models, particularly deep

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

neural networks, have shown high sensitivity and specificity in detecting arrhythmias, with some models achieving a sensitivity of 94.80% and specificity of 96.96% [13]. These algorithms can process data from wearables to detect abnormalities in heart rhythms effectively.

4.2. Data Analysis and Interpretation

Most of the commercially available devices target fitness or wellness metrics, and are used for health monitoring. Recently, ECG monitors have been incorporated under the wearables umbrella, and their use in atrial fibrillation detection has been validated [14]. It is noted that the technological approach of the described predecessor should be, at the very least, suitable for others [15]. Furthermore, it is suggested that a device capable of single-lead ECG monitoring should further improve signal quality by outfitting the device with fewer but higher-quality electrodes [16].

On the other hand, the population worldwide is increasing in age, and the prevalence of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) increases with age. Professionals and facilities focused on arrhythmia detection might be overwhelmed with demand, especially if preventative routines are undertaken. Commercial companies, on the other hand, aim to lower device costs and prolong battery life, neglecting early pathologies like paroxysmal arrhythmias that produce low power in the ECG signal, which are essential in the pre-symptomatic phase.

4.3. Case Studies of AI in Wearables

Atrial fibrillation (AF) has become a global problem, associated with one in three strokes in Europe. Also in the United States, it has been reported to be a leading cause of stroke, with more than 30% of all events related to AF. Findable 'Irregular' events are demanding to detect by an individual, making arranged screening of the heart rhythm essential. Automatic interpretation of ECG signals is an area where many specialists supporting its excellence have joined hands. When the patient can be monitored at home and provided with information related to the health of their heart is being increasingly seen as necessary. One of the most noticeable efforts in this direction may be the proliferation of wearable consumer technologies equipped with physiology monitoring sensors. Despite it being an effective way of detecting AF, ECG signals are infrequently used as a screening tool compared to conventional vital signs, like blood pressure and body temperature.

Artificial intelligence (AI) as a way to rear behind the portrayal of patients arises as a progressively exciting tool in disciplined industries, finding and overcoming valued information difficult to comprehend by subtle means. The two ultimate actuating signals registered for arrhythmic interrogations in commerce-ready sundry phones and smart watches are the ECG and PPG, which both canon for a heart-related composing. The importance of the setting of such a heart-tracing wear would ideally be the chest, as it has long been a standard area for the arrangement of Holter trackers in hospital scenarios [8].

The assessment of AI models' development based on the classifier adjusted to data recording is done in the systematic review and subsequent meta-analysis of the accessible technical literature. This review and meta-analysis milled upon the accessible tech news summarized AI models that were developed based on the provided sensor-initiatives -root 4D's (detent PPG signals dual-focal 2D CCTV recordings [17]. The 4D attentive outfit put-on here is BERT-based with the adjustments for multitask learning of the AF-detection and cankering-contemptivity prophecy. In the light of the experimental outcomes, this modality later produced the AC second yield below the hundredth lingo limit of 97.0%—thereafter repeatably and perceptibly alienor to detect the signal's linguistic ramifications.

4.4. Key Technologies and Algorithms

4.4.1. PPG and ECG Integration

Most common smartwatches (like Apple Watch and Fitbit) use PPG to check blood flow changes and irregular heart rhythms [18]. Notifications are triggered for further ECG verification based upon

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

an analysis of the pattern indicative of an AF. [19]. The combination of these technologies are expected improve the accuracy of ECG analysis. For instance, AliveCor was able to predict the occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias with an AUROC of 0.746 through deep representations of behavioral data. AI models, which have been trained using ECG data from wearables8 of 280 patients, were able to predict AF onset 30 minutes in advance [20]

4.4.2. Clinical Impact

The application of AI in wearable devices for arrhythmia detection is a rapidly evolving field, offering significant potential for improving cardiovascular health monitoring and diagnosis. With application of AI and ML, consideration of clinical impact to verify clinical effective ness is important. The most significant impact of early AF detection is stroke prevention. Early AF detection via wearables doubled therapeutic intervention rates in the eBRAVE-AF trial, reducing stroke risk through timely anticoagulant use. Additionally, real-time virtual or remote data monitoring using AI-enhanced wearables enable personalized care, particularly for high-risk populations, by providing real-time data to clinicians. Moreover, with large data set of patient, behavioural patterns and long-term trend monitoring (e.g., reduced physical activity bouts) analyzed by AI help to eliminate ventricular arrhythmia risk.

5. Limitations of Current Technologies

Arrhythmia detection usually requires the acquisition of physiological signals for a long time in the natural environment of patients. A typical method for arrhythmia detection in daily conditions is the use of portable electrocardiography (ECG) devices. They have a dry contact ECG sensor on a device band and can continuously monitor ECG data and process it using the application on smartphones. The generation of arrhythmia is not always accompanied by prominent ECG morphology changes and may go undetected in a standard ECG examination. Additionally, unlike ECG, the heart rate variability (HRV) is not directly represented by the amplitude of PQRST features. These constraints researcher the study of alternative monitoring techniques beyond ECG such as AL and ML based wearables.

5.1. Accuracy and Reliability Issues

Accuracy and reliability are critical parameters for validating medical tools, particularly in arrhythmia detection. The second theme is case studies and discussions on arrhythmia detection [21]. They discuss an invasive tissue engineering-based sensing system for long-lasting intracardiac electrophysiological signals detection, a deep learning approach an implantable sensing system further from MRI RF and coupled multi-perspective wearable photoplethysmography-based user authentication [22].

5.2. User Acceptance and Compliance

With the recent designs of “wearable” electronic sensors and common equipment, the commoners are now able to monitor their heart rhythms with smartwatches, mobile phones, and electrocardiogram (ECG) machines. The detection of atrial rates during arrhythmia is of decisive importance for their diagnosis, rate-controlled therapy, and follow-up treatment process [9].

5.3. Technical Limitations of Sensors

Most advanced sensors are designed to measure electrophysiological signals, bioimpedance, skin temperature, and stress levels from the skin surface. Factors of the stratum corneum significantly limit the accuracy and reliability of non-invasive skin sensors since the measurements are not always consistent and stable. Motion artifacts of on-skin and near-skin sensors may be random or periodic disturbances in the signals caused by skin movements, such as bending and stretching. As a result, these disturbances corrupt the acquired signals with unwanted high-frequency components which

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

may surpass the frequency components of the bio signals. On the other hand, small-sized and tightly attached on-skin sensors are also harder to handle. Compression garments may cause skin irritation, inflammation, and rashes due to pressure applied by the sensors, which provides similar symptoms with arrhythmia. Blood flow restriction and reduced oxygenation are more likely to occur in sensors placed in areas with a higher fat layer. Additionally, the labile signals are more susceptible to noise and motion artifacts. It's hard to obtain reliable arterial measurements from deep arteries due to adipose tissue.

Table 1. Comparison of Various wearable devices and their features.

Device/Study	Manufacturer	Arrhythmia Type	Sensitivity / Specificity	Key Features	Clinical Validation
Apple Watch (Series 4+)	Apple Inc.	AF	87% / 97%	Real-time ECG/PPG, FDA-cleared, high AF specificity	Apple Heart Study [18]
Fitbit Sense / Charge 5	Google (Fitbit)	AF	Not reported	Requires ≥30 min irregular rhythm, ECG feature FDA-cleared	Fitbit Heart Study [5]
Zio Patch	iRhythm Technologies	General	63.2% diagnostic yield	Continuous ECG, detects arrhythmias in 48% within 1 day	mSToPS Trial [8]
KardiaMobile	AliveCor	AF	Not reported	Single-lead ECG, FDA-cleared, CE-marked	iREAD Study [19]
Withings Scan Watch	Withings	AF	Not reported	FDA-cleared, SpO_2 monitoring, internal validation	Internal validation [14]
WHOOP	WHOOP Inc.	HR/HRV	99.7% HR accuracy	High HRV consistency, strain-based activity tracking	[7]
Oura Ring	Oura Health	HR/Sleep	99.3% HR / 96% sleep accuracy	Clinical-grade sleep staging, high resting HR accuracy	[28]
Garmin Devices	Garmin Ltd.	HR/Sleep	1.16–1.39% HR error	Reliable step tracking, 98% sleep detection	[7]
Cardiologs AI	Cardiologs	AF + 20 arrhythmias	96.9% specificity	Deep learning, reduces false positives by 70%, fewer inconclusive ECGs	Requires 12-lead ECG validation [10]
Verily Study Watch	Verily (Alphabet)	Long-term rhythm	Investigational	Designed for extended monitoring, part of Project Baseline	Project Baseline [30]
Biobeat BB-613	Biobeat Technologies	General	Ongoing	Continuous vitals and arrhythmia monitoring, FDA-cleared	Ongoing clinical validation [21]
Samsung Galaxy Watch	Samsung Electronics	AF	Not reported	ECG-enabled, FDA-cleared, CE-marked	Samsung HeartWise Study [7]
QardioCore	Qardio Inc.	General	Not FDA-cleared	Continuous ECG, CE-marked	Internal validation [6]

Keywords- AF: Atrial Fibrillation, HR: Heart Rate, HRV: Heart Rate Variability,ECG: Electrocardiogram,PPG: Photoplethysmography,FDA: Food and Drug Administration,CE: Conformité Européenne.

6. Future Needs in Wearable Technology

Arrhythmias, or abnormal heart rhythms, remain very common and are predicted to increase as the population ages. Thus, a convenient sensor could allow for continuous long-term detection of arrhythmias. Wearable devices have emerged to fill this need and have the potential to track physiological metrics in real-time on a continuous basis. There are varying levels of invasiveness with these devices, with the most successful being non-invasive and occurring in the form of sensors on a bandage.

Researchers have developed E-tattoo sensors for ECG and PPG that are applied with a temporal tattoo and a laminating machine. Performances are comparable, over 92% accuracy for ECG and over 95% for PPG, to electrode-based flexible sensors [23]. However, several minutes of data were

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

captured to reach these accuracies, indicating a severe limitation. The recent device is skin-like, 90 μm thick, and stretchable up to 45% strain. Furthermore, the backside is coated with an elastomer to allow for up to 30 cycles of re-use. Device dermatitis was experienced in over 60% of cases, despite the implementation of a gauze pad.

Importantly, smartwatch and smartphone accuracies are relatively low, 76% and 71% respectively. More importantly, however, is the fact that accuracies were not provided with flexible sensors, only electrode-based sensors [24]. Bidirectional long short-term memory with attention increased accuracy from 71% to 77.7% for smartwatch devices.

Future Directions:

1. Personalized Algorithms Using data from long-lasting wearable devices, we can personalize our algorithms to help our patients.

2. Integration with Telemedicine. Enhancing remote observation systems for real-time physician notifications.

3. Multimodal Sensors. Using PPG, ECG and accelerometer data to boost detection accuracy of different arrhythmias. AI-powered wearables are changing how we treat arrhythmias, enabling large-scale identification and prevention. Although standardization and costs remain problems, new developments in deep learning and sensor abilities are promising improved accuracy and more widespread use.

In summary, deep learning-based systems like Cardiologs lead in clinical reliability, while consumer devices (Apple, WHOOP) excel in continuous monitoring but face trade-offs between precision and usability.

6.1. Advancements in Sensor Technology

The goal of successful arrhythmia detection could be realized through the combination of more accessible wearables and advanced machine learning algorithms [25]. The research aims the discussion of the future of wearable devices in the context of arrhythmia detection. Real-time, robust blood pressure estimation methods targeting variable exercise intensity are presented using data collected with wearable devices [26].

6.2. Integration with Healthcare Systems

A comprehensive architecture to manage the interconnection of many platforms like smart phones, wearable systems and ambulatory ECG recorders, with healthcare systems to enable a real-time, automated ECG classification and interoperability with electronic health records (EHRs), becomes increasingly important in the current scenario [10].

6.3. User-Centric Design Improvements

With the recent rise in unobtrusive, wearable sensors capable of continuously collecting physiological data, screening for arrhythmias could be easier and more attainable [4]. The simplest and most widespread wearable ECG sensor is the chest strap, which typically employs a reusable snap-on electrode on the skin at the level of the heart.

A telemetry unit clipped to the electrode sends signal in real time to another device. An overly tight fit can cause inconvenience and/or skin irritation, and moreover, the electrode can lose contact due to humidity in the case of a crumpled T-shirt or sweating. Therefore, it is most often used during sport activities and not at any other time of the day for continuous ECG monitoring that instead is recommended by the guidelines to increase the probability of the successful detection of arrhythmias [11].

Other problems of wearable ECG chest belt sensors are the availability of only a single electrode, hence a limited number of available leads, and the absence of a single instant-print lead. Most arrhythmia detectors rely on the analysis of a continuous heart rate tracing, whereas ECG snapshots would allow for the verification of proper detection of few beats of the arrhythmia in question.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

A pilot validation and feasibility study of a novel wearable ECG chest belt sensor, capable of automatic arrhythmia detection. A sports chest-belt heart rate sensor has been modified to automatically detect atrial fibrillation of >30 seconds and of ventricular extrasystoles.

7. Regulatory Challenges

Rhythm disorders are common types of cardiovascular disease and often go unnoticed in the general population, although they can cause lifelong consequences if not treated properly. Wearables with ECG capabilities have been introduced to the market and are constantly being developed. However, to ensure their commercial success these wearables face challenges such as FDA and FCC regulations, form factors that provide comfort, simplicity of use, and trust in the acquired data from both the user and the doctor. Long-term, real-time, continuous monitoring is essential in arrhythmia detection [4]. Government health agencies and legislative agencies keep a regulatory eye on wearable devices. State-of-the-art wearable devices have problems with motion artifacts, offline data loss, low accuracy, and patient non-cooperation. The future of wearable devices used in cardiovascular applications is discussed.

7.1. Current Regulatory Landscape

Following, an engineering account is given, which discusses electrocardiogram (ECG) graphs, signal filtering techniques, and methods for extracting parameters such as heart rate, heart rate variability and different types of arrhythmia waveform. A large amount of raw evidence needs to be interpreted to classify the cardiac signals according to a predefined scheme, which is quite onerous. A sound knowledge on topics covered in this review may be helpful in proposing new systematic approaches for both automatic signal processing and classification tasks, aiming at ameliorated and reliable detection of arrhythmia.

7.1.1. FDA Guidelines

The primary method for acquiring electrocardiogram (ECG) signals for arrhythmia detection has traditionally relied on wired systems, such as Holter monitors and telemetry units. With the advent of wireless ECG transmission technologies, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) began regulating the safety of these transmissions [27]. Several studies have examined the potential for Bluetooth and Wi-Fi frequencies to interfere with nearby electronic devices operating on similar bands.

A key concern remains whether Bluetooth and Wi-Fi signals could disrupt the function of critical medical devices, including pacemakers, defibrillators, and other cardiac electronic rhythm devices (CERDs). Since 2010, the FDA has overseen the safety of wireless technologies in accordance with standards set by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), the FDA itself, and Japan's Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare (MHLW).

The FDA advises manufacturers of active implantable medical devices (IMDs) to assess the risk of electromagnetic interference from mobile phones and similar communication devices used in close proximity to IMDs. To mitigate potential risks, the FDA recommends maintaining a minimum distance of 15 cm between such devices and the implant, and avoiding direct contact with the device or its leads [28].

Manufacturers of CERDs also stress the importance of following safety guidelines outlined in the "Information for Patients and/or Persons in Close Proximity" sections of their documentation. These instructions, typically included in user manuals, provide critical information for the safe use of electronic input devices near implantable cardiac devices.

7.1.2. CE Marking

Before a new medical device can be introduced to the EU market, its safety and performance must be thoroughly evaluated, and the manufacturer is required to provide sufficient clinical

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

evidence. This assessment is conducted by an independent organization known as a Notified Body (Brönneke et al. 2021). For arrhythmia monitoring devices—classified as active medical devices that either administer or exchange energy with the human body or monitor vital physiological processes—the standard classification is Class IIa, as defined in Annex IX of Directive 93/42/EEC. However, Article 8 of Regulation (EU) 2017/745 on Medical Devices stipulates that devices intended to monitor vital physiological parameters, where fluctuations could pose an immediate danger to the patient, must be classified as Class IIb (Mohamoud et al. 2024). This includes devices such as drug delivery monitors, electrical impulse monitors, cardiac monitors that interact with active devices, and life-supporting systems. Regardless of classification, a formal technical dossier must be prepared for review by the Notified Body.

The ability to detect arrhythmias is central to the clinical evaluation, as it represents both the primary performance requirement and the core intended purpose of most arrhythmia monitoring devices.

7.2. Barriers to Market Entry

A multitude of device manufacturers have entered the wearables market, spawning a wide spectrum of devices and applications. They specialize in monitoring a wide variety of biometric data, mostly focused on physical activity, heart rate, and sleep analysis. This trend in popular wearables has aroused interest in their medical and clinical use.

It has been demonstrated that PPG can detect arterial fibrillation with sensitivity of 69.8% and specificity of 86% compared to 12-lead Holter monitor as a reference standard. Classification algorithms used on wearable devices have evolved to DI, thanks to machine learning techniques. Percentage of PPG devices supporting class 11 algorithms increased significantly from 2019 to 2020.

Despite the potential for the widespread use of wearables for health care applications, their use in cardiology, and particularly in arrhythmia detection, seems to be in its very early stage. There are considerations that have the potential to create barriers to the market entry of these devices. It is believed that consumer-grade devices competing with medical-grade devices are not safe for heart disease detection. Another concern is also the high number of false positives due to the complex algorithms. However, understanding that ambulatory devices tend to aim for high sensitivity seems to alleviate this concern [16].

7.3. Future Regulatory Considerations

The development, testing, and commercialization of medical devices including wearable technologies for arrhythmia detection take place within a highly regulated framework. Adherence to international standards during the design and validation of wearable sensors not only ensures compliance but also fosters innovation and accelerates market access.

For small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and research laboratories, navigating this regulatory landscape can be particularly challenging. The introduction of the European Union's Medical Device Regulation (EU MDR 2017/745) and In Vitro Diagnostic Regulation (EU IVDR 2017/746) has significantly reshaped the compliance environment. These regulations emphasize a lifecycle approach to safety, performance, and clinical evaluation, and have prompted a comprehensive review of relevant standards by the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) and the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) to align with the new EU framework [29].

A key shift under MDR and IVDR is the formalization of risk management and clinical evidence requirements. Manufacturers must now integrate standardized risk management processes (e.g., ISO 14971) and harmonized terminology throughout the product lifecycle. This includes pre-market clinical evaluation, post-market surveillance, and vigilance reporting. These expectations are particularly critical for wearable arrhythmia monitors, which often fall under Class IIa or IIb depending on their intended use and interaction with vital physiological parameters.

Recent amendments to MDR and IVDR, adopted in 2024, have extended transitional provisions to prevent device shortages while maintaining safety standards. These changes also support the

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

gradual implementation of the European Database on Medical Devices (EUDAMED), which will enhance transparency and traceability across the EU market.

As the regulatory ecosystem continues to evolve, manufacturers of wearable cardiac monitoring devices must remain agile adapting their quality management systems, documentation practices, and clinical strategies to meet both current and emerging requirements.

8. Ethical Considerations in AI and Wearables

The risk of wearables in preventing potential cases through false detection and proliferating inadequate preventionist interventions justifies an ethically grounded precautionary approach to their use [19]. Wearables and their steadily growing availability have been discussed in an ethical-grounded techno-critical frame questioning the lack of transparency characterizing the development of Preventive AI systems based on wearable technologies [30]. This also applies to the data collection and related informed consent with or without fully understanding the potential applications [31].

Widely provided wearable dissemination services in current corporate wellness organizations raising ethical issues grounded in decreased contextual integrity of the often deliberate behavior change intervention arbitrarily promoted through the collection of detached big data. This ethical stance reliance on information availability and transparency makes the CNIL's concept of delegated privacy responsibility on the part of design companies a key policy suggestion to be issued by workplace authorities or a top-down regulatory body. Therefore, the wearable data acquisition—system flow chart needs to be accurately defined and publicized concerning the complete data stream from wearable measurements, data processing and storage modalities, processing steps and categories of generated automatic notifications conveyed to the wearables' users on behalf of the task organization.

8.1. Data Privacy and Security

As wearable medical devices become more prevalent in healthcare and home environments, ensuring the privacy and security of the data they collect is increasingly critical. These devices, while offering valuable real-time health insights, are susceptible to a range of cybersecurity threats. For example, malicious QR codes can compromise device integrity, and even laser-based attacks have been shown to issue silent commands to voice assistants from over 100 meters away.

Wearables used for cardiac monitoring present unique risks. Unauthorized access to sensitive physiological data—such as heart rhythms—could lead to false diagnoses or misuse of personal health information. Additionally, the wireless transmission of data between the device and external systems raises concerns about interception, tampering, or leakage of confidential information. The potential for sensor node hacking or malfunction further complicates the security landscape.

In smart home settings, wearable systems often interact with other connected devices, collecting and transmitting data to cloud-based or local infrastructure. Without robust encryption, authentication, and update mechanisms, these systems can become vulnerable entry points for cyberattacks.

To address these challenges, regulatory bodies have issued updated guidance. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) now requires that manufacturers of "cyber devices"—defined as those with internet connectivity and software components—submit cybersecurity documentation as part of their premarket applications. This includes plans for monitoring, identifying, and addressing postmarket vulnerabilities, as well as coordinated vulnerability disclosure procedures [32–34].

The FDA's guidance emphasizes that cybersecurity must be integrated into the device's quality system and lifecycle management. This includes ensuring the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of data, and implementing secure design practices from the outset [31].

In parallel, the World Health Organization (WHO) has called for stronger legal and ethical frameworks to protect personal health data in digital health systems. Their Global Strategy on Digital Health (2020–2025) highlights the need for cybersecurity governance, equitable access, and accountability in the deployment of digital health technologies [34].

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

Manufacturers of wearable arrhythmia monitors must therefore adopt a proactive, standards-based approach to data protection. This includes (1) Implementing secure communication protocols (e.g., TLS/SSL) (2) Conducting regular security audits and penetration testin and (3) Aligning with international standards such as ISO/IEC 27001 (information security management) and ISO 14971 (risk management for medical devices).

By embedding these practices into the design and operation of wearable devices, developers can help ensure that innovation in health monitoring does not come at the cost of user privacy or safety.

8.2. Informed Consent and User Rights

Monitoring mobile health data is considered one of the ways to improve citizens' health. Another dataset is used to show changes in production of similar datasets and completeness of the dataset of the apple pickers. However, there is potential for these data to leak private information, particularly health information. Let the device users identify the tangible elements in the device they are using that, through their policy as described by the device manufacturer, record health data of the device user, and for privacy purposes the device users would not want it this use disclosed.

9. Comparative Analysis of Global Markets

Approximately 20% of US residents currently own a smart wearable device, and the global market of these devices is expected to reach USD 70 billion by 2025. Among the health-related data, heart rate is the most frequently monitored parameter followed by blood pressure monitoring and is also closely related to arrhythmia. As the market for wearable devices is expanding rapidly, there is a growing interest to apply wearable devices to arrhythmia detection [30]. The market size and sales of wearable devices are expected to show a steady growth.

New sensing methods are being explored, or rare types of sensors such as ultrasonics can also detect ECG data. The sensing technology using wearable devices has been researched to develop better methods of detection according to time and frequency parameters. Especially, wrist-type and ring-type wearable devices can be a convenient tool to diagnose of asymptomatic or symptomatic AF. The use of commercial devices can be considered for cost-effective and easy-to-use devices. Through a commercially available smartwatch, an analysis is conducted to diagnose the AF present in ECG signals. In research, the smartwatch was validated on ECG data, PPG signals, and produced innovative results. There is a general expectation for growth in the market for these wearable health devices, and wearable devices specifically designed for arrhythmia hopes to improve the health of users.

9.1. Market Leaders and Innovations

Arrhythmias can also develop outside of underlying conditions due to things like a high level of stress or drowning. Many findings have determined that genetics can be of great importance in the appearance or non-appearance of some diseases, including but not limited to arrhythmias. Health care providers generally use a combination of rhythm monitoring and diagnostics tests to determine what type of arrhythmia a person might have if they exhibit any signs or symptoms related to it [4]. Due to the chronicity and unpredictability of arrhythmias, sufferers from these diseases significantly and frequently undergo monitoring.

On one end of the spectrum, cardiac events like fainting or extreme chest pain may be the primary reason why one is monitored but even those who only have a history of arrhythmias are commonly put on devices to continuously or periodically monitor their heart rhythm for any unusual activity. Long-term, real-time, continuous monitoring is obviously pivotal in order to successfully detect arrhythmic events . Therefore, the quality of the monitored data should be addressed. This requires high-quality sensors and high-quality recordings made by those sensors. With this basic idea in mind, the current landscape surrounding wearables involved in arrhythmia detection is examined throughout the world [7].

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

9.2. Regional Differences in Adoption

The clinical application of wearable technology normally centres around its medical grade counterpart; bespoke devices designed with the healthcare sector in mind. Terms such as smartwatches and other consumer wearables dominate the consumer market, while digital health technology's recent emergence has been focused upon user-friendly mobile applications. One of the most important such platform in use in Wales is designed by To Device in Silicon Valley. At time of publication, it is estimated that as much as 25% of the medical consultants in the country are actively using this system, which they can access directly via their NHS email account. As conversions move from being triaged by the off-site administration team employed by To Device to a new specially created role of 'Clinical Device Specialist', the data recording is to remain separate from the health board on which the consultant is formally employed, instead being stored on the secure server hosted by the Cardiff and Vale University Health Board. With clinicians in all health boards now using data that has been processed in this manner, it has been a notable increase in the quantity of information accompanying each referral.

10. User Experience and Engagement

Commercially available chest belts for monitoring cardio-respiratory parameters or detecting physical activities are widely sold in stores open to the public and online ones. This technology is now the most popular and economically affordable, with the commercial price ranging from few to several tens of euros/dollars for a single device, also including the portable HRM-computer/GPS-sports-watches. Although no formal studies have been performed, it can be assumed that several ten thousands of chest-belt sensors, currently implanted with sports or running equipment, are in use over the world. The rapid advancements in small portable electronic systems and biocompatible materials have enabled the introduction on the market of an increasing number of "medical-like technologies" for self use, capable of ECG evaluation or arrhythmia detection.

Completely embedded or wearable automatic arrhythmia detectors are also now available; although simple to use, being typically in the form of a pen or thermometers, or a small box applier with the electrodes applied on the palms or legs, they are not easily transportable, nor discrete. Conversely, some mobile phones have been now transformed into ECG systems adding a peripheral device to apply sensors on the body and chest belt sensors have been used to monitor and record ECG among contact sports athletes, but only with a specific m-service transmitting digitally the tracing to the tele-cardiological platform for expert evaluation [2].

10.1. Design Considerations for Users

The developed device allows a cardiac signal to be monitored in uncontrolled conditions and other physiological signals to be acquired via wireless communication. The ECG device embeds a novel circuit design for the unbalance of the driven right-leg electrode purported for the reduction of Common-Mode Rejection Ratio [6]. The device has been systematically assessed by measuring the QRS detection rate at different frequencies of faults occurred in the left and right leg electrodes, by comparing the performance of the developed system with an equivalent commercially available one based on the lithium-based Ag/AgCl electrodes.

Wearable technologies have been identified as very eligible for health monitoring since they can continuously measure the vital parameters in ordinary life conditions. The last generation of these devices is intended for Common-Mode Rejection Ratio (CMRR), but the use of wet electrodes is one of the main reasons wearable ECG systems are not yet widely adopted [6]. Furthermore, these systems are not easy to be worn under clothes and are usually limited to the monitoring of heart activity. For these reasons, a portable device, able to acquire and send the information of the patients, is desired by the point of view of hospital ward and home care.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

10.2. Impact on Patient Outcomes

With the rise of wearable technology, the focus of research on the evaluation of wearable devices in the detection of cardiac arrhythmias is increasing rapidly. The touch-and-go arrangements for the rigorous pointing of all wearable ovens for the formation of the required model are based on this.

Wearable technology has started a roar, with the health-related technology that is mostly limited. Wearable health devices have become reliable tools for data collection and management in complex healthcare environments. With the increasing popularity of these devices, the-related topics are exacerbated, especially in 43 exploring the effectiveness, feasibility, and usability of wearable health technologies with.

11. Future Directions in Research

11.1. Emerging Technologies to Watch

Arrhythmia detection typically involves monitoring bioelectric or blood flow signals with large electrode patches or oscillometric pressure cuffs in a clinical setting or hospital. They include (1) Cardiac electrophysiology monitoring using a flexible textile e-tattoo. (2) Mobile pneumotachometer for real-time respiratory rate monitoring. (3) A soft wireless cardiac assist device that is battery-free and inserstabile. (4) A ribbon-like, textile-integrated bend sensor that can be attached and detach from clothing. (5) A haptics shirt that guides users to apply appropriate chest compression force. (6) Wearable sweat sensors that are rapidly and evenly manufactured through a dipping-based coating. (7) A thin, stretchable smart circuit film that functions in negative-strain states. (8) A modular approach combining sensors, therapy, and neural electrical recording capabilities in a single device. These systems are selected from a broad range of physiology sensing modalities including bioelectric, thermolectric, mechanoelectric, optoelectric, acoustic, and ultrasound.

11.2. Collaborative Research Opportunities

There are a saveral opportunities for interdisciplinary and collaborative programs in wearable and flexible electronic sensors for arrhythmia detection in the future. By combining the expertise and facilities in materials science for nanoengineering, electrical and bioengineering for sensor design, chemistry for smart skin fabrication, as well as cardiology, clinical research can be greatly facilitated, which ultimately benefits patients' quality of care. Cross-discipline research is believed to have positive impacts in terms of advancing fundamental knowledge, fostering innovative ideas, training diverse talented students, increasing competitiveness in research funding, and enacting a variety of relationships with government agencies and clinical departments [25].

12. Conclusions

Continuous, long-term, real-time monitoring remains a cornerstone for effective arrhythmia detection. While both continuous and intermittent ECG recordings can identify sustained atrial fibrillation episodes, those lasting 60 seconds or more are typically used to determine clinical prevalence. Advances in device form factor and comfort have played a pivotal role in the adoption of wearable technologies by patients. Ensuring comfort, compliance, and wearability continues to drive innovation in materials and design. Although commercial wearables like smartwatches and phones offer promising platforms for digital health, their rigid and bulky nature can limit placement options and cause discomfort. These limitations often lead to poor fit, motion-related artifacts, data loss, and reduced accuracy. Recent innovations in lightweight, flexible wearables have improved user experience and adherence. Notably, epidermal electronics represent a significant advancement, offering stretchable, adhesive-free, and disposable designs that mimic skin properties for seamless integration. However, durability challenges persist. Conductive gels in wet electrodes degrade over time, and, sweat or sebum buildup in solid materials can impair sensor performance. In contrast,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

screen-printed graphene electrodes on textiles show promise, maintaining high conductivity and functionality even after extensive washing and bending cycles.

References

1. Sabry, F.; Eltaras, T.; Labda, W.; Alzoubi, K.; Malluhi, Q.; Wu, Y. Machine Learning for Healthcare Wearable Devices: The Big Picture. *J. Heal. Eng.* **2022**, *2022*, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4653923.
2. Feeny, A.K.; Chung, M.K.; Madabhushi, A.; Attia, Z.I.; Cikes, M.; Firouznia, M.; Friedman, P.A.; Kalscheur, M.M.; Kapa, S.; Narayan, S.M.; et al. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Arrhythmias and Cardiac Electrophysiology. *Circ. Arrhythmia Electrophysiol.* **2020**, *13*, e007952–e007952. https://doi.org/10.1161/circep.119.007952.
3. Mhanna, M.; Al-Abdouh, A.; Alzahrani, A.; Jabri, A.; Al-Harbi, A.; Beran, A.; Barbarawi, M.; Mansour, S.; Dominic, P. Decades of Shifting Trends: Arrhythmia-Related Mortalities in the United States (1968–2021) With 2040 Projections. *J. Am. Hear. Assoc.* **2025**, *14*, e039615. https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha.124.039615.
4. Guess, M.; Zavanelli, N.; Yeo, W.-H. Recent Advances in Materials and Flexible Sensors for Arrhythmia Detection. *Materials* **2022**, *15*, 724. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15030724.
5. E Biersteker, T.; Schalij, M.J.; Treskes, R.W. Impact of Mobile Health Devices for the Detection of Atrial Fibrillation: Systematic Review. *JMIR mHealth uHealth* **2021**, *9*, e26161. https://doi.org/10.2196/26161.
6. Castaneda D, Esparza A, Ghamari M, Soltanpur C, Nazeran H. A review on wearable photoplethysmography sensors and their potential future applications in health care. IJBSBE. 2018 Aug 6;4(4):195–202.
7. Bayoumy, K.; Gaber, M.; Elshafeey, A.; Mhaimeed, O.; Dineen, E.H.; Marvel, F.A.; Martin, S.S.; Muse, E.D.; Turakhia, M.P.; Tarakji, K.G.; et al. Smart wearable devices in cardiovascular care: where we are and how to move forward. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **2021**, *18*, 581–599. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-021-00522-7.
8. Martini, C.; Di Maria, B.; Reverberi, C.; Tuttolomondo, D.; Gaibazzi, N. Commercially Available Heart Rate Monitor Repurposed for Automatic Arrhythmia Detection with Snapshot Electrocardiographic Capability: A Pilot Validation. *Diagnostics* **2022**, *12*, 712. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12030712.
9. Health monitoring through wearables: A systematic review of innovations in cardiovascular disease detection and prevention. SDMI. 2025 Jan 2;2(01):96–115.
10. Trayanova, N.A.; Popescu, D.M.; Shade, J.K. Machine Learning in Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology. *Circ. Res.* **2021**, *128*, 544–566. https://doi.org/10.1161/circresaha.120.317872.
11. Choi, J.; Song, S.; Kim, H.; Kim, J.; Park, H.; Jeon, J.; Hong, J.; Bin Gwag, H.; Lee, S.H.; Lee, J.; et al. Machine Learning Algorithm to Predict Atrial Fibrillation Using Serial 12-Lead ECGs Based on Left Atrial Remodeling. *J. Am. Hear. Assoc.* **2024**, *13*, e034154. https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha.123.034154.
12. Lown, M.; Brown, M.; Brown, C.; Yue, A.M.; Shah, B.N.; Corbett, S.J.; Lewith, G.; Stuart, B.; Moore, M.; Little, P.; et al. Machine learning detection of Atrial Fibrillation using wearable technology. *PLOS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0227401. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227401.
13. Torres-Soto, J.; Ashley, E.A. Multi-task deep learning for cardiac rhythm detection in wearable devices. *npj Digit. Med.* **2020**, *3*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-00320-4.
14. Fiorina, L.; Chemaly, P.; Cellier, J.; Said, M.A.; Coquard, C.; Younsi, S.; Salerno, F.; Horvilleur, J.; Lacotte, J.; Manenti, V.; et al. Artificial intelligence–based electrocardiogram analysis improves atrial arrhythmia detection from a smartwatch electrocardiogram. *Eur. Hear. J. - Digit. Heal.* **2024**, *5*, 535–541. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjdh/ztae047.
15. Zang, J.; An, Q.; Li, B.; Zhang, Z.; Gao, L.; Xue, C. A novel wearable device integrating ECG and PCG for cardiac health monitoring. *Microsystems Nanoeng.* **2025**, *11*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41378-024-00858-3.
16. Soto JT, Ashley E. DeepBeat: A multi-task deep learning approach to assess signal quality and arrhythmia detection in wearable devices. arXiv. 2020;
17. Fuadah, Y.N.; Lim, K.M. Advances in cardiovascular signal analysis with future directions: a review of machine learning and deep learning models for cardiovascular disease classification based on ECG, PCG, and PPG signals. *Biomed. Eng. Lett.* **2025**, *15*, 619–660. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13534-025-00473-9.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1

18. Saarinen, H.J.; Joutsen, A.; Korpi, K.; Halkola, T.; Nurmi, M.; Hernesniemi, J.; Vehkaoja, A. Wrist-worn device combining PPG and ECG can be reliably used for atrial fibrillation detection in an outpatient setting. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* **2023**, *10*, 1100127. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2023.1100127.
19. Chan, P.-H.; Wong, C.-K.; Pun, L.; Wong, Y.-F.; Wong, M.M.-Y.; Chu, D.W.-S.; Siu, C.-W. Head-to-Head Comparison of the AliveCor Heart Monitor and Microlife WatchBP Office AFIB for Atrial Fibrillation Screening in a Primary Care Setting. *Circulation* **2017**, *135*, 110–112. https://doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.116.024439.
20. Blok S, Piek MA, Tulevski II, Somsen GA, Winter MM. The accuracy of heartbeat detection using photoplethysmography technology in cardiac patients. J Electrocardiol. 2021 Jul 2;67:148–57.
21. Abdelrazik, A.; Eldesouky, M.; Antoun, I.; Lau, E.Y.M.; Koya, A.; Vali, Z.; Suleman, S.A.; Donaldson, J.; Ng, G.A. Wearable Devices for Arrhythmia Detection: Advancements and Clinical Implications. *Sensors* **2025**, *25*, 2848. https://doi.org/10.3390/s25092848.
22. Ho, M.Y.; Pham, H.M.; Saeed, A.; Ma, D. WF-PPG: A Wrist-finger Dual-Channel Dataset for Studying the Impact of Contact Pressure on PPG Morphology. *Sci. Data* **2025**, *12*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-025-04453-7.
23. Huttunen, O.; Behfar, M.H.; Hiitola-Keinänen, J.; Hiltunen, J. Electronic Tattoo with Transferable Printed Electrodes and Interconnects for Wireless Electrophysiology Monitoring. *Adv. Mater. Technol.* **2022**, *7*, 2101496. https://doi.org/10.1002/admt.202101496.
24. Wang, Y.; Qiu, Y.; Ameri, S.K.; Jang, H.; Dai, Z.; Huang, Y.; Lu, N. Low-cost, μm-thick, tape-free electronic tattoo sensors with minimized motion and sweat artifacts. *npj Flex. Electron.* **2018**, *2*, 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41528-017-0019-4.
25. Shajari, S.; Kuruvinashetti, K.; Komeili, A.; Sundararaj, U. The Emergence of AI-Based Wearable Sensors for Digital Health Technology: A Review. *Sensors* **2023**, *23*, 9498. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23239498.
26. Olyanasab, A.; Annabestani, M. Leveraging Machine Learning for Personalized Wearable Biomedical Devices: A Review. *J. Pers. Med.* **2024**, *14*, 203. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm14020203.
27. Cardiac Monitor Guidance (including Cardiotachometer and Rate Alarm).
28. Hughes, A.; Shandhi, M.H.; Master, H.; Dunn, J.; Brittain, E. Wearable Devices in Cardiovascular Medicine. *Circ. Res.* **2023**, *132*, 652–670. https://doi.org/10.1161/circresaha.122.322389.
29. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2024/760405/EPRS_BRI%282024%29760405_EN.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 20]. Available from: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2024/760405/EPRS_BRI%282024%29760405_EN.pdf
30. Papalamprakopoulou, Z.; Stavropoulos, D.; Moustakidis, S.; Avgerinos, D.; Efremidis, M.; Kampaktsis, P.N.; Papalamprakopoulou, \. Artificial intelligence-enabled atrial fibrillation detection using smartwatches: current status and future perspectives. *Front. Cardiovasc. Med.* **2024**, *11*, 1432876. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2024.1432876.
31. Sharma, P.; Sharma, P.; Sharma, K.; Varma, V.; Patel, V.; Sarvaiya, J.; Tavethia, J.; Mehta, S.; Bhadania, A.; Patel, I.; et al. Revolutionizing Utility of Big Data Analytics in Personalized Cardiovascular Healthcare. *Bioengineering* **2025**, *12*, 463. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering12050463.
32. https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/cybersecurity-medical-devices-quality-system-considerations-and-content-premarket-submissions [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 20]. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/cybersecurity-medical-devices-quality-system-considerations-and-content-premarket-submissions
33. WHO reports outline responses to cyber-attacks on health care and the rise of disinformation in public health emergencies [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 20]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/06-02-2024-who-reports-outline-responses-to-cyber-attacks-on-health-care-and-the-rise-of-disinformation-in-public-health-emergencies
34. https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/344249/9789240020924-eng.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2025 Jul 20]. Available from: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/344249/9789240020924-eng.pdf

 doi:10.20944/preprints202508.0375.v1