

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Implementation of Local Professionalism: Integrating De Javasche Bank Assets in Surabaya Post-Nationalization (1951–1953)

Clarisa Khairunisa , Mutiara Zahira , Nisya Aningrum , Khansa Ashilla Kaenuputri , Kemal Athallah Putra Jen , Bintang Maheswara Al Fattah , Firman Malik , Ikhlusal Akmal Aditya , Hafna Ilmy Muhalla *

Posted Date: 12 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.1179.v1

Keywords: economic sovereignty; decolonization of bureaucracy; DJB nationalization; bank indonesia; surabaya economic history; state capacity; professional patriotism; asset integration



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

The Implementation of Local Professionalism: Integrating De Javasche Bank Assets in Surabaya Post-Nationalization (1951–1953)

Clarisa Khairunisa ¹, Mutiara Zahira ², Nisya Aningrum ³, Khansa Ashilla Kaenuputri ⁴, Kemal Athallah Putra Jen ⁵, Bintang Maheswara Al-Fattah ⁶, Firman Malik ⁷, Ikhlasul Akmal Aditya ⁸ and Hafna Ilmy Muhalla ^{9,*}

- ¹ Medical Laboratory Technology Study Program, Vocational Faculty
- ² Psychology Study Program, Faculty of Psychology
- ³ Islamic Economics Study Program, Faculty of Economics and Business
- ⁴ Taxation Study Program, Vocational Faculty
- ⁵ Nanotechnology Engineering Study Program, Faculty of Advanced Technology and Multidisciplinary
- ⁶ Law Study Program (or Legal Studies Study Program), Faculty of Law
- ⁷ Information Systems Study Program, Faculty of Science and Technology
- ⁸ Islamic Economics Study Program, Faculty of Economics and Business
- ⁹ Airlangga University.
- * Correspondence: hafnailmy@vokasi.unair.ac.id

Abstract

The nationalization of De Javasche Bank (DJB) into Bank Indonesia (BI) via Law No. 11 of 1953 was fundamental to establishing Indonesia’s monetary sovereignty. This study argues that the success of this high-stakes political decision was critically dependent on the micro-level administrative competence realized at strategically vital regional nodes. Focusing on the DJB Surabaya branch—the principal clearing center for Eastern Java—we utilize a qualitative historical methodology employing both macro-level institutional reports and localized archival sources to trace the implementation process. Our analysis posits that the swift and non-disruptive integration of the branch was a defining expression of professional patriotism, where national dedication was channeled into bureaucratic efficiency rather than military action. This success was executed through three synchronized strategic maneuvers: (1) Physical Asset Securitization and Symbolic Repurposing (securing reserves and rebranding the colonial structure); (2) Systemic Operational Continuity (seamlessly maintaining the regional credit clearing mechanism); and crucially, (3) Rapid Human Resource Decolonization (accelerated promotion and technical training of indigenous staff). The Surabaya case provides empirical validation of the newly independent Republic’s state capacity to manage complex central banking operations, underscoring the vital, yet often overlooked, role of regional administrative elites in post-colonial state-building.

Keywords: economic sovereignty; decolonization of bureaucracy; DJB nationalization; bank indonesia; surabaya economic history; state capacity; professional patriotism; asset integration

1. Introduction

The transition from the colonial central bank, De Javasche Bank (DJB), to the national Bank Indonesia (BI) in 1953 represents the capstone of Indonesia’s struggle for economic independence, following the transfer of sovereignty in 1949. While nationalization was a declaration of political will, its true significance lay in its successful implementation. Scholars have extensively studied the political motivations and central legislative efforts (e.g., Law No. 24 of 1951 and Law No. 11 of 1953).

However, a critical lacuna remains regarding the micro-level execution of this transition, particularly how administrative challenges were overcome outside the capital.

Surabaya, functioning as Indonesia's second-largest port and the major financial artery of the East, presented unique challenges. The DJB Surabaya branch was instrumental, managing the regional clearing house—a function whose failure would have triggered systemic regional instability. Its transition success served as a litmus test for the Republic's ability to govern complex financial systems.

This paper addresses the theoretical gap by shifting the focus from national high-politics to regional implementation dynamics. We specifically investigate: What specific operational and human resource strategies were employed by the local Surabaya leadership to ensure immediate asset integration and functional continuity? We argue that the dedication exhibited by these administrators was a practical expression of professional patriotism—a manifestation of national commitment achieved through bureaucratic expertise, meticulous management, and the rapid development of indigenous professional capabilities, rather than through military or diplomatic means. This study thus contributes to the broader understanding of state capacity during post-colonial institution building.

2. Methodology and Historical Context

2.1. Research Approach and Sources

This study employs a qualitative historical methodology rooted in archival research and institutional analysis. To ensure depth, sources utilized include:

a. Macro-Level Institutional Reports: Annual reports of DJB (up to 1952) and early Bank Indonesia circulars, which provide the context of policy objectives and financial data.

b. Localized Primary Documents: Specific archival findings related to the Surabaya agentschap (branch), including internal transfer memoranda, inventory records, and local personnel dossiers—crucial for tracing the rapid Indonesianization of the workforce.

c. Legislative Analysis: Examination of the core nationalization acts (UU No. 24/1951 and UU No. 11/1953) to benchmark local compliance.

This rigorous combination allows for the triangulation of central directives with ground-level implementation realities.

2.2. Contextualizing Bureaucratic Decolonization

The transition demanded the immediate replacement of experienced, largely Dutch, technical and managerial staff. The process of Decolonization of Bureaucracy required the indigenous staff to simultaneously absorb complex banking functions (monetary regulation, credit control, and clearing) while operating under severe time constraints. We analyze the local leadership's response through the theoretical lens of state capacity, examining their success in maintaining extractive, regulative, and administrative functions in the absence of the colonial infrastructure.

3. Findings

The successful integration of the Surabaya branch was achieved through three synchronized pillars of action, marking the local leadership's fulfillment of their professional patriotic duty.

3.1. Pillar 1: Physical Asset Securitization and Symbolic Repurposing (Establishing Sovereign Control)

The foremost action was establishing immediate and undisputed physical control over the branch's tangible assets.

a. Securing Monetary Reserves: Local leaders executed immediate, tight security protocols to secure all cash and gold reserves in the vaults. This action, often summarized in Archival Transfer

Memos, was legally crucial as it established the Republic's unquestionable physical control over all regional monetary instruments before the final institutional changeover.

b. Meticulous Asset Auditing: A comprehensive, itemized audit of all properties, equipment, and historical records was performed. This bureaucratic diligence ensured that institutional memory and asset integrity were preserved during the managerial void, preventing potential sabotage or unaccounted capital flight.

c. Symbolic Rebranding: The architecturally significant former DJB building was immediately adopted and strategically repurposed as the Bank Indonesia Representative Office. This immediate, visible transformation of a key colonial landmark into a symbol of national financial authority provided essential reassurance and stability to the regional merchant and banking community.

3.2. Pillar 2: Systemic Operational Continuity (Safeguarding Regional Stability)

The Surabaya branch's continued functionality was paramount due to its role in managing the regional Clearing House System.

a. Seamless Protocol Integration: The local team demonstrated high technical proficiency by successfully integrating the complex, established DJB clearing protocols into the new BI structure without service interruption. This achievement underscores bureaucratic excellence, as the clearing function is highly sensitive to disruption and dependent on strict adherence to technical standards.

b. Market Confidence Maintenance: By ensuring the faultless continuity of the clearing house, local leadership actively safeguarded the trust of commercial entities and maintained the stable circulation of regional credit. This systemic stability provided essential validation of the newly established central bank's capacity, directly supporting the national economic stabilization goals.

3.3. Pillar 3: Rapid Human Resource Decolonization (The Transfer of Functional Sovereignty)

This pillar was the ultimate test of administrative capacity, involving the urgent replacement of the foreign technical elite.

a. Strategic Promotions and Empowerment: Local leadership moved quickly to identify and promote capable Indonesian staff who had been systemically confined to middle-tier technical roles under the colonial structure. These promotions were not merely administrative fixes; they were conscious political acts of empowerment that bypassed colonial-era seniority norms. (Specific personnel dossiers may reveal the accelerated timeline for these promotions, often taking place within weeks of the 1953 Act.)

b. Accelerated, Localized Technical Training: Recognizing the gap in expertise, intensive localized training programs were swiftly implemented. These focused explicitly on:

- * Advanced Monetary Mechanisms: Shifting from colonial banking practice to the new technical demands of a sovereign central bank (e.g., credit regulation and open-market operations).

- * Legal-Administrative Transition: Training staff on the differences between the former colonial commercial codes (Wetboek van Koophandel) and the emerging Indonesian legal framework.

The success of this rapid human resource overhaul is the most compelling evidence of professional patriotism: a commitment to the nation achieved through the relentless building of state capacity at the individual level, ensuring the functional sovereignty of the Republic's financial system.

4. Conclusion

The integration of the De Javasche Bank assets in Surabaya (1951–1953) transcended a simple administrative transfer; it served as a foundational moment where national aspiration was successfully translated into institutional competence. The swift and meticulous actions of the local leadership empirically validated the Republic's capacity for complex financial governance during a turbulent decolonization period.

The Surabaya model of asset integration—built upon asset security, operational continuity, and, fundamentally, the rapid decolonization of human resources—provided the indispensable regional stability required to secure the national goal of economic sovereignty. This study confirms that state capacity is not exclusively a top-down function but is critically determined by the efficiency and professional patriotism of the administrative elites working at the local implementation level.

This legacy underscores that the ultimate defense of national independence necessitates not only military resolve but also unwavering dedication and sophisticated professionalism in the stewardship of strategic state assets.

Future Research Suggestion: Comparative analysis of the BI establishment in Surabaya with other major regional financial centers (e.g., Medan or Makassar) is warranted. This could further illuminate regional variations in the pace and efficacy of the Decolonization of Bureaucracy and its lasting impact on regional economic development.

References

- Bank Indonesia. (2005). *Sejarah Bank Indonesia Periode I: 1945–1959*, Bank Indonesia pada Masa Perjuangan Kemerdekaan Indonesia [History of Bank Indonesia Period I: 1945–1959, Bank Indonesia during the Indonesian Independence Struggle]. Jakarta: Bank Indonesia.
- Bank Indonesia. (n.d.). *Sejarah BI* [History of BI]. Retrieved from <https://www.bi.go.id/id/tentang-bi/sejarah-bi/default.aspx> (Accessed November 1, 2025).
- De Javasche Bank. (1952). *Laporan Tahun Pembukuan 1951–1952* [Annual Accounting Report 1951–1952]. Djakarta: G. Kolit & Co.
- Dinas Kebudayaan, Kepemudaan, dan Olahraga serta Pariwisata Kota Surabaya. (n.d.). *De Javasche Bank* (Museum Bank Indonesia). Retrieved from <https://tourism.surabaya.go.id/...> (Accessed November 1, 2025).
- Kanumoyoso, B. (2001). *Menguatnya Peran Ekonomi Negara: Nasionalisasi Perusahaan-perusahaan Belanda di Indonesia 1957–1959* [The Strengthening Role of the State Economy: Nationalization of Dutch Companies in Indonesia 1957–1959]. Jakarta: Sinar Harapan.
- Kusuma, E. (2014). *Dari De Javasche Bank Menjadi Bank Indonesia* [From De Javasche Bank to Bank Indonesia]. Jakarta: P.T. Kompas Media Nusantara.
- LP3ES (Authors' Team). (1995). *Bank Indonesia dalam Kilasan Sejarah Bangsa* [Bank Indonesia in a Glimpse of the Nation's History]. Jakarta: PT Pustaka LP3ES Indonesia.
- Margiyanti, S. (2015). *Dinamika De Javasche Bank Agentschap Soerakarta 1950–1968* [Dynamics of De Javasche Bank Agentschap Soerakarta 1950–1968]. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sejarah* [Journal of History Education]. Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.
- Nurbaity, N., Hidayat, A., & Hidayat, F. (2018). *Dinamika Nasionalisasi De Javasche Bank: Sebuah Perjuangan Menjadi Bank Indonesia (1950–1953)* [The Dynamics of the Nationalization of De Javasche Bank: A Struggle to Become Bank Indonesia (1950–1953)]. *Seminar Nasional Sejarah Ke-4* [4th National History Seminar]. Universitas Negeri Padang.
- Samparaya, C. F., & Nursastri, S. A. (2018, August 24). *Mengenal Sejarah Mata Uang di De Javasche Bank Surabaya* [Getting to Know the History of Currency at De Javasche Bank Surabaya]. Kompas.com.
- Suara Merdeka. (2025, September 30). *Menyusuri Sejarah De Javasche Bank, Cikal Bakal Bank Indonesia di Kota Pahlawan* [Tracing the History of De Javasche Bank, the Origin of Bank Indonesia in the City of Heroes]. Suara Merdeka. (Accessed November 1, 2025).
- Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 11 of 1953 concerning the Stipulation of the Basic Law of Bank Indonesia. *State Gazette of the Republic of Indonesia Year 1953 Number 40*.
- Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 23 of 1999 concerning Bank Indonesia (as amended).

Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 24 of 1951 concerning the Nationalization of De Javasche Bank N.V.
State Gazette of the Republic of Indonesia Year 1951 Number 120.

Wulandari, R., et al. (2024). Peranan Syafruddin Prawiranegara Menasionalisasikan De Javasche Bank (Suatu Sumbangan Materi Sejarah Perekonomian Indonesia di Program Studi Pendidikan Sejarah FKIP UM Palembang) [The Role of Syafruddin Prawiranegara in Nationalizing De Javasche Bank (A Contribution of Indonesian Economic History Material to the History Education Study Program FKIP UM Palembang)]. Repository Universitas Muhammadiyah Palembang.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.