Article

Not peer-reviewed version

A Topological Temporal Framework for the Unification of Gravity and Electromagnetism

Bin Li *

Posted Date: 18 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202506.1459.v1

Keywords: General relativity; Electromagnetism; Unification; Chronon Field Theory; Solitons; Charge; Topological framework

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1459.v1

Article

A Topological Temporal Framework for the Unification of Gravity and Electromagnetism

Bin Li

Research Department, Silicon Minds, Inc., Clarksville, USA; libin63@yahoo.com

Abstract: We present a unified geometrical and topological framework for gravity and electromagnetism in which a unit-norm, future-directed timelike vector field Φ^μ encodes intrinsic temporal directionality. Within this formalism, both gravitational and electromagnetic interactions emerge from a single covariant variational principle rooted in the geometry and phase topology of Φ^μ. The U(1) gauge symmetry of electromagnetism arises naturally from the local phase $\theta(x)$ of the Chronon field, and its associated curvature yields Maxwell dynamics. The Einstein-Maxwell equations are recovered in the weak-field limit, and electric charge quantization follows from topological constraints via the fundamental group $\pi_1(U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}$. This approach offers a minimal, four-dimensional, and testable reformulation of classical unification without invoking extra dimensions or auxiliary gauge sectors.

Keywords: general relativity; electromagnetism; unification; chronon field theory; solitons; charge; topological framework

---

1. Introduction

The quest to unify gravity and electromagnetism has a distinguished history dating back to the early 20th century, beginning with the foundational works of Einstein [1], Weyl [2], and Kaluza [3]. General relativity (GR) interprets gravity as spacetime curvature, while Maxwell's theory describes electromagnetism as a U(1) gauge field on fixed Minkowski spacetime. Bridging these two paradigms—one geometrical, the other gauge-theoretic—has proven deeply challenging.

Weyl's attempt to unify electromagnetism with gravity via local scale invariance encountered inconsistencies, notably the non-integrability of clock rates [4]. Kaluza's five-dimensional unification scheme elegantly embedded Maxwell's equations within a higher-dimensional metric, but faced empirical and theoretical hurdles, including the absence of extra dimensions in nature and difficulty incorporating quantum charge [5]. Other approaches—such as Einstein-Cartan theory and fiber bundle methods—achieved partial success but often introduced additional structures without providing predictive advantage [6].

In this work, we introduce a new theoretical framework—*Chronon Field Theory* (CFT)—that unifies gravity and electromagnetism through the topological and temporal structure of a unit-norm timelike vector field $\Phi^\mu(x)$. This field defines an intrinsic arrow of time at each spacetime point and carries a local phase $\theta(x)$, whose topology underlies electromagnetic gauge symmetry. The U(1) gauge field of electromagnetism arises from this phase structure, and the corresponding field strength is interpreted as curvature on a principal fiber bundle over spacetime. Gravity, meanwhile, remains encoded in the standard metric curvature.

This reformulation enables a unified derivation of the Einstein-Maxwell equations from a single variational principle rooted in differential geometry and topology. Crucially, it implies quantization of electric charge via the nontrivial first homotopy group $\pi_1(U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}$, embedding electromagnetic interactions in the global topology of the temporal field [7]. CFT thus offers a predictive, four-dimensional unification scheme free from compactified dimensions, torsion, or speculative geometrical constructs. In what follows, we formalize the mathematical structure of CFT, derive its field equations, and demonstrate its classical limit recovers known gravitational and electromagnetic dynamics.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1459.v1

2. Theoretical Context

Contemporary theoretical physics continues to seek a unified framework encompassing all fundamental forces. The standard model unifies electromagnetism, the weak interaction, and the strong force through quantum gauge theory, but gravity—described geometrically in GR—remains excluded. This mismatch has led to numerous unification proposals, including string theory [8], loop quantum gravity [9], emergent spacetime programs [10], and approaches grounded in noncommutative geometry [11].

Geometric unification schemes, such as Kaluza-Klein and Weyl theories, have pursued the idea that gauge interactions might originate from spacetime geometry. These often introduce unobservable dimensions, demand fine-tuning, or lack phenomenological viability. In contrast, more recent developments—such as the AdS/CFT correspondence [12] and generalized global symmetries [13]—point to topological and holographic principles as deep structural sources for unifying interactions.

Chronon Field Theory contributes a novel classical mechanism to this landscape. It posits that a unit timelike vector field Φ^μ, representing an intrinsic direction of time, is a dynamical field whose phase structure gives rise to electromagnetism. This vector field is not an auxiliary structure but a central object, encoding both causal and gauge information. Unlike higher-dimensional or supersymmetric theories, CFT achieves unification within a minimal framework grounded in four-dimensional geometry and topology.

CFT aligns with broader trends emphasizing topological phases, emergent gauge structures, and holographic duality, while providing a manifestly covariant, classical field-theoretic realization. Its predictive power stems from its constrained degrees of freedom and geometric formulation. As we develop in the sections ahead, CFT offers a fertile classical foundation for reconceptualizing the interface between gravity, gauge symmetry, and the topology of time.

3. Mathematical Preliminaries

3.1. Spacetime Structure

We begin by assuming a smooth, four-dimensional Lorentzian manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$, where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is a metric of signature $(-,+,+,+)$. The manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is globally hyperbolic [14], ensuring the existence of Cauchy surfaces and a well-posed initial value formulation for dynamical fields [15].

Central to Chronon Field Theory is a timelike vector field $\Phi^\mu(x)$, defined on all of $\mathcal{M}$, which satisfies the normalization condition:

$$g_{\mu\nu}\Phi^\mu\Phi^\nu = -1. \tag{1}$$

This constraint enforces that Φ^μ is everywhere future-directed and unit-norm, establishing an intrinsic arrow of time at each spacetime point independent of coordinate choice [16].

The integral curves of Φ^μ generate a timelike congruence, defining a preferred foliation of spacetime into three-dimensional spatial hypersurfaces Σ_t, each orthogonal (in the Frobenius sense) to Φ^μ. This provides a canonical temporal decomposition of spacetime:

$$T\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{S}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is the one-dimensional subbundle tangent to Φ^μ, and $\mathcal{S}$ is the spatial subbundle orthogonal to it.

This decomposition gives rise to a natural observer frame, determined locally by Φ^μ, and allows the formulation of physical laws in a manifestly covariant, yet temporally oriented manner. In CFT, this intrinsic temporal structure is not merely a background foliation, but a dynamical field that couples to the geometry and gives rise to observable gauge phenomena, as we elaborate in the subsequent sections.

3.2. Topological Considerations

The temporal vector field $\Phi^\mu(x)$ can be decomposed as:

$$\Phi^\mu(x) = e^{i\theta(x)} u^\mu(x), \tag{3}$$

where $\theta(x)$ is a real scalar field encoding the phase, and u^μ is a real unit-norm timelike vector field. This decomposition introduces an internal U(1) symmetry corresponding to local changes in the phase $\theta(x)$ [7].

The phase field defines a principal U(1) bundle over spacetime [17]. The connection associated with this bundle is given by:

$$A_\mu = \partial_\mu \theta(x), \tag{4}$$

and the corresponding curvature is the electromagnetic field strength tensor:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \tag{5}$$

This construction ensures gauge invariance under local U(1) transformations $\theta(x) \to \theta(x) + \alpha(x)$, which leave $F_{\mu\nu}$ invariant [18]. The structure of Φ^μ thereby encodes not only the intrinsic time direction at each point but also the electromagnetic gauge potential and its dynamics.

Topologically, the U(1) phase symmetry leads to nontrivial field configurations classified by the first homotopy group $\pi_1(U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ [19]. This foundational structure underpins the quantization of electric charge and introduces global constraints on admissible field configurations. The geometry and topology of Φ^μ thus serve as the unifying elements for both gravitational and electromagnetic dynamics.

4. Unified Action and Field Equations

4.1. Action Functional

We now construct the action functional from which the unified dynamics of the gravitational and electromagnetic fields will be derived. The action integrates geometric and topological contributions in a single variational framework governed by the Chronon field Φ^μ. We propose:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + \lambda(\Phi^\mu \Phi_\mu + 1) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}} \right], \tag{6}$$

where each term plays a distinct and geometrically motivated role:

- R is the Ricci scalar curvature derived from the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, encoding the Einstein-Hilbert dynamics of spacetime [14].
- $\lambda(\Phi^\mu \Phi_\mu + 1)$ imposes the unit-timelike constraint on the Chronon field Φ^μ via a Lagrange multiplier $\lambda(x)$. This term ensures the intrinsic temporal direction remains normalized throughout dynamical evolution.
- $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the antisymmetric field strength tensor derived from the U(1) gauge potential $A_\mu = \partial_\mu \theta$, where θ is the phase of Φ^μ. The term $-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ reproduces Maxwell's theory of electromagnetism [20].
- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$ denotes optional topological terms, such as Chern classes [7], Pontryagin densities [17], or boundary terms relevant for global anomalies and topological quantization. Although not essential for the classical field equations, such terms may encode subtle global properties or contribute to the quantum effective action [21].

This action is manifestly covariant under general coordinate transformations and invariant under local U(1) phase rotations of Φ^μ. It contains only fields intrinsic to four-dimensional spacetime, making no recourse to extra dimensions, torsion, or external gauge structures. The resulting theory yields both

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 June 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1459.v1

Einstein-like and Maxwell-like dynamics from a single, geometrically unified formalism, as shown in the following subsection.

4.1.1. Example: Topological Term $\mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$

A concrete example of a topological contribution is the Abelian Chern–Simons term in four dimensions:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}} = \kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} A_\mu F_{\nu\rho} \Phi_\sigma, \tag{7}$$

where κ is a coupling constant, and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita symbol. This term is not gauge invariant under large gauge transformations unless κ is quantized, hence contributing to the global topological structure of the theory [21].

Another example is the Pontryagin density constructed from the curvature tensor:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Pontryagin}} = \alpha \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\mu\nu} R^{\beta}{}_{\alpha\rho\sigma}, \tag{8}$$

which is a total derivative and does not affect local dynamics but contributes to the global phase in a quantum gravitational path integral.

Including such terms aligns Chronon Field Theory with developments in topological field theory and anomaly cancellation. While $\mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}$ is optional at the classical level, it plays a significant role in determining allowed configurations and global consistency conditions in quantum extensions.

4.1.2. Coupling to Matter Fields

To complete the physical picture, it is essential to incorporate matter fields into the Chronon Field Theory framework. We consider coupling to a Dirac spinor field $\psi(x)$, which represents a charged fermionic matter field.

In the standard model of particle physics, fermions couple to the electromagnetic field via the gauge potential A_μ. In the present framework, since $A_\mu = \partial_\mu \theta$ arises from the phase structure of the Chronon field Φ^μ, we can adopt the minimal coupling prescription:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\nabla_\mu - ieA_\mu)\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi, \tag{9}$$

where γ^μ are curved-space Dirac gamma matrices, ∇_μ is the spinor covariant derivative compatible with the Levi-Civita connection, e is the electric charge, and A_μ is interpreted here as the emergent gauge field from the Chronon phase [22].

This term introduces both dynamical backreaction on the gauge field and sourcing of the U(1) current:

$$j^\mu = e\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi, \tag{10}$$

which enters the right-hand side of the generalized Maxwell equations:

$$\nabla_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = j^\mu. \tag{11}$$

The inclusion of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}}$ thus completes the coupling between spacetime geometry, gauge structure, and quantum matter. It also ensures compatibility with known low-energy physics and enables the computation of quantum corrections through path-integral quantization.

4.2. Variational Derivation

To derive the field equations from the action, we perform variations with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, the phase field $\theta(x)$, and the Lagrange multiplier λ.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1459.v1

Variation with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$:

The variation of the Einstein-Hilbert term yields the Einstein tensor:

$$\delta_g\left(\sqrt{-g}R\right) = \sqrt{-g}G_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{12}$$

The stress-energy tensor receives contributions from the electromagnetic term and the constraint term:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T^{\text{EM}}_{\mu\nu} + 2\lambda\left(\Phi_\mu\Phi_\nu - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\right). \tag{13}$$

The variation thus gives the modified Einstein equations:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = T^{\text{EM}}_{\mu\nu} + 2\lambda\left(\Phi_\mu\Phi_\nu - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\right). \tag{14}$$

Variation with respect to $\theta(x)$:

Using $A_\mu = \partial_\mu\theta$, the variation of the gauge sector yields:

$$\delta_\theta\left(\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\right) = \nabla_\mu(\nabla_\nu F^{\nu\mu})\delta\theta. \tag{15}$$

Stationarity of the action implies the source-free Maxwell equations:

$$\nabla_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{16}$$

Variation with respect to λ:

The constraint term enforces the normalization condition:

$$\Phi^\mu\Phi_\mu = -1, \tag{17}$$

ensuring that Φ^μ remains unit-norm and timelike throughout its evolution.

This variational structure demonstrates that both gravity and electromagnetism arise coherently from a single topological action involving Φ^μ and its phase, subject to a dynamical normalization constraint.

5. Emergence of Electromagnetism

In Chronon Field Theory (CFT), electromagnetism emerges naturally from the U(1) phase symmetry of the temporal vector field Φ^μ. By decomposing Φ^μ into a modulus and a phase component,

$$\Phi^\mu(x) = e^{i\theta(x)}u^\mu(x), \tag{18}$$

where $\theta(x)$ is a scalar field and u^μ is a real, unit-norm, future-directed timelike vector field, the phase degree of freedom acquires geometrical significance.

This decomposition identifies a natural U(1) connection on the spacetime manifold:

$$A_\mu = \partial_\mu\theta, \tag{19}$$

which transforms under local phase shifts $\theta(x) \to \theta(x) + \alpha(x)$ as a gauge potential [7,17]. The associated curvature 2-form, the electromagnetic field strength tensor, is then given by:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu = \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\theta - \partial_\nu\partial_\mu\theta. \tag{20}$$

For any globally defined and smooth scalar function $\theta(x)$, the mixed partial derivatives commute, implying:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{21}$$

Thus, a non-vanishing electromagnetic field strength arises only in the presence of topological obstructions—where the phase field $\theta(x)$ fails to be globally well-defined or smooth.

Such situations are emblematic of nontrivial U(1) bundles over spacetime [21]. For instance, if θ is multivalued or exhibits non-integrable behavior around closed loops—analogous to the Aharonov–Bohm configuration [23]—then the loop integral of A_μ yields a non-zero flux:

$$\oint_{\partial\Sigma} A_\mu dx^\mu = \int_\Sigma F_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \neq 0. \tag{22}$$

This nontrivial holonomy signals the presence of quantized topological defects, such as phase vortices or flux tubes, which carry physically observable electromagnetic effects despite being locally pure gauge.

Topologically, this structure is classified by the first homotopy group $\pi_1(U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}$, encoding the winding number of $\theta(x)$ around non-contractible cycles [17]. Consequently, charge quantization in this framework arises from global topological features of the Chronon field, rather than from imposed gauge invariance. The electromagnetic field, far from being a fundamental input, thus emerges as a manifestation of the nontrivial phase geometry of time itself in CFT.

In this way, CFT provides a novel reinterpretation of electromagnetism—not as an independent gauge sector, but as a topological phenomenon rooted in the intrinsic structure of spacetime and temporal orientation.

6. Recovery of Classical Einstein-Maxwell Theory

To establish the physical validity of Chronon Field Theory, we must demonstrate that it reproduces the classical Einstein-Maxwell equations in an appropriate limit. This section shows that, under weak-field and slow-variation conditions, the equations derived from the unified action reduce to those of general relativity minimally coupled to classical electromagnetism [14,20].

6.1. Weak-Field Limit

We consider a perturbative expansion around flat Minkowski spacetime:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \quad |h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1, \tag{23}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric and $h_{\mu\nu}$ represents small deviations. In this regime, the Ricci scalar R linearizes and the gravitational field equations reduce to the linearized Einstein equations:

$$G^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T^{\text{EM}}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{24}$$

where $G^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}$ is the linearized Einstein tensor and $T^{\text{EM}}_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress-energy tensor derived from the electromagnetic field.

6.2. Electromagnetic Tensor Recovery

In the gauge sector, recall the identification $A_\mu = \partial_\mu\theta$. The field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ corresponds precisely to the standard antisymmetric electromagnetic tensor in classical electrodynamics [20]. Maxwell's equations in vacuum:

$$\nabla_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \nabla_{[\lambda} F_{\mu\nu]} = 0, \tag{25}$$

are thus recovered from the variation of the action with respect to $\theta(x)$ and via the Bianchi identity, respectively.

6.3. Stress-Energy Tensor and Energy Conditions

The electromagnetic contribution to the total stress-energy tensor is given by:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{EM}} = F_{\mu\lambda} F_{\nu}^{\ \lambda} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}, \tag{26}$$

which is symmetric, traceless in vacuum, and divergence-free:

$$\nabla^{\mu} T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{EM}} = 0, \tag{27}$$

as required by the Einstein field equations. In the weak-field limit, this tensor reproduces the known energy density and stress of the electromagnetic field. For example, in a static electric field, the energy density is $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{E}^2$, and the spatial stresses correspond to Maxwell's stress tensor components.

Therefore, Chronon Field Theory recovers the Einstein-Maxwell system:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{EM}}, \tag{28}$$

$$\nabla_{\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = 0, \tag{29}$$

in a self-consistent and geometrically unified framework. This correspondence establishes CFT as a classically viable model for the joint description of gravitation and electromagnetism.

7. Discussion and Outlook

Chronon Field Theory (CFT) provides a conceptually and mathematically coherent framework for unifying gravity and electromagnetism through intrinsic temporal and topological structures. In this section, we highlight its distinctiveness relative to historical approaches, discuss phenomenological implications, and outline avenues for future research.

7.1. Comparison with Geometrical Models

Compared to Kaluza-Klein theory [3,5], which posits an additional compact spatial dimension to account for electromagnetism, CFT retains the four-dimensional spacetime structure and instead invokes a temporal vector field whose phase encodes gauge information. This avoids the need for compactification, cylinder conditions, and higher-dimensional dynamics, while still generating a U(1) gauge structure naturally.

Unlike Weyl's scale-invariant theory [2], which led to non-integrable proper times and was inconsistent with observed atomic spectra [4], CFT maintains the integrity of proper time through the norm constraint $\Phi^{\mu}\Phi_{\mu} = -1$. Furthermore, whereas Einstein-Cartan and metric-affine theories introduce torsion or nonmetricity as sources of gauge dynamics [6], CFT achieves gauge emergence from the topological phase behavior of a well-defined vector field, free from additional geometric complications.

7.2. Phenomenological Implications

The unification framework proposed here opens the door to novel phenomenological predictions. One promising direction is the interaction between electromagnetic phase and spacetime curvature. Since $\theta(x)$ is defined on a curved manifold, its behavior may exhibit curvature-induced polarization or birefringence effects in the propagation of light in strong gravitational fields [24]. Such effects could be explored in astrophysical settings, e.g., around black holes or in gravitational lensing scenarios.

Additionally, topological defects in the Chronon field—such as phase vortices or domain walls—could manifest as exotic charged structures or even candidates for dark matter [25]. The quantization of charge via $\pi_1(U(1))$ also hints at potential mechanisms for charge conservation anomalies or quantized flux tubes [17].

 doi:10.20944/preprints202506.1459.v1

7.3. Future Directions

To elevate Chronon Field Theory to a complete physical theory, several extensions are necessary. First, coupling to matter fields, such as spinor fields representing electrons or quarks, must be addressed. This could involve minimal coupling to the emergent gauge field A_μ, or embedding standard model fields in a topological framework [22].

Second, quantization of the theory remains an open question. Since the U(1) sector arises from a phase field, connections to quantum mechanics, particularly path integral formulations over topological sectors, are promising. Semi-classical quantization of $\theta(x)$ may lead to charge quantization and interference phenomena analogous to the Aharonov-Bohm effect [23].

Third, the role of topological defects and soliton-like configurations in Φ^μ should be explored in greater depth. These structures may carry conserved charges, mimic particles, or mediate novel interactions. Their classification and dynamics under the unified action could yield insights into nonperturbative phenomena.

Finally, while the classical formulation of Chronon Field Theory (CFT) preserves both general covariance and local U(1) gauge symmetry, any extension into the quantum domain must address the potential emergence of anomalies. In particular, coupling to fermionic matter may introduce gauge or gravitational anomalies that could jeopardize consistency. Ensuring anomaly cancellation—especially under large gauge transformations or in topologically nontrivial sectors—will be essential to maintain the predictive integrity of the theory [18,21].

In summary, CFT provides a geometrically and topologically unified framework in which both gravity and electromagnetism arise from the intrinsic temporal structure of spacetime. It circumvents the need for higher dimensions, exotic symmetry extensions, or extrinsic gauge fields. While the theory remains classical at this stage, its structure is suggestive of deeper connections to quantum phenomena, topological phases, and emergent field dynamics. With further development—particularly in matter coupling, quantization, and defect dynamics—CFT has the potential to advance Einstein's vision of a unified field theory grounded in the fabric of spacetime itself.

References

1. Einstein, A. The Foundation of the General Theory of Relativity. *Ann. Phys. (Berlin)* **1916**, *49*, 769–822.
2. Weyl, H. Gravitation und Elektrizität. *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss.* **1918**, pp. 465–480.
3. Kaluza, T. Zum Unitätsproblem der Physik. *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin. Math. Phys.* **1921**, pp. 966–972.
4. Pauli, W. *Theory of Relativity*; Pergamon Press, 1958.
5. Klein, O. Quantum Theory and Five-Dimensional Theory of Relativity. *Z. Phys.* **1926**, *37*, 895–906.
6. Hehl, F.W.; von der Heyde, P.; Kerlick, G.D.; Nester, J.M. General Relativity with Spin and Torsion. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1976**, *48*, 393–416.
7. Nash, C.; Sen, S. *Topology and Geometry for Physicists*; Academic Press, 1983.
8. Green, M.B.; Schwarz, J.H.; Witten, E. *Superstring Theory*; Cambridge University Press, 1987.
9. Rovelli, C. *Quantum Gravity*; Cambridge University Press, 2004.
10. Padmanabhan, T. Thermodynamical Aspects of Gravity: New Insights. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2010**, *73*, 046901.
11. Connes, A. *Noncommutative Geometry*; Academic Press, 1994.
12. Maldacena, J. The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **1998**, *2*, 231–252.
13. Gaiotto, D.; Kapustin, A.; Seiberg, N.; Willett, B. Generalized Global Symmetries. *J. High Energy Phys.* **2015**, *2015*, 172.
14. Wald, R.M. *General Relativity*; University of Chicago Press, 1984.
15. Hawking, S.W.; Ellis, G.F.R. *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*; Cambridge University Press, 1973.
16. Gourgoulhon, E. 3+1 Formalism and Bases of Numerical Relativity, 2007. arXiv:gr-qc/0703035.
17. Nakahara, M. *Geometry, Topology and Physics*, 2nd ed.; Taylor & Francis, 2003.
18. Jackiw, R. Topological Investigations of Quantized Gauge Theories. In *Current Algebra and Anomalies*; World Scientific, 1985.
19. Bott, R.; Tu, L. *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*; Springer, 1982.

20. Jackson, J.D. *Classical Electrodynamics*, 3rd ed.; Wiley, 1999.
21. Alvarez-Gaumé, L.; Ginsparg, P. The Structure of Gauge and Gravitational Anomalies. *Ann. Phys.* **1985**, *161*, 423–490.
22. Peskin, M.E.; Schroeder, D.V. *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*; Addison-Wesley, 1995.
23. Aharonov, Y.; Bohm, D. Significance of Electromagnetic Potentials in the Quantum Theory. *Phys. Rev.* **1959**, *115*, 485–491.
24. Drummond, I.T.; Hathrell, S.J. QED Vacuum Polarization in a Background Gravitational Field and Its Effect on the Velocity of Photons. *Phys. Rev. D* **1980**, *22*, 343–355.
25. Vilenkin, A.; Shellard, E.P.S. *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects*; Cambridge University Press, 1994.